

LECTURE 14

- * NOTE: Paddy, "Sleepy Beauties in Theoretical Physics"
 - Chapter: When caterpillar becomes a butterfly
 - (to understand how conformal field becomes a radiative field)

NOTE: We previously saw that

+ we can go to a local "inertial" frame

+ where all effects of gravitation disappear

70]

- * NOTE: eg: In Newtonian force case:

$$m\ddot{x}^a = F^a$$

we can make a transformation: $\tilde{z}^a = z^a(x^i)$

$$\text{Then, } d\tilde{z}^a = \frac{\partial z^a}{\partial x^k} dx^k$$

or

$$\dot{\tilde{z}}^a = \frac{\partial z^a}{\partial x^k} \dot{x}^k$$

$$\therefore \Rightarrow m\ddot{\tilde{z}}^a = m \frac{\partial z^a}{\partial x^k} \ddot{x}^k + m \underbrace{\frac{\partial z^a}{\partial x^k \partial x^l} \dot{x}^k \dot{x}^l}_{\text{extra term}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \tilde{F}^a = \frac{\partial z^a}{\partial x^k} F^k + p^a$$

* this "extra" (fictitious / pseudo) force comes up simply due to making a coordinate transformation

- * NOTE: When we will take the metric

up to first order (i.e. zeroth & first order)
it will be flat

but from second order onwards we will see curvature effects.

71] For a sphere:

$$ds^2 = d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$$

eg: \downarrow For $\theta \sim 0^\circ \Rightarrow \phi = 0, \phi = \pi/2$
 On the North Pole \downarrow
 Here:

$$ds^2 \sim d\theta^2 + \theta^2 d\phi^2$$

* we can here make use of the coord.-transformation:

$$\xi_1 = \theta \cos\phi$$

$$\xi_2 = \theta \sin\phi$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2 = \theta^2 ; \frac{\xi_2}{\xi_1} = \tan\phi$$

Hence:

$$d\theta = \frac{\xi_1 d\xi_1 + \xi_2 d\xi_2}{\sqrt{\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2}} , d\phi = \frac{\xi_1 d\xi_2 - \xi_2 d\xi_1}{\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= d\theta^2 + \theta^2 d\phi^2 \\ &= (d\xi_1)^2 + (d\xi_2)^2 + O(\xi^2 d\xi^2) \end{aligned}$$

from this we can see that:

[technically we should have used α, β]

{ NDIE: Here since everything is spatial:
 $a, b = 1, 2, 3$ }

$$g_{ab}(P) = \delta_{ab} , \partial_c g_{ab}(P) = 0$$

* Such coordinates are called

Riemann Normal Coordinates
 (RNC)

72] Generalizing the above idea:

$$x'^a = f(x^a)$$

$$\Rightarrow x'^a = B_k^a x^k + C_{kl}^a x^k x^l + \dots$$

(B, C, \dots are the Taylor series expansion coeff.)

Thus:

$$g_{ab}(x'^a) = g_{ab} \Big|_0 + \partial_c g_{ab} \Big|_P x^c + \partial_c \partial_d g_{ab} \Big|_P x^c x^d + \dots$$

{ NOTE! We would want to make a transformation
that can make as many terms zero as possible }

choose B_k^a \Rightarrow such that $g_{ab}|_0 = \eta_{ab}$

\downarrow

N^2 choices

$\rightarrow \frac{N(N+1)}{2}$ (no. of conditions to satisfy) i.e. [6]

rotations ↑ lateral boost ↑
(3R + 3L)

Thus as $N^2 > \frac{N(N+1)}{2}$
so we can make this selection AND we will be left with:
 $N^2 - \frac{N(N+1)}{2} = \frac{N(N-1)}{2}$

degrees of freedom

choose C_{kl}^a \Rightarrow such that $\partial_c g_{ab}|_P = 0$

{ NOTE: By analogy:

ϕ	$\nabla\phi$	$\nabla^2\phi$	This is responsible for tidal acceleration
Potential	Force		
g_{ab}	∂g	$\partial^2 g$	* But this term remains (curvature term)
locally flat metric	these 2 can be set	such that there is no gravity	

for selecting $C_{kl}^a \Rightarrow$ to make $\partial_c g_{ab}|_P = 0$

$\frac{N^2(N+1)}{2} \rightarrow \frac{N^2(N+1)}{2}$

thus the selection can be made

Now, to choose D_{klm}^a \Rightarrow such that $\partial_c \partial_d g_{ab}|_P = 0$

