Type Theories with Computation Rules for the Univalence Axiom

Robin Adams

February 2, 2016

1 Preliminaries

```
module Prelims where
```

```
postulate Level : Set
postulate zro : Level
postulate suc : Level → Level
{-# BUILTIN LEVEL Level #-}
{-# BUILTIN LEVELZERO zro #-}
{-# BUILTIN LEVELSUC suc #-}
```

1.1 Conjunction

```
data _\_ {i} (P Q : Set i) : Set i where _,_ : P \rightarrow Q \rightarrow P \wedge Q
```

1.2 Functions

We write id_A for the identity function on the type A, and $g \circ f$ for the composition of functions g and f.

```
id : \forall (A : Set) \rightarrow A \rightarrow A id A x = x infix 75 \_\circ\_
\_\circ\_ : \forall {A B C : Set} \rightarrow (B \rightarrow C) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow A \rightarrow C (g \circ f) x = g (f x)
```

1.3 Equality

We use the inductively defined equality = on every datatype.

```
infix 50 _{\equiv}
data _{\equiv}_{-} {A : Set} (a : A) : A \rightarrow Set where
          \mathtt{ref}\,:\,\mathtt{a}\,\equiv\,\mathtt{a}
\texttt{subst} \ : \ \forall \ \{\texttt{i}\} \ \{\texttt{A} \ : \ \texttt{Set}\} \ (\texttt{P} \ : \ \texttt{A} \ \to \ \texttt{Set} \ \texttt{i}) \ \{\texttt{a}\} \ \{\texttt{b}\} \ \to \ \texttt{a} \ \equiv \ \texttt{b} \ \to \ \texttt{P} \ \texttt{a} \ \to \ \texttt{P} \ \texttt{b}
subst P ref Pa = Pa
\mathtt{subst2} \,:\, \forall \, \{ \texttt{A} \,\, \texttt{B} \,:\, \texttt{Set} \} \,\, (\texttt{P} \,:\, \texttt{A} \,\to\, \texttt{B} \,\to\, \texttt{Set}) \,\, \{ \texttt{a} \,\, \texttt{a'} \,\, \texttt{b} \,\, \texttt{b'} \} \,\to\, \texttt{a} \,\equiv\, \texttt{a'} \,\to\, \texttt{b} \,\equiv\, \texttt{b'} \,\to\, \texttt{P} \,\, \texttt{a} \,\, \texttt{b} \,\to\, \texttt{F} \,\, \texttt{b} \,\, \texttt{b'} \,\, \texttt{
subst2 P ref ref Pab = Pab
\mathtt{sym} \,:\, \forall \, \, \{\mathtt{A} \,:\, \mathtt{Set}\} \,\, \{\mathtt{a} \,\, \mathtt{b} \,:\, \mathtt{A}\} \,\, \rightarrow \, \mathtt{a} \,\equiv\, \mathtt{b} \,\, \rightarrow \, \mathtt{b} \,\, \equiv\, \mathtt{a}
sym ref = ref
trans : \forall {A : Set} {a b c : A} \rightarrow a \equiv b \rightarrow b \equiv c \rightarrow a \equiv c
trans ref ref = ref
wd: \forall \{A B : Set\} (f : A \rightarrow B) \{a a' : A\} \rightarrow a \equiv a' \rightarrow f a \equiv f a'
wd _ ref = ref
wd2 _ ref ref = ref
module Equational-Reasoning (A : Set) where
          infix 2 ∵_
          \because_ : \forall (a : A) \rightarrow a \equiv a
          ∵ _ = ref
          infix 1 _{\equiv}[_{=}]
          \_\equiv \_[\_] \; : \; \forall \; \{a \; b \; : \; A\} \; \rightarrow \; a \; \equiv \; b \; \rightarrow \; \forall \; c \; \rightarrow \; b \; \equiv \; c \; \rightarrow \; a \; \equiv \; c
          \delta \equiv c [ \delta' ] = trans \delta \delta'
          infix 1 _{\equiv}[[_]]
           \_\equiv \_[[\_]] \; : \; \forall \; \{a \; b \; : \; A\} \; \rightarrow \; a \; \equiv \; b \; \rightarrow \; \forall \; \; c \; \rightarrow \; c \; \equiv \; b \; \rightarrow \; a \; \equiv \; c
          \delta \equiv c \ [[\ \delta'\ ]] = trans \ \delta \ (sym \ \delta')
                We also write f \sim g iff the functions f and g are extensionally equal, that
is, f(x) = g(x) for all x.
infix 50 \_\sim\_
_~_ : \forall {A B : Set} \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow Set
f \sim g = \forall x \rightarrow f x \equiv g x
```

