Type Theories with Computation Rules for the Univalence Axiom

Robin Adams

January 21, 2016

module main where

1 Preliminaries

module Prelims where

```
postulate Level : Set
postulate zro : Level
postulate suc : Level → Level
{-# BUILTIN LEVEL Level #-}
{-# BUILTIN LEVELZERO zro #-}
{-# BUILTIN LEVELSUC suc #-}
```

1.1 Functions

We write id_A for the identity function on the type A, and $g \circ f$ for the composition of functions g and f.

```
id : \forall (A : Set) \rightarrow A \rightarrow A id A x = x infix 75 _o_ _ _ . \forall {A B C : Set} \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A \rightarrow C (g o f) x = g (f x)
```

1.2 Equality

We use the inductively defined equality = on every data type.

```
infix 50 _\equiv_ data _\equiv_ {A : Set} (a : A) : A \rightarrow Set where ref : a \equiv a
```

```
\texttt{subst} : \forall \texttt{\{i\}} \texttt{\{A} : \texttt{Set\}} \texttt{(P} : \texttt{A} \to \texttt{Set i)} \texttt{\{a\}} \texttt{\{b\}} \to \texttt{a} \equiv \texttt{b} \to \texttt{P} \texttt{ a} \to \texttt{P} \texttt{ b}
subst P ref Pa = Pa
\mathtt{subst2} \; : \; \forall \; \{ \texttt{A} \; \texttt{B} \; : \; \texttt{Set} \} \; \; (\texttt{P} \; : \; \texttt{A} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{B} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Set}) \; \; \{ \texttt{a} \; \texttt{a'} \; \texttt{b} \; \texttt{b'} \} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{a} \; \equiv \; \texttt{a'} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{b} \; \equiv \; \texttt{b'} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{P} \; \texttt{a} \; \texttt{b} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{F} \; \texttt{b} \; \rightarrow \; 
subst2 P ref ref Pab = Pab
\texttt{sym} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{A} \;:\; \texttt{Set}\} \; \{\texttt{a} \; \texttt{b} \;:\; \texttt{A}\} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{a} \; \equiv \; \texttt{b} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{b} \; \equiv \; \texttt{a}
sym ref = ref
trans : \forall {A : Set} {a b c : A} \rightarrow a \equiv b \rightarrow b \equiv c \rightarrow a \equiv c
trans ref ref = ref
wd : \forall {A B : Set} (f : A \rightarrow B) {a a' : A} \rightarrow a \equiv a' \rightarrow f a \equiv f a'
wd _ ref = ref
wd2 : \forall \ \{A \ B \ C : \ Set\} \ (f : A \to B \to C) \ \{a \ a' : A\} \ \{b \ b' : B\} \to a \equiv a' \to b \equiv b' \to f \ \epsilon \}
wd2 _ ref ref = ref
module Equational-Reasoning (A : Set) where
             infix 2 ∵_
             \because_ : \forall (a : A) \rightarrow a \equiv a
             ∵ _ = ref
             infix 1 _{\equiv}[]
              \_\equiv \_[\_] \; : \; \forall \; \{a \; b \; : \; A\} \; \rightarrow \; a \; \equiv \; b \; \rightarrow \; \forall \; c \; \rightarrow \; b \; \equiv \; c \; \rightarrow \; a \; \equiv \; c
            \delta \equiv c [ \delta ' ] = trans \delta \delta '
            infix 1 _\\_\[_[[_]]
              \_\equiv \_[[\_]] \; : \; \forall \; \{a \; b \; : \; A\} \; \rightarrow \; a \; \equiv \; b \; \rightarrow \; \forall \; \; c \; \rightarrow \; c \; \equiv \; b \; \rightarrow \; a \; \equiv \; c
            \delta \equiv c \ [[\ \delta'\ ]] = trans \ \delta \ (sym \ \delta')
                   We also write f \sim g iff the functions f and g are extensionally equal, that
is, f(x) = g(x) for all x.
infix 50 _{\sim}
_~_ : \forall {A B : Set} \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow Set
```

2 Datatypes

 $f \sim g = \forall x \rightarrow f x \equiv g x$

We introduce a universe **FinSet** of (names of) finite sets. There is an empty set \emptyset : **FinSet**, and for every A: **FinSet**, the type A+1: **FinSet** has one more element:

$$A+1=\{\bot\}\uplus\{\uparrow a:a\in A\}$$

 $\begin{array}{c} \texttt{data FinSet} \ : \ \texttt{Set where} \\ \emptyset \ : \ \texttt{FinSet} \end{array}$

```
\mathtt{Lift} \; : \; \mathtt{FinSet} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{FinSet}
\mathtt{data}\ \mathtt{El}\ :\ \mathtt{FinSet}\ \to\ \mathtt{Set}\ \mathtt{where}
   \bot : \forall {V} \rightarrow El (Lift V)
   \uparrow : \forall {V} \rightarrow El V \rightarrow El (Lift V)
     A replacement from U to V is simply a function U \to V.
\mathtt{Rep} \; : \; \mathtt{FinSet} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{FinSet} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{Set}
\texttt{Rep U V = El U} \, \rightarrow \, \texttt{El V}
     Given f: A \to B, define f+1: A+1 \to B+1 by
                                               (f+1)(\bot) = \bot
                                             (f+1)(\uparrow x) = \uparrow f(x)
lift : \forall {U} {V} \rightarrow Rep U V \rightarrow Rep (Lift U) (Lift V)
lift \bot = \bot
lift f (\uparrow x) = \uparrow (f x)
liftwd : \forall {U} {V} {f g : Rep U V} \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow lift f \sim lift g
liftwd f-is-g \perp = ref
liftwd f-is-g (\uparrow x) = wd \uparrow (f-is-g x)
     This makes (-) + 1 into a functor FinSet \rightarrow FinSet; that is,
                                           id_V + 1 = id_{V+1}
                                      (g \circ f) + 1 = (g+1) \circ (f+1)
liftid : \forall {V} \rightarrow lift (id (El V)) \sim id (El (Lift V))
liftid \perp = ref
liftid (\uparrow _) = ref
\texttt{liftcomp} \; : \; \forall \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\texttt{W}\} \; \{\texttt{g} \; : \; \texttt{Rep} \; \texttt{V} \; \texttt{W}\} \; \{\texttt{f} \; : \; \texttt{Rep} \; \texttt{U} \; \texttt{V}\} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{lift} \; (\texttt{g} \; \circ \; \texttt{f}) \; \sim \; \texttt{lift} \; \texttt{g} \; \circ \; \texttt{lift} \; \texttt{f}
\texttt{liftcomp} \perp \texttt{= ref}
liftcomp (\uparrow _) = ref
data List (A : Set) : Set where
```

 $\langle \rangle$: List A

module PL where open import Prelims

open import Prelims

:: : List A ightarrow A ightarrow List A

3 Propositional Logic

Fix sets of proof variables and term variables.

The syntax of the system is given by the following grammar.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{Proof} & \delta & ::= & p \mid \delta\delta \mid \lambda p : \phi.\delta \\ \text{Proposition} & \phi & ::= & \bot \mid \phi \to \phi \\ \text{Proof Context} & \Delta & ::= & \langle \rangle \mid \Delta, p : \phi \\ \text{Judgement} & \mathcal{J} & ::= & \Delta \vdash \delta : \phi \end{array}
```

where p ranges over proof variables and x ranges over term variables. The variable p is bound within δ in the proof $\lambda p : \phi.\delta$, and the variable x is bound within M in the term $\lambda x : A.M$. We identify proofs and terms up to α -conversion.

We write **Proof** (P) for the set of all proofs δ with $FV(\delta) \subseteq V$.

```
infix 75 \_\Rightarrow\_ data Prp : Set where \bot : Prp \_\Rightarrow\_ : Prp \to Prp \to Prp \to Prp infix 80 \_,\_ data PContext : FinSet \to Set where \langle\rangle : PContext \emptyset \_,\_ : \forall {P} \to PContext P \to Prp \to PContext (Lift P) propof : \forall {P} \to El P \to PContext P \to Prp propof \bot (\_, \phi) = \phi propof (\uparrow p) (\Gamma, \_) = propof p \Gamma data Proof : FinSet \to Set where var : \forall {P} \to El P \to Proof P
```

Let $P,Q: \mathbf{FinSet}$. A replacement from P to Q is just a function $P \to Q$. Given a term $M: \mathbf{Proof}(P)$ and a replacement $\rho: P \to Q$, we write $M\{\rho\}: \mathbf{Proof}(Q)$ for the result of replacing each variable x in M with $\rho(x)$.

```
infix 60 _<_> _<_> : \forall {P Q} \rightarrow Proof P \rightarrow Rep P Q \rightarrow Proof Q var p < \rho > = var (\rho p) app \delta \epsilon < \rho > = app (\delta < \rho >) (\epsilon < \rho >) \Lambda \phi \delta < \rho > = \Lambda \phi (\delta < lift \rho >)
```

With this as the action on arrows, **Proof** () becomes a functor **FinSet** \rightarrow **Set**.

```
repwd : \forall {P Q : FinSet} {\rho \rho' : El P \rightarrow El Q} \rightarrow \rho \sim \rho' \rightarrow \forall \delta \rightarrow \delta < \rho > \equiv \delta < \rho' >
repwd \rho-is-\rho' (var p) = wd var (\rho-is-\rho' p)
repwd \rho-is-\rho' (app \delta \epsilon) = wd2 app (repwd \rho-is-\rho' \delta) (repwd \rho-is-\rho' \epsilon)
repwd \rho-is-\rho' (\Lambda \phi \delta) = wd (\Lambda \phi) (repwd (liftwd \rho-is-\rho') \delta)
repid : \forall {Q : FinSet} \delta \rightarrow \delta < id (El Q) > \equiv \delta
repid (var _) = ref
repid (app \delta \epsilon) = wd2 app (repid \delta) (repid \epsilon)
repid \{Q\} (\Lambda \phi \delta) = wd (\Lambda \phi) (let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof (Lift Q)) in
   :: \delta < \text{lift (id (El Q))} >
   \equiv \delta < id (El (Lift Q)) > [ repwd liftid \delta ]
                                              [ repid \delta ])
repcomp : \forall {P Q R : FinSet} (\rho : El Q \rightarrow El R) (\sigma : El P \rightarrow El Q) M \rightarrow M < \rho \circ \sigma > \equiv M
repcomp \rho \sigma (var _) = ref
repcomp \rho \sigma (app \delta \epsilon) = wd2 app (repcomp \rho \sigma \delta) (repcomp \rho \sigma \epsilon)
repcomp \{R = R\} \rho \sigma (\Lambda \phi \delta) = wd (\Lambda \phi) (let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof (Lift R))
   :: \delta < \text{lift } (\rho \circ \sigma) >
   \equiv \delta < lift \rho \circ lift \sigma >
                                                 [ repwd liftcomp \delta ]
   \equiv (\delta < lift \sigma >) < lift \rho > [ repcomp _ \delta ])
    A substitution \sigma from P to Q, \sigma: P \Rightarrow Q, is a function \sigma: P \to \mathbf{Proof}(Q).
\mathtt{Sub} \; : \; \mathtt{FinSet} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{FinSet} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{Set}
Sub P Q = El P \rightarrow Proof Q
    The identity substitution id_Q: Q \Rightarrow Q is defined as follows.
idSub : \forall Q \rightarrow Sub Q Q
idSub _ = var
```

Given $\sigma: P \Rightarrow Q$ and $M: \mathbf{Proof}(P)$, we want to define $M[\sigma]: \mathbf{Proof}(Q)$, the result of applying the substitution σ to M. Only after this will we be able to define the composition of two substitutions. However, there is some work we need to do before we are able to do this.

We can define the composition of a substitution and a replacement as follows.

