# Type Theories with Computation Rules for the Univalence Axiom

Robin Adams

January 20, 2016

module main where

### 1 Preliminaries

module Prelims where

#### 1.1 Functions

We write  $id_A$  for the identity function on the type A, and  $g \circ f$  for the composition of functions g and f.

```
id : \forall (A : Set) \rightarrow A \rightarrow A id A x = x infix 75 _o_ _ _ . \forall {A B C : Set} \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A \rightarrow C (g \circ f) x = g (f x)
```

#### 1.2 Equality

We use the inductively defined equality = on every datatype.

```
infix 50 _=_ data _=_ {A : Set} (a : A) : A \rightarrow Set where ref : a \equiv a subst : \forall {A : Set} (P : A \rightarrow Set) {a} {b} \rightarrow a \equiv b \rightarrow P a \rightarrow P b subst P ref Pa = Pa sym : \forall {A : Set} {a b : A} \rightarrow a \equiv b \rightarrow b \equiv a sym ref = ref trans : \forall {A : Set} {a b c : A} \rightarrow a \equiv b \rightarrow b \equiv c \rightarrow a \equiv c
```

```
trans ref ref = ref
wd : \forall {A B : Set} (f : A \rightarrow B) {a a' : A} \rightarrow a \equiv a' \rightarrow f a \equiv f a'
wd _ ref = ref
wd2 _ ref ref = ref
module Equational-Reasoning (A : Set) where
   infix 2 ∵_
   \because_ : \forall (a : A) \rightarrow a \equiv a
   ∵ _ = ref
  infix 1 _{\equiv}[]
   _=_[_] : \forall {a b : A} \rightarrow a \equiv b \rightarrow \forall c \rightarrow b \equiv c \rightarrow a \equiv c
   \delta \equiv c [ \delta ' ] = trans \delta \delta '
  infix 1 _{\equiv}[[_]]
   \_\equiv \_[[\_]] \; : \; \forall \; \{a \; b \; : \; A\} \; \rightarrow \; a \; \equiv \; b \; \rightarrow \; \forall \; \; c \; \rightarrow \; c \; \equiv \; b \; \rightarrow \; a \; \equiv \; c
   \delta \equiv c \ [[\ \delta'\ ]] = trans \ \delta \ (sym \ \delta')
    We also write f \sim g iff the functions f and g are extensionally equal, that
is, f(x) = g(x) for all x.
infix 50 _{\sim}
_~_ : \forall {A B : Set} \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow Set
```

#### 2 **Datatypes**

 $\mathtt{f}\,\sim\,\mathtt{g}\,\mathtt{=}\,\forall\,\mathtt{x}\,\rightarrow\,\mathtt{f}\,\mathtt{x}\,\equiv\,\mathtt{g}\,\mathtt{x}$ 

We introduce a universe FinSet of (names of) finite sets. There is an empty set  $\emptyset$ : **FinSet**, and for every A: **FinSet**, the type A+1: **FinSet** has one more element:

$$A+1=\{\bot\}\uplus\{\uparrow a:a\in A\}$$

```
data FinSet : Set where
   \emptyset : FinSet
   \texttt{Lift} \; : \; \texttt{FinSet} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{FinSet}
\mathtt{data}\ \mathtt{El}\ :\ \mathtt{FinSet}\ \to\ \mathtt{Set}\ \mathtt{where}
    \bot : \forall {V} \rightarrow El (Lift V)
   \uparrow : \forall {V} \rightarrow El V \rightarrow El (Lift V)
```

A replacement from U to V is simply a function  $U \to V$ .

```
\mathtt{Rep} \; : \; \mathtt{FinSet} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{FinSet} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{Set}
\texttt{Rep U V = El U} \, \rightarrow \, \texttt{El V}
```

```
Given f: A \to B, define f+1: A+1 \to B+1 by
                                           (f+1)(\perp) = \perp
                                          (f+1)(\uparrow x) = \uparrow f(x)
lift : \forall {U} {V} \rightarrow Rep U V \rightarrow Rep (Lift U) (Lift V)
lift _ \bot = \bot
lift f (\uparrow x) = \uparrow (f x)
liftwd : \forall {U} {V} {f g : Rep U V} \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow lift f \sim lift g
liftwd f-is-g \perp = ref
liftwd f-is-g (\uparrow x) = wd \uparrow (f-is-g x)
     This makes (-) + 1 into a functor FinSet \rightarrow FinSet; that is,
                                       id_V + 1 = id_{V+1}
                                   (g \circ f) + 1 = (g+1) \circ (f+1)
liftid : \forall {V} \rightarrow lift (id (El V)) \sim id (El (Lift V))
liftid \perp = ref
liftid (\uparrow _) = ref
\texttt{liftcomp} \; : \; \forall \; \{\texttt{U}\} \; \{\texttt{W}\} \; \{\texttt{g} \; : \; \texttt{Rep} \; \texttt{V} \; \texttt{W}\} \; \{\texttt{f} \; : \; \texttt{Rep} \; \texttt{U} \; \texttt{V}\} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{lift} \; (\texttt{g} \; \circ \; \texttt{f}) \; \sim \; \texttt{lift} \; \texttt{g} \; \circ \; \texttt{lift} \; \texttt{f}
liftcomp \perp = ref
liftcomp (\uparrow _) = ref
open import Prelims
module PL where
open import Prelims
```

## 3 Propositional Logic

Fix sets of proof variables and term variables.

The syntax of the system is given by the following grammar.

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{Proof} & \delta & ::= & p \mid \delta\delta \mid \lambda p : \phi.\delta \\ \text{Proposition} & \phi & ::= & \bot \mid \phi \to \phi \\ \text{Proof Context} & \Delta & ::= & \langle \rangle \mid \Delta, p : \phi \\ \text{Judgement} & \mathcal{J} & ::= & \Delta \vdash \delta : \phi \end{array}$ 

where p ranges over proof variables and x ranges over term variables. The variable p is bound within  $\delta$  in the proof  $\lambda p: \phi.\delta$ , and the variable x is bound within M in the term  $\lambda x: A.M$ . We identify proofs and terms up to  $\alpha$ -conversion.

We write **Proof** (P) for the set of all proofs  $\delta$  with  $FV(\delta) \subseteq V$ .

```
data Prp : Set where
   \perp : Prp
   \_\Rightarrow\_ : Prp \to Prp \to Prp
infix 80 _,_
data PContext : FinSet 
ightarrow Set where
   \langle \rangle: PContext \emptyset
   _,_ : \forall {P} \rightarrow PContext P \rightarrow Prp \rightarrow PContext (Lift P)
\texttt{propof} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{P}\} \;\to\; \texttt{El} \;\; \texttt{P} \;\to\; \texttt{PContext} \;\; \texttt{P} \;\to\; \texttt{Prp}
propof \bot (_ , \phi) = \phi
propof (\uparrow p) (\Gamma , _) = propof p \Gamma
\mathtt{data}\ \mathtt{Proof}\ :\ \mathtt{FinSet}\ \to\ \mathtt{Set}\ \mathtt{where}
   \texttt{var} \; : \; \forall \; \{\texttt{P}\} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{El} \; \; \texttt{P} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Proof} \; \; \texttt{P}
   \mathtt{app} \; : \; \forall \; \{\mathtt{P}\} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{Proof} \; \, \mathtt{P} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{Proof} \; \, \mathtt{P}
   \Lambda \;:\; \forall \; \{\mathtt{P}\} \;\to\; \mathtt{Prp} \;\to\; \mathtt{Proof} \;\; (\mathtt{Lift} \;\; \mathtt{P}) \;\to\; \mathtt{Proof} \;\; \mathtt{P}
     Let P, Q : \mathbf{FinSet}. A replacement from P to Q is just a function P \to Q.
Given a term M: \mathbf{Proof}(P) and a replacement \rho: P \to Q, we write M\{\rho\}:
Proof (Q) for the result of replacing each variable x in M with \rho(x).
infix 60 _<_>
_<_> : \forall {P Q} \rightarrow Proof P \rightarrow Rep P Q \rightarrow Proof Q
var p < \rho > = var (\rho p)
app \delta \epsilon < \rho > = app (\delta < \rho >) (\epsilon < \rho >)
\Lambda \phi \delta < \rho > = \Lambda \phi (\delta < \text{lift } \rho >)
     With this as the action on arrows, Proof () becomes a functor FinSet \rightarrow
Set.
repwd : \forall {P Q : FinSet} {\rho \rho' : El P \rightarrow El Q} \rightarrow \rho \sim \rho' \rightarrow \forall \delta \rightarrow \delta < \rho > \equiv \delta < \rho' >
repwd \rho-is-\rho' (var p) = wd var (\rho-is-\rho' p)
repwd \rho-is-\rho' (app \delta \epsilon) = wd2 app (repwd \rho-is-\rho' \delta) (repwd \rho-is-\rho' \epsilon)
repwd \rho-is-\rho' (\Lambda \phi \delta) = wd (\Lambda \phi) (repwd (liftwd \rho-is-\rho') \delta)
repid : \forall {Q : FinSet} \delta \rightarrow \delta < id (El Q) > \equiv \delta
repid (var _) = ref
repid (app \delta \epsilon) = wd2 app (repid \delta) (repid \epsilon)
repid \{Q\} (\Lambda \phi \delta) = wd (\Lambda \phi) (let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof (Lift Q)) in
   :: \delta < \text{lift (id (El Q))} >
   \equiv \delta < id (El (Lift Q)) > [ repwd liftid \delta ]
   \equiv \delta
                                                   [repid \delta])
repcomp : \forall {P Q R : FinSet} (\rho : El Q \rightarrow El R) (\sigma : El P \rightarrow El Q) M \rightarrow M < \rho \circ \sigma > \equiv M
repcomp \rho \sigma (var _) = ref
```

infix 75  $\rightarrow$ 

```
repcomp \rho \sigma (app \delta \epsilon) = wd2 app (repcomp \rho \sigma \delta) (repcomp \rho \sigma \epsilon) repcomp {R = R} \rho \sigma (\Lambda \phi \delta) = wd (\Lambda \phi) (let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof (Lift R)) \cdot\cdot\cdot \delta < lift (\rho \circ \sigma) > \equiv \delta < lift \rho \circ lift \sigma > [ repwd liftcomp \delta ] \equiv (\delta < lift \sigma >) < lift \rho > [ repcomp _{-} _{-} \delta ])
```

