

Type Theories with Computation Rules for the Univalence Axiom

Robin Adams

January 21, 2016

```
module main where
```

1 Preliminaries

```
module Prelims where
```

```
postulate Level : Set
postulate zro : Level
postulate suc : Level → Level
{-# BUILTIN LEVEL Level #-}
{-# BUILTIN LEVELZERO zro #-}
{-# BUILTIN LEVELSUC suc #-}
```

1.1 Conjunction

```
data _∧_ {i} (P Q : Set i) : Set i where
  _,_ : P → Q → P ∧ Q
```

1.2 Functions

We write id_A for the identity function on the type A , and $g \circ f$ for the composition of functions g and f .

```
id : ∀ (A : Set) → A → A
id A x = x
```

```
infix 75 _∘_
_∘_ : ∀ {A B C : Set} → (B → C) → (A → B) → A → C
(g ∘ f) x = g (f x)
```

1.3 Equality

We use the inductively defined equality $=$ on every datatype.

```

infix 50 _≡_
data _≡_ {A : Set} (a : A) : A → Set where
  ref : a ≡ a

subst : ∀ {i} {A : Set} (P : A → Set i) {a} {b} → a ≡ b → P a → P b
subst P ref Pa = Pa

subst2 : ∀ {A B : Set} (P : A → B → Set) {a a' b b'} → a ≡ a' → b ≡ b' → P a b → P a' b'
subst2 P ref ref Pab = Pab

sym : ∀ {A : Set} {a b : A} → a ≡ b → b ≡ a
sym ref = ref

trans : ∀ {A : Set} {a b c : A} → a ≡ b → b ≡ c → a ≡ c
trans ref ref = ref

wd : ∀ {A B : Set} (f : A → B) {a a' : A} → a ≡ a' → f a ≡ f a'
wd _ ref = ref

wd2 : ∀ {A B C : Set} (f : A → B → C) {a a' : A} {b b' : B} → a ≡ a' → b ≡ b' → f a b ≡ f a' b'
wd2 _ ref ref = ref

module Equational-Reasoning (A : Set) where
  infix 2 ∴_
  ∴_ : ∀ (a : A) → a ≡ a
  ∴ _ = ref

  infix 1 _≡_[_]
  _≡_[_] : ∀ {a b : A} → a ≡ b → ∀ c → b ≡ c → a ≡ c
  δ ≡ c [ δ' ] = trans δ δ'

  infix 1 _≡_[[_]]
  _≡_[[_]] : ∀ {a b : A} → a ≡ b → ∀ c → c ≡ b → a ≡ c
  δ ≡ c [[ δ' ]] = trans δ (sym δ')

  We also write  $f \sim g$  iff the functions  $f$  and  $g$  are extensionally equal, that
  is,  $f(x) = g(x)$  for all  $x$ .

  infix 50 _∼_
  _∼_ : ∀ {A B : Set} → (A → B) → (A → B) → Set
  f ∼ g = ∀ x → f x ≡ g x

```

2 Datatypes

We introduce a universe **FinSet** of (names of) finite sets. There is an empty set $\emptyset : \mathbf{FinSet}$, and for every $A : \mathbf{FinSet}$, the type $A + 1 : \mathbf{FinSet}$ has one more

element:

$$A + 1 = \{\perp\} \uplus \{\uparrow a : a \in A\}$$

data FinSet : Set where

\emptyset : FinSet

 Lift : FinSet → FinSet

data El : FinSet → Set where

\perp : $\forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{El } (\text{Lift } V)$

\uparrow : $\forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{El } V \rightarrow \text{El } (\text{Lift } V)$

A *replacement* from U to V is simply a function $U \rightarrow V$.

Rep : FinSet → FinSet → Set

Rep U V = El U → El V

Given $f : A \rightarrow B$, define $f + 1 : A + 1 \rightarrow B + 1$ by

$$(f + 1)(\perp) = \perp$$

$$(f + 1)(\uparrow x) = \uparrow f(x)$$

lift : $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \rightarrow \text{Rep } U \ V \rightarrow \text{Rep } (\text{Lift } U) \ (\text{Lift } V)$

lift $_ \perp$ = \perp

lift f (\uparrow x) = \uparrow (f x)

liftwd : $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{f \ g : \text{Rep } U \ V\} \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow \text{lift } f \sim \text{lift } g$

liftwd f-is-g \perp = ref

liftwd f-is-g (\uparrow x) = wd \uparrow (f-is-g x)

This makes $(-)+1$ into a functor **FinSet** → **FinSet**; that is,

$$\text{id}_V + 1 = \text{id}_{V+1}$$

$$(g \circ f) + 1 = (g + 1) \circ (f + 1)$$

liftid : $\forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{lift } (\text{id } (\text{El } V)) \sim \text{id } (\text{El } (\text{Lift } V))$

liftid \perp = ref

liftid (\uparrow $_$) = ref

liftcomp : $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{g : \text{Rep } V \ W\} \{f : \text{Rep } U \ V\} \rightarrow \text{lift } (g \circ f) \sim \text{lift } g \circ \text{lift } f$

liftcomp \perp = ref

liftcomp (\uparrow $_$) = ref

data List (A : Set) : Set where

$\langle \rangle$: List A

$_::_$: List A → A → List A

open import Prelims

module PL where

open import Prelims

3 Propositional Logic

Fix sets of *proof variables* and *term variables*.

The syntax of the system is given by the following grammar.

| | | | |
|---------------|---------------|-------|--|
| Proof | δ | $::=$ | $p \mid \delta\delta \mid \lambda p : \phi.\delta$ |
| Proposition | ϕ | $::=$ | $\perp \mid \phi \rightarrow \phi$ |
| Proof Context | Δ | $::=$ | $\langle \rangle \mid \Delta, p : \phi$ |
| Judgement | \mathcal{J} | $::=$ | $\Delta \vdash \delta : \phi$ |

where p ranges over proof variables and x ranges over term variables. The variable p is bound within δ in the proof $\lambda p : \phi.\delta$, and the variable x is bound within M in the term $\lambda x : A.M$. We identify proofs and terms up to α -conversion.

We write **Proof** (P) for the set of all proofs δ with $\text{FV}(\delta) \subseteq V$.

```

infix 75 _=>_
data Prp : Set where
  ⊥ : Prp
  _=>_ : Prp → Prp → Prp

infix 80 _,-_
data PContext : FinSet → Set where
  ⟨⟩ : PContext ∅
  _,-_ : ∀ {P} → PContext P → Prp → PContext (Lift P)

propof : ∀ {P} → El P → PContext P → Prp
propof ⊥ ( _ , φ ) = φ
propof (↑ p) (Γ , _) = propof p Γ

data Proof : FinSet → Set where
  var : ∀ {P} → El P → Proof P
  app : ∀ {P} → Proof P → Proof P → Proof P
  Λ : ∀ {P} → Prp → Proof (Lift P) → Proof P

Let  $P, Q : \mathbf{FinSet}$ . Given a term  $M : \mathbf{Proof}(P)$  and a replacement  $\rho : P \rightarrow Q$ , we write  $M\{\rho\} : \mathbf{Proof}(Q)$  for the result of replacing each variable  $x$  in  $M$  with  $\rho(x)$ .

infix 60 _<_>
_<_> : ∀ {P Q} → Proof P → Rep P Q → Proof Q
var p < ρ > = var (ρ p)
app δ ε < ρ > = app (δ < ρ >) (ε < ρ >)
Λ φ δ < ρ > = Λ φ (δ < lift ρ >)