```
infix 75 _•1_ _•1_ : \forall {P} {Q} {R} \rightarrow Rep Q R \rightarrow Sub P Q \rightarrow Sub P R (\rho •1 \sigma) u = \sigma u < \rho >
```

(On the other side, given $\rho: P \to Q$ and $\sigma: Q \Rightarrow R$, the composition is just function composition $\sigma \circ \rho: P \Rightarrow R$.)

Given a substitution $\sigma:P\Rightarrow Q,$ define the substitution $\sigma+1:P+1\Rightarrow Q+1$ as follows.

```
liftSub : \forall {P} {Q} \rightarrow Sub P Q \rightarrow Sub (Lift P) (Lift Q) liftSub _ \bot = var \bot
```

```
liftSub \sigma (\uparrow x) = \sigma x < \uparrow >
liftSub-wd : \forall {P Q} {\sigma \sigma' : Sub P Q} \rightarrow \sigma \sim \sigma' \rightarrow liftSub \sigma \sim liftSub \sigma'
liftSub-wd \sigma-is-\sigma' \perp = ref
liftSub-wd \sigma-is-\sigma' (\uparrow x) = wd (\lambda x \rightarrow x < \uparrow >) (\sigma-is-\sigma' x)
Lemma 1. The operations \bullet and (-) + 1 satisfiesd the following properties.
     1. id_Q + 1 = id_{Q+1}
    2. For \rho: Q \to R and \sigma: P \Rightarrow Q, we have (\rho \bullet \sigma) + 1 = (\rho + 1) \bullet (\sigma + 1).
    3. For \sigma: Q \Rightarrow R and \rho: P \to Q, we have (\sigma \circ \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \circ (\rho + 1).
liftSub-id : \forall \{Q : FinSet\} \rightarrow liftSub (idSub Q) \sim idSub (Lift Q)
liftSub-id \perp = ref
liftSub-id (\uparrow x) = ref
liftSub-comp<sub>1</sub> : \forall {P Q R : FinSet} (\sigma : Sub P Q) (\rho : Rep Q R) \rightarrow
    liftSub (
ho •1 \sigma) \sim lift 
ho •1 liftSub \sigma
liftSub-comp_1 \sigma \rho \perp = ref
liftSub-comp<sub>1</sub> {R = R} \sigma \rho (\uparrow x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof (Lift R)) in
      :: \sigma \times \langle \rho \rangle \langle \uparrow \rangle
      \equiv \sigma x < \uparrow \circ \rho >
                                                      [[repcomp \uparrow \rho (\sigma x)]]
      \equiv \sigma x < \uparrow > < lift \rho > [ repcomp (lift \rho) \uparrow (\sigma x) ]
--because lift \rho (\uparrow x) = \uparrow (\rho x)
liftSub-comp_2 : orall {P Q R : FinSet} (\sigma : Sub Q R) (
ho : Rep P Q) 
ightarrow
    liftSub (\sigma \circ \rho) \sim liftSub \sigma \circ lift \rho
liftSub-comp<sub>2</sub> \sigma \rho \perp = ref
liftSub-comp<sub>2</sub> \sigma \rho (\uparrow x) = ref
      Now define M[\sigma] as follows.
infix 60 _[_]
\_[\![\_]\!] \;:\; \forall \; \{ \texttt{P} \; \texttt{Q} \;:\; \texttt{FinSet} \} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Proof} \; \; \texttt{P} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Sub} \; \; \texttt{P} \; \; \texttt{Q} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Proof} \; \; \texttt{Q}
(\text{var } x) \quad \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket = \sigma \ x
(\operatorname{app} \ \delta \ \epsilon) \ \bar{\hspace{-0.1cm} [\hspace{-0.1cm} ]} \ \overline{\hspace{-0.1cm} ]} \ = \operatorname{app} \ (\delta \ [\hspace{-0.1cm} [\hspace{-0.1cm} \sigma \ ]\hspace{-0.1cm}]) \ (\epsilon \ [\hspace{-0.1cm} [\hspace{-0.1cm} \sigma \ ]\hspace{-0.1cm}])
(\Lambda \ \mathsf{A} \ \delta) \quad \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket = \Lambda \ \mathsf{A} \ (\delta \ \llbracket \ \mathsf{liftSub} \ \sigma \ \rrbracket)
\texttt{subwd} \,:\, \forall \,\, \{\texttt{P} \,\, \texttt{Q} \,:\, \texttt{FinSet}\} \,\, \{\sigma \,\, \sigma' \,:\, \texttt{Sub} \,\, \texttt{P} \,\, \texttt{Q}\} \,\rightarrow\, \sigma \,\sim\, \sigma' \,\rightarrow\, \forall \,\, \delta \,\rightarrow\, \delta \,\, \llbracket \,\, \sigma \,\, \rrbracket \,\, \equiv\, \delta \,\, \llbracket \,\, \sigma' \,\, \rrbracket
subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' (var x) = \sigma-is-\sigma' x
subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' (app \delta \epsilon) = wd2 app (subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' \delta) (subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' \epsilon)
subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' (\Lambda A \delta) = wd (\Lambda A) (subwd (liftSub-wd \sigma-is-\sigma') \delta)
```

This interacts with our previous operations in a good way:

Lemma 2.

```
2. M[\rho \bullet \sigma] \equiv \delta[\sigma] \{\rho\}
    3. M[\sigma \circ \rho] \equiv \delta < \rho > [\sigma]
subid : \forall {Q : FinSet} (\delta : Proof Q) \rightarrow \delta \llbracket idSub Q \rrbracket \equiv \delta
subid (var x) = ref
subid (app \delta \epsilon) = wd2 app (subid \delta) (subid \epsilon)
subid {Q} (\Lambda \phi \delta) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof Q) in
   \therefore \Lambda \phi \ (\delta \ [ \ \text{liftSub} \ (\text{idSub} \ Q) \ ] )
   \equiv \Lambda \ \phi \ (\delta \ [ \ idSub \ (Lift \ Q) \ ])
                                                                     [ wd (\Lambda \phi) (subwd liftSub-id \delta) ]
   \equiv \Lambda \phi \delta
                                                                      [ wd (\Lambda \phi) (subid \delta) ]
rep-sub : \forall {P} {Q} {R} (\sigma : Sub P Q) (\rho : Rep Q R) (\delta : Proof P) \rightarrow \delta \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket < \rho > \equiv \delta \rrbracket
rep-sub \sigma \rho (var x) = ref
rep-sub \sigma \rho (app \delta \epsilon) = wd2 app (rep-sub \sigma \rho \delta) (rep-sub \sigma \rho \epsilon)
rep-sub {R = R} \sigma \rho (\Lambda \phi \delta) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof R) in
   \therefore \Lambda \phi ((\delta \llbracket \text{ liftSub } \sigma \rrbracket) < \text{lift } \rho >)
   \equiv \Lambda \ \phi \ (\delta \ [ \ \text{liftSub} \ (\rho \ ullet_1 \ \sigma) \ ]) \ [[ \ \text{wd} \ (\Lambda \ \phi) \ (\text{subwd} \ (\text{liftSub-comp}_1 \ \sigma \ \rho) \ \delta) \ ]]
\texttt{sub-rep} : \forall \ \{\mathtt{P}\} \ \{\mathtt{Q}\} \ \{\mathtt{R}\} \ (\sigma : \mathtt{Sub} \ \mathtt{Q} \ \mathtt{R}) \ (\rho : \mathtt{Rep} \ \mathtt{P} \ \mathtt{Q}) \ \delta \to \delta < \rho > \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket \ \equiv \delta \ \llbracket \ \sigma \circ \rho \ \rrbracket
sub-rep \sigma \rho (var x) = ref
sub-rep \sigma \rho (app \delta \epsilon) = wd2 app (sub-rep \sigma \rho \delta) (sub-rep \sigma \rho \epsilon)
sub-rep {R = R} \sigma \rho (\Lambda \phi \delta) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof R) in
   \therefore \Lambda \phi ((\delta < \text{lift } \rho >) [ \text{liftSub } \sigma ])
   \equiv \Lambda \ \phi \ (\delta \ [ \ \text{liftSub} \ \sigma \ \circ \ \text{lift} \ \rho \ ])
                                                                               [ wd (\Lambda \phi) (sub-rep (liftSub \sigma) (lift \rho) \delta) ]
   \equiv \Lambda \phi \ (\delta \ [ \ \text{liftSub} \ (\sigma \circ \rho) \ ] )
                                                                               [[ wd (\Lambda \phi) (subwd (liftSub-comp<sub>2</sub> \sigma \rho) \delta) ]]
     We define the composition of two substitutions, as follows.
infix 75 _•_
\_ullet_ : orall {P Q R : FinSet} 
ightarrow Sub Q R 
ightarrow Sub P Q 
ightarrow Sub P R
(\sigma \bullet \rho) \mathbf{x} = \rho \mathbf{x} \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket
Lemma 3. Let \sigma: Q \Rightarrow R and \rho: P \Rightarrow Q.
    1. (\sigma \bullet \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \bullet (\rho + 1)
    2. M[\sigma \bullet \rho] \equiv \delta[\rho][\sigma]
liftSub-comp : \forall {P} {Q} {R} (\sigma : Sub Q R) (\rho : Sub P Q) \rightarrow
    liftSub (\sigma \bullet \rho) \sim \text{liftSub } \sigma \bullet \text{liftSub } \rho
liftSub-comp \sigma \rho \perp = ref
liftSub-comp \sigma \rho (\frac{\pi}{x}) = trans (rep-sub \sigma \frac{\pi}{(\rho x)}) (sym (sub-rep (liftSub \sigma) \frac{\pi}{(\rho x))})
\texttt{subcomp}: \ \forall \ \{\mathtt{P}\} \ \{\mathtt{Q}\} \ \{\mathtt{R}\} \ (\sigma : \mathtt{Sub} \ \mathtt{Q} \ \mathtt{R}) \ (\rho : \mathtt{Sub} \ \mathtt{P} \ \mathtt{Q}) \ \delta \rightarrow \delta \ \llbracket \ \sigma \bullet \rho \ \rrbracket \equiv \delta \ \llbracket \ \rho \ \rrbracket \ \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket
```

1. $M[\mathrm{id}_Q] \equiv M$

```
subcomp \sigma \rho (var x) = ref subcomp \sigma \rho (app \delta \epsilon) = wd2 app (subcomp \sigma \rho \delta) (subcomp \sigma \rho \epsilon) subcomp \sigma \rho (\Lambda \phi \delta) = wd (\Lambda \phi) (trans (subwd (liftSub-comp \sigma \rho) \delta) (subcomp (liftSub \sigma
```

Lemma 4. The finite sets and substitutions form a category under this composition.