A substitution  $\sigma$  from P to Q,  $\sigma: P \Rightarrow Q$ , is a function  $\sigma: P \to \mathbf{Proof}(Q)$ .

```
\begin{array}{c} \mathtt{Sub} \; : \; \mathtt{FinSet} \; \to \; \mathtt{FinSet} \; \to \; \mathtt{Set} \\ \mathtt{Sub} \; \mathsf{P} \; \mathsf{Q} \; = \; \mathtt{El} \; \mathsf{P} \; \to \; \mathsf{Proof} \; \mathsf{Q} \\ \end{array}
```

The identity substitution  $id_Q: Q \Rightarrow Q$  is defined as follows.

```
\begin{array}{lll} {\tt idSub} \; : \; \forall \; {\tt Q} \; \rightarrow \; {\tt Sub} \; {\tt Q} \; {\tt Q} \\ {\tt idSub} \; \_ \; = \; {\tt var} \end{array}
```

Given  $\sigma: P \Rightarrow Q$  and  $M: \mathbf{Proof}(P)$ , we want to define  $M[\sigma]: \mathbf{Proof}(Q)$ , the result of applying the substitution  $\sigma$  to M. Only after this will we be able to define the composition of two substitutions. However, there is some work we need to do before we are able to do this.

We can define the composition of a substitution and a replacement as follows.

```
infix 75 _•1_ _•1_ : \forall {P} {Q} {R} \rightarrow Rep Q R \rightarrow Sub P Q \rightarrow Sub P R (\rho •1 \sigma) u = \sigma u < \rho >
```

(On the other side, given  $\rho: P \to Q$  and  $\sigma: Q \Rightarrow R$ , the composition is just function composition  $\sigma \circ \rho: P \Rightarrow R$ .)

Given a substitution  $\sigma: P \Rightarrow Q$ , define the substitution  $\sigma+1: P+1 \Rightarrow Q+1$  as follows.

```
liftSub : \forall {P} {Q} \rightarrow Sub P Q \rightarrow Sub (Lift P) (Lift Q) liftSub \_\bot = \text{var} \bot liftSub \sigma (\uparrow x) = \sigma x < \uparrow > liftSub-wd : \forall {P Q} {\sigma \sigma' : Sub P Q} \rightarrow \sigma \sim \sigma' \rightarrow liftSub \sigma \sim liftSub \sigma' liftSub-wd \sigma-is-\sigma' \bot = ref liftSub-wd \sigma-is-\sigma' (\uparrow x) = wd (\lambda x \rightarrow x < \uparrow >) (\sigma-is-\sigma' x)
```

**Lemma 1.** The operations  $\bullet$  and (-) + 1 satisfiesd the following properties.

```
1. id_Q + 1 = id_{Q+1}
```

- 2. For  $\rho: Q \to R$  and  $\sigma: P \Rightarrow Q$ , we have  $(\rho \bullet \sigma) + 1 = (\rho + 1) \bullet (\sigma + 1)$ .
- 3. For  $\sigma: Q \Rightarrow R$  and  $\rho: P \to Q$ , we have  $(\sigma \circ \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \circ (\rho + 1)$ .

```
liftSub-id : \forall \{Q : FinSet\} \rightarrow liftSub (idSub Q) \sim idSub (Lift Q)
liftSub-id \perp = ref
liftSub-id (\uparrow x) = ref
liftSub-comp_1 : orall {P Q R : FinSet} (\sigma : Sub P Q) (
ho : Rep Q R) 
ightarrow
    liftSub (\rho •1 \sigma) \sim lift \rho •1 liftSub \sigma
liftSub-comp<sub>1</sub> \sigma \rho \perp = ref
liftSub-comp<sub>1</sub> {R = R} \sigma \rho (\uparrow x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof (Lift R)) in
      :: \sigma \times \langle \rho \rangle \langle \uparrow \rangle
      \equiv \sigma \times \langle \uparrow \circ \rho \rangle
                                                        [[repcomp \uparrow \rho (\sigma x)]]
      \equiv \sigma x < \uparrow > < lift \rho > [ repcomp (lift \rho) \uparrow (\sigma x) ]
--because lift \rho (\uparrow x) = \uparrow (\rho x)
liftSub-comp_2 : orall {P Q R : FinSet} (\sigma : Sub Q R) (
ho : Rep P Q) 
ightarrow
    liftSub (\sigma \circ \rho) \sim liftSub \sigma \circ lift \rho
liftSub-comp<sub>2</sub> \sigma \rho \perp = ref
liftSub-comp<sub>2</sub> \sigma \rho (\uparrow x) = ref
      Now define M[\sigma] as follows.
infix 60 _[_]
 \_[\![\_]\!] \; : \; \forall \; \{ \texttt{P} \; \texttt{Q} \; : \; \texttt{FinSet} \} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Proof} \; \; \texttt{P} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Sub} \; \; \texttt{P} \; \; \texttt{Q} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Proof} \; \; \texttt{Q} 
(\text{var } x) \quad \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket = \sigma \ x
(\operatorname{app} \ \delta \ \epsilon) \ \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket = \operatorname{app} \ (\delta \ \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket) \ (\epsilon \ \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket)
(\Lambda \ \mathsf{A} \ \delta) \qquad [\![ \ \sigma \ ]\!] = \Lambda \ \mathsf{A} \ (\delta \ [\![ \ \mathtt{liftSub} \ \sigma \ ]\!])
\texttt{subwd} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{P} \; \texttt{Q} \;:\; \texttt{FinSet}\} \; \{\sigma \; \sigma' \;:\; \texttt{Sub} \; \texttt{P} \; \texttt{Q}\} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \sim \; \sigma' \; \rightarrow \; \forall \; \delta \; \rightarrow \; \delta \; [\![ \; \sigma \; ]\!] \; \equiv \; \delta \; [\![ \; \sigma' \; ]\!]
subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' (var x) = \sigma-is-\sigma' x
subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' (app \delta \epsilon) = wd2 app (subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' \delta) (subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' \epsilon)
subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' (\Lambda A \delta) = wd (\Lambda A) (subwd (liftSub-wd \sigma-is-\sigma') \delta)
      This interacts with our previous operations in a good way:
Lemma 2.
    1. M[id_O] \equiv M
    2. M[\rho \bullet \sigma] \equiv \delta[\sigma] \{\rho\}
    3. M[\sigma \circ \rho] \equiv \delta < \rho > [\sigma]
subid : \forall {Q : FinSet} (\delta : Proof Q) \rightarrow \delta \llbracket idSub Q \rrbracket \equiv \delta
```

[ wd ( $\Lambda$   $\phi$ ) (subwd liftSub-id  $\delta$ ) ]

[ wd ( $\Lambda$   $\phi$ ) (subid  $\delta$ ) ]

subid  $\{Q\}$   $(\Lambda \phi \delta)$  = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof Q) in

subid (var x) = ref

 $\equiv \Lambda \phi \delta$ 

subid (app  $\delta$   $\epsilon$ ) = wd2 app (subid  $\delta$ ) (subid  $\epsilon$ )