```

With this as the action on arrows, **Proof** (\cdot) becomes a functor $\mathbf{FinSet} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$.

```

repwd : ∀ {P Q : FinSet} {ρ ρ' : El P → El Q} → ρ ~ ρ' → ∀ δ → δ < ρ > ≡ δ < ρ' >
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (var p) = wd var (ρ-is-ρ' p)
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (app δ ε) = wd2 app (repwd ρ-is-ρ' δ) (repwd ρ-is-ρ' ε)
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (Λ φ δ) = wd (Λ φ) (repwd (liftwd ρ-is-ρ') δ)

repid : ∀ {Q : FinSet} δ → δ < id (El Q) > ≡ δ
repid (var _) = ref
repid (app δ ε) = wd2 app (repid δ) (repid ε)
repid {Q} (Λ φ δ) = wd (Λ φ) (let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof (Lift Q)) in
  ∴ δ < lift (id (El Q)) >
  ≡ δ < id (El (Lift Q)) > [ repwd liftid δ ]
  ≡ δ [ repid δ ])

repcomp : ∀ {P Q R : FinSet} (ρ : El Q → El R) (σ : El P → El Q) M → M < ρ ∘ σ > ≡ M
repcomp ρ σ (var _) = ref
repcomp ρ σ (app δ ε) = wd2 app (repcomp ρ σ δ) (repcomp ρ σ ε)
repcomp {R = R} ρ σ (Λ φ δ) = wd (Λ φ) (let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof (Lift R)) in
  ∴ δ < lift (ρ ∘ σ) >
  ≡ δ < lift ρ ∘ lift σ > [ repwd liftcomp δ ]
  ≡ (δ < lift σ >) < lift ρ > [ repcomp _ _ δ ])

```

A *substitution* σ from P to Q , $\sigma : P \Rightarrow Q$, is a function $\sigma : P \rightarrow \mathbf{Proof}(Q)$.

```

Sub : FinSet → FinSet → Set
Sub P Q = El P → Proof Q

```

The identity substitution $\text{id}_Q : Q \Rightarrow Q$ is defined as follows.

```

idSub : ∀ Q → Sub Q Q
idSub _ = var

```

Given $\sigma : P \Rightarrow Q$ and $M : \mathbf{Proof}(P)$, we want to define $M[\sigma] : \mathbf{Proof}(Q)$, the result of applying the substitution σ to M . Only after this will we be able to define the composition of two substitutions. However, there is some work we need to do before we are able to do this.

We can define the composition of a substitution and a replacement as follows.

```

infix 75 _•₁_
_•₁_ : ∀ {P} {Q} {R} → Rep Q R → Sub P Q → Sub P R
(ρ •₁ σ) u = σ u < ρ >

```

(On the other side, given $\rho : P \rightarrow Q$ and $\sigma : Q \Rightarrow R$, the composition is just function composition $\sigma \circ \rho : P \Rightarrow R$.)

Given a substitution $\sigma : P \Rightarrow Q$, define the substitution $\sigma + 1 : P + 1 \Rightarrow Q + 1$ as follows.

```

liftSub : ∀ {P} {Q} → Sub P Q → Sub (Lift P) (Lift Q)
liftSub _ ⊥ = var ⊥

```

$\text{liftSub } \sigma \ (\uparrow x) = \sigma \ x < \uparrow >$

$\text{liftSub-wd} : \forall \{P \ Q\} \{\sigma \ \sigma' : \text{Sub } P \ Q\} \rightarrow \sigma \sim \sigma' \rightarrow \text{liftSub } \sigma \sim \text{liftSub } \sigma'$
 $\text{liftSub-wd } \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' \ \perp = \text{ref}$
 $\text{liftSub-wd } \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' \ (\uparrow x) = \text{wd } (\lambda x \rightarrow x < \uparrow >) (\sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' \ x)$

Lemma 1. *The operations \bullet and $(-)+1$ satisfies the following properties.*

1. $\text{id}_Q + 1 = \text{id}_{Q+1}$
2. For $\rho : Q \rightarrow R$ and $\sigma : P \Rightarrow Q$, we have $(\rho \bullet \sigma) + 1 = (\rho + 1) \bullet (\sigma + 1)$.
3. For $\sigma : Q \Rightarrow R$ and $\rho : P \rightarrow Q$, we have $(\sigma \circ \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \circ (\rho + 1)$.

$\text{liftSub-id} : \forall \{Q : \text{FinSet}\} \rightarrow \text{liftSub } (\text{idSub } Q) \sim \text{idSub } (\text{Lift } Q)$
 $\text{liftSub-id } \perp = \text{ref}$
 $\text{liftSub-id } (\uparrow x) = \text{ref}$

$\text{liftSub-comp}_1 : \forall \{P \ Q \ R : \text{FinSet}\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } P \ Q) (\rho : \text{Rep } Q \ R) \rightarrow$
 $\text{liftSub } (\rho \bullet_1 \sigma) \sim \text{lift } \rho \bullet_1 \text{liftSub } \sigma$
 $\text{liftSub-comp}_1 \ \sigma \ \rho \ \perp = \text{ref}$
 $\text{liftSub-comp}_1 \ \{R = R\} \ \sigma \ \rho \ (\uparrow x) = \text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof (Lift R)) in}$
 $\quad \because \sigma \ x < \rho > < \uparrow >$
 $\quad \equiv \sigma \ x < \uparrow \circ \rho > \quad [[\text{repcomp } \uparrow \rho \ (\sigma \ x)]]$
 $\quad \equiv \sigma \ x < \uparrow > < \text{lift } \rho > [\text{repcomp } (\text{lift } \rho) \uparrow (\sigma \ x)]$

$\text{liftSub-comp}_2 : \forall \{P \ Q \ R : \text{FinSet}\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } Q \ R) (\rho : \text{Rep } P \ Q) \rightarrow$
 $\text{liftSub } (\sigma \circ \rho) \sim \text{liftSub } \sigma \circ \text{lift } \rho$
 $\text{liftSub-comp}_2 \ \sigma \ \rho \ \perp = \text{ref}$
 $\text{liftSub-comp}_2 \ \sigma \ \rho \ (\uparrow x) = \text{ref}$

Now define $M[\sigma]$ as follows.

$\text{infix } 60 \ _[_]$
 $_[_] : \forall \{P \ Q : \text{FinSet}\} \rightarrow \text{Proof } P \rightarrow \text{Sub } P \ Q \rightarrow \text{Proof } Q$
 $(\text{var } x) \quad [\sigma] = \sigma \ x$
 $(\text{app } \delta \ \epsilon) \ [\sigma] = \text{app } (\delta \ [\sigma]) \ (\epsilon \ [\sigma])$
 $(\Lambda \ A \ \delta) \ [\sigma] = \Lambda \ A \ (\delta \ [\text{liftSub } \sigma])$

$\text{subwd} : \forall \{P \ Q : \text{FinSet}\} \{\sigma \ \sigma' : \text{Sub } P \ Q\} \rightarrow \sigma \sim \sigma' \rightarrow \forall \delta \rightarrow \delta \ [\sigma] \equiv \delta \ [\sigma']$
 $\text{subwd } \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' \ (\text{var } x) = \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' \ x$
 $\text{subwd } \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' \ (\text{app } \delta \ \epsilon) = \text{wd2 app } (\text{subwd } \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' \ \delta) \ (\text{subwd } \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' \ \epsilon)$
 $\text{subwd } \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma' \ (\Lambda \ A \ \delta) = \text{wd } (\Lambda \ A) \ (\text{subwd } (\text{liftSub-wd } \sigma\text{-is-}\sigma') \ \delta)$

This interacts with our previous operations in a good way:

Lemma 2.

