Encyclopaedia of Mathematics and Physics

Robin Adams

Contents

| 1 | Set Theory | 5 |
|----------|--------------------------------------|----|
| 2 | Relations | 7 |
| 3 | Order Theory | 9 |
| 4 | Field Theory | 11 |
| | 4.1 Ordered Fields | 13 |
| 5 | Real Analysis | 15 |
| | 5.1 Construction of the Real Numbers | 15 |
| | 5.2 Properties of the Real Numbers | 21 |
| | 5.2.1 Logarithms | 27 |
| | 5.2.2 Intervals | 28 |
| | 5.2.3 The Cantor Set | 28 |
| | 5.3 The Extended Real Number System | 29 |
| 6 | Complex Analysis | 31 |
| Ι | Linear Algebra | 37 |
| 7 | Vector Spaces | 39 |
| | 7.1 Convex Sets | 39 |
| 8 | Real Inner Product Spaces | 41 |
| | 8.1 Balls | 42 |
| 9 | Complex Inner Product Spaces | 43 |
| | 9.1 Hilbert Spaces | 44 |
| 10 | Lie Algebras | 45 |
| | 10.1 Lie Algebar Homomorphisms | 46 |

| 4 | CON | $\Gamma ENTS$ |
|---|-----|---------------|
| | | |

| ΙΙ | Topology | 47 |
|-----------|----------------------|----|
| 11 | Metric Spaces | 49 |
| | 11.1 Balls | 49 |
| | 11.2 Limit Points | 50 |
| | 11.3 Closed Sets | 50 |
| | 11.4 Interior Points | 50 |
| | 11.5 Open Sets | 50 |
| | 11.6 Perfect Sets | 53 |
| | 11.7 Bounded Sets | 53 |
| | 11.8 Dense Sets | 53 |
| | 11.9 Closure | 53 |
| | 11.10Compact Sets | 54 |
| | 11.11Connected Sets | 59 |
| II | I More Algebra | 61 |
| 12 | Lie Groups | 63 |

Set Theory

Proposition 1.1. Every infinite subset of a countably infinite set is countable.

```
Proof:
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: i: A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N} be an infinite subset of \mathbb{N}.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. Define j : \mathbb{N} \to A by: j(k) is the element such that i(j(k)) is least such
        that i(j(k)) \notin \{i(j(0)), \dots, i(j(k-1))\}.
\langle 1 \rangle 3. j is a bijection.
Proposition 1.2. A countable union of countable sets is countable.
```

Proof:

