

Name: _____

Roll No: _____

• Write your name cleanly in **CAPITAL** letters and roll number inside the boxes.

• Write answers in the space provided only. Maximum marks: 20 Time: 12:00 pm - 13:15 pm.

1. Let $f : (M, d) \rightarrow (N, \rho)$ be an isometric (i.e. $\rho(f(x), f(y)) = d(x, y)$) and onto map. Show that f is a homeomorphism.

[5]

Answer :-

[Step 1] :- We first show that f is bijective:

Given $\rho(f(x), f(y)) = d(x, y)$; if $f(x) = f(y)$ then

$$\rho(f(x), f(y)) = 0 = d(x, y) \Leftrightarrow x = y.$$

Hence f is a one-one mapping, and as given f is onto we get f is a bijective.

[Step 2] :- To show f is continuous:

for any $\epsilon > 0$, take $\delta = \epsilon$; then if $d(x, y) < \epsilon = \delta$ we get

$$\rho(f(x), f(y)) = d(x, y) < \epsilon.$$

Therefore, $B_\epsilon^d(x) \subseteq f^{-1}(B_\epsilon^\rho(f(x)))$ for any $\epsilon > 0$.

Hence, f is continuous.

[Step 3] :- To show f^{-1} is continuous:

First we define the map $f^{-1}(p) = x$ such that $f(x) = p$;

Then note that for any $p, q \in N$, $\exists x, y \in M$ such that

$f^{-1}(p) = x$ and $f^{-1}(q) = y$ and

$$\rho(p, q) = d(f^{-1}(p), f^{-1}(q))$$

Now, for any $\epsilon > 0$, take again $\delta = \epsilon$, then for any $\rho(p, q) < \epsilon = \delta$ we get

$$d(f^{-1}(p), f^{-1}(q)) = \rho(p, q) < \epsilon$$

Hence $B_\epsilon^\rho(p) \subseteq (f^{-1})^{-1}(B_\epsilon^d(f^{-1}(p)))$ for any $\epsilon > 0$.

2. Let $(\ell_2, \|\cdot\|_2)$ be the complete metric space where $\ell_2 = \{(x_1, x_2, \dots) : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^2 < \infty\}$ and the norm is given by $\|x\|_2 = (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |x_n|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n, \dots)$.

- (a) Show that the set $A = \{x \in \ell_2 : |x_n| \leq 1/n \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a closed set in ℓ_2 .
- (b) Is $B = \{x \in \ell_2 : |x_n| < 1/n \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ an open set? Justify.
- (c) Is A complete where A is defined in part (a)?

[2+2+1]

² (a) Given $A = \{x \in \ell_2 : |x_n| \leq \frac{1}{n} \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.

Let $\{x^n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset A$ be a convergent seq in A . Assume $x^n \rightarrow x \in \ell_2$. This implies $\|x^n - x\|_{\ell^2} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

Let $x^n = (x_1^n, x_2^n, x_3^n, \dots)$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$

As $x^n \in A \Rightarrow |x_k^n| \leq \frac{1}{k}$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

It is sufficient to show that $x \in A$ or $|x_k| < \frac{1}{k} \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$.

In contrary, assume $\exists k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|x_{k_0}| > \frac{1}{k_0}$.

Then, we can also say $\exists |x_{k_0}| \geq \frac{1}{k_0} + \varepsilon_0 \text{ for some } \varepsilon_0 > 0$.

$$|x_{k_0}| \geq \left(\frac{1}{k_0} + \varepsilon_0\right) > 0 \text{ for some } \varepsilon_0 > 0.$$

But as $|x_{k_0}^n| \leq \frac{1}{k_0} \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$, we find that

$$|x_{k_0} - x_{k_0}^n| \geq |x_{k_0}^n| - |x_{k_0}| \geq \frac{1}{k_0} + \varepsilon_0 - \frac{1}{k_0} = \varepsilon_0.$$

But that contradicts the fact that $\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} |x_i - x_i^n|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \rightarrow 0$

Hence, $|x_k| < \frac{1}{k} \forall k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $x \in \ell_2$.

Therefore A is closed. (Proved)

(b) No! B is not an open set

Note that $\bar{0} = (0, 0, 0, \dots) \in A$.

We aim to show that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ $B_\varepsilon(\bar{0}) \not\subseteq A$

for any $\varepsilon > 0$, by the Archimedean property $\exists n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\varepsilon > \frac{1}{n_\varepsilon}$; and $n_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{N}$.

Consider an element $\bar{x}_\varepsilon = (0, 0, 0, \dots, 0, \underset{n_\varepsilon^{\text{th}} \text{ place}}{\uparrow}, \frac{1}{n_\varepsilon}, 0, \dots)$

$$\text{Then } \|\bar{x}_\varepsilon\|_{\ell_2} = \frac{1}{n_\varepsilon} < \varepsilon,$$

Hence, $\bar{x}_\varepsilon \in B_\varepsilon(\bar{0})$.

