

Type Theories with Computation Rules for the Univalence Axiom

Robin Adams

January 20, 2016

```
module main where
```

1 Preliminaries

```
module Prelims where
```

1.1 Functions

We write id_A for the identity function on the type A , and $g \circ f$ for the composition of functions g and f .

```
id : ∀ (A : Set) → A → A
id A x = x
```

```
infix 75 _∘_
_∘_ : ∀ {A B C : Set} → (B → C) → (A → B) → A → C
(g ∘ f) x = g (f x)
```

1.2 Equality

We use the inductively defined equality $=$ on every datatype.

```
infix 50 _≡_
data _≡_ {A : Set} (a : A) : A → Set where
  ref : a ≡ a
```

```
subst : ∀ {A : Set} (P : A → Set) {a} {b} → a ≡ b → P a → P b
subst P ref Pa = Pa
```

```
sym : ∀ {A : Set} {a b : A} → a ≡ b → b ≡ a
sym ref = ref
```

```
trans : ∀ {A : Set} {a b c : A} → a ≡ b → b ≡ c → a ≡ c
```

```
trans ref ref = ref
```

```
wd : ∀ {A B : Set} (f : A → B) {a a' : A} → a ≡ a' → f a ≡ f a'
wd _ ref = ref
```

```
wd2 : ∀ {A B C : Set} (f : A → B → C) {a a' : A} {b b' : B} → a ≡ a' → b ≡ b' → f a b ≡ f a' b'
wd2 _ ref ref = ref
```

```
module Equational-Reasoning (A : Set) where
```

```
  infix 2 `·_
  `·_ : ∀ (a : A) → a ≡ a
  `· _ = ref
```

```
  infix 1 _≡_[_]
  _≡_[_] : ∀ {a b : A} → a ≡ b → ∀ c → b ≡ c → a ≡ c
  δ ≡ c [ δ' ] = trans δ δ'
```

```
  infix 1 _≡_[[_]]
  _≡_[[_]] : ∀ {a b : A} → a ≡ b → ∀ c → c ≡ b → a ≡ c
  δ ≡ c [[ δ' ]] = trans δ (sym δ')
```

We also write $f \sim g$ iff the functions f and g are extensionally equal, that is, $f(x) = g(x)$ for all x .

```
infix 50 _~_
_~_ : ∀ {A B : Set} → (A → B) → (A → B) → Set
f ~ g = ∀ x → f x ≡ g x
```

2 Datatypes

We introduce a universe **FinSet** of (names of) finite sets. There is an empty set $\emptyset : \mathbf{FinSet}$, and for every $A : \mathbf{FinSet}$, the type $A + 1 : \mathbf{FinSet}$ has one more element:

$$A + 1 = \{\perp\} \uplus \{\uparrow a : a \in A\}$$

```
data FinSet : Set where
  ∅ : FinSet
  Lift : FinSet → FinSet
```

```
data El : FinSet → Set where
  ⊥ : ∀ {V} → El (Lift V)
  ↑ : ∀ {V} → El V → El (Lift V)
```

A *replacement* from U to V is simply a function $U \rightarrow V$.

```
Rep : FinSet → FinSet → Set
Rep U V = El U → El V
```

Given $f : A \rightarrow B$, define $f + 1 : A + 1 \rightarrow B + 1$ by

$$\begin{aligned}(f + 1)(\perp) &= \perp \\ (f + 1)(\uparrow x) &= \uparrow f(x)\end{aligned}$$

```
lift : ∀ {U} {V} → Rep U V → Rep (Lift U) (Lift V)
lift _ ⊥ = ⊥
lift f (↑ x) = ↑ (f x)
```

```
liftwd : ∀ {U} {V} {f g : Rep U V} → f ~ g → lift f ~ lift g
liftwd f-is-g ⊥ = ref
liftwd f-is-g (↑ x) = wd ↑ (f-is-g x)
```

This makes $(-)+1$ into a functor $\mathbf{FinSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{FinSet}$; that is,

$$\begin{aligned}\text{id}_V + 1 &= \text{id}_{V+1} \\ (g \circ f) + 1 &= (g + 1) \circ (f + 1)\end{aligned}$$

```
liftid : ∀ {V} → lift (id (El V)) ~ id (El (Lift V))
liftid ⊥ = ref
liftid (↑ _) = ref
```

```
liftcomp : ∀ {U} {V} {W} {g : Rep V W} {f : Rep U V} → lift (g ∘ f) ~ lift g ∘ lift f
liftcomp ⊥ = ref
liftcomp (↑ _) = ref
```

```
open import Prelims
```

```
module PL where
open import Prelims
```

3 Propositional Logic

Fix sets of *proof variables* and *term variables*.

The syntax of the system is given by the following grammar.

$$\begin{array}{lll}\text{Proof} & \delta & ::= p \mid \delta\delta \mid \lambda p : \phi.\delta \\ \text{Proposition} & \phi & ::= \perp \mid \phi \rightarrow \phi \\ \text{Proof Context} & \Delta & ::= \langle \rangle \mid \Delta, p : \phi \\ \text{Judgement} & \mathcal{J} & ::= \Delta \vdash \delta : \phi\end{array}$$

where p ranges over proof variables and x ranges over term variables. The variable p is bound within δ in the proof $\lambda p : \phi.\delta$, and the variable x is bound within M in the term $\lambda x : A.M$. We identify proofs and terms up to α -conversion.

We write $\mathbf{Proof}(P)$ for the set of all proofs δ with $\text{FV}(\delta) \subseteq V$.

```

infix 75 _⇒_
data Prp : Set where
  ⊥ : Prp
  _⇒_ : Prp → Prp → Prp

infix 80 _,-_
data PContext : FinSet → Set where
  ⟨⟩ : PContext ∅
  _,-_ : ∀ {P} → PContext P → Prp → PContext (Lift P)

--Proof V P is the set of all proofs with term variables among V and proof variables among V
data Proof : FinSet → Set where
  var : ∀ {P} → El P → Proof P
  app : ∀ {P} → Proof P → Proof P → Proof P
  Λ : ∀ {P} → Prp → Proof (Lift P) → Proof P

  Let  $P, Q : \mathbf{FinSet}$ . A replacement from  $P$  to  $Q$  is just a function  $P \rightarrow Q$ .
  Given a term  $M : \mathbf{Proof}(P)$  and a replacement  $\rho : P \rightarrow Q$ , we write  $M\{\rho\} : \mathbf{Proof}(Q)$  for the result of replacing each variable  $x$  in  $M$  with  $\rho(x)$ .

infix 60 _<_>
_<_> : ∀ {P Q} → Proof P → Rep P Q → Proof Q
var p < ρ > = var (ρ p)
app δ ε < ρ > = app (δ < ρ >) (ε < ρ >)
Λ ϕ δ < ρ > = Λ ϕ (δ < lift ρ >)

  With this as the action on arrows, Proof() becomes a functor FinSet → Set.

repwd : ∀ {P Q : FinSet} {ρ ρ' : El P → El Q} → ρ ~ ρ' → ∀ δ → δ < ρ > ≡ δ < ρ' >
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (var p) = wd var (ρ-is-ρ' p)
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (app δ ε) = wd2 app (repwd ρ-is-ρ' δ) (repwd ρ-is-ρ' ε)
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (Λ ϕ δ) = wd (Λ ϕ) (repwd (liftwd ρ-is-ρ') δ)

repid : ∀ {Q : FinSet} δ → δ < id (El Q) > ≡ δ
repid (var _) = ref
repid (app δ ε) = wd2 app (repid δ) (repid ε)
repid {Q} (Λ ϕ δ) = wd (Λ ϕ) (let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof (Lift Q)) in
  ∴ δ < lift (id (El Q)) >
  ≡ δ < id (El (Lift Q)) > [ repwd liftid δ ]
  ≡ δ [ repid δ ])

repcomp : ∀ {P Q R : FinSet} (ρ : El Q → El R) (σ : El P → El Q) M → M < ρ ∘ σ > ≡ M
repcomp ρ σ (var _) = ref
repcomp ρ σ (app δ ε) = wd2 app (repcomp ρ σ δ) (repcomp ρ σ ε)
repcomp {R = R} ρ σ (Λ ϕ δ) = wd (Λ ϕ) (let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof (Lift R)) in
  ∴ δ < lift (ρ ∘ σ) >

```

$$\begin{aligned} &\equiv \delta < \text{lift } \rho \circ \text{lift } \sigma > \quad [\text{repwd liftcomp } \delta] \\ &\equiv (\delta < \text{lift } \sigma >) < \text{lift } \rho > [\text{repcomp } _ _ \delta] \end{aligned}$$

A *substitution* σ from P to Q , $\sigma : P \Rightarrow Q$, is a function $\sigma : P \rightarrow \mathbf{Proof}(Q)$.

$\text{Sub} : \text{FinSet} \rightarrow \text{FinSet} \rightarrow \text{Set}$
 $\text{Sub } P \ Q = \text{El } P \rightarrow \text{Proof } Q$

The identity substitution $\text{id}_Q : Q \Rightarrow Q$ is defined as follows.