 $\therefore \ \Lambda \ \phi \ (\delta \ [ \ \text{liftSub} \ (\text{idSub} \ Q) \ ] ) \\ \equiv \ \Lambda \ \phi \ (\delta \ [ \ \text{idSub} \ (\text{Lift} \ Q) \ ] )$ 

```
rep-sub : \forall {P} {Q} {R} (\sigma : Sub P Q) (\rho : Rep Q R) (\delta : Proof P) \rightarrow \delta \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket < \rho > \equiv \delta \rrbracket
rep-sub \sigma \rho (var x) = ref
rep-sub \sigma \rho (app \delta \epsilon) = wd2 app (rep-sub \sigma \rho \delta) (rep-sub \sigma \rho \epsilon)
rep-sub {R = R} \sigma \rho (\Lambda \phi \delta) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof R) in
    \therefore \Lambda \phi ((\delta \parallel \text{liftSub } \sigma \parallel) < \text{lift } \rho >)
    \equiv \Lambda \phi \ (\delta \ [ \ \text{liftSub} \ (\rho \bullet_1 \ \sigma) \ ]) \ [[ \ \text{wd} \ (\Lambda \ \phi) \ (\text{subwd} \ (\text{liftSub-comp}_1 \ \sigma \ \rho) \ \delta) \ ]]
\texttt{sub-rep} \,:\, \forall \,\, \{\texttt{P}\} \,\, \{\texttt{Q}\} \,\, \{\texttt{R}\} \,\, (\sigma \,:\, \texttt{Sub} \,\, \texttt{Q} \,\, \texttt{R}) \,\, (\rho \,:\, \texttt{Rep} \,\, \texttt{P} \,\, \texttt{Q}) \,\, \delta \,\rightarrow\, \delta \,\, <\, \rho \,\, >\, \llbracket \,\, \sigma \,\, \rrbracket \,\, \equiv \,\, \delta \,\, \llbracket \,\, \sigma \,\, \circ \,\, \rho \,\, \rrbracket \,\,
sub-rep \sigma \rho (var x) = ref
sub-rep \sigma \rho (app \delta \epsilon) = wd2 app (sub-rep \sigma \rho \delta) (sub-rep \sigma \rho \epsilon)
sub-rep {R = R} \sigma \rho (\Lambda \phi \delta) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof R) in
    \therefore \Lambda \phi ((\delta < \text{lift } \rho >) [ \text{liftSub } \sigma ])
    \equiv \Lambda \ \phi \ (\delta \ [ \ \   liftSub \sigma \circ \   lift 
ho \ [ \  ])
                                                                                 [ wd (\Lambda \phi) (sub-rep (liftSub \sigma) (lift \rho) \delta) ]
    \equiv \Lambda \phi \ (\delta \ [ \ \text{liftSub} \ (\sigma \circ \rho) \ ] )
                                                                                 [[ wd (\Lambda \phi) (subwd (liftSub-comp<sub>2</sub> \sigma \rho) \delta) ]]
      We define the composition of two substitutions, as follows.
infix 75 _•_
\_{\bullet}\_\ :\ \forall\ \{P\ Q\ R\ :\ \texttt{FinSet}\}\ \to\ \texttt{Sub}\ Q\ R\ \to\ \texttt{Sub}\ P\ Q\ \to\ \texttt{Sub}\ P\ R
(\sigma \bullet \rho) \mathbf{x} = \rho \mathbf{x} \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket
Lemma 3. Let \sigma: Q \Rightarrow R and \rho: P \Rightarrow Q.
    1. (\sigma \bullet \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \bullet (\rho + 1)
    2. M[\sigma \bullet \rho] \equiv \delta[\rho][\sigma]
liftSub-comp : \forall {P} {Q} {R} (\sigma : Sub Q R) (\rho : Sub P Q) \rightarrow
    liftSub (\sigma \bullet \rho) \sim \text{liftSub } \sigma \bullet \text{liftSub } \rho
liftSub-comp \sigma \rho \perp = ref
liftSub-comp \sigma \rho (\uparrow x) = trans (rep-sub \sigma \uparrow (\rho x)) (sym (sub-rep (liftSub \sigma) \uparrow (\rho x)))
\texttt{subcomp}: \ \forall \ \{\mathtt{P}\} \ \{\mathtt{Q}\} \ \{\mathtt{R}\} \ (\sigma : \mathtt{Sub} \ \mathtt{Q} \ \mathtt{R}) \ (\rho : \mathtt{Sub} \ \mathtt{P} \ \mathtt{Q}) \ \delta \rightarrow \delta \ \llbracket \ \sigma \bullet \rho \ \rrbracket \equiv \delta \ \llbracket \ \rho \ \rrbracket \ \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket
subcomp \sigma \rho (var x) = ref
subcomp \sigma \rho (app \delta \epsilon) = wd2 app (subcomp \sigma \rho \delta) (subcomp \sigma \rho \epsilon)
subcomp \sigma \rho (\Lambda \phi \delta) = \text{wd} (\Lambda \phi) (trans (subwd (liftSub-comp \sigma \rho) \delta) (subcomp (liftSub \sigma
Lemma 4. The finite sets and substitutions form a category under this compo-
sition.
assoc : \forall {P Q R S} {\rho : Sub R S} {\sigma : Sub Q R} {\tau : Sub P Q} \rightarrow
    \rho \bullet (\sigma \bullet \tau) \sim (\rho \bullet \sigma) \bullet \tau
assoc {P} {Q} {R} {X} {\rho} {\sigma} {\tau} x = sym (subcomp \rho \sigma (\tau x))
\texttt{subunitl} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{P}\} \; \{\texttt{Q}\} \; \{\sigma \;:\; \texttt{Sub} \; \texttt{P} \; \texttt{Q}\} \; \to \; \texttt{idSub} \; \texttt{Q} \; \bullet \; \sigma \; \sim \; \sigma
subunitl \{P\} \{Q\} \{\sigma\} x = subid (\sigma x)
```

```
subunitr : \forall {P} {Q} {\sigma : Sub P Q} \rightarrow \sigma • idSub P \sim \sigma subunitr _ = ref
```

Replacement is a special case of substitution, in the following sense:

 $\delta\{\rho\} \equiv \delta[\rho]$ 

**Lemma 5.** For any replacement  $\rho$ ,

botsub  $\delta \perp = \delta$ 

botsub  $\_$  ( $\uparrow$  x) = var x

```
sub-botsub : \forall {P} {Q} (\sigma : Sub P Q) (\delta : Proof P) (x : El (Lift P)) \rightarrow botsub \delta x [\![ \sigma ]\!] \equiv liftSub \sigma x [\![ botsub (<math>\delta [\![ \sigma ]\!]) ]\!] sub-botsub \sigma \delta \bot = ref sub-botsub \sigma \delta (\uparrow x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof _) in
```

```
rep-botsub : \forall {P} {Q} (\rho : El P \rightarrow El Q) (\delta : Proof P) (x : El (Lift P)) \rightarrow botsub \delta x < \rho > \equiv botsub (\delta < \rho >) (lift \rho x) rep-botsub {P} {Q} \rho \delta x = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof Q) in
```

```
 \begin{array}{l} \vdots \text{ botsub } \delta \text{ x < } \rho \text{ >} \\ \equiv \text{ botsub } \delta \text{ x [ var } \circ \rho \text{ ]} \\ \equiv \text{ liftSub (var } \circ \rho) \text{ x [ botsub (} \delta \text{ [ var } \circ \rho \text{ ]}) \text{ ]} \\ \equiv \text{ liftSub var (lift } \rho \text{ x) [ botsub (} \delta \text{ [ var } \circ \rho \text{ ]}) \text{ ]} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{l} \text{ [ wd (} \lambda \text{ x } \rightarrow \text{ x [ botsub (} \delta \text{ [ var } \circ \rho \text{ ]}) \text{ ]} \\ \end{array}
```

```
\texttt{subbot} \ \delta \ \epsilon \ \texttt{=} \ \delta \ \llbracket \ \texttt{botsub} \ \epsilon \ \rrbracket
```

 $\mathtt{subbot} \;:\; \forall \; \{\mathtt{Q}\} \;\to\; \mathtt{Proof} \;\; (\mathtt{Lift} \;\; \mathtt{Q}) \;\to\; \mathtt{Proof} \;\; \mathtt{Q} \;\to\; \mathtt{Proof} \;\; \mathtt{Q}$ 

