Please credit the University of Washington Psychology Diversity Steering Committee if you choose to reference this document.

## Syllabus Inclusivity Rubric: Taking Stock of Diversity, Equity, and Inclusion in the Teaching of Psychology

developed by UW Psychology Championing Inclusive Pedagogy Team

**Updated November 2023** 

**Purpose:** Underrepresented students in academic environments experience discrimination, indirect signals of exclusion, and presumptions about insufficient ability that can impact their performance and engagement in research and classes, as well as their mental and physical health. Intentional environments that cue diversity and inclusion can signal belonging and increase students of colors' interest and success in these environments. In creating a syllabus review process, our goal is to implement an intervention (rather, to spur instructors to do so) to improve syllabi, and in doing so to increase classroom belongingness and reduce barriers to success for underrepresented students

Acknowledging the inequities that exist for people of color, first-generation college students, and other marginalized populations, we advocate for flexibility to accommodate students with other responsibilities and life circumstances (e.g., external jobs, childcare, care for family members). Moreover, we believe it I important to make insider knowledge and resources (e.g., a project extension will be provided if a student asks a week in advance) available to all students. A couple of ways to do so are to make implicit expectations as explicit as possible and to create "opt-out" accommodations rather than "opt-in" accommodations.

This rubric is informed by best practices documented by other universities, such as Brown University's Harriet W. Sheridan Center for Teaching & Learning and Yale University's Poorvu Center for Teaching and Learning as well as in collaboration with invited faculty self-study participants from our own department. We hope that this document will grow and develop with our own department's knowledge and experiences.

**Overview**: For each of the three broad categories, we will assign a number according to a set of criteria we have developed based on a survey of available resources:

Course Climate: Does your syllabus communicate your intent to create a welcoming, inclusive environment for all students?

- The syllabus is an opportunity to set a tone of respect, inclusivity, and collaboration.
- It is important to communicate classroom expectations clearly so that all students are on the same page as the instructor.
- Insider knowledge of how classrooms function and unspoken expectations and norms may disadvantage first-generation graduate students or those with less mentorship prior to graduate school.

<u>Course Structure:</u> Does your syllabus lay out a course structure that allows multiple paths to success in the course (including and especially for those who may have additional burdens such as childcare, outside jobs, and physical or mental health issues), and does it communicate this course structure clearly?

- Often, course structure can be rigid in a way that does not serve students with extenuating circumstances.
- Accommodations or options that differ from traditional norms are often not explicitly offered, such that individuals who might benefit most from these options must expend additional effort or must announce or demonstrate their need in order to get access to them.

<u>Course Content:</u> Does your course content reflect perspectives other than the dominant one in your field? Does the course content encourage students to consider different perspectives or bring their own diverse perspectives into the course? Was the primary course content created by individuals with a variety of identities?

**Rubric Format and Example**: The rubric created by the Syllabus Review Task Force is meant to capture the Task Force's current working knowledge on best practices in inclusive teaching. <u>It is meant to be aspirational</u> as well as attainable, and we will plan to evaluate whether the rubric is appropriate every several years. We acknowledge that some of the criteria may be challenging, yet we hope that faculty will see the intent behind assessing each facet.

The basic format of the rubric is to set out a description of different levels of addressing each criterion. We include the "What to Look For" column because we know that we are not able to enumerate all the ways in which the criterion might have been addressed. Rather than a checklist, these are meant to represent some concrete examples of addressing the more abstract criterion.

Criterion	Description	What to Look For	Score and Notes
Criterion Name	0 [Brief description of a 0]	+ [Features of syllabi which address the criterion	[The Syllabus Review
		well]	Task Force will use
	1 [Brief description of a 1]		this space to describe
		- [Features of syllabi which do not address the	how the syllabus
	2 [Brief description of a 2]	criterion well OR do the opposite]	addresses the
			criterion in question]

**Preparation for the Syllabus Review:** We have presented the rubric in advance of requesting syllabi in order to give interested faculty the chance to update their syllabi before receiving feedback. The Review Team holds syllabus office hours (by appointment) to assist in this process. Please email the Championing Inclusive Pedagogy team (<a href="mailto:uw.psych.dsc.chips@gmail.com">uw.psych.dsc.chips@gmail.com</a>) to coordinate an appointment with a graduate student holding office hours.

In order to move toward a more inclusive psychological science, we ask that faculty review their reading lists and indicate, wherever possible, the full names and known marginalized identities of authors. Please be thoughtful in this process and know that we understand this is an inexact science.