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: (A_n) be a sequence of countable sets.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. For n \in \mathbb{N}, PICK an enumeration (e_{nm})_m of A_n.
\langle 1 \rangle 3. Let: (p_k) be the following enumeration of \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}:
```

 $(0,0),(1,0),(0,1),(2,0),(1,1),(0,2),\ldots$ $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. $(e_{\pi_1(p_k)\pi_2(p_k)})_k$ is an enumeration of $\bigcup_n A_n$.

Theorem 1.3. $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is uncountable.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Assume: for a contradiction $f : \mathbb{N} \approx 2^{\mathbb{N}}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $S = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : n \notin f(n) \}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. For all n, we have $n \in S \Leftrightarrow n \notin f(n)$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. For all n we have $S \neq f(n)$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts $\langle 1 \rangle 1$.

Relations

Definition 2.1 (Antisymmetric). A relation R on a set A is antisymmetric iff, whenever xRy and yRx, then x = y.

Definition 2.2 (Transitive). A relation R on a type A is *transitive* iff, whenever xRy and yRz, then xRz.

Order Theory

Definition 3.1 (Linear Order). A *linear order* on a set A is a binary relation \leq on A that is transitive, antisymmetric and:

$$\forall x, y \in A.x \le y \lor y \le x$$
.

A linearly ordered set is a pair (A, \leq) where A is a set and \leq is a binary relation on A.

We write x < y for $x \le y$ and $x \ne y$.

Definition 3.2 (Upper Bound). Let S be a linearly ordered set, $u \in S$ and $E \subseteq S$. Then u is an *upper bound* in E iff $\forall x \in E.x \leq u$. We say E is *bounded above* iff it has an upper bound.

The *up-set* of E, denoted $E \uparrow$, is the set of upper bounds of E.

Definition 3.3 (Lower Bound). Let S be a linearly ordered set, $l \in S$ and $E \subseteq S$. Then u is an *lower bound* in E iff $\forall x \in E.l \leq x$. We say E is *bounded below* iff it has a lower bound.

The down-set of E, denoted $E \downarrow$, is the set of lower bounds of E.

Definition 3.4 (Supremum). Let S be a linearly ordered set, $u \in S$ and $E \subseteq S$. Then u is the *least upper bound* or *supremum* of E iff u is an upper bound for E and, for any upper bound u' for E, we have $u \le u'$.

Definition 3.5 (Infimum). Let S be a linearly ordered set, $l \in S$ and $E \subseteq S$. Then l is the *greatest lower bound* or *infimum* of E iff l is a lower bound for E and, for any lower bound l' for E, we have $l' \leq l$.

Definition 3.6 (Least Upper Bound Property). A linearly ordered set S has the *least upper bound property* iff every nonempty subset of S that is bounded above has a least upper bound.

Proposition 3.7. Let S be a linearly ordered set and $E \subseteq S$.

1. If $E \downarrow has$ a supremum l, then l is the infimum of E.

2. If $E \uparrow has$ an infimum u, then U is the supremum of E.

PROOF

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. If $E \downarrow$ has a supremum l, then l is the infimum of E.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. l is a lower bound for E.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. Let: $x \in E$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. x is an upper bound for $E \downarrow$.

PROOF: For all $y \in E \downarrow$ we have $y \leq x$.

- $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ l \leq x$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. For any lower bound l' for E, we have $l' \leq l$.

PROOF: Since l is an upper bound for $E \downarrow$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 2. If $E \uparrow$ has an infimum u, then u is the supremum of E. PROOF: Dual.

П

Corollary 3.7.1. A linearly ordered set has the least upper bound property if and only if every nonempty set bounded below has an infimum.

Definition 3.8 (Closed Downwards). Let S be a linearly ordered set and $E \subseteq S$. Then E is closed downwards iff, whenever $x \in E$ and y < x, then $y \in E$.

Definition 3.9 (Closed Upwards). Let S be a linearly ordered set and $E \subseteq S$. Then E is *closed upwards* iff, whenever $x \in E$ and x < y, then $y \in E$.

Definition 3.10 (Greatest). Let S be a linearly ordered set and $u \in S$. Then u is greatest in S iff $\forall x \in S.x \leq u$.

Definition 3.11 (Least). Let S be a linearly ordered set and $l \in S$. Then l is least in S iff $\forall x \in S.l \leq x$.

Proposition 3.12. Let \leq be a linear order on a set S and $E \subseteq S$. Then $\leq \cap E^2$ is a linear order on E.

Proof: Easy. \sqcup

Given a linearly ordered set (S, \leq) and $E \subseteq S$, we write just E for the linearly ordered set $(E, \leq \cap E^2)$.

Definition 3.13 (Lexicographic Order). Let A and B be linearly ordered sets. The *lexicographic order* or *dictionary order* on $A \times B$ is the order defined by

$$(a,b) \le (a',b') \Leftrightarrow a = a' \lor (a < a' \land b \le b')$$
.

Proposition 3.14. The lexicographic order is a linear order.

Field Theory

Definition 4.1 (Field). A *field* F consists of a set F, two operations $+, \cdot : F^2 \to F$ and an element $0 \in F$ such that:

- \bullet + is commutative.
- \bullet + is associative.
- $\bullet \ \forall x \in F.x + 0 = x$
- $\forall x \in F. \exists y \in F. x + y = 0$
- \bullet · is commutative.
- \bullet · is associative.
- There exists $1 \in F$ such that $1 \neq 0$ and $\forall x \in F.x1 = x$ and $\forall x \in F.x \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists y \in F.xy = 1$
- Distributive Law $\forall x, y, z \in F.x(y+z) = xy + xz$

Proposition 4.2. In any field F, the element 0 is the unique element such that $\forall x \in F.x + 0 = x$.

PROOF: If 0 and 0' both have this property then 0 = 0 + 0' = 0'. \square

Proposition 4.3. In any field F, given $x \in F$, there is a unique $y \in F$ such that x + y = 0.

PROOF: If
$$x + y = x + y' = 0$$
 then
$$y = y + 0$$
$$= y + x + y'$$
$$= 0 + y'$$
$$= y'$$

Definition 4.4. Let F be a field. Let $x \in F$. We denote by -x the unique element of F such that x + (-x) = 0.

Given $x, y \in F$, we write x - y for x + (-y).

Proposition 4.5. In any field F, if x + y = x + z then y = z.

PROOF: If x+y=x+z we have -x+x+y=-x+x+z $\therefore 0+y=0+z$ $\therefore y=z$

Proposition 4.6. In any field F, we have -(-x) = x.

PROOF: Since x + (-x) = 0. \square

Proposition 4.7. In any field F, the element 1 such that $\forall x \in F.x1 = x$ is unique.

PROOF: If 1 and 1' both have this property then $1 = 1 \cdot 1' = 1'$. \square

Proposition 4.8. In any field F, given $x \in F$ with $x \neq 0$, the element y such that xy = 1 is unique.

PROOF: If y and y' both have this property then we have

$$y = y1$$

$$= yxy'$$

$$= 1y'$$

$$= y'$$

Definition 4.9. In any field F, if $x \neq 0$, we write x^{-1} for the unique element such that $xx^{-1} = 1$.

We write x/y for xy^{-1} .

Proposition 4.10. In any field F, if xy = xz and $x \neq 0$ then y = z.

Proof:

$$y = 1y$$

$$= x^{-1}xy$$

$$= x^{-1}xz$$

$$= 1z$$

$$= z$$

Proposition 4.11. In any field F, if $x \neq 0$ then $x^{-1} \neq 0$ and $(x^{-1})^{-1} = x$.

PROOF: Since $xx^{-1} = 1$. \square

Proposition 4.12. In any field F, we have x0 = 0.

13

Proof:

$$x0 + 0 = x0$$

$$= x(0 + 0)$$

$$= x0 + x0$$

$$\therefore 0 = x0$$

Proposition 4.13. In any field F, if xy = 0 then x = 0 or y = 0.

PROOF: If xy = 0 and $x \neq 0$ then we have $y = x^{-1}xy = x^{-1}0 = 0$. \square

Proposition 4.14. In any field F, we have (-x)y = -(xy).

Proof:

$$xy + (-x)y = (x + (-x))y$$

$$= 0y$$

$$= 0 (Proposition 4.12) \square$$

Corollary 4.14.1. In any field F, we have (-x)(-y) = xy.

Proof:

$$(-x)(-y) = -(x(-y))$$

$$= -(-(xy))$$

$$= xy (Proposition 4.6) \Box$$

Proposition 4.15. Let K be a field. Let $a, b \in K$. If $a^2 = b^2$ then a = b or a = -b.

Proof:

$$a^2 - b^2 = 0$$
$$\therefore (a - b)(a + b) = 0$$

Hence either a - b = 0 or a + b = 0, and the conclusion follows. \square

4.1 Ordered Fields

Definition 4.16 (Ordered Field). An ordered field F consists of a field F and a linear order \leq on F such that:

- For all $x, y, z \in F$, if y < z then x + y < x + z
- For all $x, y \in F$, if x > 0 and y > 0 then xy > 0.

We call x positive iff x > 0 and negative iff x < 0.

Example 4.17. \mathbb{Q} is an ordered field.

Proposition 4.18. In any ordered field, if x is positive then -x is negative.

PROOF: If
$$x > 0$$
 then $0 = x + (-x) > 0 = (-x) = -x$. \Box

Proposition 4.19. In any ordered field, if y < z and x is positive then xy < xz.

PROOF: If y < z then we have

$$0 < z - y$$

$$0 < x(z - y)$$

$$= xz - xy$$

$$xy < xz$$

Proposition 4.20. In any ordered field, if y < z and x is negative then xy > xz.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. -x is positive.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. (-x)y < (-x)z
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. -(xy) < -(xz)$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. xz < xy

Proposition 4.21. In any ordered field, if $x \neq 0$ then $x^2 > 0$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. If x > 0 then $x^2 > 0$.

PROOF: Proposition 4.19.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If x < 0 then $x^2 > 0$.

Proof: Proposition 4.20.

Corollary 4.21.1. In any ordered field, we have 1 > 0.

Proposition 4.22. In any ordered field, if x is positive then x^{-1} is positive.

PROOF: If $x^{-1} < 0$ then we would have $1 = xx^{-1} < x0 = 0$ contradicting Corollary 4.21.1. \square

Proposition 4.23. In any ordered field, if 0 < x < y then $y^{-1} < x^{-1}$.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Assume: 0 < x < y
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. x^{-1} and y^{-1} are positive.

Proof: Proposition 4.22.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ xy^{-1} < yy^{-1} = 1$ $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ y^{-1} = x^{-1}xy^{-1} < x^{-1}1 = x^{-1}$

Lemma 4.24. Let K be an ordered field. Let $b \in K$ with b > 1. Let n be a positive integer. Then

$$b^n - 1 \ge n(b - 1)$$

Proof:

$$b^{n} - 1 = (b-1)(b^{n-1} + b^{n-2} + \dots + 1)$$

$$\geq (b-1)(1+1+\dots+1)$$

$$= n(b-1)$$

Real Analysis

5.1 Construction of the Real Numbers

Definition 5.1 (Cut). A *cut* is a subset α of \mathbb{Q} such that:

- $\emptyset \neq \alpha \neq \mathbb{Q}$
- α is closed downwards.
- α has no greatest element.

In this section, we write R for the set of all cuts.

Proposition 5.2. R is linearly ordered by \subseteq .

