

Type Theories with Computation Rules for the Univalence Axiom

Robin Adams

March 11, 2016

1 Preliminaries

```
module Prelims where

postulate Level : Set
postulate zro : Level
postulate suc : Level → Level
{-# BUILTIN LEVEL Level #-}
{-# BUILTIN LEVELZERO zro #-}
{-# BUILTIN LEVELSUC suc #-}
```

1.1 The Empty Type

```
data False : Set where
```

1.2 Conjunction

```
data _∧_ {i} (P Q : Set i) : Set i where
  _,_ : P → Q → P ∧ Q

π1 : ∀ {i} {P Q : Set i} → P ∧ Q → P
π1 (x , _) = x

π2 : ∀ {i} {P Q : Set i} → P ∧ Q → Q
π2 (_, y) = y
```

1.3 Functions

```
infix 75 _◦_
_◦_ : ∀ {A B C : Set} → (B → C) → (A → B) → A → C
(g ◦ f) x = g (f x)
```

1.4 Equality

We use the inductively defined equality $=$ on every datatype.

```

infix 50 _≡_
data _≡_ {A : Set} (a : A) : A → Set where
  ref : a ≡ a

subst : ∀ {i} {A : Set} (P : A → Set i) {a} {b} → a ≡ b → P a → P b
subst P ref Pa = Pa

subst2 : ∀ {A B : Set} (P : A → B → Set) {a a' b b'} → a ≡ a' → b ≡ b' → P a b → P a' b
subst2 P ref ref Pab = Pab

sym : ∀ {A : Set} {a b : A} → a ≡ b → b ≡ a
sym ref = ref

trans : ∀ {A : Set} {a b c : A} → a ≡ b → b ≡ c → a ≡ c
trans ref ref = ref

wd : ∀ {A B : Set} (f : A → B) {a a' : A} → a ≡ a' → f a ≡ f a'
wd _ ref = ref

wd2 : ∀ {A B C : Set} (f : A → B → C) {a a' : A} {b b' : B} → a ≡ a' → b ≡ b' → f a b ≡ f a' b'
wd2 _ ref ref = ref

module Equational-Reasoning (A : Set) where
  infix 2 ∴_
  ∴_ : ∀ (a : A) → a ≡ a
  ∴ _ = ref

  infix 1 _≡_[_]
  _≡_[_] : ∀ {a b : A} → a ≡ b → ∀ c → b ≡ c → a ≡ c
  δ ≡ c [ δ' ] = trans δ δ'

  infix 1 _≡_[[_]]
  _≡_[[_]] : ∀ {a b : A} → a ≡ b → ∀ c → c ≡ b → a ≡ c
  δ ≡ c [[ δ' ]] = trans δ (sym δ')

```

2 Datatypes

We introduce a universe **FinSet** of (names of) finite sets. There is an empty set $\emptyset : \mathbf{FinSet}$, and for every $A : \mathbf{FinSet}$, the type $A + 1 : \mathbf{FinSet}$ has one more element:

$$A + 1 = \{\perp\} \uplus \{\uparrow a : a \in A\}$$

```

data FinSet : Set where
  ∅ : FinSet
  Lift : FinSet → FinSet

data El : FinSet → Set where
  ⊥ : ∀ {V} → El (Lift V)
  ↑ : ∀ {V} → El V → El (Lift V)

lift : ∀ {A} {B} → (El A → El B) → El (Lift A) → El (Lift B)
lift _ ⊥ = ⊥
lift f (↑ x) = ↑ (f x)

```

3 Grammars

```

module Grammar where

```

```

open import Prelims

```

Before we begin investigating the several theories we wish to consider, we present a general theory of syntax and capture-avoiding substitution.

A *grammar* consists of:

- a set of *expression kinds*;
- a set of *constructors*, each with an associated *constructor kind* of the form

$$((A_{11}, \dots, A_{1r_1})B_1, \dots, (A_{m1}, \dots, A_{mr_m})B_m)C \quad (1)$$

where each A_{ij} , B_i and C is an expression kind.

- a binary relation of *parenthood* on the set of expression kinds.

A constructor c of kind (1) is a constructor that takes m arguments of kind B_1, \dots, B_m , and binds r_i variables in its i th argument of kind A_{ij} , producing an expression of kind C . We write this expression as

$$c([x_{11}, \dots, x_{1r_1}]E_1, \dots, [x_{m1}, \dots, x_{mr_m}]E_m) . \quad (2)$$

The subexpressions of the form $[x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ir_i}]E_i$ shall be called *abstractions*, and the pieces of syntax of the form $(A_{i1}, \dots, A_{ij})B_i$ that occur in constructor kinds shall be called *abstraction kinds*.

```

record Taxonomy : Set1 where
  field
    VarKind : Set
    NonVarKind : Set

data ExpressionKind : Set where

```

```

varKind : VarKind → ExpressionKind
nonVarKind : NonVarKind → ExpressionKind

data KindClass : Set where
  -Expression : KindClass
  -Abstraction : KindClass
  -Constructor : ExpressionKind → KindClass

data Kind : KindClass → Set where
  base : ExpressionKind → Kind -Expression
  out : ExpressionKind → Kind -Abstraction
  Π : VarKind → Kind -Abstraction → Kind -Abstraction
  out2 : ∀ {K} → Kind (-Constructor K)
  Π2 : ∀ {K} → Kind -Abstraction → Kind (-Constructor K) → Kind (-Constructor K)

AbstractionKind : Set
AbstractionKind = Kind -Abstraction

ConstructorKind : ExpressionKind → Set
ConstructorKind K = Kind (-Constructor K)

record ToGrammar (T : Taxonomy) : Set1 where
  open Taxonomy T
  field
    Constructor : ∀ {K : ExpressionKind} → ConstructorKind K → Set
    parent : VarKind → ExpressionKind

```

An *alphabet* $V = \{V_E\}_E$ consists of a set V_E of *variables* of kind E for each expression kind E . The *expressions* of kind E over the alphabet V are defined inductively by:

- Every variable of kind E is an expression of kind E .
- If c is a constructor of kind (1), each E_i is an expression of kind B_i , and each x_{ij} is a variable of kind A_{ij} , then (2) is an expression of kind C .

Each x_{ij} is bound within E_i in the expression (2). We identify expressions up to α -conversion.

```

data Alphabet : Set where
  ∅ : Alphabet
  _,_ : Alphabet → VarKind → Alphabet

data Var : Alphabet → VarKind → Set where
  x0 : ∀ {V} {K} → Var (V , K) K
  ↑ : ∀ {V} {K} {L} → Var V L → Var (V , K) L

```

```

extend : Alphabet → VarKind → FinSet → Alphabet
extend A K ∅ = A
extend A K (Lift F) = extend A K F , K

embed : ∀ {A} {K} {F} → El F → Var (extend A K F) K
embed ⊥ = x0
embed (↑ x) = ↑ (embed x)

data Expression' (V : Alphabet) : ∀ C → Kind C → Set where
  var : ∀ {K} → Var V K → Expression' V -Expression (base (varKind K))
  app : ∀ {K} {C : ConstructorKind K} → Constructor C → Expression' V (-Constructor K) C
  out : ∀ {K} → Expression' V -Expression (base K) → Expression' V -Abstraction (out K)
  Λ : ∀ {K} {A} → Expression' (V , K) -Abstraction A → Expression' V -Abstraction (Λ A)
  out2 : ∀ {K} → Expression' V (-Constructor K) out2
  app2 : ∀ {K} {A} {C} → Expression' V -Abstraction A → Expression' V (-Constructor K) C

Expression'' : Alphabet → ExpressionKind → Set
Expression'' V K = Expression' V -Expression (base K)

Body' : Alphabet → ∀ K → ConstructorKind K → Set
Body' V K C = Expression' V (-Constructor K) C

Abstraction' : Alphabet → AbstractionKind → Set
Abstraction' V K = Expression' V -Abstraction K

```

Given alphabets U , V , and a function ρ that maps every variable in U of kind K to a variable in V of kind K , we denote by $E\{\rho\}$ the result of *replacing* every variable x in E with $\rho(x)$.

```

Rep : Alphabet → Alphabet → Set
Rep U V = ∀ K → Var U K → Var V K

_~R_ : ∀ {U} {V} → Rep U V → Rep U V → Set
ρ ~R ρ' = ∀ {K} x → ρ K x ≡ ρ' K x

embedl : ∀ {A} {K} {F} → Rep A (extend A K F)
embedl {F = ∅} _ x = x
embedl {F = Lift F} K x = ↑ (embedl {F = F} K x)

The alphabets and replacements form a category.

idRep : ∀ V → Rep V V
idRep _ _ x = x

infixl 75 _•R_
_•R_ : ∀ {U} {V} {W} → Rep V W → Rep U V → Rep U W
(ρ' •R ρ) K x = ρ' K (ρ K x)

```

--We choose not to prove the category axioms, as they hold up to judgemental equality.

Given a replacement $\rho : U \rightarrow V$, we can ‘lift’ this to a replacement $(\rho, K) : (U, K) \rightarrow (V, K)$. Under this operation, the mapping $(-, K)$ becomes an endofunctor on the category of alphabets and replacements.

```
Rep↑ : ∀ {U} {V} {K} → Rep U V → Rep (U , K) (V , K)
Rep↑ _ _ x₀ = x₀
Rep↑ ρ K (↑ x) = ↑ (ρ K x)
```

```
Rep↑-wd : ∀ {U} {V} {K} {ρ ρ' : Rep U V} → ρ ~R ρ' → Rep↑ {K = K} ρ ~R Rep↑ ρ'
Rep↑-wd ρ-is-ρ' x₀ = ref
Rep↑-wd ρ-is-ρ' (↑ x) = wd ↑ (ρ-is-ρ' x)
```

```
Rep↑-id : ∀ {V} {K} → Rep↑ (idRep V) ~R idRep (V , K)
Rep↑-id x₀ = ref
Rep↑-id (↑ _) = ref
```

```
Rep↑-comp : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {K} {ρ' : Rep V W} {ρ : Rep U V} → Rep↑ {K = K} (ρ' •R ρ) ~
Rep↑-comp x₀ = ref
Rep↑-comp (↑ _) = ref
```

Finally, we can define $E\langle\rho\rangle$, the result of replacing each variable x in E with $\rho(x)$. Under this operation, the mapping $\text{Expression} \rightarrow K$ becomes a functor from the category of alphabets and replacements to the category of sets.

```
rep : ∀ {U} {V} {C} {K} → Expression' U C K → Rep U V → Expression' V C K
rep (var x) ρ = var (ρ _ x)
rep (app c EE) ρ = app c (rep EE ρ)
rep (out E) ρ = out (rep E ρ)
rep (Λ E) ρ = Λ (rep E (Rep↑ ρ))
rep out₂ _ = out₂
rep (app₂ E F) ρ = app₂ (rep E ρ) (rep F ρ)
```

mutual

```
infix 60 _⟨_⟩
_⟨_⟩ : ∀ {U} {V} {K} → Expression' U K → Rep U V → Expression' V K
var x ⟨ ρ ⟩ = var (ρ _ x)
(app c EE) ⟨ ρ ⟩ = app c (EE ⟨ ρ ⟩B)
```

```
infix 60 _⟨_⟩B
_⟨_⟩B : ∀ {U} {V} {K} {C : ConstructorKind K} → Expression' U (-Constructor K) C →
out₂ ⟨ ρ ⟩B = out₂
(app₂ A EE) ⟨ ρ ⟩B = app₂ (A ⟨ ρ ⟩A) (EE ⟨ ρ ⟩B)
```

```
infix 60 _⟨_⟩A
```

