

Type Theories with Computation Rules for the Univalence Axiom

Robin Adams

March 29, 2016

1 Preliminaries

```
module Prelims where

postulate Level : Set
postulate zro : Level
postulate suc : Level → Level
{-# BUILTIN LEVEL Level #-}
{-# BUILTIN LEVELZERO zro #-}
{-# BUILTIN LEVELSUC suc #-}
```

1.1 The Empty Type

```
data False : Set where
```

1.2 Conjunction

```
data _^_ {i} (P Q : Set i) : Set i where
  _,_ : P → Q → P ^ Q

π1 : ∀ {i} {P Q : Set i} → P ^ Q → P
π1 (x , _) = x

π2 : ∀ {i} {P Q : Set i} → P ^ Q → Q
π2 (_, y) = y
```

1.3 Functions

```
infix 75 _o_
_o_ : ∀ {A B C : Set} → (B → C) → (A → B) → A → C
(g o f) x = g (f x)
```

1.4 Equality

We use the inductively defined equality $=$ on every datatype.

```

infix 50 _≡_
data _≡_ {A : Set} (a : A) : A → Set where
  ref : a ≡ a

subst : ∀ {i} {A : Set} (P : A → Set i) {a} {b} → a ≡ b → P a → P b
subst P ref Pa = Pa

subst2 : ∀ {A B : Set} (P : A → B → Set) {a a' b b'} → a ≡ a' → b ≡ b' → P a b → P a' b'
subst2 P ref ref Pab = Pab

sym : ∀ {A : Set} {a b : A} → a ≡ b → b ≡ a
sym ref = ref

trans : ∀ {A : Set} {a b c : A} → a ≡ b → b ≡ c → a ≡ c
trans ref ref = ref

wd : ∀ {A B : Set} (f : A → B) {a a' : A} → a ≡ a' → f a ≡ f a'
wd _ ref = ref

wd2 : ∀ {A B C : Set} (f : A → B → C) {a a' : A} {b b' : B} → a ≡ a' → b ≡ b' → f a b ≡ f a' b'
wd2 _ ref ref = ref

module Equational-Reasoning (A : Set) where
  infix 2 ∴_
  ∴_ : ∀ (a : A) → a ≡ a
  ∴ _ = ref

  infix 1 _≡_[_]
  _≡_[_] : ∀ {a b : A} → a ≡ b → ∀ c → b ≡ c → a ≡ c
  δ ≡ c [ δ' ] = trans δ δ'

  infix 1 _≡_[[_]]
  _≡_[[_]] : ∀ {a b : A} → a ≡ b → ∀ c → c ≡ b → a ≡ c
  δ ≡ c [[ δ' ]] = trans δ (sym δ')

```

2 Datatypes

We introduce a universe **FinSet** of (names of) finite sets. There is an empty set $\emptyset : \mathbf{FinSet}$, and for every $A : \mathbf{FinSet}$, the type $A + 1 : \mathbf{FinSet}$ has one more element:

$$A + 1 = \{\perp\} \uplus \{\uparrow a : a \in A\}$$

```

data FinSet : Set where
  ∅ : FinSet
  Lift : FinSet → FinSet

data El : FinSet → Set where
  ⊥ : ∀ {V} → El (Lift V)
  ↑ : ∀ {V} → El V → El (Lift V)

lift : ∀ {A} {B} → (El A → El B) → El (Lift A) → El (Lift B)
lift _ ⊥ = ⊥
lift f (↑ x) = ↑ (f x)

```

3 Grammars

```

module Grammar where

```

```

open import Prelims

```

Before we begin investigating the several theories we wish to consider, we present a general theory of syntax and capture-avoiding substitution.

A *grammar* consists of:

- a set of *expression kinds*;
- a subset of expression kinds, the *variable kinds*;
- a set of *constructors*, each with an associated *constructor kind* of the form

$$((A_{11}, \dots, A_{1r_1})B_1, \dots, (A_{m1}, \dots, A_{mr_m})B_m)C \quad (1)$$

where each A_{ij} is a variable kind, and each B_i and C is an expression kind.

- a function assigning, to each variable kind K , an expression kind, the *parent* of K .

A constructor c of kind (1) is a constructor that takes m arguments of kind B_1, \dots, B_m , and binds r_i variables in its i th argument of kind A_{ij} , producing an expression of kind C . We write this expression as

$$c([x_{11}, \dots, x_{1r_1}]E_1, \dots, [x_{m1}, \dots, x_{mr_m}]E_m) . \quad (2)$$

The subexpressions of the form $[x_{i1}, \dots, x_{ir_i}]E_i$ shall be called *abstractions*, and the pieces of syntax of the form $(A_{i1}, \dots, A_{ij})B_i$ that occur in constructor kinds shall be called *abstraction kinds*.

```

record Taxonomy : Set1 where
  field

```

```

VarKind : Set
NonVarKind : Set

data ExpressionKind : Set where
  varKind : VarKind → ExpressionKind
  nonVarKind : NonVarKind → ExpressionKind

data KindClass : Set where
  -Expression : KindClass
  -Abstraction : KindClass
  -Constructor : ExpressionKind → KindClass

data Kind : KindClass → Set where
  base : ExpressionKind → Kind -Expression
  out : ExpressionKind → Kind -Abstraction
  Π : VarKind → Kind -Abstraction → Kind -Abstraction
  out2 : ∀ {K} → Kind (-Constructor K)
  Π2 : ∀ {K} → Kind -Abstraction → Kind (-Constructor K) → Kind (-Constructor K)

```

An *alphabet* $V = \{V_E\}_E$ consists of a set V_E of *variables* of kind E for each expression kind E . The *expressions* of kind E over the alphabet V are defined inductively by:

- Every variable of kind E is an expression of kind E .
- If c is a constructor of kind (1), each E_i is an expression of kind B_i , and each x_{ij} is a variable of kind A_{ij} , then (2) is an expression of kind C .

Each x_{ij} is bound within E_i in the expression (2). We identify expressions up to α -conversion.

```

data Alphabet : Set where
  ∅ : Alphabet
  _,_ : Alphabet → VarKind → Alphabet

data Var : Alphabet → VarKind → Set where
  x0 : ∀ {V} {K} → Var (V , K) K
  ↑ : ∀ {V} {K} {L} → Var V L → Var (V , K) L

extend : Alphabet → VarKind → FinSet → Alphabet
extend A K ∅ = A
extend A K (Lift F) = extend A K F , K

embed : ∀ {A} {K} {F} → El F → Var (extend A K F) K
embed ⊥ = x0
embed (↑ x) = ↑ (embed x)

```

```

record ToGrammar (T : Taxonomy) : Set1 where
  open Taxonomy T
  field
    Constructor      : ∀ {K : ExpressionKind} → Kind (-Constructor K) → Set
    parent           : VarKind → ExpressionKind

data Subexpression (V : Alphabet) : ∀ C → Kind C → Set where
  var : ∀ {K} → Var V K → Subexpression V -Expression (base (varKind K))
  app : ∀ {K} {C : Kind (-Constructor K)} → Constructor C → Subexpression V (-Constructor K)
  out : ∀ {K} → Subexpression V -Expression (base K) → Subexpression V -Abstraction A
  Λ   : ∀ {K} {A} → Subexpression (V , K) -Abstraction A → Subexpression V -Abstraction A
  out2 : ∀ {K} → Subexpression V (-Constructor K) out2
  app2 : ∀ {K} {A} {C} → Subexpression V -Abstraction A → Subexpression V (-Constructor K)

var-inj : ∀ {V} {K} {x y : Var V K} → var x ≡ var y → x ≡ y
var-inj ref = ref

Expression : Alphabet → ExpressionKind → Set
Expression V K = Subexpression V -Expression (base K)

Given alphabets  $U$ ,  $V$ , and a function  $\rho$  that maps every variable in  $U$  of
kind  $K$  to a variable in  $V$  of kind  $K$ , we denote by  $E\{\rho\}$  the result of replacing
every variable  $x$  in  $E$  with  $\rho(x)$ .

record PreOpFamily : Set2 where
  field
    Op : Alphabet → Alphabet → Set
    apV : ∀ {U} {V} {K} → Op U V → Var U K → Expression V (varKind K)
    liftOp : ∀ {U} {V} {K} → Op U V → Op (U , K) (V , K)
    comp : ∀ {U} {V} {W} → Op V W → Op U V → Op U W

    _~op_ : ∀ {U} {V} → Op U V → Op U V → Set
    _~op_ {U} {V} ρ σ = ∀ {K} (x : Var U K) → apV ρ x ≡ apV σ x

    ap : ∀ {U} {V} {C} {K} → Op U V → Subexpression U C K → Subexpression V C K
    ap ρ (var x) = apV ρ x
    ap ρ (app c EE) = app c (ap ρ EE)
    ap ρ (out E) = out (ap ρ E)
    ap ρ (Λ E) = Λ (ap (liftOp ρ) E)
    ap _ out2 = out2
    ap ρ (app2 E EE) = app2 (ap ρ E) (ap ρ EE)

record IsOpFamily (opfamily : PreOpFamily) : Set2 where
  open PreOpFamily opfamily
  field
    liftOp-wd : ∀ {V} {W} {K} {ρ σ : Op V W} → ρ ~op σ →

```

```

liftOp {K = K} ρ ~op liftOp σ
apV-comp : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {K} {σ : Op V W} {ρ : Op U V} {x : Var U K} →
  apV (comp σ ρ) x ≡ ap σ (apV ρ x)
liftOp-comp : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {K} {σ : Op V W} {ρ : Op U V} →
  liftOp {K = K} (comp σ ρ) ~op comp (liftOp σ) (liftOp ρ)

ap-wd : ∀ {U} {V} {C} {K} {ρ σ : Op U V} {E : Subexpression U C K} →
  ρ ~op σ → ap ρ E ≡ ap σ E
ap-wd {E = var x} ρ-is-σ = ρ-is-σ x
ap-wd {E = app c EE} ρ-is-σ = wd (app c) (ap-wd {E = EE} ρ-is-σ)
ap-wd {E = out E} ρ-is-σ = wd out (ap-wd {E = E} ρ-is-σ)
ap-wd {E = Λ {K} E} ρ-is-σ = wd Λ (ap-wd {E = E} (liftOp-wd {K = K} ρ-is-σ))
ap-wd {E = out2} _ = ref
ap-wd {E = app2 E F} ρ-is-σ = wd2 app2 (ap-wd {E = E} ρ-is-σ) (ap-wd {E = F} ρ-is-σ)

ap-comp : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {C} {K} {E : Subexpression U C K} {F : Op V W} {G : Op U V}
ap-comp {E = var x} = apV-comp
ap-comp {E = app c EE} = wd (app c) (ap-comp {E = EE})
ap-comp {E = out E} = wd out (ap-comp {E = E})
ap-comp {U} {V} {W} {E = Λ E} {σ} {ρ} = wd Λ (let open Equational-Reasoning _ in
  ∴ ap (liftOp (comp σ ρ)) E
  ≡ ap (comp (liftOp σ) (liftOp ρ)) E [ ap-wd {E = E} (liftOp-comp {σ = σ} {ρ = ρ})
  ≡ ap (liftOp σ) (ap (liftOp ρ) E) [ ap-comp {E = E} ] )
ap-comp {E = out2} = ref
ap-comp {E = app2 E F} = wd2 app2 (ap-comp {E = E}) (ap-comp {E = F})

record OpFamily : Set2 where
  field
    preOpFamily : PreOpFamily
    isOpFamily : IsOpFamily preOpFamily
  open PreOpFamily preOpFamily public
  open IsOpFamily isOpFamily public

Rep : Alphabet → Alphabet → Set
Rep U V = ∀ K → Var U K → Var V K

Rep↑ : ∀ {U} {V} {K} → Rep U V → Rep (U , K) (V , K)
Rep↑ _ _ x0 = x0
Rep↑ ρ K (↑ x) = ↑ (ρ K x)

infixl 75 _•R_
_•R_ : ∀ {U} {V} {W} → Rep V W → Rep U V → Rep U W
(ρ' •R ρ) K x = ρ' K (ρ K x)

pre-replacement : PreOpFamily
pre-replacement = record {

```

```

Op = Rep;
apV = λ ρ x → var (ρ _ x);
liftOp = Rep↑;
comp = _•R_ }

_~R_ : ∀ {U} {V} → Rep U V → Rep U V → Set
_~R_ = PreOpFamily._~op_ pre-replacement

Rep↑-wd : ∀ {U} {V} {K} {ρ ρ' : Rep U V} → ρ ~R ρ' → Rep↑ {K = K} ρ ~R Rep↑ ρ'
Rep↑-wd ρ-is-ρ' x0 = ref
Rep↑-wd ρ-is-ρ' (↑ x) = wd (var ∘ ↑) (var-inj (ρ-is-ρ' x))

Rep↑-comp : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {K} {ρ' : Rep V W} {ρ : Rep U V} → Rep↑ {K = K} (ρ' •R ρ) ~
Rep↑-comp x0 = ref
Rep↑-comp (↑ _) = ref

replacement : OpFamily
replacement = record {
  preOpFamily = pre-replacement;
  isOpFamily = record {
    liftOp-wd = Rep↑-wd;
    apV-comp = ref;
    liftOp-comp = Rep↑-comp } }

embedl : ∀ {A} {K} {F} → Rep A (extend A K F)
embedl {F = ∅} _ x = x
embedl {F = Lift F} K x = ↑ (embedl {F = F} K x)

```

The alphabets and replacements form a category.

```

idRep : ∀ V → Rep V V
idRep _ _ x = x

```

--We choose not to prove the category axioms, as they hold up to judgemental equality.