But note that as $|\frac{1}{n_\varepsilon}| \neq \frac{1}{n_\varepsilon^2}$. $\bar{x}_\varepsilon \notin A$

Hence $B_\varepsilon(\bar{0}) \not\subseteq A \quad \forall \varepsilon > 0$.

So, A is not an open set. (Ans)

(c) Yes, as a closed subset of a metric space is complete and A is closed, then A is a complete space. (Ans)

..... Rough Work

3. (M, d) is a connected metric space and has at-least two elements. Show that M is uncountable.

[3]

Answer:- Given (M, d) is a metric space. Let $x_0, y_0 \in M$ be two distinct elements.

By definition of a metric, $d(x_0, y_0) \neq 0$.

We define a map $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by.

$$f(x) = d(x, y_0) \text{ for } x \in M.$$

We note that f is a non-constant map as

$$f(x_0) \neq 0 \text{ and } f(y_0) = 0.$$

Further, we claim that f is continuous.

for any $\epsilon > 0$, if $d(x, y) < \epsilon$, we get

$$|f(x) - f(y)| = |d(x, y_0) - d(y, y_0)| \leq d(x, y) < \epsilon.$$

[follows
from triangle
Inequality]

Thus, $|f(y) - f(x)| \leq \epsilon$

$$\Rightarrow |f(y) - f(x)| < \epsilon$$

Hence f is a continuous function.

Now; as we know M is connected and f is a (non-constant) continuous function; $f(M)$ is connected in \mathbb{R} .

We know that any connected set in \mathbb{R} is an interval. As f is non-constant, the interval will be non-trivial as well (e.g. $[f(y_0), \sup f(x)]$, $[f(y_1), \sup f(x)]$).

As a non-trivial interval contains uncountable points f^{-1} of such interval must be uncountable. Hence M is uncountable. ⁵

(Proved)

4. Let (M, d) be a metric space and $A \subseteq M$. Prove that if A is totally bounded then (the closure) \bar{A} is totally bounded. [3]

Answer:- Given $A \subseteq M$ is totally bounded.

for any $\varepsilon > 0$; we can find finite number of points $\{x_1, \dots, x_{n_\varepsilon}\}$ such that

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} B_{\varepsilon/2}(x_i)$$

Further; if a point $y \in \bar{A} \setminus A$; we know that

$$B_{\varepsilon/2}(y) \cap A \neq \emptyset.$$

let, $x_0 \in B_{\varepsilon/2}(y) \cap A$, then $x_0 \in B_{\varepsilon/2}(x_k)$ for some $k \in \{1, \dots, n_\varepsilon\}$.

$$d(x_0, y) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad d(x_0, x_k) < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then, } d(y, x_k) &\leq d(y, x_0) + d(x_0, x_k) && [\text{By triangle Ineq.}] \\ &< \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon}{2} = \varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

Hence ~~$x_0 \in B_\varepsilon(x_k)$~~ $y \in B_\varepsilon(x_k)$.

As y is arbitrary point in $\bar{A} \setminus A$. we find that

for any $y \in \bar{A} \setminus A$, there ~~exists~~ exists $k \in \{1, \dots, n_\varepsilon\}$ such that

$$y \in B_\varepsilon(x_k); \text{ hence } \bar{A} \setminus A \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} B_\varepsilon(x_k).$$

$$\text{Therefore, } \bar{A} \subseteq \bigcup_{i=1}^{n_\varepsilon} B_\varepsilon(x_k). \text{ for every } \varepsilon > 0;$$

Hence \bar{A} is totally bounded. (Proved)

5. Let (M, d) be a complete metric space. A subset $A \subseteq M$ is complete if and only if A is a closed set.

[4]

Answer :-

Step 1 :- To show $A \subseteq M$ is complete $\Rightarrow A$ is a closed set.

For that take any convergent seq $\{x_n\} \subset A$;

Let $x_n \rightarrow y \in M$.

As $\{x_n\}$ is a convergent seq., it is a cauchy seq in A .

By completeness of A , we get $x_n \rightarrow x \in A$. And uniqueness of limit implies $x = y \in A$.

Hence every converging seq $\{x_n\} \subset A$ converges to a point in A .

Therefore, A is closed.

Step 2 :- To show A is a closed set $\Rightarrow A$ is complete.

First we take a cauchy seq $\{x_n\} \subset A$.

Now by completeness of M , $x_n \rightarrow x \in M$.

But as A is a closed set; we have $x \in A$.

Hence any cauchy seq $\{x_n\} \subset A$ is converging in A .

Therefore A is complete

(Proved)