$N \times (?)$ \downarrow Symmetric in 3 dimensions

$\rightarrow \frac{N^2(N+1)^2}{4}$ (no. of conditions)

Consider a tensor in N dimensions which is symmetric in S indices

e.g.: B_{ij} (for 2 dimensions & 2 symmetric)

$\downarrow B_{00}, B_{01}, B_{11}$

are the independent indices

B_{00}	$\begin{array}{ c } \hline \cdot & \\ \hline \end{array}$
B_{01}	$\begin{array}{ c c } \hline \cdot & & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array}$
B_{11}	$\begin{array}{ c c } \hline & \cdot \\ \hline \end{array}$

this is described by
the no. of solutions of:

$$\#_0 + \#_1 = 2$$

the no. of ways
can be thought of as

juggling 2 balls & 1 partition
(i.e. 3 objects in total):

$$\frac{3!}{2!1!}$$

2 identical balls 1 identical partition

For N bins

$$\#_0 + \#_1 + \dots + \#_{N-1} = S$$

here we get:

$$\frac{(N+S-1)!}{(N-1)!S!}$$

For the case of 3 dimensions $(0, 1, 2)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{(N+3-1)!}{(N-1)3!} &= \frac{(N+2)!}{(N-1)!3!} \\ &= \frac{(N+2)(N+1)N}{6} \end{aligned}$$

Thus for $\partial^a_{k\ell m}$

$$\frac{N^2(N+2)(N+1)}{6} < \frac{N^2(N+1)^2}{4}$$

(no. of choices) ↓
(for $N=4$) Conditions to be satisfied

Thus there
are fewer choices
than reqd. conditions

* Hence, the genuine curvature
cannot be set to zero

i.e. $\partial_c \partial_d g_{ab}|_P \neq 0$

73] We know:

$$A^{k'} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial x^{k'}}{\partial x^l} A^l}_{L_{l'}^{k'}} ; \quad B_{l'} = \underbrace{\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial x^{l'}} B_k}_{L_k^{l'}}$$

So now we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} A^{l'} B_{l'} &= \frac{\partial x^{l'}}{\partial x^k} A^k \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^{l'}} B_i \\ &= \underbrace{\frac{\partial x^{l'}}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial x^i}{\partial x^{l'}}}_{\delta_k^i} A^k B_i \\ &= \delta_k^i A^k B_i = A^k B_k \end{aligned}$$

* $T_{i'j'}^{a'b'} \dots = \left(\frac{\partial x'^a}{\partial x^p} \frac{\partial x'^b}{\partial x^q} \dots \right) \left(\frac{\partial x^k}{\partial x^{i'}} \frac{\partial x^m}{\partial x^{j'}} \dots \right) T_{km}^{pq} \dots$

NOTE: Now we'll use g_{ab} instead of η_{ab}
for all purposes (including raising & lowering of indices)

* $ds^2 = g_{i'j'} dx^{i'} dx^{j'} = g_{i'j'} \frac{\partial x^{i'}}{\partial x^k} \frac{\partial x^{j'}}{\partial x^l} dx^k dx^l = g_{kl} dx^k dx^l$

Hence we get $\Rightarrow \boxed{g_{i'j'} = \frac{\partial x^k}{\partial x^{i'}} \frac{\partial x^l}{\partial x^{j'}} g_{kl}}$

* NOTE: There is no preferred coordinate transformation in General Relativity

LECTURE 15

28/08/2023

74] We know now that we should write:

$$ds^2 = g_{ab} dx^a dx^b$$

↳ also we know that we CANNOT make second-order derivative vanish

(i.e. flatness (locally) is achievable only upto first order)

75] Now we will study motion of particles on the spacetime:

* NOTE: $\frac{d}{d\tau} \equiv u^c \partial_c$

Thus we saw: $u^a \partial_a u^c = 0 = \frac{du^c}{d\tau}$; $x^c' = f(x^k)$
earlier

* However this is
not generally covariant

Hence we need
to change this

76] The action can be written as:

$$A = -m \int d\tau$$

$$\text{where, } d\tau = (-g_{ab} dx^a dx^b)^{1/2} \\ = (-ds^2)^{1/2}$$

$$\text{Also, } \delta(d\tau^2) = 2 \delta(d\tau) d\tau$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{and, } \delta(d\tau) = \frac{1}{2 d\tau} \delta(d\tau^2) = \frac{1}{2 d\tau} \delta(-g_{ab} dx^a dx^b)$$