```
PROOF: The only difficult part is to prove that, for any cuts \alpha and \beta, either \alpha \subseteq \beta or \beta \subseteq \alpha. 
(1)1. Assume: \alpha \nsubseteq \beta Prove: \beta \subseteq \alpha
```

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. PICK $q \in \alpha$ such that $q \notin \beta$ $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Let: $r \in \beta$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ q \not< r$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5. \ r < q$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ r \in \alpha$

Proposition 5.3. R has the least upper bound property.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $E \subseteq R$ be nonempty and bounded above.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $s = \bigcup E$

Prove: s is a cut.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \emptyset \neq s$

PROOF: Since E is nonempty and every element of E is nonempty.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ s \neq \mathbb{Q}$

- $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. PICK an upper bound u for E.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Pick $q \notin u$ Prove: $q \notin s$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \forall \alpha \in E.\alpha \subseteq u$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ s \subseteq u$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ q \notin s$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. s is closed downwards.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: $q \in s$ and r < q.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Pick $\alpha \in E$ such that $q \in \alpha$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ r \in \alpha$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ r \in s$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. s has no greatest element.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: $q \in s$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. PICK $\alpha \in E$ such that $q \in \alpha$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Pick $r \in \alpha$ such that q < r.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ r \in s$

Definition 5.4 (Addition). Given cuts α and β , we define

$$\alpha + \beta = \{q + r : q \in \alpha, r \in \beta\} .$$

Proposition 5.5. Given cuts α and β , we have $\alpha + \beta$ is a cut.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. $\alpha + \beta$ is nonempty.

PROOF: Since α and β are nonempty.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ \alpha + \beta \neq \mathbb{Q}$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Pick $q \in \mathbb{Q} \alpha$ and $r \in \mathbb{Q} \beta$. Prove: $q + r \notin \alpha + \beta$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Assume: for a contradiction $q + r \in \alpha + \beta$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Pick $x \in \alpha$ and $y \in \beta$ such that q + r = x + y
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. x < q
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. y < r
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$. x + y < q + r
 - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 7. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This is a contradiction.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. $\alpha + \beta$ is closed downwards.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: $q \in \alpha$, $r \in \beta$ and x < q + r
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. x q < r
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ x q \in \beta$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ x \in \alpha + \beta$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. $\alpha + \beta$ has no greatest element.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: $q \in \alpha$ and $r \in \beta$.

PROVE: q + r is not greatest in $\alpha + \beta$.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Pick $q' \in \alpha$ with q < q' and $r' \in \beta$ with r < r'.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ q + r < q' + r' \in \alpha + \beta$

Proposition 5.6. Addition is commutative and associative on R.

PROOF: Immediate from definitions and the fact that addition is commutative and associative on \mathbb{Q} . \square

Definition 5.7. For any $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, let $q^* = \{r \in \mathbb{Q} : r < q\}$.

Proposition 5.8. For any $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, we have q^* is a cut.

```
Proof:
```

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. \ q^* \neq \emptyset
   PROOF: Since q - 1 \in q^*.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ q^* \neq \mathbb{Q}
   PROOF: Since q \notin q^*.
\langle 1 \rangle 3. q^* is closed downwards.
   PROOF: Immediate from definition.
```

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. q^* has no greatest element.

PROOF: For all $r \in q^*$ we have $r < (q+r)/2 \in q^*$.

Proposition 5.9. For any cut α we have $\alpha + 0^* = \alpha$.

Proof:

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle 1 \rangle 1. \ \alpha + 0^* \subseteq \alpha \\ \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \text{Let:} \ q \in \alpha \ \text{and} \ r \in 0^* \\ \text{Prove:} \ q + r \in \alpha \\ \langle 2 \rangle 2. \ r < 0 \\ \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ q + r < q \\ \langle 2 \rangle 4. \ q + r \in \alpha \\ \langle 1 \rangle 2. \ \alpha \subseteq \alpha + 0^* \\ \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \text{Let:} \ q \in \alpha \\ \langle 2 \rangle 2. \ \text{Pick} \ r \in \alpha \ \text{such that} \ q < r \\ \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ q = r + (q - r) \in \alpha + 0^* \end{array}$$

Proposition 5.10. For any cut α , there exists a cut β such that $\alpha + \beta = 0$.

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: \beta = \{ p \in \mathbb{Q} : \exists r > 0. - p - r \notin \alpha \}
\langle 1 \rangle 2. \beta is a cut.
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \beta \neq \emptyset
         \langle 3 \rangle 1. Pick q \notin \alpha
         \langle 3 \rangle 2. -q - 1 \in \beta
     \langle 2 \rangle 2. \ \beta \neq \mathbb{Q}
         \langle 3 \rangle 1. Pick q \in \alpha
                      Prove: -q \notin \beta
         \langle 3 \rangle 2. Assume: for a contradiction -q \in \beta
```

```
\langle 3 \rangle 3. Pick r > 0 such that q - r \notin \alpha
         \langle 3 \rangle 4. \ q - r < q
         \langle 3 \rangle5. Q.E.D.
            PROOF: This contradicts the fact that \alpha is closed downwards.
    \langle 2 \rangle 3. \beta is closed downwards.
         \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: p \in \beta and q < p.
         \langle 3 \rangle 2. Pick r > 0 such that -p - r \notin \alpha
         \langle 3 \rangle 3. -p-r < -q-r
         \langle 3 \rangle 4. -q - r \notin \alpha
         \langle 3 \rangle 5. \ q \in \beta
    \langle 2 \rangle 4. \beta has no greatest element.
         \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: p \in \beta
         \langle 3 \rangle 2. Pick r > 0 such that -p - r \notin \alpha
         \langle 3 \rangle 3. \ -(p+r/2) - r/2 \notin \alpha
         \langle 3 \rangle 4. \ p + r/2 \in \beta
\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \alpha + \beta \subseteq 0^*
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: p \in \alpha and q \in \beta.
    \langle 2 \rangle 2. Pick r > 0 such that -q - r \notin \alpha.
    \langle 2 \rangle 3. p < -q - r
    \langle 2 \rangle 4. p+q < -r
    \langle 2 \rangle 5. p+q < 0
    \langle 2 \rangle 6. \ p+q \in 0^*
\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ 0^* \subseteq \alpha + \beta
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: v \in 0^*
    \langle 2 \rangle 2. Let: w = -v/2
    \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ w > 0
    \langle 2 \rangle 4. PICK an integer n such that nw \in \alpha and (n+1)w \notin \alpha.
    \langle 2 \rangle5. Let: p = -(n+2)w
    \langle 2 \rangle 6. \ p \in \beta
    \langle 2 \rangle 7. \ v = nw + p
    \langle 2 \rangle 8. \ v \in \alpha + \beta
```

Proposition 5.11. Given $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in R$, if $\beta < \gamma$, then $\alpha + \beta < \alpha + \gamma$.