$_ \langle _ \rangle A : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{A\} \rightarrow \text{Expression}' U \text{-Abstraction } A \rightarrow \text{Rep } U V \rightarrow \text{Expression}' V \text{-Abstraction } A$
 $\text{out } E \langle \rho \rangle A = \text{out } (E \langle \rho \rangle)$
 $\Lambda A \langle \rho \rangle A = \Lambda (A \langle \text{Rep}^\uparrow \rho \rangle A)$

mutual

$\text{rep-wd} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{E : \text{Expression}' U K\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U V\} \{\rho' : \text{Rep } U V\} \rightarrow \rho \sim_R \rho' \rightarrow \text{rep } E \rho = \text{rep } E \rho'$
 $\text{rep-wd } \{E = \text{var } x\} \rho\text{-is-}\rho' = \text{wd var } (\rho\text{-is-}\rho' x)$
 $\text{rep-wd } \{E = \text{app } c \text{ EE}\} \rho\text{-is-}\rho' = \text{wd (app } c) (\text{rep-wdB } \rho\text{-is-}\rho')$

$\text{rep-wdB} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{C : \text{ConstructorKind } K\} \{EE : \text{Expression}' U (-\text{Constructor } K) C\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U V\} \{\rho' : \text{Rep } U V\} \rightarrow \rho \sim_R \rho' \rightarrow \text{rep-wdB } \rho = \text{rep-wdB } \rho'$
 $\text{rep-wdB } \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{C\} \{EE = \text{out}_2\} \rho\text{-is-}\rho' = \text{ref}$
 $\text{rep-wdB } \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{C\} \{EE = \text{app}_2 A' EE\} \rho\text{-is-}\rho' = \text{wd2 app}_2 (\text{rep-wdA } \rho\text{-is-}\rho') (\text{rep-wdB } \rho\text{-is-}\rho')$

$\text{rep-wdA} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{A\} \{E : \text{Expression}' U \text{-Abstraction } A\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U V\} \{\rho' : \text{Rep } U V\} \rightarrow \rho \sim_R \rho' \rightarrow \text{rep-wdA } \rho = \text{rep-wdA } \rho'$
 $\text{rep-wdA } \{U\} \{V\} \{A\} \{E\} \rho\text{-is-}\rho' = \text{wd out } (\text{rep-wd } \rho\text{-is-}\rho')$
 $\text{rep-wdA } \{U\} \{V\} \{A\} \{E\} \rho\text{-is-}\rho' = \text{wd } \Lambda (\text{rep-wdA } (\text{Rep}^\uparrow\text{-wd } \rho\text{-is-}\rho'))$

mutual

$\text{rep-id} : \forall \{V\} \{K\} \{E : \text{Expression}' V K\} \rightarrow \text{rep } E (\text{idRep } V) \equiv E$
 $\text{rep-id } \{E = \text{var } _ \} = \text{ref}$
 $\text{rep-id } \{E = \text{app } c _ \} = \text{wd (app } c) \text{ rep-idB}$

$\text{rep-idB} : \forall \{V\} \{K\} \{C : \text{ConstructorKind } K\} \{EE : \text{Expression}' V (-\text{Constructor } K) C\} \rightarrow \text{rep-idB } EE \equiv EE$
 $\text{rep-idB } \{EE = \text{out}_2\} = \text{ref}$
 $\text{rep-idB } \{EE = \text{app}_2 _ _ \} = \text{wd2 app}_2 \text{ rep-idA rep-idB}$

$\text{rep-idA} : \forall \{V\} \{K\} \{A : \text{Expression}' V \text{-Abstraction } K\} \rightarrow \text{rep } A (\text{idRep } V) \equiv A$
 $\text{rep-idA } \{A = \text{out } _ \} = \text{wd out rep-id}$
 $\text{rep-idA } \{A = \Lambda _ \} = \text{wd } \Lambda (\text{trans (rep-wdA Rep}^\uparrow\text{-id) rep-idA})$

mutual

$\text{rep-comp} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U V\} \{\rho' : \text{Rep } V W\} \{E : \text{Expression}' U K\} \rightarrow \text{rep } E \rho = \text{rep } E \rho'$
 $\text{rep-comp } \{E = \text{var } _ \} = \text{ref}$
 $\text{rep-comp } \{E = \text{app } c _ \} = \text{wd (app } c) \text{ rep-compB}$

$\text{rep-compB} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{C : \text{ConstructorKind } K\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U V\} \{\rho' : \text{Rep } V W\} \{EE : \text{Expression}' V K\} \rightarrow \text{rep-compB } \rho = \text{rep-compB } \rho'$
 $\text{rep-compB } \{EE = \text{out}_2\} = \text{ref}$
 $\text{rep-compB } \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{C\} \{EE = \text{app}_2 A' EE\} \rho = \text{wd2 app}_2 (\text{rep-compA } \rho) (\text{rep-compB } \rho')$

$\text{rep-compA} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U V\} \{\rho' : \text{Rep } V W\} \{A : \text{Expression}' U \text{-Abstraction } K\} \rightarrow \text{rep-compA } \rho = \text{rep-compA } \rho'$
 $\text{rep-compA } \{A = \text{out } _ \} = \text{wd out rep-comp}$
 $\text{rep-compA } \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{A\} \rho = \text{wd } \Lambda (\text{trans (rep-wdA Rep}^\uparrow\text{-comp) rep-compA})$

This provides us with the canonical mapping from an expression over V to an expression over (V, K) :

$\text{liftE} : \forall \{V\} \{K\} \{L\} \rightarrow \text{Expression}'' \ V \ L \rightarrow \text{Expression}'' \ (V, K) \ L$
 $\text{liftE } E = \text{rep } E \ (\lambda _ \rightarrow \uparrow)$

A *substitution* σ from alphabet U to alphabet V , $\sigma : U \Rightarrow V$, is a function σ that maps every variable x of kind K in U to an *expression* $\sigma(x)$ of kind K over V . Then, given an expression E of kind K over U , we write $E[\sigma]$ for the result of substituting $\sigma(x)$ for x for each variable in E , avoiding capture.

$\text{Sub} : \text{Alphabet} \rightarrow \text{Alphabet} \rightarrow \text{Set}$
 $\text{Sub } U \ V = \forall K \rightarrow \text{Var } U \ K \rightarrow \text{Expression}'' \ V \ (\text{varKind } K)$

$_ \sim _ : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \rightarrow \text{Sub } U \ V \rightarrow \text{Sub } U \ V \rightarrow \text{Set}$
 $\sigma \sim \tau = \forall K \ x \rightarrow \sigma \ K \ x \equiv \tau \ K \ x$

The *identity* substitution $\text{id}_V : V \rightarrow V$ is defined as follows.

$\text{idSub} : \forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{Sub } V \ V$
 $\text{idSub } _ \ x = \text{var } x$

Given $\sigma : U \rightarrow V$ and an expression E over U , we want to define the expression $E[\sigma]$ over V , the result of applying the substitution σ to M . Only after this will we be able to define the composition of two substitutions. However, there is some work we need to do before we are able to do this.

We can define the composition of a substitution and a replacement as follows

$\text{infix } 75 \ _ \bullet_1 _$
 $_ \bullet_1 _ : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \rightarrow \text{Rep } V \ W \rightarrow \text{Sub } U \ V \rightarrow \text{Sub } U \ W$
 $(\rho \bullet_1 \sigma) \ K \ x = \text{rep } (\sigma \ K \ x) \ \rho$

$\text{infix } 75 \ _ \bullet_2 _$
 $_ \bullet_2 _ : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \rightarrow \text{Sub } V \ W \rightarrow \text{Rep } U \ V \rightarrow \text{Sub } U \ W$
 $(\sigma \bullet_2 \rho) \ K \ x = \sigma \ K \ (\rho \ K \ x)$

Given a substitution $\sigma : U \Rightarrow V$, define a substitution $(\sigma, K) : (U, K) \Rightarrow (V, K)$ as follows.

$\text{Sub}\uparrow : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \rightarrow \text{Sub } U \ V \rightarrow \text{Sub } (U, K) \ (V, K)$
 $\text{Sub}\uparrow _ _ \ x_0 = \text{var } x_0$
 $\text{Sub}\uparrow \sigma \ K \ (\uparrow \ x) = \text{liftE } (\sigma \ K \ x)$

$\text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-wd} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{\sigma \ \sigma' : \text{Sub } U \ V\} \rightarrow \sigma \sim \sigma' \rightarrow \text{Sub}\uparrow \{K = K\} \sigma \sim \text{Sub}\uparrow \sigma'$
 $\text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-wd } \{K = K\} \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' \ _ \ x_0 = \text{ref}$
 $\text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-wd } \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' \ L \ (\uparrow \ x) = \text{wd liftE } (\sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' \ L \ x)$

Lemma 1. *The operations we have defined satisfy the following properties.*

1. $(\text{id}_V, K) = \text{id}_{(V, K)}$
2. $(\rho \bullet_1 \sigma, K) = (\rho, K) \bullet_1 (\sigma, K)$

$$3. (\sigma \bullet_2 \rho, K) = (\sigma, K) \bullet_2 (\rho, K)$$

$$\text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-id} : \forall \{V\} \{K\} \rightarrow \text{Sub}\uparrow \{V\} \{V\} \{K\} \text{idSub} \sim \text{idSub}$$

$$\text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-id} \{K = K\} _ _ x_0 = \text{ref}$$

$$\text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-id} _ (\uparrow _) = \text{ref}$$

$$\text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-comp}_1 : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } V \ W\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } U \ V\} \rightarrow \text{Sub}\uparrow (\rho \bullet_1 \sigma) \sim \text{Rep}\uparrow \rho \bullet_1 \sigma$$

$$\text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-comp}_1 \{K = K\} _ _ x_0 = \text{ref}$$

$$\text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-comp}_1 \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{\rho\} \{\sigma\} L (\uparrow x) = \text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression)}$$

$$\quad \therefore \text{liftE (rep } (\sigma \ L \ x) \ \rho)$$

$$\equiv \text{rep } (\sigma \ L \ x) (\lambda _ x \rightarrow \uparrow (\rho _ x)) \ [\text{rep-comp } \{E = \sigma \ L \ x\} \]]$$

$$\equiv \text{rep } (\text{liftE } (\sigma \ L \ x)) (\text{Rep}\uparrow \rho) \quad [\text{rep-comp}]$$

$$\text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-comp}_2 : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } V \ W\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U \ V\} \rightarrow \text{Sub}\uparrow \{K = K\} (\sigma \bullet_2 \rho) \sim \sigma \bullet_2 \rho$$

$$\text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-comp}_2 \{K = K\} _ _ x_0 = \text{ref}$$

$$\text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-comp}_2 L (\uparrow x) = \text{ref}$$

We can now define the result of applying a substitution σ to an expression E , which we denote $E[\sigma]$.

mutual

infix 60 $\llbracket _ \rrbracket$

$\llbracket _ \rrbracket : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \rightarrow \text{Expression'' } U \ K \rightarrow \text{Sub } U \ V \rightarrow \text{Expression'' } V \ K$