{ note: $\delta A = -m \int \delta(d\tau)$ }

$$\downarrow \\ = \frac{-1}{2 d\tau} \delta(g_{ab}) dx^a dx^b \\ - \frac{1}{d\tau} g_{ab} dx^a \delta(dx^b)$$

$$\Rightarrow = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_c(g_{ab}) \delta x^c u^a u^b d\tau - g_{ab} \underbrace{u^a d(\delta x^b)}_{u_b d(\delta x^b)}$$

$$= -\frac{1}{2} \partial_c(g_{ab}) u^a u^b \delta x^c d\tau - \underbrace{u_b d(\delta x^b)}_{-d(u_b \delta x^b) + \frac{du_c}{d\tau} \delta x^c d\tau}$$

Thus,

$$\delta A = -m \int -d(u_b \delta x^b) + \left[\frac{du_c}{d\tau} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_c g_{ab} u^a u^b \right] \delta x^c d\tau$$

From this we get the Hamilton-Jacobi eqⁿ:

$$* \quad g^{ab} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x^a} \frac{\partial A}{\partial x^b} = -m^2 \quad (\text{similar to that for flat spacetime except } g^{ab} \text{ becomes } g_{ab})$$

Further we can solve it as:

$$\delta A = m (u_b \delta x^b) \Big|_1^2 + m \int \underbrace{\left[\frac{du_c}{d\tau} - \frac{1}{2} \partial_c g_{ab} u^a u^b \right]}_{\text{from this we get}} \delta x^c d\tau$$

$$\boxed{\frac{du_c}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_c g_{ab} u^a u^b}$$

GEODESIC EQUATION

From here we can see

* that if the metric (g_{ab}) does NOT depend on the coordinates

then $\partial_c g_{ab} = 0$
& we get back $\frac{du_c}{d\tau} = 0$

* { NOTE: Ques: Find the eqⁿ. of straight line for $ds^2 = dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 \rightarrow$ this is in flat space

imp.
form MINOR

{ you can take the lagrangian $L = m\dot{r}^2 + mr^2\dot{\theta}^2 \}$ }

77] The geodesic equation
can be written in a
better form as well:

We know: $\frac{du_c}{d\tau} = \frac{d}{d\tau} (g_{ac} u^a) = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_c g_{ab}) u^a u^b$

$$\Rightarrow g_{ac} \frac{du^a}{d\tau} + u^a \frac{dg_{ac}}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_c g_{ab} u^a u^b$$

(here $\frac{d}{d\tau} = u^b \partial_b$)

$$\therefore \Rightarrow g_{ac} \frac{du^a}{d\tau} + u^a u^b \partial_b g_{ac} = \frac{1}{2} \underbrace{\partial_c g_{ab} u^a u^b}_{\substack{\text{since} \\ \text{this is symmetric}}}$$

\downarrow
 $\underbrace{}$

thus only
symmetric part of this
will contribute

Hence $\Rightarrow g_{ac} \frac{du^a}{d\tau} + \frac{1}{2} u^a u^b (\partial_b g_{ac} + \partial_a g_{bc}) = \frac{1}{2} \partial_c g_{ab} u^a u^b$

so we thus have:

$$g_{ac} \frac{du^a}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{2} (-\partial_c g_{ab} + \partial_b g_{ac} + \partial_a g_{bc}) u^a u^b$$

Multiplying both sides with g^{kc} :

$$\frac{du^k}{d\tau} = -\frac{1}{2} g^{kc} [-\partial_c g_{ab} + \partial_b g_{ac} + \partial_a g_{bc}] u^a u^b$$

or

$$\frac{du^k}{d\tau} + \underbrace{\frac{1}{2} g^{kc} [-\partial_c g_{ab} + \partial_b g_{ac} + \partial_a g_{bc}]}_{\substack{\text{this is a} \\ \text{3-index object}}} u^a u^b = 0$$

which can be defined as:

$$\frac{du^k}{d\tau} + \Gamma_{ab}^k u^a u^b = 0$$

Alternate form of
GEODESIC EQUATION

78] Here, we see:

$$\Gamma_{ab}^k = \frac{1}{2} g^{kc} (-\partial_c g_{ab} + \partial_a g_{bc} + \partial_b g_{ac})$$

↓
called

* Christoffel symbols

or also

Affine connections
or

Levi-Civita connections

* NOTE!: Γ_{ab}^k is a 3-index object
but NOT a tensor

↓
since it doesn't
transform that way

$$(i.e. \quad \Gamma_{b'c'}^{a'} = L_i^{a'} L_j^{b'} L_k^{c'} \Gamma_{jk}^i + \dots)$$

