

# Type Theories with Computation Rules for the Univalence Axiom

Robin Adams

January 17, 2016

```
module main where
```

## 1 Preliminaries

```
module Prelims where
```

### 1.1 Functions

We write  $\text{id}_A$  for the identity function on the type  $A$ , and  $g \circ f$  for the composition of functions  $g$  and  $f$ .

```
id : ∀ (A : Set) → A → A
id A x = x
```

```
infix 75 _o_
_o_ : ∀ {A B C : Set} → (B → C) → (A → B) → A → C
(g ∘ f) x = g (f x)
```

### 1.2 Equality

We use the inductively defined equality  $=$  on every datatype.

```
data _≡_ {A : Set} (a : A) : A → Set where
  ref : a ≡ a
```

```
subst : ∀ {A : Set} (P : A → Set) {a} {b} → a ≡ b → P a → P b
subst P ref Pa = Pa
```

```
sym : ∀ {A : Set} {a b : A} → a ≡ b → b ≡ a
sym ref = ref
```

```
trans : ∀ {A : Set} {a b c : A} → a ≡ b → b ≡ c → a ≡ c
trans ref ref = ref
```

```
wd : ∀ {A B : Set} (f : A → B) {a a' : A} → a ≡ a' → f a ≡ f a'
wd _ ref = ref
```

```
wd2 : ∀ {A B C : Set} (f : A → B → C) {a a' : A} {b b' : B} → a ≡ a' → b ≡ b' → f a b ≡ f a' b'
wd2 _ ref ref = ref
```

```
module Equational-Reasoning (A : Set) where
  ··_ : ∀ (a : A) → a ≡ a
  ·· _ = ref
```

```
_≡_[_] : ∀ {a b : A} → a ≡ b → ∀ c → b ≡ c → a ≡ c
δ ≡ c [ δ' ] = trans δ δ'
```

```
_≡_[[_]] : ∀ {a b : A} → a ≡ b → ∀ c → c ≡ b → a ≡ c
δ ≡ c [[ δ' ]] = trans δ (sym δ')
```

We also write  $f \sim g$  iff the functions  $f$  and  $g$  are extensionally equal, that is,  $f(x) = g(x)$  for all  $x$ .

```
infix 50 _~_
_~_ : ∀ {A B : Set} → (A → B) → (A → B) → Set
f ~ g = ∀ x → f x ≡ g x
```

## 2 Datatypes

We introduce a universe **FinSet** of (names of) finite sets. There is an empty set  $\emptyset : \mathbf{FinSet}$ , and for every  $A : \mathbf{FinSet}$ , the type  $A + 1 : \mathbf{FinSet}$  has one more element:

$$A + 1 = \{\perp\} \uplus \{\uparrow a : a \in A\}$$

```
data FinSet : Set where
  ∅ : FinSet
  Lift : FinSet → FinSet
```

```
data El : FinSet → Set where
  ⊥ : ∀ {V} → El (Lift V)
  ↑ : ∀ {V} → El V → El (Lift V)
```

Given  $f : A \rightarrow B$ , define  $f + 1 : A + 1 \rightarrow B + 1$  by

$$\begin{aligned} (f + 1)(\perp) &= \perp \\ (f + 1)(\uparrow x) &= \uparrow f(x) \end{aligned}$$

```
lift : ∀ {U} {V} → (El U → El V) → El (Lift U) → El (Lift V)
lift _ ⊥ = ⊥
```

```
lift f (↑ x) = ↑ (f x)
```

```
liftwd : ∀ {U} {V} {f g : El U → El V} → f ~ g → lift f ~ lift g
liftwd f-is-g ⊥ = ref
liftwd f-is-g (↑ x) = wd ↑ (f-is-g x)
```

This makes  $(-)+1$  into a functor  $\mathbf{FinSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{FinSet}$ ; that is,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{id}_V + 1 &= \text{id}_{V+1} \\ (g \circ f) + 1 &= (g + 1) \circ (f + 1) \end{aligned}$$

```
liftid : ∀ {V} → lift (id (El V)) ~ id (El (Lift V))
liftid ⊥ = ref
liftid (↑ _) = ref
```

```
liftcomp : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {g : El V → El W} {f : El U → El V} → lift (g ∘ f) ~ lift g
liftcomp ⊥ = ref
liftcomp (↑ _) = ref
```

```
open import Prelims
```

### 3 Predicative Higher-Order Propositional Logic

Fix sets of *proof variables* and *term variables*.