1. $M[\text{id}_Q] \equiv M$

$$2. M[\rho \bullet \sigma] \equiv \delta[\sigma]\{\rho\}$$

$$3. M[\sigma \circ \rho] \equiv \delta < \rho > [\sigma]$$

```

subid : ∀ {Q : FinSet} (δ : Proof Q) → δ [ idSub Q ] ≡ δ
subid (var x) = ref
subid (app δ ε) = wd2 app (subid δ) (subid ε)
subid {Q} (Λ φ δ) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof Q) in
  ∴ Λ φ (δ [ liftSub (idSub Q) ])
  ≡ Λ φ (δ [ idSub (Lift Q) ]) [ wd (Λ φ) (subwd liftSub-id δ) ]
  ≡ Λ φ δ [ wd (Λ φ) (subid δ) ]

```

```

rep-sub : ∀ {P} {Q} {R} (σ : Sub P Q) (ρ : Rep Q R) (δ : Proof P) → δ [ σ ] < ρ > ≡ δ [
rep-sub σ ρ (var x) = ref
rep-sub σ ρ (app δ ε) = wd2 app (rep-sub σ ρ δ) (rep-sub σ ρ ε)
rep-sub {R = R} σ ρ (Λ φ δ) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof R) in
  ∴ Λ φ ((δ [ liftSub σ ]) < lift ρ >)
  ≡ Λ φ (δ [ lift ρ •1 liftSub σ ]) [ wd (Λ φ) (rep-sub (liftSub σ) (lift ρ) δ) ]
  ≡ Λ φ (δ [ liftSub (ρ •1 σ) ]) [[ wd (Λ φ) (subwd (liftSub-comp1 σ ρ) δ) ]]

```

```

sub-rep : ∀ {P} {Q} {R} (σ : Sub Q R) (ρ : Rep P Q) δ → δ < ρ > [ σ ] ≡ δ [ σ ∘ ρ ]
sub-rep σ ρ (var x) = ref
sub-rep σ ρ (app δ ε) = wd2 app (sub-rep σ ρ δ) (sub-rep σ ρ ε)
sub-rep {R = R} σ ρ (Λ φ δ) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof R) in
  ∴ Λ φ ((δ < lift ρ >) [ liftSub σ ])
  ≡ Λ φ (δ [ liftSub σ ∘ lift ρ ]) [ wd (Λ φ) (sub-rep (liftSub σ) (lift ρ) δ) ]
  ≡ Λ φ (δ [ liftSub (σ ∘ ρ) ]) [[ wd (Λ φ) (subwd (liftSub-comp2 σ ρ) δ) ]]

```

We define the composition of two substitutions, as follows.

```

infix 75 _•_
_•_ : ∀ {P Q R : FinSet} → Sub Q R → Sub P Q → Sub P R
(σ • ρ) x = ρ x [ σ ]

```

Lemma 3. *Let $\sigma : Q \Rightarrow R$ and $\rho : P \Rightarrow Q$.*

$$1. (\sigma \bullet \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \bullet (\rho + 1)$$

$$2. M[\sigma \bullet \rho] \equiv \delta[\rho][\sigma]$$

```

liftSub-comp : ∀ {P} {Q} {R} (σ : Sub Q R) (ρ : Sub P Q) →
  liftSub (σ • ρ) ~ liftSub σ • liftSub ρ
liftSub-comp σ ρ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-comp σ ρ (↑ x) = trans (rep-sub σ ↑ (ρ x)) (sym (sub-rep (liftSub σ) ↑ (ρ x)))

```

```

subcomp : ∀ {P} {Q} {R} (σ : Sub Q R) (ρ : Sub P Q) δ → δ [ σ • ρ ] ≡ δ [ ρ ] [ σ ]
subcomp σ ρ (var x) = ref
subcomp σ ρ (app δ ε) = wd2 app (subcomp σ ρ δ) (subcomp σ ρ ε)
subcomp σ ρ (Λ φ δ) = wd (Λ φ) (trans (subwd (liftSub-comp σ ρ) δ) (subcomp (liftSub σ

```

Lemma 4. *The finite sets and substitutions form a category under this composition.*

```

assoc : ∀ {P Q R S} {ρ : Sub R S} {σ : Sub Q R} {τ : Sub P Q} →
  ρ • (σ • τ) ~ (ρ • σ) • τ
assoc {P} {Q} {R} {X} {ρ} {σ} {τ} x = sym (subcomp ρ σ (τ x))

subunitl : ∀ {P} {Q} {σ : Sub P Q} → idSub Q • σ ~ σ
subunitl {P} {Q} {σ} x = subid (σ x)

subunitr : ∀ {P} {Q} {σ : Sub P Q} → σ • idSub P ~ σ
subunitr _ = ref

```

Replacement is a special case of substitution, in the following sense:

Lemma 5. *For any replacement ρ ,*

$$\delta\{\rho\} \equiv \delta[\rho]$$

```

rep-is-sub : ∀ {P} {Q} {ρ : El P → El Q} δ → δ < ρ > ≡ δ [ var ∘ ρ ]
rep-is-sub (var x) = ref
rep-is-sub (app δ ε) = wd2 app (rep-is-sub δ) (rep-is-sub ε)
rep-is-sub {Q = Q} {ρ} (Λ φ δ) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof Q) in
  ∴ Λ φ (δ < lift ρ >)
  ≡ Λ φ (δ [ var ∘ lift ρ ]) [ wd (Λ φ) (rep-is-sub δ) ]
  ≡ Λ φ (δ [ liftSub var ∘ lift ρ ]) [[ wd (Λ φ) (subwd (λ x → liftSub-id (lift ρ x)) δ) ]]
  ≡ Λ φ (δ [ liftSub (var ∘ ρ) ]) [[ wd (Λ φ) (subwd (liftSub-comp₂ var ρ) δ) ]]

```

Given $\delta : \mathbf{Proof}(P)$, let $[\perp := \delta] : P + 1 \Rightarrow P$ be the substitution that maps \perp to δ , and $\uparrow x$ to x for $x \in P$. We write $\delta[\epsilon]$ for $\delta[\perp := \epsilon]$.

```

botsub : ∀ {Q} → Proof Q → Sub (Lift Q) Q
botsub δ ⊥ = δ
botsub _ (↑ x) = var x

```

```

subbot : ∀ {P} → Proof (Lift P) → Proof P → Proof P
subbot δ ε = δ [ botsub ε ]

```

Lemma 6. *Let $\delta : \mathbf{Proof}(P)$ and $\sigma : P \Rightarrow Q$. Then*

$$\sigma \bullet [\perp := \delta] \sim [\perp := \delta[\sigma]] \circ (\sigma + 1)$$

```

sub-botsub : ∀ {P} {Q} (σ : Sub P Q) (δ : Proof P) →
  σ • botsub δ ~ botsub (δ [ σ ]) • liftSub σ
sub-botsub σ δ ⊥ = ref
sub-botsub σ δ (↑ x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof _) in
  ∴ σ x
  ≡ σ x [ idSub _ ] [ subid (σ x) ]
  ≡ σ x < ↑ > [ botsub (δ [ σ ]) ] [[ sub-rep (botsub (δ [ σ ])) ↑ (σ x) ]]

```


We write $\delta \rightarrow \epsilon$ iff δ β -reduces to ϵ in zero or more steps, $\delta \rightarrow^+ \epsilon$ iff δ β -reduces to ϵ in one or more steps, and $\delta \simeq \epsilon$ iff the terms δ and ϵ are β -convertible.

Given substitutions ρ and σ , we write $\rho \rightarrow \sigma$ iff $\rho(x) \rightarrow \sigma(x)$ for all x , and $\rho \simeq \sigma$ iff $\rho(x) \simeq \sigma(x)$ for all x .

```
data _→₁_ : ∀ {P} → Proof P → Proof P → Set where
  β : ∀ {P} {φ} {δ} {ε : Proof P} → app (Λ φ δ) ε →₁ subbot δ ε
  ξ : ∀ {P} {φ} {δ} {ε : Proof (Lift P)} → δ →₁ ε → Λ φ δ →₁ Λ φ ε
  appl : ∀ {P} {δ} {δ'} {ε : Proof P} → δ →₁ δ' → app δ ε →₁ app δ' ε
  appr : ∀ {P} {δ ε ε' : Proof P} → ε →₁ ε' → app δ ε →₁ app δ ε'
```

```
data _→⁺_ {P} : Proof P → Proof P → Set where
  red : ∀ {δ} {ε} → δ →₁ ε → δ →⁺ ε
  →⁺trans : ∀ {γ} {δ} {ε} → γ →⁺ δ → δ →⁺ ε → γ →⁺ ε
```

```
data _→_ {P} : Proof P → Proof P → Set where
  red : ∀ {δ} {ε} → δ →₁ ε → δ → ε
  ref : ∀ {δ} → δ → δ
  →trans : ∀ {γ} {δ} {ε} → γ → δ → δ → ε → γ → ε
```

```
data _≅_ {P} : Proof P → Proof P → Set where
  red : ∀ {δ} {ε} → δ →₁ ε → δ ≅ ε
  ref : ∀ {δ} → δ ≅ δ
  ≅sym : ∀ {δ} {ε} → δ ≅ ε → ε ≅ δ
  ≅trans : ∀ {γ} {δ} {ε} → γ ≅ δ → δ ≅ ε → γ ≅ ε
```

Lemma 7. 1. If $\delta \rightarrow \epsilon$ then $\delta[\sigma] \rightarrow \epsilon[\sigma]$.