```
PROOF:  \begin{array}{l} \langle 1 \rangle 1. \ \alpha + \beta \subseteq \alpha + \gamma \\ \text{PROOF: Immediate from definitions.} \\ \langle 1 \rangle 2. \ \alpha + \beta \neq \alpha + \gamma \\ \text{PROOF: If } \alpha + \beta = \alpha + \gamma \text{ then } \beta = \gamma \text{ by cancellation.} \\ \end{array}
```

Definition 5.12. Given cuts α and β , define $\alpha\beta$ by:

$$\alpha\beta = \begin{cases} \{p \in \mathbb{Q} : \exists r \in \alpha. \exists s \in \beta (p \le rs \land r > 0 \land s > 0\} & \text{if } \alpha > 0^* \text{ and } \beta > 0^* \\ (-\alpha)(-\beta) & \text{if } \alpha < 0^* \text{ and } \beta < 0^* \\ -((-\alpha)\beta) & \text{if } \alpha < 0^* \text{ and } \beta < 0^* \\ -(\alpha(-\beta)) & \text{if } \alpha > 0^* \text{ and } \beta < 0^* \\ 0^* & \text{if } \alpha > 0^* \text{ and } \beta < 0^* \end{cases}$$

Proposition 5.13. For any cuts α and β , we have $\alpha\beta$ is a cut.

```
Proof:
```

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. If \alpha > 0^* and \beta > 0^* then \alpha \beta is a cut.
```

- $\langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \alpha \beta \neq \emptyset$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. Pick $q \in \alpha$ and $r \in \beta$ such that $q, r \notin 0^*$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. Assume: w.l.o.g. 0 < q and 0 < r.

PROOF: Since α and β have no greatest element.

- $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ qr \in \alpha \beta$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. $\alpha \beta \neq \mathbb{Q}$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. PICK $r \notin \alpha$ and $s \notin \beta$ PROVE: $rs \notin \alpha \beta$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. Assume: for a contradiction $rs \in \alpha \beta$.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$. Pick $r' \in \alpha$ and $s' \in \beta$ such that $rs \leq r's'$ and r' > 0 and s' > 0.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 4$. r' < r and s' < s
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 5$. r's' < rs
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 6$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This is a contradiction.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. $\alpha \beta$ is closed downwards.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. Let: $p \in \alpha \beta$ and p' < p
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. Pick $r \in \alpha$ and $s \in \beta$ such that $p \leq rs$, r > 0 and s > 0
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ p' \leq rs$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ p' \in \alpha \beta$

П

- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. $\alpha \beta$ has no greatest element.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. Let: $p \in \alpha \beta$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. Pick $r \in \alpha$ and $s \in \beta$ such that $p \leq rs$, r > 0 and s > 0.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$. Pick $r' \in \alpha$ and $s' \in \beta$ with r < r' and s < s'.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ p < r's' \in \alpha \beta$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. For any cuts α and β , we have $\alpha \beta$ is a cut.

PROOF: Since if α is a cut then $-\alpha$ is a cut.

Proposition 5.14. For any cuts α and β we have $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$.

PROOF: Easy from the definitions. \square

Proposition 5.15. For any cuts α , β and γ we have

$$\alpha(\beta\gamma) = (\alpha\beta)\gamma$$
.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Case: α , β and γ are all positive.

PROOF: In this case $\alpha(\beta\gamma) = (\alpha\beta)\gamma = \{p \in \mathbb{Q} : \exists r \in \alpha. \exists s \in \beta. \exists t \in \gamma. (p \leq rst \land r > 0 \land s > 0 \land t > 0)\}.$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Case: One of α , β or γ is 0^* .

PROOF: Then $\alpha(\beta\gamma) = (\alpha\beta)\gamma = 0^*$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3.$ Case: α and β are positive, γ is negative. Proof:

$$\alpha(\beta\gamma) = \alpha(-(\beta(-\gamma)))$$

$$= -(\alpha(\beta(-\gamma)))$$

$$= -((\alpha\beta)(-\gamma))$$

$$= (\alpha\beta)\gamma$$
(\langle 1\rangle 1)

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4.$ Case: α is positive, β is negative, γ is positive. Proof:

$$\alpha(\beta\gamma) = \alpha(-((-\beta)\gamma))$$

$$= -(\alpha((-\beta)\gamma))$$

$$= -((\alpha(-\beta))\gamma)$$

$$= (-(\alpha(-\beta)))\gamma$$

$$= (\alpha\beta)\gamma$$

$$(\langle 1\rangle 1)$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5.$ Case: α is positive, β and γ are negative. Proof:

$$\alpha(\beta\gamma) = \alpha((-\beta)(-\gamma))$$

$$= (\alpha(-\beta))(-\gamma)$$

$$= (-(\alpha\beta))(-\gamma)$$

$$= (\alpha\beta)\gamma$$

$$(\langle 1 \rangle 1)$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 6. Case: α is negative, β and γ are positive. Proof: Similar to $\langle 1 \rangle$ 3.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 7.$ Case: α is negative, β is positive, γ is negative. Proof:

$$\alpha(\beta\gamma) = \alpha(-(\beta(-\gamma)))$$

$$= (-\alpha)(\beta(-\gamma))$$

$$= ((-\alpha)\beta)(-\gamma)$$

$$= (-(\alpha\beta))(-\gamma)$$

$$= (\alpha\beta)\gamma$$

$$(\langle 1 \rangle 1)$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 8$. Case: α and β are negative, γ is positive. Proof: Similar to $\langle 1 \rangle 5$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 9$. Case: α , β and γ are all negative.

$$\alpha(\beta\gamma) = \alpha(-(-\beta)(-\gamma))$$

$$= -((-\alpha)((-\beta)(-\gamma)))$$

$$= -(((-\alpha)(-\beta))(-\gamma))$$

$$= -((\alpha\beta)(-\gamma))$$

$$= (\alpha\beta)\gamma$$

$$(\langle 1 \rangle 1)$$

П

Proposition 5.16. For any cut α we have $\alpha 1^* = \alpha$.

Proof:

```
\begin{array}{ll} \langle 1 \rangle 1. \  \, \text{Case:} \  \, \alpha \  \, \text{is positive.} \\ \langle 2 \rangle 1. \  \, \alpha 1^* \subseteq \alpha \\ \langle 2 \rangle 2. \  \, \alpha \subseteq \alpha 1^* \\ \langle 1 \rangle 2. \  \, \text{Case:} \  \, \alpha = 0^* \\ \underline{\langle 1 \rangle} 3. \  \, \text{Case:} \  \, \alpha \  \, \text{is negative.} \end{array}
```

Theorem 5.17. There exists an ordered field with the least upper bound property.