The syntax of the system is given by the following grammar.

Proof	$\delta$	$::=$	$p \mid \delta\delta \mid \lambda p : \phi. \delta$
Term	$M, \phi$	$::=$	$x \mid \perp \mid MM \mid \phi \rightarrow \phi \mid \lambda x : A. M$
Type	$A$	$::=$	$\Omega \mid A \rightarrow A$
Context	$\Gamma$	$::=$	$\langle \rangle \mid \Gamma, p : \phi \mid \Gamma, x : A$
Judgement	$\mathcal{J}$	$::=$	$\Gamma \text{ valid} \mid \Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \mid \Gamma \vdash M : A$

where  $p$  ranges over proof variables and  $x$  ranges over term variables. The variable  $p$  is bound within  $\delta$  in the proof  $\lambda p : \phi. \delta$ , and the variable  $x$  is bound within  $M$  in the term  $\lambda x : A. M$ . We identify proofs and terms up to  $\alpha$ -conversion.

In the implementation, we write  $\mathbf{Term}(V)$  for the set of all terms with free variables a subset of  $V$ , where  $V : \mathbf{FinSet}$ .

```
infix 80 _⇒_
data Type : Set where
  Ω : Type
  _⇒_ : Type → Type → Type

--Term V is the set of all terms M with FV(M) ⊆ V
data Term : FinSet → Set where
  var : ∀ {V} → El V → Term V
  ⊥ : ∀ {V} → Term V
```

```

app : ∀ {V} → Term V → Term V → Term V
Λ : ∀ {V} → Type → Term (Lift V) → Term V
_⇒_ : ∀ {V} → Term V → Term V → Term V

--Proof V P is the set of all proofs with term variables among V and proof variables among P
data Proof (V : FinSet) : FinSet → Set1 where
  var : ∀ {P} → El P → Proof V P
  app : ∀ {P} → Proof V P → Proof V P → Proof V P
  Λ : ∀ {P} → Term V → Proof V (Lift P) → Proof V P

--Context V P is the set of all contexts whose domain consists of the term variables in V and proof variables in P
infix 80 _,_
infix 80 _,,_
data Context : FinSet → FinSet → Set1 where
  ⟨⟩ : Context ∅ ∅
  _,_ : ∀ {V} {P} → Context V P → Type → Context (Lift V) P
  _,,_ : ∀ {V} {P} → Context V P → Term V → Context V (Lift P)

  Let  $U, V : \mathbf{FinSet}$ . A replacement from  $U$  to  $V$  is just a function  $U \rightarrow V$ .
  Given a term  $M : \mathbf{Term}(U)$  and a replacement  $\rho : U \rightarrow V$ , we write  $M\{\rho\} : \mathbf{Term}(V)$  for the result of replacing each variable  $x$  in  $M$  with  $\rho(x)$ .

rep : ∀ {U V : FinSet} → (El U → El V) → Term U → Term V
rep ρ (var x) = var (ρ x)
rep ρ ⊥ = ⊥
rep ρ (app M N) = app (rep ρ M) (rep ρ N)
rep ρ (Λ A M) = Λ A (rep (lift ρ) M)
rep ρ (ϕ ⇒ ψ) = rep ρ ϕ ⇒ rep ρ ψ

  With this as the action on arrows,  $\mathbf{Term}()$  becomes a functor  $\mathbf{FinSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ .

repwd : ∀ {U V : FinSet} {ρ ρ' : El U → El V} → ρ ~ ρ' → rep ρ ~ rep ρ'
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (var x) = wd var (ρ-is-ρ' x)
repwd ρ-is-ρ' ⊥ = ref
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (app M N) = wd2 app (repwd ρ-is-ρ' M) (repwd ρ-is-ρ' N)
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (Λ A M) = wd (Λ A) (repwd (liftwd ρ-is-ρ') M)
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (ϕ ⇒ ψ) = wd2 _⇒_ (repwd ρ-is-ρ' ϕ) (repwd ρ-is-ρ' ψ)

repid : ∀ {V : FinSet} → rep (id (El V)) ~ id (Term V)
repid (var x) = ref
repid ⊥ = ref
repid (app M N) = wd2 app (repid M) (repid N)
repid (Λ A M) = wd (Λ A) (trans (repwd liftid M) (repid M))
repid (ϕ ⇒ ψ) = wd2 _⇒_ (repid ϕ) (repid ψ)

repcomp : ∀ {U V W : FinSet} (σ : El V → El W) (ρ : El U → El V) → rep (σ ∘ ρ) ~ rep