These
extra terms
also exist

79] Since we can write $\frac{du^k}{d\tau}$ as $u^a \partial_a u^k$

$$\therefore u^a \partial_a u^k + \Gamma_{ab}^k u^a u^b = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow u^a (\partial_a u^k + \Gamma_{ab}^k u^b) = 0$$

Here we can see:

$$\partial_{a'} u^{b'} = L_{a'}^i \partial_i (L_k^{b'} u^k)$$

$$= L_{a'}^i L_k^{b'} \partial_i u^k + \underbrace{L_{a'}^i u^k \partial_i L_k^{b'}}_{\text{due to this term}}$$

* we see that
 $\partial_a u^k$ does NOT
transform as a
tensor

Thus $\Gamma_{ab}^k u^b$

also DOES NOT
transform as a
tensor

We can now define:

$$\nabla_a u^b = \partial_a u^b + \Gamma_{ca}^b u^c$$

↓
called
covariant derivative

normal derivative correction

From this we get:

$$u^a (\partial_a u^k + \Gamma_{ab}^k u^b) = 0$$

$\therefore \Rightarrow \boxed{u^a \nabla_a u^k = 0}$

most compact form
of GEODESIC
EQUATION

NOTE: $\nabla_a u^b$ is a tensor
since it transforms that way:

$$* \quad \nabla_{a'} u^{b'} = L_{a'}^i L_j^{b'} \nabla_i u^j$$

* i.e. eqⁿ of
straight line
(in GR)

NOTE: Conceptually:

$$\boxed{u^a \nabla_a u^k = 0}$$

↓ ↓
this is like this is like
Directional tangent to
derivative curve

i.e. the directional derivative
of tangent to curve is
zero

(since the geodesic
is essentially a straight line
in curved spacetime)

NOTE: Remember how to write
covariant derivative:

$$\nabla_{(k)} u^{(l)} = \partial_{(k)} u^{(l)} + \Gamma_{a(k)}^{(l)} u^{a\leftarrow} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{dummy index} \\ \text{included} \end{matrix}$$

↓
correction term

80] * Also:

$$\underbrace{\nabla_i (A^k B_k)}_{\partial_i (A^k B_k)} = (\nabla_i A^k) B_k + A^k \nabla_i B_k$$

$$\partial_i (A^k B_k) = (\partial_i A^k + \Gamma_{ij}^k A^j) B_k + A^k \nabla_i B_k$$

$$\Rightarrow \underbrace{B_k(\partial_i A^k)} + A^k (\partial_i B_k) = (\underbrace{\partial_i A^k + \Gamma_{ij}^k A^j}_{\text{Rearranging}}) B_k + A^k \partial_i B_k$$

$$\therefore \partial_i B_k = A^k \partial_i B_k - \Gamma_{ik}^p B_p A^k$$

$$= (\partial_i B_k - \Gamma_{ik}^p B_p) A^k$$

$$\therefore \boxed{\nabla_i B_k = \partial_i B_k - \Gamma_{ik}^p B_p} \quad \sim \text{for general vector } B$$

* In general for tensors (2-index)
we can prove: [H.W. to prove this]

$$\boxed{\nabla_k T_b^a = \partial_k T_b^a + \Gamma_{pk}^a T_b^p - \Gamma_{bk}^p T_p^a}.$$

From here it can be
proven that for the metric
tensor, the covariant derivative
is zero!

* $\boxed{\nabla_k g_{ab} = 0}$ called
METRICITY CONDITION

{ * All theories of gravity (including this one, i.e. General Relativity)
are obtained by
using an action that is generally covariant

The GR action (which we took)
is just the simplest most general
action

if we use other
actions, then we will get
alternate theories }

{ * NOTE: In GR, the Christoffel symbol
is symmetric

and thus there
is NO torsion

But alternative
theories could have non-symmetric
Christoffel symbols, i.e. \Rightarrow they
will then have torsion: $T^k_{ab} = \Gamma^k_{ab} - \Gamma^k_{ba} \neq 0$ }

81] Remember that:

$$* \nabla_a A^b = \partial_a A^b + \Gamma_{ka}^b A^k$$

and also:

$$* \Gamma_{bc}^a = \frac{1}{2} g^{ak} (-\partial_k g_{bc} + \partial_b g_{kc} + \partial_c g_{kb})$$

this has

$\frac{N^2(N+1)}{2}$ components \rightarrow we can thus obtain

$$\Downarrow \quad \frac{16 \times 5}{2} = \boxed{40} \text{ components} \quad \Gamma(P) = 0$$

