

# Assessed Coursework 1

Please submit by 5pm, Friday

# Description

- There are 3 questions, worth 20% in total.
- You can use whatever material you can find.
  - However, **do not** copy answers directly from internet.
  - Cite external sources properly.
- You are expected to complete these questions **independently**. Questions of the coursework should be directly addressed to the lecturer.
- You are recommended to use latex to typeset all the answers to the questions.
- Write down the process of your solution. No need to copy the question when answering questions.

# Q0: Function Basis Selection

- Scientists have collected a dataset on climate change:
- $\{(y_i, x_i^{(1)}, x_i^{(2)}, x_i^{(3)}, x_i^{(4)})\}$
- $y$ : temperature,  $x^{(1)}$ : time,  $x^{(2)}, x^{(3)}$ : longitude, latitude,  $x^{(4)}$ : CO2 emission.
- **Based on their prior knowledge, they know:**
- 1. temperature changes **periodically** over time
- 2. temperature changes **linearly** with latitude: the higher the latitude, the lower the temperature.
- 3. temperature does **not** change with longitude.
- 4. We do not know how temperature changes with CO2 emission.

# Q0: Function Basis Selection

- Therefore, scientists discard  $x^{(2)}$  and created a predictive model:  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w}) := \sum_{i=1,3,4} w_i \phi^{(i)}(x^{(i)})$
- Q0.1 (**2pt**) What would be ideal choices for  $\phi^{(1)}, \phi^{(3)}, \phi^{(4)}$ ?
- Choose from linear, polynomial, trigonometric, RBF.
- Explain your choices in a few sentences.

# Q0: Function Basis Selection

- Q3.2 (**2pt**) What would **not** happen if scientists accidentally included  $x^{(2)}$  in their model  $f(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{w})$ ?

**Pick one:**

- A. Their model overfits
- B. Their model underfits
- C. Training error decreases
- E. Testing error increases

# Q1, Gaussian Process

- Gaussian identities we have learned can be used to derive a useful technique called **Gaussian Process**.
- Given a dataset  $D := \{(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i)\}_{i=1}^n$
- Let us define *a likelihood function* as a  $n$ -dim. MVN.
- $p(y_1 \dots y_n | f_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \dots f_n(\mathbf{x}_n), \sigma) = N_{y_1 \dots y_n}[f_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \dots f_n(\mathbf{x}_n), \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}]$
- where  $f_i$  is a function:  $R^d \rightarrow R$ ,  $\mathbf{I} \in R^{n \times n}$  is an identity matrix.
- Define *a prior* over the functions  $f_1 \dots f_n$  as an  $n$ -dim. MVN.
- $p(f_1(\mathbf{x}_1) \dots f_n(\mathbf{x}_n) | \mathbf{K}) = N_{f_1 \dots f_n}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{K})$ , where  $\mathbf{K} \in R^{n \times n}$  is a covariance matrix.

# Q1, Gaussian Process

- **Q1.1 (2pts)** Write down the expression of  $p(\mathbf{y}|\sigma, \mathbf{K})$
- **Q1.2 (4pts)** Write down the expression of  $p(y_1|y_2 \dots y_n, \mathbf{K}, \sigma)$
- **Q1.3 (2pts)** Construct a kernel regression using  $\{(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i)\}_{i=2}^n$  as the training data and use  $\mathbf{K}$  to construct your kernel function. Predict output value at  $\mathbf{x}_1$ . **Comment on your findings using a few sentences.**
  - “Using  $\mathbf{K}$  to construct your kernel function” meaning the kernel function is defined as  $k(\mathbf{x}_i, \mathbf{x}_j) := K^{(i,j)}$ , the  $i, j$ -th element of  $\mathbf{K}$ .
  - Partition  $\mathbf{K}$  into submatrices  $\begin{bmatrix} K_{11} & K_{12} \\ K_{21} & K_{22} \end{bmatrix}$  and express your answers using these submatrices.
- **Q1.4 (2pts)** Comparing to classic least squares using a linear model, what assumption *on our dataset* is *not* required in Gaussian Process? What advantages do we have by not assuming such an assumption?

## Q2. Variance-Bias Decomposition

- Given a dataset  $D := \{(y_i, \mathbf{x}_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ , assume  $y_i = g(\mathbf{x}) + \epsilon$ , where  $\epsilon$  is an additive error.
- The prediction function is  $f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}) := \langle \mathbf{w}, \boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}) \rangle$ .  
 $\boldsymbol{\phi}(\mathbf{x}): R^d \rightarrow R^b$  and  $n > b$ .
- There exists a  $\mathbf{w}^*$  such that  $g(\mathbf{x}) = f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}^*)$
- **Q2.1, (2pts)** Show that,  $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i \in D} \text{var}[f(\mathbf{x}_i; \mathbf{w}_{LS}) | \mathbf{x}_i]$  grows as  $b$  increases.  $\mathbf{w}_{LS}$  is fitted by classic least squares on  $D$ .
- **Q2.2, (2pts)** Show **in sample error** of  $f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}_{LS})$  decrease as  $n$  increases.
- **Q2.3, (2pts)** Comment in a few sentences, on how changing  $n$  and  $b$  would affect overfitting-ness of  $f(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{w}_{LS})$ .