$\text{idSub} : \forall Q \rightarrow \text{Sub } Q \ Q$
 $\text{idSub } _ = \text{var}$

Given $\sigma : P \Rightarrow Q$ and $M : \mathbf{Proof}(P)$, we want to define $M[\sigma] : \mathbf{Proof}(Q)$, the result of applying the substitution σ to M . Only after this will we be able to define the composition of two substitutions. However, there is some work we need to do before we are able to do this.

We can define the composition of a substitution and a replacement as follows.

$\text{infix } 75 \ _ \bullet_1 _$
 $_ \bullet_1 _ : \forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{R\} \rightarrow \text{Rep } Q \ R \rightarrow \text{Sub } P \ Q \rightarrow \text{Sub } P \ R$
 $(\rho \bullet_1 \sigma) \ u = \sigma \ u < \rho >$

(On the other side, given $\rho : P \rightarrow Q$ and $\sigma : Q \Rightarrow R$, the composition is just function composition $\sigma \circ \rho : P \Rightarrow R$.)

Given a substitution $\sigma : P \Rightarrow Q$, define the substitution $\sigma + 1 : P + 1 \Rightarrow Q + 1$ as follows.

$\text{liftSub} : \forall \{P\} \{Q\} \rightarrow \text{Sub } P \ Q \rightarrow \text{Sub } (\text{Lift } P) \ (\text{Lift } Q)$
 $\text{liftSub } _ \perp = \text{var } \perp$
 $\text{liftSub } \sigma \ (\uparrow x) = \sigma \ x < \uparrow >$

$\text{liftSub-wd} : \forall \{P \ Q\} \{\sigma \ \sigma' : \text{Sub } P \ Q\} \rightarrow \sigma \sim \sigma' \rightarrow \text{liftSub } \sigma \sim \text{liftSub } \sigma'$
 $\text{liftSub-wd } \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' \ \perp = \text{ref}$
 $\text{liftSub-wd } \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' \ (\uparrow x) = \text{wd } (\lambda x \rightarrow x < \uparrow >) (\sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' \ x)$

Lemma 1. *The operations \bullet and $(-)+1$ satisfies the following properties.*

1. $\text{id}_Q + 1 = \text{id}_{Q+1}$
2. For $\rho : Q \rightarrow R$ and $\sigma : P \Rightarrow Q$, we have $(\rho \bullet \sigma) + 1 = (\rho + 1) \bullet (\sigma + 1)$.
3. For $\sigma : Q \Rightarrow R$ and $\rho : P \rightarrow Q$, we have $(\sigma \circ \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \circ (\rho + 1)$.

$\text{liftSub-id} : \forall \{Q : \text{FinSet}\} \rightarrow \text{liftSub } (\text{idSub } Q) \sim \text{idSub } (\text{Lift } Q)$
 $\text{liftSub-id } \perp = \text{ref}$
 $\text{liftSub-id } (\uparrow x) = \text{ref}$

$\text{liftSub-comp}_1 : \forall \{P \ Q \ R : \text{FinSet}\} \ (\sigma : \text{Sub } P \ Q) \ (\rho : \text{Rep } Q \ R) \rightarrow$

```

liftSub ( $\rho \bullet_1 \sigma$ )  $\sim$  lift  $\rho \bullet_1$  liftSub  $\sigma$ 
liftSub-comp1  $\sigma \rho \perp$  = ref
liftSub-comp1 {R = R}  $\sigma \rho (\uparrow x)$  = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof (Lift R)) in
   $\because \sigma x < \rho > < \uparrow >$ 
   $\equiv \sigma x < \uparrow \circ \rho >$  [[ repcomp  $\uparrow \rho (\sigma x)$  ]]
   $\equiv \sigma x < \uparrow > < \text{lift } \rho >$  [ repcomp (lift  $\rho$ )  $\uparrow (\sigma x)$  ]
--because lift  $\rho (\uparrow x) = \uparrow (\rho x)$ 

```

```

liftSub-comp2 :  $\forall \{P Q R : \text{FinSet}\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } Q R) (\rho : \text{Rep } P Q) \rightarrow$ 
  liftSub ( $\sigma \circ \rho$ )  $\sim$  liftSub  $\sigma \circ$  lift  $\rho$ 
liftSub-comp2  $\sigma \rho \perp$  = ref
liftSub-comp2  $\sigma \rho (\uparrow x)$  = ref

```

Now define $M[\sigma]$ as follows.

```

infix 60 _[[_]]
_[[_]] :  $\forall \{P Q : \text{FinSet}\} \rightarrow \text{Proof } P \rightarrow \text{Sub } P Q \rightarrow \text{Proof } Q$ 
(var x)    [[  $\sigma$  ]] =  $\sigma x$ 
(app  $\delta \epsilon$ ) [[  $\sigma$  ]] = app ( $\delta$  [[  $\sigma$  ]]) ( $\epsilon$  [[  $\sigma$  ]])
( $\Lambda A \delta$ )   [[  $\sigma$  ]] =  $\Lambda A (\delta$  [[ liftSub  $\sigma$  ]])

subwd :  $\forall \{P Q : \text{FinSet}\} \{\sigma \sigma' : \text{Sub } P Q\} \rightarrow \sigma \sim \sigma' \rightarrow \forall \delta \rightarrow \delta$  [[  $\sigma$  ]]  $\equiv \delta$  [[  $\sigma'$  ]]
subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  (var x) =  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  x
subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  (app  $\delta \epsilon$ ) = wd2 app (subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$   $\delta$ ) (subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$   $\epsilon$ )
subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  ( $\Lambda A \delta$ ) = wd ( $\Lambda A$ ) (subwd (liftSub-wd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$ )  $\delta$ )

```

This interacts with our previous operations in a good way:

Lemma 2. 1. $M[\text{id}_Q] \equiv M$

2. $M[\rho \bullet \sigma] \equiv \delta[\sigma]\{\rho\}$

3. $M[\sigma \circ \rho] \equiv \delta < \rho > [\sigma]$

```

subid :  $\forall \{Q : \text{FinSet}\} (\delta : \text{Proof } Q) \rightarrow \delta$  [[ idSub Q ]]  $\equiv \delta$ 
subid (var x) = ref
subid (app  $\delta \epsilon$ ) = wd2 app (subid  $\delta$ ) (subid  $\epsilon$ )
subid {Q} ( $\Lambda A \delta$ ) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof Q) in
   $\because \Lambda A (\delta$  [[ liftSub (idSub Q) ]])
   $\equiv \Lambda A (\delta$  [[ idSub (Lift Q) ]]) [ wd ( $\Lambda A$ ) (subwd liftSub-id  $\delta$ ) ]
   $\equiv \Lambda A \delta$  [ wd ( $\Lambda A$ ) (subid  $\delta$ ) ]

```

```

rep-sub :  $\forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{R\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } P Q) (\rho : \text{Rep } Q R) (\delta : \text{Proof } P) \rightarrow \delta$  [[  $\sigma$  ]]  $< \rho > \equiv \delta$  [[
rep-sub  $\sigma \rho$  (var x) = ref
rep-sub  $\sigma \rho$  (app  $\delta \epsilon$ ) = wd2 app (rep-sub  $\sigma \rho \delta$ ) (rep-sub  $\sigma \rho \epsilon$ )
rep-sub {R = R}  $\sigma \rho (\Lambda A \delta)$  = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof R) in
   $\because \Lambda A ((\delta$  [[ liftSub  $\sigma$  ]])  $< \text{lift } \rho >$ )
   $\equiv \Lambda A (\delta$  [[ lift  $\rho \bullet_1$  liftSub  $\sigma$  ]]) [ wd ( $\Lambda A$ ) (rep-sub (liftSub  $\sigma$ ) (lift  $\rho$ )  $\delta$ ) ]

```

$$\equiv \Lambda A (\delta \llbracket \text{liftSub } (\rho \bullet_1 \sigma) \rrbracket) \quad \llbracket \text{wd } (\Lambda A) (\text{subwd } (\text{liftSub-comp}_1 \sigma \rho) \delta) \rrbracket$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{sub-rep} &: \forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{R\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } Q \ R) (\rho : \text{Rep } P \ Q) \delta \rightarrow \delta < \rho > \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \equiv \delta \llbracket \sigma \circ \rho \rrbracket \\
\text{sub-rep } \sigma \ \rho \ (\text{var } x) &= \text{ref} \\
\text{sub-rep } \sigma \ \rho \ (\text{app } \delta \ \epsilon) &= \text{wd2 app (sub-rep } \sigma \ \rho \ \delta) (\text{sub-rep } \sigma \ \rho \ \epsilon) \\
\text{sub-rep } \{R = R\} \sigma \ \rho \ (\Lambda A \ \delta) &= \text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof R) in} \\
&\quad \therefore \Lambda A ((\delta < \text{lift } \rho >) \llbracket \text{liftSub } \sigma \rrbracket) \\
&\quad \equiv \Lambda A (\delta \llbracket \text{liftSub } \sigma \circ \text{lift } \rho \rrbracket) \quad [\text{wd } (\Lambda A) (\text{sub-rep } (\text{liftSub } \sigma) (\text{lift } \rho) \ \delta)] \\
&\quad \equiv \Lambda A (\delta \llbracket \text{liftSub } (\sigma \circ \rho) \rrbracket) \quad [[\text{wd } (\Lambda A) (\text{subwd } (\text{liftSub-comp}_2 \ \sigma \ \rho) \ \delta)]]
\end{aligned}$$