Proposition 5.18. There is no rational p such that $p^2 = 2$.

PROOF:

```
PROOF: \langle 1 \rangle 1. Assume: for a contradiction p^2 = 2. \langle 1 \rangle 2. PICK integers m, n not both even such that p = m/n. \langle 1 \rangle 3. m^2 = 2n^2 \langle 1 \rangle 4. m is even. \langle 1 \rangle 5. PICK an integer k such that m = 2k. \langle 1 \rangle 6. 4k^2 = 2n^2 \langle 1 \rangle 7. 2k^2 = n^2 \langle 1 \rangle 8. n is even. \langle 1 \rangle 9. Q.E.D. PROOF: \langle 1 \rangle 2, \langle 1 \rangle 4 and \langle 1 \rangle 8 form a contradiction.
```

Theorem 5.19. Any two complete ordered fields are isomorphic.

Definition 5.20. Let \mathbb{R} be the complete ordered field. We call its elements *real numbers*.

5.2 Properties of the Real Numbers

Theorem 5.21. \mathbb{Q} is a subfield of \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 5.22 (Archimedean Property). Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with x > 0. There exists a positive integer n such that nx > y.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $A = \{ nx : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Assume: for a contradiction there is no positive integer n such that nx > y.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. y is an upper bound for A.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. Let: $\alpha = \sup A$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. αx is not an upper bound for A.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. Pick a positive integer m such that $\alpha x < mx$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 7$. $\alpha < (m+1)x \in A$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 8$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts $\langle 1 \rangle 4$.

П

Theorem 5.23. \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} .

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with x < y
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. PICK a positive integer n such that

$$n(y-x) > 1 .$$

PROOF: Archimedean property.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. PICK a positive integer m_1 such that $m_1 > nx$

Proof: Archimedean property.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. PICK a positive integer m_2 such that $m_2 > -nx$ PROOF: Archimedean property.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. $-m_2 < nx < m_1$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. Let: m be the integer such that

$$m-1 \le nx < m$$
.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 7$. $nx < m \le 1 + nx < ny$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 8. \ x < m/n < y$

Theorem 5.24. For every real number x > 0 and positive integer n, there exists a unique positive real number y such that $y^n = x$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. There exists a real y > 0 such that $y^n = x$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: $E = \{ t \in \mathbb{R}^+ : t^n < x \}$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: $y = \sup E$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1. \ E \neq \emptyset$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$. Let: t = x/(x+1)
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 2. \ 0 < t < 1$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ t^n < t < x$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 4. \ t \in E$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. x+1 is an upper bound for E.
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$. Let: t > x + 1
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 2$. $t^n > t > x$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ t \notin E$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ y^n = x$$

 $\langle 3 \rangle 1. \ y^n \not< x$

 $\langle 4 \rangle 1$. Assume: for a contradiction $y^n < x$.

 $\langle 4 \rangle 2$. Pick h such that 0 < h < 1 and

$$h < \frac{x - y^n}{n(y+1)^{n-1}}$$
.

$$\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ (y+h)^n - y^n < x - y^n$$

Proof:

$$(y+h)^n - y^n = ((y+h) - y) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (y+h)^{n-1-i} y^i$$

$$= h \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (y+h)^{n-1-i} y^i$$

$$\leq hn(y+h)^{n-1}$$

$$\leq hn(y+1)^{n-1}$$

$$< x - y^n$$

$$\langle 4 \rangle 4$$
. $(y+h)^n < x$

$$\langle 4 \rangle 5. \ y + h \in E$$

 $\langle 4 \rangle 6$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts the fact that y is an upper bound for E.

$$\langle 3 \rangle 2. \ y^n \not> x$$

 $\langle 4 \rangle 1$. Assume: for a contradiction $y^n > x$

 $\langle 4 \rangle 2$. Let:

$$k = \frac{y^n - x}{ny^{n-1}}$$

 $\langle 4 \rangle 3$. 0 < k < y

 $\langle 4 \rangle 4$. y - k is an upper bound for E.

$$\langle 5 \rangle 1$$
. Let: $t \geq y - k$

$$\langle 5 \rangle 2$$
. $y^n - t^n \le y^n - x$

Proof:

$$\begin{split} y^n - t^n &\leq y^n - (y - k)^n \\ &= (y - (y - k)) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y^{n-i} (y - k)^i \\ &= k \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y^{n-i} (y - k)^i \\ &\leq k n y^{n-1} \\ &= y^n - x \end{split}$$

$$\langle 5 \rangle 3. \ t^n \ge x$$

$$\langle 5 \rangle 4. \ t \notin E$$

 $\langle 4 \rangle 5$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts the fact that y is the least upper bound of E. $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If y and y' are positive reals with $y^n = y'^n$ then y = y'.

Proof: Since the function that sends y to y^n is strictly monotone. \square

Definition 5.25 (*n*th Root). Given any real number x > 0 and positive integer n, the nth root of x, denoted $x^{1/n}$, is the unique positive real such that

$$(x^{1/n})^n = x .$$

We write \sqrt{x} for $x^{1/2}$.

Proposition 5.26. Let a and b be positive real numbers and n a positive integer. Then

$$(ab)^{1/n} = a^{1/n}b^{1/n}$$
.

PROOF: Since $(a^{1/n}b^{1/n})^n = ab$. \square

Lemma 5.27. Let b be a real number with b > 1. Let n be a positive integer. Then

$$b-1 \ge n(b^{1/n}-1)$$
.

Proof: From Lemma 4.24. \Box

Lemma 5.28. Let b and t be real numbers with b > 1 and t > 1. For any positive integer n, if $n > \frac{b-1}{t-1}$ then $b^{1/n} < t$.

Proof:

$$b-1 \ge n(b^{1/n}-1)$$

$$\therefore \frac{b-1}{n} \ge b^{1/n}-1$$

$$\therefore t-1 > b^{1/n}-1$$

$$\therefore t > b^{1/n}$$

Lemma 5.29. Let b be a real number with b > 0. Let m, n, p, q be integers with n > 0 and q > 0. Assume m/n = p/q. Then

$$(b^m)^{1/n} = (b^p)^{1/q}$$
.

Proof:

$$\langle 1 \rangle 1. \ (b^m)^{1/n} = (b^{1/n})^m$$

Proof:

$$((b^{1/n})^m)^n = ((b^{1/n})^n)^m$$

= b^m

$$\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ ((b^m)^{1/n})^q = b^p$$

Proof:

$$((b^m)^{1/n})^q = (b^{1/n})^{mq}$$
$$= (b^{1/n})^{np}$$
$$= b^p$$

Definition 5.30. For a a positive real and q a rational number, we may therefore define a^q by

$$a^{m/n} = (a^m)^{1/n}$$

for m and n integers with n > 0.

Proposition 5.31. Let a be a positive real and r, s rational numbers. Then

$$a^{r+s} = a^r a^s$$
.