We will take syllabi throughout the academic year for review. A few weeks prior to the start of each quarter, we will also send emails reminding instructors of this opportunity for feedback from the Syllabus Review Team. This will allow the Syllabus Review Team to review the syllabi in a timely manner if instructors choose to incorporate that feedback into their course.

## **Course Climate**

Does your syllabus communicate your intent to create a welcoming, inclusive environment for everyone?

Criterion	Description	What to Look For	
Overall Tone	0: The syllabus is challenging to read	+ Syllabus uses primarily inviting language	
	and/or is simply a list of dates and		
	assignments. The syllabus may	+ Syllabus emphasizes possibilities for learning,	
	actively discourage students from	de-emphasizes punishments	
	taking the course due to challenge.		
		+ The syllabus conveys that support is available	
	1: The syllabus describes the course	to students at different stages of	
	adequately and does not actively	learning/background	
	discourage students from taking the		
	course.	- Syllabus is full of jargon	
	2: The syllabus introduces students to	- Syllabus actively discourages certain learners	
	the class in a welcoming manner and	from taking the course	
	lays out a clear path to success in the		
	course for all students.	- Strong emphasis on how difficult the class is	
		and how much of a time commitment it will be	
Learning Environment	0: No description of learning	+Professor endorses the potential for learning	
	environment.	from peers	
	1: The professor describes a goal of	+ Syllabus endorses a less rigid classroom	
	creating a rich learning environment in	hierarchy	
	the syllabus	O Hall and a subject on final and arity of	
	O. The profession describes an existing	- Syllabus only emphasizes final authority of	
	2: The professor describes specific	instructor	
	ways in which they promote an		
Learning Objectives	inclusive learning environment.	L A clearly defined list of learning abjectives in	
Learning Objectives	0: No description of learning	+ A clearly defined list of learning objectives is	
	objectives.	presented	
	1: The syllabus describes at least one	+ Students can get a clear sense of what skills	
	primary learning objective	and knowledge they would gain from a class	
	primary rearring objective	and knowledge they would gain norm a dass	
	2: The syllabus clearly describes all	+ Diversity/inclusivity-related goals are included	
	primary learning objectives	211 of only, morativity foliated goals are morated	
	primary routining objectives		

	1		T
		- Learning goals only relate to one career path	
		(e.g., academia)	
Accessibility for	0: No mention is made of disability	+ Includes mandated statement about DRS	
Individuals with Disabilities	accommodations in the syllabus.	accommodations	
	1: Includes mandated statement about DRS accommodations.	+ Includes basic information on seeking out DRS accommodations	
	2: In addition to mandated statement, syllabus indicates that class materials and readings are presented in a format accessible to individuals with disabilities.	+ Syllabus indicates that accommodations are available (e.g., captions or transcripts for video materials, tags and text for images)  - Syllabus materials are difficult to read  - Verbal materials are difficult to understand	
Deliniero	O. No montion is social of		
Religious Accommodations	0: No mention is made of accommodations for religious holidays.	<ul><li>+ Includes mandated statement on religious accommodations</li><li>+ Students are invited to contact the professor</li></ul>	
	1: Includes mandated statement about religious accommodations.	for religious accommodations in a welcoming manner	
	2: In addition to mandated statement, syllabus indicates specific accommodations that may be available.		
Financial Accessibility	0: Expensive materials are required	+ Accepting older editions of a textbook	
	for the class and either no alternatives are provided or no acknowledgment of burden is made	+ Offering a form of financial help to students (not required but appreciated)	
	1: A significant effort is made to limit the financial burden of taking the class, or if not possible, acknowledge	+ Providing copies on hold via the UW library system	
	the financial burden	+ Instructor seeks student feedback on costs associated with course (e.g., choice of textbooks if equivalent)	
		+ Instructor provides information on expected textbook costs	