We define the composition of two substitutions, as follows.

```

infix 75 _•_
_•_ : ∀ {P Q R : FinSet} → Sub Q R → Sub P Q → Sub P R
(σ • ρ) x = ρ x [[ σ ]]

```

Lemma 3. *Let $\sigma : Q \Rightarrow R$ and $\rho : P \Rightarrow Q$.*

1. $(\sigma \bullet \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \bullet (\rho + 1)$
2. $M[\sigma \bullet \rho] \equiv \delta[\rho][\sigma]$

```

liftSub-comp :  $\forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{R\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } Q \ R) (\rho : \text{Sub } P \ Q) \rightarrow$ 
  liftSub  $(\sigma \bullet \rho) \sim \text{liftSub } \sigma \bullet \text{liftSub } \rho$ 
liftSub-comp  $\sigma \ \rho \ \perp = \text{ref}$ 
liftSub-comp  $\sigma \ \rho \ (\uparrow x) = \text{trans } (\text{rep-sub } \sigma \ \uparrow (\rho \ x)) \ (\text{sym } (\text{sub-rep } (\text{liftSub } \sigma) \ \uparrow (\rho \ x)))$ 

subcomp :  $\forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{R\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } Q \ R) (\rho : \text{Sub } P \ Q) \ \delta \rightarrow \delta \llbracket \sigma \bullet \rho \rrbracket \equiv \delta \llbracket \rho \rrbracket \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$ 
subcomp  $\sigma \ \rho \ (\text{var } x) = \text{ref}$ 
subcomp  $\sigma \ \rho \ (\text{app } \delta \ \epsilon) = \text{wd2 app } (\text{subcomp } \sigma \ \rho \ \delta) \ (\text{subcomp } \sigma \ \rho \ \epsilon)$ 
subcomp  $\sigma \ \rho \ (\wedge A \ \delta) = \text{wd } (\wedge A) \ (\text{trans } (\text{subwd } (\text{liftSub-comp } \sigma \ \rho) \ \delta) \ (\text{subcomp } (\text{liftSub } \sigma \ \rho) \ \delta))$ 

```

Lemma 4. *The finite sets and substitutions form a category under this composition.*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{assoc} &: \forall \{P \ Q \ R \ X\} \ \{\rho : \text{Sub } R \ X\} \ \{\sigma : \text{Sub } Q \ R\} \ \{\tau : \text{Sub } P \ Q\} \rightarrow \\ &\quad \rho \bullet (\sigma \bullet \tau) \sim (\rho \bullet \sigma) \bullet \tau \\ \text{assoc } \{P\} \ \{Q\} \ \{R\} \ \{X\} \ \{\rho\} \ \{\sigma\} \ \{\tau\} \ x &= \text{sym } (\text{subcomp } \rho \ \sigma \ (\tau \ x)) \end{aligned}$$
$$\begin{aligned} \text{subunit1} &: \forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } P \ Q\} \rightarrow \text{idSub } Q \bullet \sigma \sim \sigma \\ \text{subunit1 } \{P\} \{Q\} \{\sigma\} \ x &= \text{subid } (\sigma \ x) \end{aligned}$$

```
subunitr : ∀ {P} {Q} {σ : Sub P Q} → σ • idSub P ~ σ
subunitr _ = ref
```

-- The second monad law

$$\text{rep-is-sub} : \forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{\rho : \text{El } P \rightarrow \text{El } Q\} \delta \rightarrow \delta < \rho > \equiv \delta \llbracket \text{var} \circ \rho \rrbracket$$

```

rep-is-sub (var x) = ref
rep-is-sub (app δ ε) = wd2 app (rep-is-sub δ) (rep-is-sub ε)
rep-is-sub {Q = Q} {ρ} (Λ A δ) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof Q) in
  ∴ Λ A (δ < lift ρ >)
  ≡ Λ A (δ [ var ∘ lift ρ ]) [ wd (Λ A) (rep-is-sub δ) ]
  ≡ Λ A (δ [ liftSub var ∘ lift ρ ]) [[ wd (Λ A) (subwd (λ x → liftSub-id (lift ρ x)) δ) ]
  ≡ Λ A (δ [ liftSub (var ∘ ρ) ]) [[ wd (Λ A) (subwd (liftSub-comp₂ var ρ) δ) ]]
--wd (Λ A) (trans (rep-is-sub δ) (subwd {!!} δ))

propof : ∀ {P} → El P → PContext P → Prp
propof ⊥ (⊥ , ϕ) = ϕ
propof (↑ p) (Γ , ⊥) = propof p Γ

liftSub-var' : ∀ {P} {Q} (ρ : El P → El Q) → liftSub (var ∘ ρ) ∼ var ∘ lift ρ
liftSub-var' ρ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-var' ρ (↑ x) = ref

botsub : ∀ {Q} → Proof Q → Sub (Lift Q) Q
botsub δ ⊥ = δ
botsub _ (↑ x) = var x

sub-botsub : ∀ {P} {Q} (σ : Sub P Q) (δ : Proof P) (x : El (Lift P)) →
  botsub δ x [ σ ] ≡ liftSub σ x [ botsub (δ [ σ ]) ]
sub-botsub σ δ ⊥ = ref
sub-botsub σ δ (↑ x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof _) in
  ∴ σ x
  ≡ σ x [ idSub _ ] [ subid (σ x) ]
  ≡ σ x < ↑ > [ botsub (δ [ σ ]) ] [ sub-rep (botsub (δ [ σ ])) ↑ (σ x) ]

rep-botsub : ∀ {P} {Q} (ρ : El P → El Q) (δ : Proof P) (x : El (Lift P)) →
  botsub δ x < ρ > ≡ botsub (δ < ρ >) (lift ρ x)
rep-botsub ρ δ x = trans (rep-is-sub (botsub δ x))
  (trans (sub-botsub (var ∘ ρ) δ x) (trans (subwd (λ x₁ → wd (λ y → botsub y x₁) (sym (
    wd (λ x → x [ botsub (δ < ρ >)] (liftSub-var' ρ x))))
--TODO Inline this?

subbot : ∀ {Q} → Proof (Lift Q) → Proof Q → Proof Q
subbot δ ε = δ [ botsub ε ]

```

We write $\delta \simeq N$ iff the terms M and N are β -convertible, and similarly for proofs.

```

data _→_ : ∀ {Q} → Proof Q → Proof Q → Set where
  β : ∀ {Q} A (δ : Proof (Lift Q)) ε → app (Λ A δ) ε → subbot δ ε
  ref : ∀ {Q} {δ : Proof Q} → δ → δ
  →trans : ∀ {Q} {δ ∈ P : Proof Q} → δ → ε → ε → P → δ → P

```


$\text{app} : \forall \{Q\} \{\delta \ \delta' \ \epsilon \ \epsilon' : \text{Proof } Q\} \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \delta' \rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon' \rightarrow \text{app } \delta \ \epsilon \rightarrow \text{app } \delta' \ \epsilon'$
 $\xi : \forall \{Q\} \{\delta \ \epsilon : \text{Proof } (\text{Lift } Q)\} \{\phi\} \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow \Lambda \ \phi \ \delta \rightarrow \Lambda \ \phi \ \epsilon$

$\text{repred} : \forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{\rho : \text{El } P \rightarrow \text{El } Q\} \{\delta \ \epsilon : \text{Proof } P\} \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow \delta < \rho > \rightarrow \epsilon < \rho >$
 $\text{repred } \{P\} \{Q\} \{\rho\} (\beta \ A \ \delta \ \epsilon) = \text{subst } (\lambda \ x \rightarrow \text{app } (\Lambda \ A \ (\delta < \text{lift } \rho >)) (\epsilon < \rho >) \rightarrow x) (\beta \ A \ \delta \ \epsilon)$
 $\text{repred ref} = \text{ref}$
 $\text{repred } (\rightarrow\text{trans } M \rightarrow\epsilon \ N \rightarrow P) = \rightarrow\text{trans } (\text{repred } M \rightarrow\epsilon) (\text{repred } N \rightarrow P)$
 $\text{repred } (\text{app } M \rightarrow\epsilon \ M' \rightarrow N') = \text{app } (\text{repred } M \rightarrow\epsilon) (\text{repred } M' \rightarrow N')$
 $\text{repred } (\xi \ M \rightarrow\epsilon) = \xi (\text{repred } M \rightarrow\epsilon)$