```

```

repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  (var x) = ref
repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$   $\perp$  = ref
repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  (app M N) = wd2 app (repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  M) (repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  N)
repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  ( $\Lambda$  A M) = wd ( $\Lambda$  A) (trans (repwd liftcomp M) (repcomp (lift  $\rho$ ) (lift  $\sigma$ ) M))
repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) = wd2  $\_ \Rightarrow \_$  (repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$   $\phi$ ) (repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$   $\psi$ )

liftTerm :  $\forall \{V : \text{FinSet}\} \rightarrow \text{Term } V \rightarrow \text{Term } (\text{Lift } V)$ 
liftTerm = rep  $\uparrow$ 
--TODO Inline this?

Sub : FinSet  $\rightarrow$  FinSet  $\rightarrow$  Set
Sub U V = El U  $\rightarrow$  Term V

idSub :  $\forall V \rightarrow \text{Sub } V V$ 
idSub V = var

liftSub :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \rightarrow \text{Sub } U V \rightarrow \text{Sub } (\text{Lift } U) (\text{Lift } V)$ 
liftSub  $\_ \perp$  = var  $\perp$ 
liftSub  $\sigma$  ( $\uparrow$  x) = liftTerm ( $\sigma$  x)

liftSub-wd :  $\forall \{U V\} \{\sigma \sigma' : \text{Sub } U V\} \rightarrow \sigma \sim \sigma' \rightarrow \text{liftSub } \sigma \sim \text{liftSub } \sigma'$ 
liftSub-wd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$   $\perp$  = ref
liftSub-wd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  ( $\uparrow$  x) = wd (rep  $\uparrow$ ) ( $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  x)

liftSub-id :  $\forall \{V : \text{FinSet}\} \rightarrow \text{liftSub } (\text{idSub } V) \sim \text{idSub } (\text{Lift } V)$ 
liftSub-id  $\perp$  = ref
liftSub-id ( $\uparrow$  x) = ref

liftSub-rep :  $\forall \{U V W : \text{FinSet}\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } U V) (\rho : \text{El } V \rightarrow \text{El } W) (x : \text{El } (\text{Lift } U)) \rightarrow 1$ 
liftSub-rep  $\sigma$   $\rho$   $\perp$  = ref
liftSub-rep  $\sigma$   $\rho$  ( $\uparrow$  x) = trans (sym (repcomp  $\uparrow$   $\rho$  ( $\sigma$  x))) (repcomp (lift  $\rho$ )  $\uparrow$  ( $\sigma$  x))

liftSub-lift :  $\forall \{U V W : \text{FinSet}\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } V W) (\rho : \text{El } U \rightarrow \text{El } V) (x : \text{El } (\text{Lift } U)) \rightarrow$ 
  liftSub  $\sigma$  (lift  $\rho$  x)  $\equiv$  liftSub ( $\lambda x \rightarrow \sigma$  ( $\rho$  x)) x
liftSub-lift  $\sigma$   $\rho$   $\perp$  = ref
liftSub-lift  $\sigma$   $\rho$  ( $\uparrow$  x) = ref

var-lift :  $\forall \{U V : \text{FinSet}\} \{\rho : \text{El } U \rightarrow \text{El } V\} \rightarrow \text{var} \circ \text{lift } \rho \sim \text{liftSub } (\text{var} \circ \rho)$ 
var-lift  $\perp$  = ref
var-lift ( $\uparrow$  x) = ref

--Term is a monad with unit var and the following multiplication
sub :  $\forall \{U V : \text{FinSet}\} \rightarrow \text{Sub } U V \rightarrow \text{Term } U \rightarrow \text{Term } V$ 
sub  $\sigma$  (var x) =  $\sigma$  x
sub  $\sigma$   $\perp$  =  $\perp$ 
sub  $\sigma$  (app M N) = app (sub  $\sigma$  M) (sub  $\sigma$  N)

