A Type Theory with Native Homotopy Universes

Robin Adams¹ and Andrew Polonsky²

¹ Universitetet i Bergen

We present a type theory called $\lambda \simeq_2$ with an extensional equality relation. The universe of types is closed under the logical relation defined by induction on the structure of types.

The type system has three universes:

- The trivial universe 1, which has one object *.
- The universe **Prop** of *propositions*. An object of **Prop** is called a *proposition*, and the objects of a proposition are called *proofs*.
- The universe **Set** of *sets*.
- The universe **Groupoid** of *groupoids*.

The system has been designed in such a way that it should be straightforward to extend the system with three, four, ... dimensions.

For each universe U, we have an associated relation of equality between U-types \simeq , and between objects of U-equal types \sim . The associated rules of deduction are:

$$\frac{A:U\quad B:U}{A\simeq B:U} \qquad \frac{a:A\quad e:A\simeq B\quad b:B}{a\sim_e b:U^-}$$

where U^- is the universe one dimension below U. Thus:

- Given two propositions ϕ and ψ , we have the proposition $\phi \simeq \psi$ which denotes the proposition ' ϕ if and only if ψ '. If $\delta : \phi$, $\epsilon : \psi$ and $\chi : \phi \simeq \psi$, then $\delta \sim_{\chi} \epsilon = 1$. (Cf In homotopy type theory, any two objects of a proposition are equal.)
- Given two sets A and B, we have the set $A \simeq B$, which denotes the set of all bijections between A and B. Given a:A, $f:A \simeq B$ and b:B, we have the proposition $a \sim_f b:$ **Prop**, which denotes intuitively that a is mapped to b by the bijection f.
- Given two groupoids G and H, we have the groupoid $G \simeq H$, which denotes the groupoid of all groupoid equivalences between G and H. Given $g:G, \phi:G\simeq H$ and h:H, we have the set $g\sim_{\phi} h:\mathbf{Set}$, which can be thought of as the set of all paths between $\phi(g)$ and h in H

The introduction and elimination rules for \simeq ensure that $A \simeq B$ is the type of equivalences between A and B.

$$\frac{A:U}{1_A:A\simeq A} \qquad \frac{a:A}{r_a:a\sim_{1_A}a} \qquad \frac{e:A\simeq B\quad a:A}{e^+(a):B} \qquad \frac{e:A\simeq B\quad b:B}{e^-(b):A}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma,x:A\quad\vdash b:B}{\Gamma,y:B\;\vdash a:A}$$

$$\frac{a:A\quad b:B\quad e:A\simeq B}{e^-(a,b):(a\sim_{1_A}e^-(b))\simeq (e^+(a)\sim_{1_B}b)} \qquad \frac{\Gamma,x:A,y:B\quad\vdash e:(x\sim_{1_A}a)\simeq (b\sim_{1_B}y)}{\Gamma\vdash \mathsf{univ}([x:A]b,[y:B]a,[x:A,y:B]e):A\simeq B}$$

² University Paris Diderot

Each universe is itself an object of the next universe; thus $\mathbf{1}: \mathbf{Prop}: \mathbf{Set}: \mathbf{Groupoid}$. We also have the following definitional equalities: $\phi \sim_{\mathbf{1prop}} \psi \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \phi \simeq \psi, \ A \sim_{\mathbf{1set}} B \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} A \simeq B$. As well as the normal operation of substitution, we have an operation of path substitution:

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: A \vdash b: B \quad \Gamma \vdash e: a \sim_{1_A} a'}{\Gamma \vdash b[x//e]: b[x/a] \sim_{B[x//e]} b[x/a']}$$

The system $\lambda \simeq_2$ enjoys the following properties. Univalence holds definitionally; that is, an equality between types $A \simeq B$ is exactly (definitionally) the type of equivalences between A and B. Also, transport respects reflexivity and composition definitionally.

This type theory has been formalised in Agda, using the method of the system Kipling from McBride [5]. The method ensures that, if s and t are definitionally equal expressions in $\lambda \simeq_2$, then [s] and [t] are definitionally equal objects in Agda. We interpret each context with a groupoid in Agda; that is, we define the following type of contexts and functions:

```
data Cx: Set<sub>1</sub>
[\quad]C:Cx\to Set_1
\mathsf{EQC} : \forall \ \Gamma \to [\ \Gamma \ ]\mathsf{C} \to [\ \Gamma \ ]\mathsf{C} \to \mathsf{Set}
\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{EQC}_2: \ \forall \ \{\Gamma\} \ \{a_1 \ a_2 \ b_1 \ b_2 : \ [\ \Gamma\ ]\mathsf{C}\} \rightarrow \\ \mathsf{EQC} \ \Gamma \ a_1 \ a_2 \rightarrow \mathsf{EQC} \ \Gamma \ b_1 \ b_2 \rightarrow \mathsf{EQC} \ \Gamma \ a_1 \ b_1 \rightarrow \mathsf{EQC} \ \Gamma \ a_2 \ b_2 \rightarrow \mathsf{Set} \end{array}
```

The formalisation is available online at https://github.com/radams78/Equality2.

Related Work An earlier version of this system was presented in [2]. In this talk, we also give semantics to this system in Agda's type theory extended with a native type of groupoids, and show how the syntax and semantics are formalised in Agda.

Our system is closely related to the system PHOML (Predicative Higher-Order Minimal Logic) presented in [1]. The system $\lambda \simeq_2$ can be seen as an extension of PHOML with groupoids, and with a univalent equality for both sets and groupoids.

Cubical type theory [3, 4] has a very similar motivation to this work, and also offers a type theory with univalence and a computational interpretation. One difference with our system is that in cubical type theory, transport respects reflexivity and composition only up to propositional equality.

References

- [1] Robin Adams, Marc Bezem, and Thierry Coquand. A normalizing computation rule for propositional extensionality in higher-order minimal logic. Submitted for publication in TYPES 2016. https://arxiv.org/abs/1610.00026, 2017.
- [2] Robin Adams and Andrew Polonsky. A type system with native homotopy universes. Talk given at Workshop on Homotopy Type Theory / Univalent Foundations, Porto, Portugal, 2016. http: //hott-uf.gforge.inria.fr/andrew.pdf.
- [3] Cyril Cohen, Thierry Coquand, Simon Huber, and Anders Mörtberg. Cubical type theory: a constructive interpretation of the univalence axiom. CoRR, abs/1611.02108, 2016.
- [4] Simon Huber. Canonicity for cubical type theory. arXiv:1607.04156, 2016.
- [5] Conor McBride. Outrageous but meaningful coincidences: Dependent type-safe syntax and evaluation. In Bruno C. d. S. Oliveira and Marcin Zalewksi, editors, Proceedings of the 6th ACM SIGPLAN Workshop on Generic Programming (WGP 2010), pages 1-12. ACM, 2010.