We write  $\delta \simeq N$  iff the terms M and N are  $\beta$ -convertible, and similarly for proofs.

```
data \_\twoheadrightarrow\_ : \forall {Q} \to Proof Q \to Proof Q \to Set where
        \beta : \forall {Q} \phi (\delta : Proof (Lift Q)) \epsilon \to app (\Lambda \phi \delta) \epsilon \to subbot \delta \epsilon
        \texttt{ref} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{Q}\} \; \{\delta \;:\; \texttt{Proof} \; \texttt{Q}\} \; \rightarrow \; \delta \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \delta
         	woheadrightarrowtrans : orall {Q} {\delta \epsilon P : Proof Q} 	o \delta 	woheadrightarrow \epsilon 	o \epsilon 	o P 	o \delta 	woheadrightarrow P
         \mathsf{app}\,:\,\forall\,\,\{\mathtt{Q}\}\,\,\{\delta\,\,\delta'\,\,\epsilon\,\,\epsilon'\,:\,\,\mathsf{Proof}\,\,\mathtt{Q}\}\,\to\,\delta\,\,\twoheadrightarrow\,\,\delta'\,\to\,\epsilon\,\,\twoheadrightarrow\,\,\epsilon'\,\to\,\,\mathsf{app}\,\,\delta\,\,\epsilon\,\,\twoheadrightarrow\,\,\mathsf{app}\,\,\delta'\,\,\epsilon'
         \xi : \forall {Q} {\delta \epsilon : Proof (Lift Q)} {\phi} \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow \Lambda \phi \delta \rightarrow \Lambda \phi \epsilon
repred : \forall {P} {Q} {\rho : El P \rightarrow El Q} {\delta \epsilon : Proof P} \rightarrow \delta \rightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow \delta < \rho > \rightarrow \epsilon < \rho >
repred {P} {Q} \{\rho\} (\beta \phi \delta \epsilon) = subst (\lambda x \rightarrow app (\Lambda \phi (\delta < lift <math>\rho > )) (\epsilon < \rho >) \rightarrow x) (
repred ref = ref
repred (\rightarrowtrans M\rightarrow\epsilon N\rightarrowP) = \rightarrowtrans (repred M\rightarrow\epsilon) (repred N\rightarrowP)
repred (app M \rightarrow \epsilon M' \rightarrow N') = app (repred M \rightarrow \epsilon) (repred M' \rightarrow N')
repred (\xi M\rightarrow\epsilon) = \xi (repred M\rightarrow\epsilon)
\texttt{liftSub-red} \; : \; \forall \; \{\texttt{P}\} \; \{\texttt{Q}\} \; \{\rho \; \sigma \; : \; \texttt{Sub} \; \texttt{P} \; \texttt{Q}\} \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \rho \; \texttt{x} \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{liftSub} \; \rho \; \texttt{x} \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{liftSub} \; \rho \; \texttt{x} \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{liftSub} \; \rho \; \texttt{x} \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{liftSub} \; \rho \; \texttt{x} \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{liftSub} \; \rho \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{liftSub} \; \rho \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{liftSub} \; \rho \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{liftSub} \; \rho \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{liftSub} \; \rho \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{liftSub} \; \rho \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{liftSub} \; \rho \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{liftSub} \; \rho \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{liftSub} \; \rho \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \sigma \; \rightarrow
liftSub-red \rho \rightarrow \sigma \perp = ref
liftSub-red \rho \rightarrow \sigma (\(\gamma\) x) = repred (\rho \rightarrow \sigma x)
subred (var x) \rho \rightarrow \sigma = \rho \rightarrow \sigma x
subred (app \delta \epsilon) \rho \!\! \to \!\! \sigma = app (subred \delta \rho \!\! \to \!\! \sigma ) (subred \epsilon \rho \!\! \to \!\! \sigma )
subred (\Lambda \phi \delta) \rho \rightarrow \sigma = \xi (subred \delta (liftSub-red \rho \rightarrow \sigma))
\texttt{subsub} \,:\, \forall \, \, \{\texttt{P}\} \, \, \{\texttt{Q}\} \, \, \{\texttt{R}\} \, \, (\sigma \,:\, \texttt{Sub} \, \, \texttt{Q} \, \, \texttt{R}) \, \, (\rho \,:\, \texttt{Sub} \, \, \texttt{P} \, \, \texttt{Q}) \, \, \delta \, \rightarrow \, \delta \, \, \llbracket \, \, \rho \, \, \rrbracket \, \, \llbracket \, \, \sigma \, \, \rrbracket \, \equiv \, \delta \, \, \llbracket \, \, \sigma \, \bullet \, \rho \, \, \rrbracket
subsub \sigma \rho (var x) = ref
subsub \sigma \rho (app \delta \epsilon) = wd2 app (subsub \sigma \rho \delta) (subsub \sigma \rho \epsilon)
subsub \sigma \rho (\Lambda \phi \delta) = wd (\Lambda \phi) (trans (subsub (liftSub \sigma) (liftSub \rho) \delta)
          (subwd (\lambda x \rightarrow sym (liftSub-comp \sigma \rho x)) \delta))
\texttt{subredr}: \ \forall \ \{\mathtt{P}\} \ \{\mathtt{Q}\} \ \{\sigma: \mathtt{Sub} \ \mathtt{P} \ \mathtt{Q}\} \ \{\delta \ \epsilon: \mathtt{Proof} \ \mathtt{P}\} \ \to \ \delta \ \twoheadrightarrow \ \epsilon \ \to \ \delta \ \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket \ \twoheadrightarrow \ \epsilon \ \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket
subredr \{P\} \{Q\} \{\sigma\} (\beta \phi \delta \epsilon) = subst (\lambda x \rightarrow \text{app } (\Lambda \phi (\delta \parallel \text{liftSub } \sigma \parallel)) (\epsilon \parallel \sigma \parallel) \rightarrow x)
          (sym (trans (subsub (botsub (\epsilon \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket)) (liftSub \sigma) \delta) (subwd (\lambda x 	o sym (sub-botsub \sigma
subredr ref = ref
subredr (\rightarrowtrans M\rightarrow\epsilon N\rightarrowP) = \rightarrowtrans (subredr M\rightarrow\epsilon) (subredr N\rightarrowP)
subredr (app M \rightarrow M', N \rightarrow N') = app (subredr M \rightarrow M') (subredr N \rightarrow N')
subredr (\xi \delta \rightarrow \delta') = \xi (subredr \delta \rightarrow \delta')
data \_\simeq\_ : \forall {Q} \to Proof Q \to Proof Q \to Set_1 where
         \beta: \forall {Q} {\phi} {\delta: Proof (Lift Q)} {\epsilon} \rightarrow app (\Lambda \phi \delta) \epsilon \simeq subbot \delta \epsilon
        \texttt{ref} \;:\; \forall \; \{\mathtt{Q}\} \; \{\delta \;:\; \mathtt{Proof} \; \mathtt{Q}\} \; \rightarrow \; \delta \; \simeq \; \delta
         \simeqsym : \forall {Q} {\delta \epsilon : Proof Q} \rightarrow \delta \simeq \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon \simeq \epsilon
         \simeqtrans : \forall {Q} {\delta \epsilon P : Proof Q} \rightarrow \delta \simeq \epsilon \rightarrow \epsilon \simeq P \rightarrow \delta \simeq P
         {\tt app} \,:\, \forall \,\, \{\tt Q\} \,\, \{\delta \,\, \tt M' \,\, \epsilon \,\, \tt N' \,\,:\,\, {\tt Proof} \,\, {\tt Q}\} \,\to\, \delta \,\simeq\, \tt M' \,\,\to\, \epsilon \,\simeq\, \tt N' \,\,\to\, {\tt app} \,\, \delta \,\, \epsilon \,\simeq\, {\tt app} \,\, \tt M' \,\, N'
         \Lambda : \forall {Q} {\delta \epsilon : Proof (Lift Q)} {\phi} \rightarrow \delta \simeq \epsilon \rightarrow \Lambda \phi \delta \simeq \Lambda \phi \epsilon
```

The *strongly normalizable* terms are defined inductively as follows.

```
data SN {Q} : Proof Q \rightarrow Set_1 where SNI : \forall {\delta} \rightarrow (\forall \epsilon \rightarrow \delta \twoheadrightarrow \epsilon \rightarrow SN \epsilon) \rightarrow SN \delta
```

**Lemma 6.** 1. If  $\delta \epsilon \in SN$  then  $\delta \in SN$  and  $\epsilon \in SN$ .

- 2. If  $\delta[x := N] \in SN$  then  $\delta \in SN$ .
- 3. If  $\delta \in SN$  and  $\delta \triangleright N$  then  $\epsilon \in SN$ .
- 4. If  $\delta[x := N] \vec{P} \in SN$  and  $\epsilon \in SN$  then  $(\lambda x \delta) \epsilon \vec{P} \in SN$ .

SNsub : 
$$\forall$$
 {Q} { $\delta$  : Proof (Lift Q)} { $\epsilon$ }  $\rightarrow$  SN (subbot  $\delta$   $\epsilon$ )  $\rightarrow$  SN  $\delta$  SNsub {Q} { $\delta$ } { $\epsilon$ } (SNI  $\delta$ N-is-SN) = SNI ( $\lambda$  P  $\delta \rhd$ P  $\rightarrow$  SNsub ( $\delta$ N-is-SN (P [ botsub  $\epsilon$  ]) (subr

The rules of deduction of the system are as follows.

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi} \ (p : \phi \in \Gamma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \to \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \delta \epsilon : \psi} \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : \phi$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, p: \phi \vdash \delta: \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda p: \phi. \delta: \phi \rightarrow \psi}$$

```
data _\begin{aligned} \text{data} : \forall \{P\} \to PContext P \to Proof P \to Prp \to Set_1 \text{ where} \\
&var : \forall \{P\} \{\Gamma} : PContext P\} \{p\} \to \Gamma \to \Gamma \Gamma : \text{propof p } \Gamma \\
&app : \forall \{P\} \{\Gamma} : PContext P\} \{\delta\} \{\delta\} \{\delta\} \{\delta\} \{\delta\} \to \Gamma \Gamma \Gamma \Gamma \Gamma \to \Gamma \Gamma
```

module PHOPL where open import Prelims

## 4 Predicative Higher-Order Propositional Logic

Fix sets of proof variables and term variables.

The syntax of the system is given by the following grammar.

```
\delta ::= p \mid \delta \delta \mid \lambda p : \phi.\delta
Proof
Term
                             M, \phi ::= x \mid \bot \mid MM \mid \phi \rightarrow \phi \mid \lambda x : A.M
Type
                                  A ::= \Omega \mid A \to A
Term Context
                                  Γ
                                       ::= \langle \rangle \mid \Gamma, x : A
Proof Context
                                  \Delta ::=
                                                 \langle \rangle \mid \Delta, p : \phi
Judgement
                                                 \Gamma valid | \Gamma \vdash M : A | \Gamma, \Delta valid | \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \delta : \phi
                                  \mathcal{J}
                                         ::=
```

where p ranges over proof variables and x ranges over term variables. The variable p is bound within  $\delta$  in the proof  $\lambda p: \phi.\delta$ , and the variable x is bound within M in the term  $\lambda x: A.M$ . We identify proofs and terms up to  $\alpha$ -conversion.