2 Datatypes

We introduce a universe **FinSet** of (names of) finite sets. There is an empty set \emptyset : **FinSet**, and for every A: **FinSet**, the type A+1: **FinSet** has one more

element:

$$A+1=\{\bot\}\uplus\{\uparrow a:a\in A\}$$

data FinSet : Set where

 \emptyset : FinSet

 $\mathtt{Lift} \; : \; \mathtt{FinSet} \; \to \; \mathtt{FinSet}$

 $\begin{array}{c} \texttt{data} \ \texttt{El} \ : \ \texttt{FinSet} \ \to \ \texttt{Set} \ \texttt{where} \\ \bot \ : \ \forall \ \{\texttt{V}\} \ \to \ \texttt{El} \ (\texttt{Lift} \ \texttt{V}) \end{array}$

 \uparrow : \forall {V} \rightarrow El V \rightarrow El (Lift V)

A replacement from U to V is simply a function $U \to V$.

 $\mathtt{Rep} \; : \; \mathtt{FinSet} \; \to \; \mathtt{FinSet} \; \to \; \mathtt{Set}$

 $\texttt{Rep U V = El U} \, \rightarrow \, \texttt{El V}$

Given $f: A \to B$, define $f+1: A+1 \to B+1$ by

$$(f+1)(\bot) = \bot$$
$$(f+1)(\uparrow x) = \uparrow f(x)$$

lift : \forall {U} {V} \rightarrow Rep U V \rightarrow Rep (Lift U) (Lift V) lift _ \bot = \bot

lift f $(\uparrow x) = \uparrow (f x)$

liftwd : \forall {U} {V} {f g : Rep U V} \rightarrow f \sim g \rightarrow lift f \sim lift g liftwd f-is-g \bot = ref

liftwd f-is-g (\uparrow x) = wd \uparrow (f-is-g x)

This makes (-) + 1 into a functor **FinSet** \rightarrow **FinSet**; that is,

$$id_V + 1 = id_{V+1}$$

 $(g \circ f) + 1 = (g+1) \circ (f+1)$

liftid : \forall {V} \rightarrow lift (id (El V)) \sim id (El (Lift V))

liftid \perp = ref

liftid (\uparrow _) = ref

 $\label{eq:liftcomp} \mbox{liftcomp}: \forall \mbox{ \{V\} \mbox{ \{W\} \mbox{ \{g} : Rep \mbox{ V \mbox{ W}\} \mbox{ \{f} : Rep \mbox{ U \mbox{ V}\}} \rightarrow \mbox{lift} \mbox{ (g} \circ \mbox{ f)} \sim \mbox{lift} \mbox{ g} \circ \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ f} \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ f} \rightarrow \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ g} \circ \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ f} \rightarrow \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ g} \circ \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ f} \rightarrow \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ g} \circ \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ f} \rightarrow \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ g} \circ \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ f} \rightarrow \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ g} \circ \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ f} \rightarrow \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ g} \circ \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ g} \rightarrow \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ g} \circ \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ g} \rightarrow \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ g} \rightarrow \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ g} \rightarrow \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ g} \rightarrow \mbox{ lift} \mbox{ li$

liftcomp (\(\frac{1}{2}\)) = ref

data List (A : Set) : Set where

 $\langle
angle$: List A

:: : List A ightarrow A ightarrow List A

3 Grammars

module Grammar where

open import Prelims hiding (Rep;_~_;lift)

Before we begin investigating the several theories we wish to consider, we present a general theory of syntax and capture-avoiding substitution.

A grammar consists of:

- a set of *expression kinds*;
- a set of constructors, each with an associated constructor kind of the form

$$((A_{11}, \dots, A_{1r_1})B_1, \dots, (A_{m1}, \dots, A_{mr_m})B_m)C$$
 (1)

where each A_{ij} , B_i and C is an expression kind.

• a binary relation of parenthood on the set of expression kinds.

A constructor c of kind (1) is a constructor that takes m arguments of kind B_1, \ldots, B_m , and binds r_i variables in its ith argument of kind A_{ij} , producing an expression of kind C. We write this expression as

$$c([x_{11}, \dots, x_{1r_1}]E_1, \dots, [x_{m1}, \dots, x_{mr_m}]E_m)$$
 (2)

The subexpressions of the form $[x_{i1}, \ldots, x_{ir_i}]E_i$ shall be called *abstractions*, and the pieces of syntax of the form $(A_{i1}, \ldots, A_{ij})B_i$ that occur in constructor kinds shall be called *abstraction kinds*.

data AbstractionKind (ExpressionKind : Set) : Set where

 $\mathtt{out} : \mathtt{ExpressionKind} o \mathtt{AbstractionKind} \ \mathtt{ExpressionKind}$