```
assoc : \forall {P Q R S} {\rho : Sub R S} {\sigma : Sub Q R} {\tau : Sub P Q} \rightarrow \rho \bullet (\sigma \bullet \tau) \sim (\rho \bullet \sigma) \bullet \tau assoc {P} {Q} {R} {X} {\rho} {\sigma} {\tau} x = sym (subcomp \rho \sigma (\tau x)) subunit1 : \forall {P} {Q} {\sigma : Sub P Q} \rightarrow idSub Q \bullet \sigma \sim \sigma subunit1 {P} {Q} {\sigma} x = subid (\sigma x) subunitr : \forall {P} {Q} {\sigma : Sub P Q} \rightarrow \sigma \bullet idSub P \sim \sigma subunitr \sigma = ref
```

Replacement is a special case of substitution, in the following sense:

Lemma 5. For any replacement ρ ,

$$\delta\{\rho\} \equiv \delta[\rho]$$

```
rep-is-sub : \forall {P} {Q} {\rho : El P \rightarrow El Q} \delta \rightarrow \delta < \rho > \equiv \delta [ var \circ \rho ] rep-is-sub (var x) = ref rep-is-sub (app \delta \in \delta) = wd2 app (rep-is-sub \delta) (rep-is-sub \epsilon) rep-is-sub {Q = Q} {\rho} (\Lambda \notin \delta) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof Q) in \therefore \Lambda \notin (\delta < \text{lift } \rho >) \equiv \Lambda \notin (\delta \in \mathbb{Q} \times \mathbb{Q
```

Given $\delta : \mathbf{Proof}(P)$, let $[\bot := \delta] : P + 1 \Rightarrow P$ be the substitution that maps \bot to δ , and $\uparrow x$ to x for $x \in P$. We write $\delta[\epsilon]$ for $\delta[\bot := \epsilon]$.

```
botsub : \forall {Q} \rightarrow Proof Q \rightarrow Sub (Lift Q) Q botsub \delta \bot = \delta botsub _ (\uparrow x) = var x subbot : \forall {P} \rightarrow Proof (Lift P) \rightarrow Proof P subbot \delta \epsilon = \delta \llbracket botsub \epsilon \rrbracket
```

Lemma 6. Let $\delta : \mathbf{Proof}(P)$ and $\sigma : P \Rightarrow Q$. Then

$$\sigma \bullet [\bot := \delta] \sim [\bot := \delta[\sigma]] \circ (\sigma + 1)$$

```
sub-botsub \sigma \delta \perp = ref
sub-botsub \sigma \delta (\uparrow x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof _) in
    \sigma x
     \equiv \sigma \times \llbracket idSub \_ \rrbracket
                                                                                                     [[ subid (\sigma x) ]]
     \equiv \sigma \times \langle \uparrow \rangle  botsub (\delta \parallel \sigma \parallel)
                                                                                                   [[ sub-rep (botsub (\delta \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket)) \(\gamma \(\sigma x\)]]
       We write \delta \rightarrow \epsilon iff \delta \beta-reduces to \epsilon in zero or more steps, \delta \rightarrow \epsilon iff \delta
\beta-reduces to \epsilon in one or more steps, and \delta \simeq \epsilon iff the terms \delta and \epsilon are \beta-
convertible.
       Given substitutions \rho and \sigma, we write \rho \rightarrow \sigma iff \rho(x) \rightarrow \sigma(x) for all x, and
\rho \simeq \sigma \text{ iff } \rho(x) \simeq \sigma(x) \text{ for all } x.
data \_\rightarrow_1\_ : \forall {P} \rightarrow Proof P \rightarrow Proof P \rightarrow Set where
     \beta: \forall {P} {\phi} {\delta} {\epsilon: Proof P} \rightarrow app (\Lambda \phi \delta) \epsilon \rightarrow_1 subbot \delta \epsilon
    \xi : \forall {P} {\phi} {\delta} {\epsilon : Proof (Lift P)} \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow_1 \epsilon \rightarrow \Lambda \phi \delta \rightarrow_1 \Lambda \phi \epsilon
     {\tt appl} \;:\; \forall \; \{\mathtt{P}\} \; \{\delta\} \; \{\delta'\} \; \{\epsilon \;:\; {\tt Proof} \; \mathtt{P}\} \; \rightarrow \; \delta \; \rightarrow_1 \; \delta' \; \rightarrow \; {\tt app} \; \delta \; \epsilon \; \rightarrow_1 \; {\tt app} \; \delta' \; \epsilon
     appr : \forall {P} {\delta \epsilon \epsilon' : Proof P} \rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow_1 \epsilon' \rightarrow app \delta \epsilon \rightarrow_1 app \delta \epsilon'
data \_ : \forall {Q} \rightarrow Proof Q \rightarrow Proof Q \rightarrow Set where
     \beta : \forall {Q} \phi (\delta : Proof (Lift Q)) \epsilon \to app (\Lambda \phi \delta) \epsilon \to subbot \delta \epsilon
     \texttt{ref} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{P}\} \; \{\delta \;:\; \texttt{Proof} \; \texttt{P}\} \; \rightarrow \; \delta \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \delta
     	wotrans : \forall {Q} {\gamma \delta \epsilon : Proof Q} \rightarrow \gamma \Rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \delta \Rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow \gamma \Rightarrow \epsilon
     app : \forall {Q} {\delta \delta' \epsilon \epsilon' : Proof Q} \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \delta' \rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon' \rightarrow app \delta \epsilon \rightarrow app \delta' \epsilon'
    \xi : \forall {Q} {\delta \epsilon : Proof (Lift Q)} {\phi} \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow \Lambda \phi \delta \rightarrow \Lambda \phi \epsilon
data \_ " : \forall {Q} \rightarrow Proof Q \rightarrow Proof Q \rightarrow Set where
     \beta : \forall {Q} \phi (\delta : Proof (Lift Q)) \epsilon \to app (\Lambda \phi \delta) \epsilon \to subbot \delta \epsilon
     	woheadrightarrowtrans : \forall {Q} {\gamma \delta \epsilon : Proof Q} \rightarrow \gamma 	woheadrightarrow \delta \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow \gamma 	woheadrightarrow \epsilon
     \texttt{appl} \,:\, \forall \,\, \{\mathtt{Q}\} \,\, \{\delta \,\, \delta' \,\, \epsilon \,\, \epsilon' \,\,:\, \, \mathsf{Proof} \,\, \mathtt{Q}\} \,\, \rightarrow \,\, \delta \,\, \twoheadrightarrow^+ \,\, \delta' \,\, \rightarrow \,\, \epsilon \,\, \twoheadrightarrow \,\, \epsilon' \,\, \rightarrow \,\, \mathsf{app} \,\, \delta \,\, \epsilon \,\, \twoheadrightarrow^+ \,\, \mathsf{app} \,\, \delta' \,\, \epsilon'
     \mathsf{appr} : \forall \ \{\mathtt{Q}\} \ \{\delta \ \delta' \ \epsilon \ \epsilon' : \mathsf{Proof} \ \mathtt{Q}\} \to \delta \ \twoheadrightarrow \ \delta' \to \epsilon \ \twoheadrightarrow^+ \epsilon' \to \mathsf{app} \ \delta \ \epsilon \ \twoheadrightarrow^+ \mathsf{app} \ \delta' \ \epsilon'
     \xi : \forall {Q} {\delta \epsilon : Proof (Lift Q)} {\phi} \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow* \epsilon \rightarrow \Lambda \phi \delta \rightarrow* \Lambda \phi \epsilon
data \_\simeq\_ : \forall {Q} \to Proof Q \to Proof Q \to Set<sub>1</sub> where
     \beta : \forall {Q} {\phi} {\delta : Proof (Lift Q)} {\epsilon} \to app (\Lambda \phi \delta) \epsilon \simeq subbot \delta \epsilon
     \texttt{ref} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{Q}\} \; \{\delta \;:\; \texttt{Proof} \; \texttt{Q}\} \; \rightarrow \; \delta \; \simeq \; \delta
     \simeqsym : \forall {Q} {\delta \epsilon : Proof Q} \rightarrow \delta \simeq \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon \simeq \epsilon
     \simeqtrans : \forall {Q} {\delta \epsilon P : Proof Q} \rightarrow \delta \simeq \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon \simeq P \rightarrow \delta \simeq P
     \mathsf{app} : \forall \ \{\mathtt{Q}\} \ \{\delta \ \mathtt{M'} \ \epsilon \ \mathtt{N'} : \mathsf{Proof} \ \mathtt{Q}\} \to \delta \simeq \mathtt{M'} \to \epsilon \simeq \mathtt{N'} \to \mathsf{app} \ \delta \ \epsilon \simeq \mathsf{app} \ \mathtt{M'} \ \mathtt{N'}
     \Lambda : \forall {Q} {\delta \epsilon : Proof (Lift Q)} {\phi} \rightarrow \delta \simeq \epsilon \rightarrow \Lambda \phi \delta \simeq \Lambda \phi \epsilon
Lemma 7. 1. If \delta \rightarrow \epsilon then \delta[\sigma] \rightarrow \epsilon[\sigma].
     2. If \sigma \rightarrow \tau then \delta[\sigma] \rightarrow \delta[\tau].
Proof. For part 2, we first prove that if \sigma \rightarrow \tau then \sigma + 1 \rightarrow \tau + 1 using part
```