Given a replacement $\rho : U \rightarrow V$, we can ‘lift’ this to a replacement $(\rho, K) : (U, K) \rightarrow (V, K)$. Under this operation, the mapping $(-, K)$ becomes an endofunctor on the category of alphabets and replacements.

```

Rep↑-id : ∀ {V} {K} → Rep↑ (idRep V) ~R idRep (V , K)
Rep↑-id x0 = ref
Rep↑-id (↑ _) = ref

```

Finally, we can define $E\langle\rho\rangle$, the result of replacing each variable x in E with $\rho(x)$. Under this operation, the mapping **Expression** $\rightarrow K$ becomes a functor from the category of alphabets and replacements to the category of sets.

```

infix 60 _⟨_⟩
_⟨_⟩ : ∀ {U} {V} {C} {K} → Subexpression U C K → Rep U V → Subexpression V C K
E ⟨ ρ ⟩ = OpFamily.ap replacement ρ E

rep-wd : ∀ {U} {V} {C} {K} {E : Subexpression U C K} {ρ ρ' : Rep U V} → ρ ~R ρ' → E
rep-wd {U} {V} {C} {K} {E} {ρ} {ρ'} ρ-is-ρ' = OpFamily.ap-wd replacement {U} {V} {C} {K} {E} ρ ρ'

rep-id : ∀ {V} {C} {K} {E : Subexpression V C K} → E ⟨ idRep V ⟩ ≡ E
rep-id {E = var _} = ref
rep-id {E = app c EE} = wd (app c) rep-id
rep-id {E = out E} = wd out rep-id
rep-id {V} {E = λ {K} {A} E} = wd λ (let open Equational-Reasoning (Subexpression (V , K) A)
  ∴ E ⟨ Rep↑ (idRep V) ⟩
  ≡ E ⟨ idRep (V , K) ⟩ [ rep-wd {E = E} Rep↑-id ]
  ≡ E [ rep-id ])
rep-id {E = out2} = ref
rep-id {E = app2 E EE} = wd2 app2 rep-id rep-id

rep-comp : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {C} {K} {E : Subexpression U C K} {ρ : Rep U V} {σ : Rep V W}
E ⟨ σ •R ρ ⟩ ≡ E ⟨ ρ ⟩ ⟨ σ ⟩
rep-comp {E = var _} = ref
rep-comp {E = app c EE} = wd (app c) (rep-comp {E = EE})
rep-comp {E = out E} = wd out (rep-comp {E = E})
rep-comp {E = λ E} {ρ} {σ} = wd λ (let open Equational-Reasoning _ in
  ∴ E ⟨ Rep↑ (σ •R ρ) ⟩
  ≡ E ⟨ Rep↑ σ •R Rep↑ ρ ⟩ [ rep-wd {E = E} Rep↑-comp ]
  ≡ E ⟨ Rep↑ ρ ⟩ ⟨ Rep↑ σ ⟩ [ rep-comp {E = E} ])
rep-comp {E = out2} = ref
rep-comp {E = app2 E EE} = wd2 app2 (rep-comp {E = E}) (rep-comp {E = EE})

```

This provides us with the canonical mapping from an expression over V to an expression over (V, K) :

```

liftE : ∀ {V} {K} {L} → Expression V L → Expression (V , K) L
liftE E = E ⟨ (λ _ → ↑) ⟩

```

A *substitution* σ from alphabet U to alphabet V , $\sigma : U \Rightarrow V$, is a function σ that maps every variable x of kind K in U to an *expression* $\sigma(x)$ of kind K over V . Then, given an expression E of kind K over U , we write $E[\sigma]$ for the result of substituting $\sigma(x)$ for x for each variable in E , avoiding capture.

```

Sub : Alphabet → Alphabet → Set
Sub U V = ∀ K → Var U K → Expression V (varKind K)

```

```

_~_ : ∀ {U} {V} → Sub U V → Sub U V → Set
σ ~ τ = ∀ K x → σ K x ≡ τ K x

```

The *identity* substitution $\text{id}_V : V \rightarrow V$ is defined as follows.


```

idSub :  $\forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{Sub } V \ V$ 
idSub _ = var

```

Given $\sigma : U \rightarrow V$ and an expression E over U , we want to define the expression $E[\sigma]$ over V , the result of applying the substitution σ to M . Only after this will we be able to define the composition of two substitutions. However, there is some work we need to do before we are able to do this.

We can define the composition of a substitution and a replacement as follows

```

infix 75 _•1_
_•1_ :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \rightarrow \text{Rep } V \ W \rightarrow \text{Sub } U \ V \rightarrow \text{Sub } U \ W$ 
( $\rho \bullet_1 \sigma$ ) K x = ( $\sigma \ K \ x$ )  $\langle \rho \rangle$ 

infix 75 _•2_
_•2_ :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \rightarrow \text{Sub } V \ W \rightarrow \text{Rep } U \ V \rightarrow \text{Sub } U \ W$ 
( $\sigma \bullet_2 \rho$ ) K x =  $\sigma \ K \ (\rho \ K \ x)$ 

```

Given a substitution $\sigma : U \Rightarrow V$, define a substitution $(\sigma, K) : (U, K) \Rightarrow (V, K)$ as follows.

```

Sub $\uparrow$  :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \rightarrow \text{Sub } U \ V \rightarrow \text{Sub } (U, K) \ (V, K)$ 
Sub $\uparrow$  _ _ x0 = var x0
Sub $\uparrow$   $\sigma \ K \ (\uparrow \ x)$  = liftE ( $\sigma \ K \ x$ )

Sub $\uparrow$ -wd :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{\sigma \ \sigma' : \text{Sub } U \ V\} \rightarrow \sigma \sim \sigma' \rightarrow \text{Sub}\uparrow \{K = K\} \sigma \sim \text{Sub}\uparrow \sigma'$ 
Sub $\uparrow$ -wd {K = K}  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  . _ x0 = ref
Sub $\uparrow$ -wd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  L ( $\uparrow \ x$ ) = wd liftE ( $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  L x)

```

Lemma 1. *The operations we have defined satisfy the following properties.*

1. $(\text{id}_V, K) = \text{id}_{(V, K)}$
2. $(\rho \bullet_1 \sigma, K) = (\rho, K) \bullet_1 (\sigma, K)$
3. $(\sigma \bullet_2 \rho, K) = (\sigma, K) \bullet_2 (\rho, K)$

```

Sub $\uparrow$ -id :  $\forall \{V\} \{K\} \rightarrow \text{Sub}\uparrow \{V\} \{V\} \{K\} \text{idSub} \sim \text{idSub}$ 
Sub $\uparrow$ -id {K = K} . _ x0 = ref
Sub $\uparrow$ -id _ ( $\uparrow$  _) = ref

```

```

Sub $\uparrow$ -comp1 :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } V \ W\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } U \ V\} \rightarrow \text{Sub}\uparrow (\rho \bullet_1 \sigma) \sim \text{Rep}\uparrow \rho \bullet_1 \text{Sub}\uparrow \sigma$ 
Sub $\uparrow$ -comp1 {K = K} . _ x0 = ref
Sub $\uparrow$ -comp1 {U} {V} {W} {K} { $\rho$ } { $\sigma$ } L ( $\uparrow \ x$ ) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression
   $\therefore$  liftE (( $\sigma \ L \ x$ )  $\langle \rho \rangle$ ))
   $\equiv (\sigma \ L \ x) \langle (\lambda \_ x \rightarrow \uparrow (\rho \_ x)) \rangle$  [[ rep-comp {E =  $\sigma \ L \ x$ } ]]
   $\equiv (\text{liftE } (\sigma \ L \ x)) \langle \text{Rep}\uparrow \rho \rangle$  [ rep-comp {E =  $\sigma \ L \ x$ } ]

```

```

Sub $\uparrow$ -comp2 :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } V \ W\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U \ V\} \rightarrow \text{Sub}\uparrow \{K = K\} (\sigma \bullet_2 \rho) \sim \sigma \bullet_2 \text{Sub}\uparrow \rho$ 
Sub $\uparrow$ -comp2 {K = K} . _ x0 = ref
Sub $\uparrow$ -comp2 L ( $\uparrow \ x$ ) = ref

```

We can now define the result of applying a substitution σ to an expression E , which we denote $E[\sigma]$.

```

infix 60 _[[_]]
_[[_]] : ∀ {U} {V} {C} {K} → Subexpression U C K → Sub U V → Subexpression V C K
var x [[ σ ]] = σ _ x
app c EE [[ σ ]] = app c (EE [[ σ ]])
out E [[ σ ]] = out (E [[ σ ]])
Λ E [[ σ ]] = Λ (E [[ Sub↑ σ ]])
out2 [[ _ ]] = out2
app2 E EE [[ σ ]] = app2 (E [[ σ ]]) (EE [[ σ ]])

sub-wd : ∀ {U} {V} {C} {K} {E : Subexpression U C K} {σ σ' : Sub U V} → σ ~ σ' → E [[
sub-wd {E = var x} σ-is-σ' = σ-is-σ' _ x
sub-wd {E = app c EE} σ-is-σ' = wd (app c) (sub-wd {E = EE} σ-is-σ')
sub-wd {E = out E} σ-is-σ' = wd out (sub-wd {E = E} σ-is-σ')
sub-wd {E = Λ E} σ-is-σ' = wd Λ (sub-wd {E = E} (Sub↑-wd σ-is-σ'))
sub-wd {E = out2} _ = ref
sub-wd {E = app2 E EE} σ-is-σ' = wd2 app2 (sub-wd {E = E} σ-is-σ') (sub-wd {E = EE} σ-is-σ')

```

Lemma 2.