 Π : ExpressionKind o AbstractionKind ExpressionKind o AbstractionKind ExpressionKin

data ConstructorKind {ExpressionKind : Set} (K : ExpressionKind) : Set where

out : $ConstructorKind\ K$

 Π : AbstractionKind ExpressionKind o ConstructorKind K o ConstructorKind K

record $Grammar : Set_1$ where

field

ExpressionKind : Set

 $\texttt{Constructor} \qquad : \ \forall \ \{ \texttt{K} \ : \ \texttt{ExpressionKind} \} \ \to \ \texttt{ConstructorKind} \ \texttt{K} \ \to \ \texttt{Set}$

 $\texttt{parent} \hspace{1.5cm} : \hspace{.1cm} \texttt{ExpressionKind} \hspace{.1cm} \rightarrow \hspace{.1cm} \texttt{ExpressionKind} \hspace{.1cm} \rightarrow \hspace{.1cm} \texttt{Set}$

An alphabet $V = \{V_E\}_E$ consists of a set V_E of variables of kind E for each expression kind E.. The expressions of kind E over the alphabet V are defined inductively by:

• Every variable of kind E is an expression of kind E.

• If c is a constructor of kind (1), each E_i is an expression of kind B_i , and each x_{ij} is a variable of kind A_{ij} , then (2) is an expression of kind C.

Each x_{ij} is bound within E_i in the expression (2). We identify expressions up to α -conversion.

```
data Alphabet : Set where
   \emptyset : Alphabet
   _,_ : Alphabet 
ightarrow ExpressionKind 
ightarrow Alphabet
data {\tt Var} : {\tt Alphabet} 	o {\tt ExpressionKind} 	o {\tt Set} where
   \mathtt{x}_0 : \forall {V} {K} \rightarrow Var (V , K) K
   \uparrow : \forall {V} {K} {L} \rightarrow Var V L \rightarrow Var (V , K) L
mutual
   data Expression (V : Alphabet) (K : ExpressionKind) : Set where
      {\tt var} : {\tt Var} {\tt V} {\tt K} 	o {\tt Expression} {\tt V} {\tt K}
      \mathtt{app} \; : \; \forall \; \{\mathtt{C} \; : \; \mathtt{Constructor}\mathtt{Kind} \; \mathsf{K}\} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{Constructor} \; \mathtt{C} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{Body} \; \, \mathtt{V} \; \, \mathtt{C} \; \rightarrow \; \mathtt{Expression} \; \, \mathtt{V} \; \, \mathtt{K}
   data Body (V : Alphabet) {K : ExpressionKind} : ConstructorKind K 
ightarrow Set where
      out : Body V out
      app : \forall {A} {C} 	o Abstraction V A 	o Body V C 	o Body V (\Pi A C)
   data Abstraction (V : Alphabet) : AbstractionKind ExpressionKind 
ightarrow Set where
      out : \forall {K} \rightarrow Expression V K \rightarrow Abstraction V (out K)
             : \forall {K} {A} \rightarrow Abstraction (V , K) A \rightarrow Abstraction V (\Pi K A)
```

Given alphabets U, V, and a function ρ that maps every variable in U of kind K to a variable in V of kind K, we denote by $E\{\rho\}$ the result of replacing every variable x in E with $\rho(x)$.

```
Rep : Alphabet \rightarrow Alphabet \rightarrow Set Rep U V = \forall K \rightarrow Var U K \rightarrow Var V K __~R__ : \forall {U} {V} \rightarrow Rep U V \rightarrow Rep U V \rightarrow Set \rho ~R \rho' = \forall {K} x \rightarrow \rho K x \equiv \rho' K x
```

The alphabets and replacements form a category.

```
idRep : \forall V \rightarrow Rep V V idRep _ x = x  
infixl 75 _•R_  
_•R_ : \forall {U} {V} {W} \rightarrow Rep V W \rightarrow Rep U V \rightarrow Rep U W (\rho' •R \rho) K x = \rho' K (\rho K x)
```

--We choose not to prove the category axioms, as they hold up to judgemental equality.