```
\mathtt{sub}_1\mathtt{redl} \,:\, \forall \,\, \{\mathtt{P}\} \,\, \{\mathtt{Q}\} \,\, \{\rho \,:\, \mathtt{Sub} \,\, \mathtt{P} \,\, \mathtt{Q}\} \,\, \{\delta \,\, \epsilon \,:\, \mathtt{Proof} \,\, \mathtt{P}\} \,\, \rightarrow \, \delta \,\, \rightarrow_1 \,\, \epsilon \,\, \rightarrow \, \delta \,\, \llbracket \,\, \rho \,\, \rrbracket \,\, \rightarrow_1 \,\, \epsilon \,\, \llbracket \,\, \rho \,\, \rrbracket
\texttt{sub}_1\texttt{redl } \{\texttt{P}\} \ \{\texttt{Q}\} \ \{ \rho \} \ (\beta \ . \{\texttt{P}\} \ \{ \phi \} \ \{ \delta \} \ \{ \epsilon \}) \ \texttt{= subst } \ (\lambda \ \texttt{x} \ \to \ \texttt{app } \ (\Lambda \ \phi \ (\delta \ \llbracket \ \texttt{liftSub } \ \rho \ \rrbracket)) \ (\epsilon \ )
     (let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof Q) in
    \cdot \cdot \cdot (\delta \ [\![ \ \text{liftSub} \ \rho \ ]\!]) \ [\![ \ \text{botsub} \ (\epsilon \ [\![ \ \rho \ ]\!]) \ ]\!]
    \equiv \delta \ [\![\!]\!] \ \text{botsub} \ (\epsilon \ [\![\!]\!] \ \rho \ ]\!] \ \bullet \ \text{liftSub} \ \rho \ ]\!] \ [\![\![\!]\!] \ \text{subcomp} \ (\text{botsub} \ (\epsilon \ [\![\!]\!] \ \rho \ ]\!]) \ (\text{liftSub} \ \rho) \ \delta \ ]\!]
    \equiv \delta \ \llbracket \ \rho \bullet \text{ botsub } \epsilon \ \rrbracket
                                                                                         [[ subwd (sub-botsub 
ho \epsilon) \delta ]]
    \equiv (\delta \parallel botsub \epsilon \parallel) \parallel \rho \parallel
                                                                                         [ subcomp \rho (botsub \epsilon) \delta ])
sub_1 redl (\xi \delta \rightarrow_1 \epsilon) = \xi (sub_1 redl \delta \rightarrow_1 \epsilon)
\operatorname{sub}_1\operatorname{redl} (appl \delta \rightarrow_1 \epsilon) = appl (\operatorname{sub}_1\operatorname{redl} \delta \rightarrow_1 \epsilon)
\operatorname{sub}_1\operatorname{redl} (appr \delta \rightarrow_1 \epsilon) = appr (\operatorname{sub}_1\operatorname{redl} \delta \rightarrow_1 \epsilon)
\texttt{subredl} \; : \; \forall \; \{\texttt{P}\} \; \{\texttt{Q}\} \; \{\rho \; : \; \texttt{Sub} \; \texttt{P} \; \texttt{Q}\} \; \{\delta \; \epsilon \; : \; \texttt{Proof} \; \texttt{P}\} \; \rightarrow \; \delta \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \epsilon \; \rightarrow \; \delta \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \epsilon \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket
subredl {Q = Q} \{\rho = \rho\} (\beta \phi \delta \epsilon) = subst (\lambda x \rightarrow app (\Lambda \phi (\delta [ liftSub <math>\rho ] )) (\epsilon [ \rho ] ) -
     (let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof Q) in
         \cdots \delta \llbracket liftSub 
ho \rrbracket \llbracket botsub (\epsilon \llbracket 
ho \rrbracket) \rrbracket
                                                                                                        [[ subcomp (botsub (\epsilon [ \rho ])) (liftSub \rho) \delta ]
         \equiv \delta \llbracket botsub (\epsilon \llbracket \rho \rrbracket) ullet liftSub 
ho \rrbracket
         \equiv \delta \ \llbracket \ \rho \bullet \text{ botsub } \epsilon \ \rrbracket
                                                                                                        [[ subwd (sub-botsub \rho \epsilon) \delta ]]
         \equiv \delta \llbracket botsub \epsilon \rrbracket \llbracket \rho \rrbracket
                                                                                                        [ subcomp \rho (botsub \epsilon) \delta ])
subredl (\rightarrowtrans r r<sub>1</sub>) = \rightarrowtrans (subredl r) (subredl r<sub>1</sub>)
subredl (app r r_1) = app (subredl r) (subredl r_1)
subredl (\xi r) = \xi (subredl r)
subredl ref = ref
\mathtt{sub}^{+}\mathtt{redl}~ \{\mathtt{Q} = \mathtt{Q}\}~ \{\rho = \rho\}~ (\beta~\phi~\delta~\epsilon) = \mathtt{subst}~ (\lambda~\mathtt{x} \rightarrow \mathtt{app}~ (\Lambda~\phi~(\delta~\llbracket~\mathtt{liftSub}~\rho~\rrbracket))~ (\epsilon~\llbracket~\rho~\rrbracket)
     (let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof Q) in
         \cdots \delta [ liftSub \rho ] [ botsub (\epsilon [ \rho ]) ]
         \equiv \delta \llbracket botsub (\epsilon \llbracket \rho \rrbracket) • liftSub \rho \rrbracket
                                                                                                        [[ subcomp (botsub (\epsilon [ \rho ])) (liftSub \rho) \delta ]
         \equiv \delta \ \llbracket \ \rho \bullet \text{ botsub } \epsilon \ \rrbracket
                                                                                                        [[ subwd (sub-botsub \rho \epsilon) \delta ]]
         \equiv \; \delta \; [\![ \; \text{botsub} \; \epsilon \; ]\!] \; [\![ \; \rho \; ]\!]
                                                                                                        [ subcomp \rho (botsub \epsilon) \delta ])
sub^{\dagger}redl (\rightarrow^{\dagger}trans r r_1) = \rightarrow^{\dagger}trans (sub^{\dagger}redl r) (subredl r_1)
sub^{\dagger}redl (appl r r<sub>1</sub>) = appl (sub^{\dagger}redl r) (subredl r<sub>1</sub>)
sub^{\dagger}redl (appr r r_1) = appr (subredl r) (sub^{\dagger}redl r_1)
sub^{+}redl (\xi r) = \xi (sub^{+}redl r)
liftSub-red : \forall {P} {Q} {\rho \sigma : Sub P Q} \rightarrow (\forall x \rightarrow \rho x \rightarrow \sigma x) \rightarrow (\forall x \rightarrow liftSub \rho x \rightarrow
liftSub-red \rho \rightarrow \sigma \perp = ref
liftSub-red \{\rho = \rho\} \rho \rightarrow \sigma (\frac{\pi}{x}) = subst2 _\times_ (sym (rep-is-sub _)) (sym (rep-is-sub _)) (sym (rep-is-sub _))
subredr : \forall {P} {Q} {\rho \sigma : Sub P Q} (\delta : Proof P) \rightarrow (\forall x \rightarrow \rho x \rightarrow \sigma x) \rightarrow \delta \llbracket \rho \rrbracket \rightarrow \delta
subredr (var x) \rho \rightarrow \sigma = \rho \rightarrow \sigma x
subredr (app \delta \epsilon) \rho \rightarrow \sigma = app (subredr \delta \rho \rightarrow \sigma) (subredr \epsilon \rho \rightarrow \sigma)
subredr (\Lambda \phi \delta) \rho \rightarrow \sigma = \xi (subredr \delta (liftSub-red \rho \rightarrow \sigma))
```

The strongly normalizable terms are defined inductively as follows.

```
data SN {P} : Proof P \to Set_1 where SNI : \forall {\phi} \to (\forall \psi \to \phi \to 1 \psi \to SN \psi) \to SN \phi
```

Lemma 8. 1. If $\delta \epsilon \in SN$ then $\delta \in SN$ and $\epsilon \in SN$.

- 2. If $\delta[\bot := N] \in SN$ then $\delta \in SN$.
- 3. If $\delta \in SN$ and $\delta \rightarrow \epsilon$ then $\epsilon \in SN$.
- 4. If $\delta[x := \epsilon] \in SN$ and $\epsilon \in SN$ then $(\lambda x : \phi.\delta)\epsilon \in SN$.

SNappl :
$$\forall$$
 {Q} { δ ϵ : Proof Q} \rightarrow SN (app δ ϵ) \rightarrow SN δ SNappl {Q} { δ } { ϵ } (SNI $\delta\epsilon$ -is-SN) = SNI (δ δ) δ 0 (appl δ 1) { δ 1) { δ 2} (appl δ 3) { δ 4) { δ 5} (appl δ 5) { δ 6) (appl SNappl { δ 6) { δ 7) { δ 8) { δ 9) { δ

SNappr :
$$\forall$$
 {Q} { δ ϵ : Proof Q} \rightarrow SN (app δ ϵ) \rightarrow SN ϵ SNappr {Q} { δ } { ϵ } (SNI $\delta\epsilon$ -is-SN) = SNI (λ ϵ ' ϵ - 1 ϵ ' \rightarrow SNappr ($\delta\epsilon$ -is-SN (app δ ϵ ') (appr ϵ)

SNsub :
$$\forall$$
 {Q} { δ : Proof (Lift Q)} { ϵ } \rightarrow SN (subbot δ ϵ) \rightarrow SN δ SNsub {Q} { δ } { ϵ } (SNI $\delta\epsilon$ -is-SN) = SNI (δ δ δ δ δ SNsub (δ ϵ -is-SN (δ δ botsub ϵ]) (δ

preSNexp : \forall {P} { δ : Proof (Lift P)} { ϵ } { ϕ } \rightarrow SN (subbot δ ϵ) \rightarrow SN ϵ \rightarrow \forall γ \rightarrow (app (preSNexp {P} { δ } { ϵ } SN $\delta\epsilon$ SN ϵ . (δ [botsub ϵ]) β = SN $\delta\epsilon$ preSNexp {P} { δ } { ϵ } { ϕ } SN $\delta\epsilon$ SN ϵ (app . (Λ ϕ ϵ_1) . ϵ) (appl . (ξ {.P} {. ϕ } {. δ } { ϵ_1 } δ $\rightarrow_1 \epsilon_1$))

preSNexp SN $\delta\epsilon$ SN ϵ (app (Λ ϕ ϵ_1) ϵ) (appl (ξ $\delta \rightarrow_1 \epsilon_1$)) preSNexp {P} { δ } { ϵ } { ϕ } SN $\delta\epsilon$ SN ϵ .(app (Λ ϕ δ) ϵ ') (appr {.P} {.(Λ ϕ δ)} {. ϵ } { ϵ '} $\epsilon \rightarrow_1 \epsilon$ '

preSNexp $SN\delta\epsilon$ $SN\epsilon$ (app $(\Lambda \phi \delta) \epsilon$) (appr $\epsilon \rightarrow_1 \epsilon$)

SNexp : \forall {P} $\{\delta$: Proof (Lift P)} $\{\epsilon\}$ $\{\phi\}$ \rightarrow SN (subbot δ ϵ) \rightarrow SN ϵ \rightarrow SN (app $(\Lambda \phi \delta)$

The rules of deduction of the system are as follows.

SNexp SN $\delta\epsilon$ SN ϵ = SNI (preSNexp SN $\delta\epsilon$ SN ϵ)

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi} \ (p : \phi \in \Gamma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \delta \epsilon : \psi} \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : \phi$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, p: \phi \vdash \delta: \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda p: \phi. \delta: \phi \rightarrow \psi}$$

data _ \vdash _::_ : \forall {P} \to PContext P \to Proof P \to Prp \to Set $_1$ where

 $\texttt{var} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{P}\} \; \{\Gamma \;:\; \texttt{PContext} \; \texttt{P}\} \; \{\texttt{p}\} \;\to\; \Gamma \; \vdash \; \texttt{var} \; \texttt{p} \; :: \; \texttt{propof} \; \texttt{p} \; \Gamma$

 $\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{app} \,:\, \forall \,\, \{\mathsf{P}\} \,\, \{\Gamma \,:\, \mathsf{PContext} \,\, \mathsf{P}\} \,\, \{\delta\} \,\, \{\phi\} \,\, \{\psi\} \,\, \rightarrow \,\, \Gamma \,\, \vdash \,\, \delta \,\, :: \,\, \phi \,\, \Rightarrow \,\, \psi \,\, \rightarrow \,\, \Gamma \,\, \vdash \,\, \epsilon \,\, :: \,\, \phi \,\, \rightarrow \,\, \Gamma \,\, \vdash \,\, \mathsf{app} \,\, \{\Gamma \,:\, \mathsf{PContext} \,\, \mathsf{P}\} \,\, \{\delta\} \,\, \{\psi\} \,\, \rightarrow \,\, \Gamma \,\, , \,\, \phi \,\, \vdash \,\, \delta \,\, :: \,\, \psi \,\, \rightarrow \,\, \Gamma \,\, \vdash \,\, \Lambda \,\, \phi \,\, \delta \,\, :: \,\, \phi \,\, \Rightarrow \,\, \psi \,\, \\ \end{array}$

module PHOPL where open import Prelims

4 Predicative Higher-Order Propositional Logic

Fix sets of proof variables and term variables.

The syntax of the system is given by the following grammar.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \text{Proof} & \delta & ::= & p \mid \delta\delta \mid \lambda p : \phi.\delta \\ \text{Term} & M, \phi & ::= & x \mid \bot \mid MM \mid \phi \rightarrow \phi \mid \lambda x : A.M \\ \text{Type} & A & ::= & \Omega \mid A \rightarrow A \\ \text{Term Context} & \Gamma & ::= & \langle \rangle \mid \Gamma, x : A \\ \text{Proof Context} & \Delta & ::= & \langle \rangle \mid \Delta, p : \phi \\ \text{Judgement} & \mathcal{J} & ::= & \Gamma \text{ valid } \mid \Gamma \vdash M : A \mid \Gamma, \Delta \text{ valid } \mid \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \delta : \phi \end{array}
```