1. $M[id_V] \equiv M$
2. $M[\rho \bullet_1 \sigma] \equiv M[\sigma]\langle \rho \rangle$
3. $M[\sigma \bullet_2 \rho] \equiv M\langle \rho \rangle[\sigma]$

```

sub-id : ∀ {V} {C} {K} {E : Subexpression V C K} → E [[ idSub ]] ≡ E
sub-id {E = var _} = ref
sub-id {E = app c EE} = wd (app c) sub-id
sub-id {E = out E} = wd out sub-id
sub-id {E = Λ E} = wd Λ (let open Equational-Reasoning _ in
  ∴ E [[ Sub↑ idSub ]]
    ≡ E [[ idSub ]] [ sub-wd {E = E} Sub↑-id ]
    ≡ E [ sub-id ])
sub-id {E = out2} = ref
sub-id {E = app2 E EE} = wd2 app2 sub-id sub-id

sub-comp1 : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {C} {K} {E : Subexpression U C K} {ρ : Rep V W} {σ : Sub U V}
  E [[ ρ •1 σ ]] ≡ E [[ σ ]] < ρ >
sub-comp1 {E = var _} = ref
sub-comp1 {E = app c EE} = wd (app c) (sub-comp1 {E = EE})
sub-comp1 {E = out2} = ref
sub-comp1 {E = app2 A EE} = wd2 app2 (sub-comp1 {E = A}) (sub-comp1 {E = EE})
sub-comp1 {E = out E} = wd out (sub-comp1 {E = E})
sub-comp1 {E = Λ A} {ρ} {σ} =
  wd Λ (let open Equational-Reasoning _ in

```

$$\begin{aligned}
& \because A \llbracket \text{Sub}\uparrow (\rho \bullet_1 \sigma) \rrbracket \\
& \equiv A \llbracket \text{Rep}\uparrow \rho \bullet_1 \text{Sub}\uparrow \sigma \rrbracket \quad [\text{sub-wd } \{E = A\} \text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-comp}_1] \\
& \equiv A \llbracket \text{Sub}\uparrow \sigma \rrbracket \langle \text{Rep}\uparrow \rho \rangle \quad [\text{sub-comp}_1 \{E = A\}]
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{sub-comp}_2 : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{C\} \{K\} \{E : \text{Subexpression } U \ C \ K\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } V \ W\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U \ V\} \\
& \text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = \text{var } _ \} = \text{ref} \\
& \text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = \text{app } c \ EE\} = \text{wd } (\text{app } c) (\text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = EE\}) \\
& \text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = \text{out}_2 \} = \text{ref} \\
& \text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = \text{app}_2 \ A \ EE\} = \text{wd}_2 \ \text{app}_2 (\text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = A\}) (\text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = EE\}) \\
& \text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = \text{out } E\} = \text{wd } \text{out } (\text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = E\}) \\
& \text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = \Lambda \ A\} \{\sigma\} \{\rho\} = \text{wd } \Lambda (\text{let open Equational-Reasoning } _ \text{ in} \\
& \quad \because A \llbracket \text{Sub}\uparrow (\sigma \bullet_2 \rho) \rrbracket \\
& \quad \equiv A \llbracket \text{Sub}\uparrow \sigma \bullet_2 \text{Rep}\uparrow \rho \rrbracket [\text{sub-wd } \{E = A\} \text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-comp}_2] \\
& \quad \equiv A \langle \text{Rep}\uparrow \rho \rangle \llbracket \text{Sub}\uparrow \sigma \rrbracket [\text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = A\}]
\end{aligned}$$

We define the composition of two substitutions, as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{subid} : \forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{Sub } V \ V \\
& \text{subid } \{V\} \ K \ x = \text{var } x
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{infix 75 } _ \bullet _ \\
& _ \bullet _ : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \rightarrow \text{Sub } V \ W \rightarrow \text{Sub } U \ V \rightarrow \text{Sub } U \ W \\
& (\sigma \bullet \rho) \ K \ x = \rho \ K \ x \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket
\end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3. *Let $\sigma : V \Rightarrow W$ and $\rho : U \Rightarrow V$.*

1. $(\sigma \bullet \rho, K) \sim (\sigma, K) \bullet (\rho, K)$
2. $E[\sigma \bullet \rho] \equiv E[\rho][\sigma]$

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{pre-substitution} : \text{PreOpFamily} \\
& \text{pre-substitution} = \text{record } \{ \\
& \quad \text{Op} = \text{Sub}; \\
& \quad \text{apV} = \lambda \sigma \ x \rightarrow \sigma _ \ x; \\
& \quad \text{liftOp} = \text{Sub}\uparrow; \\
& \quad \text{comp} = _ \bullet _ \}
\end{aligned}$$

--TODO Remove this

$$\begin{aligned}
& \text{sub-is-sub} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } U \ V\} \{C\} \{K\} \{E : \text{Subexpression } U \ C \ K\} \rightarrow \\
& \quad E \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \equiv \text{PreOpFamily.ap pre-substitution } \sigma \ E \\
& \text{sub-is-sub } \{E = \text{var } _ \} = \text{ref} \\
& \text{sub-is-sub } \{E = \text{app } c \ E\} = \text{wd } (\text{app } c) (\text{sub-is-sub } \{E = E\}) \\
& \text{sub-is-sub } \{E = \text{out } E\} = \text{wd } \text{out } (\text{sub-is-sub } \{E = E\}) \\
& \text{sub-is-sub } \{E = \Lambda \ E\} = \text{wd } \Lambda (\text{sub-is-sub } \{E = E\}) \\
& \text{sub-is-sub } \{E = \text{out}_2 \} = \text{ref} \\
& \text{sub-is-sub } \{E = \text{app}_2 \ E \ F\} = \text{wd}_2 \ \text{app}_2 (\text{sub-is-sub } \{E = E\}) (\text{sub-is-sub } \{E = F\})
\end{aligned}$$

```

Sub↑-comp : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {ρ : Sub U V} {σ : Sub V W} {K} →
  Sub↑ {K = K} (σ • ρ) ~ Sub↑ σ • Sub↑ ρ
Sub↑-comp _ x₀ = ref
Sub↑-comp {W = W} {ρ = ρ} {σ = σ} {K = K} L (↑ x) =
  let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression (W , K) (varKind L)) in
  ∴ liftE ((ρ L x) [ σ ])
  ≡ ρ L x [ (λ _ → ↑) •₁ σ ] [ [ sub-comp₁ {E = ρ L x} ] ]
  ≡ (liftE (ρ L x)) [ Sub↑ σ ] [ sub-comp₂ {E = ρ L x} ]

```

```

substitution : OpFamily
substitution = record {
  preOpFamily = pre-substitution;
  isOpFamily = record {
    liftOp-wd = λ ρ-is-σ → Sub↑-wd (λ _ → ρ-is-σ) _;
    apV-comp = λ {U} {V} {W} {K} {σ} {ρ} {x} → sub-is-sub {E = ρ K x};
    liftOp-comp = Sub↑-comp _ } }

```

```

mutual
sub-compA : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {K} {A : Subexpression U -Abstraction K} {σ : Sub V W} {ρ}
  A [ σ • ρ ] ≡ A [ ρ ] [ σ ]
sub-compA {A = out E} = wd out (sub-comp {E = E})
sub-compA {U} {V} {W} .{Π K L} {Λ {K} {L} A} {σ} {ρ} = wd Λ (let open Equational-Reasoning in
  ∴ A [ Sub↑ (σ • ρ) ]
  ≡ A [ Sub↑ σ • Sub↑ ρ ] [ sub-wd {E = A} Sub↑-comp ]
  ≡ A [ Sub↑ ρ ] [ Sub↑ σ ] [ sub-compA {A = A} ])

```

```

sub-compB : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {K} {C : Kind (-Constructor K)} {EE : Subexpression U (-Constructor K)}
  EE [ σ • ρ ] ≡ EE [ ρ ] [ σ ]
sub-compB {EE = out₂} = ref
sub-compB {U} {V} {W} {K} {(Π₂ L C)} {app₂ A EE} = wd₂ app₂ (sub-compA {A = A}) (sub-compB {EE = EE})

```

```

sub-comp : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {K} {E : Expression U K} {σ : Sub V W} {ρ : Sub U V} →
  E [ σ • ρ ] ≡ E [ ρ ] [ σ ]
sub-comp {E = var _} = ref
sub-comp {U} {V} {W} {K} {app c EE} = wd (app c) (sub-compB {EE = EE})

```

Lemma 4. *The alphabets and substitutions form a category under this composition.*

```

assoc : ∀ {U V W X} {ρ : Sub W X} {σ : Sub V W} {τ : Sub U V} → ρ • (σ • τ) ~ (ρ • σ)
assoc {τ = τ} K x = sym (sub-comp {E = τ K x})

```

```

sub-unitl : ∀ {U} {V} {σ : Sub U V} → idSub • σ ~ σ
sub-unitl _ _ = sub-id

```

```

sub-unitr : ∀ {U} {V} {σ : Sub U V} → σ • idSub ~ σ
sub-unitr _ _ = ref

```

Replacement is a special case of substitution:

Lemma 5. *Let ρ be a replacement $U \rightarrow V$.*

1. *The replacement (ρ, K) and the substitution (ρ, K) are equal.*

2.

$$E\langle\rho\rangle \equiv E[\rho]$$

$\text{Rep}\uparrow\text{-is-Sub}\uparrow : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U \ V\} \{K\} \rightarrow (\lambda L \ x \rightarrow \text{var } (\text{Rep}\uparrow \{K = K\} \ \rho \ L \ x)) \sim \text{Sub}\uparrow$
 $\text{Rep}\uparrow\text{-is-Sub}\uparrow \ K \ x_0 = \text{ref}$
 $\text{Rep}\uparrow\text{-is-Sub}\uparrow \ K_1 \ (\uparrow x) = \text{ref}$

mutual

$\text{rep-is-sub} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{E : \text{Expression } U \ K\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U \ V\} \rightarrow$
 $E \langle \rho \rangle \equiv E \llbracket (\lambda K \ x \rightarrow \text{var } (\rho \ K \ x)) \rrbracket$

$\text{rep-is-sub} \{E = \text{var } _ \} = \text{ref}$

$\text{rep-is-sub} \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{\text{app } c \ EE\} = \text{wd } (\text{app } c) (\text{rep-is-subB } \{EE = EE\})$

$\text{rep-is-subB} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{C : \text{Kind } (-\text{Constructor } K)\} \{EE : \text{Subexpression } U \ (-\text{Cons } K)\} \rightarrow$
 $EE \langle \rho \rangle \equiv EE \llbracket (\lambda K \ x \rightarrow \text{var } (\rho \ K \ x)) \rrbracket$

$\text{rep-is-subB} \{EE = \text{out}_2\} = \text{ref}$

$\text{rep-is-subB} \{EE = \text{app}_2 \ A \ EE\} = \text{wd}_2 \ \text{app}_2 \ (\text{rep-is-subA } \{A = A\}) (\text{rep-is-subB } \{EE = EE\})$

$\text{rep-is-subA} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{A : \text{Subexpression } U \ -\text{Abstraction } K\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U \ V\} \rightarrow$
 $A \langle \rho \rangle \equiv A \llbracket (\lambda K \ x \rightarrow \text{var } (\rho \ K \ x)) \rrbracket$

$\text{rep-is-subA} \{A = \text{out } E\} = \text{wd out } (\text{rep-is-sub} \{E = E\})$

$\text{rep-is-subA} \{U\} \{V\} \{ \Pi \ K \ L \} \{ \Lambda \ \{K\} \ \{L\} \ A \} \{\rho\} = \text{wd } \Lambda \ (\text{let open Equational-Reasoning } \rho \rightarrow$
 $\therefore A \langle \text{Rep}\uparrow \ \rho \rangle$

$\equiv A \llbracket (\lambda M \ x \rightarrow \text{var } (\text{Rep}\uparrow \ \rho \ M \ x)) \rrbracket \llbracket \text{rep-is-subA } \{A = A\} \rrbracket$

$\equiv A \llbracket \text{Sub}\uparrow (\lambda M \ x \rightarrow \text{var } (\rho \ M \ x)) \rrbracket \llbracket \text{sub-wd } \{E = A\} \text{Rep}\uparrow\text{-is-Sub}\uparrow \rrbracket$

Let E be an expression of kind K over V . Then we write $[x_0 := E]$ for the following substitution $(V, K) \Rightarrow V$:

$x_0 := : \forall \{V\} \{K\} \rightarrow \text{Expression } V \ (\text{varKind } K) \rightarrow \text{Sub } (V, K) \ V$

$x_0 := E \ _ \ x_0 = E$

$x_0 := E \ K_1 \ (\uparrow x) = \text{var } x$

Lemma 6. 1.

$$\rho \bullet_1 [x_0 := E] \sim [x_0 := E\langle\rho\rangle] \bullet_2 (\rho, K)$$

2.

$$\sigma \bullet [x_0 := E] \sim [x_0 := E[\sigma]] \bullet (\sigma, K)$$

$\text{comp}_1\text{-botsub} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{E : \text{Expression } U \ (\text{varKind } K)\} \{\rho : \text{Rep } U \ V\} \rightarrow$

$\rho \bullet_1 (x_0 := E) \sim (x_0 := (E \langle \rho \rangle)) \bullet_2 \text{Rep}\uparrow \ \rho$

$\text{comp}_1\text{-botsub } _ \ x_0 = \text{ref}$

`comp1-botsub _ (↑ _) = ref`

`comp-botsub : ∀ {U} {V} {K} {E : Expression U (varKind K)} {σ : Sub U V} →
 σ • (x0 := E) ∼ (x0 := (E ∥ σ ∥)) • Sub↑ σ
 comp-botsub _ x0 = ref
 comp-botsub {σ = σ} L (↑ x) = trans (sym sub-id) (sub-comp2 {E = σ L x})`

4 Contexts

A *context* has the form $x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n$ where, for each i :

- x_i is a variable of kind K_i distinct from x_1, \dots, x_{i-1} ;
- A_i is an expression of some kind L_i ;
- L_i is a parent of K_i .