(var x) $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket = \sigma _ x$

(app c EE) $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket = \text{app } c \ (\text{EE } \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket B)$

infix 60 $\llbracket _ \rrbracket B$

$\llbracket _ \rrbracket B : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{C : \text{ConstructorKind } K\} \rightarrow \text{Expression' } U \ (-\text{Constructor } K) \ C \rightarrow$

$\text{out}_2 \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket B = \text{out}_2$

(app₂ A EE) $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket B = \text{app}_2 \ (A \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket A) \ (\text{EE } \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket B)$

infix 60 $\llbracket _ \rrbracket A$

$\llbracket _ \rrbracket A : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{A\} \rightarrow \text{Expression' } U \ -\text{Abstraction } A \rightarrow \text{Sub } U \ V \rightarrow \text{Expression' } V \ -\text{Abstraction } A$

(out E) $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket A = \text{out } (E \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket)$

(Λ A) $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket A = \Lambda \ (A \llbracket \text{Sub}\uparrow \sigma \rrbracket A)$

mutual

sub-wd : $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{E : \text{Expression'' } U \ K\} \{\sigma \ \sigma' : \text{Sub } U \ V\} \rightarrow \sigma \sim \sigma' \rightarrow E \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \sim E \llbracket \sigma' \rrbracket$

sub-wd {E = var x} $\sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' = \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' _ x$

sub-wd {U} {V} {K} {app c EE} $\sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' = \text{wd } (\text{app } c) \ (\text{sub-wdB } \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma')$

sub-wdB : $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{C : \text{ConstructorKind } K\} \{EE : \text{Expression' } U \ (-\text{Constructor } K) \ C\} \rightarrow$

sub-wdB {EE = out₂} $\sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' = \text{ref}$

sub-wdB {EE = app₂ A EE} $\sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' = \text{wd}_2 \ \text{app}_2 \ (\text{sub-wdA } \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma') \ (\text{sub-wdB } \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma')$

sub-wdA : $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{A : \text{Expression' } U \ -\text{Abstraction } K\} \{\sigma \ \sigma' : \text{Sub } U \ V\} \rightarrow \sigma \sim \sigma' \rightarrow A \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \sim A \llbracket \sigma' \rrbracket$

$\text{sub-wdA } \{A = \text{out } E\} \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' = \text{wd out (sub-wd } \{E = E\} \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma')$
 $\text{sub-wdA } \{U\} \{V\} . \{\Pi K L\} \{A \{K\} \{L\} A\} \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' = \text{wd } \Lambda (\text{sub-wdA } (\text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-wd } \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma'))$

Lemma 2.

1. $M[\text{id}_V] \equiv M$
2. $M[\rho \bullet_1 \sigma] \equiv M[\sigma]\langle\rho\rangle$
3. $M[\sigma \bullet_2 \rho] \equiv M\langle\rho\rangle[\sigma]$

mutual

$\text{subid} : \forall \{V\} \{K\} \{E : \text{Expression}' V K\} \rightarrow E \llbracket \text{idSub} \rrbracket \equiv E$
 $\text{subid } \{E = \text{var } _ \} = \text{ref}$
 $\text{subid } \{V\} \{K\} \{ \text{app } c _ \} = \text{wd (app } c) \text{ subidB}$

$\text{subidB} : \forall \{V\} \{K\} \{C : \text{ConstructorKind } K\} \{EE : \text{Expression}' V (-\text{Constructor } K) C\} \rightarrow EE \llbracket \text{idSub} \rrbracket \equiv EE$
 $\text{subidB } \{EE = \text{out}_2 \} = \text{ref}$
 $\text{subidB } \{EE = \text{app}_2 _ _ \} = \text{wd2 app}_2 \text{ subidA subidB}$

$\text{subidA} : \forall \{V\} \{K\} \{A : \text{Expression}' V -\text{Abstraction } K\} \rightarrow A \llbracket \text{idSub} \rrbracket A \equiv A$
 $\text{subidA } \{A = \text{out } _ \} = \text{wd out subid}$
 $\text{subidA } \{A = \Lambda _ \} = \text{wd } \Lambda (\text{trans (sub-wdA Sub}\uparrow\text{-id) subidA})$

mutual

$\text{sub-comp}_1 : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{E : \text{Expression}' U K\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } V W\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } U V\} \rightarrow E \llbracket \rho \bullet_1 \sigma \rrbracket \equiv \text{rep (E } \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket) \rho$
 $\text{sub-comp}_1 \{E = \text{var } _ \} = \text{ref}$
 $\text{sub-comp}_1 \{E = \text{app } c _ \} = \text{wd (app } c) \text{ sub-comp}_1\text{B}$

$\text{sub-comp}_1\text{B} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{C : \text{ConstructorKind } K\} \{EE : \text{Expression}' U (-\text{Constructor } K) C\} \rightarrow EE \llbracket \rho \bullet_1 \sigma \rrbracket \equiv \text{rep (EE } \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket) \rho$
 $\text{sub-comp}_1\text{B } \{EE = \text{out}_2 \} = \text{ref}$
 $\text{sub-comp}_1\text{B } \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{(\Pi_2 L C)\} \{ \text{app}_2 A EE \} = \text{wd2 app}_2 \text{ sub-comp}_1\text{A sub-comp}_1\text{B}$

$\text{sub-comp}_1\text{A} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{A : \text{Expression}' U -\text{Abstraction } K\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } V W\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } U V\} \rightarrow A \llbracket \rho \bullet_1 \sigma \rrbracket A \equiv \text{rep (A } \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket) \rho$
 $\text{sub-comp}_1\text{A } \{A = \text{out } E\} = \text{wd out (sub-comp}_1 \{E = E\})$
 $\text{sub-comp}_1\text{A } \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} . \{(\Pi K L)\} \{A \{K\} \{L\} A\} = \text{wd } \Lambda (\text{trans (sub-wdA Sub}\uparrow\text{-comp}_1) \text{ sub-comp}_1\text{A})$

mutual

$\text{sub-comp}_2 : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{E : \text{Expression}' U K\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } V W\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U V\} \rightarrow E \llbracket \sigma \bullet_2 \rho \rrbracket \equiv \text{rep (E } \llbracket \rho \rrbracket) \sigma$
 $\text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = \text{var } _ \} = \text{ref}$
 $\text{sub-comp}_2 \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{ \text{app } c EE \} = \text{wd (app } c) \text{ sub-comp}_2\text{B}$

$\text{sub-comp}_2\text{B} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{C : \text{ConstructorKind } K\} \{EE : \text{Expression}' U (-\text{Constructor } K) C\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } V W\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U V\} \rightarrow EE \llbracket \sigma \bullet_2 \rho \rrbracket \equiv (\text{rep EE } \rho) \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$

```

sub-comp2B {EE = out2} = ref
sub-comp2B {U} {V} {W} {K} {Π2 L C} {app2 A EE} = wd2 app2 sub-comp2A sub-comp2B

sub-comp2A : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {K} {A : Expression' U -Abstraction K} {σ : Sub V W} {ρ : Sub U V} →
sub-comp2A {A = out E} = wd out (sub-comp2 {E = E})
sub-comp2A {U} {V} {W} .{Π K L} {Λ {K} {L} A} = wd Λ (trans (sub-wdA Sub↑-comp2) sub-comp2A)

```

We define the composition of two substitutions, as follows.

```

infix 75 _•_
_•_ : ∀ {U} {V} {W} → Sub V W → Sub U V → Sub U W
(σ • ρ) K x = ρ K x [ σ ]

```

Lemma 3. *Let $\sigma : V \Rightarrow W$ and $\rho : U \Rightarrow V$.*

1. $(\sigma \bullet \rho, K) \sim (\sigma, K) \bullet (\rho, K)$

2. $E[\sigma \bullet \rho] \equiv E[\rho][\sigma]$

```

Sub↑-comp : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {ρ : Sub U V} {σ : Sub V W} {K} →
Sub↑ {K = K} (σ • ρ) ~ Sub↑ σ • Sub↑ ρ
Sub↑-comp _ x0 = ref
Sub↑-comp {W = W} {ρ = ρ} {σ = σ} {K = K} L (↑ x) =
let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression'' (W , K) (varKind L)) in
  ∴ liftE ((ρ L x) [ σ ])
  ≡ ρ L x [ (λ _ → ↑) •1 σ ] [ [ sub-comp1 {E = ρ L x} ] ]
  ≡ (liftE (ρ L x)) [ Sub↑ σ ] [ sub-comp2 {E = ρ L x} ]

```

mutual

```

sub-compA : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {K} {A : Expression' U -Abstraction K} {σ : Sub V W} {ρ : Sub U V} →
A [ σ • ρ ]A ≡ A [ ρ ]A [ σ ]A
sub-compA {A = out E} = wd out (sub-comp {E = E})
sub-compA {U} {V} {W} .{Π K L} {Λ {K} {L} A} {σ} {ρ} = wd Λ (let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression'' (W , K) (varKind L)) in
  ∴ A [ Sub↑ (σ • ρ) ]A
  ≡ A [ Sub↑ σ • Sub↑ ρ ]A [ sub-wdA Sub↑-comp ]
  ≡ A [ Sub↑ ρ ]A [ Sub↑ σ ]A [ sub-compA ])

```

```

sub-compB : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {K} {C : ConstructorKind K} {EE : Expression' U (-ConstructorKind C)} {ρ : Sub U V} {σ : Sub V W} →
EE [ σ • ρ ]B ≡ EE [ ρ ]B [ σ ]B
sub-compB {EE = out2} = ref
sub-compB {U} {V} {W} {K} {(Π2 L C)} {app2 A EE} = wd2 app2 sub-compA sub-compB

```

```

sub-comp : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {K} {E : Expression'' U K} {σ : Sub V W} {ρ : Sub U V} →
E [ σ • ρ ] ≡ E [ ρ ] [ σ ]
sub-comp {E = var _} = ref
sub-comp {U} {V} {W} {K} {app c EE} = wd (app c) sub-compB

```

Lemma 4. *The alphabets and substitutions form a category under this composition.*

$\text{assoc} : \forall \{U \ V \ W \ X\} \{\rho : \text{Sub } W \ X\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } V \ W\} \{\tau : \text{Sub } U \ V\} \rightarrow \rho \bullet (\sigma \bullet \tau) \sim (\rho \bullet \sigma)$
 $\text{assoc } \{\tau = \tau\} \ K \ x = \text{sym } (\text{sub-comp } \{E = \tau \ K \ x\})$

$\text{sub-unitl} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } U \ V\} \rightarrow \text{idSub} \bullet \sigma \sim \sigma$
 $\text{sub-unitl } _ _ = \text{subid}$

$\text{sub-unitr} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } U \ V\} \rightarrow \sigma \bullet \text{idSub} \sim \sigma$
 $\text{sub-unitr } _ _ = \text{ref}$

Replacement is a special case of substitution:

Lemma 5. *Let ρ be a replacement $U \rightarrow V$.*

1. *The replacement (ρ, K) and the substitution (ρ, K) are equal.*

2.