Proof:

$$a^{m/n+p/q} = a^{(mq+np)/nq}$$

$$= (a^{mq+np})^{1/nq}$$

$$= (a^{mq})^{1/nq} (a^{np})^{1/nq}$$

$$= a^{m/n} a^{p/q}$$

Proposition 5.32. Let b > 1 be a real number and q a rational number. Then

$$b^q = \sup\{b^t : t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \le q\}$$

PROOF: It is the greatest element of this set. \square

Definition 5.33. Let b > 1 be a real number and x a real number. Then

$$b^x = \sup\{b^t : t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \le x\} .$$

Lemma 5.34. Let b, w and y be real numbers with b > 1. Assume $b^w < y$. Then there exists a positive integer n such that $b^{w+1/n} < y$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $t = yb^{-w}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. PICK a positive integer n such that $n > \frac{b-1}{t-1}$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ b^{1/n} < t$

PROOF: Lemma 5.28.

PROOF: Lemma
$$\langle 1 \rangle 4$$
. $b^{w+1/n} < y$

Lemma 5.35. Let b, w and y be real numbers with b > 1. Assume $b^w > y$. Then there exists a positive integer n such that $b^{w-1/n} < y$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $t = b^w/y$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. PICK a positive integer n such that $n > \frac{b-1}{t-1}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ b^{1/n} < t$

Proof: Lemma 5.28.

$$\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ y < b^{w-1/n}$$

Proposition 5.36. For b and x real numbers with b > 1 we have

$$b^x = \sup\{b^t : t \in \mathbb{Q}, t < x\} .$$

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. b^x is an upper bound for $\{b^t : t \in \mathbb{Q}, t < x\}$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: u be any upper bound for $\{b^t : t \in \mathbb{Q}, t < x\}$. Prove: $b^x \leq u$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3.$ Let: q be a rational number with $q \leq x.$ Prove: $b^q \leq u$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. Assume: for a contradiction $b^q > u$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. PICK a positive integer n such that $b^{q-1/n} > u$.

PROOF: Lemma 5.35.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ b^{q-1/n} \le u$ PROOF: $\langle 1 \rangle 2$

PROOF: $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ $\langle 1 \rangle 7$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts $\langle 1 \rangle 4$.

Lemma 5.37. Let A be a set of positive real numbers with supremum a > 0 and B a set of positive real numbers with supremum b > 0. Then ab is the supremum of $\{xy : x \in A, y \in B\}$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. For all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ we have $xy \leq ab$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If u is any upper bound for $\{xy : x \in A, y \in B\}$ then $ab \leq u$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: u be an upper bound for $\{xy : x \in A, y \in B\}$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. For all $x \in A$ we have u/x is an upper bound for B.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. For all $x \in A$ we have $b \leq u/x$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. For all $x \in A$ we have $x \leq u/b$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. $a \leq u/b$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 6. \ ab \leq u$

Proposition 5.38. *Let* $b, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ *with* b > 1. *Then*

$$b^{x+y} = b^x b^y .$$

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. For any rational number q < x + y, there exist rational numbers r < x and s < y such that q = r + s.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1. \ q x < y$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Pick a rational t such that q x < t < y
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. q = t + (q t) and t < y, q t < x
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. $b^x b^y = b^{x+y}$

$$\begin{split} b^x b^y &= \sup\{b^q b^r : q, r \in \mathbb{Q}, q < x, r < y\} \\ &= \sup\{b^{q+r} : q, r \in \mathbb{Q}, q < x, r < y\} \\ &= \sup\{b^q : q \in \mathbb{Q}, q < x + y\} \\ &= b^{x+y} \end{split}$$

5.2.1 Logarithms

Proposition 5.39. Let b and y be real numbers with b > 1 and y > 0. There exists a unique real x such that $b^x = y$.

```
Proof:
```

