

Type Theories with Computation Rules for the Univalence Axiom

Robin Adams

January 20, 2016

```
module main where
```

1 Preliminaries

```
module Prelims where
```

1.1 Functions

We write id_A for the identity function on the type A , and $g \circ f$ for the composition of functions g and f .

```
id : ∀ (A : Set) → A → A
id A x = x
```

```
infix 75 _∘_
_∘_ : ∀ {A B C : Set} → (B → C) → (A → B) → A → C
(g ∘ f) x = g (f x)
```

1.2 Equality

We use the inductively defined equality $=$ on every datatype.

```
infix 50 _≡_
data _≡_ {A : Set} (a : A) : A → Set where
  ref : a ≡ a

subst : ∀ {A : Set} (P : A → Set) {a} {b} → a ≡ b → P a → P b
subst P ref Pa = Pa

sym : ∀ {A : Set} {a b : A} → a ≡ b → b ≡ a
sym ref = ref

trans : ∀ {A : Set} {a b c : A} → a ≡ b → b ≡ c → a ≡ c
```

```
trans ref ref = ref
```

```
wd : ∀ {A B : Set} (f : A → B) {a a' : A} → a ≡ a' → f a ≡ f a'
wd _ ref = ref
```

```
wd2 : ∀ {A B C : Set} (f : A → B → C) {a a' : A} {b b' : B} → a ≡ a' → b ≡ b' → f a b ≡ f a' b'
wd2 _ ref ref = ref
```

```
module Equational-Reasoning (A : Set) where
```

```
  infix 2 `·_
  `·_ : ∀ (a : A) → a ≡ a
  `· _ = ref
```

```
  infix 1 _≡_[_]
  _≡_[_] : ∀ {a b : A} → a ≡ b → ∀ c → b ≡ c → a ≡ c
  δ ≡ c [ δ' ] = trans δ δ'
```

```
  infix 1 _≡_[[_]]
  _≡_[[_]] : ∀ {a b : A} → a ≡ b → ∀ c → c ≡ b → a ≡ c
  δ ≡ c [[ δ' ]] = trans δ (sym δ')
```

We also write $f \sim g$ iff the functions f and g are extensionally equal, that is, $f(x) = g(x)$ for all x .

```
infix 50 _~_
_~_ : ∀ {A B : Set} → (A → B) → (A → B) → Set
f ~ g = ∀ x → f x ≡ g x
```

2 Datatypes

We introduce a universe **FinSet** of (names of) finite sets. There is an empty set $\emptyset : \mathbf{FinSet}$, and for every $A : \mathbf{FinSet}$, the type $A + 1 : \mathbf{FinSet}$ has one more element:

$$A + 1 = \{\perp\} \uplus \{\uparrow a : a \in A\}$$

```
data FinSet : Set where
  ∅ : FinSet
  Lift : FinSet → FinSet
```

```
data El : FinSet → Set where
  ⊥ : ∀ {V} → El (Lift V)
  ↑ : ∀ {V} → El V → El (Lift V)
```

A *replacement* from U to V is simply a function $U \rightarrow V$.

```
Rep : FinSet → FinSet → Set
Rep U V = El U → El V
```

Given $f : A \rightarrow B$, define $f + 1 : A + 1 \rightarrow B + 1$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(f + 1)(\perp) &= \perp \\ (f + 1)(\uparrow x) &= \uparrow f(x)\end{aligned}$$

```
lift : ∀ {U} {V} → Rep U V → Rep (Lift U) (Lift V)
lift _ ⊥ = ⊥
lift f (↑ x) = ↑ (f x)
```

```
liftwd : ∀ {U} {V} {f g : Rep U V} → f ~ g → lift f ~ lift g
liftwd f-is-g ⊥ = ref
liftwd f-is-g (↑ x) = wd ↑ (f-is-g x)
```

This makes $(-)+1$ into a functor $\mathbf{FinSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{FinSet}$; that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{id}_V + 1 &= \text{id}_{V+1} \\ (g \circ f) + 1 &= (g + 1) \circ (f + 1)\end{aligned}$$

```
liftid : ∀ {V} → lift (id (El V)) ~ id (El (Lift V))
liftid ⊥ = ref
liftid (↑ _) = ref
```

```
liftcomp : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {g : Rep V W} {f : Rep U V} → lift (g ∘ f) ~ lift g ∘ lift f
liftcomp ⊥ = ref
liftcomp (↑ _) = ref
```

```
open import Prelims
```

```
module PL where
open import Prelims
```

3 Propositional Logic

Fix sets of *proof variables* and *term variables*.

The syntax of the system is given by the following grammar.

$$\begin{array}{lll}\text{Proof} & \delta & ::= p \mid \delta\delta \mid \lambda p : \phi.\delta \\ \text{Proposition} & \phi & ::= \perp \mid \phi \rightarrow \phi \\ \text{Proof Context} & \Delta & ::= \langle \rangle \mid \Delta, p : \phi \\ \text{Judgement} & \mathcal{J} & ::= \Delta \vdash \delta : \phi\end{array}$$

where p ranges over proof variables and x ranges over term variables. The variable p is bound within δ in the proof $\lambda p : \phi.\delta$, and the variable x is bound within M in the term $\lambda x : A.M$. We identify proofs and terms up to α -conversion.

We write $\mathbf{Proof}(P)$ for the set of all proofs δ with $\text{FV}(\delta) \subseteq V$.

```

infix 75 _⇒_
data Prp : Set where
  ⊥ : Prp
  _⇒_ : Prp → Prp → Prp

infix 80 _,-_
data PContext : FinSet → Set where
  ⟨⟩ : PContext ∅
  _,-_ : ∀ {P} → PContext P → Prp → PContext (Lift P)

propof : ∀ {P} → El P → PContext P → Prp
propof ⊥ ( _ , ϕ ) = ϕ
propof (↑ p) (Γ , _ ) = propof p Γ

data Proof : FinSet → Set where
  var : ∀ {P} → El P → Proof P
  app : ∀ {P} → Proof P → Proof P → Proof P
  Λ : ∀ {P} → Prp → Proof (Lift P) → Proof P

```

Let $P, Q : \mathbf{FinSet}$. A *replacement* from P to Q is just a function $P \rightarrow Q$. Given a term $M : \mathbf{Proof}(P)$ and a replacement $\rho : P \rightarrow Q$, we write $M\{\rho\} : \mathbf{Proof}(Q)$ for the result of replacing each variable x in M with $\rho(x)$.

```

infix 60 _<_>
_<_> : ∀ {P Q} → Proof P → Rep P Q → Proof Q
var p < ρ > = var (ρ p)
app δ ε < ρ > = app (δ < ρ >) (ε < ρ >)
Λ ϕ δ < ρ > = Λ ϕ (δ < lift ρ >)

```

With this as the action on arrows, $\mathbf{Proof}()$ becomes a functor $\mathbf{FinSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

```

repwd : ∀ {P Q : FinSet} {ρ ρ' : El P → El Q} → ρ ~ ρ' → ∀ δ → δ < ρ > ≡ δ < ρ' >
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (var p) = wd var (ρ-is-ρ' p)
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (app δ ε) = wd2 app (repwd ρ-is-ρ' δ) (repwd ρ-is-ρ' ε)
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (Λ ϕ δ) = wd (Λ ϕ) (repwd (liftwd ρ-is-ρ') δ)