$$E\langle \rho \rangle \equiv E[\rho]$$

$\text{Rep}\uparrow\text{-is-Sub}\uparrow : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U \ V\} \{K\} \rightarrow (\lambda \ L \ x \rightarrow \text{var } (\text{Rep}\uparrow \{K = K\} \ \rho \ L \ x)) \sim \text{Sub}\uparrow$
 $\text{Rep}\uparrow\text{-is-Sub}\uparrow \ K \ x_0 = \text{ref}$
 $\text{Rep}\uparrow\text{-is-Sub}\uparrow \ K_1 \ (\uparrow \ x) = \text{ref}$

mutual

$\text{rep-is-sub} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{E : \text{Expression}' \ U \ K\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U \ V\} \rightarrow$
 $\quad E \langle \rho \rangle \equiv E \llbracket (\lambda \ K \ x \rightarrow \text{var } (\rho \ K \ x)) \rrbracket$
 $\text{rep-is-sub } \{E = \text{var } _ \} = \text{ref}$
 $\text{rep-is-sub } \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{\text{app } c \ EE\} = \text{wd } (\text{app } c) \ \text{rep-is-subB}$

$\text{rep-is-subB} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{C : \text{ConstructorKind } K\} \{EE : \text{Expression}' \ U \ (-\text{Constructor} \ C \ U \ V)\} \rightarrow$
 $\quad EE \langle \rho \rangle B \equiv EE \llbracket (\lambda \ K \ x \rightarrow \text{var } (\rho \ K \ x)) \rrbracket B$
 $\text{rep-is-subB } \{EE = \text{out}_2\} = \text{ref}$
 $\text{rep-is-subB } \{EE = \text{app}_2 _ _ \} = \text{wd}_2 \ \text{app}_2 \ \text{rep-is-subA} \ \text{rep-is-subB}$

$\text{rep-is-subA} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{A : \text{Expression}' \ U \ -\text{Abstraction } K\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U \ V\} \rightarrow$
 $\quad A \langle \rho \rangle A \equiv A \llbracket (\lambda \ K \ x \rightarrow \text{var } (\rho \ K \ x)) \rrbracket A$
 $\text{rep-is-subA } \{A = \text{out } E\} = \text{wd out rep-is-sub}$
 $\text{rep-is-subA } \{U\} \{V\} \{ \Pi \ K \ L \} \{A \{K\} \{L\} A\} \{\rho\} = \text{wd } \Lambda \ (\text{let open Equational-Reasoning})$
 $\quad \therefore A \langle \text{Rep}\uparrow \rho \rangle A$
 $\quad \equiv A \llbracket (\lambda \ M \ x \rightarrow \text{var } (\text{Rep}\uparrow \rho \ M \ x)) \rrbracket A \ [\text{rep-is-subA}]$
 $\quad \equiv A \llbracket \text{Sub}\uparrow (\lambda \ M \ x \rightarrow \text{var } (\rho \ M \ x)) \rrbracket A \ [\text{sub-wdA Rep}\uparrow\text{-is-Sub}\uparrow]$

Let E be an expression of kind K over V . Then we write $[x_0 := E]$ for the following substitution $(V, K) \Rightarrow V$:

$x_0 := : \forall \{V\} \{K\} \rightarrow \text{Expression}' \ V \ (\text{varKind } K) \rightarrow \text{Sub } (V, K) \ V$
 $x_0 := E _ \ x_0 = E$
 $x_0 := E \ K_1 \ (\uparrow \ x) = \text{var } x$

Lemma 6. 1.

$$\rho \bullet_1 [x_0 := E] \sim [x_0 := E(\rho)] \bullet_2 (\rho, K)$$

2.

$$\sigma \bullet [x_0 := E] \sim [x_0 := E[\sigma]] \bullet (\sigma, K)$$

comp₁-botsub : $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{E : \text{Expression}'\} U (\text{varKind } K) \{ \rho : \text{Rep } U \ V \} \rightarrow$
 $\rho \bullet_1 (x_0 := E) \sim (x_0 := (\text{rep } E \ \rho)) \bullet_2 \text{Rep} \uparrow \rho$
 comp₁-botsub $_ \ x_0 = \text{ref}$
 comp₁-botsub $_ \ (\uparrow _) = \text{ref}$

comp-botsub : $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{E : \text{Expression}'\} U (\text{varKind } K) \{ \sigma : \text{Sub } U \ V \} \rightarrow$
 $\sigma \bullet (x_0 := E) \sim (x_0 := (E \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket)) \bullet \text{Sub} \uparrow \sigma$
 comp-botsub $_ \ x_0 = \text{ref}$
 comp-botsub $\{ \sigma = \sigma \} L (\uparrow x) = \text{trans } (\text{sym subid}) (\text{sub-comp}_2 \{ E = \sigma L x \})$

4 Contexts

A *context* has the form $x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n$ where, for each i :

- x_i is a variable of kind K_i distinct from x_1, \dots, x_{i-1} ;
- A_i is an expression of some kind L_i ;
- L_i is a parent of K_i .

The *domain* of this context is the alphabet $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$.

data Context (K : VarKind) : Alphabet \rightarrow Set where
 $\langle \rangle : \text{Context } K \ \emptyset$
 $_,_ : \forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{Context } K \ V \rightarrow \text{Expression}' \ V \ (\text{parent } K) \rightarrow \text{Context } K \ (V \ , \ K)$
 typeof : $\forall \{V\} \{K\} (x : \text{Var } V \ K) (\Gamma : \text{Context } K \ V) \rightarrow \text{Expression}' \ V \ (\text{parent } K)$
 typeof $x_0 \ (_ \ , \ A) = \text{liftE } A$
 typeof $(\uparrow x) (\Gamma \ , \ _) = \text{liftE } (\text{typeof } x \ \Gamma)$

data Context' (A : Alphabet) (K : VarKind) : FinSet \rightarrow Set where
 $\langle \rangle : \text{Context}' \ A \ K \ \emptyset$
 $_,_ : \forall \{F\} \rightarrow \text{Context}' \ A \ K \ F \rightarrow \text{Expression}' \ (\text{extend } A \ K \ F) \ (\text{parent } K) \rightarrow \text{Context}' \ A \ K \ F$
 typeof' : $\forall \{A\} \{K\} \{F\} \rightarrow \text{El } F \rightarrow \text{Context}' \ A \ K \ F \rightarrow \text{Expression}' \ (\text{extend } A \ K \ F) \ (\text{parent } K)$
 typeof' $\perp \ (_ \ , \ A) = \text{liftE } A$
 typeof' $(\uparrow x) (\Gamma \ , \ _) = \text{liftE } (\text{typeof}' \ x \ \Gamma)$

record Grammar : Set₁ where
 field
 taxonomy : Taxonomy

```

    toGrammar : ToGrammar taxonomy
  open Taxonomy taxonomy public
  open ToGrammar toGrammar public

module PL where

open import Prelims
open import Grammar
import Reduction

```

5 Propositional Logic

Fix sets of *proof variables* and *term variables*.

The syntax of the system is given by the following grammar.

$$\begin{array}{lll}
 \text{Proof} & \delta & ::= p \mid \delta\delta \mid \lambda p : \phi. \delta \\
 \text{Proposition} & f & ::= \perp \mid \phi \rightarrow \phi \\
 \text{Context} & \Gamma & ::= \langle \rangle \mid \Gamma, p : \phi \\
 \text{Judgement} & \mathcal{J} & ::= \Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi
 \end{array}$$

where p ranges over proof variables and x ranges over term variables. The variable p is bound within δ in the proof $\lambda p : \phi. \delta$, and the variable x is bound within M in the term $\lambda x : A. M$. We identify proofs and terms up to α -conversion.

```

data PLVarKind : Set where
  -Proof : PLVarKind

```

```

data PLNonVarKind : Set where
  -Prp : PLNonVarKind

```

```

PLtaxonomy : Taxonomy
PLtaxonomy = record {
  VarKind = PLVarKind;
  NonVarKind = PLNonVarKind }

```

```

module PLgrammar where
  open Grammar.Taxonomy PLtaxonomy

```

```

data PLCon :  $\forall \{K : \text{ExpressionKind}\} \rightarrow \text{ConstructorKind } K \rightarrow \text{Set}$  where
  app : PLCon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Proof)) ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Proof)) (out2 {K = varKind})))
  lam : PLCon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (nonVarKind -Prp)) ( $\Pi_2$  ( $\Pi$  -Proof (out (varKind -Proof))) (out2 {K = varKind})))
  bot : PLCon (out2 {K = nonVarKind} -Prp)
  imp : PLCon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (nonVarKind -Prp)) ( $\Pi_2$  (out (nonVarKind -Prp)) (out2 {K = nonVarKind})))

```

```

PLparent : VarKind  $\rightarrow$  ExpressionKind
PLparent -Proof = nonVarKind -Prp

```

```

open PLgrammar

Propositional-Logic : Grammar
Propositional-Logic = record {
  taxonomy = PLtaxonomy;
  toGrammar = record {
    Constructor = PLCon;
    parent = PLparent } }

open Grammar.Grammar Propositional-Logic
open Reduction Propositional-Logic

Prp : Set
Prp = Expression''  $\emptyset$  (nonVarKind -Prp)

 $\perp P$  : Prp
 $\perp P$  = app bot out2

 $\_ \Rightarrow \_$  :  $\forall \{P\} \rightarrow \text{Expression'' } P \text{ (nonVarKind -Prp)} \rightarrow \text{Expression'' } P \text{ (nonVarKind -Prp)} \rightarrow \text{Expression'' } P \text{ (nonVarKind -Prp)}$ 
 $\varphi \Rightarrow \psi$  = app imp (app2 (out  $\varphi$ ) (app2 (out  $\psi$ ) out2))

Proof : Alphabet  $\rightarrow$  Set
Proof P = Expression'' P (varKind -Proof)

appP :  $\forall \{P\} \rightarrow \text{Expression'' } P \text{ (varKind -Proof)} \rightarrow \text{Expression'' } P \text{ (varKind -Proof)} \rightarrow \text{Expression'' } P \text{ (varKind -Proof)}$ 
appP  $\delta \ \varepsilon$  = app app (app2 (out  $\delta$ ) (app2 (out  $\varepsilon$ ) out2))

 $\Lambda P$  :  $\forall \{P\} \rightarrow \text{Expression'' } P \text{ (nonVarKind -Prp)} \rightarrow \text{Expression'' } (P \ , \text{-Proof}) \text{ (varKind -Proof)}$ 
 $\Lambda P \ \varphi \ \delta$  = app lam (app2 (out  $\varphi$ ) (app2 ( $\Lambda$  (out  $\delta$ )) out2))

data  $\beta$  : Reduction where
   $\beta I$  :  $\forall \{V\} \{\varphi\} \{\delta\} \{\varepsilon\} \rightarrow \beta \{V\} \text{ app (app}_2 \text{ (out } (\Lambda P \ \varphi \ \delta)) \text{ (app}_2 \text{ (out } \varepsilon) \text{ out}_2)) \text{ (} \delta \llbracket x_0 :=$ 

 $\beta$ -respects-rep : respect-rep  $\beta$ 
 $\beta$ -respects-rep  $\{U\} \{V\} \{\rho = \rho\} (\beta I \ .\{U\} \{\varphi\} \{\delta\} \{\varepsilon\})$  = subst ( $\beta$  app  $\_$ )
  (let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression'' V (varKind -Proof)) in
 $\therefore$  (rep  $\delta$  (Rep $\uparrow$   $\rho$ ))  $\llbracket x_0 := (\text{rep } \varepsilon \ \rho) \rrbracket$ 
 $\equiv \delta \llbracket x_0 := (\text{rep } \varepsilon \ \rho) \bullet_2 \text{Rep}\uparrow \rho \rrbracket \llbracket \text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = \delta\} \rrbracket$ 
 $\equiv \delta \llbracket \rho \bullet_1 x_0 := \varepsilon \rrbracket \llbracket \text{sub-wd } \{E = \delta\} \text{comp}_1\text{-botsub} \rrbracket$ 
 $\equiv \text{rep } (\delta \llbracket x_0 := \varepsilon \rrbracket) \rho \llbracket \text{sub-comp}_1 \{E = \delta\} \rrbracket$ 
 $\beta I$ 

 $\beta$ -creates-rep : create-rep  $\beta$ 
 $\beta$ -creates-rep = record {
  created = created;

