A Strongly Normalizing Computation Rule for Univalence in Higher-Order Propositional Logic

Robin Adams¹, Marc Bezem¹, and Thierry Coquard²

Universitetet i Bergen, Bergen, Norway {robin.adams,marc}@uib.no
University of Gothenburg, Gothenburg, Sweden coquand@chalmers.se

Homotopy type theory offers the promise of a formal system for the univalent foundations of mathematics. However, if we simply add the univalence axiom to type theory, then we lose the property of canonicity — that every term computes to a normal form. A computation becomes 'stuck' when it reaches the point that it needs to evaluate a proof term that is an application of the univalence axiom. So we wish to find a way to compute with the univalence axiom.

As a first step, we present here a system of higher-order propositional logic, with a universe Ω of propositions closed under implication and quantification over any simple type over Ω . We add a type $M =_A N$ for any terms M, N of type A, and two ways to prove an equality: reflexivity, and the univalence axiom. We present reduction relations for this system, and prove the reduction confluent and strongly normalizing on the well-typed terms.

We have begun to formalize this proof in AGDA, and intend to complete the formalization by the date of the workshop.

Predicative higher-order propositional logic with equality. We call the following type theory predicative higher-order propositional logic. It contains a universe Ω of propositions that contains \bot and is closed under implication \supset . The system also includes the higher-order types that can be built from Ω by \rightarrow . Its grammar and rules of deduction are as follows.

Extensional equality. On top of this system, we add an equality predicate that satisfies univalence.

$$\begin{array}{lll} \text{Term} & M, \phi & ::= & \cdots \mid M =_A M \\ \text{Proof} & \delta & ::= & \cdots \mid \operatorname{ref}\left(M\right) \mid \operatorname{univ}_{\phi,\phi}\left(\delta,\delta\right) \mid \lambda \!\!\! \lambda \!\!\! \lambda x : x =_A x.\delta \mid \delta \supset \delta \mid \delta \delta \mid \delta \delta \mid \delta \delta \mid \delta \delta \delta \delta \mid \delta \delta \mid \delta \delta \delta \mid \delta \delta$$

- For any M:A, there is an equality proof $ref(M):M=_AM$.
- Univalence. Given proofs $\delta:\phi\supset\psi$ and $\epsilon:\psi\supset\phi$, there is an equality proof $\mathsf{univ}_{\phi,\psi}\left(\delta,\epsilon\right):\phi=_{\Omega}\psi$.
- Given a proof $\delta: \phi =_{\Omega} \psi$, we have proofs $\delta^+: \phi \supset \psi$ and $\delta^-: \psi \supset \phi$.
- Given an equality proof Γ , $x:A,y:A,e:x=_Ay\vdash\delta:Mx=_BNy$, there is an equality proof $\Gamma\vdash \lambda \lambda ke:x=_Ay.\delta:M=_{A\to B}N$. (Here, e,x and y are bound within δ .)
- Congruence. If $\delta: \phi =_{\Omega} \phi'$ and $\epsilon: \psi =_{\Omega} \psi'$ then $\delta \supset \epsilon: \phi \supset \psi =_{\Omega} \phi' \supset \psi'$. If $\delta: M =_{A \to B} M'$ and $\epsilon: N =_A N'$ then $\delta \epsilon: MN =_B M'N'$.

The reduction relation. We define the following reduction relation on proofs and equality proofs.

$$(\operatorname{ref}(\phi))^+ \rightsquigarrow \lambda x : \phi.x \qquad (\operatorname{ref}(\phi))^- \rightsquigarrow \lambda x : \phi.x \qquad \operatorname{univ}_{\phi,\psi}\left(\delta,\epsilon\right)^+ \rightsquigarrow \delta \qquad \operatorname{univ}_{\phi,\psi}\left(\delta,\epsilon\right)^- \rightsquigarrow \epsilon$$

$$(\operatorname{ref}(\phi) \supset \operatorname{univ}_{\psi,\chi}\left(\delta,\epsilon\right)) \rightsquigarrow \operatorname{univ}_{\phi\supset\psi,\phi\supset\chi}\left(\lambda f : \phi\supset\psi.\lambda x : \phi.\delta(fx), \lambda g : \phi\supset\chi.\lambda x : \phi.\epsilon(gx)\right)$$

$$(\operatorname{univ}_{\phi,\psi}\left(\delta,\epsilon\right) \supset \operatorname{ref}\left(\chi\right)) \rightsquigarrow \operatorname{univ}_{\phi\supset\chi,\psi\supset\chi}\left(\lambda f : \phi\supset\chi.\lambda x : \psi.f(\epsilon x), \lambda g : \psi\supset\chi.\lambda x : \phi.g(\delta x)\right)$$

$$(\operatorname{univ}_{\phi,\psi}\left(\delta,\epsilon\right) \supset \operatorname{univ}_{\phi',\psi'}\left(\delta',\epsilon'\right)$$

$$\rightsquigarrow \operatorname{univ}_{\phi\supset\phi',\psi\supset\psi'}\left(\lambda f : \phi\supset\phi'.\lambda x : \psi.\delta'(f(\epsilon x)), \lambda g : \psi\supset\psi'.\lambda y : \phi.\epsilon'(g(\delta y))\right)$$

$$(\operatorname{ref}(\phi) \supset \operatorname{ref}(\psi)) \rightsquigarrow \operatorname{ref}(\phi\supset\psi) \qquad \operatorname{ref}(M)\operatorname{ref}(N) \rightsquigarrow \operatorname{ref}(MN)$$

$$(\operatorname{ref}(\lambda x : A.M))\delta \rightsquigarrow \{\delta/x\}M \qquad (\delta \text{ a normal form not of the form ref}(_))$$

$$(\operatorname{\lambda\!\!\!M\!\!\!\!A} e : x =_A y.\delta)\epsilon \leadsto [M/x, N/y, \epsilon/e]\delta \qquad (\epsilon : M =_A N)$$

Here, $\{\delta/x\}M$ is an operation called *path substitution* defined such that, if $\delta: N =_A N'$, then $\{\delta/x\}M: [N/x]M = [N'/x]M$.

Main Theorem.

Theorem 1. In the system described above, all typable terms, proofs and equality proofs are confluent and strongly normalizing. Every closed normal form of type $\phi =_{\Omega} \psi$ either has the form ref (_) or univ(_, _). Every closed normal form of type $M =_{A \to B} N$ either has the form ref (_) or is a $\lambda \lambda$ -term.

Thus, we know that a well-typed computation never gets 'stuck' at an application of the univalence axiom.

Proof. The proof uses the method of Tait-style computability. We define the set of *computable* terms $E_{\Gamma}(A)$ for each type A, and computable proofs $E_{\Gamma}(M=_A N)$ for any terms $\Gamma \vdash M, N : A$. We prove that reduction is locally confluent, and that the computability predicates are closed under reduction and well-typed expansion. We can then prove that, if $\Gamma \vdash M : A$, then $M \in E_{\Gamma}(A)$; and if $\Gamma \vdash \delta : M =_A N$, then $\delta \in E_{\Gamma}(M=_A N)$.

Remark. Tait's proof relies on confluence, which does not hold for this reduction relation in general. In the proof, we prove confluence 'on-the-fly'. That is, whenever we require a term to be confluent, the induction hypothesis provides us with the fact that that term is computable, and hence strongly normalizing and confluent.