2. If $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$ then $\delta[\sigma] \rightarrow \delta[\tau]$.

Proof. For part 2, we first prove that if $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$ then $\sigma + 1 \rightarrow \tau + 1$ using part 1. \square

```
sub₁redl : ∀ {P} {Q} {ρ : Sub P Q} {δ ε : Proof P} → δ →₁ ε → δ [ ρ ] →₁ ε [ ρ ]
sub₁redl {P} {Q} {ρ} (β .{P} {φ} {δ} {ε}) = subst (λ x → app (Λ φ (δ [ liftSub ρ ])) (ε
  (let open Equational-Reasoning (Proof Q) in
    ∴ (δ [ liftSub ρ ]) [ botsub (ε [ ρ ]) ]
    ≡ δ [ botsub (ε [ ρ ]) • liftSub ρ ] [[ subcomp (botsub (ε [ ρ ])) (liftSub ρ) δ ]]
    ≡ δ [ ρ • botsub ε ] [[ subwd (sub-botsub ρ ε) δ ]]
    ≡ (δ [ botsub ε ]) [ ρ ] [ subcomp ρ (botsub ε) δ ])
    β
  sub₁redl (ξ δ→₁ε) = ξ (sub₁redl δ→₁ε)
  sub₁redl (appl δ→₁ε) = appl (sub₁redl δ→₁ε)
  sub₁redl (appr δ→₁ε) = appr (sub₁redl δ→₁ε)
```

The *strongly normalizable* terms are defined inductively as follows.

data SN {P} : Proof P → Set₁ where
 SNI : ∀ {φ} → (∀ ψ → φ →₁ ψ → SN ψ) → SN φ

Lemma 8. 1. If $\delta\epsilon \in SN$ then $\delta \in SN$ and $\epsilon \in SN$.

2. If $\delta[\perp := N] \in SN$ then $\delta \in SN$.

3. If $\delta \in SN$ and $\delta \multimap \epsilon$ then $\epsilon \in SN$.

4. If $\delta[x := \epsilon] \in SN$ and $\epsilon \in SN$ then $(\lambda x : \phi.\delta)\epsilon \in SN$.

SNappl : ∀ {Q} {δ ε : Proof Q} → SN (app δ ε) → SN δ
 SNappl {Q} {δ} {ε} (SNI δε-is-SN) = SNI (λ δ' δ→₁δ' → SNappl (δε-is-SN (app δ' ε) (appl

SNappr : ∀ {Q} {δ ε : Proof Q} → SN (app δ ε) → SN ε
 SNappr {Q} {δ} {ε} (SNI δε-is-SN) = SNI (λ ε' ε→₁ε' → SNappr (δε-is-SN (app δ ε') (appr

SNsub : ∀ {Q} {δ : Proof (Lift Q)} {ε} → SN (subbot δ ε) → SN δ
 SNsub {Q} {δ} {ε} (SNI δε-is-SN) = SNI (λ δ' δ→₁δ' → SNsub (δε-is-SN (δ' [botsub ε])) (S

preSNexp : ∀ {P} {δ : Proof (Lift P)} {ε} {φ} → SN (subbot δ ε) → SN ε → ∀ γ → (app (preSNexp {P} {δ} {ε} SNδε SNe) (δ [botsub ε] β) = SNδε
 preSNexp {P} {δ} {ε} {φ} SNδε SNe (app (Λ φ ε₁) .ε) (appl (ξ {P} {φ} {δ} {ε₁} δ→₁ε₁))
 preSNexp SNδε SNe (app (Λ φ ε₁) ε) (appl (ξ δ→₁ε₁))
 preSNexp {P} {δ} {ε} {φ} SNδε SNe (app (Λ φ δ) ε') (appr {P} {φ} {δ} {ε} {ε'} ε→₁ε')
 preSNexp SNδε SNe (app (Λ φ δ) ε') (appr ε→₁ε')

SNexp : ∀ {P} {δ : Proof (Lift P)} {ε} {φ} → SN (subbot δ ε) → SN ε → SN (app (Λ φ δ)
 SNexp SNδε SNe = SNI (preSNexp SNδε SNe)

The rules of deduction of the system are as follows.

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi} (p : \phi \in \Gamma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \delta\epsilon : \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : \phi}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, p : \phi \vdash \delta : \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda p : \phi.\delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi}$$

data _|-_::_ : ∀ {P} → PContext P → Proof P → Prp → Set₁ where
 var : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {p} → Γ ⊢ var p :: propof p Γ
 app : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {δ} {ε} {φ} {ψ} → Γ ⊢ δ :: φ ⇒ ψ → Γ ⊢ ε :: φ → Γ ⊢ ap
 Λ : ∀ {P} {Γ : PContext P} {φ} {δ} {ψ} → (Γ , φ) ⊢ δ :: ψ → Γ ⊢ Λ φ δ :: φ ⇒ ψ

We define the sets of *computable* proofs $C_\Gamma(\phi)$ for each context Γ and proposition ϕ as follows:

$$C_\Gamma(\perp) = \{\delta \mid \Gamma \vdash \delta : \perp, \delta \in SN\}$$

$$C_\Gamma(\phi \rightarrow \psi) = \{\delta \mid \Gamma : \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi, \forall \epsilon \in C_\Gamma(\phi). \delta \epsilon \in C_\Gamma(\psi)\}$$

```
C : ∀ {P} → PContext P → Prp → Proof P → Set1
C Γ ⊥ δ = (Γ ⊢ δ :: ⊥) ∧ SN δ
C Γ (φ ⇒ ψ) δ = (Γ ⊢ δ :: φ ⇒ ψ) ∧ (∀ ε → C Γ φ ε → C Γ ψ (app δ ε))
```

```
module PHOPL where
open import Prelims
```

4 Predicative Higher-Order Propositional Logic

Fix sets of *proof variables* and *term variables*.

The syntax of the system is given by the following grammar.

| | |
|---------------|--|
| Proof | $\delta ::= p \mid \delta\delta \mid \lambda p : \phi. \delta$ |
| Term | $M, \phi ::= x \mid \perp \mid MM \mid \phi \rightarrow \phi \mid \lambda x : A. M$ |
| Type | $A ::= \Omega \mid A \rightarrow A$ |
| Term Context | $\Gamma ::= \langle \rangle \mid \Gamma, x : A$ |
| Proof Context | $\Delta ::= \langle \rangle \mid \Delta, p : \phi$ |
| Judgement | $\mathcal{J} ::= \Gamma \text{ valid} \mid \Gamma \vdash M : A \mid \Gamma, \Delta \text{ valid} \mid \Gamma, \Delta \vdash \delta : \phi$ |

where p ranges over proof variables and x ranges over term variables. The variable p is bound within δ in the proof $\lambda p : \phi. \delta$, and the variable x is bound within M in the term $\lambda x : A. M$. We identify proofs and terms up to α -conversion.