```

```

repid : ∀ {Q : FinSet} δ → δ < id (El Q) > ≡ δ
repid (var _) = ref
repid (app δ ε) = wd2 app (repid δ) (repid ε)
repid {Q} (Λ ϕ δ) = wd (Λ ϕ) (let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof (Lift Q)) in
  ∴ δ < lift (id (El Q)) >
    ≡ δ < id (El (Lift Q)) > [ repwd liftid δ ]
    ≡ δ [ repid δ ])

```

```

repcomp : ∀ {P Q R : FinSet} (ρ : El Q → El R) (σ : El P → El Q) M → M < ρ ∘ σ > ≡ M
repcomp ρ σ (var _) = ref

```

```

repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  (app  $\delta$   $\epsilon$ ) = wd2 app (repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$   $\delta$ ) (repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$   $\epsilon$ )
repcomp {R = R}  $\rho$   $\sigma$  ( $\Lambda \phi \delta$ ) = wd ( $\Lambda \phi$ ) (let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof (Lift R))
 $\therefore \delta < \text{lift } (\rho \circ \sigma) >$ 
 $\equiv \delta < \text{lift } \rho \circ \text{lift } \sigma >$  [ repwd liftcomp  $\delta$  ]
 $\equiv (\delta < \text{lift } \sigma >) < \text{lift } \rho >$  [ repcomp _ _  $\delta$  ])

```

A substitution σ from P to Q , $\sigma : P \Rightarrow Q$, is a function $\sigma : P \rightarrow \mathbf{Proof}(Q)$.

```

Sub : FinSet  $\rightarrow$  FinSet  $\rightarrow$  Set
Sub P Q = El P  $\rightarrow$  Proof Q

```

The identity substitution $\text{id}_Q : Q \Rightarrow Q$ is defined as follows.

```

idSub :  $\forall$  Q  $\rightarrow$  Sub Q Q
idSub _ = var

```

Given $\sigma : P \Rightarrow Q$ and $M : \mathbf{Proof}(P)$, we want to define $M[\sigma] : \mathbf{Proof}(Q)$, the result of applying the substitution σ to M . Only after this will we be able to define the composition of two substitutions. However, there is some work we need to do before we are able to do this.

We can define the composition of a substitution and a replacement as follows.

```

infix 75 _•_
_•_ :  $\forall$  {P} {Q} {R}  $\rightarrow$  Rep Q R  $\rightarrow$  Sub P Q  $\rightarrow$  Sub P R
( $\rho \bullet \sigma$ ) u =  $\sigma$  u <  $\rho$  >

```

(On the other side, given $\rho : P \rightarrow Q$ and $\sigma : Q \Rightarrow R$, the composition is just function composition $\sigma \circ \rho : P \Rightarrow R$.)

Given a substitution $\sigma : P \Rightarrow Q$, define the substitution $\sigma + 1 : P + 1 \Rightarrow Q + 1$ as follows.

```

liftSub :  $\forall$  {P} {Q}  $\rightarrow$  Sub P Q  $\rightarrow$  Sub (Lift P) (Lift Q)
liftSub _  $\perp$  = var  $\perp$ 
liftSub  $\sigma$  ( $\uparrow$  x) =  $\sigma$  x <  $\uparrow$  >

```

```

liftSub-wd :  $\forall$  {P Q} { $\sigma \sigma' : \text{Sub P Q}$ }  $\rightarrow$   $\sigma \sim \sigma' \rightarrow \text{liftSub } \sigma \sim \text{liftSub } \sigma'$ 
liftSub-wd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$   $\perp$  = ref
liftSub-wd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  ( $\uparrow$  x) = wd ( $\lambda$  x  $\rightarrow$  x <  $\uparrow$  >) ( $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  x)

```

Lemma 1. *The operations \bullet and $(-)+1$ satisfies the following properties.*

1. $\text{id}_Q + 1 = \text{id}_{Q+1}$
2. For $\rho : Q \rightarrow R$ and $\sigma : P \Rightarrow Q$, we have $(\rho \bullet \sigma) + 1 = (\rho + 1) \bullet (\sigma + 1)$.
3. For $\sigma : Q \Rightarrow R$ and $\rho : P \rightarrow Q$, we have $(\sigma \circ \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \circ (\rho + 1)$.

```

liftSub-id : ∀ {Q : FinSet} → liftSub (idSub Q) ~ idSub (Lift Q)
liftSub-id ⊥ = ref
liftSub-id (↑ x) = ref

liftSub-comp1 : ∀ {P Q R : FinSet} (σ : Sub P Q) (ρ : Rep Q R) →
  liftSub (ρ •1 σ) ~ lift ρ •1 liftSub σ
liftSub-comp1 σ ρ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-comp1 {R = R} σ ρ (↑ x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof (Lift R)) in
  ∴ σ x < ρ > < ↑ >
  ≡ σ x < ↑ ∘ ρ > [[ repcomp ↑ ρ (σ x) ]]
  ≡ σ x < ↑ > < lift ρ > [ repcomp (lift ρ) ↑ (σ x) ]
--because lift ρ (↑ x) = ↑ (ρ x)

liftSub-comp2 : ∀ {P Q R : FinSet} (σ : Sub Q R) (ρ : Rep P Q) →
  liftSub (σ ∘ ρ) ~ liftSub σ ∘ lift ρ
liftSub-comp2 σ ρ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-comp2 σ ρ (↑ x) = ref

```

Now define $M[\sigma]$ as follows.

```

infix 60 _[[_]]
_[[_]] : ∀ {P Q : FinSet} → Proof P → Sub P Q → Proof Q
(var x)   [[ σ ]] = σ x
(app δ ε) [[ σ ]] = app (δ [[ σ ]]) (ε [[ σ ]])
(Λ A δ)   [[ σ ]] = Λ A (δ [[ liftSub σ ]])

subwd : ∀ {P Q : FinSet} {σ σ' : Sub P Q} → σ ~ σ' → ∀ δ → δ [[ σ ]] ≡ δ [[ σ' ]]
subwd σ-is-σ' (var x) = σ-is-σ' x
subwd σ-is-σ' (app δ ε) = wd2 app (subwd σ-is-σ' δ) (subwd σ-is-σ' ε)
subwd σ-is-σ' (Λ A δ) = wd (Λ A) (subwd (liftSub-wd σ-is-σ') δ)

```

This interacts with our previous operations in a good way:

Lemma 2.