```

```

red-created = red-created;
rep-created = rep-created } where
created : ∀ {U V : Alphabet} {K} {C} {c : PLCon C} {EE : Expression' U (-Constructor K) C}
  created {c = app} {EE = app2 (out (var _)) _} ()
  created {c = app} {EE = app2 (out (app app _)) _} ()
  created {c = app} {EE = app2 (out (app lam (app2 (out φ) (app2 (Λ (out δ)) out2))) out2))} ()
  created {c = lam} ()
  created {c = bot} ()
  created {c = imp} ()
red-created : ∀ {U} {V} {K} {C} {c : PLCon C} {EE : Expression' U (-Constructor K) C}
  red-created {c = app} {EE = app2 (out (var _)) _} ()
  red-created {c = app} {EE = app2 (out (app app _)) _} ()
  red-created {c = app} {EE = app2 (out (app lam (app2 (out φ) (app2 (Λ (out δ)) out2))) out2))} ()
  red-created {c = lam} ()
  red-created {c = bot} ()
  red-created {c = imp} ()
rep-created : ∀ {U} {V} {K} {C} {c : PLCon C} {EE : Expression' U (-Constructor K) C}
  rep-created {c = app} {EE = app2 (out (var _)) _} ()
  rep-created {c = app} {EE = app2 (out (app app _)) _} ()
  rep-created {c = app} {EE = app2 (out (app lam (app2 (out φ) (app2 (Λ (out δ)) out2))) out2))} ()
  ∴ rep (δ [ x0 := ε ]) ρ
    ≡ δ [ ρ •1 x0 := ε ] [ [ sub-comp1 {E = δ} ] ]
    ≡ δ [ x0 := (rep ε ρ) •2 Rep↑ ρ ] [ [ sub-wd {E = δ} comp1-botsub ] ]
    ≡ rep δ (Rep↑ ρ) [ x0 := (rep ε ρ) ] [ [ sub-comp2 {E = δ} ] ]
rep-created {c = lam} ()
rep-created {c = bot} ()
rep-created {c = imp} ()

```

The rules of deduction of the system are as follows.

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi} (p : \phi \in \Gamma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \delta \epsilon : \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : \phi}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, p : \phi \vdash \delta : \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda p : \phi. \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi}$$

```

PContext : FinSet → Set
PContext P = Context' ∅ -Proof P

```

```

Palphabet : FinSet → Alphabet
Palphabet P = extend ∅ -Proof P

```

```

Palphabet-faithful : ∀ {P} {Q} {ρ σ : Rep (Palphabet P) (Palphabet Q)} → (∀ x → ρ -Proof

```



```

Palphabet-faithful {∅} ρ-is-σ ()
Palphabet-faithful {Lift _} ρ-is-σ x0 = ρ-is-σ ⊥
Palphabet-faithful {Lift _} {Q} {ρ} {σ} ρ-is-σ (↑ x) = Palphabet-faithful {Q = Q} {ρ = ρ}

infix 10 _↑_::_
data _↑_::_ : ∀ {P} → PContext P → Proof (Palphabet P) → Expression'' (Palphabet P) (nonVarKind -Prp)
  var : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {p : El P} → Γ ⊢ var (embed p) :: typeof' p Γ
  app : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {δ} {ε} {φ} {ψ} → Γ ⊢ δ :: φ ⇒ ψ → Γ ⊢ ε :: φ → Γ ⊢ app δ ε
  Λ : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {φ} {δ} {ψ} → (Λ, _ {K = -Proof} Γ φ) ⊢ δ :: liftE ψ → Γ ⊢

  A replacement ρ from a context Γ to a context Δ, ρ : Γ → Δ, is a replacement
  on the syntax such that, for every x : φ in Γ, we have ρ(x) : φ ∈ Δ.

toRep : ∀ {P} {Q} → (El P → El Q) → Rep (Palphabet P) (Palphabet Q)
toRep {∅} f K ()
toRep {Lift P} f .-Proof ToGrammar.x0 = embed (f ⊥)
toRep {Lift P} {Q} f K (ToGrammar.↑ x) = toRep {P} {Q} (f ∘ ↑) K x

toRep-embed : ∀ {P} {Q} {f : El P → El Q} {x : El P} → toRep f -Proof (embed x) ≡ embed x
toRep-embed {∅} { _ } { _ } { () }
toRep-embed {Lift _} { _ } { _ } { ⊥ } = ref
toRep-embed {Lift P} {Q} {f} {↑ x} = toRep-embed {P} {Q} {f ∘ ↑} {x}

toRep-comp : ∀ {P} {Q} {R} {g : El Q → El R} {f : El P → El Q} → toRep g •R toRep f ~
toRep-comp {∅} ()
toRep-comp {Lift _} {g = g} x0 = toRep-embed {f = g}
toRep-comp {Lift _} {g = g} {f = f} (↑ x) = toRep-comp {g = g} {f = f ∘ ↑} x

_::_⇒R_ : ∀ {P} {Q} → (El P → El Q) → PContext P → PContext Q → Set
ρ :: Γ ⇒R Δ = ∀ x → typeof' (ρ x) Δ ≡ rep (typeof' x Γ) (toRep ρ)

toRep-↑ : ∀ {P} → toRep {P} {Lift P} ↑ ~R (λ _ → ↑)
toRep-↑ {∅} = λ ()
toRep-↑ {Lift P} = Palphabet-faithful {Lift P} {Lift (Lift P)} {toRep {Lift P} {Lift (Lift P)}}

toRep-lift : ∀ {P} {Q} {f : El P → El Q} → toRep (lift f) ~R Rep↑ (toRep f)
toRep-lift x0 = ref
toRep-lift {∅} (↑ ())
toRep-lift {Lift _} (↑ x0) = ref
toRep-lift {Lift P} {Q} {f} (ToGrammar.↑ (ToGrammar.↑ x)) = trans
  (sym (toRep-comp {g = ↑} {f = f ∘ ↑} x))
  (toRep-↑ {Q} (toRep (f ∘ ↑) _ x))

↑-typed : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {φ : Expression'' (Palphabet P) (nonVarKind -Prp)} →
  ↑ :: Γ ⇒R (Γ , φ)
↑-typed {Lift P} ⊥ = rep-wd (λ x → sym (toRep-↑ {Lift P} x))

```

\uparrow -typed {Lift P} (\uparrow _) = rep-wd ($\lambda x \rightarrow \text{sym} (\text{toRep-}\uparrow \{\text{Lift P}\} x)$)

Rep \uparrow -typed : $\forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{\rho\} \{\Gamma : \text{PContext } P\} \{\Delta : \text{PContext } Q\} \{\varphi : \text{Expression}'\} (\text{Palphabet } \text{lift } \rho :: (\Gamma, \varphi) \Rightarrow_R (\Delta, \text{rep } \varphi (\text{toRep } \rho)))$
 Rep \uparrow -typed {P} {Q = Q} { $\rho = \rho$ } { $\varphi = \varphi$ } $\rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \perp = \text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression}' \text{)}$
 $\therefore \text{rep} (\text{rep } \varphi (\text{toRep } \rho)) (\lambda _ \rightarrow \uparrow)$
 $\equiv \text{rep } \varphi (\lambda K x \rightarrow \uparrow (\text{toRep } \rho _ x)) \quad [[\text{rep-comp } \{E = \varphi\}]]$
 $\equiv \text{rep } \varphi (\text{toRep} (\text{lift } \rho) \bullet_R (\lambda _ \rightarrow \uparrow)) \quad [\text{rep-wd } (\lambda x \rightarrow \text{trans} (\text{sym} (\text{toRep-}\uparrow \{Q\} (\text{toRep } \rho)) (\text{toRep } \varphi (\text{toRep } \rho)) (\lambda _ \rightarrow \uparrow)))]$
 $\equiv \text{rep} (\text{rep } \varphi (\lambda _ \rightarrow \uparrow)) (\text{toRep} (\text{lift } \rho)) [\text{rep-comp } \{E = \varphi\}]$
 Rep \uparrow -typed {Q = Q} { $\rho = \rho$ } { $\Gamma = \Gamma$ } { $\Delta = \Delta$ } $\rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta (\uparrow x) = \text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression}' \text{)}$
 $\therefore \text{liftE} (\text{typeof}' (\rho x) \Delta)$
 $\equiv \text{liftE} (\text{rep} (\text{typeof}' x \Gamma) (\text{toRep } \rho)) \quad [\text{wd liftE } (\rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta x)]$
 $\equiv \text{rep} (\text{typeof}' x \Gamma) (\lambda K x \rightarrow \uparrow (\text{toRep } \rho K x)) \quad [[\text{rep-comp } \{E = \text{typeof}' x \Gamma\}]]$
 $\equiv \text{rep} (\text{typeof}' x \Gamma) (\text{toRep } \{Q\} \uparrow \bullet_R \text{toRep } \rho) \quad [[\text{rep-wd } (\lambda x \rightarrow \text{trans} (\text{sym} (\text{toRep-}\uparrow \{Q\} (\text{toRep } \rho)) (\text{toRep } \varphi (\text{toRep } \rho)) (\lambda _ \rightarrow \uparrow)))]]$
 $\equiv \text{rep} (\text{typeof}' x \Gamma) (\text{toRep} (\text{lift } \rho) \bullet_R (\lambda _ \rightarrow \uparrow)) \quad [\text{rep-wd} (\text{toRep-comp } \{g = \uparrow\} \{f = \rho\})]$
 $\equiv \text{rep} (\text{liftE} (\text{typeof}' x \Gamma)) (\text{toRep} (\text{lift } \rho)) [\text{rep-comp } \{E = \text{typeof}' x \Gamma\}]$

The replacements between contexts are closed under composition.