* Ques: Consider the lagrangians:

[H.W.]

$$L = \dot{r}^2 + r^2 \dot{\theta}^2$$

$$L = \dot{\theta}^2 + \sin^2 \theta \dot{\phi}^2$$

Vary these lagrangians, find the equation of motion, and from that find the non-zero Γ 's

NOTE: In Non-relativistic approximation:

$$\frac{du^c}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_c g_{ab} u^a u^b$$

$$g_{00} \sim -(1+2\phi)$$

$$\text{Here: } d\tau \sim dt$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{dv^\alpha}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_\alpha g_{00}$$

$$g_{\alpha\beta} = \delta_{\alpha\beta}$$

$$u^0 = 1$$

$$u^\alpha = v^\alpha$$

$$= -\partial_\alpha \phi$$

Weak field gravity

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\frac{dv^\alpha}{dt} = -\nabla^\alpha \phi}$$

which gives back
Newtonian gravity

(showing that we are on the right track!)

* [H.W.]: Find the geodesic equation in (2+1)-dimensional spacetime.
{for minor}

LECTURE 16

29/08/2023

NOTE: We have seen:

$$* ds^2 = g_{ab} dx^a dx^b$$

$$* \frac{du_c}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{2} \partial_c g_{ab} u^a u^b$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{alternatively, } \frac{du_k}{d\tau} + \Gamma_{ab}^k u^a u^b = 0 \Rightarrow \text{i.e. } \frac{d^2 x^k}{d\tau^2} + \Gamma_{ab}^k \frac{dx^a}{d\tau} \frac{dx^b}{d\tau} = 0$$

where:

$$\Gamma_{bc}^a = \frac{1}{2} g^{ak} (-\partial_k g_{bc}$$

$$+ \partial_b g_{kc} + \partial_c g_{kb})$$

also, alternatively:

$$\Rightarrow u^a \nabla_a u^b = 0$$

where:

$$\nabla_k u^l = \partial_k u^l + \Gamma_{pk}^l u^p$$

$$\therefore \text{and, } \nabla_k u_l = \partial_k u_l - \Gamma_{kl}^p u_p$$

NOTE: This also gives us:

$$* \nabla_k g_{ab} = 0$$

* NOTE: The Christoffel symbol is essentially {Conceptually}
related to " ∂g "

$$\text{i.e. } \Gamma \sim \partial g \rightarrow \text{"forces"}$$

Sidenote: Problems you can work on in Physics (for Projects)

CM : Celestial Mechanics or otherwise

H-J Theory

Non-linear Dynamics

Fluid Mechanics - L/D limit

MHD

Plasma Oscillations

Quantum Mech.; TDSE Numerically

J-C Model (Jones-Carney Model)

Path Integrals

Optics: Caustics

Paraxial Optics

↳ Q.M.

NOTE: Compute things for following metric: (i.e. finding all things above did, leading to geodesic eqn.)

$$ds^2 = -f^2 dt^2 + df^2$$

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + a^2(t) dx^2$$

$$dl^2 = \underbrace{\frac{dx^2}{1-x^2} + dy^2}_{\text{some as}} \rightarrow \text{this is essentially a 2-sphere}$$

$$\Rightarrow d\theta^2 + \sin^2\theta d\phi^2$$

$$dl^2 = dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2$$

$$\text{For: } x^2 + y^2 + z^2 = 1 \Rightarrow dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 \sim \mathbb{R}^3$$

$$x^2 + y^2 - z^2 = -1$$

$$\underbrace{x^2 + y^2 + z^2 + w^2 = 1}_{\Downarrow \text{i.e.}}$$

$$dl^2 = dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2 + dw^2 \sim \mathbb{R}^4$$

82] We write:

$$A \propto \int \sqrt{-\frac{dx^a}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^a}{d\lambda}} d\lambda \quad (\text{for some reparameterized form using } \lambda)$$

$$\text{Then, } \tau = f(\lambda) ; u^k = \frac{dx^k}{d\tau} ; v^k = \frac{dx^k}{d\lambda}$$

$$\text{Then! we get } \frac{d}{d\tau} = \frac{1}{f'(\lambda)} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{f'} \frac{d}{d\lambda}$$