1. $M[\text{id}_Q] \equiv M$
2. $M[\rho \bullet \sigma] \equiv \delta[\sigma]\{\rho\}$
3. $M[\sigma \circ \rho] \equiv \delta < \rho > [\sigma]$

```

subid : ∀ {Q : FinSet} (δ : Proof Q) → δ [[ idSub Q ]] ≡ δ
subid (var x) = ref
subid (app δ ε) = wd2 app (subid δ) (subid ε)
subid {Q} (Λ φ δ) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof Q) in
  ∴ Λ φ (δ [[ liftSub (idSub Q) ]])
  ≡ Λ φ (δ [[ idSub (Lift Q) ]]) [ wd (Λ φ) (subwd liftSub-id δ) ]
  ≡ Λ φ δ [ wd (Λ φ) (subid δ) ]

```

```

rep-sub : ∀ {P} {Q} {R} (σ : Sub P Q) (ρ : Rep Q R) (δ : Proof P) → δ [ σ ] < ρ > ≡ δ [
rep-sub σ ρ (var x) = ref
rep-sub σ ρ (app δ ε) = wd2 app (rep-sub σ ρ δ) (rep-sub σ ρ ε)
rep-sub {R = R} σ ρ (Λ φ δ) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof R) in
  ∴ Λ φ ((δ [ liftSub σ ]) < lift ρ >)
  ≡ Λ φ (δ [ lift ρ •1 liftSub σ ]) [ wd (Λ φ) (rep-sub (liftSub σ) (lift ρ) δ) ]
  ≡ Λ φ (δ [ liftSub (ρ •1 σ) ]) [[ wd (Λ φ) (subwd (liftSub-comp1 σ ρ) δ) ]]

```

```

sub-rep : ∀ {P} {Q} {R} (σ : Sub Q R) (ρ : Rep P Q) δ → δ < ρ > [ σ ] ≡ δ [ σ ∘ ρ ]
sub-rep σ ρ (var x) = ref
sub-rep σ ρ (app δ ε) = wd2 app (sub-rep σ ρ δ) (sub-rep σ ρ ε)
sub-rep {R = R} σ ρ (Λ φ δ) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof R) in
  ∴ Λ φ ((δ < lift ρ >) [ liftSub σ ])
  ≡ Λ φ (δ [ liftSub σ ∘ lift ρ ]) [ wd (Λ φ) (sub-rep (liftSub σ) (lift ρ) δ) ]
  ≡ Λ φ (δ [ liftSub (σ ∘ ρ) ]) [[ wd (Λ φ) (subwd (liftSub-comp2 σ ρ) δ) ]]

```

We define the composition of two substitutions, as follows.

```

infix 75 _•_
_•_ : ∀ {P Q R : FinSet} → Sub Q R → Sub P Q → Sub P R
(σ • ρ) x = ρ x [ σ ]

```

Lemma 3. *Let $\sigma : Q \Rightarrow R$ and $\rho : P \Rightarrow Q$.*

1. $(\sigma \bullet \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \bullet (\rho + 1)$
2. $M[\sigma \bullet \rho] \equiv \delta[\rho][\sigma]$

```

liftSub-comp : ∀ {P} {Q} {R} (σ : Sub Q R) (ρ : Sub P Q) →
  liftSub (σ • ρ) ~ liftSub σ • liftSub ρ
liftSub-comp σ ρ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-comp σ ρ (↑ x) = trans (rep-sub σ ↑ (ρ x)) (sym (sub-rep (liftSub σ) ↑ (ρ x)))

```

```

subcomp : ∀ {P} {Q} {R} (σ : Sub Q R) (ρ : Sub P Q) δ → δ [ σ • ρ ] ≡ δ [ ρ ] [ σ ]
subcomp σ ρ (var x) = ref
subcomp σ ρ (app δ ε) = wd2 app (subcomp σ ρ δ) (subcomp σ ρ ε)
subcomp σ ρ (Λ φ δ) = wd (Λ φ) (trans (subwd (liftSub-comp σ ρ) δ) (subcomp (liftSub σ

```

Lemma 4. *The finite sets and substitutions form a category under this composition.*

```

assoc : ∀ {P Q R S} {ρ : Sub R S} {σ : Sub Q R} {τ : Sub P Q} →
  ρ • (σ • τ) ~ (ρ • σ) • τ
assoc {P} {Q} {R} {X} {ρ} {σ} {τ} x = sym (subcomp ρ σ (τ x))

```

```

subunitl : ∀ {P} {Q} {σ : Sub P Q} → idSub Q • σ ~ σ
subunitl {P} {Q} {σ} x = subid (σ x)

```

subunitr : $\forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } P \ Q\} \rightarrow \sigma \bullet \text{idSub } P \sim \sigma$
subunitr _ = ref

Replacement is a special case of substitution, in the following sense:

Lemma 5. *For any replacement ρ ,*

$$\delta\{\rho\} \equiv \delta[\rho]$$

rep-is-sub : $\forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{\rho : \text{El } P \rightarrow \text{El } Q\} \delta \rightarrow \delta < \rho > \equiv \delta \llbracket \text{var} \circ \rho \rrbracket$
rep-is-sub (var x) = ref
rep-is-sub (app δ ϵ) = wd2 app (rep-is-sub δ) (rep-is-sub ϵ)
rep-is-sub $\{Q = Q\} \{\rho\} (\Lambda \phi \delta) = \text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof } Q) \text{ in}$
 $\therefore \Lambda \phi (\delta < \text{lift } \rho >)$
 $\equiv \Lambda \phi (\delta \llbracket \text{var} \circ \text{lift } \rho \rrbracket)$ $\llbracket \text{wd } (\Lambda \phi) (\text{rep-is-sub } \delta) \rrbracket$
 $\equiv \Lambda \phi (\delta \llbracket \text{liftSub var} \circ \text{lift } \rho \rrbracket)$ $\llbracket \text{wd } (\Lambda \phi) (\text{subwd } (\lambda x \rightarrow \text{liftSub-id } (\text{lift } \rho \ x)) \delta) \rrbracket$
 $\equiv \Lambda \phi (\delta \llbracket \text{liftSub } (\text{var} \circ \rho) \rrbracket)$ $\llbracket \text{wd } (\Lambda \phi) (\text{subwd } (\text{liftSub-comp}_2 \text{ var } \rho) \delta) \rrbracket$

Given $\delta : \mathbf{Proof}(P)$, let $[\perp := \delta] : P + 1 \Rightarrow P$ be the substitution that maps \perp to δ , and $\uparrow x$ to x for $x \in P$. We write $\delta[\epsilon]$ for $\delta[\perp := \epsilon]$.

botsub : $\forall \{Q\} \rightarrow \text{Proof } Q \rightarrow \text{Sub } (\text{Lift } Q) \ Q$
botsub $\delta \perp = \delta$
botsub _ ($\uparrow x$) = var x

subbot : $\forall \{P\} \rightarrow \text{Proof } (\text{Lift } P) \rightarrow \text{Proof } P \rightarrow \text{Proof } P$
subbot $\delta \epsilon = \delta \llbracket \text{botsub } \epsilon \rrbracket$

Lemma 6. *Let $\delta : \mathbf{Proof}(P)$ and $\sigma : P \Rightarrow Q$. Then*

$$\sigma \bullet [\perp := \delta] \sim [\perp := \delta[\sigma]] \circ (\sigma + 1)$$

sub-botsub : $\forall \{P\} \{Q\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } P \ Q) (\delta : \text{Proof } P) \rightarrow$
 $\sigma \bullet \text{botsub } \delta \sim \text{botsub } (\delta \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket) \bullet \text{liftSub } \sigma$
sub-botsub $\sigma \delta \perp = \text{ref}$
sub-botsub $\sigma \delta (\uparrow x) = \text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof } _) \text{ in}$
 $\therefore \sigma \ x$
 $\equiv \sigma \ x \llbracket \text{idSub } _ \rrbracket$ $\llbracket \text{subid } (\sigma \ x) \rrbracket$
 $\equiv \sigma \ x < \uparrow > \llbracket \text{botsub } (\delta \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket) \rrbracket$ $\llbracket \text{sub-rep } (\text{botsub } (\delta \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket)) \uparrow (\sigma \ x) \rrbracket$

We write $\delta \twoheadrightarrow \epsilon$ iff δ β -reduces to ϵ in zero or more steps, and $\delta \simeq \epsilon$ iff the terms δ and ϵ are β -convertible.