Given a replacement $\rho: U \to V$, we can 'lift' this to a replacement (ρ, K) : $(U,K) \to (V,K)$. Under this operation, the mapping (-,K) becomes an endofunctor on the category of alphabets and replacements.

```
\texttt{Rep}\uparrow \;:\; \forall \;\; \{\texttt{U}\} \;\; \{\texttt{K}\} \;\to\; \texttt{Rep} \;\; \texttt{U} \;\; \texttt{V} \;\to\; \texttt{Rep} \;\; (\texttt{U} \;\; , \;\; \texttt{K})
Rep^{\uparrow} - x_0 = x_0
Rep \uparrow \rho K (\uparrow x) = \uparrow (\rho K x)
\texttt{Rep} \uparrow \texttt{-wd} \; : \; \forall \; \{\texttt{U}\} \; \{\texttt{K}\} \; \{\rho \; \rho' \; : \; \texttt{Rep} \; \texttt{U} \; \texttt{V}\} \; \rightarrow \; \rho \; \sim \texttt{R} \; \rho' \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Rep} \uparrow \; \{\texttt{K} \; \texttt{=} \; \texttt{K}\} \; \rho \; \sim \texttt{R} \; \texttt{Rep} \uparrow \; \rho'
Rep\uparrow-wd \rho-is-\rho' x_0 = ref
Rep\uparrow-wd \rho-is-\rho' (\uparrow x) = wd \uparrow (\rho-is-\rho' x)
\texttt{Rep} \!\! \uparrow \!\! - \texttt{id} \; : \; \forall \; \{ \texttt{V} \} \; \; \{ \texttt{K} \} \; \to \; \texttt{Rep} \!\! \uparrow \; \; (\texttt{idRep V}) \; \sim \!\! \texttt{R} \; \; \texttt{idRep} \; \; (\texttt{V} \; \; , \; \texttt{K})
Rep \uparrow -id x_0 = ref
Rep\uparrow-id (\uparrow \_) = ref
\texttt{Rep}\uparrow\texttt{-comp} : \forall \texttt{ \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{\rho' : \texttt{Rep V W}\} \{\rho : \texttt{Rep U V}\}} \rightarrow \texttt{Rep}\uparrow \texttt{ \{K = K\} (\rho' \bullet R \rho)} \sim
Rep\uparrow-comp x_0 = ref
Rep\uparrow-comp (\uparrow _) = ref
   Finally, we can define E\langle\rho\rangle, the result of replacing each variable x in E with
```

 $\rho(x)$. Under this operation, the mapping Expression – K becomes a functor from the category of alphabets and replacements to the category of sets.

```
mutual
   infix 60 _{\langle}_{-}\rangle
   _\langle \_ \rangle : \forall {U} {V} {K} 	o Expression U K 	o Rep U V 	o Expression V K
   var x \langle \rho \rangle = var (\rho x)
   (app c EE) \langle \rho \rangle = app c (EE \langle \rho \rangleB)
   infix 60 _{\langle \rangle}B
   _{\langle}_{\mathsf{D}}B : \forall {U} {V} {K} {C : ConstructorKind K} \rightarrow Body U C \rightarrow Rep U V \rightarrow Body V C
   out \langle \rho \rangle B = out
   (app A EE) \langle \rho \rangleB = app (A \langle \rho \rangleA) (EE \langle \rho \rangleB)
   infix 60 _{\langle -\rangle}A
   _\langle \_ \rangle A : orall {U} {V} {A} 
ightarrow Abstraction U A 
ightarrow Rep U V 
ightarrow Abstraction V A
   out E \langle \rho \rangle A = out (E \langle \rho \rangle)
   \Lambda A \langle ρ \rangleA = \Lambda (A \langle Rep\uparrow ρ \rangleA)
   rep-wd : \forall {U} {V} {K} {E : Expression U K} {\rho : Rep U V} {\rho'} \rightarrow \rho \simR \rho' \rightarrow E \langle \rho \rangle
   rep-wd {U} {V} {K} {var x} \rho-is-\rho' = wd var (\rho-is-\rho' x)
   rep-wd {U} {V} {K} {app c EE} \rho-is-\rho' = wd (app c) (rep-wdB \rho-is-\rho')
   rep-wdB : \forall {U} {V} {K} {C : ConstructorKind K} {EE : Body U C} {\rho \rho' : Rep U V} \rightarrow
```