```

```

sub  $\sigma$  ( $\Lambda$  A M) =  $\Lambda$  A (sub (liftSub  $\sigma$ ) M)
sub  $\sigma$  ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) = sub  $\sigma$   $\phi \Rightarrow$  sub  $\sigma$   $\psi$ 

subwd :  $\forall \{U V : \text{FinSet}\} \{\sigma \sigma' : \text{Sub } U V\} \rightarrow \sigma \sim \sigma' \rightarrow \text{sub } \sigma \sim \text{sub } \sigma'$ 
subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  (var x) =  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  x
subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$   $\perp$  = ref
subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  (app M N) = wd2 app (subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  M) (subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  N)
subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  ( $\Lambda$  A M) = wd ( $\Lambda$  A) (subwd (liftSub-wd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$ ) M)
subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) = wd2  $\Rightarrow$  (subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$   $\phi$ ) (subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$   $\psi$ )

```

--The first monad law

```

subvar :  $\forall \{V : \text{FinSet}\} (M : \text{Term } V) \rightarrow \text{sub var } M \equiv M$ 
subvar (var x) = ref
subvar  $\perp$  = ref
subvar (app M N) = wd2 app (subvar M) (subvar N)
subvar ( $\Lambda$  A M) = wd ( $\Lambda$  A) (trans (subwd liftSub-id M) (subvar M))
subvar ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) = wd2  $\Rightarrow$  (subvar  $\phi$ ) (subvar  $\psi$ )

```

```

infix 75  $\bullet$ 
 $\bullet$  :  $\forall \{U V W : \text{FinSet}\} \rightarrow \text{Sub } V W \rightarrow \text{Sub } U V \rightarrow \text{Sub } U W$ 
( $\sigma \bullet \rho$ ) x = sub  $\sigma$  ( $\rho$  x)

```

```

rep-sub :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } U V) (\rho : \text{El } V \rightarrow \text{El } W) \rightarrow \text{rep } \rho \circ \text{sub } \sigma \sim \text{sub } (\text{rep } \rho \circ \sigma)$ 
rep-sub  $\sigma$   $\rho$  (var x) = ref
rep-sub  $\sigma$   $\rho$   $\perp$  = ref
rep-sub  $\sigma$   $\rho$  (app M N) = wd2 app (rep-sub  $\sigma$   $\rho$  M) (rep-sub  $\sigma$   $\rho$  N)
rep-sub  $\sigma$   $\rho$  ( $\Lambda$  A M) = wd ( $\Lambda$  A) (trans (rep-sub (liftSub  $\sigma$ ) (lift  $\rho$ ) M) (subwd ( $\lambda x \rightarrow \text{rep } \rho$  x) M))
rep-sub  $\sigma$   $\rho$  ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) = wd2  $\Rightarrow$  (rep-sub  $\sigma$   $\rho$   $\phi$ ) (rep-sub  $\sigma$   $\rho$   $\psi$ )

```

```

sub-rep :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } V W) (\rho : \text{El } U \rightarrow \text{El } V) \rightarrow$ 
  sub  $\sigma \circ \text{rep } \rho \sim \text{sub } (\sigma \circ \rho)$ 
sub-rep  $\sigma$   $\rho$  (var x) = ref
sub-rep  $\sigma$   $\rho$   $\perp$  = ref
sub-rep  $\sigma$   $\rho$  (app M N) = wd2 app (sub-rep  $\sigma$   $\rho$  M) (sub-rep  $\sigma$   $\rho$  N)
sub-rep  $\sigma$   $\rho$  ( $\Lambda$  A M) = wd ( $\Lambda$  A) (trans (sub-rep (liftSub  $\sigma$ ) (lift  $\rho$ ) M) (subwd (liftSub  $\sigma$ ) (lift  $\rho$ ) M))
sub-rep  $\sigma$   $\rho$  ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) = wd2  $\Rightarrow$  (sub-rep  $\sigma$   $\rho$   $\phi$ ) (sub-rep  $\sigma$   $\rho$   $\psi$ )