\bullet_R -typed : $\forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{R\} \{\sigma : \text{El } Q \rightarrow \text{El } R\} \{\rho : \text{El } P \rightarrow \text{El } Q\} \{\Gamma\} \{\Delta\} \{\Theta\} \rightarrow \rho :: \Gamma \Rightarrow_R \Delta \sigma \circ \rho :: \Gamma \Rightarrow_R \Theta$
 \bullet_R -typed {R = R} { σ } { ρ } { Γ } { Δ } { Θ } $\rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \sigma :: \Delta \rightarrow \Theta x = \text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression}' \text{)}$
 $\therefore \text{typeof}' (\sigma (\rho x)) \Theta$
 $\equiv \text{rep} (\text{typeof}' (\rho x) \Delta) (\text{toRep } \sigma) \quad [\sigma :: \Delta \rightarrow \Theta (\rho x)]$
 $\equiv \text{rep} (\text{rep} (\text{typeof}' x \Gamma) (\text{toRep } \rho)) (\text{toRep } \sigma) \quad [\text{wd } (\lambda x_1 \rightarrow \text{rep } x_1 (\text{toRep } \sigma))]$
 $\equiv \text{rep} (\text{typeof}' x \Gamma) (\text{toRep } \sigma \bullet_R \text{toRep } \rho) \quad [[\text{rep-comp } \{E = \text{typeof}' x \Gamma\}]]$
 $\equiv \text{rep} (\text{typeof}' x \Gamma) (\text{toRep } (\sigma \circ \rho)) \quad [\text{rep-wd} (\text{toRep-comp } \{g = \sigma\} \{f = \rho\})]$

Weakening Lemma

Weakening : $\forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{\Gamma : \text{PContext } P\} \{\Delta : \text{PContext } Q\} \{\rho\} \{\delta\} \{\varphi\} \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \delta :: \varphi \rightarrow \rho :: \Gamma \Rightarrow_R \Delta$
 Weakening {P} {Q} { Γ } { Δ } { ρ } (var {p = p}) $\rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta = \text{subst2 } (\lambda x y \rightarrow \Delta \vdash \text{var } x :: y)$
 $(\text{sym} (\text{toRep-embed } \{f = \rho\} \{x = p\}))$
 $(\rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta p)$
 $(\text{var } \{p = \rho p\})$
 Weakening (app $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \varphi \rightarrow \psi \Gamma \vdash \epsilon :: \varphi$) $\rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta = \text{app} (\text{Weakening } \Gamma \vdash \delta :: \varphi \rightarrow \psi \rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta) (\text{Weakening } \Gamma \vdash \epsilon :: \varphi \rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta)$
 Weakening .{P} {Q} .{ Γ } { Δ } { ρ } ($\Lambda \{P\} \{\Gamma\} \{\varphi\} \{\delta\} \{\psi\} \Gamma, \varphi \vdash \delta :: \psi$) $\rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta = \Lambda$
 $(\text{subst } (\lambda P \rightarrow (\Delta, \text{rep } \varphi (\text{toRep } \rho)) \vdash \text{rep } \delta (\text{Rep}\uparrow (\text{toRep } \rho)) :: P)$
 $(\text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression}' \text{)} (\text{Palphabet } Q, \text{-Proof}) (\text{nonVarKind -Prp}))$
 $\therefore \text{rep} (\text{rep } \psi (\lambda _ \rightarrow \uparrow)) (\text{Rep}\uparrow (\text{toRep } \rho))$
 $\equiv \text{rep } \psi (\lambda _ x \rightarrow \uparrow (\text{toRep } \rho _ x)) \quad [[\text{rep-comp } \{E = \psi\}]]$
 $\equiv \text{rep} (\text{rep } \psi (\text{toRep } \rho)) (\lambda _ \rightarrow \uparrow) \quad [\text{rep-comp } \{E = \psi\}]$
 $(\text{subst2 } (\lambda x y \rightarrow \Delta, \text{rep } \varphi (\text{toRep } \rho) \vdash x :: y)$
 $(\text{rep-wd } (\text{toRep-lift } \{f = \rho\}))$
 $(\text{rep-wd } (\text{toRep-lift } \{f = \rho\}))$
 $(\text{Weakening } \{\text{Lift } P\} \{\text{Lift } Q\} \{\Gamma, \varphi\} \{\Delta, \text{rep } \varphi (\text{toRep } \rho)\} \{\text{lift } \rho\} \{\delta\} \{\text{liftE } \psi\}$
 $\Gamma, \varphi \vdash \delta :: \psi$

```

      claim))) where
claim : ∀ (x : El (Lift P)) → typeof' (lift ρ x) (Δ , rep φ (toRep ρ)) ≡ rep (typeof'
claim ⊥ = let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression'' (Palphabet (Lift Q)) (nonVarKind
  ∴ liftE (rep φ (toRep ρ))
    ≡ rep φ ((λ _ → ↑) •R toRep ρ)          [[ rep-comp ]]
    ≡ rep (liftE φ) (Rep↑ (toRep ρ))          [ rep-comp ]
    ≡ rep (liftE φ) (toRep (lift ρ))          [[ rep-wd (toRep-lift {f = ρ}) ]]
claim (↑ x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression'' (Palphabet (Lift Q)) (nonVar
  ∴ liftE (typeof' (ρ x) Δ)
    ≡ liftE (rep (typeof' x Γ) (toRep ρ))      [ wd liftE (ρ::Γ→Δ x) ]
    ≡ rep (typeof' x Γ) ((λ _ → ↑) •R toRep ρ)  [[ rep-comp ]]
    ≡ rep (liftE (typeof' x Γ)) (toRep (lift ρ)) [ trans rep-comp (sym (rep-wd (toRep-li

```

A *substitution* σ from a context Γ to a context Δ , $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$, is a substitution on the syntax such that, for every $x : \phi$ in Γ , we have $\Delta \vdash \sigma(x) : \phi$.

```

_::=>_ : ∀ {P} {Q} → Sub (Palphabet P) (Palphabet Q) → PContext P → PContext Q → Set
σ :: Γ ⇒ Δ = ∀ x → Δ ⊢ σ x (embed x) :: typeof' x Γ [ σ ]

```

```

Sub↑-typed : ∀ {P} {Q} {σ} {Γ : PContext P} {Δ : PContext Q} {φ : Expression'' (Palphabe
Sub↑-typed {P} {Q} {σ} {Γ} {Δ} {φ} σ::Γ→Δ ⊥ = subst (λ p → (Δ , φ [ σ ]) ⊢ var x₀ :: p)
  (let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression'' (Palphabet Q , -Proof) (nonVarKind -Prp))
  ∴ rep (φ [ σ ]) (λ _ → ↑)
    ≡ φ [ (λ _ → ↑) •₁ σ ]          [[ sub-comp₁ {E = φ} ]]
    ≡ rep φ (λ _ → ↑) [ Sub↑ σ ] [ sub-comp₂ {E = φ} ]]
  var
Sub↑-typed {Q = Q} {σ = σ} {Γ = Γ} {Δ = Δ} {φ = φ} σ::Γ→Δ (↑ x) =
  subst
  (λ P → Δ , φ [ σ ] ⊢ Sub↑ σ -Proof (↑ (embed x)) :: P)
  (let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression'' (Palphabet Q , -Proof) (nonVarKind -Prp))
  ∴ rep (typeof' x Γ [ σ ]) (λ _ → ↑)
    ≡ typeof' x Γ [ (λ _ → ↑) •₁ σ ]          [[ sub-comp₁ {E = typeof' x Γ} ]]
    ≡ rep (typeof' x Γ) (λ _ → ↑) [ Sub↑ σ ] [ sub-comp₂ {E = typeof' x Γ} ]]
  (subst2 (λ x y → Δ , φ [ σ ] ⊢ x :: y)
    (rep-wd (toRep-↑ {Q}))
    (rep-wd (toRep-↑ {Q}))
    (Weakening (σ::Γ→Δ x) (↑-typed {φ = φ [ σ ]})))

```

```

botsub-typed : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {φ : Expression'' (Palphabet P) (nonVarKind -Prp)}
  Γ ⊢ δ :: φ → x₀ := δ :: (Γ , φ) ⇒ Γ
botsub-typed {P} {Γ} {φ} {δ} Γ⊢δ::φ ⊥ = subst (λ P₁ → Γ ⊢ δ :: P₁)
  (let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression'' (Palphabet P) (nonVarKind -Prp)) in
  ∴ φ
    ≡ φ [ idSub ]          [[ subid ]]
    ≡ rep φ (λ _ → ↑) [ x₀ := δ ] [ sub-comp₂ {E = φ} ]]
  Γ⊢δ::φ

```

```

botsub-typed {P} {Γ} {φ} {δ} _ (↑ x) = subst (λ P1 → Γ ⊢ var (embed x) :: P1)
  (let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression'' (Palphabet P) (nonVarKind -Prp)) in
  ∴ typeof' x Γ
  ≡ typeof' x Γ [ idSub ] [[ subid ]]
  ≡ rep (typeof' x Γ) (λ _ → ↑) [ x0 := δ ] [ sub-comp2 {E = typeof' x Γ} ])
var

```

Substitution Lemma

```

Substitution : ∀ {P} {Q} {Γ : PContext P} {Δ : PContext Q} {δ} {φ} {σ} → Γ ⊢ δ :: φ → σ
Substitution var σ::Γ→Δ = σ::Γ→Δ _
Substitution (app Γ⊢δ::φ→ψ Γ⊢ε::φ) σ::Γ→Δ = app (Substitution Γ⊢δ::φ→ψ σ::Γ→Δ) (Substitution Γ⊢ε::φ σ::Γ→Δ)
Substitution {Q = Q} {Δ = Δ} {σ = σ} (Λ {P} {Γ} {φ} {δ} {ψ} Γ, φ⊢δ::ψ) σ::Γ→Δ = Λ
  (subst (λ p → Δ , φ [ σ ] ⊢ δ [ Sub↑ σ ] :: p)
  (let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression'' (Palphabet Q , -Proof) (nonVarKind -Prp))
  ∴ rep ψ (λ _ → ↑) [ Sub↑ σ ]
  ≡ ψ [ Sub↑ σ •2 (λ _ → ↑) ] [ sub-comp2 {E = ψ} ])
  ≡ rep (ψ [ σ ]) (λ _ → ↑) [ sub-comp1 {E = ψ} ])
  (Substitution Γ, φ⊢δ::ψ (Sub↑-typed σ::Γ→Δ)))

```

Subject Reduction

```

prop-triv-red : ∀ {P} {φ ψ : Expression'' (Palphabet P) (nonVarKind -Prp)} → φ → (β) ψ
prop-triv-red { _ } {app bot out2} (redex ())
prop-triv-red {P} {app bot out2} (app ())
prop-triv-red {P} {app imp (app2 _ (app2 _ out2))} (redex ())
prop-triv-red {P} {app imp (app2 (out φ) (app2 ψ out2))} (app (appl (out φ→φ'))) = prop-triv-red {P} {app imp (app2 φ (app2 (out ψ) out2))} (app (appr (appl (out φ→ψ'))))
prop-triv-red {P} {app imp (app2 φ (app2 (out ψ) out2))} (app (appr (appl (out φ→ψ'))))
prop-triv-red {P} {app imp (app2 _ (app2 (out _) out2))} (app (appr (appr (appr ())))))

SR : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {δ ε : Proof (Palphabet P)} {φ} → Γ ⊢ δ :: φ → δ → (β) ε -
SR var ()
SR (app {ε = ε} (Λ {P} {Γ} {φ} {δ} {ψ} Γ, φ⊢δ::ψ) Γ⊢ε::φ) (redex βI) =
  subst (λ P1 → Γ ⊢ δ [ x0 := ε ] :: P1)
  (let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression'' (Palphabet P) (nonVarKind -Prp)) in
  ∴ rep ψ (λ _ → ↑) [ x0 := ε ]
  ≡ ψ [ idSub ] [[ sub-comp2 {E = ψ} ]]
  ≡ ψ [ subid ])
  (Substitution Γ, φ⊢δ::ψ (botsub-typed Γ⊢ε::φ))
SR (app Γ⊢δ::φ→ψ Γ⊢ε::φ) (app (appl (out δ→δ'))) = app (SR Γ⊢δ::φ→ψ δ→δ') Γ⊢ε::φ
SR (app Γ⊢δ::φ→ψ Γ⊢ε::φ) (app (appr (appl (out ε→ε')))) = app Γ⊢δ::φ→ψ (SR Γ⊢ε::φ ε→ε')
SR (app Γ⊢δ::φ→ψ Γ⊢ε::φ) (app (appr (appr ())))
SR (Λ Γ⊢δ::φ) (redex ())
SR {P} (Λ Γ⊢δ::φ) (app (appl (out φ→φ'))) with prop-triv-red {P} φ→φ'
... | ()
SR (Λ Γ⊢δ::φ) (app (appr (appl (Λ (out δ→δ'))))) = Λ (SR Γ⊢δ::φ δ→δ')
SR (Λ Γ⊢δ::φ) (app (appr (appr ())))