		+ Required vs. optional materials explicitly labeled.	
		- Need to acquire course materials on a tight turnaround (e.g., have to pay for rush shipping)	
Personal Pronouns	0: Personal pronouns not addressed.	+ Instructor's personal pronouns are included	
	Instructor's personal pronouns are included, and students' personal	+ TAs' personal pronouns are included	
	pronouns are invited.	+ Students' personal pronouns are invited	
Diversity and Inclusivity	0: No Diversity and Inclusivity	+ Acknowledgment of specific facets of diversity	
Statement	Statement.	(e.g., race/ethnicity, socioeconomic, gender, sexuality)	
	1: A cursory Diversity and Inclusivity Statement is included.	+ Specificity of the statement to the topic area of the course	
	2: A Diversity and Inclusivity Statement is included which is clearly intended to acknowledge systemic	+ A statement is included	
	issues, put students at ease, and	+ A land acknowledgment is included (see	
	make them feel included.	https://www.washington.edu/diversity/tribal-	
	make them reer meladed.	relations/ for UW's acknowledgment)	
		- The statement has been copy/pasted verbatim	
		from another faculty member's syllabus	
Communication Expectations	0: No mention of expectations for communication.	+ Presence of a communication protocol	
•		+ Encouragement of diverse perspectives	
	1: An expectation is set for a baseline		
	of respect in communication of ideas.	+ Time set aside during class to co-create	
		classroom norms and expectations around	
	2: An expectation is set for an actively	communication and respect.	
	inclusive communication style,		
	encouraging different viewpoints and	+ Specific description of how teaching staff will	
	disagreements while encouraging respect.	handle disputes or microaggressions that occur between students.	

Bias Reporting and Dispute Resolution	0: Resources for reporting a bias incident and resolving a dispute with a member of the teaching staff are absent.	+ Multiple (formal and informal) options given for students to report a bias incident perpetrated by teaching staff.	
	Resources are provided for reporting a bias incident perpetrated by teaching staff.	+ Describes what might constitute a bias incident in more concrete terms.  + <a href="https://psych.uw.edu/diversity/bias-reporting">https://psych.uw.edu/diversity/bias-reporting</a>	

## **Course Structure:**

Does your syllabus lay out a course structure that allows multiple paths to success in the course (including and especially for those who may have additional burdens such as childcare, outside jobs, and physical or mental health issues), and does it communicate this course structure clearly?

Criterion	Description	What to Look For	Score and Notes
Meeting Format	0: The course only meets in a single	+ Opportunities for students to ask questions are	
	format (i.e., big group lecture or discussion each period); or the	ample	
	meeting format is unclear or confusing	+ In a discussion course, both small and large	
	from the syllabus.	group discussions are included	
	1: The meeting format includes opportunities for a range of learners;	+ In a discussion course, both written and verbal discussions are included	
	and the meeting format is clearly laid	discussions are included	
	out in the syllabus.	+ Course format presents opportunities to learn	
	0 <del>-</del> 1	from peers	
	2: The format of the course includes opportunities to learn of several	+ Instructor endorses principles such as a	
	different types, each of which is meant	flipped classroom (watching lectures outside of	
	to help different learners.	class time and using class period for discussion)	
Francisticas (an Olase	0.1291	- Course meetings follow only one format	
Expectations for Class Preparation	0: Little or no information is provided on how to prepare for class periods.	+ The syllabus specifies the level at which students will be expected to understand	
reparation	of flow to propare for diago periodo.	readings or asynchronous lectures prior to class	
	1: A brief statement is provided on	time	
	how to prepare for class.		
		- Expectations are unreasonable given the	
	2: Detailed information is provided on how to prepare for class in order to	number of units awarded for passing the course	
	maximize learning and success in the		
	course.		
Schedule of Topics and	0: The schedule of topics and	+ A clear schedule is provided at the outset of	
Assignments	assignments is either very unclear or	the quarter so that students can plan around	
	too flexible to allow for planning ahead.	conflicts	
	aneau.	+ Materials are available as early as possible to	
		give flexibility in completing assignments	

	1: The schedule of topics and assignments is laid out clearly and encouragement is given to students to reach out in the event of a conflict.	+ The presence of flexibility is noted  - There are inconsistencies across different formats of the schedule (e.g., Canvas vs. PDF)	
Format of Graded Work	O: Assessments (both take-home assignments and graded tests) all follow a single format, and if students are not strong in that format, they may struggle in the course.  1: Assignments present a variety of modes of demonstrating knowledge.	+ Variety of graded work, including assignments, tests, and exams  + Multiple options for fulfilling the same course requirement, different modes of demonstrating knowledge. For example, exams vs. reading responses vs. group projects vs. class presentations  - Strong reliance on out-of-class meetings for group projects  - All assessments are of the same type or format	
Assignment Grading	O: No information is given on grading or grading information is vague.  1: Grading criteria are clearly described and rubrics and/or sample materials are provided beforehand.	+ Feedback is provided on assignments so that students who are struggling can improve their performance  + Grading rubrics are made available before assignments are due  - Assignments are graded largely based on writing skill rather than mastery of course material  - Assignments are graded based on skills that aren't taught in the course	
Office Hours	O: Office hours are at an inconvenient time (outside of 9-5 or conflicting with a known other required course) and are inflexible.  1: An attempt is made to place office hours at an accessible time for students or to build in other methods of communication.	+ Flexible office hours but an office hour is set  + Office hours also available by request  + Built in time after class for students to ask one-on-one questions	