```

```

liftSub-comp :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } V W) (\rho : \text{Sub } U V) \rightarrow$ 
  liftSub ( $\sigma \bullet \rho$ )  $\sim$  liftSub  $\sigma \bullet$  liftSub  $\rho$ 
liftSub-comp  $\sigma$   $\rho$   $\perp$  = ref
liftSub-comp  $\sigma$   $\rho$  ( $\uparrow$  x) = trans (rep-sub  $\sigma$   $\uparrow$  ( $\rho$  x)) (sym (sub-rep (liftSub  $\sigma$ )  $\uparrow$  ( $\rho$  x)))

```

-- The second monad law

```

subcomp :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } V W) (\rho : \text{Sub } U V) \rightarrow$ 

```

```

sub (σ • ρ) ~ sub σ ∘ sub ρ
subcomp σ ρ (var x) = ref
subcomp σ ρ ⊥ = ref
subcomp σ ρ (app M N) = wd2 app (subcomp σ ρ M) (subcomp σ ρ N)
subcomp σ ρ (Λ A M) = wd (Λ A) (trans (subwd (liftSub-comp σ ρ) M) (subcomp (liftSub σ ρ) M))
subcomp σ ρ (φ ⇒ ψ) = wd2 _⇒_ (subcomp σ ρ φ) (subcomp σ ρ ψ)

rep-is-sub : ∀ {U} {V} {ρ : El U → El V} → rep ρ ~ sub (var ∘ ρ)
rep-is-sub (var x) = ref
rep-is-sub ⊥ = ref
rep-is-sub (app M N) = wd2 app (rep-is-sub M) (rep-is-sub N)
rep-is-sub (Λ A M) = wd (Λ A) (trans (rep-is-sub M) (subwd var-lift M))
rep-is-sub (φ ⇒ ψ) = wd2 _⇒_ (rep-is-sub φ) (rep-is-sub ψ)

typeof : ∀ {V} {P} → El V → Context V P → Type
typeof () ⟨⟩
typeof ⊥ ( _ , A ) = A
typeof (↑ x) (Γ , _) = typeof x Γ
typeof x (Γ , , _) = typeof x Γ

propof : ∀ {V} {P} → El P → Context V P → Term V
propof () ⟨⟩
propof p (Γ , _) = liftTerm (propof p Γ)
propof p ( _ , , φ ) = φ

liftSub-var' : ∀ {U} {V} (ρ : El U → El V) → liftSub (var ∘ ρ) ~ var ∘ lift ρ
liftSub-var' ρ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-var' ρ (↑ x) = ref

botsub : ∀ {V} → Term V → Sub (Lift V) V
botsub M ⊥ = M
botsub _ (↑ x) = var x

botsub-liftTerm : ∀ {V} (M N : Term V) → sub (botsub M) (liftTerm N) ≡ N
botsub-liftTerm M (var x) = ref
botsub-liftTerm M ⊥ = ref
botsub-liftTerm M (app N P) = wd2 app (botsub-liftTerm M N) (botsub-liftTerm M P)
botsub-liftTerm M (Λ A N) = wd (Λ A) (trans (sub-rep _ _ N) (trans (subwd (λ x → trans
botsub-liftTerm M (φ ⇒ ψ) = wd2 _⇒_ (botsub-liftTerm M φ) (botsub-liftTerm M ψ)

sub-botsub : ∀ {U} {V} (σ : Sub U V) (M : Term U) (x : El (Lift U)) →
  sub σ (botsub M x) ≡ sub (botsub (sub σ M)) (liftSub σ x)
sub-botsub σ M ⊥ = ref
sub-botsub σ M (↑ x) = sym (botsub-liftTerm (sub σ M) (σ x))

rep-botsub : ∀ {U} {V} (ρ : El U → El V) (M : Term U) (x : El (Lift U)) →

```

```

    rep ρ (botsub M x) ≡ botsub (rep ρ M) (lift ρ x)
rep-botsub ρ M x = trans (rep-is-sub (botsub M x))
    (trans (sub-botsub (var ∘ ρ) M x) (trans (subwd (λ x1 → wd (λ y → botsub y x1)) (sym (
--TODO Inline this?