Given substitutions ρ and σ , we write $\rho \twoheadrightarrow \sigma$ iff $\rho(x) \twoheadrightarrow \sigma(x)$ for all x , and $\rho \simeq \sigma$ iff $\rho(x) \simeq \sigma(x)$ for all x .

data $_ \twoheadrightarrow _ : \forall \{Q\} \rightarrow \text{Proof } Q \rightarrow \text{Proof } Q \rightarrow \text{Set}$ where
 $\beta : \forall \{Q\} \phi (\delta : \text{Proof } (\text{Lift } Q)) \epsilon \rightarrow \text{app } (\Lambda \phi \delta) \epsilon \twoheadrightarrow \text{subbot } \delta \epsilon$


```

ref : ∀ {Q} {δ : Proof Q} → δ → δ
→trans : ∀ {Q} {γ δ ε : Proof Q} → γ → δ → δ → ε → γ → ε
app : ∀ {Q} {δ δ' ε ε' : Proof Q} → δ → δ' → ε → ε' → app δ ε → app δ' ε'
ξ : ∀ {Q} {δ ε : Proof (Lift Q)} {φ} → δ → ε → Λ φ δ → Λ φ ε

```

Lemma 7. 1. If $\delta \rightarrow \epsilon$ then $\delta[\sigma] \rightarrow \epsilon[\sigma]$.

```

subredl : ∀ {P} {Q} {ρ : Sub P Q} {δ ε : Proof P} → δ → ε → δ [ ρ ] → ε [ ρ ]
subredl {Q = Q} {ρ = ρ} (β φ δ ε) = subst (λ x → app (Λ φ (δ [ liftSub ρ ])) (ε [ ρ ]))
  (let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof Q) in
    ∴ δ [ liftSub ρ ] [ botsub (ε [ ρ ]) ]
    ≡ δ [ botsub (ε [ ρ ]) • liftSub ρ ]      [[ subcomp (botsub (ε [ ρ ])) (liftSub ρ) δ ]
    ≡ δ [ ρ • botsub ε ]                      [[ subwd (sub-botsub ρ ε) δ ]]
    ≡ δ [ botsub ε ] [ ρ ]                    [ subcomp ρ (botsub ε) δ ])
    (β _ _ _))
subredl ref = ref
subredl (→trans r r₁) = →trans (subredl r) (subredl r₁)
subredl (app r r₁) = app (subredl r) (subredl r₁)
subredl (ξ r) = ξ (subredl r)

```

```

{-liftSub-red : ∀ {P} {Q} {ρ σ : Sub P Q} → (∀ x → ρ x → σ x) → (∀ x → liftSub ρ x → liftSub σ x)
liftSub-red ρ→σ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-red ρ→σ (↑ x) = repred (ρ→σ x)

```

```

subredr : ∀ {P} {Q} {ρ σ : Sub P Q} (δ : Proof P) → (∀ x → ρ x → σ x) → δ [ ρ ] → δ [ σ ]
subredr (var x) ρ→σ = ρ→σ x
subredr (app δ ε) ρ→σ = app (subred δ ρ→σ) (subred ε ρ→σ)
subredr (Λ φ δ) ρ→σ = ξ (subred δ (liftSub-red ρ→σ))-}

```

```

data _≃_ : ∀ {Q} → Proof Q → Proof Q → Set₁ where
  β : ∀ {Q} {φ} {δ : Proof (Lift Q)} {ε} → app (Λ φ δ) ε ≃ subbot δ ε
  ref : ∀ {Q} {δ : Proof Q} → δ ≃ δ
  ≃sym : ∀ {Q} {δ ε : Proof Q} → δ ≃ ε → ε ≃ δ
  ≃trans : ∀ {Q} {δ ε P : Proof Q} → δ ≃ ε → ε ≃ P → δ ≃ P
  app : ∀ {Q} {δ M' ε N' : Proof Q} → δ ≃ M' → ε ≃ N' → app δ ε ≃ app M' N'
  Λ : ∀ {Q} {δ ε : Proof (Lift Q)} {φ} → δ ≃ ε → Λ φ δ ≃ Λ φ ε

```

The *strongly normalizable* terms are defined inductively as follows.

```

data SN {Q} : Proof Q → Set₁ where
  SNI : ∀ {δ} → (∀ ε → δ → ε → SN ε) → SN δ

```

Lemma 8. 1. If $\delta \in SN$ then $\delta \in SN$ and $\epsilon \in SN$.

2. If $\delta[x := N] \in SN$ then $\delta \in SN$.

3. If $\delta \in SN$ and $\delta \triangleright N$ then $\epsilon \in SN$.

4. If $\delta[x := N]\vec{P} \in SN$ and $\epsilon \in SN$ then $(\lambda x \delta)\epsilon \vec{P} \in SN$.

$SN_{\text{appl}} : \forall \{Q\} \{\delta \ \epsilon : \text{Proof } Q\} \rightarrow SN \ (\text{app } \delta \ \epsilon) \rightarrow SN \ \delta$

$SN_{\text{appl}} \{Q\} \{\delta\} \{\epsilon\} (SNI \ \delta N\text{-is-SN}) = SNI \ (\lambda P \ \delta \triangleright P \rightarrow SN_{\text{appl}} \ (\delta N\text{-is-SN} \ (\text{app } P \ \epsilon)) \ (\text{app } \delta \triangleright P))$

$SN_{\text{appr}} : \forall \{Q\} \{\delta \ \epsilon : \text{Proof } Q\} \rightarrow SN \ (\text{app } \delta \ \epsilon) \rightarrow SN \ \epsilon$

$SN_{\text{appr}} \{Q\} \{\delta\} \{\epsilon\} (SNI \ \delta N\text{-is-SN}) = SNI \ (\lambda P \ N \triangleright P \rightarrow SN_{\text{appr}} \ (\delta N\text{-is-SN} \ (\text{app } \delta \ P)) \ (\text{app } \text{ref } P))$

$SN_{\text{sub}} : \forall \{Q\} \{\delta : \text{Proof } (\text{Lift } Q)\} \{\epsilon\} \rightarrow SN \ (\text{subbot } \delta \ \epsilon) \rightarrow SN \ \delta$

$SN_{\text{sub}} \{Q\} \{\delta\} \{\epsilon\} (SNI \ \delta N\text{-is-SN}) = SNI \ (\lambda P \ \delta \triangleright P \rightarrow SN_{\text{sub}} \ (\delta N\text{-is-SN} \ (P \llbracket \text{botsub } \epsilon \rrbracket)) \ (\text{subbot } \delta))$

The rules of deduction of the system are as follows.