rep-wdB $\{U\}$ $\{V\}$ $\{K\}$ $\{out\}$ $\{out\}$ ρ -is- ρ ' = ref

```
rep-wdB {U} {V} {K} {Π A C} {app A' EE} ρ-is-ρ' = wd2 app (rep-wdA ρ-is-ρ') (rep-wdB
  rep-wdA : \forall {U} {V} {A} {E : Abstraction U A} {\rho \rho' : Rep U V} \rightarrow \rho \simR \rho' \rightarrow E \langle \rho \rangleA
  rep-wdA {U} {V} {out K} {out E} \rho-is-\rho' = wd out (rep-wd \rho-is-\rho')
  rep-wdA {U} {V} {\Pi K A} {\Lambda E} \rho-is-\rho' = wd \Lambda (rep-wdA (Rep\uparrow-wd \rho-is-\rho'))
mutual
  rep-id : \forall {V} {K} {E : Expression V K} \rightarrow E \langle idRep V \rangle \equiv E
  rep-id {E = var _} = ref
  rep-id {E = app c _} = wd (app c) rep-idB
  rep-idB : \forall {V} {K} {C : ConstructorKind K} {EE : Body V C} \rightarrow EE \langle idRep V \rangleB \equiv EE
  rep-idB {EE = out} = ref
  rep-idB {EE = app _ _} = wd2 app rep-idA rep-idB
  rep-idA : \forall {V} {K} {A : Abstraction V K} \rightarrow A \langle idRep V \rangleA \equiv A
  rep-idA {A = out _} = wd out rep-id
  rep-idA \{A = \Lambda_{-}\} = wd \Lambda \text{ (trans (rep-wdA Rep\(^{-}\text{id})) rep-idA)}
  rep-comp : \forall {U} {V} {W} {K} {\rho : Rep U V} {\rho' : Rep V W} {E : Expression U K} \rightarrow E
  rep-comp {E = var _} = ref
  rep-comp {E = app c _} = wd (app c) rep-compB
  rep-compB : \forall {U} {V} {W} {K} {C : ConstructorKind K} {\rho : Rep U V} {\rho' : Rep V W} {
  rep-compB {EE = out} = ref
  rep-compB {U} {V} {W} {K} {\Pi L C} {\rho} {\rho} {app A EE} = wd2 app rep-compA rep-compB
  rep-compA : \forall {U} {V} {W} {K} {\rho : Rep U V} {\rho' : Rep V W} {A : Abstraction U K} \rightarrow .
  rep-compA {A = out _} = wd out rep-comp
  rep-compA {U} {V} {W} {\Pi K L} {\rho} {\rho} {\Lambda A} = wd \Lambda (trans (rep-wdA Rep\-comp) rep-c
```

This provides us with the canonical mapping from an expression over V to an expression over (V, K):

```
lift : \forall {V} {K} {L} \to Expression V L \to Expression (V , K) L lift E = E \langle (\lambda _ \to \uparrow) \rangle
```

A substitution σ from alphabet U to alphabet V, $\sigma: U \Rightarrow V$, is a function σ that maps every variable x of kind K in U to an expression $\sigma(x)$ of kind K over V. Then, given an expression E of kind K over U, we write $E[\sigma]$ for the result of substituting $\sigma(x)$ for x for each variable in E, avoiding capture.

```
Sub : Alphabet \rightarrow Alphabet \rightarrow Set Sub U V = \forall K \rightarrow Var U K \rightarrow Expression V K _~_ : \forall {U} {V} \rightarrow Sub U V \rightarrow Sub U V \rightarrow Set \sigma \sim \tau = \forall K x \rightarrow \sigma K x \equiv \tau K x
```

The *identity* substitution $id_V: V \to V$ is defined as follows.

```
\begin{array}{ll} \text{idSub} \ : \ \forall \ \{\text{V}\} \ \rightarrow \ \text{Sub} \ \text{V} \ \text{V} \\ \text{idSub} \ \_ \ x \ = \ \text{var} \ x \end{array}
```

Given $\sigma: U \to V$ and an expression E over U, we want to define the expression $E[\sigma]$ over V, the result of applying the substitution σ to M. Only after this will we be able to define the composition of two substitutions. However, there is some work we need to do before we are able to do this.

We can define the composition of a substitution and a replacement as follows

```
infix 75 \_\bullet_1_\_\bullet_1_: \forall {U} {V} {W} \rightarrow Rep V W \rightarrow Sub U V \rightarrow Sub U W (\rho \bullet_1 \sigma) K x = \sigma K x \langle \rho \rangle

infix 75 \_\bullet_2_\_\bullet_2_: \forall {U} {V} {W} \rightarrow Sub V W \rightarrow Rep U V \rightarrow Sub U W (\sigma \bullet_2 \rho) K x = \sigma K (\rho K x)

Given a substitution \sigma: U \Rightarrow V, define a substitution (\sigma, K): (U, K) \Rightarrow (V, K) as follows.

Sub\uparrow: \forall {U} {V} {K} \rightarrow Sub U V \rightarrow Sub (U , K) (V , K) Sub\uparrow__ x0 = var x0 Sub\uparrow \sigma K (\uparrow x) = lift (\sigma K x)

Sub\uparrow-wd : \forall {U} {V} {K} {\sigma \sigma': Sub U V} \rightarrow \sigma \sigma' \rightarrow Sub\uparrow {K = K} \sigma \sim Sub\uparrow \sigma' Sub\uparrow-wd {K = K} \sigma-is-\sigma' .K x0 = ref Sub\uparrow-wd \sigma-is-\sigma' L (\uparrow x) = wd lift (\sigma-is-\sigma' L x)
```