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: x = \sup\{w : b^w < y\}
        PROVE: b^x = y
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \{ w : b^w < y \} \neq \emptyset
      Proof: It contains 0.
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. \{w : b^w < y\} is bounded above.
      \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: n be the least integer such that
         Proof: Archimedean property.
      \langle 3 \rangle 2. Let: w be a real number with b^w < y
              Prove: w < n
      \langle 3 \rangle 3. \ b^w < n(b-1)+1
      \langle 3 \rangle 4. \ b^w < b^n
      \langle 3 \rangle 5. \ w < n
\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ b^x \leq y
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. Assume: for a contradiction b^x > y
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. PICK a positive integer n such that b^{x-1/n} > y
      Proof: Lemma 5.35.
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. Pick w such that x - 1/n < w and b^w < y
      PROOF: Since x - 1/n is not an upper bound for \{w : b^w < y\}.
   \langle 2 \rangle 4. \ b^{x-1/n} < y
   \langle 2 \rangle 5. Q.E.D.
     PROOF: This contradicts \langle 2 \rangle 2.
\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ b^x \geq y
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. Assume: for a contradiction b^x < y.
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. Pick a positive integer n such that b^{x+1/n} < y.
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ x + 1/n \le x
   \langle 2 \rangle 4. Q.E.D.
      PROOF: This is a contradiction.
```

Definition 5.40 (Logarithm). Let b and y be real numbers with b > 1 and y > 0. The *logarithm* of y to *base* b, denoted $\log_b y$, is the unique real number

such that

$$b^{\log_b y} = y .$$

5.2.2Intervals

Definition 5.41 (Intervals). Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

The open interval (a, b) is $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : a < x < b\}$.

The closed interval [a, b] is $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \le x \le b\}$.

The half-open intervals [a, b) and (a, b] are defined by

$$[a,b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \le x < b\}$$
$$(a,b] := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a < x \le b\}$$

Proposition 5.42. Let (I_n) be a sequence of closed intervals with $I_0 \supseteq I_1 \supseteq \cdots$. Then $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n$ is nonempty.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $I_n = [a_n, b_n]$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $x = \sup_n a_n$

PROOF: $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is bounded above by b_0 .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3.$ $x \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n$ PROOF: For all n we have $a_n \leq x \leq b_n$ since b_n is an upper bound for $\{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$ П

Definition 5.43 (k-cell). Let k be a positive integer. A k-cell is a subset of \mathbb{R}^k of the form

$$\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k : \forall i = 1, \dots, k.a_i \le x_i \le b_i\}$$

for some real numbers $a_1, \ldots, a_k, b_1, \ldots, b_k$ with $a_i \leq b_i$ for each i.

Proposition 5.44. Let (I_n) be a sequence of k-cells such that $I_0 \supseteq I_1 \supseteq \cdots$. Then $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n \neq \emptyset$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $I_n = J_{n1} \times \cdots \times J_{nk}$ where each J_{ni} is a closed interval.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. For $i = 1, \ldots, k$, PICK $a_i \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} J_{ni}$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ (a_1, \ldots, a_k) \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} I_n$

The Cantor Set 5.2.3

Definition 5.45 (Cantor Set). Define a sequence E_n of unions of intervals as follows:

- $E_0 = [0, 1]$
- E_{n+1} is formed from E_n by replacing every interval [a, b] with [a, (2a+b)/3]and [(a+2b)/3, b].

The Cantor set is $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n$.

5.3 The Extended Real Number System

Definition 5.46 (Extended Real Number System). The *extended real number* system is the set $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$.

We extend the ordering \leq to the extended reals by defining

$$-\infty < x < +\infty$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

We extend +, \cdot and / to partial operations on the extended real by defining:

$$x + (+\infty) = +\infty \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$x + (-\infty) = -\infty \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$(+\infty) + x = +\infty \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$(+\infty) + (+\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(+\infty) + (-\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(-\infty) + x = -\infty \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$(-\infty) + (+\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$x \cdot (+\infty) = +\infty \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$x \cdot (-\infty) = -\infty \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$x \cdot (-\infty) = -\infty \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$(+\infty) \cdot x = +\infty \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$(+\infty) \cdot (+\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(+\infty) \cdot (-\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(-\infty) \cdot (+\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(-\infty) \cdot (+\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$x/(+\infty) = 0 \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$(x \in \mathbb{R})$$

 $(-\infty)/x$ is undefined

 $(-\infty)/(+\infty)$ is undefined $(-\infty)/(-\infty)$ is undefined

 $(x \in \mathbb{R})$

Complex Analysis

Definition 6.1 (Complex Numbers). A *complex number* is a pair of real numbers. We write \mathbb{C} for the set of complex numbers.

Define + and \cdot on \mathbb{C} by:

$$(a,b) + (c,d) = (a+c,b+d)$$

 $(a,b)(c,d) = (ac-bd,ad+bc)$

Theorem 6.2. The complex numbers form a field.

Theorem 6.3. The function that maps a to (a,0) is an embedding of \mathbb{R} in \mathbb{C} .

Definition 6.4.

$$i = (0, 1)$$

Lemma 6.5.

$$(a,b) = a + ib$$

PROOF: Since (a, 0) + (0, 1)(b, 0) = (a, b).

Lemma 6.6.

$$i^2 = -1$$

Proof: Immediate from definitions. \square

Corollary 6.6.1. There is no linear order on $\mathbb C$ that makes $\mathbb C$ into an ordered field.

Definition 6.7 (Complex Conjugate). For any complex number z, the complex conjugate \overline{z} is defined by

$$\overline{a+ib} = a-ib \qquad (a,b \in \mathbb{R}) .$$

Definition 6.8 (Real Part). For any complex number z, the *real part* of z, denoted Re(z), is defined by

$$\operatorname{Re}(a+ib) = a \qquad (a, b \in \mathbb{R}) .$$

Definition 6.9 (Imaginary Part). For any complex number z, the *imaginar* part of z, denoted Im(z), is defined by

$$\operatorname{Im}(a+ib) = b \qquad (a, b \in \mathbb{R}) .$$

Theorem 6.10. For all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\overline{z+w} = \overline{z} + \overline{w} .$$

Proof:

$$\overline{(a+ib)+(c+id)} = \overline{(a+c)+i(b+d)}$$

$$= (a+c)-i(b+d)$$

$$= (a-ib)+(c-id)$$

$$= \overline{a+ib}+\overline{c+id}$$

Theorem 6.11. For all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\overline{zw} = \overline{z} \cdot \overline{w} \ .$$

Proof:

$$\overline{(a+ib)(c+id)} = \overline{(ac-bd) + i(ad+bc)}$$

$$= (ac-bd) - i(ad+bc)$$

$$= (a-ib)(c-id)$$

$$= \overline{a+ib} \cdot \overline{c+id}$$

Theorem 6.12. For all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + \overline{z})$$
.

Proof:

$$(a+ib) + \overline{a+ib} = (a+ib) + (a-ib)$$

$$= 2a$$

$$= 2\operatorname{Re}(a+ib)$$

Theorem 6.13. For all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\operatorname{Im}(z) = \frac{1}{2i}(z - \overline{z}) .$$

Proof:

$$(a+ib) - \overline{a+ib} = (a+ib) - (a-ib)$$

$$= 2ib$$

$$= 2i\operatorname{Im}(a+ib)$$

Theorem 6.14. For all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have $z\overline{z}$ is a non-negative real.

$$(a+ib)(\overline{a+ib}) = (a+ib)(a-ib)$$
$$= a^2 + b^2$$

Theorem 6.15. For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, if $z\overline{z} = 0$ then z = 0.

PROOF: Let z = a + ib. Then $z\overline{z} = a^2 + b^2 = 0$ iff a = b = 0. \square

Definition 6.16 (Absolute Value). For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the absolute value of z is

$$|z|=(z\overline{z})^{1/2}$$
.

Proposition 6.17. For x a non-negative real we have |x| = x.

PROOF: Since $|x| = \sqrt{x^2} = x$. \square

Proposition 6.18. For x a negative real we have |x| = -x.

Proof: Since $|x| = \sqrt{x^2} = -x$. \square

Theorem 6.19. For any complex number z we have $|z| \ge 0$.

PROOF: Immediate from definition. \Box

Theorem 6.20. For any complex number z, if |z| = 0 then z = 0.

PROOF: From Theorem 6.15. \square

Theorem 6.21. For any complex number z we have

$$|\overline{z}| = |z|$$
.

PROOF: Immediate from definitions. \square

Theorem 6.22. For any complex numbers z and w we have

$$|zw| = |z||w|$$
.

Proof:

$$|zw| = \sqrt{zw\overline{z}w}$$

$$= \sqrt{z\overline{z}}\sqrt{w\overline{w}}$$
 (Proposition 5.26)
$$= |z||w|$$

Theorem 6.23. For any complex number z we have

$$|\operatorname{Re} z| \le |z|$$

PROOF: Let z = a + ib. Then

$$|\operatorname{Re} z| = \sqrt{a^2} \le \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$$
.

Theorem 6.24. For any complex numbers z and w we have

$$|z+w| \le |z| + |w| .$$

$$|z+w|^2 = (z+w)(\overline{z}+\overline{w})$$

$$= z\overline{z} + z\overline{w} + \overline{z}w + w\overline{w}$$

$$= |z|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(z\overline{w}) + |w|^2 \qquad \text{(Theorem 6.12)}$$

$$\leq |z|^2 + 2|z\overline{w}| + |w|^2 \qquad \text{(Theorem 6.23)}$$

$$= |z|^2 + 2|z||w| + |w|^2 \qquad \text{(Theorem 6.22)}$$

$$= (|z| + |w|)^2 \qquad \Box$$

Theorem 6.25 (Schwarz Inequality). Let $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n$ be complex numbers. Then

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \overline{b_j} \right|^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_j|^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} |b_j|^2.$$

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $A = \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_j|^2$ $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $B = \sum_{j=1}^{n} |b_j|^2$ $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Let: $C = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \overline{b_j}$ $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. Assume: w.l.o.g. B > 0

PROOF: If B=0 then $b_1=\cdots=b_n=0$ and both sides of the inequality are

$$\langle 1 \rangle$$
5. $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |Ba_j - Cb_j|^2 = B(AB - |C|^2)$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} |Ba_{j} - Cb_{j}|^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (Ba_{j} - Cb_{j})(B\overline{a_{j}} - \overline{Cb_{j}})$$

$$= B^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{j}|^{2} - B\overline{C} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}\overline{b_{j}} - BC \sum_{j=1}^{n} \overline{a_{j}}b_{j} + |C|^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |b_{j}|^{2}$$

$$= B^{2}A - 2B|C|^{2} + B|C|^{2}$$

$$= B(AB - |C|^{2})$$

$$\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ B(AB - |C|^{2}) \ge 0$$

$$\langle 1 \rangle 7. \ AB \ge |C|^{2}$$

Proposition 6.26. For any non-zero complex number w, there are exactly two complex numbers z such that $z^2 = w$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. There are at most two complex numbers z such that $z^2 = w$. Proof: Proposition 4.15.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. There are at least two complex numbers z such that $z^2 = w$.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: w = u + iv

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: $a = \sqrt{\frac{|w| + u}{2}}$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Let: $b = \sqrt{\frac{|w|-u}{2}}$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 4$$
. Case: $v \geq 0$
 $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. Let: $z = a + ib$
 $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. $z^2 = w$
Proof:

$$z^{2} = (a+ib)^{2}$$

$$= a^{2} - b^{2} + 2iab$$

$$= u + i\sqrt{|w|^{2} - u^{2}}$$

$$= u + iv$$

$$= w$$

$$\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ (-z)^2 = w$$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ \text{Case:} \ v \leq 0$
 $\langle 3 \rangle 1. \ \text{Let:} \ z = a - ib$
 $\langle 3 \rangle 2. \ z^2 = w$
Proof:

$$z^{2} = (a - ib)^{2}$$

$$= a^{2} - b^{2} - 2iab$$

$$= u - i\sqrt{|w|^{2} - u^{2}}$$

$$= u - i|v|$$

$$= w$$

$$\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ (-z)^2 = w$$

Part I Linear Algebra

Vector Spaces

7.1 Convex Sets

Definition 7.1 (Convex). Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$. Then E is *convex* iff, for all $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in E$ and $\lambda \in (0,1)$,

$$\lambda \vec{x} + (1 - \lambda) \vec{y} \in E .$$

Proposition 7.2. Every k-cell is convex.