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi} \ (p : \phi \in \Gamma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \delta \epsilon : \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : \phi}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, p : \phi \vdash \delta : \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda p : \phi. \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi}$$

`data _\vdash__ : \forall \{P\} \rightarrow PContext P \rightarrow Proof P \rightarrow Prp \rightarrow Set1 where`

`var : \forall \{P\} \{\Gamma : PContext P\} \{p\} \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \text{var } p :: \text{propof } p \ \Gamma`

`app : \forall \{P\} \{\Gamma : PContext P\} \{\delta\} \{\epsilon\} \{\phi\} \{\psi\} \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \delta :: \phi \Rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \epsilon :: \phi \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \text{app } \delta \ \epsilon :: \phi \rightarrow \psi`

`\Lambda : \forall \{P\} \{\Gamma : PContext P\} \{\phi\} \{\delta\} \{\psi\} \rightarrow \Gamma, \phi \vdash \delta :: \psi \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \Lambda \ \phi \ \delta :: \phi \Rightarrow \psi`

`module PHOPL where`

`open import Prelims`

4 Predicative Higher-Order Propositional Logic

Fix sets of *proof variables* and *term variables*.

The syntax of the system is given by the following grammar.

Proof	$\delta ::= p \mid \delta \delta \mid \lambda p : \phi. \delta$
Term	$M, \phi ::= x \mid \perp \mid MM \mid \phi \rightarrow \phi \mid \lambda x : A. M$
Type	$A ::= \Omega \mid A \rightarrow A$
Term Context	$\Gamma ::= \langle \rangle \mid \Gamma, x : A$
Proof Context	$\Delta ::= \langle \rangle \mid \Delta, p : \phi$
Judgement	$\mathcal{J} ::= \Gamma \text{ valid} \mid \Gamma \vdash M : A \mid \Gamma, \Delta \text{ valid} \mid \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \delta : \phi$

where p ranges over proof variables and x ranges over term variables. The variable p is bound within δ in the proof $\lambda p : \phi. \delta$, and the variable x is bound within M in the term $\lambda x : A. M$. We identify proofs and terms up to α -conversion.

In the implementation, we write **Term**(V) for the set of all terms with free variables a subset of V , where $V : \mathbf{FinSet}$.

```

infix 80 _⇒_
data Type : Set where
  Ω : Type
  _⇒_ : Type → Type → Type

--Context V P is the set of all contexts whose domain consists of the term variables in V
infix 80 _,_
data TContext : FinSet → Set where
  ⟨⟩ : TContext ∅
  _,_ : ∀ {V} → TContext V → Type → TContext (Lift V)

--Term V is the set of all terms M with FV(M) ⊆ V
data Term : FinSet → Set where
  var : ∀ {V} → El V → Term V
  ⊥ : ∀ {V} → Term V
  app : ∀ {V} → Term V → Term V → Term V
  Λ : ∀ {V} → Type → Term (Lift V) → Term V
  _⇒_ : ∀ {V} → Term V → Term V → Term V

data PContext (V : FinSet) : FinSet → Set where
  ⟨⟩ : PContext V ∅
  _,_ : ∀ {P} → PContext V P → Term V → PContext V (Lift P)

--Proof V P is the set of all proofs with term variables among V and proof variables among P
data Proof (V : FinSet) : FinSet → Set1 where
  var : ∀ {P} → El P → Proof V P
  app : ∀ {P} → Proof V P → Proof V P → Proof V P
  Λ : ∀ {P} → Term V → Proof V (Lift P) → Proof V P

Let  $U, V : \mathbf{FinSet}$ . A replacement from  $U$  to  $V$  is just a function  $U \rightarrow V$ .
Given a term  $M : \mathbf{Term}(U)$  and a replacement  $\rho : U \rightarrow V$ , we write  $M\{\rho\} : \mathbf{Term}(V)$  for the result of replacing each variable  $x$  in  $M$  with  $\rho(x)$ .

infix 60 _<_>
_<_> : ∀ {U V} → Term U → Rep U V → Term V
(var x) < ρ > = var (ρ x)
⊥ < ρ > = ⊥
(app M N) < ρ > = app (M < ρ >) (N < ρ >)
(Λ A M) < ρ > = Λ A (M < lift ρ >)
(φ ⇒ ψ) < ρ > = (φ < ρ >) ⇒ (ψ < ρ >)

With this as the action on arrows,  $\mathbf{Term}()$  becomes a functor  $\mathbf{FinSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ .

repwd : ∀ {U V : FinSet} {ρ ρ' : El U → El V} → ρ ~ ρ' → ∀ M → M < ρ > ≡ M < ρ' >
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (var x) = wd var (ρ-is-ρ' x)
repwd ρ-is-ρ' ⊥ = ref

```

```

repwd  $\rho$ -is- $\rho'$  (app M N) = wd2 app (repwd  $\rho$ -is- $\rho'$  M) (repwd  $\rho$ -is- $\rho'$  N)
repwd  $\rho$ -is- $\rho'$  ( $\Lambda$  A M) = wd ( $\Lambda$  A) (repwd (liftwd  $\rho$ -is- $\rho'$ ) M)
repwd  $\rho$ -is- $\rho'$  ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) = wd2  $\_ \Rightarrow \_$  (repwd  $\rho$ -is- $\rho'$   $\phi$ ) (repwd  $\rho$ -is- $\rho'$   $\psi$ )

```

```

repid :  $\forall \{V : \text{FinSet}\} M \rightarrow M < \text{id } (\text{El } V) > \equiv M$ 
repid (var x) = ref
repid  $\perp$  = ref
repid (app M N) = wd2 app (repid M) (repid N)
repid ( $\Lambda$  A M) = wd ( $\Lambda$  A) (trans (repwd liftid M) (repid M))
repid ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) = wd2  $\_ \Rightarrow \_$  (repid  $\phi$ ) (repid  $\psi$ )

```

```

repcomp :  $\forall \{U V W : \text{FinSet}\} (\sigma : \text{El } V \rightarrow \text{El } W) (\rho : \text{El } U \rightarrow \text{El } V) M \rightarrow M < \sigma \circ \rho > \equiv M$ 
repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  (var x) = ref
repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$   $\perp$  = ref
repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  (app M N) = wd2 app (repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  M) (repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  N)
repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  ( $\Lambda$  A M) = wd ( $\Lambda$  A) (trans (repwd liftcomp M) (repcomp (lift  $\rho$ ) (lift  $\sigma$ ) M))
repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) = wd2  $\_ \Rightarrow \_$  (repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$   $\phi$ ) (repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$   $\psi$ )

```

A substitution σ from U to V , $\sigma : U \Rightarrow V$, is a function $\sigma : U \rightarrow \mathbf{Term}(V)$.

```

Sub : FinSet  $\rightarrow$  FinSet  $\rightarrow$  Set
Sub U V = El U  $\rightarrow$  Term V

```

The identity substitution $\text{id}_V : V \Rightarrow V$ is defined as follows.

```

idSub :  $\forall V \rightarrow \text{Sub } V V$ 
idSub  $\_$  = var

```

Given $\sigma : U \Rightarrow V$ and $M : \mathbf{Term}(U)$, we want to define $M[\sigma] : \mathbf{Term}(V)$, the result of applying the substitution σ to M . Only after this will we be able to define the composition of two substitutions. However, there is some work we need to do before we are able to do this.

We can define the composition of a substitution and a replacement as follows.

```

infix 75  $\_ \bullet_1 \_$ 
 $\_ \bullet_1 \_$  :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \rightarrow \text{Rep } V W \rightarrow \text{Sub } U V \rightarrow \text{Sub } U W$ 
( $\rho \bullet_1 \sigma$ ) u =  $\sigma$  u <  $\rho$  >

```

(On the other side, given $\rho : U \rightarrow V$ and $\sigma : V \Rightarrow W$, the composition is just function composition $\sigma \circ \rho : U \Rightarrow W$.)