The *domain* of this context is the alphabet $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$.

`data Context (K : VarKind) : Alphabet → Set where
 ⟨⟩ : Context K ∅
 , : ∀ {V} → Context K V → Expression V (parent K) → Context K (V , K)`

`typeof : ∀ {V} {K} (x : Var V K) (Γ : Context K V) → Expression V (parent K)
 typeof x0 (_, A) = liftE A
 typeof (↑ x) (Γ , _) = liftE (typeof x Γ)`

`data Context' (A : Alphabet) (K : VarKind) : FinSet → Set where
 ⟨⟩ : Context' A K ∅
 , : ∀ {F} → Context' A K F → Expression (extend A K F) (parent K) → Context' A K F`

`typeof' : ∀ {A} {K} {F} → El F → Context' A K F → Expression (extend A K F) (parent
 typeof' ⊥ (_, A) = liftE A
 typeof' (↑ x) (Γ , _) = liftE (typeof' x Γ)`

`record Grammar : Set1 where
 field
 taxonomy : Taxonomy
 toGrammar : ToGrammar taxonomy
 open Taxonomy taxonomy public
 open ToGrammar toGrammar public`

`module PL where`

`open import Prelims
 open import Grammar
 import Reduction`

5 Propositional Logic

Fix sets of *proof variables* and *term variables*.

The syntax of the system is given by the following grammar.

| | | | |
|-------------|---------------|-------|---|
| Proof | δ | $::=$ | $p \mid \delta\delta \mid \lambda p : \phi. \delta$ |
| Proposition | f | $::=$ | $\perp \mid \phi \rightarrow \phi$ |
| Context | Γ | $::=$ | $\langle \rangle \mid \Gamma, p : \phi$ |
| Judgement | \mathcal{J} | $::=$ | $\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi$ |

where p ranges over proof variables and x ranges over term variables. The variable p is bound within δ in the proof $\lambda p : \phi. \delta$, and the variable x is bound within M in the term $\lambda x : A. M$. We identify proofs and terms up to α -conversion.

```
data PLVarKind : Set where
  -Proof : PLVarKind
```

```
data PLNonVarKind : Set where
  -Prp : PLNonVarKind
```

```
PLtaxonomy : Taxonomy
PLtaxonomy = record {
  VarKind = PLVarKind;
  NonVarKind = PLNonVarKind }
```

```
module PLgrammar where
  open Grammar.Taxonomy PLtaxonomy
```

```
data PLCon :  $\forall \{K : \text{ExpressionKind}\} \rightarrow \text{Kind} \rightarrow \text{Set} \rightarrow \text{Set}$  where
  app : PLCon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Proof)) ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Proof)) (out2 {K = varKind}))
  lam : PLCon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (nonVarKind -Prp)) ( $\Pi_2$  ( $\Pi$  -Proof (out (varKind -Proof))) (out2 {K = varKind}))
  bot : PLCon (out2 {K = nonVarKind -Prp})
  imp : PLCon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (nonVarKind -Prp)) ( $\Pi_2$  (out (nonVarKind -Prp)) (out2 {K = nonVarKind}))
```

```
PLparent : VarKind  $\rightarrow$  ExpressionKind
PLparent -Proof = nonVarKind -Prp
```

```
open PLgrammar
```

```
Propositional-Logic : Grammar
Propositional-Logic = record {
  taxonomy = PLtaxonomy;
  toGrammar = record {
    Constructor = PLCon;
    parent = PLparent } }
```

```
open Grammar.Grammar Propositional-Logic
```

open Reduction Propositional-Logic

Prp : Set
Prp = Expression \emptyset (nonVarKind -Prp)

$\perp P$: Prp
 $\perp P$ = app bot out₂

$_ \Rightarrow _$: $\forall \{P\} \rightarrow \text{Expression } P \text{ (nonVarKind -Prp)} \rightarrow \text{Expression } P \text{ (nonVarKind -Prp)} \rightarrow \text{Expression } P \text{ (nonVarKind -Prp)}$
 $\varphi \Rightarrow \psi$ = app imp (app₂ (out φ) (app₂ (out ψ) out₂))

Proof : Alphabet \rightarrow Set
Proof P = Expression P (varKind -Proof)

appP : $\forall \{P\} \rightarrow \text{Expression } P \text{ (varKind -Proof)} \rightarrow \text{Expression } P \text{ (varKind -Proof)} \rightarrow \text{Expression } P \text{ (varKind -Proof)}$
appP $\delta \ \varepsilon$ = app app (app₂ (out δ) (app₂ (out ε) out₂))

ΛP : $\forall \{P\} \rightarrow \text{Expression } P \text{ (nonVarKind -Prp)} \rightarrow \text{Expression } (P, -\text{Proof}) \text{ (varKind -Proof)}$
 $\Lambda P \ \varphi \ \delta$ = app lam (app₂ (out φ) (app₂ (Λ (out δ)) out₂))

data β : Reduction where
 βI : $\forall \{V\} \{ \varphi \} \{ \delta \} \{ \varepsilon \} \rightarrow \beta \{V\} \text{ app (app}_2 \text{ (out } (\Lambda P \ \varphi \ \delta)) \text{ (app}_2 \text{ (out } \varepsilon) \text{ out}_2)) \text{ (} \delta \llbracket x_0 :=$

β -respects-rep : respect-rep β
 β -respects-rep $\{U\} \{V\} \{ \rho = \rho \} (\beta I . \{U\} \{ \varphi \} \{ \delta \} \{ \varepsilon \})$ = subst (β app $_$)
(let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression V (varKind -Proof)) in
 $\because \delta \langle \text{Rep}^\uparrow \rho \rangle \llbracket x_0 := (\varepsilon \langle \rho \rangle) \rrbracket$
 $\equiv \delta \llbracket x_0 := (\varepsilon \langle \rho \rangle) \bullet_2 \text{Rep}^\uparrow \rho \rrbracket \llbracket \text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = \delta\} \rrbracket$
 $\equiv \delta \llbracket \rho \bullet_1 x_0 := \varepsilon \rrbracket \llbracket \text{sub-wd} \{E = \delta\} \text{comp}_1\text{-botsub} \rrbracket$
 $\equiv \delta \llbracket x_0 := \varepsilon \rrbracket \langle \rho \rangle \llbracket \text{sub-comp}_1 \{E = \delta\} \rrbracket$
 βI

β -creates-rep : create-rep β
 β -creates-rep = record {
 created = $\lambda \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{C\} \{c\} \{EE\} \{F\} \{ \rho \} \rightarrow \text{created } \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{C\} \{c\} \{EE\} \{F\} \{ \rho \}$
 red-created = $\lambda \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{C\} \{c\} \{EE\} \{F\} \{ \rho \} \rightarrow \text{red-created } \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{C\} \{c\} \{EE\} \{F\} \{ \rho \}$
 rep-created = $\lambda \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{C\} \{c\} \{EE\} \{F\} \{ \rho \} \rightarrow \text{rep-created } \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{C\} \{c\} \{EE\} \{F\} \{ \rho \}$
 created : $\forall \{U \ V : \text{Alphabet}\} \{K\} \{C\} \{c : \text{PLCon } C\} \{EE : \text{Subexpression } U \text{ (-Constructor } K) C\} \{F : \text{Subexpression } U \text{ (-Constructor } K) C\} \{ \rho : \text{PLCon } C\} \rightarrow \text{Expression } P \text{ (nonVarKind -Prp)}$
 created {c = app} {EE = app₂ (out (var $_$)) $_$ } ()
 created {c = app} {EE = app₂ (out (app app $_$)) $_$ } ()
 created {c = app} {EE = app₂ (out (app lam (app₂ (out φ) (app₂ (Λ (out δ)) out₂))))} (ap
 created {c = lam} ()
 created {c = bot} ()
 created {c = imp} ()
 red-created : $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{K\} \{C\} \{c : \text{PLCon } C\} \{EE : \text{Subexpression } U \text{ (-Constructor } K) C\} \{F : \text{Subexpression } U \text{ (-Constructor } K) C\} \{ \rho : \text{PLCon } C\} \rightarrow \text{Expression } P \text{ (nonVarKind -Prp)}$
 red-created {c = app} {EE = app₂ (out (var $_$)) $_$ } ()


```

red-created {c = app} {EE = app2 (out (app app _)) _} ()
red-created {c = app} {EE = app2 (out (app lam (app2 (out φ) (app2 (Λ (out δ)) out2))) _)} ()
red-created {c = lam} ()
red-created {c = bot} ()
red-created {c = imp} ()
rep-created : ∀ {U} {V} {K} {C} {c : PLCon C} {EE : Subexpression U (-Constructor K) C}
rep-created {c = app} {EE = app2 (out (var _)) _} ()
rep-created {c = app} {EE = app2 (out (app app _)) _} ()
rep-created {c = app} {EE = app2 (out (app lam (app2 (out φ) (app2 (Λ (out δ)) out2))) _)} ()
  ∴ δ [ [ x0 := ε ] [ ρ ]
  ≡ δ [ [ ρ •1 x0 := ε ] [ [ sub-comp1 {E = δ} ] ]
  ≡ δ [ [ x0 := (ε [ ρ ]) •2 Rep↑ ρ ] [ sub-wd {E = δ} comp1-botsub ]
  ≡ δ [ Rep↑ ρ ] [ [ x0 := (ε [ ρ ]) ] [ sub-comp2 {E = δ} ]
rep-created {c = lam} ()
rep-created {c = bot} ()
rep-created {c = imp} ()

```

The rules of deduction of the system are as follows.

