

A Type Theory with Native Homotopy Universes

Robin Adams¹ and Andrew Polonsky²

¹ Universitetet i Bergen

² University Paris Diderot

We present a type theory called $\lambda_{\simeq 2}$ with an extensional equality relation. The universe of types is closed under the logical relation defined by induction on the structure of types.

The type system has three universes:

- The universe **Prop** of *propositions*. An object of **Prop** is called a *proposition*, and the objects of a proposition are called *proofs*. There is a proposition **1**, which has a unique proof $*$.
- The universe **Set** of *sets*.
- The universe **Groupoid** of *groupoids*.

The system has been designed in such a way that it should be straightforward to extend the system with three, four, ... dimensions.

For each universe U , we have an associated relation of equality between U -types \simeq , and between objects of U -equal types \sim . The associated rules of deduction are:

$$\frac{A : U \quad B : U}{A \simeq B : U} \quad \frac{a : A \quad e : A \simeq B \quad b : B}{a \sim_e b : U^-}$$

where U^- is the universe one dimension below U . Thus:

- Given two propositions ϕ and ψ , we have the proposition $\phi \simeq \psi$ which denotes the proposition ‘ ϕ if and only if ψ ’. If $\delta : \phi$, $\epsilon : \psi$ and $\chi : \phi \simeq \psi$, then $\delta \sim_\chi \epsilon = \mathbf{1}$. (Cf In homotopy type theory, any two objects of a proposition are equal.)
- Given two sets A and B , we have the set $A \simeq B$, which denotes the set of all bijections between A and B . Given $a : A$, $f : A \simeq B$ and $b : B$, we have the proposition $a \sim_f b : \mathbf{Prop}$, which denotes intuitively that a is mapped to b by the bijection f .
- Given two groupoids G and H , we have the groupoid $G \simeq H$, which denotes the groupoid of all groupoid equivalences between G and H . Given $g : G$, $\phi : G \simeq H$ and $h : H$, we have the set $g \sim_\phi h : \mathbf{Set}$, which can be thought of as the set of all paths between $\phi(g)$ and h in H .

The introduction and elimination rules for \simeq ensure that $A \simeq B$ is the type of equivalences between A and B .

$$\begin{array}{c} \frac{A : U}{1_A : A \simeq A} \quad \frac{a : A}{r_a : a \sim_{1_A} a} \quad \frac{e : A \simeq B \quad a : A}{e^+(a) : B} \quad \frac{e : A \simeq B \quad b : B}{e^-(b) : A} \\[10pt] \frac{a : A \quad b : B \quad e : A \simeq B}{e^=(a, b) : (a \sim_{1_A} e^-(b)) \simeq (e^+(a) \sim_{1_B} b)} \quad \frac{\begin{array}{c} \Gamma, x : A \vdash b : B \\ \Gamma, y : B \vdash a : A \\ \Gamma, x : A, y : B \vdash e : (x \sim_{1_A} a) \simeq (b \sim_{1_B} y) \end{array}}{\Gamma \vdash \text{univ}([x : A]b, [y : B]a, [x : A, y : B]e) : A \simeq B} \end{array}$$

Each universe is itself an object of the next universe; thus $\mathbf{1} : \mathbf{Prop} : \mathbf{Set} : \mathbf{Groupoid}$. We also have the following definitional equalities: $\phi \sim_{\mathbf{1Prop}} \psi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi \simeq \psi$, $A \sim_{\mathbf{1Set}} B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \simeq B$.

As well as the normal operation of substitution, we have an operation of *path substitution*:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash b : B \quad \Gamma \vdash e : a \sim_{\mathbf{1A}} a'}{\Gamma \vdash b[x//e] : b[x/a] \sim_{B[x//e]} b[x/a']}$$

The system $\lambda \simeq_2$ enjoys the following properties. Univalence holds definitionally; that is, an equality between types $A \simeq B$ is exactly (definitionally) the type of equivalences between A and B . Also, transport respects reflexivity and composition definitionally.

This type theory has been formalised in Agda, using the method of the system **Kipling** from McBride [5]. The method ensures that, if s and t are definitionally equal expressions in $\lambda \simeq_2$, then $\llbracket s \rrbracket$ and $\llbracket t \rrbracket$ are definitionally equal objects in Agda. We interpret each context with a groupoid in Agda; that is, we define a type

`data Cx : Set1`

of contexts, and functions

`[_]C : Cx → Set1`

`EQC : ∀ Γ → [Γ]C → [Γ]C → Set`

`EQC2 : ∀ {Γ} {a1 a2 b1 b2 : [Γ]C} →`

`EQC Γ a1 a2 → EQC Γ b1 b2 → EQC Γ a1 b1 → EQC Γ a2 b2 → Set`

The formalisation is available online at <https://github.com/radams78/Equality2>.

Related Work An earlier version of this system was presented in [2]. In this talk, we also give semantics to this system in Agda’s type theory extended with a native type of groupoids, and show how the syntax and semantics are formalised in Agda.

Our system is closely related to the system PHOML (Predicative Higher-Order Minimal Logic) presented in [1]. The system $\lambda \simeq_2$ can be seen as an extension of PHOML with groupoids, and with a univalent equality for both sets and groupoids.

Cubical type theory [3, 4] has a very similar motivation to this work, and also offers a type theory with univalence and a computational interpretation. One difference with our system is that in cubical type theory, transport respects reflexivity and composition only up to propositional equality.

References

- [1] Robin Adams, Marc Bezem, and Thierry Coquand. A normalizing computation rule for propositional extensionality in higher-order minimal logic. Submitted for publication in TYPES 2016. <https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.00026>, 2017.
- [2] Robin Adams and Andrew Polonsky. A type system with native homotopy universes. Talk given at Workshop on Homotopy Type Theory / Univalent Foundations, Porto, Portugal, 2016. <http://hott-uf.gforge.inria.fr/andrew.pdf>.
- [3] Cyril Cohen, Thierry Coquand, Simon Huber, and Anders Mörtberg. Cubical type theory: a constructive interpretation of the univalence axiom. *CoRR*, abs/1611.02108, 2016.
- [4] Simon Huber. Canonicity for cubical type theory. *arXiv:1607.04156*, 2016.
- [5] Conor McBride. Outrageous but meaningful coincidences: Dependent type-safe syntax and evaluation. In Bruno C. d. S. Oliveira and Marcin Zalewski, editors, *Proceedings of the 6th ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Generic Programming (WGP 2010)*, pages 1–12. ACM, 2010.