Given a substitution $\sigma : U \Rightarrow V$, define the substitution $\sigma+1 : U+1 \Rightarrow V+1$ as follows.

```

liftSub :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \rightarrow \text{Sub } U V \rightarrow \text{Sub } (\text{Lift } U) (\text{Lift } V)$ 
liftSub  $\_ \perp$  = var  $\perp$ 
liftSub  $\sigma$  ( $\uparrow$  x) =  $\sigma$  x <  $\uparrow$  >

```

```

liftSub-wd :  $\forall \{U V\} \{\sigma \sigma' : \text{Sub } U V\} \rightarrow \sigma \sim \sigma' \rightarrow \text{liftSub } \sigma \sim \text{liftSub } \sigma'$ 
liftSub-wd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$   $\perp$  = ref
liftSub-wd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  ( $\uparrow$  x) = wd ( $\lambda x \rightarrow x < \uparrow >$ ) ( $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  x)

```

Lemma 9. *The operations fbl_1 and $(-)+1$ satisfied the following properties.*

1. $\text{id}_V + 1 = \text{id}_{V+1}$
2. For $\rho : V \rightarrow W$ and $\sigma : U \Rightarrow V$, we have $(\rho \bullet \sigma) + 1 = (\rho + 1) \bullet (\sigma + 1)$.
3. For $\sigma : V \Rightarrow W$ and $\rho : U \rightarrow V$, we have $(\sigma \circ \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \circ (\rho + 1)$.

```
liftSub-id : ∀ {V : FinSet} → liftSub (idSub V) ~ idSub (Lift V)
liftSub-id ⊥ = ref
liftSub-id (↑ x) = ref
```

```
liftSub-comp1 : ∀ {U V W : FinSet} (σ : Sub U V) (ρ : Rep V W) →
  liftSub (ρ •1 σ) ~ lift ρ •1 liftSub σ
liftSub-comp1 σ ρ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-comp1 {W = W} σ ρ (↑ x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term (Lift W)) in
  ∴ σ x < ρ > < ↑ >
  ≡ σ x < ↑ ∘ ρ > [[ repcomp ↑ ρ (σ x) ]]
  ≡ σ x < ↑ > < lift ρ > [ repcomp (lift ρ) ↑ (σ x) ]
--because lift ρ (↑ x) = ↑ (ρ x)
```

```
liftSub-comp2 : ∀ {U V W : FinSet} (σ : Sub V W) (ρ : Rep U V) →
  liftSub (σ ∘ ρ) ~ liftSub σ ∘ lift ρ
liftSub-comp2 σ ρ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-comp2 σ ρ (↑ x) = ref
```

Now define $M[\sigma]$ as follows.

--Term is a monad with unit var and the following multiplication

```
infix 60 _[[_]]
_[[_]] : ∀ {U V : FinSet} → Term U → Sub U V → Term V
(var x) [[ σ ]] = σ x
⊥ [[ σ ]] = ⊥
(app M N) [[ σ ]] = app (M [[ σ ]]) (N [[ σ ]])
(Λ A M) [[ σ ]] = Λ A (M [[ liftSub σ ]])
(φ ⇒ ψ) [[ σ ]] = (φ [[ σ ]]) ⇒ (ψ [[ σ ]])
```

```
subwd : ∀ {U V : FinSet} {σ σ' : Sub U V} → σ ~ σ' → ∀ M → M [[ σ ]] ≡ M [[ σ' ]]
subwd σ-is-σ' (var x) = σ-is-σ' x
subwd σ-is-σ' ⊥ = ref
subwd σ-is-σ' (app M N) = wd2 app (subwd σ-is-σ' M) (subwd σ-is-σ' N)
subwd σ-is-σ' (Λ A M) = wd (Λ A) (subwd (liftSub-wd σ-is-σ') M)
subwd σ-is-σ' (φ ⇒ ψ) = wd2 _⇒_ (subwd σ-is-σ' φ) (subwd σ-is-σ' ψ)
```

This interacts with our previous operations in a good way:

Lemma 10. 1. $M[\text{id}_V] \equiv M$

2. $M[\rho \bullet \sigma] \equiv M[\sigma]\{\rho\}$

$$3. M[\sigma \circ \rho] \equiv M \langle \rho \rangle [\sigma]$$

```

subid : ∀ {V : FinSet} (M : Term V) → M [ idSub V ] ≡ M
subid (var x) = ref
subid ⊥ = ref
subid (app M N) = wd2 app (subid M) (subid N)
subid {V} (Λ A M) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term V) in
  ∴ Λ A (M [ liftSub (idSub V) ])
  ≡ Λ A (M [ idSub (Lift V) ]) [ wd (Λ A) (subwd liftSub-id M) ]
  ≡ Λ A M [ wd (Λ A) (subid M) ]
subid (ϕ ⇒ ψ) = wd2 _⇒_ (subid ϕ) (subid ψ)

```

```

rep-sub : ∀ {U} {V} {W} (σ : Sub U V) (ρ : Rep V W) (M : Term U) → M [ σ ] < ρ > ≡ M [ ρ ]
rep-sub σ ρ (var x) = ref
rep-sub σ ρ ⊥ = ref
rep-sub σ ρ (app M N) = wd2 app (rep-sub σ ρ M) (rep-sub σ ρ N)
rep-sub {W = W} σ ρ (Λ A M) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term W) in
  ∴ Λ A ((M [ liftSub σ ]) < lift ρ >)
  ≡ Λ A (M [ lift ρ •1 liftSub σ ]) [ wd (Λ A) (rep-sub (liftSub σ) (lift ρ) M) ]
  ≡ Λ A (M [ liftSub (ρ •1 σ) ]) [[ wd (Λ A) (subwd (liftSub-comp1 σ ρ) M) ]]
rep-sub σ ρ (ϕ ⇒ ψ) = wd2 _⇒_ (rep-sub σ ρ ϕ) (rep-sub σ ρ ψ)

```

```

sub-rep : ∀ {U} {V} {W} (σ : Sub V W) (ρ : Rep U V) M → M < ρ > [ σ ] ≡ M [ σ ∘ ρ ]
sub-rep σ ρ (var x) = ref
sub-rep σ ρ ⊥ = ref
sub-rep σ ρ (app M N) = wd2 app (sub-rep σ ρ M) (sub-rep σ ρ N)
sub-rep {W = W} σ ρ (Λ A M) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term W) in
  ∴ Λ A ((M < lift ρ >) [ liftSub σ ])
  ≡ Λ A (M [ liftSub σ ∘ lift ρ ]) [ wd (Λ A) (sub-rep (liftSub σ) (lift ρ) M) ]
  ≡ Λ A (M [ liftSub (σ ∘ ρ) ]) [[ wd (Λ A) (subwd (liftSub-comp2 σ ρ) M) ]]
sub-rep σ ρ (ϕ ⇒ ψ) = wd2 _⇒_ (sub-rep σ ρ ϕ) (sub-rep σ ρ ψ)

```

We define the composition of two substitutions, as follows.

```

infix 75 _•_
_•_ : ∀ {U V W : FinSet} → Sub V W → Sub U V → Sub U W
(σ • ρ) x = ρ x [ σ ]