$\text{liftSub-red} : \forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{\rho \ \sigma : \text{Sub } P \ Q\} \rightarrow (\forall x \rightarrow \rho \ x \rightarrow \sigma \ x) \rightarrow (\forall x \rightarrow \text{liftSub } \rho \ x \rightarrow \sigma \ x)$
 $\text{liftSub-red } \rho \rightarrow\sigma \ \perp = \text{ref}$
 $\text{liftSub-red } \rho \rightarrow\sigma \ (\uparrow x) = \text{repred } (\rho \rightarrow\sigma \ x)$

$\text{subred} : \forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{\rho \ \sigma : \text{Sub } P \ Q\} (\delta : \text{Proof } P) \rightarrow (\forall x \rightarrow \rho \ x \rightarrow \sigma \ x) \rightarrow \delta \llbracket \rho \rrbracket \rightarrow \delta \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$
 $\text{subred } (\text{var } x) \ \rho \rightarrow\sigma = \rho \rightarrow\sigma \ x$
 $\text{subred } (\text{app } \delta \ \epsilon) \ \rho \rightarrow\sigma = \text{app } (\text{subred } \delta \ \rho \rightarrow\sigma) (\text{subred } \epsilon \ \rho \rightarrow\sigma)$
 $\text{subred } (\Lambda \ \phi \ \delta) \ \rho \rightarrow\sigma = \xi (\text{subred } \delta (\text{liftSub-red } \rho \rightarrow\sigma))$

$\text{subsub} : \forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{R\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } Q \ R) (\rho : \text{Sub } P \ Q) \delta \rightarrow \delta \llbracket \rho \rrbracket \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \equiv \delta \llbracket \sigma \bullet \rho \rrbracket$
 $\text{subsub } \sigma \ \rho (\text{var } x) = \text{ref}$
 $\text{subsub } \sigma \ \rho (\text{app } \delta \ \epsilon) = \text{wd2 app } (\text{subsub } \sigma \ \rho \ \delta) (\text{subsub } \sigma \ \rho \ \epsilon)$
 $\text{subsub } \sigma \ \rho (\Lambda \ A \ \delta) = \text{wd } (\Lambda \ A) (\text{trans } (\text{subsub } (\text{liftSub } \sigma) (\text{liftSub } \rho) \ \delta) (\text{subwd } (\lambda \ x \rightarrow \text{sym } (\text{liftSub-comp } \sigma \ \rho \ x)) \ \delta))$

$\text{subredr} : \forall \{P\} \{Q\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } P \ Q\} \{\delta \ \epsilon : \text{Proof } P\} \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow \delta \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \rightarrow \epsilon \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$
 $\text{subredr } \{P\} \{Q\} \{\sigma\} (\beta \ A \ \delta \ \epsilon) = \text{subst } (\lambda \ x \rightarrow \text{app } (\Lambda \ A \ (\delta \llbracket \text{liftSub } \sigma \rrbracket)) (\epsilon \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket) \rightarrow x) (\beta \ A \ \delta \ \epsilon)$
 $(\text{sym } (\text{trans } (\text{subsub } (\text{botsub } (\epsilon \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket)) (\text{liftSub } \sigma) \ \delta) (\text{subwd } (\lambda \ x \rightarrow \text{sym } (\text{sub-botsub } \sigma \ \rho \ x)) \ \delta)))$
 $\text{subredr ref} = \text{ref}$
 $\text{subredr } (\rightarrow\text{trans } M \rightarrow\epsilon \ N \rightarrow P) = \rightarrow\text{trans } (\text{subredr } M \rightarrow\epsilon) (\text{subredr } N \rightarrow P)$
 $\text{subredr } (\text{app } M \rightarrow\epsilon \ M' \rightarrow N') = \text{app } (\text{subredr } M \rightarrow\epsilon) (\text{subredr } M' \rightarrow N')$
 $\text{subredr } (\xi \ \delta \rightarrow\delta') = \xi (\text{subredr } \delta \rightarrow\delta')$

$\text{data } _ \simeq _ : \forall \{Q\} \rightarrow \text{Proof } Q \rightarrow \text{Proof } Q \rightarrow \text{Set}_1 \text{ where}$
 $\beta : \forall \{Q\} \{A\} \{\delta : \text{Proof } (\text{Lift } Q)\} \{\epsilon\} \rightarrow \text{app } (\Lambda \ A \ \delta) \ \epsilon \simeq \text{subbot } \delta \ \epsilon$
 $\text{ref} : \forall \{Q\} \{\delta : \text{Proof } Q\} \rightarrow \delta \simeq \delta$
 $\simeq\text{sym} : \forall \{Q\} \{\delta \ \epsilon : \text{Proof } Q\} \rightarrow \delta \simeq \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon \simeq \delta$
 $\simeq\text{trans} : \forall \{Q\} \{\delta \ \epsilon \ P : \text{Proof } Q\} \rightarrow \delta \simeq \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon \simeq P \rightarrow \delta \simeq P$
 $\text{app} : \forall \{Q\} \{\delta \ M' \ \epsilon \ N' : \text{Proof } Q\} \rightarrow \delta \simeq M' \rightarrow \epsilon \simeq N' \rightarrow \text{app } \delta \ \epsilon \simeq \text{app } M' \ N'$
 $\Lambda : \forall \{Q\} \{\delta \ \epsilon : \text{Proof } (\text{Lift } Q)\} \{A\} \rightarrow \delta \simeq \epsilon \rightarrow \Lambda \ A \ \delta \simeq \Lambda \ A \ \epsilon$

The *strongly normalizable* terms are defined inductively as follows.

$\text{data } \text{SN } \{Q\} : \text{Proof } Q \rightarrow \text{Set}_1 \text{ where}$
 $\text{SNI} : \forall \{\delta\} \rightarrow (\forall \epsilon \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow \text{SN } \epsilon) \rightarrow \text{SN } \delta$

Lemma 5. 1. If $\delta \epsilon \in \text{SN}$ then $\delta \in \text{SN}$ and $\epsilon \in \text{SN}$.

2. If $\delta[x := N] \in SN$ then $\delta \in SN$.
3. If $\delta \in SN$ and $\delta \triangleright N$ then $\epsilon \in SN$.
4. If $\delta[x := N]\vec{P} \in SN$ and $\epsilon \in SN$ then $(\lambda x \delta)\epsilon\vec{P} \in SN$.

$SN_{\text{appl}} : \forall \{Q\} \{\delta \epsilon : \text{Proof } Q\} \rightarrow SN (\text{app } \delta \epsilon) \rightarrow SN \delta$
 $SN_{\text{appl}} \{Q\} \{\delta\} \{\epsilon\} (SNI \delta N\text{-is-SN}) = SNI (\lambda P \delta \triangleright P \rightarrow SN_{\text{appl}} (\delta N\text{-is-SN} (\text{app } P \epsilon) (\text{app } \delta \triangleright P)))$

$SN_{\text{appr}} : \forall \{Q\} \{\delta \epsilon : \text{Proof } Q\} \rightarrow SN (\text{app } \delta \epsilon) \rightarrow SN \epsilon$
 $SN_{\text{appr}} \{Q\} \{\delta\} \{\epsilon\} (SNI \delta N\text{-is-SN}) = SNI (\lambda P N \triangleright P \rightarrow SN_{\text{appr}} (\delta N\text{-is-SN} (\text{app } \delta P) (\text{app } \text{ref } P)))$

$SN_{\text{sub}} : \forall \{Q\} \{\delta : \text{Proof } (\text{Lift } Q)\} \{\epsilon\} \rightarrow SN (\text{subbot } \delta \epsilon) \rightarrow SN \delta$
 $SN_{\text{sub}} \{Q\} \{\delta\} \{\epsilon\} (SNI \delta N\text{-is-SN}) = SNI (\lambda P \delta \triangleright P \rightarrow SN_{\text{sub}} (\delta N\text{-is-SN} (P \ll \text{botsub } \epsilon \gg) (\text{subbot } \delta P)))$

The rules of deduction of the system are as follows.

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi} (p : \phi \in \Gamma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \delta \epsilon : \psi} \quad \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : \phi$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, p : \phi \vdash \delta : \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda p : \phi. \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi}$$

`data _⊢_::_ : ∀ {P} → PContext P → Proof P → Prp → Set1 where`
`var : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {p} → Γ ⊢ var p :: propof p Γ`
`app : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {δ} {ε} {φ} {ψ} → Γ ⊢ δ :: φ ⇒ ψ → Γ ⊢ ε :: φ → Γ ⊢ app δ ε :: φ ⇒ ψ`
`Λ : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {φ} {δ} {ψ} → Γ , φ ⊢ δ :: ψ → Γ ⊢ Λ φ δ :: φ ⇒ ψ`

`module PHOPL where`
`open import Prelims`

4 Predicative Higher-Order Propositional Logic

Fix sets of *proof variables* and *term variables*.

The syntax of the system is given by the following grammar.