Lemma 1. The operations we have defined satisfy the following properties.

```
1. (\mathrm{id}_V,K)=\mathrm{id}_{(V,K)}

2. (\rho\bullet_1\sigma,K)=(\rho,K)\bullet_1(\sigma,K)

3. (\sigma\bullet_2\rho,K)=(\sigma,K)\bullet_2(\rho,K)

Sub↑-id : \forall {V} {K} \to Sub↑ {V} idSub \sim idSub
Sub↑-id {K = K} .K x_0=\mathrm{ref}
Sub↑-id _ (↑ _) = ref

Sub↑-comp₁ : \forall {U} {V} {W} {K} {\rho : Rep V W} {\sigma : Sub U V} \to Sub↑ (\rho\bullet_1\sigma) \sim Rep↑ \rho\bullet Sub↑-comp₁ {K = K} .K x_0=\mathrm{ref}
Sub↑-comp₁ {U} {V} {W} {K} {\rho} {\sigma} L (↑ \sigma) = let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression \sigma): lift (\sigma L x \sigma) \sigma)

\sigma L x \sigma (\sigma) \sigma [rep-comp]

\sigma L x \sigma (\sigma) \sigma [rep-comp]
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \operatorname{Sub}\uparrow-\operatorname{comp}_2: \ \forall \ \{\mathtt{V}\} \ \{\mathtt{W}\} \ \{\mathtt{K}\} \ \{\sigma: \ \mathtt{Sub} \ \mathtt{V} \ \mathtt{W}\} \ \{\rho: \ \mathtt{Rep} \ \mathtt{U} \ \mathtt{V}\} \ \to \ \mathtt{Sub}\uparrow \ (\sigma \bullet_2 \ \rho) \ \sim \ \mathtt{Sub}\uparrow \ \sigma \bullet_2 \ \mathsf{V} \ \mathsf{Sub}\uparrow \ \mathsf{V} \ \mathsf{V}
```

```
infix 60 _{[-]}
_[[_]] : \forall {U} {V} {K} 	o Expression U K 	o Sub U V 	o Expression V K
(var x) [\sigma] = \sigma_x
(app c EE) [ \sigma ] = app c (EE [ \sigma ]B)
infix 60 _[_]B
 \  \  \, \_ \llbracket \_ \rrbracket B \,:\, \forall \, \  \, \{U\} \,\, \{V\} \,\, \{K\} \,\, \{C \,:\, ConstructorKind \,\, K\} \,\, \to \,\, Body \,\, U \,\, C \,\, \to \,\, Sub \,\, U \,\, V \,\, \to \,\, Body \,\, V \,\, C \,\, 
out \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket B = out
(app A EE) \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket B = app (A \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket A) (EE \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket B)
infix 60 _||_|A
_[[_]A : \forall {U} {V} {A} \to Abstraction U A \to Sub U V \to Abstraction V A
(out E) \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket A = \text{out } (E \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket)
(\Lambda \ A) \ \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket A = \Lambda \ (A \ \llbracket \ Sub \uparrow \ \sigma \ \rrbracket A)
sub-wd : \forall {U} {V} {K} {E : Expression U K} {\sigma \sigma' : Sub U V} \to \sigma \sim \sigma' \to E \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket \equiv
sub-wd {E = var x} \sigma-is-\sigma' = \sigma-is-\sigma' _ x
sub-wd {U} {V} {K} {app c EE} \sigma-is-\sigma' = wd (app c) (sub-wdB \sigma-is-\sigma')
sub-wdB : \forall {U} {V} {K} {C : ConstructorKind K} {EE : Body U C} {\sigma \sigma' : Sub U V} \rightarrow
sub-wdB {EE = out} \sigma-is-\sigma' = ref
sub-wdB {EE = app A EE} \sigma-is-\sigma' = wd2 app (sub-wdA \sigma-is-\sigma') (sub-wdB \sigma-is-\sigma')
```

sub-wdA : \forall {U} {V} {K} {A : Abstraction U K} { σ σ ' : Sub U V} \rightarrow σ \sim σ ' \rightarrow A \llbracket σ \rrbracket A

Lemma 2.

1. $M[\mathrm{id}_V] \equiv M$

```
2. M[\rho \bullet_1 \sigma] \equiv M[\sigma] \langle \rho \rangle

3. M[\sigma \bullet_2 \rho] \equiv M \langle \rho \rangle [\sigma]

mutual subid : \forall {V} {K} {E : Expression V K} \rightarrow E [\![ idSub ]\!] \equiv E subid {E = var _} = ref
```

subid $\{V\}$ $\{K\}$ $\{app c _\} = wd (app c) subidB$

 $sub-wdA \{A = out E\} \sigma-is-\sigma' = wd out (sub-wd \{E = E\} \sigma-is-\sigma')$