```

Lemma 11. *Let $\sigma : V \Rightarrow W$ and $\rho : U \Rightarrow V$.*

1. $(\sigma \bullet \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \bullet (\rho + 1)$
2. $M[\sigma \bullet \rho] \equiv M[\rho][\sigma]$

```

liftSub-comp : ∀ {U} {V} {W} (σ : Sub V W) (ρ : Sub U V) →
  liftSub (σ • ρ) ~ liftSub σ • liftSub ρ
liftSub-comp σ ρ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-comp σ ρ (↑ x) = trans (rep-sub σ ↑ (ρ x)) (sym (sub-rep (liftSub σ) ↑ (ρ x)))

```

```

subcomp : ∀ {U} {V} {W} (σ : Sub V W) (ρ : Sub U V) M → M [ σ • ρ ] ≡ M [ ρ ] [ σ ]
subcomp σ ρ (var x) = ref
subcomp σ ρ ⊥ = ref
subcomp σ ρ (app M N) = wd2 app (subcomp σ ρ M) (subcomp σ ρ N)
subcomp σ ρ (λ A M) = wd (λ A) (trans (subwd (liftSub-comp σ ρ) M) (subcomp (liftSub σ ρ) M))
subcomp σ ρ (φ ⇒ ψ) = wd2 _⇒_ (subcomp σ ρ φ) (subcomp σ ρ ψ)

```

Lemma 12. *The finite sets and substitutions form a category under this composition.*

```

assoc : ∀ {U V W X} {ρ : Sub W X} {σ : Sub V W} {τ : Sub U V} →
  ρ • (σ • τ) ~ (ρ • σ) • τ
assoc {U} {V} {W} {X} {ρ} {σ} {τ} x = sym (subcomp ρ σ (τ x))

```

```

subunitl : ∀ {U} {V} {σ : Sub U V} → idSub V • σ ~ σ
subunitl {U} {V} {σ} x = subid (σ x)

```

```

subunitr : ∀ {U} {V} {σ : Sub U V} → σ • idSub U ~ σ
subunitr _ = ref

```

-- The second monad law

```

rep-is-sub : ∀ {U} {V} {ρ : El U → El V} M → M < ρ > ≡ M [ var ∘ ρ ]
rep-is-sub (var x) = ref
rep-is-sub ⊥ = ref
rep-is-sub (app M N) = wd2 app (rep-is-sub M) (rep-is-sub N)
rep-is-sub {V = V} {ρ} (λ A M) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term V) in
  ∴ λ A (M < lift ρ >)
    ≡ λ A (M [ var ∘ lift ρ ]) [ wd (λ A) (rep-is-sub M) ]
    ≡ λ A (M [ liftSub var ∘ lift ρ ]) [[ wd (λ A) (subwd (λ x → liftSub-id (lift ρ x)) M) ]
    ≡ λ A (M [ liftSub (var ∘ ρ) ]) [[ wd (λ A) (subwd (liftSub-comp₂ var ρ) M) ]
--wd (λ A) (trans (rep-is-sub M) (subwd {!!} M))
rep-is-sub (φ ⇒ ψ) = wd2 _⇒_ (rep-is-sub φ) (rep-is-sub ψ)

```

```

typeof : ∀ {V} → El V → TContext V → Type
typeof ⊥ ( _ , A ) = A
typeof (↑ x) (Γ , _) = typeof x Γ

```

```

propof : ∀ {V} {P} → El P → PContext V P → Term V
propof ⊥ ( _ , φ ) = φ
propof (↑ p) (Γ , _) = propof p Γ

```

```

liftSub-var' : ∀ {U} {V} (ρ : El U → El V) → liftSub (var ∘ ρ) ~ var ∘ lift ρ
liftSub-var' ρ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-var' ρ (↑ x) = ref

```

```

botsub : ∀ {V} → Term V → Sub (Lift V) V
botsub M ⊥ = M
botsub _ (↑ x) = var x

sub-botsub : ∀ {U} {V} (σ : Sub U V) (M : Term U) (x : El (Lift U)) →
  botsub M x [[ σ ]] ≡ liftSub σ x [[ botsub (M [[ σ ]]) ]]
sub-botsub σ M ⊥ = ref
sub-botsub σ M (↑ x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term _) in
  ∴ σ x
  ≡ σ x [[ idSub _ ]] [[ subid (σ x) ]]
  ≡ σ x < ↑ > [[ botsub (M [[ σ ]]) ]] [[ sub-rep (botsub (M [[ σ ]]) ↑ (σ x) )]]

rep-botsub : ∀ {U} {V} (ρ : El U → El V) (M : Term U) (x : El (Lift U)) →
  botsub M x < ρ > ≡ botsub (M < ρ >) (lift ρ x)
rep-botsub ρ M x = trans (rep-is-sub (botsub M x))
  (trans (sub-botsub (var ∘ ρ) M x) (trans (subwd (λ x₁ → wd (λ y → botsub y x₁) (sym (
    wd (λ x → x [[ botsub (M < ρ >)]]) (liftSub-var' ρ x))))
--TODO Inline this?

subbot : ∀ {V} → Term (Lift V) → Term V → Term V
subbot M N = M [[ botsub N ]]

We write  $M \simeq N$  iff the terms  $M$  and  $N$  are  $\beta$ -convertible, and similarly for
proofs.

data _→_ : ∀ {V} → Term V → Term V → Set where
  β : ∀ {V} A (M : Term (Lift V)) N → app (Λ A M) N → subbot M N
  ref : ∀ {V} {M : Term V} → M → M
  →trans : ∀ {V} {M N P : Term V} → M → N → N → P → M → P
  app : ∀ {V} {M M' N N' : Term V} → M → M' → N → N' → app M N → app M' N'
  Λ : ∀ {V} {M N : Term (Lift V)} {A} → M → N → Λ A M → Λ A N
  imp : ∀ {V} {φ φ' ψ ψ' : Term V} → φ → φ' → ψ → ψ' → φ ⇒ ψ → φ' ⇒ ψ'

repred : ∀ {U} {V} {ρ : El U → El V} {M N : Term U} → M → N → M < ρ > → N < ρ >
repred {U} {V} {ρ} (β A M N) = subst (λ x → app (Λ A (M < lift ρ >)) (N < ρ > → x) (
repred ref = ref
repred (→trans M→N N→P) = →trans (repred M→N) (repred N→P)
repred (app M→N M'→N') = app (repred M→N) (repred M'→N')
repred (Λ M→N) = Λ (repred M→N)
repred (imp φ→φ' ψ→ψ') = imp (repred φ→φ') (repred ψ→ψ')

liftSub-red : ∀ {U} {V} {ρ σ : Sub U V} → (∀ x → ρ x → σ x) → (∀ x → liftSub ρ x →
liftSub-red ρ→σ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-red ρ→σ (↑ x) = repred (ρ→σ x)