where p ranges over proof variables and x ranges over term variables. The variable p is bound within δ in the proof $\lambda p : \phi.\delta$, and the variable x is bound within M in the term $\lambda x : A.M$. We identify proofs and terms up to α -conversion.

In the implementation, we write $\mathbf{Term}(V)$ for the set of all terms with free variables a subset of V, where $V : \mathbf{FinSet}$.

```
infix 80 \Rightarrow
data Type : Set where
   \Omega : Type
   \_\Rightarrow\_ : Type 	o Type 	o Type
--Context V P is the set of all contexts whose domain consists of the term variables in
infix 80 _,_
data TContext : FinSet \rightarrow Set where
   \langle \rangle : TContext \emptyset
   _,_ : \forall {V} \rightarrow TContext V \rightarrow Type \rightarrow TContext (Lift V)
--Term V is the set of all terms M with FV(M) \subseteq V
data Term : FinSet 
ightarrow Set where
   \mathtt{var} : \forall \ \{\mathtt{V}\} \ 	o \ \mathtt{El} \ \mathtt{V} \ 	o \ \mathtt{Term} \ \mathtt{V}
   \bot : \forall {V} \rightarrow Term V
   \mathtt{app} \; : \; \forall \; \{\mathtt{V}\} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{Term} \; \, \mathtt{V} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{Term} \; \, \mathtt{V} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{Term} \; \, \mathtt{V}
   \Lambda : orall {V} 	o Type 	o Term (Lift V) 	o Term V
   \_\Rightarrow\_ : orall {V} 	o Term V 	o Term V
data PContext (V : FinSet) : FinSet \rightarrow Set where
   \langle \rangle: PContext V \emptyset
   _,_ : \forall {P} \rightarrow PContext V P \rightarrow Term V \rightarrow PContext V (Lift P)
--Proof V P is the set of all proofs with term variables among V and proof variables among
data Proof (V : FinSet) : FinSet \rightarrow Set<sub>1</sub> where
   \texttt{var} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{P}\} \;\to\; \texttt{El} \;\; \texttt{P} \;\to\; \texttt{Proof} \;\; \texttt{V} \;\; \texttt{P}
   app : \forall {P} \rightarrow Proof V P \rightarrow Proof V P \rightarrow Proof V P
   \Lambda : \forall {P} 	o Term V 	o Proof V (Lift P) 	o Proof V P
```

```
Let U,V: FinSet. A replacement from U to V is just a function U\to V. Given a term M: Term (U) and a replacement \rho:U\to V, we write M\{\rho\}: Term (V) for the result of replacing each variable x in M with \rho(x). infix 60 _<_> : \forall {U V} \to Term U \to Rep U V \to Term V
```

<> : \forall {U V} \rightarrow Term U \rightarrow Rep U V \rightarrow Term V (var x) < ρ > = var (ρ x) \bot < ρ > = \bot (app M N) < ρ > = app (M < ρ >) (N < ρ >) (Λ A M) < ρ > = Λ A (M < lift ρ >) ($\phi \Rightarrow \psi$) < ρ > = (ϕ < ρ >) \Rightarrow (ψ < ρ >)

With this as the action on arrows, $\mathbf{Term}\,()$ becomes a functor $\mathbf{FinSet}\to\mathbf{Set}.$

```
repwd : \forall {U V : FinSet} {\rho \rho' : El U \rightarrow El V} \rightarrow \rho \sim \rho' \rightarrow \forall M \rightarrow M < \rho > \equiv M < \rho' >
repwd \rho-is-\rho' (var x) = wd var (\rho-is-\rho' x)
repwd \rho-is-\rho' \perp = ref
repwd \rho-is-\rho' (app M N)= wd2 app (repwd \rho-is-\rho' M) (repwd \rho-is-\rho' N)
repwd \rho-is-\rho' (\Lambda A M) = wd (\Lambda A) (repwd (liftwd \rho-is-\rho') M)
repwd \rho-is-\rho' (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = wd2 \Rightarrow (repwd \rho-is-\rho' \phi) (repwd \rho-is-\rho' \psi)
repid : \forall {V : FinSet} M \rightarrow M < id (El V) > \equiv M
repid (var x) = ref
repid \perp = ref
repid (app M N) = wd2 app (repid M) (repid N)
repid (\Lambda A M) = wd (\Lambda A) (trans (repwd liftid M) (repid M))
repid (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = \text{wd2} \implies (repid \phi) (repid \psi)
repcomp : \forall {U V W : FinSet} (\sigma : El V \rightarrow El W) (\rho : El U \rightarrow El V) M \rightarrow M < \sigma \circ \rho > \equiv M
repcomp \rho \sigma (var x) = ref
repcomp \rho \sigma \perp = ref
repcomp \rho \sigma (app M N) = wd2 app (repcomp \rho \sigma M) (repcomp \rho \sigma N)
repcomp \rho \sigma (\Lambda A M) = wd (\Lambda A) (trans (repwd liftcomp M) (repcomp (lift \rho) (lift \sigma) M))
repcomp \rho \sigma (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = wd2 \_\Rightarrow_ (repcomp \rho \sigma \phi) (repcomp \rho \sigma \psi)
```

A substitution σ from U to V, $\sigma: U \Rightarrow V$, is a function $\sigma: U \to \mathbf{Term}(V)$.

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathtt{Sub} & \colon \mathtt{FinSet} \, \to \, \mathtt{FinSet} \, \to \, \mathtt{Set} \\ \mathtt{Sub} & \mathtt{U} \ \mathtt{V} \, = \, \mathtt{El} \ \mathtt{U} \, \to \, \mathtt{Term} \ \mathtt{V} \end{array}
```

The identity substitution $id_V: V \Rightarrow V$ is defined as follows.

```
\begin{array}{lll} {\tt idSub} \; : \; \forall \; {\tt V} \; \rightarrow \; {\tt Sub} \; {\tt V} \; {\tt V} \\ {\tt idSub} \; \_ \; = \; {\tt var} \end{array}
```

Given $\sigma: U \Rightarrow V$ and $M: \mathbf{Term}(U)$, we want to define $M[\sigma]: \mathbf{Term}(V)$, the result of applying the substitution σ to M. Only after this will we be able

to define the composition of two substitutions. However, there is some work we need to do before we are able to do this.

We can define the composition of a substitution and a replacement as follows.