 $sub-wdA \{U\} \{V\} \{\Pi \ K \ L\} \{\Lambda \ A\} \ \sigma-is-\sigma' = wd \ \Lambda \ (sub-wdA \ (Sub\uparrow-wd \ \sigma-is-\sigma'))$

```
\texttt{subidB} \; \colon \; \forall \; \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \; \{\texttt{K}\} \; \; \{\texttt{C} \; : \; \texttt{ConstructorKind} \; \; \texttt{K}\} \; \; \{\texttt{EE} \; : \; \texttt{Body} \; \; \texttt{V} \; \; \texttt{C}\} \; \to \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \|\texttt{B} \; \equiv \; \texttt{EE} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \| \; \texttt{IdSub} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \| \; \texttt{IdSub} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub} \; \| \; \mathsf{idSub
                         subidB {EE = out} = ref
                         subidB {EE = app _ _} = wd2 app subidA subidB
                         subidA : \forall {V} {K} {A : Abstraction V K} \rightarrow A \llbracket idSub \rrbracketA \equiv A
                         subidA {A = out _} = wd out subid
                         subidA \{A = \Lambda_{-}\} = \text{wd } \Lambda \text{ (trans (sub-wdA Sub}\uparrow - id) subidA)}
mutual
                         \texttt{sub-comp}_1 \ : \ \forall \ \{\mathtt{U}\} \ \{\mathtt{W}\} \ \{\mathtt{K}\} \ \{\mathtt{E} \ : \ \mathtt{Expression} \ \mathtt{U} \ \mathtt{K}\} \ \{\mathtt{p} \ : \ \mathtt{Rep} \ \mathtt{V} \ \mathtt{W}\} \ \{\mathtt{\sigma} \ : \ \mathtt{Sub} \ \mathtt{U} \ \mathtt{V}\} \ \to \ \mathtt{V} \ \mathtt{V}\} \ \to \ \mathtt{V} \ 
                                              \mathsf{E} \, \llbracket \, \, \rho \, \bullet_1 \, \, \sigma \, \rrbracket \, \equiv \, \mathsf{E} \, \llbracket \, \, \sigma \, \rrbracket \, \, \langle \, \, \rho \, \, \rangle
                         sub-comp_1 \{E = var _\} = ref
                       sub-comp_1 {E = app c _} = wd (app c) sub-comp_1B
                         sub-comp_1B : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{C : ConstructorKind K\} \{EE : Body U C\} \{\rho : Rep V W\}
                                            \mathsf{EE} \ \llbracket \ \rho \bullet_1 \ \sigma \ \rrbracket \mathsf{B} \ \equiv \ \mathsf{EE} \ \llbracket \ \sigma \ \rrbracket \mathsf{B} \ \langle \ \rho \ \rangle \mathsf{B}
                         sub-comp_1B {EE = out} = ref
                         \texttt{sub-comp}_1\texttt{A} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{U}\} \; \{\texttt{W}\} \; \{\texttt{K}\} \; \{\texttt{A} \;:\; \texttt{Abstraction} \; \texttt{U} \; \texttt{K}\} \; \{\rho \;:\; \texttt{Rep} \; \texttt{V} \; \texttt{W}\} \; \{\sigma \;:\; \texttt{Sub} \; \texttt{U} \; \texttt{V}\} \; \rightarrow \; \{\texttt{N}\} \; \{\texttt
                                            A \parallel \rho \bullet_1 \sigma \parallel A \equiv A \parallel \sigma \parallel A \langle \rho \rangle A
                         sub-comp_1A \{A = out E\} = wd out (sub-comp_1 \{E = E\})
                         sub-comp_1A \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{(\Pi K L)\} \{\Lambda A\} = wd \Lambda (trans (sub-wdA Sub\uparrow-comp_1) sub-comp_1A)
mutual
                         sub-comp_2 : \forall {U} {V} {W} {K} {E : Expression U K} {\sigma : Sub V W} {\rho : Rep U V} 
ightarrow E \mid
                         sub-comp_2 \{E = var _\} = ref
                         sub-comp_2 \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{app c EE\} = wd (app c) sub-comp_2B
                         sub-comp_2B : \forall \{U\} \{V\} \{W\} \{K\} \{C : ConstructorKind K\} \{EE : Body U C\}
                                                \{\sigma \,:\, \mathtt{Sub} \,\, \, \mathsf{V} \,\, \mathsf{W} \} \,\, \{\rho \,:\, \mathsf{Rep} \,\, \mathsf{U} \,\, \mathsf{V} \} \,\, \to \,\, \mathsf{EE} \,\, \big[\![ \,\, \sigma \,\, \bullet_2 \,\, \rho \,\,\big]\!] \mathsf{B} \,\, \equiv \,\, \mathsf{EE} \,\, \big\langle \,\, \rho \,\,\big\rangle \mathsf{B} \,\, \big[\![ \,\, \sigma \,\,\big]\!] \mathsf{B} 
                       sub-comp_2B {EE = out} = ref
                         sub-comp_2B {U} {V} {W} {K} {\Pi L C} {app A EE} = wd2 app sub-comp_2A sub-comp_2B
                         \texttt{sub-comp}_2\texttt{A} \ : \ \forall \ \{\texttt{V}\} \ \{\texttt{W}\} \ \{\texttt{K}\} \ \{\texttt{A} \ : \ \texttt{Abstraction} \ \texttt{U} \ \texttt{K}\} \ \{\sigma \ : \ \texttt{Sub} \ \texttt{V} \ \texttt{W}\} \ \{\rho \ : \ \texttt{Rep} \ \texttt{U} \ \texttt{V}\} \ \to \ \texttt{Abstraction} \ \texttt{V} \ \texttt{V
                       sub-comp_2A \{A = out E\} = wd out (sub-comp_2 \{E = E\})
                       sub-comp_2A {U} {V} {W} {\Pi K L} {\Lambda A} = wd \Lambda (trans (sub-wdA Sub\uparrow-comp<sub>2</sub>) sub-comp_2A)
            We define the composition of two substitutions, as follows.
   infix 75 _•_
    ullet ullet _- : orall {V} {V} ullet ullet lack lack
```