In the implementation, we write **Term**(V) for the set of all terms with free variables a subset of V , where $V : \mathbf{FinSet}$.

```
infix 80 _⇒_
data Type : Set where
  Ω : Type
  _⇒_ : Type → Type → Type

--Context V P is the set of all contexts whose domain consists of the term variables in
infix 80 _.,_
data TContext : FinSet → Set where
  ⟨⟩ : TContext ∅
  _.,_ : ∀ {V} → TContext V → Type → TContext (Lift V)

--Term V is the set of all terms M with FV(M) ⊆ V
data Term : FinSet → Set where
  var : ∀ {V} → El V → Term V
```

```

⊥ : ∀ {V} → Term V
app : ∀ {V} → Term V → Term V → Term V
Λ : ∀ {V} → Type → Term (Lift V) → Term V
_⇒_ : ∀ {V} → Term V → Term V → Term V

data PContext (V : FinSet) : FinSet → Set where
  ⟨⟩ : PContext V ∅
  _,_ : ∀ {P} → PContext V P → Term V → PContext V (Lift P)

--Proof V P is the set of all proofs with term variables among V and proof variables among P
data Proof (V : FinSet) : FinSet → Set1 where
  var : ∀ {P} → El P → Proof V P
  app : ∀ {P} → Proof V P → Proof V P → Proof V P
  Λ : ∀ {P} → Term V → Proof V (Lift P) → Proof V P

Let U, V : FinSet. A replacement from U to V is just a function  $U \rightarrow V$ .
Given a term  $M : \mathbf{Term}(U)$  and a replacement  $\rho : U \rightarrow V$ , we write  $M\{\rho\} : \mathbf{Term}(V)$  for the result of replacing each variable  $x$  in  $M$  with  $\rho(x)$ .

infix 60 _<_>
_<_> : ∀ {U V} → Term U → Rep U V → Term V
(var x) < ρ > = var (ρ x)
⊥ < ρ > = ⊥
(app M N) < ρ > = app (M < ρ >) (N < ρ >)
(Λ A M) < ρ > = Λ A (M < lift ρ >)
(ϕ ⇒ ψ) < ρ > = (ϕ < ρ >) ⇒ (ψ < ρ >)

With this as the action on arrows, Term() becomes a functor FinSet → Set.

repwd : ∀ {U V : FinSet} {ρ ρ' : El U → El V} → ρ ~ ρ' → ∀ M → M < ρ > ≡ M < ρ' >
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (var x) = wd var (ρ-is-ρ' x)
repwd ρ-is-ρ' ⊥ = ref
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (app M N) = wd2 app (repwd ρ-is-ρ' M) (repwd ρ-is-ρ' N)
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (Λ A M) = wd (Λ A) (repwd (liftwd ρ-is-ρ') M)
repwd ρ-is-ρ' (ϕ ⇒ ψ) = wd2 _⇒_ (repwd ρ-is-ρ' ϕ) (repwd ρ-is-ρ' ψ)

repid : ∀ {V : FinSet} M → M < id (El V) > ≡ M
repid (var x) = ref
repid ⊥ = ref
repid (app M N) = wd2 app (repid M) (repid N)
repid (Λ A M) = wd (Λ A) (trans (repwd liftid M) (repid M))
repid (ϕ ⇒ ψ) = wd2 _⇒_ (repid ϕ) (repid ψ)

repcomp : ∀ {U V W : FinSet} (σ : El V → El W) (ρ : El U → El V) M → M < σ ∘ ρ > ≡ M
repcomp ρ σ (var x) = ref
repcomp ρ σ ⊥ = ref

```

```

repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  (app M N) = wd2 app (repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  M) (repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  N)
repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  ( $\Lambda$  A M) = wd ( $\Lambda$  A) (trans (repwd liftcomp M) (repcomp (lift  $\rho$ ) (lift  $\sigma$ ) M))
repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$  ( $\phi \Rightarrow \psi$ ) = wd2  $\Rightarrow$  (repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$   $\phi$ ) (repcomp  $\rho$   $\sigma$   $\psi$ )

```

A substitution σ from U to V , $\sigma : U \Rightarrow V$, is a function $\sigma : U \rightarrow \mathbf{Term}(V)$.

```

Sub : FinSet  $\rightarrow$  FinSet  $\rightarrow$  Set
Sub U V = El U  $\rightarrow$  Term V

```

The identity substitution $\text{id}_V : V \Rightarrow V$ is defined as follows.

```

idSub :  $\forall$  V  $\rightarrow$  Sub V V
idSub _ = var

```

Given $\sigma : U \Rightarrow V$ and $M : \mathbf{Term}(U)$, we want to define $M[\sigma] : \mathbf{Term}(V)$, the result of applying the substitution σ to M . Only after this will we be able to define the composition of two substitutions. However, there is some work we need to do before we are able to do this.

We can define the composition of a substitution and a replacement as follows.

```

infix 75  $\bullet_1$ 
 $\bullet_1$  :  $\forall$  {U} {V} {W}  $\rightarrow$  Rep V W  $\rightarrow$  Sub U V  $\rightarrow$  Sub U W
( $\rho \bullet_1 \sigma$ ) u =  $\sigma$  u <  $\rho$  >

```

(On the other side, given $\rho : U \rightarrow V$ and $\sigma : V \Rightarrow W$, the composition is just function composition $\sigma \circ \rho : U \Rightarrow W$.)

Given a substitution $\sigma : U \Rightarrow V$, define the substitution $\sigma + 1 : U + 1 \Rightarrow V + 1$ as follows.

```

liftSub :  $\forall$  {U} {V}  $\rightarrow$  Sub U V  $\rightarrow$  Sub (Lift U) (Lift V)
liftSub _  $\perp$  = var  $\perp$ 
liftSub  $\sigma$  ( $\uparrow$  x) =  $\sigma$  x <  $\uparrow$  >

```

```

liftSub-wd :  $\forall$  {U V} { $\sigma \sigma'$  : Sub U V}  $\rightarrow$   $\sigma \sim \sigma' \rightarrow$  liftSub  $\sigma \sim$  liftSub  $\sigma'$ 
liftSub-wd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$   $\perp$  = ref
liftSub-wd  $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  ( $\uparrow$  x) = wd ( $\lambda$  x  $\rightarrow$  x <  $\uparrow$  >) ( $\sigma$ -is- $\sigma'$  x)

```

Lemma 9. *The operations fml_1 and $(-)+1$ satisfied the following properties.*

1. $\text{id}_V + 1 = \text{id}_{V+1}$
2. For $\rho : V \rightarrow W$ and $\sigma : U \Rightarrow V$, we have $(\rho \bullet \sigma) + 1 = (\rho + 1) \bullet (\sigma + 1)$.
3. For $\sigma : V \Rightarrow W$ and $\rho : U \rightarrow V$, we have $(\sigma \circ \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \circ (\rho + 1)$.

```

liftSub-id :  $\forall$  {V : FinSet}  $\rightarrow$  liftSub (idSub V)  $\sim$  idSub (Lift V)
liftSub-id  $\perp$  = ref
liftSub-id ( $\uparrow$  x) = ref

```

```

liftSub-comp1 : ∀ {U V W : FinSet} (σ : Sub U V) (ρ : Rep V W) →
  liftSub (ρ •1 σ) ~ lift ρ •1 liftSub σ
liftSub-comp1 σ ρ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-comp1 {W = W} σ ρ (↑ x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term (Lift W)) in
  ∴ σ x < ρ > < ↑ >
  ≡ σ x < ↑ ∘ ρ > [[ repcomp ↑ ρ (σ x) ]]
  ≡ σ x < ↑ > < lift ρ > [ repcomp (lift ρ) ↑ (σ x) ]
--because lift ρ (↑ x) = ↑ (ρ x)

liftSub-comp2 : ∀ {U V W : FinSet} (σ : Sub V W) (ρ : Rep U V) →
  liftSub (σ ∘ ρ) ~ liftSub σ ∘ lift ρ
liftSub-comp2 σ ρ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-comp2 σ ρ (↑ x) = ref

```

Now define $M[\sigma]$ as follows.

```

--Term is a monad with unit var and the following multiplication
infix 60 _[[_]]
_[[_]] : ∀ {U V : FinSet} → Term U → Sub U V → Term V
(var x)  [[ σ ]] = σ x
⊥        [[ σ ]] = ⊥
(app M N) [[ σ ]] = app (M [[ σ ]]) (N [[ σ ]])
(Λ A M)   [[ σ ]] = Λ A (M [[ liftSub σ ]])
(φ ⇒ ψ)  [[ σ ]] = (φ [[ σ ]]) ⇒ (ψ [[ σ ]])

subwd : ∀ {U V : FinSet} {σ σ' : Sub U V} → σ ~ σ' → ∀ M → M [[ σ ]] ≡ M [[ σ' ]]
subwd σ-is-σ' (var x) = σ-is-σ' x
subwd σ-is-σ' ⊥ = ref
subwd σ-is-σ' (app M N) = wd2 app (subwd σ-is-σ' M) (subwd σ-is-σ' N)
subwd σ-is-σ' (Λ A M) = wd (Λ A) (subwd (liftSub-wd σ-is-σ') M)
subwd σ-is-σ' (φ ⇒ ψ) = wd2 _⇒_ (subwd σ-is-σ' φ) (subwd σ-is-σ' ψ)