```
infix 75 \_\bullet_{1}
ullet ullet _1 ullet : \ orall \ \{ f V \} \ \ \{ f W \} \ 	o \ {
m Rep} \ \ f V \ \ f W \ 	o \ {
m Sub} \ \ f U \ \ f V \ 	o \ {
m Sub} \ \ f U \ \ f W
(\rho \bullet_1 \sigma) u = \sigma u < \rho >
     (On the other side, given \rho: U \to V and \sigma: V \Rightarrow W, the composition is
just function composition \sigma \circ \rho : U \Rightarrow W.)
     Given a substitution \sigma: U \Rightarrow V, define the substitution \sigma+1: U+1 \Rightarrow V+1
as follows.
liftSub : \forall {U} {V} \rightarrow Sub U V \rightarrow Sub (Lift U) (Lift V)
liftSub \_ \perp = var \bot
liftSub \sigma (\uparrow x) = \sigma x < \uparrow >
liftSub-wd : \forall {U V} {\sigma \sigma' : Sub U V} \rightarrow \sigma \sim \sigma' \rightarrow liftSub \sigma \sim liftSub \sigma'
liftSub-wd \sigma-is-\sigma' \bot = ref
liftSub-wd \sigma-is-\sigma' (\uparrow x) = wd (\lambda x \rightarrow x < \uparrow >) (\sigma-is-\sigma' x)
Lemma 9. The operations \text{ffl}_1 and (-) + 1 satisfiesd the following properties.
    1. id_V + 1 = id_{V+1}
    2. For \rho: V \to W and \sigma: U \Rightarrow V, we have (\rho \bullet \sigma) + 1 = (\rho + 1) \bullet (\sigma + 1).
    3. For \sigma: V \Rightarrow W and \rho: U \to V, we have (\sigma \circ \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \circ (\rho + 1).
\texttt{liftSub-id} \; : \; \forall \; \{ \texttt{V} \; : \; \texttt{FinSet} \} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{liftSub} \; \; (\texttt{idSub} \; \; \texttt{V}) \; \sim \; \texttt{idSub} \; \; (\texttt{Lift} \; \; \texttt{V})
liftSub-id \perp = ref
liftSub-id (\uparrow x) = ref
liftSub-comp_1 : orall {U V W : FinSet} (\sigma : Sub U V) (
ho : Rep V W) 
ightarrow
   liftSub (\rho \bullet_1 \sigma) \sim \text{lift } \rho \bullet_1 \text{ liftSub } \sigma
liftSub-comp<sub>1</sub> \sigma \rho \perp = ref
liftSub-comp_1 {W = W} \sigma \rho (\uparrow x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term (Lift W)) in
     :: \sigma \times \langle \rho \rangle \langle \uparrow \rangle
     \equiv \sigma \times \langle \uparrow \circ \rho \rangle
                                                [[repcomp \uparrow \rho (\sigma x)]]
     \equiv \sigma x < \uparrow > < lift \rho > [ repcomp (lift \rho) \uparrow (\sigma x) ]
--because lift \rho (\uparrow x) = \uparrow (\rho x)
liftSub-comp<sub>2</sub> : \forall {U V W : FinSet} (\sigma : Sub V W) (\rho : Rep U V) \rightarrow
   liftSub (\sigma \circ \rho) \sim \text{liftSub } \sigma \circ \text{lift } \rho
```

Now define $M[\sigma]$ as follows.

liftSub-comp₂ σ ρ \perp = ref liftSub-comp₂ σ ρ (\uparrow x) = ref

```
 \_\llbracket \_ \rrbracket \ : \ \forall \ \{ \texttt{U} \ \texttt{V} \ : \ \texttt{FinSet} \} \ \to \ \texttt{Term} \ \texttt{U} \ \to \ \texttt{Sub} \ \texttt{U} \ \texttt{V} \ \to \ \texttt{Term} \ \texttt{V} 
                  \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket = \sigma x
                       \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket = \bot
(\operatorname{app}\ \operatorname{M}\ \operatorname{N})\ \llbracket\ \sigma\ \rrbracket = \operatorname{app}\ (\operatorname{M}\ \llbracket\ \sigma\ \rrbracket)\ (\operatorname{N}\ \llbracket\ \sigma\ \rrbracket)
(\Lambda \ A \ M) \qquad [\![ \ \sigma \ ]\!] = \Lambda \ A \ (M \ [\![ \ liftSub \ \sigma \ ]\!])
(\phi \Rightarrow \psi) \quad \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket = (\phi \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket) \Rightarrow (\psi \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket)
\texttt{subwd} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{U} \; \texttt{V} \;:\; \texttt{FinSet}\} \; \{\sigma \; \sigma' \;:\; \texttt{Sub} \; \texttt{U} \; \texttt{V}\} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \sim \; \sigma' \; \rightarrow \; \forall \; \texttt{M} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \| \; \sigma \; \| \; \equiv \; \texttt{M} \; \| \; \sigma' \; \|
subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' (var x) = \sigma-is-\sigma' x
subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' \bot = ref
subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' (app M N) = wd2 app (subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' M) (subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' N)
subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' (\Lambda A M) = wd (\Lambda A) (subwd (liftSub-wd \sigma-is-\sigma') M)
subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = wd2 \_\Rightarrow_ (subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' \phi) (subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' \psi)
      This interacts with our previous operations in a good way:
Lemma 10.
                               1. M[id_V] \equiv M
     2. M[\rho \bullet \sigma] \equiv M[\sigma]\{\rho\}
     3. M[\sigma \circ \rho] \equiv M < \rho > [\sigma]
\texttt{subid} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{V} \;:\; \texttt{FinSet}\} \;\; (\texttt{M} \;:\; \texttt{Term} \;\; \texttt{V}) \;\to\; \texttt{M} \;\; [\![\![\; \texttt{idSub} \;\; \texttt{V} \;]\!] \;\equiv\; \texttt{M}
subid (var x) = ref
subid \perp = ref
subid (app M N) = wd2 app (subid M) (subid N)
subid \{V\} (\Lambda A M) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term V) in
    ∵ Λ A (M [ liftSub (idSub V) ])
    \equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket idSub (Lift V) \rrbracket)
                                                                                 [ wd (\Lambda A) (subwd liftSub-id M) ]
                                                                                  [ wd (\Lambda A) (subid M) ]
    \equiv \Lambda A M
subid (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = wd2 \Rightarrow (subid \phi) (subid \psi)
\texttt{rep-sub}: \ \forall \ \{\texttt{U}\} \ \{\texttt{V}\} \ \{\texttt{W}\} \ (\sigma: \texttt{Sub} \ \texttt{U} \ \texttt{V}) \ (\rho: \texttt{Rep} \ \texttt{V} \ \texttt{W}) \ (\texttt{M}: \texttt{Term} \ \texttt{U}) \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{M} \ \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket \ < \rho \ > \ \equiv \ \texttt{M} \ \llbracket \ ]
rep-sub \sigma \rho (var x) = ref
rep-sub \sigma \rho \perp = ref
rep-sub \sigma \rho (app M N) = wd2 app (rep-sub \sigma \rho M) (rep-sub \sigma \rho N)
rep-sub {W = W} \sigma \rho (\Lambda A M) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term W) in
   \therefore \Lambda \land ((M \parallel \text{ liftSub } \sigma \parallel) < \text{lift } \rho >)
    \equiv \Lambda A (M [\![ lift \rho ullet_1 liftSub \sigma [\![]) [\![ wd (\Lambda A) (rep-sub (liftSub \sigma) (lift \rho) M) ]\![
    \equiv \Lambda A (M [ liftSub (\rho \bullet_1 \sigma) ]) [[ wd (\Lambda A) (subwd (liftSub-comp<sub>1</sub> \sigma \rho) M) ]]
rep-sub \sigma \rho (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = wd2 \_\Rightarrow_ (rep-sub \sigma \rho \phi) (rep-sub \sigma \rho \psi)
\texttt{sub-rep} \,:\, \forall \,\, \{\texttt{U}\} \,\, \{\texttt{V}\} \,\, \{\texttt{W}\} \,\, (\sigma \,:\, \texttt{Sub} \,\, \texttt{V} \,\, \texttt{W}) \,\, (\rho \,:\, \texttt{Rep} \,\, \texttt{U} \,\, \texttt{V}) \,\, \texttt{M} \,\rightarrow\, \texttt{M} \,\, <\, \rho \,\, >\, \llbracket \,\, \sigma \,\, \rrbracket \,\, \equiv\, \texttt{M} \,\, \llbracket \,\, \sigma \,\, \circ\,\, \rho \,\, \rrbracket
sub-rep \sigma \rho (var x) = ref
sub-rep \sigma \rho \perp = ref
```

--Term is a monad with unit var and the following multiplication

infix 60 _[_]

```
sub-rep \sigma \rho (app M N) = wd2 app (sub-rep \sigma \rho M) (sub-rep \sigma \rho N)
sub-rep {W = W} \sigma \rho (\Lambda A M) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term W) in
   \therefore \Lambda \land ((M < lift \rho >) [ liftSub \sigma ])
   \equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket liftSub \sigma \circ lift \rho \rrbracket)
                                                                              [ wd (\Lambda A) (sub-rep (liftSub \sigma) (lift \rho) M) ]
   \equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket liftSub (\sigma \circ \rho) \rrbracket)
                                                                              [[ wd (\Lambda A) (subwd (liftSub-comp<sub>2</sub> \sigma \rho) M) ]]
sub-rep \sigma \rho (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = wd2 \Rightarrow (sub-rep \sigma \rho \phi) (sub-rep \sigma \rho \psi)
     We define the composition of two substitutions, as follows.
infix 75 _•_
\_{\bullet}\_~:~\forall~ \{\texttt{U}~\texttt{V}~\texttt{W}~:~\texttt{FinSet}\}~\rightarrow~\texttt{Sub}~\texttt{V}~\texttt{W}~\rightarrow~\texttt{Sub}~\texttt{U}~\texttt{V}~\rightarrow~\texttt{Sub}~\texttt{U}~\texttt{W}
(\sigma \bullet \rho) \mathbf{x} = \rho \mathbf{x} \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket
Lemma 11. Let \sigma: V \Rightarrow W and \rho: U \Rightarrow V.
    1. (\sigma \bullet \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \bullet (\rho + 1)
    2. M[\sigma \bullet \rho] \equiv M[\rho][\sigma]
liftSub-comp : \forall {U} {V} {W} (\sigma : Sub V W) (\rho : Sub U V) \rightarrow
    liftSub (\sigma \bullet \rho) \sim \text{liftSub } \sigma \bullet \text{liftSub } \rho
liftSub-comp \sigma \rho \perp = ref
liftSub-comp \sigma \rho (\uparrow x) = trans (rep-sub \sigma \uparrow (\rho x)) (sym (sub-rep (liftSub \sigma) \uparrow (\rho x)))
\texttt{subcomp}: \ \forall \ \{\mathtt{U}\} \ \{\mathtt{W}\} \ (\sigma: \mathtt{Sub} \ \mathtt{W} \ \mathtt{W}) \ (\rho: \mathtt{Sub} \ \mathtt{U} \ \mathtt{W}) \ \mathtt{M} \ \to \ \mathtt{M} \ \llbracket \ \sigma \bullet \rho \ \rrbracket \ \equiv \ \mathtt{M} \ \llbracket \ \rho \ \rrbracket \ \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket
subcomp \sigma \rho (var x) = ref
subcomp \sigma \rho \perp = ref
subcomp \sigma \rho (app M N) = wd2 app (subcomp \sigma \rho M) (subcomp \sigma \rho N)
subcomp \sigma \rho (\Lambda A M) = wd (\Lambda A) (trans (subwd (liftSub-comp \sigma \rho) M) (subcomp (liftSub \sigma
subcomp \sigma \rho (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = \text{wd2} \implies (subcomp \sigma \rho \phi) (subcomp \sigma \rho \psi)
Lemma 12. The finite sets and substitutions form a category under this com-
position.
assoc : \forall {U V W X} {\rho : Sub W X} {\sigma : Sub V W} {\tau : Sub U V} \rightarrow
   \rho \bullet (\sigma \bullet \tau) \sim (\rho \bullet \sigma) \bullet \tau
assoc {U} {V} {W} {X} {\rho} {\sigma} {\tau} x = sym (subcomp \rho \sigma (\tau x))
\texttt{subunitl} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{U}\} \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\sigma \;:\; \texttt{Sub} \; \texttt{U} \; \texttt{V}\} \; \to \; \texttt{idSub} \; \texttt{V} \; \bullet \; \sigma \; \sim \; \sigma
subunitl {U} {V} \{\sigma\} x = subid (\sigma x)
\texttt{subunitr} \; : \; \forall \; \{\texttt{U}\} \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\sigma \; : \; \texttt{Sub} \; \texttt{U} \; \texttt{V}\} \; \to \; \sigma \; \bullet \; \texttt{idSub} \; \texttt{U} \; \sim \; \sigma
subunitr _ = ref
-- The second monad law
rep-is-sub : \forall {U} {V} {\rho : El U \rightarrow El V} M \rightarrow M < \rho > \equiv M \llbracket var \circ \rho \rrbracket
```

rep-is-sub (var x) = ref