Proof	$\delta ::= p \mid \delta\delta \mid \lambda p : \phi. \delta$
Term	$M, \phi ::= x \mid \perp \mid MM \mid \phi \rightarrow \phi \mid \lambda x : A. M$
Type	$A ::= \Omega \mid A \rightarrow A$
Term Context	$\Gamma ::= \langle \rangle \mid \Gamma, x : A$
Proof Context	$\Delta ::= \langle \rangle \mid \Delta, p : \phi$
Judgement	$\mathcal{J} ::= \Gamma \text{ valid} \mid \Gamma \vdash M : A \mid \Gamma, \Delta \text{ valid} \mid \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \delta : \phi$

where p ranges over proof variables and x ranges over term variables. The variable p is bound within δ in the proof $\lambda p : \phi.\delta$, and the variable x is bound within M in the term $\lambda x : A.M$. We identify proofs and terms up to α -conversion.

In the implementation, we write $\mathbf{Term}(V)$ for the set of all terms with free variables a subset of V , where $V : \mathbf{FinSet}$.

```

infix 80 _=>_
data Type : Set where
   $\Omega$  : Type
  _=>_ : Type → Type → Type

--Context V P is the set of all contexts whose domain consists of the term variables in V
infix 80 _,-_
data TContext : FinSet → Set where
   $\langle \rangle$  : TContext  $\emptyset$ 
  _,-_ :  $\forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{TContext } V \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{TContext } (\text{Lift } V)$ 

--Term V is the set of all terms M with  $\text{FV}(M) \subseteq V$ 
data Term : FinSet → Set where
  var :  $\forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{El } V \rightarrow \text{Term } V$ 
   $\perp$  :  $\forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{Term } V$ 
  app :  $\forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{Term } V \rightarrow \text{Term } V \rightarrow \text{Term } V$ 
   $\Lambda$  :  $\forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Term } (\text{Lift } V) \rightarrow \text{Term } V$ 
  _=>_ :  $\forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{Term } V \rightarrow \text{Term } V \rightarrow \text{Term } V$ 

data PContext (V : FinSet) : FinSet → Set where
   $\langle \rangle$  : PContext V  $\emptyset$ 
  _,-_ :  $\forall \{P\} \rightarrow \text{PContext } V P \rightarrow \text{Term } V \rightarrow \text{PContext } V (\text{Lift } P)$ 

--Proof V P is the set of all proofs with term variables among V and proof variables among P
data Proof (V : FinSet) : FinSet → Set1 where
  var :  $\forall \{P\} \rightarrow \text{El } P \rightarrow \text{Proof } V P$ 
  app :  $\forall \{P\} \rightarrow \text{Proof } V P \rightarrow \text{Proof } V P \rightarrow \text{Proof } V P$ 
   $\Lambda$  :  $\forall \{P\} \rightarrow \text{Term } V \rightarrow \text{Proof } V (\text{Lift } P) \rightarrow \text{Proof } V P$ 

```

Let $U, V : \mathbf{FinSet}$. A *replacement* from U to V is just a function $U \rightarrow V$. Given a term $M : \mathbf{Term}(U)$ and a replacement $\rho : U \rightarrow V$, we write $M\{\rho\} : \mathbf{Term}(V)$ for the result of replacing each variable x in M with $\rho(x)$.

```

infix 60 _<_>
_<_> :  $\forall \{U V\} \rightarrow \text{Term } U \rightarrow \text{Rep } U V \rightarrow \text{Term } V$ 
(var x) <  $\rho$  > = var ( $\rho$  x)
 $\perp$  <  $\rho$  > =  $\perp$ 
(app M N) <  $\rho$  > = app (M <  $\rho$  >) (N <  $\rho$  >)
( $\Lambda$  A M) <  $\rho$  > =  $\Lambda$  A (M < lift  $\rho$  >)
( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) <  $\rho$  > = ( $\phi$  <  $\rho$  >)  $\Rightarrow$  ( $\psi$  <  $\rho$  >)

```

With this as the action on arrows, **Term**() becomes a functor **FinSet** \rightarrow **Set**.

```

repwd :  $\forall \{U V : \text{FinSet}\} \{ \rho \rho' : \text{El } U \rightarrow \text{El } V \} \rightarrow \rho \sim \rho' \rightarrow \forall M \rightarrow M < \rho > \equiv M < \rho' >$ 
repwd  $\rho\text{-is-}\rho'$  (var x) = wd var ( $\rho\text{-is-}\rho'$  x)
repwd  $\rho\text{-is-}\rho'$   $\perp$  = ref
repwd  $\rho\text{-is-}\rho'$  (app M N) = wd2 app (repwd  $\rho\text{-is-}\rho'$  M) (repwd  $\rho\text{-is-}\rho'$  N)
repwd  $\rho\text{-is-}\rho'$  ( $\wedge$  A M) = wd ( $\wedge$  A) (repwd (liftwd  $\rho\text{-is-}\rho'$ ) M)
repwd  $\rho\text{-is-}\rho'$  ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) = wd2  $\_ \Rightarrow \_$  (repwd  $\rho\text{-is-}\rho'$   $\phi$ ) (repwd  $\rho\text{-is-}\rho'$   $\psi$ )

```

```

repid :  $\forall \{V : \text{FinSet}\} M \rightarrow M < \text{id } (\text{El } V) > \equiv M$ 
repid (var x) = ref
repid  $\perp$  = ref
repid (app M N) = wd2 app (repid M) (repid N)
repid ( $\wedge$  A M) = wd ( $\wedge$  A) (trans (repwd liftid M) (repid M))
repid ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) = wd2  $\_ \Rightarrow \_$  (repid  $\phi$ ) (repid  $\psi$ )

```

```

repcomp :  $\forall \{U V W : \text{FinSet}\} (\sigma : \text{El } V \rightarrow \text{El } W) (\rho : \text{El } U \rightarrow \text{El } V) M \rightarrow M < \sigma \circ \rho > \equiv M$ 
repcomp  $\rho \sigma$  (var x) = ref
repcomp  $\rho \sigma \perp$  = ref
repcomp  $\rho \sigma$  (app M N) = wd2 app (repcomp  $\rho \sigma$  M) (repcomp  $\rho \sigma$  N)
repcomp  $\rho \sigma$  ( $\wedge$  A M) = wd ( $\wedge$  A) (trans (repwd liftcomp M) (repcomp (lift  $\rho$ ) (lift  $\sigma$ ) M))
repcomp  $\rho \sigma$  ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) = wd2  $\_ \Rightarrow \_$  (repcomp  $\rho \sigma$   $\phi$ ) (repcomp  $\rho \sigma$   $\psi$ )

```

A *substitution* σ from U to V , $\sigma : U \Rightarrow V$, is a function $\sigma : U \rightarrow \mathbf{Term}(V)$.

```

Sub : FinSet  $\rightarrow$  FinSet  $\rightarrow$  Set
Sub U V = El U  $\rightarrow$  Term V

```

The identity substitution $\text{id}_V : V \Rightarrow V$ is defined as follows.

```

idSub :  $\forall V \rightarrow \text{Sub } V V$ 
idSub  $\_$  = var

```

Given $\sigma : U \Rightarrow V$ and $M : \mathbf{Term}(U)$, we want to define $M[\sigma] : \mathbf{Term}(V)$, the result of applying the substitution σ to M . Only after this will we be able to define the composition of two substitutions. However, there is some work we need to do before we are able to do this.

We can define the composition of a substitution and a replacement as follows.

```

infix 75  $\_ \bullet_1 \_$ 
 $\_ \bullet_1 \_$  :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \rightarrow \text{Rep } V W \rightarrow \text{Sub } U V \rightarrow \text{Sub } U W$ 
( $\rho \bullet_1 \sigma$ ) u =  $\sigma$  u <  $\rho$  >

```

(On the other side, given $\rho : U \rightarrow V$ and $\sigma : V \Rightarrow W$, the composition is just function composition $\sigma \circ \rho : U \Rightarrow W$.)

Given a substitution $\sigma : U \Rightarrow V$, define the substitution $\sigma + 1 : U + 1 \Rightarrow V + 1$ as follows.

```

liftSub : ∀ {U} {V} → Sub U V → Sub (Lift U) (Lift V)
liftSub _ ⊥ = var ⊥
liftSub σ (↑ x) = σ x < ↑ >

```

```

liftSub-wd : ∀ {U V} {σ σ' : Sub U V} → σ ~ σ' → liftSub σ ~ liftSub σ'
liftSub-wd σ-is-σ' ⊥ = ref
liftSub-wd σ-is-σ' (↑ x) = wd (λ x → x < ↑ >) (σ-is-σ' x)

```

Lemma 6. *The operations ff_1 and $(-)+1$ satisfies the following properties.*

1. $\text{id}_V + 1 = \text{id}_{V+1}$
2. For $\rho : V \rightarrow W$ and $\sigma : U \Rightarrow V$, we have $(\rho \bullet \sigma) + 1 = (\rho + 1) \bullet (\sigma + 1)$.
3. For $\sigma : V \Rightarrow W$ and $\rho : U \rightarrow V$, we have $(\sigma \circ \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \circ (\rho + 1)$.

```

liftSub-id : ∀ {V : FinSet} → liftSub (idSub V) ~ idSub (Lift V)
liftSub-id ⊥ = ref
liftSub-id (↑ x) = ref

```

```

liftSub-comp1 : ∀ {U V W : FinSet} (σ : Sub U V) (ρ : Rep V W) →
  liftSub (ρ •1 σ) ~ lift ρ •1 liftSub σ
liftSub-comp1 σ ρ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-comp1 {W = W} σ ρ (↑ x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term (Lift W)) in
  ∴ σ x < ρ > < ↑ >
  ≡ σ x < ↑ ∘ ρ > [[ repcomp ↑ ρ (σ x) ]]
  ≡ σ x < ↑ > < lift ρ > [ repcomp (lift ρ) ↑ (σ x) ]
--because lift ρ (↑ x) = ↑ (ρ x)

```

```

liftSub-comp2 : ∀ {U V W : FinSet} (σ : Sub V W) (ρ : Rep U V) →
  liftSub (σ ∘ ρ) ~ liftSub σ ∘ lift ρ
liftSub-comp2 σ ρ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-comp2 σ ρ (↑ x) = ref

```

Now define $M[\sigma]$ as follows.