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi} (p : \phi \in \Gamma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \delta \epsilon : \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : \phi}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, p : \phi \vdash \delta : \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda p : \phi. \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi}$$

```

PContext : FinSet → Set
PContext P = Context' ∅ -Proof P

```

```

Palphabet : FinSet → Alphabet
Palphabet P = extend ∅ -Proof P

```

```

Palphabet-faithful : ∀ {P} {Q} {ρ σ : Rep (Palphabet P) (Palphabet Q)} → (∀ x → ρ -Proof x) → Palphabet-faithful {Q} {ρ} {σ}
Palphabet-faithful {∅} _ ()
Palphabet-faithful {Lift _} ρ-is-σ x0 = wd var (ρ-is-σ ⊥)
Palphabet-faithful {Lift _} {Q} {ρ} {σ} ρ-is-σ (↑ x) = Palphabet-faithful {Q} {ρ} {σ}

```

```

infix 10 _|-_::_
data _|-_::_ : ∀ {P} → PContext P → Proof (Palphabet P) → Expression (Palphabet P) (non)
  var : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {p : El P} → Γ ⊢ var (embed p) :: typeof' p Γ
  app : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {δ} {ε} {φ} {ψ} → Γ ⊢ δ :: φ ⇒ ψ → Γ ⊢ ε :: φ → Γ ⊢ app δ ε :: φ → Γ ⊢ app δ ε
  Λ : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {φ} {δ} {ψ} → (Λ, _ {K = -Proof} Γ φ) ⊢ δ :: liftE ψ → Γ ⊢ Λ φ δ

```

A *replacement* ρ from a context Γ to a context Δ , $\rho : \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$, is a replacement on the syntax such that, for every $x : \phi$ in Γ , we have $\rho(x) : \phi \in \Delta$.

```

toRep : ∀ {P} {Q} → (El P → El Q) → Rep (Alphabet P) (Alphabet Q)
toRep {} f K ()
toRep {Lift P} f .-Proof x0 = embed (f ⊥)
toRep {Lift P} {Q} f K (↑ x) = toRep {P} {Q} (f ∘ ↑) K x

toRep-embed : ∀ {P} {Q} {f : El P → El Q} {x : El P} → toRep f -Proof (embed x) ≡ embed
toRep-embed {} {} {} {} {}
toRep-embed {Lift _} {} {} {} {} = ref
toRep-embed {Lift P} {Q} {f} {↑ x} = toRep-embed {P} {Q} {f ∘ ↑} {x}

toRep-comp : ∀ {P} {Q} {R} {g : El Q → El R} {f : El P → El Q} → toRep g •R toRep f ~
toRep-comp {} {} {}
toRep-comp {Lift _} {g = g} x0 = wd var (toRep-embed {f = g})
toRep-comp {Lift _} {g = g} {f = f} (↑ x) = toRep-comp {g = g} {f = f ∘ ↑} x

_::_⇒R_ : ∀ {P} {Q} → (El P → El Q) → PContext P → PContext Q → Set
ρ :: Γ ⇒R Δ = ∀ x → typeof' (ρ x) Δ ≡ (typeof' x Γ) < toRep ρ >

toRep-↑ : ∀ {P} → toRep {P} {Lift P} ↑ ~R (λ _ → ↑)
toRep-↑ {} = λ ()
toRep-↑ {Lift P} = Alphabet-faithful {Lift P} {Lift (Lift P)} {toRep {Lift P} {Lift (Lift P)}}

toRep-lift : ∀ {P} {Q} {f : El P → El Q} → toRep (lift f) ~R Rep↑ (toRep f)
toRep-lift x0 = ref
toRep-lift {} (↑ ())
toRep-lift {Lift _} (↑ x0) = ref
toRep-lift {Lift P} {Q} {f} (↑ (↑ x)) = trans
  (sym (toRep-comp {g = ↑} {f = f ∘ ↑} x))
  (toRep-↑ {Q} (toRep (f ∘ ↑) _ x))

↑-typed : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {φ : Expression (Alphabet P) (nonVarKind -Prp)} →
  ↑ :: Γ ⇒R (Γ , φ)
↑-typed {P} {Γ} {φ} x = rep-wd {E = typeof' x Γ} (λ x → sym (toRep-↑ {P} x))

Rep↑-typed : ∀ {P} {Q} {ρ} {Γ : PContext P} {Δ : PContext Q} {φ : Expression (Alphabet P) (nonVarKind -Prp)} →
  lift ρ :: (Γ , φ) ⇒R (Δ , φ < toRep ρ >)
Rep↑-typed {P} {Q = Q} {ρ = ρ} {φ = φ} ρ::Γ→Δ ⊥ = let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression (Alphabet P) (nonVarKind -Prp))
  ∴ φ < toRep ρ > < (λ _ → ↑) >
  ≡ φ < (λ K x → ↑ (toRep ρ K x)) > [[ rep-comp {E = φ} ]]
  ≡ φ < toRep (lift ρ) •R (λ _ → ↑) > [ rep-wd {E = φ} (λ x → trans (sym (toRep-↑ {Q} x)) (toRep-↑ {P} x)) ]
  ≡ φ < (λ _ → ↑) > < toRep (lift ρ) > [ rep-comp {E = φ} ]
Rep↑-typed {Q = Q} {ρ = ρ} {Γ = Γ} {Δ = Δ} ρ::Γ→Δ (↑ x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression (Alphabet P) (nonVarKind -Prp))
  ∴ liftE (typeof' (ρ x) Δ)
  ≡ liftE ((typeof' x Γ) < toRep ρ >) [ wd liftE (ρ::Γ→Δ x) ]
  ≡ (typeof' x Γ) < (λ K x → ↑ (toRep ρ K x)) > [[ rep-comp {E = typeof' x Γ} ]]
  ≡ (typeof' x Γ) < toRep {Q} ↑ •R toRep ρ > [[ rep-wd {E = typeof' x Γ} ]]

```

$$\begin{aligned}
&\equiv (\text{typeof}' \ x \ \Gamma) \langle \text{toRep} (\text{lift} \ \rho) \bullet \mathbf{R} (\lambda _ \rightarrow \uparrow) \rangle \ [\text{rep-wd} \ \{E = \text{typeof}' \ x \ \Gamma\} \ (\text{toRep-comp} \\
&\equiv (\text{liftE} (\text{typeof}' \ x \ \Gamma)) \langle \text{toRep} (\text{lift} \ \rho) \rangle \ [\text{rep-comp} \ \{E = \text{typeof}' \ x \ \Gamma\}]
\end{aligned}$$

The replacements between contexts are closed under composition.

$$\begin{aligned}
&\bullet \mathbf{R}\text{-typed} : \forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{R\} \{\sigma : \text{El } Q \rightarrow \text{El } R\} \{\rho : \text{El } P \rightarrow \text{El } Q\} \{\Gamma\} \{\Delta\} \{\Theta\} \rightarrow \rho :: \Gamma \Rightarrow_R \Delta \\
&\quad \sigma \circ \rho :: \Gamma \Rightarrow_R \Theta \\
&\bullet \mathbf{R}\text{-typed} \{R = R\} \{\sigma\} \{\rho\} \{\Gamma\} \{\Delta\} \{\Theta\} \ \rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \ \sigma :: \Delta \rightarrow \Theta \ x = \text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Exp} \\
&\quad \therefore \text{typeof}' \ (\sigma (\rho \ x)) \ \Theta \\
&\quad \equiv (\text{typeof}' \ (\rho \ x) \ \Delta) \langle \text{toRep} \ \sigma \rangle \quad [\sigma :: \Delta \rightarrow \Theta \ (\rho \ x)] \\
&\quad \equiv \text{typeof}' \ x \ \Gamma \langle \text{toRep} \ \rho \rangle \langle \text{toRep} \ \sigma \rangle \quad [\text{wd} \ (\lambda \ x_1 \rightarrow x_1 \langle \text{toRep} \ \sigma \rangle) \ (\rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \ x)] \\
&\quad \equiv \text{typeof}' \ x \ \Gamma \langle \text{toRep} \ \sigma \bullet \mathbf{R} \text{toRep} \ \rho \rangle \quad [[\text{rep-comp} \ \{E = \text{typeof}' \ x \ \Gamma\}]] \\
&\quad \equiv \text{typeof}' \ x \ \Gamma \langle \text{toRep} \ (\sigma \circ \rho) \rangle \quad [\text{rep-wd} \ \{E = \text{typeof}' \ x \ \Gamma\} \ (\text{toRep-comp} \ \{g = \sigma\})]
\end{aligned}$$

Weakening Lemma

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Weakening} : \forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{\Gamma : \text{PContext } P\} \{\Delta : \text{PContext } Q\} \{\rho\} \{\delta\} \{\psi\} \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \delta :: \psi \rightarrow \rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \\
&\text{Weakening} \{P\} \{Q\} \{\Gamma\} \{\Delta\} \{\rho\} (\text{var} \{p = p\}) \ \rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta = \text{subst2} \ (\lambda \ x \ y \rightarrow \Delta \vdash \text{var } x :: y) \\
&\quad (\text{sym} (\text{toRep-embed} \ \{f = \rho\} \ \{x = p\})) \\
&\quad (\rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \ p) \\
&\quad (\text{var} \{p = \rho \ p\}) \\
&\text{Weakening} (\text{app} \ \Gamma \vdash \delta :: \psi \rightarrow \psi \ \Gamma \vdash \varepsilon :: \psi) \ \rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta = \text{app} (\text{Weakening} \ \Gamma \vdash \delta :: \psi \rightarrow \psi \ \rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta) (\text{Weakening} \ \Gamma \vdash \varepsilon :: \psi) \\
&\text{Weakening} .\{P\} \{Q\} .\{\Gamma\} \{\Delta\} \{\rho\} (\Lambda \{P\} \{\Gamma\} \{\psi\} \{\delta\} \{\psi\} \ \Gamma, \varphi \vdash \delta :: \psi) \ \rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta = \Lambda \\
&\quad (\text{subst} \ (\lambda \ P \rightarrow (\Delta, \varphi \langle \text{toRep} \ \rho \rangle) \vdash \delta \langle \text{Rep} \uparrow (\text{toRep} \ \rho) \rangle :: P) \\
&\quad (\text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression (Palphabet } Q, \text{-Proof) (nonVarKind -Prp)) in} \\
&\quad \therefore \text{liftE} \ \psi \langle \text{Rep} \uparrow (\text{toRep} \ \rho) \rangle \\
&\quad \equiv \psi \langle (\lambda _ \ x \rightarrow \uparrow (\text{toRep} \ \rho _ \ x)) \rangle \quad [[\text{rep-comp} \ \{E = \psi\}]] \\
&\quad \equiv \text{liftE} \ (\psi \langle \text{toRep} \ \rho \rangle) \quad [\text{rep-comp} \ \{E = \psi\}]) \\
&\quad (\text{subst2} \ (\lambda \ x \ y \rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \langle \text{toRep} \ \rho \rangle \vdash x :: y) \\
&\quad \quad (\text{rep-wd} \ \{E = \delta\} (\text{toRep-lift} \ \{f = \rho\})) \\
&\quad \quad (\text{rep-wd} \ \{E = \text{liftE} \ \psi\} (\text{toRep-lift} \ \{f = \rho\})) \\
&\quad \quad (\text{Weakening} \ \{\text{Lift } P\} \{\text{Lift } Q\} \{\Gamma, \varphi\} \{\Delta, \varphi \langle \text{toRep} \ \rho \rangle\} \{\text{lift} \ \rho\} \{\delta\} \{\text{liftE} \ \psi\} \\
&\quad \quad \Gamma, \varphi \vdash \delta :: \psi \\
&\quad \quad \text{claim})) \text{ where} \\
&\text{claim} : \forall (x : \text{El} (\text{Lift } P)) \rightarrow \text{typeof}' (\text{lift} \ \rho \ x) (\Delta, \varphi \langle \text{toRep} \ \rho \rangle) \equiv \text{typeof}' \ x \ (\Gamma, \varphi) \\
&\text{claim} \perp = \text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression (Palphabet (Lift } Q)) (nonVarKind -Prp)) in \\
&\quad \therefore \text{liftE} \ (\varphi \langle \text{toRep} \ \rho \rangle) \\
&\quad \equiv \varphi \langle (\lambda _ \rightarrow \uparrow) \bullet \mathbf{R} \text{toRep} \ \rho \rangle \quad [[\text{rep-comp} \ \{E = \varphi\}]] \\
&\quad \equiv \text{liftE} \ \varphi \langle \text{Rep} \uparrow (\text{toRep} \ \rho) \rangle \quad [\text{rep-comp} \ \{E = \varphi\}] \\
&\quad \equiv \text{liftE} \ \varphi \langle \text{toRep} (\text{lift} \ \rho) \rangle \quad [[\text{rep-wd} \ \{E = \text{liftE} \ \varphi\} (\text{toRep-lift} \ \{f = \rho\})]] \\
&\text{claim} (\uparrow x) = \text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression (Palphabet (Lift } Q)) (nonVarKind -Prp)) in \\
&\quad \therefore \text{liftE} (\text{typeof}' \ (\rho \ x) \ \Delta) \\
&\quad \equiv \text{liftE} (\text{typeof}' \ x \ \Gamma \langle \text{toRep} \ \rho \rangle) \quad [\text{wd} \ \text{liftE} \ (\rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \ x)] \\
&\quad \equiv \text{typeof}' \ x \ \Gamma \langle (\lambda _ \rightarrow \uparrow) \bullet \mathbf{R} \text{toRep} \ \rho \rangle \quad [[\text{rep-comp} \ \{E = \text{typeof}' \ x \ \Gamma\}]] \\
&\quad \equiv \text{liftE} (\text{typeof}' \ x \ \Gamma) \langle \text{Rep} \uparrow (\text{toRep} \ \rho) \rangle \quad [\text{rep-comp} \ \{E = \text{typeof}' \ x \ \Gamma\}] \\
&\quad \equiv \text{liftE} (\text{typeof}' \ x \ \Gamma) \langle \text{toRep} (\text{lift} \ \rho) \rangle \quad [[\text{rep-wd} \ \{E = \text{liftE} (\text{typeof}' \ x \ \Gamma)\} (\text{toRep-lift} \ \{f = \rho\})]]
\end{aligned}$$

A *substitution* σ from a context Γ to a context Δ , $\sigma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$, is a substitution σ on the syntax such that, for every $x : \phi$ in Γ , we have $\Delta \vdash \sigma(x) : \phi$.