Using this we can write the geodesic eqn as:

$$\frac{d}{d\lambda} \left(\frac{1}{f'} \frac{dx^k}{d\lambda} \right) + \frac{\Gamma_{ab}^k}{f'} \frac{dx^a}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^b}{d\lambda} = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{d^2 x^k}{d\lambda^2} + \Gamma_{ab}^k \frac{dx^a}{d\lambda} \frac{dx^b}{d\lambda} = \frac{f''}{f'} \frac{dx^k}{d\lambda}$$

$$\text{Defining, } \frac{f''}{f'} = g(\lambda)$$

$$\text{we get: } v^a \nabla_a v^k = g(\lambda) v^k$$

GEODESIC EQUATION
(in reparameterized form)

Here if we take

$$\bar{\lambda} = a\lambda + b \Rightarrow v^a \nabla_a v^k = 0$$

such that

then it is
called an

* "affine parameter"

* NOTE: This is useful for light wave!

$$k^b \nabla_b k^a = 0 \quad , \quad k^a = (k, 0, 0, k)$$

$$\text{where } k_a k^a = 0$$

{
k is the tangent vector
to the light wave}

83] It turns out now that d^4x will NOT be invariant

instead

$$\sqrt{-g} d^4x = \sqrt{-g'} d^4x'$$

{we will see how this
happens}

* NOTE: We will use the property: (identity)

$$\text{Tr}(\ln M) = \ln(\det M)$$

↓ ↓ ↓
trace some matrix determinant

{log of matrix
is found using
Taylor expansion}

84] Derivative of Determinant of Metric Tensor

Taking $g = \det(g_{ab})$

$$\text{Now, } \frac{\delta g}{g} = g^{ab} \delta g_{ab}$$

$$\Rightarrow \frac{\partial_i g}{g} = g^{ab} \partial_i g_{ab} \quad \text{or} \quad \frac{1}{2} \frac{-\partial_i g}{g} = \frac{1}{2} g^{ab} \partial_i g_{ab}$$

this is like
 $\text{Tr}(M^{-1} S M)$

∴ We can say:

$$\partial_i \ln \sqrt{-g} = \frac{1}{2} g^{ab} \partial_i g_{ab}$$

* NOTE: $\nabla_i(\phi) = \partial_i \phi$

85] We now want to obtain $\partial_k g^{ab}$
in terms of $\partial_k g_{ab}$:

$$\text{we know, } \partial_i (\delta^k_a) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \partial_i (g^{ka} g_{al}) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow g^{bl} g_{al} (\partial_i g^{ka}) = -(\partial_i g_{al}) g^{ka} g^{bl}$$

$$\text{Thus, } \delta^b_a \partial_i g^{ka} = -g^{ka} g^{bl} \partial_i g_{al}$$

$$* \Rightarrow \boxed{\partial_i g^{kl} = -g^{ka} g^{lb} \partial_i g_{ab}}$$

86] Next we want to be able to find Γ_{ak}^k :

{ NOTE: we want this
beacause it is used in:

$$\nabla_i A^i = \partial_i A^i + \Gamma_{pi}^i A^p$$

we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{ak}^k &= \frac{1}{2} g^{kp} \underbrace{(-\partial_p g_{ak} + \partial_a g_{pk} + \partial_k g_{ap})}_{\substack{\text{this is} \\ \text{symmetric} \\ \text{in } k \leftrightarrow p}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} g^{kp} \partial_a g_{pk} \end{aligned}$$

Hence using our previous result $\Rightarrow \boxed{\Gamma_{ak}^k = \partial_a \ln \sqrt{-g}}$

87] Finally using this we can write:

$$\nabla_i A^i = \partial_i A^i + \Gamma_{pi}^i A^p$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \sqrt{-g} \partial_i A^i + \frac{\partial_p (\sqrt{-g})}{\sqrt{-g}} A^p$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \left(\sqrt{-g} \partial_p A^p + \partial_p (\sqrt{-g}) A^p \right)$$

Hence we finally obtain the required result:

$$\boxed{\nabla_p A^p = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_p (\sqrt{-g} A^p)}$$

* NOTE: The action for electromagnetism would become:

$$S = -m \int \sqrt{-g_{ab} dx^a dx^b} + q \int A_k dx^k$$

we would still get:

$$m \frac{du^k}{dt} = q F^{kl} u_l$$

However now:

$$F_{ab} = \nabla_a A_b - \nabla_b A_a$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{but on solving this: } &= \partial_a A_b - \Gamma_{ab}^b A_p - \partial_b A_a + \Gamma_{ab}^a A_p \\ &= \partial_a A_b - \partial_b A_a \end{aligned}$$

Thus (luckily) electromagnetism survives
(and doesn't need to be modified for curved spacetime)

* NOTE: If we consider

$$\mathcal{L} \propto (\partial_a \phi^*) (\partial^a \phi), \text{ where } \phi \rightarrow e^{-i\Lambda(x)} \phi$$