Contacting the Instructor	O: No mention of expectations for communication.  1: The instructor offers a reasonable amount of availability and a good-faith effort to meet the needs of all students.	+ Multiple formats of communication with the instructor (e.g., after class, office hours, email)  + Expectations of a timeline for reply (they do not have to be rigid)  + Guidelines on what topics are appropriate to bring to office hours  + Opportunities given for students to communicate life circumstances affecting them during the quarter	
Make-up and Absence Policies	O: No policy stated or policy is made to sound completely rigid.  1: Policy stated, and encouragement given for students to contact instructor in the case of an extenuating circumstance (within the bounds of the course policy).	+ Build in lenience for minor absences and late assignments (dropping lowest grade, one free late day per term, etc.)  + Additional flexibility provided for late assignments and absences with no questions asked during extenuating circumstances (e.g., Covid pandemic, political unrest, etc.)  + Clearly state policy for grading late work, making-up work, and absences  - Explicitly state that no exceptions will be made  - Accommodations are only provided to those who ask, but no encouragement is provided to ask	
Opportunities for Instructor Feedback	O: No opportunities given other than those mandated by UW.  1: Opportunities are given for feedback more than once during the quarter.	+ Feedback opportunities are given to students  + Honesty is explicitly encouraged and responses are truly anonymized  + Mid-quarter evaluation requested	
Other Resources for Academic and Non- Academic Support	O: No mention of other resources for struggling students.  1: Some mention of other resources for struggling students (reach out to	+ A list of departmental or academic resources is provided (e.g., tutoring, study tips, study groups; see <a href="http://academicsupport.uw.edu/campus-resources/">http://academicsupport.uw.edu/campus-resources/</a> )	

instructor, DRS, tutoring, study skills, etc.)	+ Students are encouraged to reach out to the TA or instructor for additional support	
	+ A list of non-academic student resources is provided (e.g., Hall Health, caregiver support; see <a href="http://academicsupport.uw.edu/campus-resources/">http://academicsupport.uw.edu/campus-resources/</a> )	

## **Course Content:**

Does your course content reflect perspectives other than the dominant one in your field? Does the course content encourage students to consider different perspectives or bring their own diverse perspectives into the course? Was the original course content created by individuals with a variety of identities?

Please note that for certain courses (including but not limited to quantitative courses and animal behavior courses), the inclusion of human subjects is not part of the course content. These syllabi will not be penalized for not including these types of research.

Because faculty know their areas of study intimately, and are likely to know the individuals in their field who have generated research, we ask faculty to take stock of and indicate, when possible, the minority identities of authors in their reading lists. Doing so can signal to students that they are welcome in the field, regardless of their own identities.

Criterion	Description	What to Look For	Score and Notes
Pre-Requisites and Course Preparation	0: No mention of prior knowledge required (or statement that all students should be able to succeed in course)	+ Syllabus lists the concrete skills and knowledge needed to succeed in class	
	1: Explicit acknowledgment of prerequisite skills and coursework, and if there are no specific skills or knowledge needed for the course, syllabus states that all students should be able to succeed in the course.	<ul> <li>+ When possible, clearly lists courses that would prepare students for course (for example, that students may find a course easier if they first take statistics, or research methods)</li> <li>+ Provide students an opportunity to assess understanding at the beginning of the course AND provide opportunities to bolster knowledge</li> </ul>	
		- Prerequisites are given vaguely (e.g., "strong calculus skills")	
Content of Readings and Lecture Materials	0: Readings and lecture materials are from a single dominant perspective.	+ Diverse perspectives are presented in course material	
	Some mention is made of alternate perspectives during one class period or very irregularly.	+ Reading material or video material includes sources other than peer-reviewed literature (e.g., put research findings into broader societal context)	
	2: Different perspectives are woven into the course across several readings or class topics.	+ Limits of current literature are emphasized	