$_::_ \Rightarrow _ : \forall \{P\} \{Q\} \rightarrow \text{Sub} (\text{Palphabet } P) (\text{Palphabet } Q) \rightarrow \text{PContext } P \rightarrow \text{PContext } Q \rightarrow \text{Set}$
 $\sigma :: \Gamma \Rightarrow \Delta = \forall x \rightarrow \Delta \vdash \sigma _ \text{ (embed x) :: typeof' x } \Gamma \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$

$\text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-typed} : \forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{\sigma\} \{\Gamma : \text{PContext } P\} \{\Delta : \text{PContext } Q\} \{\varphi : \text{Expression } (\text{Palphabet } P)\}$
 $\text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-typed } \{P\} \{Q\} \{\sigma\} \{\Gamma\} \{\Delta\} \{\varphi\} \sigma :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \perp = \text{subst } (\lambda p \rightarrow (\Delta, \varphi \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket) \vdash \text{var } x_0 :: p)$
 $(\text{let open Equational-Reasoning } (\text{Expression } (\text{Palphabet } Q, \text{-Proof}) (\text{nonVarKind } \text{-Prp})) \text{ in}$
 $\therefore \text{liftE } (\varphi \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket)$
 $\equiv \varphi \llbracket (\lambda _ \rightarrow \uparrow) \bullet_1 \sigma \rrbracket \quad [[\text{sub-comp}_1 \{E = \varphi\}]]$
 $\equiv \text{liftE } \varphi \llbracket \text{Sub}\uparrow \sigma \rrbracket \quad [\text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = \varphi\}])$
 var
 $\text{Sub}\uparrow\text{-typed } \{Q = Q\} \{\sigma = \sigma\} \{\Gamma = \Gamma\} \{\Delta = \Delta\} \{\varphi = \varphi\} \sigma :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta (\uparrow x) =$
 subst
 $(\lambda P \rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \vdash \text{Sub}\uparrow \sigma \text{-Proof } (\uparrow (\text{embed } x)) :: P)$
 $(\text{let open Equational-Reasoning } (\text{Expression } (\text{Palphabet } Q, \text{-Proof}) (\text{nonVarKind } \text{-Prp})) \text{ in}$
 $\therefore \text{liftE } (\text{typeof' } x \Gamma \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket)$
 $\equiv \text{typeof' } x \Gamma \llbracket (\lambda _ \rightarrow \uparrow) \bullet_1 \sigma \rrbracket \quad [[\text{sub-comp}_1 \{E = \text{typeof' } x \Gamma\}]]$
 $\equiv \text{liftE } (\text{typeof' } x \Gamma) \llbracket \text{Sub}\uparrow \sigma \rrbracket \quad [\text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = \text{typeof' } x \Gamma\}])$
 $(\text{subst2 } (\lambda x y \rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \vdash x :: y)$
 $(\text{rep-wd } \{E = \sigma \text{-Proof } (\text{embed } x)\} (\text{toRep-}\uparrow \{Q\}))$
 $(\text{rep-wd } \{E = \text{typeof' } x \Gamma \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket\} (\text{toRep-}\uparrow \{Q\}))$
 $(\text{Weakening } (\sigma :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta x) (\uparrow\text{-typed } \{\varphi = \varphi \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket\})))$

$\text{botsub-typed} : \forall \{P\} \{\Gamma : \text{PContext } P\} \{\varphi : \text{Expression } (\text{Palphabet } P) (\text{nonVarKind } \text{-Prp})\} \{$
 $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \varphi \rightarrow x_0 := \delta :: (\Gamma, \varphi) \Rightarrow \Gamma$
 $\text{botsub-typed } \{P\} \{\Gamma\} \{\varphi\} \{\delta\} \Gamma \vdash \delta :: \varphi \perp = \text{subst } (\lambda P_1 \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \delta :: P_1)$
 $(\text{let open Equational-Reasoning } (\text{Expression } (\text{Palphabet } P) (\text{nonVarKind } \text{-Prp})) \text{ in}$
 $\therefore \varphi$
 $\equiv \varphi \llbracket \text{idSub} \rrbracket \quad [[\text{sub-id}]]$
 $\equiv \text{liftE } \varphi \llbracket x_0 := \delta \rrbracket \quad [\text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = \varphi\}])$
 $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \varphi$
 $\text{botsub-typed } \{P\} \{\Gamma\} \{\varphi\} \{\delta\} _ (\uparrow x) = \text{subst } (\lambda P_1 \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \text{var } (\text{embed } x) :: P_1)$
 $(\text{let open Equational-Reasoning } (\text{Expression } (\text{Palphabet } P) (\text{nonVarKind } \text{-Prp})) \text{ in}$
 $\therefore \text{typeof' } x \Gamma$
 $\equiv \text{typeof' } x \Gamma \llbracket \text{idSub} \rrbracket \quad [[\text{sub-id}]]$
 $\equiv \text{liftE } (\text{typeof' } x \Gamma) \llbracket x_0 := \delta \rrbracket \quad [\text{sub-comp}_2 \{E = \text{typeof' } x \Gamma\}])$
 var

Substitution Lemma

$\text{Substitution} : \forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{\Gamma : \text{PContext } P\} \{\Delta : \text{PContext } Q\} \{\delta\} \{\varphi\} \{\sigma\} \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \delta :: \varphi \rightarrow \sigma$
 $\text{Substitution var } \sigma :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta = \sigma :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta _$
 $\text{Substitution } (\text{app } \Gamma \vdash \delta :: \varphi \rightarrow \psi \Gamma \vdash \varepsilon :: \varphi) \sigma :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta = \text{app } (\text{Substitution } \Gamma \vdash \delta :: \varphi \rightarrow \psi \sigma :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta) (\text{Substitution } \Gamma \vdash \varepsilon :: \varphi \sigma :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta)$
 $\text{Substitution } \{Q = Q\} \{\Delta = \Delta\} \{\sigma = \sigma\} (\Lambda \{P\} \{\Gamma\} \{\varphi\} \{\delta\} \{\psi\} \Gamma, \varphi \vdash \delta :: \psi) \sigma :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta = \Lambda$
 $(\text{subst } (\lambda p \rightarrow \Delta, \varphi \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \vdash \delta \llbracket \text{Sub}\uparrow \sigma \rrbracket :: p))$

```

(let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression (Palphabet Q , -Proof) (nonVarKind -Prp)) in
 $\therefore$  liftE  $\psi$   $\llbracket$  Sub $\uparrow$   $\sigma$   $\rrbracket$ 
 $\equiv \psi$   $\llbracket$  Sub $\uparrow$   $\sigma \bullet_2 (\lambda \_ \rightarrow \uparrow)$   $\rrbracket$   $\llbracket$  sub-comp $_2$  {E =  $\psi$ }  $\rrbracket$ 
 $\equiv$  liftE ( $\psi$   $\llbracket$   $\sigma$   $\rrbracket$ )  $\llbracket$  sub-comp $_1$  {E =  $\psi$ }  $\rrbracket$ 
(Substitution  $\Gamma, \phi \vdash \delta :: \psi$  (Sub $\uparrow$ -typed  $\sigma :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ )))

```

Subject Reduction

```

prop-triv-red :  $\forall$  {P} { $\phi \psi$  : Expression (Palphabet P) (nonVarKind -Prp)}  $\rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \langle \beta \rangle \psi$ 
prop-triv-red { $\_$ } {app bot out $_2$ } (redex ())
prop-triv-red {P} {app bot out $_2$ } (app ())
prop-triv-red {P} {app imp (app $_2$   $\_$  (app $_2$   $\_$  out $_2$ ))) (redex ())
prop-triv-red {P} {app imp (app $_2$  (out  $\phi$ ) (app $_2$   $\psi$  out $_2$ ))) (app (appl (out  $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ ))) = prop-
prop-triv-red {P} {app imp (app $_2$   $\phi$  (app $_2$  (out  $\psi$ ) out $_2$ ))) (app (appr (appl (out  $\psi \rightarrow \psi'$ ))))
prop-triv-red {P} {app imp (app $_2$   $\_$  (app $_2$  (out  $\_$ ) out $_2$ ))) (app (appr (appr ())))

```

```

SR :  $\forall$  {P} { $\Gamma$  : PContext P} { $\delta \epsilon$  : Proof (Palphabet P)} { $\phi$ }  $\rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \delta :: \phi \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \langle \beta \rangle \epsilon$ 
SR var ()
SR (app { $\epsilon$  =  $\epsilon$ } ( $\Lambda$  {P} { $\Gamma$ } { $\phi$ } { $\delta$ } { $\psi$ }  $\Gamma, \phi \vdash \delta :: \psi$   $\Gamma \vdash \epsilon :: \phi$ ) (redex  $\beta I$ ) =
  subst ( $\lambda P_1 \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \delta \llbracket x_0 := \epsilon \rrbracket :: P_1$ )
  (let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression (Palphabet P) (nonVarKind -Prp)) in
 $\therefore$  liftE  $\psi$   $\llbracket x_0 := \epsilon \rrbracket$ 
 $\equiv \psi$   $\llbracket$  idSub  $\rrbracket$   $\llbracket$  sub-comp $_2$  {E =  $\psi$ }  $\rrbracket$ 
 $\equiv \psi$   $\llbracket$  sub-id  $\rrbracket$ 
  (Substitution  $\Gamma, \phi \vdash \delta :: \psi$  (botsub-typed  $\Gamma \vdash \epsilon :: \phi$ )))
SR (app  $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \phi \rightarrow \psi$   $\Gamma \vdash \epsilon :: \phi$ ) (app (appl (out  $\delta \rightarrow \delta'$ ))) = app (SR  $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \phi \rightarrow \psi$   $\delta \rightarrow \delta'$ )  $\Gamma \vdash \epsilon :: \phi$ 
SR (app  $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \phi \rightarrow \psi$   $\Gamma \vdash \epsilon :: \phi$ ) (app (appr (appl (out  $\epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon'$ )))) = app  $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \phi \rightarrow \psi$  (SR  $\Gamma \vdash \epsilon :: \phi$   $\epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon'$ )
SR (app  $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \phi \rightarrow \psi$   $\Gamma \vdash \epsilon :: \phi$ ) (app (appr (appr ())))
SR ( $\Lambda$   $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \phi$ ) (redex ())
SR {P} ( $\Lambda$   $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \phi$ ) (app (appl (out  $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ ))) with prop-triv-red {P}  $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ 
... | ()
SR ( $\Lambda$   $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \phi$ ) (app (appr (appl ( $\Lambda$  (out  $\delta \rightarrow \delta'$ )))))) =  $\Lambda$  (SR  $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \phi$   $\delta \rightarrow \delta'$ )
SR ( $\Lambda$   $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \phi$ ) (app (appr (appr ())))