In the implementation, we write  $\mathbf{Term}(V)$  for the set of all terms with free variables a subset of V, where  $V : \mathbf{FinSet}$ .

```
infix 80 \Rightarrow
data Type : Set where
   \Omega : Type
    \_\Rightarrow\_ : Type \to Type \to Type
--Context V P is the set of all contexts whose domain consists of the term variables in
infix 80 _,_
data TContext : FinSet \rightarrow Set where
    \langle \rangle : TContext \emptyset
   _,_ : \forall {V} \rightarrow TContext V \rightarrow Type \rightarrow TContext (Lift V)
--Term V is the set of all terms M with FV(M) \subseteq V
data Term : FinSet \rightarrow Set where
   {\tt var} \; : \; \forall \; \{{\tt V}\} \; 	o \; {\tt El} \; {\tt V} \; 	o \; {\tt Term} \; {\tt V}
   \bot : \forall {V} \rightarrow Term V
   \mathtt{app} \; : \; \forall \; \{\mathtt{V}\} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{Term} \; \, \mathtt{V} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{Term} \; \, \mathtt{V} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{Term} \; \, \mathtt{V}
   \Lambda \;:\; \forall \;\; \{\mathtt{V}\} \;\to\; \mathtt{Type} \;\to\; \mathtt{Term} \;\; (\mathtt{Lift} \;\; \mathtt{V}) \;\to\; \mathtt{Term} \;\; \mathtt{V}
   \_\Rightarrow\_ : orall {V} 	o Term V 	o Term V
data PContext (V : FinSet) : FinSet \rightarrow Set where
    \langle \rangle : PContext V \emptyset
    _,_ : \forall {P} \rightarrow PContext V P \rightarrow Term V \rightarrow PContext V (Lift P)
--Proof V P is the set of all proofs with term variables among V and proof variables among V
data Proof (V : FinSet) : FinSet \rightarrow Set<sub>1</sub> where
   \mathtt{var} \;:\; \forall \; \{\mathtt{P}\} \;\to\; \mathtt{El} \;\; \mathtt{P} \;\to\; \mathtt{Proof} \;\; \mathtt{V} \;\; \mathtt{P}
   \mathtt{app} \;:\; \forall \; \; \{\mathtt{P}\} \;\to\; \mathtt{Proof} \;\; \mathtt{V} \;\; \mathtt{P} \;\to\; \mathtt{Proof} \;\; \mathtt{V} \;\; \mathtt{P} \;\to\; \mathtt{Proof} \;\; \mathtt{V} \;\; \mathtt{P}
   \Lambda \ : \ \forall \ \{\mathtt{P}\} \ \to \ \mathtt{Term} \ \mathtt{V} \ \to \ \mathtt{Proof} \ \mathtt{V} \ (\mathtt{Lift} \ \mathtt{P}) \ \to \ \mathtt{Proof} \ \mathtt{V} \ \mathtt{P}
     Let U, V : \mathbf{FinSet}. A replacement from U to V is just a function U \to V.
Given a term M : \mathbf{Term}(U) and a replacement \rho : U \to V, we write M\{\rho\}:
```

**Term** (V) for the result of replacing each variable x in M with  $\rho(x)$ .

```
infix 60 _<_>
_<_> : \forall {U V} \rightarrow Term U \rightarrow Rep U V \rightarrow Term V
(\text{var } x) < \rho > = \text{var } (\rho x)
\perp < \rho > = \perp
(app M N) < \rho > = app (M < \rho >) (N < \rho >)
(\Lambda \ A \ M) < \rho > = \Lambda \ A \ (M < lift \rho >)
(\phi \Rightarrow \psi) < \rho > = (\phi < \rho >) \Rightarrow (\psi < \rho >)
    With this as the action on arrows, Term() becomes a functor FinSet \rightarrow
Set.
repwd : \forall {U V : FinSet} {\rho \rho' : El U \rightarrow El V} \rightarrow \rho \sim \rho' \rightarrow \forall M \rightarrow M < \rho > \equiv M < \rho' >
repwd \rho-is-\rho' (var x) = wd var (\rho-is-\rho' x)
repwd \rho-is-\rho' \perp = ref
repwd \rho-is-\rho' (app M N)= wd2 app (repwd \rho-is-\rho' M) (repwd \rho-is-\rho' N)
repwd \rho-is-\rho' (\Lambda A M) = wd (\Lambda A) (repwd (liftwd \rho-is-\rho') M)
repwd \rho-is-\rho' (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = wd2 \_\Rightarrow_ (repwd \rho-is-\rho' \phi) (repwd \rho-is-\rho' \psi)
repid : \forall {V : FinSet} M \rightarrow M < id (El V) > \equiv M
repid (var x) = ref
repid \perp = ref
repid (app M N) = wd2 app (repid M) (repid N)
repid (\Lambda A M) = wd (\Lambda A) (trans (repwd liftid M) (repid M))
repid (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = wd2 \Rightarrow (repid \phi) (repid \psi)
repcomp : \forall {U V W : FinSet} (\sigma : El V \rightarrow El W) (\rho : El U \rightarrow El V) M \rightarrow M < \sigma \circ \rho > \equiv M
repcomp \rho \sigma (var x) = ref
repcomp \rho \sigma \perp = ref
repcomp \rho \sigma (app M N) = wd2 app (repcomp \rho \sigma M) (repcomp \rho \sigma N)
repcomp \rho \sigma (\Lambda A M) = wd (\Lambda A) (trans (repwd liftcomp M) (repcomp (lift \rho) (lift \sigma) M))
repcomp \rho \sigma (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = wd2 \_\Rightarrow_ (repcomp \rho \sigma \phi) (repcomp \rho \sigma \psi)
    A substitution \sigma from U to V, \sigma: U \Rightarrow V, is a function \sigma: U \to \mathbf{Term}(V).
\mathtt{Sub} \; : \; \mathtt{FinSet} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{FinSet} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{Set}
Sub U V = El U \rightarrow Term V
    The identity substitution id_V: V \Rightarrow V is defined as follows.
```

```
\begin{array}{lll} \mathtt{idSub} & \colon \ \forall \ \ \mathtt{V} \ \to \ \mathtt{Sub} \ \ \mathtt{V} \ \ \mathtt{V} \\ \mathtt{idSub} \ \_ & = \ \mathtt{var} \end{array}
```

Given  $\sigma: U \Rightarrow V$  and  $M: \mathbf{Term}(U)$ , we want to define  $M[\sigma]: \mathbf{Term}(V)$ , the result of applying the substitution  $\sigma$  to M. Only after this will we be able to define the composition of two substitutions. However, there is some work we need to do before we are able to do this.

We can define the composition of a substitution and a replacement as follows.

```
\_ \bullet_1 \_ \ : \ \forall \ \{\mathtt{V}\} \ \{\mathtt{W}\} \ \to \ \mathtt{Rep} \ \mathtt{V} \ \mathtt{W} \ \to \ \mathtt{Sub} \ \mathtt{U} \ \mathtt{V} \ \to \ \mathtt{Sub} \ \mathtt{U} \ \mathtt{W}
(\rho \bullet_1 \sigma) u = \sigma u < \rho >
     (On the other side, given \rho: U \to V and \sigma: V \Rightarrow W, the composition is
just function composition \sigma \circ \rho : U \Rightarrow W.)
     Given a substitution \sigma: U \Rightarrow V, define the substitution \sigma+1: U+1 \Rightarrow V+1
as follows.
liftSub : \forall {U} {V} \rightarrow Sub U V \rightarrow Sub (Lift U) (Lift V)
liftSub \_ \bot = var \bot
liftSub \sigma (\uparrow x) = \sigma x < \uparrow >
liftSub-wd : \forall {U V} {\sigma \sigma' : Sub U V} \rightarrow \sigma \sim \sigma' \rightarrow liftSub \sigma \sim liftSub \sigma'
liftSub-wd \sigma-is-\sigma' \bot = ref
liftSub-wd \sigma-is-\sigma' (\uparrow x) = wd (\lambda x \rightarrow x < \uparrow >) (\sigma-is-\sigma' x)
Lemma 7. The operations \mathfrak{fl}_1 and (-)+1 satisfiesd the following properties.
    1. id_V + 1 = id_{V+1}
    2. For \rho: V \to W and \sigma: U \Rightarrow V, we have (\rho \bullet \sigma) + 1 = (\rho + 1) \bullet (\sigma + 1).
    3. For \sigma: V \Rightarrow W and \rho: U \to V, we have (\sigma \circ \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \circ (\rho + 1).
\texttt{liftSub-id} \; : \; \forall \; \{ \texttt{V} \; : \; \texttt{FinSet} \} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{liftSub} \; \; (\texttt{idSub} \; \; \texttt{V}) \; \sim \; \texttt{idSub} \; \; (\texttt{Lift} \; \; \texttt{V})
liftSub-id \perp = ref
liftSub-id (\uparrow x) = ref
liftSub-comp_1 : \forall {U V W : FinSet} (\sigma : Sub U V) (\rho : Rep V W) \rightarrow
   liftSub (\rho \bullet_1 \sigma) \sim \text{lift } \rho \bullet_1 \text{ liftSub } \sigma
liftSub-comp<sub>1</sub> \sigma \rho \perp = ref
liftSub-comp_1 {W = W} \sigma \rho (\uparrow x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term (Lift W)) in
     :: \sigma \times \langle \rho \rangle \langle \uparrow \rangle
     \equiv \sigma \times \langle \uparrow \circ \rho \rangle
                                                 [[repcomp \uparrow \rho (\sigma x)]]
     \equiv \sigma \text{ x} < \uparrow > < \text{lift } \rho > [ \text{ repcomp (lift } \rho) \uparrow (\sigma \text{ x}) ]
--because lift \rho (\(\gamma\) x) = \(\gamma\) (\(\rho\) x)
liftSub-comp_2 : \forall {U V W : FinSet} (\sigma : Sub V W) (\rho : Rep U V) \rightarrow
   liftSub (\sigma \circ \rho) \sim liftSub \sigma \circ lift \rho
liftSub-comp_2 \sigma \rho \perp = ref
liftSub-comp<sub>2</sub> \sigma \rho (\uparrow x) = ref
     Now define M[\sigma] as follows.
--Term is a monad with unit var and the following multiplication
infix 60 _[_]
 \_[\![\_]\!] \; : \; \forall \; \{\texttt{U} \; \, \texttt{V} \; : \; \texttt{FinSet}\} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Term} \; \, \texttt{U} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Sub} \; \, \texttt{U} \; \, \texttt{V} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Term} \; \, \texttt{V}
```