```

```

subbot : ∀ {V} → Term (Lift V) → Term V → Term V
subbot M N = sub (botsub N) M

```

We write  $M \simeq N$  iff the terms  $M$  and  $N$  are  $\beta$ -convertible, and similarly for proofs.

```

data _→_ : ∀ {V} → Term V → Term V → Set where
  β : ∀ {V} A (M : Term (Lift V)) N → app (Λ A M) N → subbot M N
  ref : ∀ {V} {M : Term V} → M → M
  →trans : ∀ {V} {M N P : Term V} → M → N → N → P → M → P
  app : ∀ {V} {M M' N N' : Term V} → M → M' → N → N' → app M N → app M' N'
  Λ : ∀ {V} {M N : Term (Lift V)} {A} → M → N → Λ A M → Λ A N
  imp : ∀ {V} {φ φ' ψ ψ' : Term V} → φ → φ' → ψ → ψ' → φ ⇒ ψ → φ' ⇒ ψ'

repre : ∀ {U} {V} {ρ : El U → El V} {M N : Term U} → M → N → rep ρ M → rep ρ N
repre {U} {V} {ρ} (β A M N) = subst (λ x → app (Λ A (rep (lift ρ) M)) (rep ρ N) → x)
repre ref = ref
repre (→trans M→N N→P) = →trans (repre M→N) (repre N→P)
repre (app M→N M'→N') = app (repre M→N) (repre M'→N')
repre (Λ M→N) = Λ (repre M→N)
repre (imp φ→φ' ψ→ψ') = imp (repre φ→φ') (repre ψ→ψ')

liftSub-red : ∀ {U} {V} {ρ σ : Sub U V} → (∀ x → ρ x → σ x) → (∀ x → liftSub ρ x → liftSub σ x)
liftSub-red ρ→σ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-red ρ→σ (↑ x) = repre (ρ→σ x)

subred : ∀ {U} {V} {ρ σ : Sub U V} (M : Term U) → (∀ x → ρ x → σ x) → sub ρ M → sub σ M
subred (var x) ρ→σ = ρ→σ x
subred ⊥ ρ→σ = ref
subred (app M N) ρ→σ = app (subred M ρ→σ) (subred N ρ→σ)
subred (Λ A M) ρ→σ = Λ (subred M (liftSub-red ρ→σ))
subred (φ ⇒ ψ) ρ→σ = imp (subred φ ρ→σ) (subred ψ ρ→σ)

subsub : ∀ {U} {V} {W} (σ : Sub V W) (ρ : Sub U V) → sub σ ∘ sub ρ ∼ sub (σ • ρ)
subsub σ ρ (var x) = ref
subsub σ ρ ⊥ = ref
subsub σ ρ (app M N) = wd2 app (subsub σ ρ M) (subsub σ ρ N)
subsub σ ρ (Λ A M) = wd (Λ A) (trans (subsub (liftSub σ) (liftSub ρ) M)
    (subwd (λ x → sym (liftSub-comp σ ρ x)) M))
subsub σ ρ (φ ⇒ ψ) = wd2 _⇒_ (subsub σ ρ φ) (subsub σ ρ ψ)

```



```

subredr : ∀ {U} {V} {σ : Sub U V} {M N : Term U} → M → N → sub σ M → sub σ N
subredr {U} {V} {σ} (β A M N) = subst (λ x → app (Λ A (sub (liftSub σ) M)) (sub σ N)) (sub σ N)
  (sym (trans (subsub (botsub (sub σ N)) (liftSub σ) M) (subwd (λ x → sym (sub-botsub σ)) (sub σ N))
subredr ref = ref
subredr (→trans M→N N→P) = →trans (subredr M→N) (subredr N→P)
subredr (app M→M' N→N') = app (subredr M→M') (subredr N→N')
subredr (Λ M→N) = Λ (subredr M→N)
subredr (imp φ→φ' ψ→ψ') = imp (subredr φ→φ') (subredr ψ→ψ')

```

```

data _≃_ : ∀ {V} → Term V → Term V → Set1 where
  β : ∀ {V} {A} {M : Term (Lift V)} {N} → app (Λ A M) N ≃ subbot M N
  ref : ∀ {V} {M : Term V} → M ≃ M
  ≃sym : ∀ {V} {M N : Term V} → M ≃ N → N ≃ M
  ≃trans : ∀ {V} {M N P : Term V} → M ≃ N → N ≃ P → M ≃ P
  app : ∀ {V} {M M' N N' : Term V} → M ≃ M' → N ≃ N' → app M N ≃ app M' N'
  Λ : ∀ {V} {M N : Term (Lift V)} {A} → M ≃ N → Λ A M ≃ Λ A N
  imp : ∀ {V} {φ φ' ψ ψ' : Term V} → φ ≃ φ' → ψ ≃ ψ' → φ ⇒ ψ ≃ φ' ⇒ ψ'