```

This interacts with our previous operations in a good way:

Lemma 10. 1. $M[\text{id}_V] \equiv M$

2. $M[\rho \bullet \sigma] \equiv M[\sigma]\{\rho\}$

3. $M[\sigma \circ \rho] \equiv M < \rho > [\sigma]$

```

subid : ∀ {V : FinSet} (M : Term V) → M [[ idSub V ]] ≡ M
subid (var x) = ref
subid ⊥ = ref
subid (app M N) = wd2 app (subid M) (subid N)
subid {V} (Λ A M) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term V) in
  ∴ Λ A (M [[ liftSub (idSub V) ]])
  ≡ Λ A (M [[ idSub (Lift V) ]]) [ wd (Λ A) (subwd liftSub-id M) ]
  ≡ Λ A M [ wd (Λ A) (subid M) ]

```

subid $(\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = \text{wd2 } _ \Rightarrow _ (\text{subid } \phi) (\text{subid } \psi)$

rep-sub : $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } U \ V) (\rho : \text{Rep } V \ W) (M : \text{Term } U) \rightarrow M \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket < \rho > \equiv M \llbracket \sigma \circ \rho \rrbracket$
 rep-sub $\sigma \ \rho \ (\text{var } x) = \text{ref}$
 rep-sub $\sigma \ \rho \ \perp = \text{ref}$
 rep-sub $\sigma \ \rho \ (\text{app } M \ N) = \text{wd2 app } (\text{rep-sub } \sigma \ \rho \ M) (\text{rep-sub } \sigma \ \rho \ N)$
 rep-sub $\{W = W\} \sigma \ \rho \ (\Lambda A \ M) = \text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Term W) in}$
 $\quad \therefore \Lambda A ((M \llbracket \text{liftSub } \sigma \rrbracket) < \text{lift } \rho >)$
 $\quad \equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket \text{lift } \rho \bullet_1 \text{liftSub } \sigma \rrbracket) [\text{wd } (\Lambda A) (\text{rep-sub } (\text{liftSub } \sigma) (\text{lift } \rho) M)]$
 $\quad \equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket \text{liftSub } (\rho \bullet_1 \sigma) \rrbracket) [[\text{wd } (\Lambda A) (\text{subwd } (\text{liftSub-comp}_1 \ \sigma \ \rho) M)]]$
 rep-sub $\sigma \ \rho \ (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = \text{wd2 } _ \Rightarrow _ (\text{rep-sub } \sigma \ \rho \ \phi) (\text{rep-sub } \sigma \ \rho \ \psi)$

sub-rep : $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } V \ W) (\rho : \text{Rep } U \ V) M \rightarrow M < \rho > \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \equiv M \llbracket \sigma \circ \rho \rrbracket$
 sub-rep $\sigma \ \rho \ (\text{var } x) = \text{ref}$
 sub-rep $\sigma \ \rho \ \perp = \text{ref}$
 sub-rep $\sigma \ \rho \ (\text{app } M \ N) = \text{wd2 app } (\text{sub-rep } \sigma \ \rho \ M) (\text{sub-rep } \sigma \ \rho \ N)$
 sub-rep $\{W = W\} \sigma \ \rho \ (\Lambda A \ M) = \text{let open Equational-Reasoning (Term W) in}$
 $\quad \therefore \Lambda A ((M < \text{lift } \rho >) \llbracket \text{liftSub } \sigma \rrbracket)$
 $\quad \equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket \text{liftSub } \sigma \circ \text{lift } \rho \rrbracket) [\text{wd } (\Lambda A) (\text{sub-rep } (\text{liftSub } \sigma) (\text{lift } \rho) M)]$
 $\quad \equiv \Lambda A (M \llbracket \text{liftSub } (\sigma \circ \rho) \rrbracket) [[\text{wd } (\Lambda A) (\text{subwd } (\text{liftSub-comp}_2 \ \sigma \ \rho) M)]]$
 sub-rep $\sigma \ \rho \ (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = \text{wd2 } _ \Rightarrow _ (\text{sub-rep } \sigma \ \rho \ \phi) (\text{sub-rep } \sigma \ \rho \ \psi)$

We define the composition of two substitutions, as follows.

infix 75 \bullet
 $\bullet : \forall \{U \ V \ W : \text{FinSet}\} \rightarrow \text{Sub } V \ W \rightarrow \text{Sub } U \ V \rightarrow \text{Sub } U \ W$
 $(\sigma \bullet \rho) \ x = \rho \ x \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$

Lemma 11. *Let $\sigma : V \Rightarrow W$ and $\rho : U \Rightarrow V$.*

1. $(\sigma \bullet \rho) + 1 = (\sigma + 1) \bullet (\rho + 1)$
2. $M[\sigma \bullet \rho] \equiv M[\rho][\sigma]$

liftSub-comp : $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } V \ W) (\rho : \text{Sub } U \ V) \rightarrow$
 $\quad \text{liftSub } (\sigma \bullet \rho) \sim \text{liftSub } \sigma \bullet \text{liftSub } \rho$
 liftSub-comp $\sigma \ \rho \ \perp = \text{ref}$
 liftSub-comp $\sigma \ \rho \ (\uparrow x) = \text{trans } (\text{rep-sub } \sigma \ \uparrow (\rho \ x)) (\text{sym } (\text{sub-rep } (\text{liftSub } \sigma) \ \uparrow (\rho \ x)))$

subcomp : $\forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} (\sigma : \text{Sub } V \ W) (\rho : \text{Sub } U \ V) M \rightarrow M \llbracket \sigma \bullet \rho \rrbracket \equiv M \llbracket \rho \rrbracket \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$
 subcomp $\sigma \ \rho \ (\text{var } x) = \text{ref}$
 subcomp $\sigma \ \rho \ \perp = \text{ref}$
 subcomp $\sigma \ \rho \ (\text{app } M \ N) = \text{wd2 app } (\text{subcomp } \sigma \ \rho \ M) (\text{subcomp } \sigma \ \rho \ N)$
 subcomp $\sigma \ \rho \ (\Lambda A \ M) = \text{wd } (\Lambda A) (\text{trans } (\text{subwd } (\text{liftSub-comp } \sigma \ \rho) M) (\text{subcomp } (\text{liftSub } \sigma \bullet \text{liftSub } \rho) M))$
 subcomp $\sigma \ \rho \ (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = \text{wd2 } _ \Rightarrow _ (\text{subcomp } \sigma \ \rho \ \phi) (\text{subcomp } \sigma \ \rho \ \psi)$

Lemma 12. *The finite sets and substitutions form a category under this composition.*

```

assoc : ∀ {U V W X} {ρ : Sub W X} {σ : Sub V W} {τ : Sub U V} →
  ρ • (σ • τ) ~ (ρ • σ) • τ
assoc {U} {V} {W} {X} {ρ} {σ} {τ} x = sym (subcomp ρ σ (τ x))

```

```

subunitl : ∀ {U} {V} {σ : Sub U V} → idSub V • σ ~ σ
subunitl {U} {V} {σ} x = subid (σ x)

```

```

subunitr : ∀ {U} {V} {σ : Sub U V} → σ • idSub U ~ σ
subunitr _ = ref

```

-- The second monad law

```

rep-is-sub : ∀ {U} {V} {ρ : El U → El V} M → M < ρ > ≡ M [ var ∘ ρ ]
rep-is-sub (var x) = ref
rep-is-sub ⊥ = ref
rep-is-sub (app M N) = wd2 app (rep-is-sub M) (rep-is-sub N)
rep-is-sub {V = V} {ρ} (Λ A M) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term V) in
  ∴ Λ A (M < lift ρ >)
  ≡ Λ A (M [ var ∘ lift ρ ]) [ wd (Λ A) (rep-is-sub M) ]
  ≡ Λ A (M [ liftSub var ∘ lift ρ ]) [[ wd (Λ A) (subwd (λ x → liftSub-id (lift ρ x)) M) ]
  ≡ Λ A (M [ liftSub (var ∘ ρ) ]) [[ wd (Λ A) (subwd (liftSub-comp2 var ρ) M) ]]
--wd (Λ A) (trans (rep-is-sub M) (subwd {!!} M))
rep-is-sub (φ ⇒ ψ) = wd2 _⇒_ (rep-is-sub φ) (rep-is-sub ψ)