Lemma 3. Let $\sigma: V \Rightarrow W$ and $\rho: U \Rightarrow V$.

 $(\sigma \bullet \rho) K x = \rho K x \llbracket \sigma \rrbracket$

```
2. E[\sigma \bullet \rho] \equiv E[\rho][\sigma]
   Sub†-comp : \forall {V} {W} {\rho : Sub U V} {\sigma : Sub V W} {K} \rightarrow
       Sub\uparrow {K = K} (\sigma • \rho) \sim Sub\uparrow \sigma • Sub\uparrow \rho
   Sub\uparrow-comp _ x_0 = ref
   Sub\uparrow-comp {W = W} {\rho = \rho} {\sigma = \sigma} {K = K} L (\uparrow x) =
       let open Equational-Reasoning (Expression (W , K) L) in
       ∵ lift ((ρ L x) [ σ ])
       \equiv (lift (\rho L x)) \llbracket Sub\uparrow \sigma \rrbracket [ {!!} ]
     A context has the form x_1:A_1,\ldots,x_n:A_n where, for each i:
     • x_i is a variable of kind K_i distinct from x_1, \ldots, x_{i-1};
     • A_i is an expression of some kind L_i;
     • L_i is a parent of K_i.
The domain of this context is the alphabet \{x_1, \ldots, x_n\}.
    data Context : Alphabet 	o Set where
       \langle \rangle : Context \emptyset
       _,_ : \forall {V} {K} {L} {_ : parent K L} 
ightarrow Context V 
ightarrow Expression V L 
ightarrow Context (V , I
   \texttt{typekindof} \;:\; \forall \; \{\texttt{V}\} \; \{\texttt{K}\} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Var} \; \; \texttt{V} \; \; \texttt{K} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{Context} \; \; \texttt{V} \; \rightarrow \; \texttt{ExpressionKind}
    typekindof x_0 (_,_ {L = L} _ _) = L
    typekindof (\uparrow x) (\Gamma , _) = typekindof x \Gamma
    \mathsf{typeof} \,:\, \forall \,\, \{\mathtt{V}\} \,\, \{\mathtt{K}\} \,\, (\mathtt{x} \,:\, \mathtt{Var} \,\, \mathtt{V} \,\, \mathtt{K}) \,\, (\Gamma \,:\, \mathtt{Context} \,\, \mathtt{V}) \,\, \rightarrow \, \mathtt{Expression} \,\, \mathtt{V} \,\, (\mathtt{typekindof} \,\, \mathtt{x} \,\, \Gamma) 
   typeof x_0 (_ , A) = A \langle (\lambda _ \rightarrow \forall ) \rightarrow typeof (\forall x) (\Gamma , _) = typeof x \Gamma \lambda ( \lambda _ \rightarrow \forall ) \rangle
module PL where
```

4 Propositional Logic

open import Grammar

1. $(\sigma \bullet \rho, K) \sim (\sigma, K) \bullet (\rho, K)$

Fix sets of proof variables and term variables.

The syntax of the system is given by the following grammar.

```
Proof \delta ::= p \mid \delta\delta \mid \lambda p : \phi.\delta

Proposition f ::= \perp \mid \phi \rightarrow \phi

Context \Gamma ::= \langle \rangle \mid \Gamma, p : \phi

Judgement \mathcal{J} ::= \Gamma \vdash \delta : \phi
```

where p ranges over proof variables and x ranges over term variables. The variable p is bound within δ in the proof $\lambda p : \phi.\delta$, and the variable x is bound within M in the term $\lambda x : A.M$. We identify proofs and terms up to α -conversion.