		+ Time is dedicated to a discussion of the	
		current and historical effects of systemic bias on	
		the current literature base	
		the current inerature base	
		- Textbook content or peer-reviewed literature is	
		treated as objective truth	
Authorship of Readings	0: Fewer than 10% of readings and	+ Balance of male and female authorship.	
and Lecture Materials*	lecture materials have at least one	+ balance of male and female authorship.	
and Lecture Materials		. Dalance of White and non White authorahin	
	author who identifies as BIPOC**.	+ Balance of White and non-White authorship	
	Less than 30% of authors identify as	D' and a thought is to the thought a thou	
	an underrepresented gender minority.	+ Diverse authorship in first and last-author	
		positions.	
	1: Fewer than 25% of readings and		
	lecture materials have at least one	+ Representation of Black, Indigenous and/or	
	author who identifies as BIPOC**.	Latinx authors	
	Less than 40% of authors identify as		
	an underrepresented gender minority.	+ Full names provided in reading lists, or	
		references to web presence provided	
	2: Fewer than 40% of readings and		
	lecture materials have at least one	+ Formatting (colors, bold/italic) is used to signal	
	author who identifies as BIPOC**.	identities of authors	
	Less than 50% of authors identify as		
	an underrepresented gender minority.	- No commentary provided on source of	
		knowledge	
	3: Over 40% of readings and lecture	Ĭ	
	materials have at least one author	- Only a textbook is used for a graduate-level	
	who identifies as BIPOC**. Over 50%	course	
	of authors identify as an		
	underrepresented gender minority.		
Representation of	0: Fewer than 15% of studies	+ Research is discussed in the context of how it	
Minoritized Groups in	discussed purposively sample	may or may not apply to minoritized groups.	
Human Subjects	minoritized groups or cross-cultural		
Research and of Cross-	perspectives.	+ Research which explicitly explores	
Cultural Perspectives***	F F	psychological questions in minoritized groups is	
	1: Fewer than 30% of studies	discussed.	
	discussed purposively sample	4.554554.	
	minoritized groups or cross-cultural	- Race and gender are treated as nuisance	
	perspectives.	variables.	
	porspectives.	variabics.	

	O. Over 200/ of studies discuss and		
	2: Over 30% of studies discussed		
	purposively sample minoritized groups		
	or cross-cultural perspectives.		
Recency of Course	0: Most or all of course readings are	+ Recent perspectives are included to	
Readings****	20 years old or older	supplement historical ones	
	1: A substantial proportion of course	+ Reading lists are updated periodically	
	materials are from the past 20 years		
		- Only historical perspectives are provided, with	
		no caveats (e.g., replication issues, ethical	
		issues with terminology)	
Assignment Content	0: No course assignments require	+ Assignments which encourage exploration of	
	critical thinking about DEI in	diverse aspects of individual identity	
	psychology.		
		+ Assignments which challenge dominant	
	1: At least one major course	frames or describe the boundaries of current	
	assignments requires critical thinking	theory with respect to minoritized groups.	
	about DEI in psychology.		
		+ Assignments which require students to	
	2: Several assignments, and at least	analyze or propose a study which addresses	
	one major course assignments,	DEI.	
	require critical thinking about DEI in		
	psychology.	- Assignments which encourage students to	
		treat gender, race, disability, or another aspect	
		of diversity as a nuisance variable.	
Grading Policies	0: Students are evaluated purely on	+ Grading procedures explicitly encourage	
	their ability to recall content generated	critical thinking and perspective-taking in	
	from the dominant perspectives.	general.	
	1: To succeed in the course, students	+ Grading procedures reward critical thinking	
	must think critically and question	about DEI in psychology, when relevant.	
		about DET III psychology, when relevant.	
	psychological research and theory.	- Multiple choice tests which present research	
	2: To succeed in the course, students	which has not replicated as objective truth.	
	must show critical thinking in particular	willon has not replicated as objective truth.	
	on the role of DEI in research samples		
	and researcher perspectives.		
Integration of DEI into	0 : Content focused on DEI	Alternate perspectives are discussed	
Course Content	(minoritized groups, the role of culture,	+ Alternate perspectives are discussed regularly, as a matter of course	
Course Content	•	regularly, as a matter of course	
	disability, gender, etc.) is not		

l ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '	- Alternate perspectives (or "the role of culture") is discussed on only a single day.	
1 : Content focused on DEI is presented throughout the term.		

<sup>\*</sup>We acknowledge that these percentages are not based on a substantial evidence base. These numbers are meant to be aspirational, and we plan to take this year's data as a benchmark and adjust these numbers accordingly.

\*\*Authors in the first, second, or last author position will be considered for these criteria.

\*\*\*Courses which do not include human subjects research will not be judged on this criterion.

<sup>\*\*\*\*</sup>Courses on history will not be judged on this criterion, though an effort should be made to provide modern perspectives.