```

We define the sets of *computable* proofs $C_\Gamma(\phi)$ for each context Γ and proposition ϕ as follows:

$$C_\Gamma(\perp) = \{\delta \mid \Gamma \vdash \delta : \perp, \delta \in SN\}$$

$$C_\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \psi) = \{\delta \mid \Gamma : \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi, \forall \epsilon \in C_\Gamma(\phi). \delta \epsilon \in C_\Gamma(\psi)\}$$

```

C : ∀ {P} → PContext P → Prp → Proof (Palphabet P) → Set
C Γ (app bot out₂) δ = (Γ ⊢ δ :: rep ⊥P (λ _ ()) ) ∧ SN β δ
C Γ (app imp (app₂ (out φ) (app₂ (out ψ) out₂))) δ = (Γ ⊢ δ :: rep (φ ⇒ ψ) (λ _ ())) ∧
  (∀ Q {Δ : PContext Q} ρ ε → ρ :: Γ ⇒R Δ → C Δ φ ε → C Δ ψ (appP (rep δ (toRep ρ)) ε))

C-typed : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {φ} {δ} → C Γ φ δ → Γ ⊢ δ :: rep φ (λ _ ())
C-typed {φ = app bot out₂} = π₁
C-typed {Γ = Γ} {φ = app imp (app₂ (out φ) (app₂ (out ψ) out₂)))} {δ = δ} = λ x → subst (
  (wd2 _⇒_ (rep-wd {E = φ} (λ ())) (rep-wd {E = ψ} (λ ())))
  (π₁ x))

C-rep : ∀ {P} {Q} {Γ : PContext P} {Δ : PContext Q} {φ} {δ} {ρ} → C Γ φ δ → ρ :: Γ ⇒R Δ → C Δ φ ε → C Δ ψ (appP (rep δ (toRep ρ)) ε)
C-rep {φ = app bot out₂} (Γ ⊢ δ :: ⊥ , SNδ) ρ :: Γ → Δ = (Weakening Γ ⊢ δ :: ⊥ ρ :: Γ → Δ) , SNrep β-crea
C-rep {P} {Q} {Γ} {Δ} {app imp (app₂ (out φ) (app₂ (out ψ) out₂)))} {δ} {ρ} (Γ ⊢ δ :: φ ⇒ ψ , Cδ)
  (let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression'' (Palphabet Q) (nonVarKind -Prp)) in
    ∴ rep (rep φ _) (toRep ρ)
    ≡ rep φ _ [[ rep-comp ]]
    ≡ rep φ _ [ rep-wd (λ ()) ])
  (trans (sym rep-comp) (rep-wd (λ ()))) (Weakening Γ ⊢ δ :: φ ⇒ ψ ρ :: Γ → Δ) ,
  (λ R σ ε σ :: Δ → Θ ε ∈ Cφ → subst (C _ ψ) (wd (λ x → appP x ε)
    (trans (sym (rep-wd (toRep-comp {g = σ} {f = ρ}))) rep-comp)) --(wd (λ x → appP x ε)
    (Cδ R (σ ∘ ρ) ε (•R-typed {σ = σ} {ρ = ρ} ρ :: Γ → Δ σ :: Δ → Θ) ε ∈ Cφ))

C-red : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {φ} {δ} {ε} → C Γ φ δ → δ → ⟨ β ⟩ ε → C Γ φ ε
C-red {φ = app bot out₂} (Γ ⊢ δ :: ⊥ , SNδ) δ → ε = (SR Γ ⊢ δ :: ⊥ δ → ε) , (SNred SNδ (osr-red δ → ε))
C-red {Γ = Γ} {φ = app imp (app₂ (out φ) (app₂ (out ψ) out₂)))} {δ = δ} (Γ ⊢ δ :: φ ⇒ ψ , Cδ) δ →
  (wd2 _⇒_ (rep-wd (λ ())) (rep-wd (λ ())))
  (Γ ⊢ δ :: φ ⇒ ψ δ → δ') ,
  (λ Q ρ ε ρ :: Γ → Δ ε ∈ Cφ → C-red {φ = ψ} (Cδ Q ρ ε ρ :: Γ → Δ ε ∈ Cφ) (app (appl (out (reposr β

```

The *neutral terms* are those that begin with a variable.

```

data Neutral {P} : Proof P → Set where
  varNeutral : ∀ x → Neutral (var x)
  appNeutral : ∀ δ ε → Neutral δ → Neutral (appP δ ε)

```

Lemma 7. *If δ is neutral and $\delta \rightarrow_\beta \epsilon$ then ϵ is neutral.*

```

neutral-red : ∀ {P} {δ ε : Proof P} → Neutral δ → δ → ⟨ β ⟩ ε → Neutral ε
neutral-red (varNeutral _) ()

```

```

neutral-red (appNeutral .(app lam (app2 (out _) (app2 (λ (out _)) out2))) _ ()) (redex βI)
neutral-red (appNeutral _ ε neutralδ) (app (appl (out δ→δ')))) = appNeutral _ ε (neutral-
neutral-red (appNeutral δ _ neutralδ) (app (appr (appl (out ε→ε'))))) = appNeutral δ _ ne
neutral-red (appNeutral _ _ _) (app (appr (appr ())))

```

```

neutral-rep : ∀ {P} {Q} {δ : Proof P} {ρ : Rep P Q} → Neutral δ → Neutral (rep δ ρ)
neutral-rep {ρ = ρ} (varNeutral x) = varNeutral (ρ -Proof x)
neutral-rep {ρ = ρ} (appNeutral δ ε neutralδ) = appNeutral (rep δ ρ) (rep ε ρ) (neutral-

```

Lemma 8. *Let $\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi$. If δ is neutral and, for all ϵ such that $\delta \rightarrow_{\beta} \epsilon$, we have $\epsilon \in C_{\Gamma}(\phi)$, then $\delta \in C_{\Gamma}(\phi)$.*

```

NeutralC-lm : ∀ {P} {δ ε : Proof P} {X : Proof P → Set} →
  Neutral δ →
  (∀ δ' → δ → (β > δ' → X (appP δ' ε)) →
  (∀ ε' → ε → (β > ε' → X (appP δ ε')) →
  ∀ χ → appP δ ε → (β > χ → X χ
NeutralC-lm () _ _ _ (redex βI)
NeutralC-lm _ hyp1 _ .(app app (app2 (out _) (app2 (out _) out2))) (app (appl (out δ→δ'))
NeutralC-lm _ _ hyp2 .(app app (app2 (out _) (app2 (out _) out2))) (app (appr (appl (out
NeutralC-lm _ _ _ .(app app (app2 (out _) (app2 (out _) _))) (app (appr (appr ())))

```

```

mutual
NeutralC : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {δ : Proof (Palphabet P)} {φ : Prp} →
  Γ ⊢ δ :: (rep φ (λ _ ())) → Neutral δ →
  (∀ ε → δ → (β > ε → C Γ φ ε) →
  C Γ φ δ
NeutralC {P} {Γ} {δ} {app bot out2} Γ⊢δ::⊥ Neutralδ hyp = Γ⊢δ::⊥ , SNI δ (λ ε δ→ε → π
NeutralC {P} {Γ} {δ} {app imp (app2 (out φ) (app2 (out ψ) out2))) Γ⊢δ::φ→ψ neutralδ hyp
  (λ Q ρ ε ρ::Γ→Δ ε∈Cφ → claim ε (CsubSN {φ = φ} {δ = ε} ε∈Cφ) ρ::Γ→Δ ε∈Cφ) where
  claim : ∀ {Q} {Δ} {ρ : El P → El Q} ε → SN β ε → ρ :: Γ ⇒R Δ → C Δ φ ε → C Δ ψ
  claim {Q} {Δ} {ρ} ε (SNI .ε SNE) ρ::Γ→Δ ε∈Cφ = NeutralC {Q} {Δ} {appP (rep δ (toRep
    (app (subst (λ P1 → Δ ⊢ rep δ (toRep ρ) :: P1)
    (wd2 _⇒_
    (let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression'' (Palphabet Q) (nonVarKind -Prp)) in
      ∴ rep (rep φ _) (toRep ρ)
      ≡ rep φ _ [[ rep-comp ]]
      ≡ rep φ _ [[ rep-wd (λ ()) ]])
    ( (let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression'' (Palphabet Q) (nonVarKind -Prp)) in
      ∴ rep (rep ψ _) (toRep ρ)
      ≡ rep ψ _ [[ rep-comp ]]
      ≡ rep ψ _ [[ rep-wd (λ ()) ]])
    ))
    (Weakening Γ⊢δ::φ→ψ ρ::Γ→Δ))
    (C-typed {Q} {Δ} {φ} {ε} ε∈Cφ))
    (appNeutral (rep δ (toRep ρ)) ε (neutral-rep neutralδ))

```

```

(NeutralC-lm {X = C Δ ψ} (neutral-rep neutralδ)
(λ δ' δ⟨ρ⟩→δ' →
let δ₀ : Proof (Palphabet P)
    δ₀ = create-reposr β-creates-rep δ⟨ρ⟩→δ'
in let δ→δ₀ : δ →⟨ β ⟩ δ₀
    δ→δ₀ = red-create-reposr β-creates-rep δ⟨ρ⟩→δ'
in let δ₀⟨ρ⟩≡δ' : rep δ₀ (toRep ρ) ≡ δ'
    δ₀⟨ρ⟩≡δ' = rep-create-reposr β-creates-rep δ⟨ρ⟩→δ'
in let δ₀∈C[φ⇒ψ] : C Γ (φ ⇒ ψ) δ₀
    δ₀∈C[φ⇒ψ] = hyp δ₀ δ→δ₀
in let δ'∈C[φ⇒ψ] : C Δ (φ ⇒ ψ) δ'
    δ'∈C[φ⇒ψ] = subst (C Δ (φ ⇒ ψ)) δ₀⟨ρ⟩≡δ' (C-rep {φ = φ ⇒ ψ} δ₀∈C[φ⇒ψ] ρ)
in subst (C Δ ψ) (wd (λ x → appP x ε) δ₀⟨ρ⟩≡δ') (π₂ δ₀∈C[φ⇒ψ] Q ρ ε ρ::Γ→Δ ε∈Cφ)
(λ ε' ε→ε' → claim ε' (SNε ε' ε→ε') ρ::Γ→Δ (C-red {φ = φ} ε∈Cφ ε→ε'))))

```

Lemma 9.

$$C_{\Gamma}(\phi) \subseteq SN$$

```

CsubSN : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {φ} {δ} → C Γ φ δ → SN β δ
CsubSN {P} {Γ} {ToGrammar.app bot ToGrammar.out₂} P₁ = π₂ P₁
CsubSN {P} {Γ} {app imp (app₂ (out φ) (app₂ (out ψ) out₂))} {δ} P₁ =
  let φ' : Expression'' (Palphabet P) (nonVarKind -Prp)
    φ' = rep φ (λ _ ()) in
  let Γ' : PContext (Lift P)
    Γ' = Γ , φ' in
  SNrep' {Palphabet P} {Palphabet P , -Proof} { varKind -Proof} {λ _ → ↑} β-respects-1
  (SNsubbody1 (SNsubexp (CsubSN {Γ = Γ'} {φ = ψ}
    (subst (C Γ' ψ) (wd (λ x → appP x (var x₀)) (rep-wd (toRep-↑ {P = P}))))
    (π₂ P₁ (Lift P) ↑ (var x₀) (λ x → sym (rep-wd (toRep-↑ {P = P}))))
    (NeutralC {φ = φ}
      (subst (λ x → Γ' ⊢ var x₀ :: x)
        (trans (sym rep-comp) (rep-wd (λ _ ())))
        var)
      (varNeutral x₀)
      (λ _ ()))))))))

```

```

module PHOPL where
open import Prelims hiding (⊥)
open import Grammar
open import Reduction

```

6 Predicative Higher-Order Propositional Logic

Fix sets of *proof variables* and *term variables*.