Here the form is NOT preserved:

$$\text{since: } \partial_a (e^{-i\Lambda} \phi) = (\partial_a \phi) e^{i\Lambda} + i\Lambda' \phi \quad (\text{transformation})$$

However if we want interaction b/w charged particles,
we must redefine:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi &\rightarrow e^{-iq\Lambda(x)} \phi \\ \phi^* &\rightarrow e^{iq\Lambda(x)} \phi \end{aligned} \quad \left. \begin{array}{l} \{ q \text{ is included} \} \\ \text{which acts as charge conceptually} \end{array} \right.$$

AND we must also define some A such that: $\partial_k \rightarrow i\partial_k - qA_k \equiv \nabla_k$

so we get: {ON NEXT PAGE} where, $A_k \rightarrow A_k + \partial_k \Lambda$

we get:

$$L \propto -(\nabla_k \phi^*)(\nabla^k \phi)$$

and it turns out
that this A^k we included is
 \downarrow going to act as the
vector potential

Thus if we WANT interaction
b/w charged particles, it is
going to lead to electromagnetism

{ If interactions
were not required, then
we could just use ϕ instead of ϕ^* ,
and write $L \propto (\partial_a \phi)(\partial^a \phi)$ }

LECTURE 17

01/09/2023

NOTE: References for Hamilton-Jacobi Theory :

- * Theoretical Astrophysics - Paddy (Vol 1)
- * Hamilton-Jacobi Theory (Notes) - by Sanir Mather (at Ohio State University)

88] We can observe symmetries

+ to find out conservation laws

$$\text{eg: for 2D space : } ds^2 = dx^2 + dy^2$$

↑
since x & y are
independent
↓

∴ This symmetry gives us:
 p_x and p_y are conserved

Similarly we can write this
as:

$$ds^2 = dr^2 + r^2 d\theta^2 \quad (\text{and in spacetime}$$

↓, we include $-dt^2$
as well)

we can get
conservation of
energy (E) and angular momentum
as well

For the case of:

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + dx^2 + dy^2 + dz^2$$

↓

Symmetries are:

3 spatial translations

1 time translation

3 spatial rotations

& also 3 Lorentz boosts

∴ Total 10 symmetries

(which can give
10 conservation
laws)

89] NOTE: We have seen:

$$* \nabla_k u^l = \partial_k u^l + \Gamma_{kp}^l u^p$$

$$* \Gamma_{ik}^k = \partial_i \ln \sqrt{-g}$$

$$* \nabla_i A^i = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_i (\sqrt{-g} A^i)$$

* Also, remember that in Special relativity
we saw that

$$\text{if } \partial_k J^k = 0 \xrightarrow{\substack{\text{this} \\ \text{implied}}} \int_V d^4x (\partial_k J^k) = \int_V d^3x n_k J^k \xrightarrow[0]{\partial V}$$

{ i.e. we got
some
Conservation law }

[in other words:

$$\partial_k J^k = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Conservation law}$$

Similarly here also:

$$\int_V d^4x \sqrt{-g} \nabla_k J^k = \int_V d^4x \sqrt{-g} \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_k (\sqrt{-g} J^k)$$

$$\text{which} \xrightarrow{\substack{\text{should} \\ \text{be equal} \\ \text{to}}} = \int_V d^3x n_k \sqrt{-g} J^k$$

NOTE: Here:

$$\begin{aligned} ds^2 &= g_{ab} dx^a dx^b \\ &= g_{00} (dx^0)^2 + 2g_{0a} dx^0 dx^a + g_{\alpha\beta} dx^\alpha dx^\beta \end{aligned}$$

\Rightarrow here there is NO way to
tell which is exactly the \rightsquigarrow we will
spatial part { i.e. the d^3x need to
see this from the specific metric given to us }

90] Hence we can write the
action for Electromagnetism
in curved spacetime as:

$$A^{EM} = \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} A_k J^k - \frac{1}{4} \int d^4x \sqrt{-g} F_{ab} F^{ab}$$

(this action is now generally covariant)

From here we will get (by varying the action)

$$\nabla_i F^{ik} = 4\pi J^k$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{Now, } \nabla_i F^{ik} &= \partial_i F^{ik} + \underbrace{\Gamma_{pi}^i F^{pk}}_{\substack{\leftrightarrow \\ \text{this term}}}_{\substack{\text{concretes out} \\ (\text{due to antisymmetry} \\ \text{of } F^{ip})}} + \underbrace{\Gamma_{pi}^k F^{ip}}_{\substack{\leftrightarrow \\ \text{concretes out} \\ (\text{due to antisymmetry} \\ \text{of } F^{ip})}} \\
 &= \frac{\sqrt{-g}}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_i F^{ik} + \frac{(\partial_p \sqrt{-g}) F^{pk}}{\sqrt{-g}} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_i (\sqrt{-g} F^{ik})
 \end{aligned}$$