--Term is a monad with unit var and the following multiplication

```

infix 60 _[[_]]
_[[_]] : ∀ {U V : FinSet} → Term U → Sub U V → Term V
(var x)  [[ σ ]] = σ x
⊥        [[ σ ]] = ⊥
(app M N) [[ σ ]] = app (M [[ σ ]]) (N [[ σ ]])
(Λ A M)   [[ σ ]] = Λ A (M [[ liftSub σ ]])
(φ ⇒ ψ)  [[ σ ]] = (φ [[ σ ]]) ⇒ (ψ [[ σ ]])

```

```

subwd : ∀ {U V : FinSet} {σ σ' : Sub U V} → σ ~ σ' → ∀ M → M [[ σ ]] ≡ M [[ σ' ]]
subwd σ-is-σ' (var x) = σ-is-σ' x
subwd σ-is-σ' ⊥ = ref

```

```

subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  (app M N) = wd2 app (subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  M) (subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  N)
subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  ( $\Lambda$  A M) = wd ( $\Lambda$  A) (subwd (liftSub-wd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$ ) M)
subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) = wd2  $\_ \Rightarrow \_$  (subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$   $\phi$ ) (subwd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$   $\psi$ )

```

This interacts with our previous operations in a good way:

Lemma 7. 1. $M[\text{id}_V] \equiv M$

2. $M[\rho \bullet \sigma] \equiv M[\sigma]\{\rho\}$

3. $M[\sigma \circ \rho] \equiv M < \rho > [\sigma]$

```

subid :  $\forall \{V : \text{FinSet}\} (M : \text{Term } V) \rightarrow M \llbracket \text{idSub } V \rrbracket \equiv M$ 
subid (var x) = ref
subid  $\perp$  = ref
subid (app M N) = wd2 app (subid M) (subid N)
subid  $\{V\} (\Lambda A M) = \text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Term } V) \text{ in}$ 
   $\because \Lambda A (M \llbracket \text{liftSub (idSub } V) \rrbracket)$ 
   $\equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket \text{idSub (Lift } V) \rrbracket)$  [ wd ( $\Lambda A$ ) (subwd liftSub-id M) ]
   $\equiv \Lambda A M$  [ wd ( $\Lambda A$ ) (subid M) ]
subid ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) = wd2  $\_ \Rightarrow \_$  (subid  $\phi$ ) (subid  $\psi$ )

```

```

rep-sub :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } U V) (\rho : \text{Rep } V W) (M : \text{Term } U) \rightarrow M \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket < \rho > \equiv M \llbracket \sigma \circ \rho \rrbracket$ 
rep-sub  $\sigma \rho$  (var x) = ref
rep-sub  $\sigma \rho \perp$  = ref
rep-sub  $\sigma \rho$  (app M N) = wd2 app (rep-sub  $\sigma \rho$  M) (rep-sub  $\sigma \rho$  N)
rep-sub  $\{W = W\} \sigma \rho (\Lambda A M) = \text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Term } W) \text{ in}$ 
   $\because \Lambda A ((M \llbracket \text{liftSub } \sigma \rrbracket) < \text{lift } \rho >)$ 
   $\equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket \text{lift } \rho \bullet_1 \text{liftSub } \sigma \rrbracket)$  [ wd ( $\Lambda A$ ) (rep-sub (liftSub  $\sigma$ ) (lift  $\rho$ ) M) ]
   $\equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket \text{liftSub } (\rho \bullet_1 \sigma) \rrbracket)$  [[ wd ( $\Lambda A$ ) (subwd (liftSub-comp1  $\sigma \rho$ ) M) ]]
rep-sub  $\sigma \rho$  ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) = wd2  $\_ \Rightarrow \_$  (rep-sub  $\sigma \rho$   $\phi$ ) (rep-sub  $\sigma \rho$   $\psi$ )

```

```

sub-rep :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } V W) (\rho : \text{Rep } U V) M \rightarrow M < \rho > \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \equiv M \llbracket \sigma \circ \rho \rrbracket$ 
sub-rep  $\sigma \rho$  (var x) = ref
sub-rep  $\sigma \rho \perp$  = ref
sub-rep  $\sigma \rho$  (app M N) = wd2 app (sub-rep  $\sigma \rho$  M) (sub-rep  $\sigma \rho$  N)
sub-rep  $\{W = W\} \sigma \rho (\Lambda A M) = \text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Term } W) \text{ in}$ 
   $\because \Lambda A ((M < \text{lift } \rho >) \llbracket \text{liftSub } \sigma \rrbracket)$ 
   $\equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket \text{liftSub } \sigma \circ \text{lift } \rho \rrbracket)$  [ wd ( $\Lambda A$ ) (sub-rep (liftSub  $\sigma$ ) (lift  $\rho$ ) M) ]
   $\equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket \text{liftSub } (\sigma \circ \rho) \rrbracket)$  [[ wd ( $\Lambda A$ ) (subwd (liftSub-comp2  $\sigma \rho$ ) M) ]]
sub-rep  $\sigma \rho$  ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) = wd2  $\_ \Rightarrow \_$  (sub-rep  $\sigma \rho$   $\phi$ ) (sub-rep  $\sigma \rho$   $\psi$ )

```

We define the composition of two substitutions, as follows.

```

infix 75  $\_ \bullet \_$ 
 $\_ \bullet \_ : \forall \{U V W : \text{FinSet}\} \rightarrow \text{Sub } V W \rightarrow \text{Sub } U V \rightarrow \text{Sub } U W$ 
( $\sigma \bullet \rho$ ) x =  $\rho$  x  $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$ 

```

Lemma 8. *Let $\sigma : V \Rightarrow W$ and $\rho : U \Rightarrow V$.*

$$1. (\sigma \bullet \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \bullet (\rho + 1)$$

$$2. M[\sigma \bullet \rho] \equiv M[\rho][\sigma]$$

```

liftSub-comp :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } V \ W) (\rho : \text{Sub } U \ V) \rightarrow$ 
  liftSub  $(\sigma \bullet \rho) \sim \text{liftSub } \sigma \bullet \text{liftSub } \rho$ 
liftSub-comp  $\sigma \ \rho \ \perp = \text{ref}$ 
liftSub-comp  $\sigma \ \rho \ (\uparrow x) = \text{trans } (\text{rep-sub } \sigma \ \uparrow (\rho \ x)) \ (\text{sym } (\text{sub-rep } (\text{liftSub } \sigma) \ \uparrow (\rho \ x)))$ 

subcomp :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } V \ W) (\rho : \text{Sub } U \ V) \ M \rightarrow M \llbracket \sigma \bullet \rho \rrbracket \equiv M \llbracket \rho \rrbracket \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$ 
subcomp  $\sigma \ \rho \ (\text{var } x) = \text{ref}$ 
subcomp  $\sigma \ \rho \ \perp = \text{ref}$ 
subcomp  $\sigma \ \rho \ (\text{app } M \ N) = \text{wd2 app } (\text{subcomp } \sigma \ \rho \ M) (\text{subcomp } \sigma \ \rho \ N)$ 
subcomp  $\sigma \ \rho \ (\Lambda A \ M) = \text{wd } (\Lambda A) \ (\text{trans } (\text{subwd } (\text{liftSub-comp } \sigma \ \rho) \ M) \ (\text{subcomp } (\text{liftSub } \sigma \ \rho) \ M))$ 
subcomp  $\sigma \ \rho \ (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = \text{wd2 } \_ \Rightarrow \_ \ (\text{subcomp } \sigma \ \rho \ \phi) (\text{subcomp } \sigma \ \rho \ \psi)$ 