The syntax of the system is given by the following grammar.

Proof	$\delta ::= p \mid \delta\delta \mid \lambda p : \phi. \delta$
Term	$M, \phi ::= x \mid \perp \mid MM \mid \lambda x : A. M \mid \phi \rightarrow \phi$
Type	$A ::= \Omega \mid A \rightarrow A$
Term Context	$\Gamma ::= \langle \rangle \mid \Gamma, x : A$
Proof Context	$\Delta ::= \langle \rangle \mid \Delta, p : \phi$
Judgement	$\mathcal{J} ::= \Gamma \text{ valid} \mid \Gamma \vdash M : A \mid \Gamma, \Delta \text{ valid} \mid \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \delta : \phi$

where p ranges over proof variables and x ranges over term variables. The variable p is bound within δ in the proof $\lambda p : \phi. \delta$, and the variable x is bound within M in the term $\lambda x : A. M$. We identify proofs and terms up to α -conversion.

In the implementation, we write **Term**(V) for the set of all terms with free variables a subset of V , where $V : \mathbf{FinSet}$.

```
data PHOPLVarKind : Set where
  -Proof : PHOPLVarKind
  -Term : PHOPLVarKind
```

```
data PHOPLNonVarKind : Set where
  -Type : PHOPLNonVarKind
```

```
PHOPLTaxonomy : Taxonomy
PHOPLTaxonomy = record {
  VarKind = PHOPLVarKind;
  NonVarKind = PHOPLNonVarKind }
```

```
module PHOPLGrammar where
  open Taxonomy PHOPLTaxonomy
```

```
data PHOPLcon :  $\forall \{K : \text{ExpressionKind}\} \rightarrow \text{ConstructorKind } K \rightarrow \text{Set}$  where
  -appProof : PHOPLcon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Proof)) ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Proof)) (out2 {K =
  -lamProof : PHOPLcon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Term)) ( $\Pi_2$  ( $\Pi$  -Proof (out (varKind -Proof)))
  -bot : PHOPLcon (out2 {K = varKind -Term})
  -imp : PHOPLcon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Term)) ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Term)) (out2 {K = varKind
  -appTerm : PHOPLcon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Term)) ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Term)) (out2 {K = va
  -lamTerm : PHOPLcon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (nonVarKind -Type)) ( $\Pi_2$  ( $\Pi$  -Term (out (varKind -Term)))
  -Omega : PHOPLcon (out2 {K = nonVarKind -Type})
  -func : PHOPLcon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (nonVarKind -Type)) ( $\Pi_2$  (out (nonVarKind -Type)) (out2 {K
```

```
PHOPLparent : PHOPLVarKind  $\rightarrow$  ExpressionKind
PHOPLparent -Proof = varKind -Term
PHOPLparent -Term = nonVarKind -Type
```

```
PHOPL : Grammar
PHOPL = record {
  taxonomy = PHOPLTaxonomy;
```



```

    toGrammar = record {
      Constructor = PHOPLcon;
      parent = PHOPLparent } }

module PHOPL where
  open PHOPLGrammar using (PHOPLcon;-appProof;-lamProof;-bot;-imp;-appTerm;-lamTerm;-Omega)
  open Grammar.Grammar PHOPLGrammar.PHOPL

  Type : Set
  Type = Expression'' ∅ (nonVarKind -Type)

  liftType : ∀ {V} → Type → Expression'' V (nonVarKind -Type)
  liftType (app -Omega out2) = app -Omega out2
  liftType (app -func (app2 (out A) (app2 (out B) out2))) = app -func (app2 (out (liftType A) (liftType B)) out2))

  Ω : Type
  Ω = app -Omega out2

  infix 75 _⇒_
  _⇒_ : Type → Type → Type
  φ ⇒ ψ = app -func (app2 (out φ) (app2 (out ψ) out2))

  lowerType : ∀ {V} → Expression'' V (nonVarKind -Type) → Type
  lowerType (app -Omega out2) = Ω
  lowerType (app -func (app2 (out φ) (app2 (out ψ) out2))) = lowerType φ ⇒ lowerType ψ

{- infix 80 _,_
  data TContext : Alphabet → Set where
    ⟨⟩ : TContext ∅
    _,_ : ∀ {V} → TContext V → Type → TContext (V , -Term) -}

  TContext : Alphabet → Set
  TContext = Context -Term

  Term : Alphabet → Set
  Term V = Expression'' V (varKind -Term)

  ⊥ : ∀ {V} → Term V
  ⊥ = app -bot out2

  appTerm : ∀ {V} → Term V → Term V → Term V
  appTerm M N = app -appTerm (app2 (out M) (app2 (out N) out2))

  ΛTerm : ∀ {V} → Type → Term (V , -Term) → Term V
  ΛTerm A M = app -lamTerm (app2 (out (liftType A)) (app2 (Λ (out M)) out2))

```

```

_▷_ : ∀ {V} → Term V → Term V → Term V
φ ▷ ψ = app -imp (app2 (out φ) (app2 (out ψ) out2))

PAlphabet : FinSet → Alphabet → Alphabet
PAlphabet ∅ A = A
PAlphabet (Lift P) A = PAlphabet P A , -Proof

liftVar : ∀ {A} {K} P → Var A K → Var (PAlphabet P A) K
liftVar ∅ x = x
liftVar (Lift P) x = ↑ (liftVar P x)

liftVar' : ∀ {A} P → El P → Var (PAlphabet P A) -Proof
liftVar' (Lift P) Prelims.⊥ = x0
liftVar' (Lift P) (↑ x) = ↑ (liftVar' P x)

liftExp : ∀ {V} {K} P → Expression'' V K → Expression'' (PAlphabet P V) K
liftExp P E = E ⟨ (λ _ → liftVar P) ⟩

data PContext' (V : Alphabet) : FinSet → Set where
  ⟨ ⟩ : PContext' V ∅
  _,_ : ∀ {P} → PContext' V P → Term V → PContext' V (Lift P)

PContext : Alphabet → FinSet → Set
PContext V = Context' V -Proof

P⟨ ⟩ : ∀ {V} → PContext V ∅
P⟨ ⟩ = ⟨ ⟩

_⊢_,_ : ∀ {V} {P} → PContext V P → Term V → PContext V (Lift P)
_⊢_,_ {V} {P} Δ φ = Δ , rep φ (embed1 {V} { -Proof} {P})

Proof : Alphabet → FinSet → Set
Proof V P = Expression'' (PAlphabet P V) (varKind -Proof)

varP : ∀ {V} {P} → El P → Proof V P
varP {P = P} x = var (liftVar' P x)

appP : ∀ {V} {P} → Proof V P → Proof V P → Proof V P
appP δ ε = app -appProof (app2 (out δ) (app2 (out ε) out2))

ΛP : ∀ {V} {P} → Term V → Proof V (Lift P) → Proof V P
ΛP {P = P} φ δ = app -lamProof (app2 (out (liftExp P φ)) (app2 (Λ (out δ)) out2))

-- typeof' : ∀ {V} → Var V -Term → TContext V → Type
-- typeof' x0 ( _ , A ) = A
-- typeof' (↑ x) (Γ , _) = typeof' x Γ

```

```

propof : ∀ {V} {P} → El P → PContext' V P → Term V
propof Prelims.⊥ ( _ , φ ) = φ
propof (↑ x) (Γ , _) = propof x Γ

```

```

data β : Reduction PHOPLGrammar.PHOPL where

```

```

  βI : ∀ {V} A (M : Term (V , -Term)) N → β -appTerm (app₂ (out (ΛTerm A M)) (app₂ (ou

```

The rules of deduction of the system are as follows.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\langle \rangle \text{ valid}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma, x : A \text{ valid}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega}{\Gamma, p : \phi \text{ valid}} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash x : A} (x : A \in \Gamma) \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi} (p : \phi \in \Gamma) \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash \perp : \Omega} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega}{\Gamma \vdash \phi \rightarrow \psi : \Omega} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash MN : B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : \phi}{\Gamma \vdash \delta \epsilon : \psi} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. M : A \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma, p : \phi \vdash \delta : \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda p : \phi. \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega}{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \psi} (\phi \simeq \psi)
\end{array}$$

```

infix 10 _⊢_:_
data _⊢_:_ : ∀ {V} → TContext V → Term V → Expression'' V (nonVarKind -Type) → Set₁
  var : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} {x} → Γ ⊢ var x : typeof x Γ
  ⊥R : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} → Γ ⊢ ⊥ : rep Ω (λ _ ())
  imp : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} {φ} {ψ} → Γ ⊢ φ : rep Ω (λ _ ()) → Γ ⊢ ψ : rep Ω (λ _ ())
  app : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} {M} {N} {A} {B} → Γ ⊢ M : app -func (app₂ (out A) (app₂ (ou
  Λ : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} {A} {M} {B} → Γ , A ⊢ M : liftE B → Γ ⊢ app -lamTerm (ap

```

```

data Pvalid : ∀ {V} {P} → TContext V → PContext' V P → Set₁ where

```

```

  ⟨ ⟩ : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} → Pvalid Γ ⟨ ⟩
  _,_ : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : TContext V} {Δ : PContext' V P} {φ : Term V} → Pvalid Γ Δ → Γ

```

```

infix 10 _,_,_⊢_:_

```

```

data _,_,_⊢_:_ : ∀ {V} {P} → TContext V → PContext' V P → Proof V P → Term V → Set₁
  var : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : TContext V} {Δ : PContext' V P} {p} → Pvalid Γ Δ → Γ , Δ ⊢ v
  app : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : TContext V} {Δ : PContext' V P} {δ} {ε} {φ} {ψ} → Γ , Δ ⊢ δ ::
  Λ : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : TContext V} {Δ : PContext' V P} {φ} {δ} {ψ} → Γ , Δ , φ ⊢ δ :: φ
  convR : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : TContext V} {Δ : PContext' V P} {δ} {φ} {ψ} → Γ , Δ ⊢ δ :: φ

```