```
rep-is-sub \perp = ref
rep-is-sub (app M N) = wd2 app (rep-is-sub M) (rep-is-sub N)
rep-is-sub \{V = V\} \{\rho\} (\Lambda A M) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term V) in
   \therefore \Lambda \land (M < lift \rho >)
   \equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket var \circ lift \rho \rrbracket)
                                                                    [ wd (\Lambda A) (rep-is-sub M) ]
   \equiv \Lambda A (M \lceil liftSub var \circ lift 
ho \rceil) [[ wd (\Lambda A) (subwd (\lambda x 
ightarrow liftSub-id (lift 
ho x)) N
   \equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket liftSub (var \circ \rho) \rrbracket) [[ wd (\Lambda A) (subwd (liftSub-comp_2 var \rho) M) ]]
--wd (\Lambda A) (trans (rep-is-sub M) (subwd {!!} M))
rep-is-sub (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = wd2 \Rightarrow (rep-is-sub \phi) (rep-is-sub \psi)
\mathtt{typeof} \;:\; \forall \; \{\mathtt{V}\} \;\to\; \mathtt{El} \;\; \mathtt{V} \;\to\; \mathtt{TContext} \;\; \mathtt{V} \;\to\; \mathtt{Type}
typeof \bot (_ , A) = A
typeof (\uparrow x) (\Gamma , _) = typeof x \Gamma
\texttt{propof} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\texttt{P}\} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{El} \; \; \texttt{P} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{PContext} \; \; \texttt{V} \; \; \texttt{P} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Term} \; \; \texttt{V}
propof \perp (_ , \phi) = \phi
propof (\uparrow p) (\Gamma , _) = propof p \Gamma
liftSub-var' : \forall {U} {V} (\rho : El U \rightarrow El V) \rightarrow liftSub (var \circ \rho) \sim var \circ lift \rho
liftSub-var' \rho \perp = ref
liftSub-var' \rho (\uparrow x) = ref
\texttt{botsub} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{V}\} \;\to\; \texttt{Term} \;\; \texttt{V} \;\to\; \texttt{Sub} \;\; (\texttt{Lift} \;\; \texttt{V}) \;\; \texttt{V}
botsub M \perp = M
botsub _{-} (\uparrow x) = var x
sub-botsub : \forall {U} {V} (\sigma : Sub U V) (M : Term U) (x : El (Lift U)) \rightarrow
   botsub M x \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \equiv \text{liftSub } \sigma \text{ x } \llbracket \text{ botsub } (M \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket) \rrbracket
sub-botsub \sigma M \perp = ref
sub-botsub \sigma M (\uparrow x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term _) in
   ∵ σ x
   \equiv \sigma \times \llbracket idSub \_ \rrbracket
                                                                        [[ subid (\sigma x) ]]
   \equiv \sigma \times \uparrow > [\![ botsub (M [\![ \sigma ]\!]) ]\!]
                                                                       [[ sub-rep (botsub (M \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket)) \(\gamma \) (\sigma \) ]]
\texttt{rep-botsub} : \ \forall \ \{\texttt{U}\} \ \{\texttt{V}\} \ (\rho : \texttt{El} \ \texttt{U} \to \texttt{El} \ \texttt{V}) \ (\texttt{M} : \texttt{Term} \ \texttt{U}) \ (\texttt{x} : \texttt{El} \ (\texttt{Lift} \ \texttt{U})) \ \to \ \texttt{U}
   botsub M x < \rho > \equiv botsub (M < \rho >) (lift \rho x)
rep-botsub \rho M x = trans (rep-is-sub (botsub M x))
   (trans (sub-botsub (var \circ 
ho) M x) (trans (subwd (\lambda x_1 	o wd (\lambda y 	o botsub y x_1) (sym
   (wd (\lambda \times X \to X \parallel botsub (M < \rho >) \parallel) (liftSub-var' \rho \times X))))
--TODO Inline this?
\mathtt{subbot} \;:\; \forall \; \{\mathtt{V}\} \;\to\; \mathtt{Term} \;\; (\mathtt{Lift} \;\; \mathtt{V}) \;\to\; \mathtt{Term} \;\; \mathtt{V} \;\to\; \mathtt{Term} \;\; \mathtt{V}
subbot M N = M [ botsub N ]
```

We write $M \simeq N$ iff the terms M and N are β -convertible, and similarly for proofs.