```

Lemma 9. *The finite sets and substitutions form a category under this composition.*

```

assoc :  $\forall \{U \ V \ W \ X\} \{\rho : \text{Sub } W \ X\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } V \ W\} \{\tau : \text{Sub } U \ V\} \rightarrow$ 
   $\rho \bullet (\sigma \bullet \tau) \sim (\rho \bullet \sigma) \bullet \tau$ 
assoc  $\{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{X\} \{\rho\} \{\sigma\} \{\tau\} \ x = \text{sym } (\text{subcomp } \rho \ \sigma \ (\tau \ x))$ 

subunitl :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } U \ V\} \rightarrow \text{idSub } V \bullet \sigma \sim \sigma$ 
subunitl  $\{U\} \{V\} \{\sigma\} \ x = \text{subid } (\sigma \ x)$ 

subunitr :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } U \ V\} \rightarrow \sigma \bullet \text{idSub } U \sim \sigma$ 
subunitr  $\_ = \text{ref}$ 

```

-- The second monad law

```

rep-is-sub :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{\rho : \text{El } U \rightarrow \text{El } V\} \ M \rightarrow M < \rho > \equiv M \llbracket \text{var } \circ \rho \rrbracket$ 
rep-is-sub  $(\text{var } x) = \text{ref}$ 
rep-is-sub  $\perp = \text{ref}$ 
rep-is-sub  $(\text{app } M \ N) = \text{wd2 app } (\text{rep-is-sub } M) (\text{rep-is-sub } N)$ 
rep-is-sub  $\{V = V\} \{\rho\} (\Lambda A \ M) = \text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Term V) in}$ 
   $\because \Lambda A \ (M < \text{lift } \rho >)$ 
   $\equiv \Lambda A \ (M \llbracket \text{var } \circ \text{lift } \rho \rrbracket) \quad [\text{wd } (\Lambda A) \ (\text{rep-is-sub } M)]$ 
   $\equiv \Lambda A \ (M \llbracket \text{liftSub var } \circ \text{lift } \rho \rrbracket) \quad [[\text{wd } (\Lambda A) \ (\text{subwd } (\lambda x \rightarrow \text{liftSub-id } (\text{lift } \rho \ x)) \ M))$ 
   $\equiv \Lambda A \ (M \llbracket \text{liftSub } (\text{var } \circ \rho) \rrbracket) \quad [[\text{wd } (\Lambda A) \ (\text{subwd } (\text{liftSub-comp}_2 \ \text{var } \rho) \ M)]]$ 
--wd  $(\Lambda A) \ (\text{trans } (\text{rep-is-sub } M) \ (\text{subwd } \{!!\} \ M))$ 
rep-is-sub  $(\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = \text{wd2 } \_ \Rightarrow \_ \ (\text{rep-is-sub } \phi) (\text{rep-is-sub } \psi)$ 

```

```

typeof :  $\forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{El } V \rightarrow \text{TContext } V \rightarrow \text{Type}$ 
typeof  $\perp \ (\_ , A) = A$ 

```

```

typeof (↑ x) (Γ , _) = typeof x Γ

propof : ∀ {V} {P} → El P → PContext V P → Term V
propof ⊥ (_ , ϕ) = ϕ
propof (↑ p) (Γ , _) = propof p Γ

liftSub-var' : ∀ {U} {V} (ρ : El U → El V) → liftSub (var ∘ ρ) ~ var ∘ lift ρ
liftSub-var' ρ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-var' ρ (↑ x) = ref

botsub : ∀ {V} → Term V → Sub (Lift V) V
botsub M ⊥ = M
botsub _ (↑ x) = var x

sub-botsub : ∀ {U} {V} (σ : Sub U V) (M : Term U) (x : El (Lift U)) →
  botsub M x [[ σ ]] ≡ liftSub σ x [[ botsub (M [[ σ ]]) ]]
sub-botsub σ M ⊥ = ref
sub-botsub σ M (↑ x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term _) in
  ∴ σ x
  ≡ σ x [[ idSub _ ]] [[ subid (σ x) ]]
  ≡ σ x < ↑ > [[ botsub (M [[ σ ]]) ]] [[ sub-rep (botsub (M [[ σ ]]) ↑ (σ x) )]]

rep-botsub : ∀ {U} {V} (ρ : El U → El V) (M : Term U) (x : El (Lift U)) →
  botsub M x < ρ > ≡ botsub (M < ρ >) (lift ρ x)
rep-botsub ρ M x = trans (rep-is-sub (botsub M x))
  (trans (sub-botsub (var ∘ ρ) M x) (trans (subwd (λ x₁ → wd (λ y → botsub y x₁)) (sym (
    wd (λ x → x [[ botsub (M < ρ >)]]) (liftSub-var' ρ x))))))
--TODO Inline this?

subbot : ∀ {V} → Term (Lift V) → Term V → Term V
subbot M N = M [[ botsub N ]]

We write  $M \simeq N$  iff the terms  $M$  and  $N$  are  $\beta$ -convertible, and similarly for
proofs.

data _→_ : ∀ {V} → Term V → Term V → Set where
  β : ∀ {V} A (M : Term (Lift V)) N → app (Λ A M) N → subbot M N
  ref : ∀ {V} {M : Term V} → M → M
  →trans : ∀ {V} {M N P : Term V} → M → N → N → P → M → P
  app : ∀ {V} {M M' N N' : Term V} → M → M' → N → N' → app M N → app M' N'
  Λ : ∀ {V} {M N : Term (Lift V)} {A} → M → N → Λ A M → Λ A N
  imp : ∀ {V} {ϕ ϕ' ψ ψ' : Term V} → ϕ → ϕ' → ψ → ψ' → ϕ ⇒ ψ → ϕ' ⇒ ψ'

repre : ∀ {U} {V} {ρ : El U → El V} {M N : Term U} → M → N → M < ρ > → N < ρ >
repre {U} {V} {ρ} (β A M N) = subst (λ x → app (Λ A (M < lift ρ >)) (N < ρ > → x)) (
repre ref = ref

```



```

repred ( $\rightarrow$ trans  $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow P$ ) =  $\rightarrow$ trans (repred  $M \rightarrow N$ ) (repred  $N \rightarrow P$ )
repred (app  $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow M' \rightarrow N'$ ) = app (repred  $M \rightarrow N$ ) (repred  $M' \rightarrow N'$ )
repred ( $\Lambda M \rightarrow N$ ) =  $\Lambda$  (repred  $M \rightarrow N$ )
repred (imp  $\phi \rightarrow \phi' \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \psi'$ ) = imp (repred  $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ ) (repred  $\psi \rightarrow \psi'$ )

```

```

liftSub-red :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{\rho \sigma : \text{Sub } U \ V\} \rightarrow (\forall x \rightarrow \rho x \rightarrow \sigma x) \rightarrow (\forall x \rightarrow \text{liftSub } \rho x \rightarrow$ 
liftSub-red  $\rho \rightarrow \sigma \perp = \text{ref}$ 
liftSub-red  $\rho \rightarrow \sigma (\uparrow x) = \text{repred } (\rho \rightarrow \sigma x)$ 

```

```

subred :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{\rho \sigma : \text{Sub } U \ V\} (M : \text{Term } U) \rightarrow (\forall x \rightarrow \rho x \rightarrow \sigma x) \rightarrow M \llbracket \rho \rrbracket \rightarrow M \llbracket$ 
subred (var x)  $\rho \rightarrow \sigma = \rho \rightarrow \sigma x$ 
subred  $\perp \rho \rightarrow \sigma = \text{ref}$ 
subred (app M N)  $\rho \rightarrow \sigma = \text{app } (\text{subred } M \rho \rightarrow \sigma) (\text{subred } N \rho \rightarrow \sigma)$ 
subred ( $\Lambda A M$ )  $\rho \rightarrow \sigma = \Lambda (\text{subred } M (\text{liftSub-red } \rho \rightarrow \sigma))$ 
subred ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ )  $\rho \rightarrow \sigma = \text{imp } (\text{subred } \phi \rho \rightarrow \sigma) (\text{subred } \psi \rho \rightarrow \sigma)$ 

```

```

subsub :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } V \ W) (\rho : \text{Sub } U \ V) M \rightarrow M \llbracket \rho \rrbracket \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \equiv M \llbracket \sigma \bullet \rho \rrbracket$ 
subsub  $\sigma \rho (\text{var } x) = \text{ref}$ 
subsub  $\sigma \rho \perp = \text{ref}$ 
subsub  $\sigma \rho (\text{app } M N) = \text{wd2 app } (\text{subsub } \sigma \rho M) (\text{subsub } \sigma \rho N)$ 
subsub  $\sigma \rho (\Lambda A M) = \text{wd } (\Lambda A) (\text{trans } (\text{subsub } (\text{liftSub } \sigma) (\text{liftSub } \rho)) M)$ 
  (subwd ( $\lambda x \rightarrow \text{sym } (\text{liftSub-comp } \sigma \rho x)$ ) M))
subsub  $\sigma \rho (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = \text{wd2 } \_ \Rightarrow \_ (\text{subsub } \sigma \rho \phi) (\text{subsub } \sigma \rho \psi)$ 