```

```

typeof : ∀ {V} → El V → TContext V → Type
typeof ⊥ (_ , A) = A
typeof (↑ x) (Γ , _) = typeof x Γ

```

```

propof : ∀ {V} {P} → El P → PContext V P → Term V
propof ⊥ (_ , φ) = φ
propof (↑ p) (Γ , _) = propof p Γ

```

```

liftSub-var' : ∀ {U} {V} (ρ : El U → El V) → liftSub (var ∘ ρ) ~ var ∘ lift ρ
liftSub-var' ρ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-var' ρ (↑ x) = ref

```

```

botsub : ∀ {V} → Term V → Sub (Lift V) V
botsub M ⊥ = M
botsub _ (↑ x) = var x

```

```

sub-botsub : ∀ {U} {V} (σ : Sub U V) (M : Term U) (x : El (Lift U)) →
  botsub M x [ σ ] ≡ liftSub σ x [ botsub (M [ σ ]) ]
sub-botsub σ M ⊥ = ref
sub-botsub σ M (↑ x) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Term _) in
  ∴ σ x
  ≡ σ x [ idSub _ ] [ subid (σ x) ]

```



```

≡ σ x < ↑ > [[ botsub (M [[ σ ]]) ]]      [[ sub-rep (botsub (M [[ σ ]])) ↑ (σ x) ]]

rep-botsub : ∀ {U} {V} (ρ : El U → El V) (M : Term U) (x : El (Lift U)) →
  botsub M x < ρ > ≡ botsub (M < ρ >) (lift ρ x)
rep-botsub ρ M x = trans (rep-is-sub (botsub M x))
  (trans (sub-botsub (var ∘ ρ) M x) (trans (subwd (λ x₁ → wd (λ y → botsub y x₁)) (sym (
    wd (λ x → x [[ botsub (M < ρ >)]]) (liftSub-var' ρ x))))))
--TODO Inline this?

```

```

subbot : ∀ {V} → Term (Lift V) → Term V → Term V
subbot M N = M [[ botsub N ]]

```

We write $M \simeq N$ iff the terms M and N are β -convertible, and similarly for proofs.

```

data _→_ : ∀ {V} → Term V → Term V → Set where
  β : ∀ {V} A (M : Term (Lift V)) N → app (Λ A M) N → subbot M N
  ref : ∀ {V} {M : Term V} → M → M
  →trans : ∀ {V} {M N P : Term V} → M → N → N → P → M → P
  app : ∀ {V} {M M' N N' : Term V} → M → M' → N → N' → app M N → app M' N'
  Λ : ∀ {V} {M N : Term (Lift V)} {A} → M → N → Λ A M → Λ A N
  imp : ∀ {V} {φ φ' ψ ψ' : Term V} → φ → φ' → ψ → ψ' → φ ⇒ ψ → φ' ⇒ ψ'

repred : ∀ {U} {V} {ρ : El U → El V} {M N : Term U} → M → N → M < ρ > → N < ρ >
repred {U} {V} {ρ} (β A M N) = subst (λ x → app (Λ A (M < lift ρ >)) (N < ρ > → x)) (
repred ref = ref
repred (→trans M→N N→P) = →trans (repred M→N) (repred N→P)
repred (app M→N M'→N') = app (repred M→N) (repred M'→N')
repred (Λ M→N) = Λ (repred M→N)
repred (imp φ→φ' ψ→ψ') = imp (repred φ→φ') (repred ψ→ψ')

liftSub-red : ∀ {U} {V} {ρ σ : Sub U V} → (∀ x → ρ x → σ x) → (∀ x → liftSub ρ x →
liftSub-red ρ→σ ⊥ = ref
liftSub-red ρ→σ (↑ x) = repred (ρ→σ x)

subred : ∀ {U} {V} {ρ σ : Sub U V} (M : Term U) → (∀ x → ρ x → σ x) → M [[ ρ ]] → M [[
subred (var x) ρ→σ = ρ→σ x
subred ⊥ ρ→σ = ref
subred (app M N) ρ→σ = app (subred M ρ→σ) (subred N ρ→σ)
subred (Λ A M) ρ→σ = Λ (subred M (liftSub-red ρ→σ))
subred (φ ⇒ ψ) ρ→σ = imp (subred φ ρ→σ) (subred ψ ρ→σ)

subsub : ∀ {U} {V} {W} (σ : Sub V W) (ρ : Sub U V) M → M [[ ρ ]] [[ σ ]] ≡ M [[ σ • ρ ]]
subsub σ ρ (var x) = ref
subsub σ ρ ⊥ = ref
subsub σ ρ (app M N) = wd2 app (subsub σ ρ M) (subsub σ ρ N)

```

$\text{subsub } \sigma \ \rho \ (\Lambda \ A \ M) = \text{wd } (\Lambda \ A) \ (\text{trans } (\text{subsub } (\text{liftSub } \sigma) \ (\text{liftSub } \rho) \ M) \ (\text{subwd } (\lambda \ x \rightarrow \text{sym } (\text{liftSub-comp } \sigma \ \rho \ x)) \ M))$
 $\text{subsub } \sigma \ \rho \ (\phi \Rightarrow \psi) = \text{wd2 } _ \Rightarrow _ \ (\text{subsub } \sigma \ \rho \ \phi) \ (\text{subsub } \sigma \ \rho \ \psi)$

$\text{subredr} : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{\sigma : \text{Sub } U \ V\} \{M \ N : \text{Term } U\} \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow M \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \rightarrow N \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$
 $\text{subredr } \{U\} \{V\} \{\sigma\} (\beta \ A \ M \ N) = \text{subst } (\lambda \ x \rightarrow \text{app } (\Lambda \ A \ (M \llbracket \text{liftSub } \sigma \rrbracket)) \ (N \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket)) \ x$
 $(\text{sym } (\text{trans } (\text{subsub } (\text{botsub } (N \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket)) \ (\text{liftSub } \sigma) \ M) \ (\text{subwd } (\lambda \ x \rightarrow \text{sym } (\text{sub-botsub } \sigma \ M))))$
 $\text{subredr ref} = \text{ref}$
 $\text{subredr } (\rightarrow\text{trans } M \rightarrow N \rightarrow P) = \rightarrow\text{trans } (\text{subredr } M \rightarrow N) \ (\text{subredr } N \rightarrow P)$
 $\text{subredr } (\text{app } M \rightarrow M' \ N \rightarrow N') = \text{app } (\text{subredr } M \rightarrow M') \ (\text{subredr } N \rightarrow N')$
 $\text{subredr } (\Lambda \ M \rightarrow N) = \Lambda \ (\text{subredr } M \rightarrow N)$
 $\text{subredr } (\text{imp } \phi \rightarrow \phi' \ \psi \rightarrow \psi') = \text{imp } (\text{subredr } \phi \rightarrow \phi') \ (\text{subredr } \psi \rightarrow \psi')$

$\text{data } \simeq _ : \forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{Term } V \rightarrow \text{Term } V \rightarrow \text{Set}_1 \text{ where}$
 $\beta : \forall \{V\} \{A\} \{M : \text{Term } (\text{Lift } V)\} \{N\} \rightarrow \text{app } (\Lambda \ A \ M) \ N \simeq \text{subbot } M \ N$
 $\text{ref} : \forall \{V\} \{M : \text{Term } V\} \rightarrow M \simeq M$
 $\simeq\text{sym} : \forall \{V\} \{M \ N : \text{Term } V\} \rightarrow M \simeq N \rightarrow N \simeq M$
 $\simeq\text{trans} : \forall \{V\} \{M \ N \ P : \text{Term } V\} \rightarrow M \simeq N \rightarrow N \simeq P \rightarrow M \simeq P$
 $\text{app} : \forall \{V\} \{M \ M' \ N \ N' : \text{Term } V\} \rightarrow M \simeq M' \rightarrow N \simeq N' \rightarrow \text{app } M \ N \simeq \text{app } M' \ N'$
 $\Lambda : \forall \{V\} \{M \ N : \text{Term } (\text{Lift } V)\} \{A\} \rightarrow M \simeq N \rightarrow \Lambda \ A \ M \simeq \Lambda \ A \ N$
 $\text{imp} : \forall \{V\} \{\phi \ \phi' \ \psi \ \psi' : \text{Term } V\} \rightarrow \phi \simeq \phi' \rightarrow \psi \simeq \psi' \rightarrow \phi \Rightarrow \psi \simeq \phi' \Rightarrow \psi'$

The *strongly normalizable* terms are defined inductively as follows.

