## An example of using linear algebra

July 22, 2021

The presenting question is simple. Given an arbitrary charge distribution in vacuum, how do we calculate the resulting electric field E?

Define a vector  $\mathbf{E}$  the electric field, a scalar  $\phi$  the electric potential, and a scalar  $\rho$  the charge distribution, i.e. where the charge is. By definition, the electric field is the negative gradient of the electric potential,

$$-\nabla \phi = \mathbf{E}.\tag{1}$$

You must have seen a similar expression between the gravitational force and the gravitational potential from your high school. They are mathematically the same.

A physical law, called Maxwell's equations, says that in vacuum,

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0},\tag{2}$$

where  $\epsilon_0$  is just a physical constant that we do not need care too much. Substituting eq.[1] into eq.[2], we have

$$-\nabla^2 \phi = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}.\tag{3}$$

So far, I haven't told you what  $\nabla$  represents, but in 1-D space it is equivalent to the operation of computing the derivative, i.e.  $\nabla \equiv \frac{d}{dx}$ , so eq.[2] becomes a relatively more friendly form,

$$-\frac{d^2\phi}{dx^2} = \frac{\rho}{\epsilon_0}. (4)$$

Now we have an ordinary differential equation, and what meaning does it have? It means that if you give me a function  $\rho(x)$  that describes where charges are located in a 1-D space, I can solve the differential equation eq.[4] for  $\phi(x)$ , and tell you the electric field  $\mathbf{E}(x)$  at any point in the 1-D space!

How do we solve this equation? Easy, you just need to integrate the equation twice to get an expression for  $\phi$ ! However, what if  $\rho(x)$  is very nasty that you cannot integrate it by hand? One tricky way is to solve it NUMERICALLY, which almost always needs the aid of a computer. I will show you how.

Imagine a straight line of finite length L, and we can cut the line into pieces of equal lengths, say h. we now have  $n = \frac{L}{h}$  pieces of line, and N = n + 1 nodes. Denote the position of nodes by  $x_0, x_1, ..., x_N$ , and the values of them are 0, h, ..., Nh. We can denote the electric potential  $\phi(x)$  at the nodes as  $\phi_0, \phi_1, ..., \phi_N$ , which are what we want to find. If you give me a charge distribution function  $\rho(x)$ , I can certainly calculate the charge at the nodes:  $\rho(x_0), \rho(x_1), ..., \rho(x_N)$ . Let us write them down as vectors for now, and we will use them later.

$$\mathbf{x} = \begin{pmatrix} x_0 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \dots \\ x_N \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ h \\ 2h \\ \dots \\ Nh \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{\Phi} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi(x_0) \\ \phi(x_1) \\ \phi(x_2) \\ \dots \\ \phi(x_N) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_0 \\ \phi_1 \\ \phi_2 \\ \dots \\ \phi_N \end{pmatrix}, \mathbf{b} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho(x_0) \\ \rho(x_1) \\ \rho(x_2) \\ \dots \\ \rho(x_N) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \rho_0 \\ \rho_1 \\ \rho_2 \\ \dots \\ \rho_N \end{pmatrix}$$
(5)

Recall the definition of a derivative:

$$\frac{dy}{dx} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{y(x + \frac{h}{2}) - y(x - \frac{h}{2})}{h},\tag{6}$$

and the second derivative:

$$\frac{d^2y}{dx^2} = \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{[y(x+h) - y(x)] - [y(x) - y(x-h)]}{h^2}$$
 (7)

$$= \lim_{h \to 0} \frac{y(x+h) - 2y(x) + y(x-h)}{h^2}.$$
 (8)

In our discretised line, if we make the spacing h small enough, we can approximate the second derivative with

$$\frac{d^2\phi_i}{dx^2} \approx \frac{\phi(x_i + h) - 2\phi(x_i) + \phi(x_i - h)}{h^2} \tag{9}$$

$$\approx \frac{\phi_{i+1} - 2\phi_i + \phi_{i-1}}{h^2},\tag{10}$$

which is a discretised form of eq.[4].

Let me give you a picture of this. For the origin differential equation, you have a smooth curve and on every random point  $x_c$  on the curve, eq.[4] must be satisfied, i.e.

$$-\frac{d^2\phi}{dx^2}\Big|_{x=x_c} = \frac{\rho(x_c)}{\epsilon_0}.$$
 (11)

Now we have cut the line into pieces so we only concern the (N+1) points. The functions that they must satisfy are

$$\frac{\phi_{i+1} - 2\phi_i + \phi_{i-1}}{h^2} = \frac{\rho_i}{\epsilon_0}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., N - 1.$$
(12)

The end points will have slightly different expressions, which I am expecting you to work out yourself. Now we have in total (N+1) eq.[12]'s, and(N+1) unknown  $\phi_i$ 's. The natural thought comes to mind is that we can assemble all these into a matrix form!

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{\Phi} = \mathbf{b},\tag{13}$$

where  $\Phi$  and  $\mathbf{b}$  are vectors in eq.[5], and  $\mathbf{A}$  is an (N+1) by (N+1) matrix with all its diagonal elements -2, sub-diagonal elements 1, and 0 otherwise:

$$\mathbf{A} = \frac{\epsilon_0}{h^2} \begin{pmatrix} -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \dots & \dots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & -2 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 & -2 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & -2 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 1 & -2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

$$(14)$$

This matrix **A** is named the difference matrix, and is the favourite matrix of Gilbert Strang.

If you do the matrix multiplication to expand eq.[13], you will find that each row (other than the end rows) in the matrix equation is exactly

$$\frac{\phi_{i+1} - 2\phi_i + \phi_{i-1}}{h^2} = \frac{\rho_i}{\epsilon_0}, \quad i = 1, 2, ..., N - 1.$$
(15)

Hence, solving eq.[13] gives a discretised solution to eq.[4]! This is usually the way to efficiently solve a more complex differential equation.

How do we solve eq.[13]? Learn linear algebra!