```

The *strongly normalizable* terms are defined inductively as follows.

```

data SN {V} : Term V → Set1 where
  SNI : ∀ {M} → (∀ N → M → N → SN N) → SN M

```

**Lemma 1.** 1. If  $MN \in SN$  then  $M \in SN$  and  $N \in SN$ .

2. If  $M[x := N] \in SN$  then  $M \in SN$ .

3. If  $M \in SN$  and  $M \triangleright N$  then  $N \in SN$ .

4. If  $M[x := N]\vec{P} \in SN$  and  $N \in SN$  then  $(\lambda x M)N\vec{P} \in SN$ .

```

SNappl : ∀ {V} {M N : Term V} → SN (app M N) → SN M
SNappl {V} {M} {N} (SNI MN-is-SN) = SNI (λ P M▷P → SNappl (MN-is-SN (app P N)) (app M▷P))

```

```

SNappr : ∀ {V} {M N : Term V} → SN (app M N) → SN N
SNappr {V} {M} {N} (SNI MN-is-SN) = SNI (λ P N▷P → SNappr (MN-is-SN (app M P)) (app ref))

```

```

SNsub : ∀ {V} {M : Term (Lift V)} {N} → SN (subbot M N) → SN M
SNsub {V} {M} {N} (SNI MN-is-SN) = SNI (λ P M▷P → SNsub (MN-is-SN (sub (botsub N) P)) (sub))

```

The rules of deduction of the system are as follows.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\langle \rangle \text{ valid}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma, x : A \text{ valid}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega}{\Gamma, p : \phi \text{ valid}} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash x : A} (x : A \in \Gamma) \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi} (p : \phi \in \Gamma)
\end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash \perp : \Omega} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega}{\Gamma \vdash \phi \rightarrow \psi : \Omega} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash MN : B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : \phi}{\Gamma \vdash \delta \epsilon : \psi} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. M : A \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma, p : \phi \vdash \delta : \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda p : \phi. \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega}{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \psi} (\phi \simeq \psi)
\end{array}$$

mutual

```

data valid : ∀ {V} {P} → Context V P → Set1 where
  ⟨⟩ : valid ⟨⟩
  ctxV : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : Context V P} {A} → valid Γ → valid (Γ , A)
  ctxP : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : Context V P} {φ} → Γ ⊢ φ : Ω → valid (Γ ,, φ)

```

```

data _⊢_ : ∀ {V} {P} → Context V P → Term V → Type → Set1 where
  var : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : Context V P} {x} → valid Γ → Γ ⊢ var x : typeof x Γ
  ⊥ : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : Context V P} → valid Γ → Γ ⊢ ⊥ : Ω
  imp : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : Context V P} {φ} {ψ} → Γ ⊢ φ : Ω → Γ ⊢ ψ : Ω → Γ ⊢ φ ⇒ ψ
  app : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : Context V P} {M} {N} {A} {B} → Γ ⊢ M : A ⇒ B → Γ ⊢ N : A → Γ ⊢ M N : B
  Λ : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : Context V P} {A} {M} {B} → Γ , A ⊢ M : B → Γ ⊢ Λ A M : A ⇒ B

```

```

data _⊢_::_ : ∀ {V} {P} → Context V P → Proof V P → Term V → Set1 where
  var : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : Context V P} {p} → valid Γ → Γ ⊢ var p :: propof p Γ
  app : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : Context V P} {δ} {ε} {φ} {ψ} → Γ ⊢ δ :: φ ⇒ ψ → Γ ⊢ ε :: φ → Γ ⊢ δ ε :: φ ⇒ ψ
  Λ : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : Context V P} {φ} {δ} {ψ} → Γ ,, φ ⊢ δ :: ψ → Γ ⊢ Λ φ δ :: φ ⇒ ψ
  conv : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : Context V P} {δ} {φ} {ψ} → Γ ⊢ δ :: φ → Γ ⊢ ψ : Ω → φ ≃ ψ → Γ ⊢ δ :: ψ

```