$\text{data } \text{SN } \{V\} : \text{Term } V \rightarrow \text{Set}_1 \text{ where}$
 $\text{SNI} : \forall \{M\} \rightarrow (\forall N \rightarrow M \rightarrow N \rightarrow \text{SN } N) \rightarrow \text{SN } M$

Lemma 13. 1. If $MN \in \text{SN}$ then $M \in \text{SN}$ and $N \in \text{SN}$.

2. If $M[x := N] \in \text{SN}$ then $M \in \text{SN}$.

3. If $M \in \text{SN}$ and $M \triangleright N$ then $N \in \text{SN}$.

4. If $M[x := N]\vec{P} \in \text{SN}$ and $N \in \text{SN}$ then $(\lambda x M)N\vec{P} \in \text{SN}$.

$\text{SNapp1} : \forall \{V\} \{M \ N : \text{Term } V\} \rightarrow \text{SN } (\text{app } M \ N) \rightarrow \text{SN } M$
 $\text{SNapp1 } \{V\} \{M\} \{N\} (\text{SNI } MN\text{-is-SN}) = \text{SNI } (\lambda \ P \ M \triangleright P \rightarrow \text{SNapp1 } (MN\text{-is-SN } (\text{app } P \ N)) \ (\text{app } M \triangleright P))$

$\text{SNappr} : \forall \{V\} \{M \ N : \text{Term } V\} \rightarrow \text{SN } (\text{app } M \ N) \rightarrow \text{SN } N$
 $\text{SNappr } \{V\} \{M\} \{N\} (\text{SNI } MN\text{-is-SN}) = \text{SNI } (\lambda \ P \ N \triangleright P \rightarrow \text{SNappr } (MN\text{-is-SN } (\text{app } M \ P)) \ (\text{app } \text{ref } P))$

$\text{SNsub} : \forall \{V\} \{M : \text{Term } (\text{Lift } V)\} \{N\} \rightarrow \text{SN } (\text{subbot } M \ N) \rightarrow \text{SN } M$
 $\text{SNsub } \{V\} \{M\} \{N\} (\text{SNI } MN\text{-is-SN}) = \text{SNI } (\lambda \ P \ M \triangleright P \rightarrow \text{SNsub } (MN\text{-is-SN } (P \llbracket \text{botsub } N \rrbracket)) \ (\text{subbot } M \ P))$

The rules of deduction of the system are as follows.

$$\frac{}{\langle \rangle \text{ valid}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma, x : A \text{ valid}} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega}{\Gamma, p : \phi \text{ valid}}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash x : A} (x : A \in \Gamma) \quad \frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash p : \phi} (p : \phi \in \Gamma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \text{ valid}}{\Gamma \vdash \perp : \Omega} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega}{\Gamma \vdash \phi \rightarrow \psi : \Omega}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash M : A \rightarrow B \quad \Gamma \vdash N : A}{\Gamma \vdash MN : B} \quad \frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi \quad \Gamma \vdash \epsilon : \phi}{\Gamma \vdash \delta \epsilon : \psi}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x : A \vdash M : B}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda x : A. M : A \rightarrow B} \quad \frac{\Gamma, p : \phi \vdash \delta : \psi}{\Gamma \vdash \lambda p : \phi. \delta : \phi \rightarrow \psi}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi \quad \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega}{\Gamma \vdash \delta : \psi} (\phi \simeq \psi)$$

mutual

data Tvalid : $\forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{TContext } V \rightarrow \text{Set}_1$ where

$\langle \rangle : \text{Tvalid } \langle \rangle$

$_,_ : \forall \{V\} \{ \Gamma : \text{TContext } V \} \rightarrow \text{Tvalid } \Gamma \rightarrow \forall A \rightarrow \text{Tvalid } (\Gamma , A)$

data $_ \vdash _ : \forall \{V\} \rightarrow \text{TContext } V \rightarrow \text{Term } V \rightarrow \text{Type} \rightarrow \text{Set}_1$ where

$\text{var} : \forall \{V\} \{ \Gamma : \text{TContext } V \} \{x\} \rightarrow \text{Tvalid } \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \text{var } x : \text{typeof } x \Gamma$

$\perp : \forall \{V\} \{ \Gamma : \text{TContext } V \} \rightarrow \text{Tvalid } \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \perp : \Omega$

$\text{imp} : \forall \{V\} \{ \Gamma : \text{TContext } V \} \{ \phi \} \{ \psi \} \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \phi : \Omega \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \psi : \Omega \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \phi \Rightarrow \psi : \Omega$

$\text{app} : \forall \{V\} \{ \Gamma : \text{TContext } V \} \{M\} \{N\} \{A\} \{B\} \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash M : A \Rightarrow B \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash N : A \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \text{app } M N : B$

$\Lambda : \forall \{V\} \{ \Gamma : \text{TContext } V \} \{A\} \{M\} \{B\} \rightarrow \Gamma , A \vdash M : B \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \Lambda A M : A \Rightarrow B$

data Pvalid : $\forall \{V\} \{P\} \rightarrow \text{TContext } V \rightarrow \text{PContext } V P \rightarrow \text{Set}_1$ where

$\langle \rangle : \forall \{V\} \{ \Gamma : \text{TContext } V \} \rightarrow \text{Tvalid } \Gamma \rightarrow \text{Pvalid } \Gamma \langle \rangle$

$_,_ : \forall \{V\} \{P\} \{ \Gamma : \text{TContext } V \} \{ \Delta : \text{PContext } V P \} \{ \phi : \text{Term } V \} \rightarrow \text{Pvalid } \Gamma \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma \vdash \phi : \Delta$

data $_,_,_ \vdash _ : \forall \{V\} \{P\} \rightarrow \text{TContext } V \rightarrow \text{PContext } V P \rightarrow \text{Proof } V P \rightarrow \text{Term } V \rightarrow \text{Set}_1$ where

$\text{var} : \forall \{V\} \{P\} \{ \Gamma : \text{TContext } V \} \{ \Delta : \text{PContext } V P \} \{p\} \rightarrow \text{Pvalid } \Gamma \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma , , \Delta \vdash \text{var } p : \text{typeof } p \Gamma , , \Delta$

$\text{app} : \forall \{V\} \{P\} \{ \Gamma : \text{TContext } V \} \{ \Delta : \text{PContext } V P \} \{ \delta \} \{ \epsilon \} \{ \phi \} \{ \psi \} \rightarrow \Gamma , , \Delta \vdash \delta : \phi \rightarrow \Gamma , , \Delta \vdash \epsilon : \psi \rightarrow \Gamma , , \Delta \vdash \text{app } \delta \epsilon : \phi \rightarrow \psi$

$\Lambda : \forall \{V\} \{P\} \{ \Gamma : \text{TContext } V \} \{ \Delta : \text{PContext } V P \} \{ \phi \} \{ \delta \} \{ \psi \} \rightarrow \Gamma , , \Delta , \phi \vdash \delta : \psi$

$\text{conv} : \forall \{V\} \{P\} \{ \Gamma : \text{TContext } V \} \{ \Delta : \text{PContext } V P \} \{ \delta \} \{ \phi \} \{ \psi \} \rightarrow \Gamma , , \Delta \vdash \delta : \phi \rightarrow \Gamma , , \Delta \vdash \delta : \psi$