```

```

subredr :  $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } U \ V\} \{M N : \text{Term } U\} \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \rightarrow N \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$ 
subredr  $\{U\} \{V\} \{\sigma\} (\beta A M N) = \text{subst } (\lambda x \rightarrow \text{app } (\Lambda A (M \llbracket \text{liftSub } \sigma \rrbracket)) (N \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket) \rightarrow x$ 
  (sym (trans (subsub (botsub (N  $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$ )) (liftSub  $\sigma$ ) M) (subwd ( $\lambda x \rightarrow \text{sym } (\text{sub-botsub } \sigma$ 
subredr ref = ref
subredr ( $\rightarrow$ trans  $M \rightarrow N \rightarrow P$ ) =  $\rightarrow$ trans (subredr  $M \rightarrow N$ ) (subredr  $N \rightarrow P$ )
subredr (app  $M \rightarrow M' \rightarrow N \rightarrow N'$ ) = app (subredr  $M \rightarrow M'$ ) (subredr  $N \rightarrow N'$ )
subredr ( $\Lambda M \rightarrow N$ ) =  $\Lambda$  (subredr  $M \rightarrow N$ )
subredr (imp  $\phi \rightarrow \phi' \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \psi'$ ) = imp (subredr  $\phi \rightarrow \phi'$ ) (subredr  $\psi \rightarrow \psi'$ )

```

```

data  $\simeq$  :  $\forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{Term } V \rightarrow \text{Term } V \rightarrow \text{Set}_1$  where
   $\beta$  :  $\forall \{V\} \{A\} \{M : \text{Term } (\text{Lift } V)\} \{N\} \rightarrow \text{app } (\Lambda A M) N \simeq \text{subbot } M N$ 
  ref :  $\forall \{V\} \{M : \text{Term } V\} \rightarrow M \simeq M$ 
   $\simeq$ sym :  $\forall \{V\} \{M N : \text{Term } V\} \rightarrow M \simeq N \rightarrow N \simeq M$ 
   $\simeq$ trans :  $\forall \{V\} \{M N P : \text{Term } V\} \rightarrow M \simeq N \rightarrow N \simeq P \rightarrow M \simeq P$ 
  app :  $\forall \{V\} \{M M' N N' : \text{Term } V\} \rightarrow M \simeq M' \rightarrow N \simeq N' \rightarrow \text{app } M N \simeq \text{app } M' N'$ 
   $\Lambda$  :  $\forall \{V\} \{M N : \text{Term } (\text{Lift } V)\} \{A\} \rightarrow M \simeq N \rightarrow \Lambda A M \simeq \Lambda A N$ 
  imp :  $\forall \{V\} \{\phi \phi' \psi \psi' : \text{Term } V\} \rightarrow \phi \simeq \phi' \rightarrow \psi \simeq \psi' \rightarrow \phi \Rightarrow \psi \simeq \phi' \Rightarrow \psi'$ 

```

The *strongly normalizable* terms are defined inductively as follows.

```

data SN  $\{V\} : \text{Term } V \rightarrow \text{Set}_1$  where
  SNI :  $\forall \{M\} \rightarrow (\forall N \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{SN } N) \rightarrow \text{SN } M$ 

```

Lemma 10. 1. If $MN \in SN$ then $M \in SN$ and $N \in SN$.

2. If $M[x := N] \in SN$ then $M \in SN$.

3. If $M \in SN$ and $M \triangleright N$ then $N \in SN$.

4. If $M[x := N]\vec{P} \in SN$ and $N \in SN$ then $(\lambda x M)N\vec{P} \in SN$.

$\text{SNapp1} : \forall \{V\} \{M N : \text{Term } V\} \rightarrow \text{SN} (\text{app } M N) \rightarrow \text{SN } M$

$\text{SNapp1 } \{V\} \{M\} \{N\} (\text{SNI } MN\text{-is-SN}) = \text{SNI } (\lambda P M \triangleright P \rightarrow \text{SNapp1 } (MN\text{-is-SN } (\text{app } P N) (\text{app } M \triangleright P))$

$\text{SNappr} : \forall \{V\} \{M N : \text{Term } V\} \rightarrow \text{SN} (\text{app } M N) \rightarrow \text{SN } M$

$\text{SNappr } \{V\} \{M\} \{N\} (\text{SNI } MN\text{-is-SN}) = \text{SNI } (\lambda P M \triangleright P \rightarrow \text{SNappr } (MN\text{-is-SN } (\text{app } M P) (\text{app } \text{ref } P))$

$\text{SNsub} : \forall \{V\} \{M : \text{Term } (\text{Lift } V)\} \{N\} \rightarrow \text{SN} (\text{subbot } M N) \rightarrow \text{SN } M$

$\text{SNsub } \{V\} \{M\} \{N\} (\text{SNI } MN\text{-is-SN}) = \text{SNI } (\lambda P M \triangleright P \rightarrow \text{SNsub } (MN\text{-is-SN } (P \llbracket \text{botsub } N \rrbracket)) (\text{subbot } M P))$

The rules of deduction of the system are as follows.

$$\begin{array}{c}
\frac{}{\langle \rangle \text{ valid}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma, x : A \text{ valid}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega}{\Gamma, p : \phi \text{ valid}} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash x : A} (x : A \in \Gamma) \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi} (p : \phi \in \Gamma) \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash \perp : \Omega} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega}{\Gamma \vdash \phi \rightarrow \psi : \Omega} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash MN : B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : \phi}{\Gamma \vdash \delta \epsilon : \psi} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. M : A \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma, p : \phi \vdash \delta : \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda p : \phi. \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi} \\
\\
\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega}{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \psi} (\phi \simeq \psi)
\end{array}$$

mutual

data $\text{Tvalid} : \forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{TContext } V \rightarrow \text{Set}_1$ **where**

$\langle \rangle : \text{Tvalid } \langle \rangle$

$_,_ : \forall \{V\} \{ \Gamma : \text{TContext } V \} \rightarrow \text{Tvalid } \Gamma \rightarrow \forall A \rightarrow \text{Tvalid } (\Gamma , A)$

data $_ \vdash _ : \forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{TContext } V \rightarrow \text{Term } V \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Set}_1$ **where**

var : $\forall \{V\} \{ \Gamma : \text{TContext } V \} \{x\} \rightarrow \text{Tvalid } \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \text{var } x : \text{typeof } x \Gamma$

\perp : $\forall \{V\} \{ \Gamma : \text{TContext } V \} \rightarrow \text{Tvalid } \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \perp : \Omega$

imp : $\forall \{V\} \{ \Gamma : \text{TContext } V \} \{ \phi \} \{ \psi \} \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \phi \Rightarrow \psi : \Omega$

app : $\forall \{V\} \{ \Gamma : \text{TContext } V \} \{M\} \{N\} \{A\} \{B\} \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash M : A \Rightarrow B \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash N : A \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \text{app } M N : B$

```

 $\Lambda : \forall \{V\} \{\Gamma : \text{TContext } V\} \{A\} \{M\} \{B\} \rightarrow \Gamma , A \vdash M : B \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \Lambda A M : A \Rightarrow B$ 

data Pvalid :  $\forall \{V\} \{P\} \rightarrow \text{TContext } V \rightarrow \text{PContext } V P \rightarrow \text{Set}_1$  where
   $\langle \rangle : \forall \{V\} \{\Gamma : \text{TContext } V\} \rightarrow \text{Tvalid } \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Pvalid } \Gamma \langle \rangle$ 
   $\_,\_ : \forall \{V\} \{P\} \{\Gamma : \text{TContext } V\} \{\Delta : \text{PContext } V P\} \{\phi : \text{Term } V\} \rightarrow \text{Pvalid } \Gamma \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \phi$ 

data  $\_,\_ , \vdash\_ ::\_ : \forall \{V\} \{P\} \rightarrow \text{TContext } V \rightarrow \text{PContext } V P \rightarrow \text{Proof } V P \rightarrow \text{Term } V \rightarrow \text{Set}_1$  where
  var :  $\forall \{V\} \{P\} \{\Gamma : \text{TContext } V\} \{\Delta : \text{PContext } V P\} \{p\} \rightarrow \text{Pvalid } \Gamma \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma , \Delta \vdash \text{var } p$ 
  app :  $\forall \{V\} \{P\} \{\Gamma : \text{TContext } V\} \{\Delta : \text{PContext } V P\} \{\delta\} \{\epsilon\} \{\phi\} \{\psi\} \rightarrow \Gamma , \Delta \vdash \delta :: \psi$ 
   $\Lambda : \forall \{V\} \{P\} \{\Gamma : \text{TContext } V\} \{\Delta : \text{PContext } V P\} \{\phi\} \{\delta\} \{\psi\} \rightarrow \Gamma , \Delta , \phi \vdash \delta :: \psi$ 
  conv :  $\forall \{V\} \{P\} \{\Gamma : \text{TContext } V\} \{\Delta : \text{PContext } V P\} \{\delta\} \{\phi\} \{\psi\} \rightarrow \Gamma , \Delta \vdash \delta :: \phi$ 

```