infix 75  $\_\bullet_1$ 

```
\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket = \bot
(app M N) [ \sigma ] = app (M [ \sigma ]) (N [ \sigma ])
(\Lambda \ \mathsf{A} \ \mathsf{M}) \quad \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket = \Lambda \ \mathsf{A} \ (\mathsf{M} \ \llbracket \ \mathsf{liftSub} \ \sigma \ \rrbracket)
(\phi \Rightarrow \psi) \quad \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket = (\phi \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket) \Rightarrow (\psi \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket)
\texttt{subwd} \,:\, \forall \,\, \{\texttt{U} \,\, \texttt{V} \,:\, \texttt{FinSet}\} \,\, \{\sigma \,\, \sigma' \,:\, \texttt{Sub} \,\, \texttt{U} \,\, \texttt{V}\} \,\rightarrow\, \sigma \,\sim\, \sigma' \,\,\rightarrow\, \forall \,\, \texttt{M} \,\rightarrow\, \texttt{M} \,\, \llbracket \,\, \sigma \,\, \rrbracket \,\, \equiv\, \texttt{M} \,\, \llbracket \,\, \sigma' \,\, \rrbracket
subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' (var x) = \sigma-is-\sigma' x
subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' \bot = ref
subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' (app M N) = wd2 app (subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' M) (subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' N)
subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' (\Lambda A M) = wd (\Lambda A) (subwd (liftSub-wd \sigma-is-\sigma') M)
subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = wd2 \_\Rightarrow_ (subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' \phi) (subwd \sigma-is-\sigma' \psi)
      This interacts with our previous operations in a good way:
                         1. M[id_V] \equiv M
Lemma 8.
    2. M[\rho \bullet \sigma] \equiv M[\sigma] \{\rho\}
    3. M[\sigma \circ \rho] \equiv M < \rho > [\sigma]
\texttt{subid} \,:\, \forall \,\, \{ \texttt{V} \,:\, \texttt{FinSet} \} \,\, (\texttt{M} \,:\, \texttt{Term} \,\, \texttt{V}) \,\, \rightarrow \,\, \texttt{M} \,\, \llbracket \,\, \texttt{idSub} \,\, \texttt{V} \,\, \rrbracket \,\, \equiv \,\, \texttt{M}
subid (var x) = ref
subid \perp = ref
subid (app M N) = wd2 app (subid M) (subid N)
subid \{V\} (\Lambda A M) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term V) in
   \cdots \Lambda A (M [\![ liftSub (idSub V) ]\!])
   \equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket idSub (Lift V) \rrbracket)
                                                                        [ wd (\Lambda A) (subwd liftSub-id M) ]
   \equiv \Lambda A M
                                                                         [ wd (\Lambda A) (subid M) ]
subid (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = \text{wd2} \implies (subid \phi) (subid \psi)
rep-sub : \forall {U} {W} (\sigma : Sub U V) (\rho : Rep V W) (M : Term U) \rightarrow M [ \sigma ] < \rho > \equiv M [
rep-sub \sigma \rho (var x) = ref
rep-sub \sigma \rho \perp = ref
rep-sub \sigma \rho (app M N) = wd2 app (rep-sub \sigma \rho M) (rep-sub \sigma \rho N)
rep-sub {W = W} \sigma \rho (\Lambda A M) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term W) in
   \therefore \Lambda A ((M \llbracket liftSub \sigma \rrbracket) < lift \rho >)
    \equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket lift 
ho ullet_1 liftSub \sigma \rrbracket) \llbracket wd (\Lambda A) (rep-sub (liftSub \sigma) (lift 
ho) M) \rrbracket
    \equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket liftSub (\rho \bullet_1 \sigma) \rrbracket) [[ wd (\Lambda A) (subwd (liftSub-comp<sub>1</sub> \sigma \rho) M) ]]
rep-sub \sigma \rho (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = wd2 \_\Rightarrow_ (rep-sub \sigma \rho \phi) (rep-sub \sigma \rho \psi)
\texttt{sub-rep} \,:\, \forall \,\, \{\texttt{U}\} \,\, \{\texttt{W}\} \,\, (\sigma \,:\, \texttt{Sub} \,\, \texttt{V} \,\, \texttt{W}) \,\, (\rho \,:\, \texttt{Rep} \,\, \texttt{U} \,\, \texttt{V}) \,\, \texttt{M} \,\rightarrow\, \texttt{M} \,\, <\, \rho \,\, >\, \llbracket \,\, \sigma \,\, \rrbracket \,\, \equiv\,\, \texttt{M} \,\, \llbracket \,\, \sigma \,\, \circ \,\, \rho \,\, \rrbracket
sub-rep \sigma \rho (var x) = ref
sub-rep \sigma \rho \perp = ref
sub-rep \sigma \rho (app M N) = wd2 app (sub-rep \sigma \rho M) (sub-rep \sigma \rho N)
sub-rep {W = W} \sigma \rho (\Lambda A M) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term W) in
   \therefore \Lambda \land ((M < \text{lift } \rho >) [ \text{liftSub } \sigma ])
```

 $\llbracket \sigma \rrbracket = \sigma x$ 

(var x)

```
 \equiv \Lambda \text{ A (M [ liftSub } \sigma \circ \text{ lift } \rho \text{ ]) } \qquad [ \text{ wd } (\Lambda \text{ A}) \text{ (sub-rep (liftSub } \sigma) \text{ (lift } \rho) \text{ M) ]} \\ \equiv \Lambda \text{ A (M [ liftSub } (\sigma \circ \rho) \text{ ]) } \qquad [ [ \text{ wd } (\Lambda \text{ A}) \text{ (subwd (liftSub-comp}_2 } \sigma \rho) \text{ M) ]]} \\ \text{sub-rep } \sigma \rho \text{ } (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) \text{ = wd2 } \_\Rightarrow \_ \text{ (sub-rep } \sigma \rho \phi) \text{ (sub-rep } \sigma \rho \psi)
```

We define the composition of two substitutions, as follows.

```
infix 75 _•_
\_{\bullet}\_~:~\forall~ \{\texttt{U}~\texttt{V}~\texttt{W}~:~\texttt{FinSet}\}~\rightarrow~\texttt{Sub}~\texttt{V}~\texttt{W}~\rightarrow~\texttt{Sub}~\texttt{U}~\texttt{V}~\rightarrow~\texttt{Sub}~\texttt{U}~\texttt{W}
(\sigma \bullet \rho) \mathbf{x} = \rho \mathbf{x} \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket
Lemma 9. Let \sigma: V \Rightarrow W and \rho: U \Rightarrow V.
    1. (\sigma \bullet \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \bullet (\rho + 1)
    2. M[\sigma \bullet \rho] \equiv M[\rho][\sigma]
liftSub-comp : \forall {U} {V} {W} (\sigma : Sub V W) (\rho : Sub U V) \rightarrow
    liftSub (\sigma \bullet \rho) \sim \text{liftSub } \sigma \bullet \text{liftSub } \rho
liftSub-comp \sigma \rho \perp = ref
liftSub-comp \sigma \rho (\uparrow x) = trans (rep-sub \sigma \uparrow (\rho x)) (sym (sub-rep (liftSub \sigma) \uparrow (\rho x)))
\texttt{subcomp}: \ \forall \ \{\mathtt{U}\} \ \{\mathtt{V}\} \ \{\mathtt{W}\} \ (\sigma: \mathtt{Sub} \ \mathtt{V} \ \mathtt{W}) \ (\rho: \mathtt{Sub} \ \mathtt{U} \ \mathtt{V}) \ \mathtt{M} \ \to \ \mathtt{M} \ \llbracket \ \sigma \bullet \rho \ \rrbracket \ \equiv \ \mathtt{M} \ \llbracket \ \rho \ \rrbracket \ \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket
\texttt{subcomp} \ \sigma \ \rho \ (\texttt{var} \ \texttt{x}) \ \texttt{=} \ \texttt{ref}
\texttt{subcomp}\ \sigma\ \rho\ \bot\ \texttt{=}\ \texttt{ref}
subcomp \sigma \rho (app M N) = wd2 app (subcomp \sigma \rho M) (subcomp \sigma \rho N)
subcomp \sigma \rho (\Lambda A M) = wd (\Lambda A) (trans (subwd (liftSub-comp \sigma \rho) M)
                                                                                                                                         (subcomp (liftSub \sigma
subcomp \sigma \rho (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = \text{wd2} \implies \text{(subcomp } \sigma \rho \phi) \text{ (subcomp } \sigma \rho \psi)
Lemma 10. The finite sets and substitutions form a category under this com-
position.
assoc : \forall {U V W X} {\rho : Sub W X} {\sigma : Sub V W} {\tau : Sub U V} \to
   \rho \bullet (\sigma \bullet \tau) \sim (\rho \bullet \sigma) \bullet \tau
assoc {U} {V} {W} {X} {\rho} {\sigma} {\tau} x = sym (subcomp \rho \sigma (\tau x))
subunitl : \forall {V} {V} {\sigma : Sub U V} \rightarrow idSub V \bullet \sigma \sim \sigma
subunitl {U} {V} {\sigma} x = subid (\sigma x)
\texttt{subunitr} \,:\, \forall \,\, \{\texttt{U}\} \,\, \{\texttt{V}\} \,\, \{\sigma \,:\, \texttt{Sub} \,\, \texttt{U} \,\, \texttt{V}\} \,\, \to \,\, \sigma \,\, \bullet \,\, \texttt{idSub} \,\, \texttt{U} \,\, \sim \,\, \sigma
subunitr _ = ref
-- The second monad law
rep-is-sub : \forall {U} {V} {\rho : El U \rightarrow El V} M \rightarrow M < \rho > \equiv M \llbracket var \circ \rho \rrbracket
rep-is-sub (var x) = ref
rep-is-sub \perp = ref
rep-is-sub (app M N) = wd2 app (rep-is-sub M) (rep-is-sub N)
```

