A Type Theory with Native Homotopy Universes

Robin Adams¹ and Andrew Polonsky²

Universitetet i Bergen
University Paris Diderot

We present a type theory called $\lambda \simeq_2$ with an extensional equality relation; that is, the universe of types is closed by reflection into it of the logical relation defined by induction on the structure of types.

The type system has three universes:

- The universe **Prop** of *propositions*. An object of **Prop** is called a *proposition*, and the objects of a proposition are called *proofs*. There is a proposition 1, which has a unique proof *.
- The universe **Set** of *sets*.
- The universe **Groupoid** of *groupoids*.

For each universe U, we have an associated relation of equality between U-types \simeq , and between objects of U-equal types \sim . The associated rules of deduction are:

$$\frac{A:U\quad B:U}{A\simeq B:U} \qquad \frac{a:A\quad e:A\simeq B\quad b:B}{a\sim_e b:U^-}$$

where U^- is the universe one dimension below U. Thus:

- Given two propositions ϕ and ψ , we have the proposition $\phi \simeq \psi$ which denotes the proposition ' ϕ if and only if ψ '. If $\delta : \phi$, $\epsilon : \psi$ and $\chi : \phi \simeq \psi$, then $\delta \sim_{\chi} \epsilon = 1$. (Cf In homotopy type theory, any two objects of a proposition are equal.)
- Given two sets A and B, we have the set $A \simeq B$, which denotes the set of all bijections between A and B. Given $a:A, f:A \simeq B$ and b:B, we have the proposition $a \sim_f b:$ **Prop**, which denotes that a is mapped to b by the bijection f.
- Given two groupoids G and H, we have the groupoid $G \simeq H$, which denotes the groupoid of all groupoid isomorphisms between G and H. Given g:G, $\phi:G\simeq H$ and h:H, we have the set $g\sim_{\phi}h:\mathbf{Set}$, which can be thought of as the set of all paths between $\phi(g)$ and h in H.

We have reflexivity provided by the following typing rules:

$$\frac{A:U}{1_A:A\simeq A} \qquad \frac{a:A}{r_a:a\sim_{1_A}a}$$

The relation \sim_{1_A} thus behaves like an equality relation on each type A.

The introduction and elimination rules for \simeq ensure that $A \simeq B$ is the type of equivalences between A and B:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \underline{e:A \simeq B & a:A} & \underline{e:A \simeq B & b:B} \\ e^+(a):B & & e^-(b):A \\ \\ \underline{a:A & b:B & e:A \simeq B} \\ e^=(a,b):(a \sim_{1_A} e^-(b)) \simeq (e^+(a) \sim_{1_B} b) \end{array}$$

$$\begin{split} & \Gamma, x:A & \vdash b:B \\ & \Gamma, y:B & \vdash a:A \\ & \Gamma, x:A, y:B & \vdash e:(x \sim_{1_A} a) \simeq (b \sim_{1_B} y) \\ & \overline{\Gamma \vdash \mathsf{univ}([x:A]b, [y:B]a, [x:A,y:B]e):A \simeq B} \end{split}$$

Each universe is itself an object of the next universe:

1: Prop: Set: Groupoid

and we have the following definitional equalities:

$$\phi \sim_{1_{\mathbf{Prop}}} \psi \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \phi \simeq \psi, \quad A \sim_{1_{\mathbf{Set}}} B \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} A \simeq B$$

The following computation rules also hold in $\lambda \simeq_2$.

TODO

We therefore note the following features of $\lambda \simeq_2$:

- Univalence holds definitionally an equality between types $A \simeq B$ is exactly (definitionally) the type of equivalences between A and B.
- Transport respects reflexivity and composition definitionally.

This type theory has been formalised in Agda, using the method of the system Kipling from McBride [5]. The method ensures that, if s and t are definitionally equal expressions in $\lambda \simeq_2$, then ||s|| and ||t|| are definitionally equal objects in Agda.

We have

- a type data Cx : Set₁
- $\begin{array}{l} \bullet \;\; \mathrm{functions} \;\; [_]\mathsf{C} : \mathsf{Cx} \to \mathsf{Set}_1 \\ \mathsf{EQC} : \forall \;\; \Gamma \to [\; \Gamma \;]\mathsf{C} \to [\; \Gamma \;]\mathsf{C} \to \mathsf{Set} \\ \mathsf{EQC}_2 : \forall \;\; \{\Gamma\} \; \{a_1 \; a_2 \; b_1 \; b_2 : [\; \Gamma \;]\mathsf{C}\} \to \\ \mathsf{EQC} \;\; \Gamma \;\; a_1 \;\; a_2 \to \mathsf{EQC} \;\; \Gamma \;\; b_1 \;\; b_2 \to \mathsf{EQC} \;\; \Gamma \;\; a_1 \;\; b_1 \to \mathsf{EQC} \;\; \Gamma \;\; a_2 \;\; b_2 \to \mathsf{Set} \\ \end{array}$
- an inductive data type data $_\vdash_\ni_$ $(\Gamma:\mathsf{Cx}):\forall \{n\}\ (T:\mathsf{Typeover}\ n\ \Gamma)\ (t:\mathsf{Section}\ T)\to\mathsf{Set}_1$

The formalisation is available online at https://github.com/radams78/Equality2.

Related Work An earlier version of this system was presented in [2]. In this talk, we also give semantics to this system in Agda's type theory extended with a native type of groupoids, and show how the syntax and semantics are formalised in Agda.

Our system is closely related to the system PHOML (Predicative Higher-Order Minimal Logic) presented in [1]. The system $\lambda \simeq_2$ can be seen as an extension of PHOML with groupoids, and with a univalent equality for both sets and groupoids.

Cubical type theory [3, 4] has a very similar motivation to this work, and also offers a type theory with univalence and a computational interpretation. One difference with our system is that the following equations hold judgementally:

TODO

The corresponding equations in cubical type theory would be $\operatorname{transp}^i A a = a$ where i does not occur in A, and $\operatorname{transp}^j B$ ($\operatorname{transp}^i A a$) = $\operatorname{transp}^i(\operatorname{comp}^j U$ [$(i=0) \mapsto A[i:=0], (i=1) \mapsto B$]) A a. In cubical type theory, these equations do hold up to definitional equality for all terms a. They hold up to definitional equality when a is a canonical object, and up to propositional equality in general.

References

- [1] Robin Adams, Marc Bezem, and Thierry Coquand. A normalizing computation rule for propositional extensionality in higher-order minimal logic. Submitted for publication in TYPES 2016. https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.00026, 2017.
- [2] Robin Adams and Andrew Polonsky. A type system with native homotopy universes. Talk given at Workshop on Homotopy Type Theory / Univalent Foundations, Porto, Portugal, 2016. http://hott-uf.gforge.inria.fr/andrew.pdf.
- [3] Cyril Cohen, Thierry Coquand, Simon Huber, and Anders Mörtberg. Cubical type theory: a constructive interpretation of the univalence axiom. CoRR, abs/1611.02108, 2016.
- [4] Simon Huber. Canonicity for cubical type theory. arXiv:1607.04156, 2016.
- [5] Conor McBride. Outrageous but meaningful coincidences: Dependent type-safe syntax and evaluation. In Bruno C. d. S. Oliveira and Marcin Zalewksi, editors, *Proceedings of the 6th ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Generic Programming (WGP 2010)*, pages 1–12. ACM, 2010.