```

We define the sets of *computable* proofs $C_\Gamma(\phi)$ for each context Γ and proposition ϕ as follows:

$$C_\Gamma(\perp) = \{\delta \mid \Gamma \vdash \delta : \perp, \delta \in SN\}$$

$$C_\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \psi) = \{\delta \mid \Gamma : \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi, \forall \epsilon \in C_\Gamma(\phi). \delta \epsilon \in C_\Gamma(\psi)\}$$

```

C :  $\forall$  {P}  $\rightarrow$  PContext P  $\rightarrow$  Prp  $\rightarrow$  Proof (Palphabet P)  $\rightarrow$  Set
C  $\Gamma$  (app bot out $_2$ )  $\delta$  = ( $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \perp P \langle (\lambda \_ ()) \rangle$ )  $\wedge$  SN  $\beta \delta$ 
C  $\Gamma$  (app imp (app $_2$  (out  $\phi$ ) (app $_2$  (out  $\psi$ ) out $_2$ )))  $\delta$  = ( $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) \langle (\lambda \_ ()) \rangle$ )  $\wedge$ 
  ( $\forall Q \{ \Delta : PContext Q \} \rho \epsilon \rightarrow \rho :: \Gamma \Rightarrow_R \Delta \rightarrow C \Delta \phi \epsilon \rightarrow C \Delta \psi$  (appP ( $\delta \langle \text{toRep } \rho \rangle$ )  $\epsilon$ ))

```

```

C-typed :  $\forall$  {P} { $\Gamma$  : PContext P} { $\phi$ } { $\delta$ }  $\rightarrow C \Gamma \phi \delta \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \delta :: \phi \langle (\lambda \_ ()) \rangle$ 

```

```

C-typed {φ = app bot out2} = π1
C-typed {Γ = Γ} {φ = app imp (app2 (out φ) (app2 (out ψ) out2)))} {δ = δ} = λ x → subst (
  (wd2 _⇒_ (rep-wd {E = φ} (λ ()) (rep-wd {E = ψ} (λ ())))
  (π1 x)

C-rep : ∀ {P} {Q} {Γ : PContext P} {Δ : PContext Q} {φ} {δ} {ρ} → C Γ φ δ → ρ :: Γ ⇒ R Δ
C-rep {φ = app bot out2} (Γ⊢δ::⊥ , SNδ) ρ::Γ→Δ = (Weakening Γ⊢δ::⊥ ρ::Γ→Δ) , SNrep β-crea
C-rep {P} {Q} {Γ} {Δ} {app imp (app2 (out φ) (app2 (out ψ) out2)))} {δ} {ρ} (Γ⊢δ::φ⇒ψ , Cδ) δ
  (wd2 _⇒_
    (let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression (Alphabet Q) (nonVarKind -Prp)) in
      ∷ (φ < _ >) < toRep ρ >
      ≡ φ < _ > [[ rep-comp {E = φ} ]]
      ≡ φ < _ > [ rep-wd {E = φ} (λ ()) ])
--TODO Refactor common pattern
    (let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression (Alphabet Q) (nonVarKind -Prp)) in
      ∷ ψ < _ > < toRep ρ >
      ≡ ψ < _ > [[ rep-comp {E = ψ} ]]
      ≡ ψ < _ > [ rep-wd {E = ψ} (λ ()) ]))
    (Weakening Γ⊢δ::φ⇒ψ ρ::Γ→Δ)) ,
  (λ R σ ε σ::Δ→Θ ε∈Cφ → subst (C _ ψ) (wd (λ x → appP x ε)
    (trans (sym (rep-wd {E = δ} (toRep-comp {g = σ} {f = ρ}))) (rep-comp {E = δ})))
    (Cδ R (σ ∘ ρ) ε (•R-typed {σ = σ} {ρ = ρ} ρ::Γ→Δ σ::Δ→Θ) ε∈Cφ))

C-red : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {φ} {δ} {ε} → C Γ φ δ → δ → (β ) ε → C Γ φ ε
C-red {φ = app bot out2} (Γ⊢δ::⊥ , SNδ) δ→ε = (SR Γ⊢δ::⊥ δ→ε) , (SNred SNδ (osr-red δ→ε)
C-red {Γ = Γ} {φ = app imp (app2 (out φ) (app2 (out ψ) out2)))} {δ = δ} (Γ⊢δ::φ⇒ψ , Cδ) δ
  (wd2 _⇒_ (rep-wd {E = φ} (λ ())) (rep-wd {E = ψ} (λ ())))
  Γ⊢δ::φ⇒ψ) δ→δ' ,
  (λ Q ρ ε ρ::Γ→Δ ε∈Cφ → C-red {φ = ψ} (Cδ Q ρ ε ρ::Γ→Δ ε∈Cφ) (app (appl (out (reposer β
    The neutral terms are those that begin with a variable.

data Neutral {P} : Proof P → Set where
  varNeutral : ∀ x → Neutral (var x)
  appNeutral : ∀ δ ε → Neutral δ → Neutral (appP δ ε)

Lemma 7. If δ is neutral and δ →β ε then ε is neutral.

neutral-red : ∀ {P} {δ ε : Proof P} → Neutral δ → δ → (β ) ε → Neutral ε
neutral-red (varNeutral _) ()
neutral-red (appNeutral .(app lam (app2 (out _) (app2 (λ (out _)) out2))) _ ()) (redex βI
neutral-red (appNeutral _ ε neutralδ) (app (appl (out δ→δ')))) = appNeutral _ ε (neutral
neutral-red (appNeutral δ _ neutralδ) (app (appr (appl (out ε→ε'))))) = appNeutral δ _ ne
neutral-red (appNeutral _ _ _) (app (appr (appr ())))

neutral-rep : ∀ {P} {Q} {δ : Proof P} {ρ : Rep P Q} → Neutral δ → Neutral (δ < ρ >)
neutral-rep {ρ = ρ} (varNeutral x) = varNeutral (ρ -Proof x)
neutral-rep {ρ = ρ} (appNeutral δ ε neutralδ) = appNeutral (δ < ρ >) (ε < ρ >) (neutral-r

```

Lemma 8. *Let $\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi$. If δ is neutral and, for all ϵ such that $\delta \rightarrow_\beta \epsilon$, we have $\epsilon \in C_\Gamma(\phi)$, then $\delta \in C_\Gamma(\phi)$.*

```

NeutralC-lm :  $\forall \{P\} \{\delta \ \epsilon : \text{Proof } P\} \{X : \text{Proof } P \rightarrow \text{Set}\} \rightarrow$ 
  Neutral  $\delta \rightarrow$ 
    ( $\forall \delta' \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \langle \beta \rangle \delta' \rightarrow X \ (\text{appP } \delta' \ \epsilon)$ )  $\rightarrow$ 
    ( $\forall \epsilon' \rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow \langle \beta \rangle \epsilon' \rightarrow X \ (\text{appP } \delta \ \epsilon')$ )  $\rightarrow$ 
     $\forall \chi \rightarrow \text{appP } \delta \ \epsilon \rightarrow \langle \beta \rangle \chi \rightarrow X \ \chi$ 
NeutralC-lm () _ _ _ (redex  $\beta I$ )
NeutralC-lm _ hyp1 _ .(app app (app2 (out _) (app2 (out _) out2))) (app (appl (out  $\delta \rightarrow \delta'$ )
NeutralC-lm _ _ hyp2 .(app app (app2 (out _) (app2 (out _) out2))) (app (appr (appl (out
NeutralC-lm _ _ _ .(app app (app2 (out _) (app2 (out _) _))) (app (appr (appr ())))

mutual
NeutralC :  $\forall \{P\} \{\Gamma : \text{PContext } P\} \{\delta : \text{Proof } (\text{Palphabet } P)\} \{\varphi : \text{Prp}\} \rightarrow$ 
   $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \varphi \langle (\lambda \_ ()) \rangle \rightarrow \text{Neutral } \delta \rightarrow$ 
    ( $\forall \epsilon \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \langle \beta \rangle \epsilon \rightarrow C \ \Gamma \ \varphi \ \epsilon$ )  $\rightarrow$ 
     $C \ \Gamma \ \varphi \ \delta$ 
NeutralC {P} {Γ} {δ} {app bot out2}  $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \perp$  Neutral $\delta$  hyp =  $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \perp$  , SNI  $\delta \ (\lambda \ \epsilon \ \delta \rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow \pi$ 
NeutralC {P} {Γ} {δ} {app imp (app2 (out  $\varphi$ ) (app2 (out  $\psi$ ) out2)))  $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \varphi \rightarrow \psi$  neutral $\delta$  hyp
  ( $\lambda \ Q \ \rho \ \epsilon \ \rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \ \epsilon \in C\varphi \rightarrow \text{claim } \epsilon \ (C\text{subSN } \{\varphi = \varphi\} \{\delta = \epsilon\} \ \epsilon \in C\varphi) \ \rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \ \epsilon \in C\varphi$ ) where
  claim :  $\forall \{Q\} \{\Delta\} \{\rho : \text{El } P \rightarrow \text{El } Q\} \ \epsilon \rightarrow \text{SN } \beta \ \epsilon \rightarrow \rho :: \Gamma \Rightarrow_R \Delta \rightarrow C \ \Delta \ \varphi \ \epsilon \rightarrow C \ \Delta \ \psi$ 
  claim {Q} {Δ} {ρ}  $\epsilon \in (\text{SNI } .\epsilon \text{ SNI } \epsilon) \ \rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \ \epsilon \in C\varphi = \text{NeutralC } \{Q\} \{\Delta\} \{\text{appP } (\delta \langle \text{toRep } \rho \rangle)$ 
    (app (subst ( $\lambda \ P_1 \rightarrow \Delta \vdash \delta \langle \text{toRep } \rho \rangle :: P_1$ ))
    (wd2  $\_ \Rightarrow \_$ 
    (let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression (Palphabet Q) (nonVarKind -Prp)) in
       $\therefore \varphi \langle \_ \rangle \langle \text{toRep } \rho \rangle$ 
       $\equiv \varphi \langle \_ \rangle$  [[ rep-comp {E =  $\varphi$ } ]]
       $\equiv \varphi \langle \_ \rangle$  [[ rep-wd {E =  $\varphi$ } ( $\lambda \ ()$ ) ]]
    ( (let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression (Palphabet Q) (nonVarKind -Prp)) in
       $\therefore \psi \langle \_ \rangle \langle \text{toRep } \rho \rangle$ 
       $\equiv \psi \langle \_ \rangle$  [[ rep-comp {E =  $\psi$ } ]]
       $\equiv \psi \langle \_ \rangle$  [[ rep-wd {E =  $\psi$ } ( $\lambda \ ()$ ) ]]
    ))
    (Weakening  $\Gamma \vdash \delta :: \varphi \rightarrow \psi \ \rho :: \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta$ )
    (C-typed {Q} {Δ} {φ} {ε}  $\epsilon \in C\varphi$ )
    (appNeutral ( $\delta \langle \text{toRep } \rho \rangle$ )  $\epsilon$  (neutral-rep neutral $\delta$ ))
    (NeutralC-lm {X =  $C \ \Delta \ \psi$ } (neutral-rep neutral $\delta$ ))
    ( $\lambda \ \delta' \ \delta \langle \rho \rangle \rightarrow \delta' \rightarrow$ 
    let  $\delta_0 : \text{Proof } (\text{Palphabet } P)$ 
       $\delta_0 = \text{create-reposr } \beta\text{-creates-rep } \{M = \delta\} \{N = \delta'\} \{\rho = \text{toRep } \rho\} \ \delta \langle \rho \rangle \rightarrow \delta'$ 
    in let  $\delta \rightarrow \delta_0 : \delta \rightarrow \langle \beta \rangle \delta_0$ 
       $\delta \rightarrow \delta_0 = \text{red-create-reposr } \beta\text{-creates-rep } \delta \langle \rho \rangle \rightarrow \delta'$ 
    in let  $\delta_0 \langle \rho \rangle \equiv \delta' : \delta_0 \langle \text{toRep } \rho \rangle \equiv \delta'$ 
       $\delta_0 \langle \rho \rangle \equiv \delta' = \text{rep-create-reposr } \beta\text{-creates-rep } \{M = \delta\} \{N = \delta'\} \{\rho = \text{toRep } \rho\} \ \delta$ 
    in let  $\delta_0 \in C[\varphi \Rightarrow \psi] : C \ \Gamma \ (\varphi \Rightarrow \psi) \ \delta_0$ 