```
data \_\twoheadrightarrow\_ : \forall {V} \to Term V \to Term V \to Set where
         eta : orall {V} A (M : Term (Lift V)) N 
ightarrow app (\Lambda A M) N 
ightarrow subbot M N
        \texttt{ref} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\texttt{M} \;:\; \texttt{Term} \;\; \texttt{V}\} \;\to\; \texttt{M} \;\twoheadrightarrow\; \texttt{M}
         \neg \texttt{*trans} \ : \ \forall \ \{\texttt{V}\} \ \{\texttt{M} \ \texttt{N} \ \texttt{P} \ : \ \texttt{Term} \ \texttt{V}\} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{M} \ \twoheadrightarrow \ \texttt{N} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{N} \ \twoheadrightarrow \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{M} \ \twoheadrightarrow \ \texttt{P}
         \texttt{app} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\texttt{M} \; \texttt{M'} \; \texttt{N} \; \texttt{N'} \;:\; \texttt{Term} \; \texttt{V}\} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M'} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{N'} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{app} \; \texttt{M} \; \texttt{N} \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{app} \; \texttt{M'} \; \texttt{N'}
         \Lambda : \forall {V} {M N : Term (Lift V)} {A} \rightarrow M \twoheadrightarrow N \rightarrow \Lambda A M \twoheadrightarrow \Lambda A N
         \mathtt{imp} : \forall \ \{\emptyset\} \ \{\phi \ \phi' \ \psi \ \psi' \ : \ \mathtt{Term} \ \emptyset\} \ \rightarrow \ \phi \ \twoheadrightarrow \ \phi' \ \rightarrow \ \psi \ \twoheadrightarrow \ \phi' \ \rightarrow \ \phi \ \twoheadrightarrow \ \phi' \ \Rightarrow \ \psi'
repred : \forall {U} {V} {\rho : El U \rightarrow El V} {M N : Term U} \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow M < \rho > \rightarrow N < \rho >
repred {U} {V} {\rho} (\beta A M N) = subst (\lambda x \rightarrow app (\Lambda A (M < lift \rho > )) (N < \rho >) \twoheadrightarrow x) (
repred ref = ref
repred (app M\rightarrowN M'\rightarrowN') = app (repred M\rightarrowN) (repred M'\rightarrowN')
repred (\Lambda M \rightarrow N) = \Lambda \text{ (repred } M \rightarrow N)
repred (imp \phi \rightarrow \phi', \psi \rightarrow \psi') = imp (repred \phi \rightarrow \phi') (repred \psi \rightarrow \psi')
liftSub-red : \forall {U} {V} {\rho \sigma : Sub U V} \rightarrow (\forall x \rightarrow \rho x \rightarrow \sigma x) \rightarrow (\forall x \rightarrow liftSub \rho x \rightarrow
liftSub-red \rho \rightarrow \sigma \perp = ref
liftSub-red \rho \rightarrow \sigma (\(\gamma\) x) = repred (\rho \rightarrow \sigma x)
\texttt{subred} \; : \; \forall \; \{\texttt{U}\} \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\rho \; \sigma \; : \; \texttt{Sub} \; \texttt{U} \; \texttt{V}\} \; (\texttt{M} \; : \; \texttt{Term} \; \texttt{U}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \rho \; \texttt{x} \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; <footnote>\; \texttt{M} \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \; \texttt{M} \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \; \texttt{M} \; \texttt{M} \; \texttt{M} \;   \; \texttt{M} \; \texttt{M} \;  \; \texttt{M} \; \texttt
subred (var x) \rho \rightarrow \sigma = \rho \rightarrow \sigma x
subred \perp \rho \rightarrow \sigma = \text{ref}
subred (app M N) \rho \rightarrow \sigma = app (subred M \rho \rightarrow \sigma) (subred N \rho \rightarrow \sigma)
subred (\Lambda A M) \rho \rightarrow \sigma = \Lambda (subred M (liftSub-red \rho \rightarrow \sigma))
subred (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) \rho \rightarrow \sigma = imp (subred \phi \rho \rightarrow \sigma) (subred \psi \rho \rightarrow \sigma)
\texttt{subsub}: \ \forall \ \{ \texttt{U} \} \ \{ \texttt{V} \} \ \{ \texttt{W} \} \ (\sigma : \texttt{Sub} \ \texttt{V} \ \texttt{W}) \ (\rho : \texttt{Sub} \ \texttt{U} \ \texttt{V}) \ \texttt{M} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{M} \ \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket \ \equiv \ \texttt{M} \ \llbracket \ \sigma \ \bullet \ \rho \ \rrbracket
subsub \sigma \rho (var x) = ref
subsub \sigma \rho \perp = ref
subsub \sigma \rho (app M N) = wd2 app (subsub \sigma \rho M) (subsub \sigma \rho N)
subsub \sigma \rho (\Lambda A M) = wd (\Lambda A) (trans (subsub (liftSub \sigma) (liftSub \rho) M)
          (subwd (\lambda x \rightarrow sym (liftSub-comp \sigma \rho x)) M))
subsub \sigma \rho (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = \text{wd2} \implies (\text{subsub } \sigma \rho \phi) (\text{subsub } \sigma \rho \psi)
\texttt{subredr} : \ \forall \ \{\mathtt{U}\} \ \{\sigma : \mathtt{Sub} \ \mathtt{U} \ \mathtt{V}\} \ \{\mathtt{M} \ \mathtt{N} : \mathtt{Term} \ \mathtt{U}\} \ \to \ \mathtt{M} \ \twoheadrightarrow \ \mathtt{N} \ \to \ \mathtt{M} \ \big[\!\big[ \ \sigma \ \big]\!\big] \ \twoheadrightarrow \ \mathtt{N} \ \big[\!\big[ \ \sigma \ \big]\!\big]
(sym (trans (subsub (botsub (N \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket)) (liftSub \sigma) M) (subwd (\lambda x 	o sym (sub-botsub \sigma
subredr ref = ref
subredr (app M \rightarrow M' N \rightarrow N') = app (subredr M \rightarrow M') (subredr N \rightarrow N')
subredr (\Lambda M \rightarrow N) = \Lambda \text{ (subredr } M \rightarrow N)
subredr (imp \phi \rightarrow \phi', \psi \rightarrow \psi') = imp (subredr \phi \rightarrow \phi') (subredr \psi \rightarrow \psi')
```

eta : \forall {V} {A} {M : Term (Lift V)} {N} ightarrow app (Λ A M) N \simeq subbot M N

data $_\simeq_$: \forall {V} \to Term V \to Term V \to Set $_1$ where

 $\texttt{ref} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\texttt{M} \;:\; \texttt{Term} \; \, \texttt{V}\} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \simeq \; \texttt{M}$

 \simeq sym : \forall {V} {M N : Term V} \rightarrow M \simeq N \rightarrow N \simeq M

 $\simeq \texttt{trans} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\texttt{M} \; \texttt{N} \; \texttt{P} \;:\; \texttt{Term} \; \texttt{V}\} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \simeq \; \texttt{N} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \simeq \; \texttt{P} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \simeq \; \texttt{P}$

 ${\tt app} \,:\, \forall \,\, \{{\tt V}\} \,\, \{{\tt M}\,\,{\tt M'}\,\,\, {\tt N}\,\,\, {\tt N'} \,\,:\,\, {\tt Term}\,\,\, {\tt V}\} \,\,\to\,\, {\tt M}\,\,\simeq\,\, {\tt M'}\,\,\to\,\, {\tt N}\,\,\simeq\,\, {\tt N'}\,\,\to\,\, {\tt app}\,\,\, {\tt M}\,\,\, {\tt N}\,\,\simeq\,\, {\tt app}\,\,\, {\tt M'}\,\,\, {\tt N'}\,\,$

 Λ : \forall {V} {M N : Term (Lift V)} {A} \rightarrow M \simeq N \rightarrow Λ A M \simeq Λ A N

 $\texttt{imp} \,:\, \forall \,\, \{\texttt{V}\} \,\, \{\phi \,\, \phi \,,\,\, \psi \,\, \psi \,,\,\, : \,\, \texttt{Term} \,\, \texttt{V}\} \,\, \rightarrow \,\, \phi \,\, \simeq \,\, \phi \,,\,\, \rightarrow \,\, \psi \,\, \simeq \,\, \psi \,,\,\, \rightarrow \,\, \phi \,\, \Rightarrow \,\, \psi \,\, \simeq \,\, \phi \,,\,\, \Rightarrow \,\, \psi \,\, \simeq \,\, \psi \,\,,\,\, \Rightarrow \,\, \psi \,\,,\,\, \Rightarrow \,\, \psi \,\, \simeq \,\, \psi \,\,,\,\, \Rightarrow \,\, \psi \,\,,\,\, \varphi \,\,,\,\, \psi \,\,,$

The strongly normalizable terms are defined inductively as follows.

data SN {V} : Term V ightarrow Set $_1$ where

 $\mathtt{SNI} \;:\; \forall \; \{\mathtt{M}\} \;\to\; (\forall \; \mathtt{N} \;\to\; \mathtt{M} \;\twoheadrightarrow\; \mathtt{N} \;\to\; \mathtt{SN} \; \mathtt{N}) \;\to\; \mathtt{SN} \; \mathtt{M}$

Lemma 13. 1. If $MN \in SN$ then $M \in SN$ and $N \in SN$.

- 2. If $M[x := N] \in SN$ then $M \in SN$.
- 3. If $M \in SN$ and $M \triangleright N$ then $N \in SN$.
- 4. If $M[x := N]\vec{P} \in SN$ and $N \in SN$ then $(\lambda xM)N\vec{P} \in SN$.

 $\mathtt{SNappl} \; : \; \forall \; \{\mathtt{V}\} \; \{\mathtt{M} \; \mathtt{N} \; : \; \mathtt{Term} \; \mathtt{V}\} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{SN} \; (\mathtt{app} \; \mathtt{M} \; \mathtt{N}) \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{SN} \; \mathtt{M}$

 $\mathtt{SNappr} \;:\; \forall \; \{\mathtt{V}\} \; \{\mathtt{M} \; \mathtt{N} \;:\; \mathtt{Term} \; \mathtt{V}\} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{SN} \; (\mathtt{app} \; \mathtt{M} \; \mathtt{N}) \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{SN} \; \mathtt{N}$

 ${\tt SNappr \{V\} \{M\} \{N\} (SNI MN-is-SN) = SNI (λ P N\trianglerightP \rightarrow SNappr (MN-is-SN (app M P) (app reformable of the state of t$

 ${\tt SNsub} \;:\; \forall \; \{{\tt V}\} \; \{{\tt M} \;:\; {\tt Term} \;\; ({\tt Lift} \;\; {\tt V})\} \;\; \{{\tt N}\} \;\; \rightarrow \; {\tt SN} \;\; ({\tt subbot} \;\; {\tt M} \;\; {\tt N}) \;\; \rightarrow \; {\tt SN} \;\; {\tt M}$

The rules of deduction of the system are as follows.

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\langle \rangle \text{ valid}} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma, x : A \text{ valid}} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega}{\Gamma, p : \phi \text{ valid}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash x : A} \ (x : A \in \Gamma) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi} \ (p : \phi \in \Gamma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash \bot : \Omega} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega}{\Gamma \vdash \phi \rightarrow \psi : \Omega}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \to B \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash MN : B} \qquad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \to \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : \phi}{\Gamma \vdash \delta \epsilon : \psi}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x: A \vdash M: B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x: A.M: A \to B} \qquad \frac{\Gamma, p: \phi \vdash \delta: \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda p: \phi.\delta: \phi \to \psi}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega}{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \psi} \ (\phi \simeq \phi)$$

```
data Tvalid : \forall {V} \rightarrow TContext V \rightarrow Set<sub>1</sub> where
                                \langle \rangle : Tvalid \langle \rangle
                              _,_ : \forall {V} {\Gamma : TContext V} \to Tvalid \Gamma \to \forall A \to Tvalid (\Gamma , A)
              data _\vdash_:_ : \forall {V} \to TContext V \to Term V \to Type \to Set_1 where
                              \texttt{var} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\Gamma \;:\; \texttt{TContext} \; \, \texttt{V}\} \; \{\texttt{x}\} \; \to \; \texttt{Tvalid} \; \Gamma \; \to \; \Gamma \; \vdash \; \texttt{var} \; \, \texttt{x} \; : \; \texttt{typeof} \; \, \texttt{x} \; \, \Gamma
                               \bot \,:\, \forall \,\, \{\mathtt{V}\} \,\, \{\Gamma \,:\, \mathtt{TContext} \,\, \mathtt{V}\} \,\,\to\, \mathtt{Tvalid} \,\, \Gamma \,\to\, \Gamma \,\,\vdash\, \bot \,:\, \Omega
                              \mathtt{imp} : \forall \ \{\mathtt{V}\} \ \{\Gamma : \mathtt{TContext} \ \mathtt{V}\} \ \{\phi\} \ \{\psi\} \ \to \ \Gamma \ \vdash \ \phi : \Omega \ \to \ \Gamma \ \vdash \ \phi \ \Rightarrow \ \psi : \Omega
                                \texttt{app} \,:\, \forall \,\, \{\texttt{V}\} \,\, \{\Gamma \,:\, \texttt{TContext} \,\, \texttt{V}\} \,\, \{\texttt{M}\} \,\, \{\texttt{N}\} \,\, \{\texttt{B}\} \,\,\to\, \Gamma \,\,\vdash\,\, \texttt{M} \,:\, \texttt{A} \,\,\Rightarrow\,\, \texttt{B} \,\,\to\,\, \Gamma \,\,\vdash\,\, \texttt{N} \,:\, \texttt{A} \,\,\to\,\, \Gamma \,\,\vdash\,\, \texttt{A}
                               \Lambda : \forall {V} {\Gamma : TContext V} {A} {M} {B} \to \Gamma , A \vdash M : B \to \Gamma \vdash \Lambda A M : A \Rightarrow B
data Pvalid : \forall {V} {P} \rightarrow TContext V \rightarrow PContext V P \rightarrow Set_1 where
                \langle 
angle : orall {V} {\Gamma : TContext V} 
ightarrow Tvalid \Gamma 
ightarrow Pvalid \Gamma \langle 
angle
               _,_ : \forall {V} {P} {\Gamma : TContext V} {\Delta : PContext V P} {\phi : Term V} 	o Pvalid \Gamma \Delta 	o \Gamma
\texttt{data \_,,\_} \vdash \_ :: \_ : \ \forall \ \{\texttt{V}\} \ \ \{\texttt{P}\} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{TContext} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{PContext} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Term} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Set}_1 \ \ \texttt{where} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Term} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Set}_1 \ \ \texttt{where} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Term} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Set}_1 \ \ \texttt{W} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Term} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Set}_1 \ \ \texttt{W} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Term} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Set}_1 \ \ \texttt{W} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Term} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \ \texttt
              var : \forall {V} {P} {\Gamma : TContext V} {\Delta : PContext V P} {{
m p}} \to Pvalid \Gamma \Delta \to \Gamma ,, \Delta \vdash variations variately.
              app : \forall {V} {P} {\Gamma : TContext V} {\Delta : PContext V P} {\delta} {\epsilon} {\phi} {\psi} \to \Gamma ,, \Delta \vdash \delta :: \epsilon
              \Lambda : \forall {V} {P} {\Gamma : TContext V} {\Delta : PContext V P} {\phi} {\delta} {\psi} \to \Gamma ,, \Delta , \phi \vdash \delta :: \psi
              \verb"conv": \forall \ \{ \texttt{V} \} \ \{ \texttt{P} \} \ \{ \Gamma \ : \ \texttt{TContext} \ \texttt{V} \} \ \{ \Delta \ : \ \texttt{PContext} \ \texttt{V} \ \texttt{P} \} \ \{ \delta \} \ \{ \phi \} \ \{ \psi \} \ \to \ \Gamma \ \texttt{,,} \ \Delta \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ A \ \vdash \
```