NOTE: For a symmetric tensor:

$$\begin{aligned}
 \nabla_i T^{ij} &= \partial_i T^{ij} + \Gamma_{pi}^i T^{pj} + \Gamma_{pi}^j T^{ip} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_i (\sqrt{-g} T^{ij}) + \underbrace{\Gamma_{pi}^j T^{ip}}_{\substack{\text{this term} \\ \text{persists}}}
 \end{aligned}$$

NOTE: We saw for scalar fields
the Energy-momentum tensor:

$$T_b^a = - \left(\Pi^a \partial_b \phi - \delta_b^a L \right) \Rightarrow T^{ab} = \int \frac{d^3 p}{E_p} p^a p^b f(p)$$

Here, $T^{00} \sim E_p$ density

$T^{0\alpha} \sim p^\alpha$ density

We saw in special relativity:

$$\text{if } \partial_a T_b^a = 0 \Rightarrow \int_V d^4 x \partial_a T_b^a = \int_V d^3 x n_a T_b^a$$

But we need to
check what will
happen in
General relativity.

which gave us
that T_b^a
is conserved

91] We saw, $\nabla_i T^{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_i (\sqrt{-g} T^{ij}) + \Gamma_{pi}^j T^{ip}$

* Now, $\Gamma_{pi}^j T^{ip} = \frac{1}{2} g^{ja} (-\partial_a g_{pi} + \partial_i g_{ap} + \partial_p g_{ai}) T^{ip}$

\Rightarrow on solving
this gives $= T^{ab} \partial_i g_{ab}$

Hence:

$$\nabla_i T^{ij} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \partial_i (\sqrt{-g} T^{ij}) + T^{ab} (\partial_k g_{ab}) g^{jk}$$

92]

From this

if we try to write the
conservation law:

$$\int_V d^4x \sqrt{-g} \nabla_i T^{ij} = \int_V d^4x n_i T^{ij} \sqrt{-g}$$

$$+ \int_V \underbrace{d^4x \sqrt{-g} g^{jk} T^{ab}}_{\Downarrow} \partial_k g_{ab}$$

we get this
additional term
which spoils our
conservation law for

* But, if we can
get some coordinate
for which $\partial_k g_{ab} = 0$
 \downarrow
then that
term vanishes

93]

If we take
some quantity $\xi^i(x) \rightarrow$ i.e. $\xi_i = g_{ai} \xi^a$

and, we can take: $\nabla_i (T^{ij} \xi_j) = \underbrace{\nabla_i (T^{ij})}_{\text{for divergenceless}} \xi_j + T^{ij} \nabla_i \xi_j$

* for divergenceless
condition (i.e. $\nabla_a T_b^a = 0$)
this vanishes

$$\therefore \nabla_i (T^{ij} \xi_j) = T^{ij} \nabla_i \xi_j$$

$$\text{Now, } \int_V d^4x \sqrt{-g} \nabla_i (T^{ij} \xi_j) = \int_V d^3x \underbrace{(n_i T^{ij} \xi_j)}_{\text{this is a scalar}} \sqrt{-g}$$

We now need to choose our ξ_j

Earlier just like

$$\nabla_k J^k = 0 \Rightarrow \text{Conserved quantity}$$

Similarly here:

$$\text{we will take: } \nabla_i (T^{ij} \xi_j) = 0$$

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} * \text{i.e. we need BOTH} \\ \nabla_a T_b^a = 0 \\ \text{and} \\ \nabla_i (T^{ij} \xi_j) = 0 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\text{Now, from } \nabla_i (T^{ij} \xi_j) = T^{ij} \nabla_i \xi_j = 0$$

↓ (now since T^{ij} is symmetric
but $T^{ij} \neq 0$)

$$\Rightarrow T^{ij} (\nabla_i \xi_j + \nabla_j \xi_i) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\nabla_i \xi_j + \nabla_j \xi_i = 0}$$

This is called the
KILLING EQUATION

* This will become:

$$\underbrace{\partial_i \xi_j + \partial_j \xi_i}_{\text{from here we}} = 0$$

solve for ξ_i

{ it was given by
Lord Killing }