rep-is-sub  $\{V = V\}$   $\{\rho\}$   $(\Lambda A M) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term V) in$ 

```
\therefore \Lambda \land (M < lift \rho >)
   \equiv \Lambda A (M [\![ var \circ lift 
ho ]\![)
                                                                    [ wd (\Lambda A) (rep-is-sub M) ]
   \equiv \Lambda A (M [\![ liftSub var \circ lift 
ho [\![]) [[ wd (\Lambda A) (subwd (\lambda x 	o liftSub-id (lift 
ho x)) \Lambda
   \equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket liftSub (var \circ \rho) \rrbracket) [[ wd (\Lambda A) (subwd (liftSub-comp_2 var \rho) M) ]]
--wd (\Lambda A) (trans (rep-is-sub M) (subwd {!!} M))
rep-is-sub (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = wd2 \Rightarrow (rep-is-sub \phi) (rep-is-sub \psi)
\texttt{typeof} \; : \; \forall \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{El} \; \; \texttt{V} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{TContext} \; \; \texttt{V} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Type}
typeof \perp (_ , A) = A
typeof (\uparrow x) (\Gamma , _) = typeof x \Gamma
\texttt{propof} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\texttt{P}\} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{El} \; \; \texttt{P} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{PContext} \; \; \texttt{V} \; \; \texttt{P} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Term} \; \; \texttt{V}
propof \bot (_ , \phi) = \phi
propof (\uparrow p) (\Gamma , _) = propof p \Gamma
liftSub-var' : \forall {U} {V} (\rho : El U \rightarrow El V) \rightarrow liftSub (var \circ \rho) \sim var \circ lift \rho
liftSub-var' \rho \perp = ref
liftSub-var' \rho (\uparrow x) = ref
\texttt{botsub} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{V}\} \;\to\; \texttt{Term} \;\; \texttt{V} \;\to\; \texttt{Sub} \;\; (\texttt{Lift} \;\; \texttt{V}) \;\; \texttt{V}
botsub M \perp = M
botsub \_ (\uparrow x) = var x
sub-botsub : \forall {U} {V} (\sigma : Sub U V) (M : Term U) (x : El (Lift U)) \rightarrow
   botsub M x \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \equiv \text{liftSub } \sigma \text{ x } \llbracket \text{ botsub } (\text{M } \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket) \rrbracket
\verb"sub-botsub" \sigma \texttt{ M} \perp = \verb"ref"
sub-botsub \sigma M (\uparrow x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term _) in
   \sigma x
   \equiv \sigma \times \llbracket \text{ idSub } \_ \rrbracket
                                                                       [[ subid (\sigma x) ]]
   \equiv \sigma \times \uparrow \rangle \parallel \text{botsub (M} \parallel \sigma \parallel) \parallel
                                                                    [[ sub-rep (botsub (M \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket)) \uparrow (\sigma x) ]]
\texttt{rep-botsub} : \ \forall \ \{\texttt{U}\} \ \{\texttt{V}\} \ (\rho : \texttt{El} \ \texttt{U} \to \texttt{El} \ \texttt{V}) \ (\texttt{M} : \texttt{Term} \ \texttt{U}) \ (\texttt{x} : \texttt{El} \ (\texttt{Lift} \ \texttt{U})) \to \\
   botsub M x < \rho > \equiv botsub (M < \rho >) (lift \rho x)
rep-botsub \rho M x = trans (rep-is-sub (botsub M x))
   (trans (sub-botsub (var \circ 
ho) M x) (trans (subwd (\lambda x_1 	o wd (\lambda y 	o botsub y x_1) (sym
   (wd (\lambda \times \to \times [\![ botsub (M < \rho >) ]\!]) (liftSub-var', <math>\rho \times ))))
--TODO Inline this?
\mathtt{subbot} : \forall {V} 	o Term (Lift V) 	o Term V 	o Term V
subbot M N = M [ botsub N ]
     We write M \simeq N iff the terms M and N are \beta-convertible, and similarly for
proofs.
data \_\twoheadrightarrow\_ : \forall {V} \to Term V \to Set where
   \beta : \forall {V} A (M : Term (Lift V)) N \rightarrow app (\Lambda A M) N \rightarrow subbot M N
```

```
\texttt{ref} \; : \; \forall \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\texttt{M} \; : \; \texttt{Term} \; \, \texttt{V}\} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M}
              \neg \texttt{*trans} \; : \; \forall \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\texttt{M} \; \texttt{N} \; \texttt{P} \; : \; \texttt{Term} \; \texttt{V}\} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{P} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{P}
             \mathtt{app} \; : \; \forall \; \{\mathtt{V}\} \; \{\mathtt{M} \; \mathtt{M'} \; \mathtt{N} \; \mathtt{N'} \; : \; \mathtt{Term} \; \mathtt{V}\} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{M} \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \mathtt{M'} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{N} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{app} \; \mathtt{M} \; \mathtt{N} \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \mathtt{app} \; \mathtt{M'} \; \mathtt{N'}
             \Lambda \,:\, \forall \,\, \{\mathtt{V}\} \,\, \{\mathtt{M} \,\, \mathtt{N} \,:\, \mathtt{Term} \,\, (\mathtt{Lift} \,\, \mathtt{V})\} \,\, \{\mathtt{A}\} \,\,\to\,\, \mathtt{M} \,\,\twoheadrightarrow\,\, \mathtt{N} \,\,\to\,\, \Lambda \,\,\, \mathtt{A} \,\, \mathtt{M} \,\,\twoheadrightarrow\,\, \Lambda \,\,\, \mathtt{A} \,\,\, \mathtt{N}
             imp : \forall {V} {\phi \phi' \psi \psi' : Term V} \rightarrow \phi \rightarrow \phi' \rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \psi' \rightarrow \phi \Rightarrow \psi \rightarrow \phi' \Rightarrow \psi'
repred : \forall {U} {V} {\rho : El U \rightarrow El V} {M N : Term U} \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow M < \rho > \rightarrow N < \rho >
repred {U} {V} {\rho} (\beta A M N) = subst (\lambda x \rightarrow app (\Lambda A (M < lift \rho > )) (N < \rho >) \twoheadrightarrow x) (
repred ref = ref
repred (\rightarrowtrans M\rightarrowN N\rightarrowP) = \rightarrowtrans (repred M\rightarrowN) (repred N\rightarrowP)
repred (app M \rightarrow N M' \rightarrow N') = app (repred M \rightarrow N) (repred M' \rightarrow N')
repred (\Lambda M \rightarrow N) = \Lambda \text{ (repred } M \rightarrow N)
repred (imp \phi \rightarrow \phi', \psi \rightarrow \psi') = imp (repred \phi \rightarrow \phi') (repred \psi \rightarrow \psi')
liftSub-red : \forall {U} {V} {\rho \sigma : Sub U V} \rightarrow (\forall x \rightarrow \rho x \rightarrow x \rightarrow x \rightarrow (\forall x \rightarrow liftSub \rho x \rightarrow
liftSub-red \rho \rightarrow \sigma \perp = ref
liftSub-red \rho \rightarrow \sigma (\(\gamma\) x) = repred (\rho \rightarrow \sigma x)
\texttt{subred} \; : \; \forall \; \{\texttt{U}\} \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\rho \; \sigma \; : \; \texttt{Sub} \; \texttt{U} \; \texttt{V}\} \; (\texttt{M} \; : \; \texttt{Term} \; \texttt{U}) \; \rightarrow \; (\forall \; \texttt{x} \; \rightarrow \; \rho \; \texttt{x} \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \sigma \; \texttt{x}) \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \rho \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; <footnote>\; \texttt{M} \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \llbracket \; \; \texttt{M} \; \rrbracket \; \twoheadrightarrow \; \texttt{M} \;   \; \texttt{M} \; \texttt{M} \; \texttt{M} \;   \; \texttt{M} \;
subred (var x) \rho \rightarrow \sigma = \rho \rightarrow \sigma x
subred \perp \rho \rightarrow \sigma = ref
subred (app M N) \rho \rightarrow \sigma = app (subred M \rho \rightarrow \sigma) (subred N \rho \rightarrow \sigma)
subred (\Lambda A M) \rho \rightarrow \sigma = \Lambda (subred M (liftSub-red \rho \rightarrow \sigma))
subred (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) \rho \rightarrow \sigma = \text{imp (subred } \phi \rho \rightarrow \sigma) \text{ (subred } \psi \rho \rightarrow \sigma)
\texttt{subsub} : \ \forall \ \{\texttt{U}\} \ \{\texttt{V}\} \ \{\texttt{W}\} \ (\sigma : \texttt{Sub} \ \texttt{V} \ \texttt{W}) \ (\rho : \texttt{Sub} \ \texttt{U} \ \texttt{V}) \ \texttt{M} \ \to \ \texttt{M} \ \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket \ \equiv \ \texttt{M} \ \llbracket \ \sigma \ \bullet \ \rho \ \rrbracket
subsub \sigma \rho (var x) = ref
subsub \sigma \rho \perp = ref
subsub \sigma \rho (app M N) = wd2 app (subsub \sigma \rho M) (subsub \sigma \rho N)
subsub \sigma \rho (\Lambda A M) = wd (\Lambda A) (trans (subsub (liftSub \sigma) (liftSub \rho) M)
               (subwd (\lambda x \rightarrow sym (liftSub-comp \sigma \rho x)) M))
subsub \sigma \rho (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = wd2 \implies (subsub \sigma \rho \phi) (subsub \sigma \rho \psi)
\texttt{subredr} \; : \; \forall \; \{\texttt{U}\} \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\sigma \; : \; \texttt{Sub} \; \texttt{U} \; \texttt{V}\} \; \{\texttt{M} \; \texttt{N} \; : \; \texttt{Term} \; \texttt{U}\} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right]\!\!\right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ \; \sigma \; \right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[ \; \sigma \; \sigma \; \right] \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{N} \; \left[\!\!\left[ 
(sym (trans (subsub (botsub (N \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket)) (liftSub \sigma) M) (subwd (\lambda x 	o sym (sub-botsub \sigma
subredr ref = ref
subredr (app M->M' N->N') = app (subredr M->M') (subredr N->N')
subredr (\Lambda M \rightarrow N) = \Lambda \text{ (subredr } M \rightarrow N)
subredr (imp \phi \rightarrow \phi', \psi \rightarrow \psi') = imp (subredr \phi \rightarrow \phi') (subredr \psi \rightarrow \psi')
data \_\simeq\_ : \forall {V} \to Term V \to Term V \to Set<sub>1</sub> where
            eta : \forall {V} {A} {M : Term (Lift V)} {N} 
ightarrow app (\Lambda A M) N \simeq subbot M N
            \texttt{ref} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\texttt{M} \;:\; \texttt{Term} \; \, \texttt{V}\} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{M} \; \simeq \; \texttt{M}
```