```



```

subred : ∀ {U} {V} {ρ σ : Sub U V} (M : Term U) → (∀ x → ρ x → σ x) → M [ ρ ] → M [ σ ]
subred (var x) ρ → σ = ρ → σ x
subred ⊥ ρ → σ = ref
subred (app M N) ρ → σ = app (subred M ρ → σ) (subred N ρ → σ)
subred (λ A M) ρ → σ = λ (subred M (liftSub-red ρ → σ))
subred (φ ⇒ ψ) ρ → σ = imp (subred φ ρ → σ) (subred ψ ρ → σ)

subsub : ∀ {U} {V} {W} (σ : Sub V W) (ρ : Sub U V) M → M [ ρ ] [ σ ] ≡ M [ σ • ρ ]
subsub σ ρ (var x) = ref
subsub σ ρ ⊥ = ref
subsub σ ρ (app M N) = wd2 app (subsub σ ρ M) (subsub σ ρ N)
subsub σ ρ (λ A M) = wd (λ A) (trans (subsub (liftSub σ) (liftSub ρ) M)
  (subwd (λ x → sym (liftSub-comp σ ρ x)) M))
subsub σ ρ (φ ⇒ ψ) = wd2 _⇒_ (subsub σ ρ φ) (subsub σ ρ ψ)

subredr : ∀ {U} {V} {σ : Sub U V} {M N : Term U} → M → N → M [ σ ] → N [ σ ]
subredr {U} {V} {σ} (β A M N) = subst (λ x → app (λ A (M [ liftSub σ ])) (N [ σ ])) → x
  (sym (trans (subsub (botsub (N [ σ ])) (liftSub σ) M) (subwd (λ x → sym (sub-botsub σ
subredr ref = ref
subredr (→trans M→N N→P) = →trans (subredr M→N) (subredr N→P)
subredr (app M→M' N→N') = app (subredr M→M') (subredr N→N')
subredr (λ M→N) = λ (subredr M→N)
subredr (imp φ→φ' ψ→ψ') = imp (subredr φ→φ') (subredr ψ→ψ')

data _≃_ : ∀ {V} → Term V → Term V → Set1 where
  β : ∀ {V} {A} {M : Term (Lift V)} {N} → app (λ A M) N ≃ subbot M N
  ref : ∀ {V} {M : Term V} → M ≃ M
  ≃sym : ∀ {V} {M N : Term V} → M ≃ N → N ≃ M
  ≃trans : ∀ {V} {M N P : Term V} → M ≃ N → N ≃ P → M ≃ P
  app : ∀ {V} {M M' N N' : Term V} → M ≃ M' → N ≃ N' → app M N ≃ app M' N'
  λ : ∀ {V} {M N : Term (Lift V)} {A} → M ≃ N → λ A M ≃ λ A N
  imp : ∀ {V} {φ φ' ψ ψ' : Term V} → φ ≃ φ' → ψ ≃ ψ' → φ ⇒ ψ ≃ φ' ⇒ ψ'

```

The *strongly normalizable* terms are defined inductively as follows.

```

data SN {V} : Term V → Set1 where
  SNI : ∀ {M} → (∀ N → M → N → SN N) → SN M

```

Lemma 13. 1. If $MN \in SN$ then $M \in SN$ and $N \in SN$.

2. If $M[x := N] \in SN$ then $M \in SN$.

3. If $M \in SN$ and $M \triangleright N$ then $N \in SN$.

4. If $M[x := N]\vec{P} \in SN$ and $N \in SN$ then $(\lambda x M)N\vec{P} \in SN$.

```

SNappl : ∀ {V} {M N : Term V} → SN (app M N) → SN M
SNappl {V} {M} {N} (SNI MN-is-SN) = SNI (λ P M▷P → SNappl (MN-is-SN (app P N) (app M▷P

```

$\text{SNappr} : \forall \{V\} \{M \ N : \text{Term } V\} \rightarrow \text{SN } (\text{app } M \ N) \rightarrow \text{SN } N$
 $\text{SNappr } \{V\} \{M\} \{N\} (\text{SNI } MN\text{-is-SN}) = \text{SNI } (\lambda P \ N \triangleright P \rightarrow \text{SNappr } (MN\text{-is-SN } (\text{app } M \ P)) (\text{app ref } P))$
 $\text{SNsub} : \forall \{V\} \{M : \text{Term } (\text{Lift } V)\} \{N\} \rightarrow \text{SN } (\text{subbot } M \ N) \rightarrow \text{SN } M$
 $\text{SNsub } \{V\} \{M\} \{N\} (\text{SNI } MN\text{-is-SN}) = \text{SNI } (\lambda P \ M \triangleright P \rightarrow \text{SNsub } (MN\text{-is-SN } (P \llbracket \text{botsub } N \rrbracket)) (\text{subbot ref } P))$

The rules of deduction of the system are as follows.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\langle \rangle \text{ valid}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma, x : A \text{ valid}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega}{\Gamma, p : \phi \text{ valid}} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash x : A} (x : A \in \Gamma) \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi} (p : \phi \in \Gamma) \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash \perp : \Omega} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega}{\Gamma \vdash \phi \rightarrow \psi : \Omega} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash MN : B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : \phi}{\Gamma \vdash \delta \epsilon : \psi} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. M : A \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma, p : \phi \vdash \delta : \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda p : \phi. \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega}{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \psi} (\phi \simeq \psi)
\end{array}$$

mutual

```

data Tvalid : ∀ {V} → TContext V → Set1 where
  ⟨ ⟩ : Tvalid ⟨ ⟩
  _,_ : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} → Tvalid Γ → ∀ A → Tvalid (Γ , A)

data _⊢_ : ∀ {V} → TContext V → Term V → Type → Set1 where
  var : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} {x} → Tvalid Γ → Γ ⊢ var x : typeof x Γ
  ⊥ : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} → Tvalid Γ → Γ ⊢ ⊥ : Ω
  imp : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} {ϕ} {ψ} → Γ ⊢ ϕ : Ω → Γ ⊢ ψ : Ω → Γ ⊢ ϕ ⇒ ψ : Ω
  app : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} {M} {N} {A} {B} → Γ ⊢ M : A ⇒ B → Γ ⊢ N : A → Γ ⊢ app M N : B
  Λ : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} {A} {M} {B} → Γ , A ⊢ M : B → Γ ⊢ Λ A M : A ⇒ B

data Pvalid : ∀ {V} {P} → TContext V → PContext V P → Set1 where
  ⟨ ⟩ : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} → Tvalid Γ → Pvalid Γ ⟨ ⟩
  _,_ : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : TContext V} {Δ : PContext V P} {ϕ : Term V} → Pvalid Γ Δ → Γ ⊢ ϕ : PContext V P

data _,_,_⊢_ : ∀ {V} {P} → TContext V → PContext V P → Proof V P → Term V → Set1 where
  var : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : TContext V} {Δ : PContext V P} {p} → Pvalid Γ Δ → Γ , , Δ ⊢ var p : typeof p Γ , , Δ

```

$\text{app} : \forall \{V\} \{P\} \{\Gamma : \text{TContext } V\} \{\Delta : \text{PContext } V \text{ P}\} \{\delta\} \{\epsilon\} \{\phi\} \{\psi\} \rightarrow \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \delta :: \phi$
 $\Lambda : \forall \{V\} \{P\} \{\Gamma : \text{TContext } V\} \{\Delta : \text{PContext } V \text{ P}\} \{\phi\} \{\delta\} \{\psi\} \rightarrow \Gamma, \Delta, \phi \vdash \delta :: \psi$
 $\text{conv} : \forall \{V\} \{P\} \{\Gamma : \text{TContext } V\} \{\Delta : \text{PContext } V \text{ P}\} \{\delta\} \{\phi\} \{\psi\} \rightarrow \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \delta :: \phi$