```

```

      δ0 ∈ C[φ ⇒ ψ] = hyp δ0 δ → δ0
in let δ' ∈ C[φ ⇒ ψ] : C Δ (φ ⇒ ψ) δ'
      δ' ∈ C[φ ⇒ ψ] = subst (C Δ (φ ⇒ ψ)) δ0(ρ) ≡ δ' (C-rep {φ = φ ⇒ ψ} δ0 ∈ C[φ ⇒ ψ] ρ)
in subst (C Δ ψ) (wd (λ x → appP x ε) δ0(ρ) ≡ δ') (π2 δ0 ∈ C[φ ⇒ ψ] Q ρ ε ρ :: Γ → Δ ε ∈ Cφ)
(λ ε' ε → ε' → claim ε' (SNε ε' ε → ε')) ρ :: Γ → Δ (C-red {φ = φ} ε ∈ Cφ ε → ε'))

```

Lemma 9.

$$C_{\Gamma}(\phi) \subseteq SN$$

```

CsubSN : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {φ} {δ} → C Γ φ δ → SN β δ
CsubSN {P} {Γ} {app bot out2} P1 = π2 P1
CsubSN {P} {Γ} {app imp (app2 (out φ) (app2 (out ψ) out2)))} {δ} P1 =
  let φ' : Expression (Palphabet P) (nonVarKind -Prp)
    φ' = φ ⟨ (λ _ ()) ⟩ in
  let Γ' : PContext (Lift P)
    Γ' = Γ , φ' in
  SNrep' {Palphabet P} {Palphabet P , -Proof} { varKind -Proof} {λ _ → ↑} β-respects-1
    (SNsubbody1 (SNsubexp (CsubSN {Γ = Γ'} {φ = φ}
      (subst (C Γ' ψ) (wd (λ x → appP x (var x0)) (rep-wd {E = δ} (toRep-↑ {P = P})))
      (π2 P1 (Lift P) ↑ (var x0) (λ x → sym (rep-wd {E = typeof' x Γ} (toRep-↑ {P = P})))
      (NeutralC {φ = φ}
        (subst (λ x → Γ' ⊢ var x0 :: x)
          (trans (sym (rep-comp {E = φ})) (rep-wd {E = φ} (λ ()))
            var)
          (varNeutral x0)
          (λ _ ())))))))))

```

```

module PHOPL where
open import Prelims hiding (⊥)
open import Grammar
open import Reduction

```

6 Predicative Higher-Order Propositional Logic

Fix sets of *proof variables* and *term variables*.

The syntax of the system is given by the following grammar.

| | | | |
|---------------|---------------|-------|--|
| Proof | δ | $::=$ | $p \mid \delta\delta \mid \lambda p : \phi. \delta$ |
| Term | M, ϕ | $::=$ | $x \mid \perp \mid MM \mid \lambda x : A. M \mid \phi \rightarrow \phi$ |
| Type | A | $::=$ | $\Omega \mid A \rightarrow A$ |
| Term Context | Γ | $::=$ | $\langle \rangle \mid \Gamma, x : A$ |
| Proof Context | Δ | $::=$ | $\langle \rangle \mid \Delta, p : \phi$ |
| Judgement | \mathcal{J} | $::=$ | $\Gamma \text{ valid} \mid \Gamma \vdash M : A \mid \Gamma, \Delta \text{ valid} \mid \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \delta : \phi$ |

where p ranges over proof variables and x ranges over term variables. The variable p is bound within δ in the proof $\lambda p : \phi. \delta$, and the variable x is bound within M in the term $\lambda x : A. M$. We identify proofs and terms up to α -conversion.

In the implementation, we write **Term**(V) for the set of all terms with free variables a subset of V , where $V : \mathbf{FinSet}$.

```

data PHOPLVarKind : Set where
  -Proof : PHOPLVarKind
  -Term : PHOPLVarKind

data PHOPLNonVarKind : Set where
  -Type : PHOPLNonVarKind

PHOPLTaxonomy : Taxonomy
PHOPLTaxonomy = record {
  VarKind = PHOPLVarKind;
  NonVarKind = PHOPLNonVarKind }

module PHOPLGrammar where
  open Taxonomy PHOPLTaxonomy

  data PHOPLcon :  $\forall \{K : \text{ExpressionKind}\} \rightarrow \text{Kind } (-\text{Constructor } K) \rightarrow \text{Set}$  where
    -appProof : PHOPLcon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Proof)) ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Proof)) (out2 {K =
    -lamProof : PHOPLcon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Term)) ( $\Pi_2$  ( $\Pi$  -Proof (out (varKind -Proof)))
    -bot : PHOPLcon (out2 {K = varKind -Term})
    -imp : PHOPLcon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Term)) ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Term)) (out2 {K = varKind
    -appTerm : PHOPLcon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Term)) ( $\Pi_2$  (out (varKind -Term)) (out2 {K = va
    -lamTerm : PHOPLcon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (nonVarKind -Type)) ( $\Pi_2$  ( $\Pi$  -Term (out (varKind -Term)))
    -Omega : PHOPLcon (out2 {K = nonVarKind -Type})
    -func : PHOPLcon ( $\Pi_2$  (out (nonVarKind -Type)) ( $\Pi_2$  (out (nonVarKind -Type)) (out2 {K

  PHOPLparent : PHOPLVarKind  $\rightarrow$  ExpressionKind
  PHOPLparent -Proof = varKind -Term
  PHOPLparent -Term = nonVarKind -Type

  PHOPL : Grammar
  PHOPL = record {
    taxonomy = PHOPLTaxonomy;
    toGrammar = record {
      Constructor = PHOPLcon;
      parent = PHOPLparent } }

module PHOPL where
  open PHOPLGrammar using (PHOPLcon;-appProof;-lamProof;-bot;-imp;-appTerm;-lamTerm;-Omega)
  open Grammar.Grammar PHOPLGrammar.PHOPL

  Type : Set
  Type = Expression  $\emptyset$  (nonVarKind -Type)

```

```

liftType : ∀ {V} → Type → Expression V (nonVarKind -Type)
liftType (app -Omega out2) = app -Omega out2
liftType (app -func (app2 (out A) (app2 (out B) out2))) = app -func (app2 (out (liftType

Ω : Type
Ω = app -Omega out2

infix 75 _⇒_
_⇒_ : Type → Type → Type
φ ⇒ ψ = app -func (app2 (out φ) (app2 (out ψ) out2))

lowerType : ∀ {V} → Expression V (nonVarKind -Type) → Type
lowerType (app -Omega out2) = Ω
lowerType (app -func (app2 (out φ) (app2 (out ψ) out2))) = lowerType φ ⇒ lowerType ψ

{- infix 80 _,_
data TContext : Alphabet → Set where
  ⟨⟩ : TContext ∅
  _,_ : ∀ {V} → TContext V → Type → TContext (V , -Term) -}

TContext : Alphabet → Set
TContext = Context -Term

Term : Alphabet → Set
Term V = Expression V (varKind -Term)

⊥ : ∀ {V} → Term V
⊥ = app -bot out2

appTerm : ∀ {V} → Term V → Term V → Term V
appTerm M N = app -appTerm (app2 (out M) (app2 (out N) out2))

ΛTerm : ∀ {V} → Type → Term (V , -Term) → Term V
ΛTerm A M = app -lamTerm (app2 (out (liftType A)) (app2 (Λ (out M)) out2))

_⊃_ : ∀ {V} → Term V → Term V → Term V
φ ⊃ ψ = app -imp (app2 (out φ) (app2 (out ψ) out2))

PAlphabet : FinSet → Alphabet → Alphabet
PAlphabet ∅ A = A
PAlphabet (Lift P) A = PAlphabet P A , -Proof

liftVar : ∀ {A} {K} P → Var A K → Var (PAlphabet P A) K
liftVar ∅ x = x
liftVar (Lift P) x = ↑ (liftVar P x)

```

```

liftVar' : ∀ {A} P → El P → Var (PAlphabet P A) -Proof
liftVar' (Lift P) Prelims.⊥ = x0
liftVar' (Lift P) (↑ x) = ↑ (liftVar' P x)

liftExp : ∀ {V} {K} P → Expression V K → Expression (PAlphabet P V) K
liftExp P E = E ⟨ (λ _ → liftVar P) ⟩

data PContext' (V : Alphabet) : FinSet → Set where
  ⟨ ⟩ : PContext' V ∅
  _,_ : ∀ {P} → PContext' V P → Term V → PContext' V (Lift P)

PContext : Alphabet → FinSet → Set
PContext V = Context' V -Proof

P⟨ ⟩ : ∀ {V} → PContext V ∅
P⟨ ⟩ = ⟨ ⟩

_,_ : ∀ {V} {P} → PContext V P → Term V → PContext V (Lift P)
_,_ {V} {P} Δ φ = Δ , φ ⟨ embed1 {V} { -Proof} {P} ⟩

Proof : Alphabet → FinSet → Set
Proof V P = Expression (PAlphabet P V) (varKind -Proof)

varP : ∀ {V} {P} → El P → Proof V P
varP {P = P} x = var (liftVar' P x)

appP : ∀ {V} {P} → Proof V P → Proof V P → Proof V P
appP δ ε = app -appProof (app2 (out δ) (app2 (out ε) out2))

ΛP : ∀ {V} {P} → Term V → Proof V (Lift P) → Proof V P
ΛP {P = P} φ δ = app -lamProof (app2 (out (liftExp P φ)) (app2 (Λ (out δ)) out2))

-- typeof' : ∀ {V} → Var V -Term → TContext V → Type
-- typeof' x0 ( _ , A) = A
-- typeof' (↑ x) (Γ , _) = typeof' x Γ

propof : ∀ {V} {P} → El P → PContext' V P → Term V
propof Prelims.⊥ ( _ , φ) = φ
propof (↑ x) (Γ , _) = propof x Γ

data β : Reduction PHOPLGrammar.PHOPL where
  βI : ∀ {V} A (M : Term (V , -Term)) N → β -appTerm (app2 (out (ΛTerm A M)) (app2 (ou

The rules of deduction of the system are as follows.

```

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\langle \rangle \text{ valid}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma, x : A \text{ valid}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega}{\Gamma, p : \phi \text{ valid}} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash x : A} (x : A \in \Gamma) \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi} (p : \phi \in \Gamma) \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash \perp : \Omega} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega}{\Gamma \vdash \phi \rightarrow \psi : \Omega} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash MN : B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : \phi}{\Gamma \vdash \delta \epsilon : \psi} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. M : A \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma, p : \phi \vdash \delta : \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda p : \phi. \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega}{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \psi} (\phi \simeq \psi)
\end{array}$$

```

infix 10 _⊢_:
data _⊢_:_ : ∀ {V} → TContext V → Term V → Expression V (nonVarKind -Type) → Set₁ where
  var : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} {x} → Γ ⊢ var x : typeof x Γ
  ⊥R : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} → Γ ⊢ ⊥ : Ω ⟨ (λ _ ()) ⟩
  imp : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} {φ} {ψ} → Γ ⊢ φ : Ω ⟨ (λ _ ()) ⟩ → Γ ⊢ ψ : Ω ⟨ (λ _ ()) ⟩
  app : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} {M} {N} {A} {B} → Γ ⊢ M : app -func (app₂ (out A) (app₂
  Λ : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} {A} {M} {B} → Γ , A ⊢ M : liftE B → Γ ⊢ app -lamTerm (ap

data Pvalid : ∀ {V} {P} → TContext V → PContext' V P → Set₁ where
  ⟨ ⟩ : ∀ {V} {Γ : TContext V} → Pvalid Γ ⟨ ⟩
  _,_ : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : TContext V} {Δ : PContext' V P} {φ : Term V} → Pvalid Γ Δ → Γ

infix 10 _,_,_⊢_:
data _,_,_⊢_:_ : ∀ {V} {P} → TContext V → PContext' V P → Proof V P → Term V → Set₁
  var : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : TContext V} {Δ : PContext' V P} {p} → Pvalid Γ Δ → Γ , Δ ⊢ v
  app : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : TContext V} {Δ : PContext' V P} {δ} {ε} {φ} {ψ} → Γ , Δ ⊢ δ ::
  Λ : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : TContext V} {Δ : PContext' V P} {φ} {δ} {ψ} → Γ , Δ , φ ⊢ δ :: ψ
  convR : ∀ {V} {P} {Γ : TContext V} {Δ : PContext' V P} {δ} {φ} {ψ} → Γ , Δ ⊢ δ :: φ

```