 $\simeq$ sym :  $\forall$  {V} {M N : Term V} ightarrow M  $\simeq$  N ightarrow N  $\simeq$  M

The strongly normalizable terms are defined inductively as follows.

data SN {V} : Term V  $\to$  Set\_1 where SNI :  $\forall$  {M}  $\to$  ( $\forall$  N  $\to$  M  $\to$  N  $\to$  SN N)  $\to$  SN M

**Lemma 11.** 1. If  $MN \in SN$  then  $M \in SN$  and  $N \in SN$ .

- 2. If  $M[x := N] \in SN$  then  $M \in SN$ .
- 3. If  $M \in SN$  and  $M \triangleright N$  then  $N \in SN$ .
- 4. If  $M[x := N] \vec{P} \in SN$  and  $N \in SN$  then  $(\lambda x M) N \vec{P} \in SN$ .

The rules of deduction of the system are as follows.

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\langle \rangle \text{ valid}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma, x : A \text{ valid}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega}{\Gamma, p : \phi \text{ valid}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash x : A} \quad (x : A \in \Gamma) \qquad \frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi} \quad (p : \phi \in \Gamma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash \bot : \Omega} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega}{\Gamma \vdash \phi \to \psi : \Omega}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \to B \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash MN : B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \to \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : \phi}{\Gamma \vdash \delta \epsilon : \psi}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A . M : A \to B} \quad \frac{\Gamma, p : \phi \vdash \delta : \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda p : \phi . \delta : \phi \to \psi}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega}{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \psi} \quad (\phi \simeq \phi)$$

```
data Tvalid : \forall {V} \rightarrow TContext V \rightarrow Set<sub>1</sub> where
                                \langle \rangle : Tvalid \langle \rangle
                              _,_ : \forall {V} {\Gamma : TContext V} \to Tvalid \Gamma \to \forall A \to Tvalid (\Gamma , A)
              data _\vdash_:_ : \forall {V} \to TContext V \to Term V \to Type \to Set_1 where
                              \texttt{var} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\Gamma \;:\; \texttt{TContext} \; \, \texttt{V}\} \; \{\texttt{x}\} \; \to \; \texttt{Tvalid} \; \Gamma \; \to \; \Gamma \; \vdash \; \texttt{var} \; \, \texttt{x} \; : \; \texttt{typeof} \; \, \texttt{x} \; \, \Gamma
                               \bot \,:\, \forall \,\, \{\mathtt{V}\} \,\, \{\Gamma \,:\, \mathtt{TContext} \,\, \mathtt{V}\} \,\,\to\, \mathtt{Tvalid} \,\, \Gamma \,\to\, \Gamma \,\,\vdash\, \bot \,:\, \Omega
                              \mathtt{imp} : \forall \ \{\mathtt{V}\} \ \{\Gamma : \mathtt{TContext} \ \mathtt{V}\} \ \{\phi\} \ \{\psi\} \ \to \ \Gamma \ \vdash \ \phi : \Omega \ \to \ \Gamma \ \vdash \ \phi \ \Rightarrow \ \psi : \Omega
                                \texttt{app} \,:\, \forall \,\, \{\texttt{V}\} \,\, \{\Gamma \,:\, \texttt{TContext} \,\, \texttt{V}\} \,\, \{\texttt{M}\} \,\, \{\texttt{N}\} \,\, \{\texttt{B}\} \,\,\to\, \Gamma \,\,\vdash\,\, \texttt{M} \,:\, \texttt{A} \,\,\Rightarrow\,\, \texttt{B} \,\,\to\,\, \Gamma \,\,\vdash\,\, \texttt{N} \,:\, \texttt{A} \,\,\to\,\, \Gamma \,\,\vdash\,\, \texttt{A}
                               \Lambda : \forall {V} {\Gamma : TContext V} {A} {M} {B} \to \Gamma , A \vdash M : B \to \Gamma \vdash \Lambda A M : A \Rightarrow B
data Pvalid : \forall {V} {P} \rightarrow TContext V \rightarrow PContext V P \rightarrow Set_1 where
                \langle 
angle : orall {V} {\Gamma : TContext V} 
ightarrow Tvalid \Gamma 
ightarrow Pvalid \Gamma \langle 
angle
               _,_ : \forall {V} {P} {\Gamma : TContext V} {\Delta : PContext V P} {\phi : Term V} 	o Pvalid \Gamma \Delta 	o \Gamma
\texttt{data \_,,\_} \vdash \_ :: \_ : \ \forall \ \{\texttt{V}\} \ \ \{\texttt{P}\} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{TContext} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{PContext} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Term} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Set}_1 \ \ \texttt{where} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Term} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Set}_1 \ \ \texttt{where} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Term} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Set}_1 \ \ \texttt{W} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Term} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Set}_1 \ \ \texttt{W} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Term} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Set}_1 \ \ \texttt{W} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Term} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{V} \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \ \texttt{P} \ \rightarrow \ \texttt{Proof} \ \ \texttt{P} 
              var : \forall {V} {P} {\Gamma : TContext V} {\Delta : PContext V P} {{
m p}} \to Pvalid \Gamma \Delta \to \Gamma ,, \Delta \vdash variations variately.
              app : \forall {V} {P} {\Gamma : TContext V} {\Delta : PContext V P} {\delta} {\epsilon} {\phi} {\psi} \to \Gamma ,, \Delta \vdash \delta :: \epsilon
              \Lambda : \forall {V} {P} {\Gamma : TContext V} {\Delta : PContext V P} {\phi} {\delta} {\psi} \to \Gamma ,, \Delta , \phi \vdash \delta :: \psi
              \verb"conv": \forall \ \{ \texttt{V} \} \ \{ \texttt{P} \} \ \{ \Gamma \ : \ \texttt{TContext} \ \texttt{V} \} \ \{ \Delta \ : \ \texttt{PContext} \ \texttt{V} \ \texttt{P} \} \ \{ \delta \} \ \{ \phi \} \ \{ \psi \} \ \to \ \Gamma \ \texttt{,,} \ \Delta \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ \texttt{,} \ A \ \vdash \ \delta \ :: \ \phi \ - \ C \ A \ \vdash \
```