```
Proof:
```

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: C = \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k : \forall i.a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i \} be a k-cell.
```

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in C$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

PROVE: $\lambda \vec{x} + (1 - \lambda) \vec{y} \in C$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. For each i we have $a_i \leq \lambda x_i + (1 - \lambda)y_i \leq b_i$

PROOF: Since $\lambda a_1 + (1 - \lambda)a_i \le \lambda x_i + (1 - \lambda)y_i \le \lambda b_i + (1 - \lambda)b_i$.

Real Inner Product Spaces

Definition 8.1 (Inner Product). Given $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k$, define the inner product $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}$ by

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \cdot (y_1, \ldots, y_k) = x_1 y_1 + \cdots + x_k y_k$$
.

Definition 8.2 (Norm). Define the *norm* of a vector $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ by

$$\|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{x}}$$
.

Proposition 8.3.

$$\|\vec{x}\| \ge 0$$

PROOF: Immediate from the definition. \Box

Proposition 8.4. *If* $||\vec{x}|| = 0$ *then* $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

PROOF: If $\|\vec{x}\| = 0$ then $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 0$ so $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = 0$. \square

Proposition 8.5. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$,

$$\|\alpha \vec{x}\| = |\alpha| \|\vec{x}\| .$$

Proof: Easy. \square

Proposition 8.6. For $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k$, we have

$$||\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}|| \le ||\vec{x}|| ||\vec{y}||$$
.

PROOF: By the Schwarz inequality. \square

Proposition 8.7. For $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ we have

$$\|\vec{x} + \vec{y}\| \le \|\vec{x}\| + \|\vec{y}\|$$
.

Proof:

$$\|\vec{x} + \vec{y}\|^{2} = (\vec{x} + \vec{y}) \cdot (\vec{x} + \vec{y})$$

$$= \vec{x} \cdot \vec{x} + 2\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} + \vec{y} \cdot \vec{y}$$

$$\leq \|\vec{x}\|^{2} + 2\|\vec{x}\| \|\vec{y}\| + \|\vec{y}\|^{2} \qquad (Proposition 8.6)$$

$$= (\|\vec{x}\| + \|\vec{y}\|)^{2}$$

Corollary 8.7.1. For $\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ we have

$$\|\vec{x} - \vec{z}\| \le \|\vec{x} - \vec{y}\| + \|\vec{y} - \vec{z}\|$$
.

8.1 Balls

Definition 8.8 (Closed Ball). Let $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and r > 0. The *closed ball* with *centre* \vec{x} and *radius* r is

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|y - x\| \le r\} .$$

Proposition 8.9. Every closed ball is convex.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: B be the closed ball with center \vec{a} and radius r.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in B$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Let: $\lambda \in (0,1)$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. $\lambda \vec{x} + (1 - \lambda) \vec{y} \in B$

Proof:

$$\begin{split} \|\lambda \vec{x} + (1 - \lambda)\vec{y} - \vec{a}\| &= \|\lambda (\vec{x} - \vec{a}) + (1 - \lambda)(\vec{y} - \vec{a})\| \\ &= \lambda \|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\| + (1 - \lambda)\|\vec{y} - \vec{a}\| \\ &\leq \lambda r + (1 - \lambda)r \\ &= r \end{split}$$

Complex Inner Product Spaces

Definition 9.1 (Inner Product). Let V be a complex vector space. An *inner product* on V is a function $\langle \ , \ \rangle : V^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ such that, for all $x,y,z \in V$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$:

- $\langle y, x \rangle = \overline{\langle x, y \rangle}$
- $\langle x + y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$
- $\bullet \ \langle \alpha x, y \rangle = \overline{\alpha} \langle x, y \rangle$
- $\langle x, x \rangle \ge 0$
- If $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ then x = 0.

An inner product space consists of a complex vector space V and an inner product on V.

Definition 9.2 (Norm). Let V be an inner product space and $x \in V$. The norm of x is

$$||x|| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$$
.

Proposition 9.3. An inner product space is a metric space under

$$d(x,y) = ||x - y||.$$

Definition 9.4 (Bounded). Let V_1 and V_2 be inner product spaces and $T:V_1 \to V_2$ a linear transformation. Then T is bounded iff $\{\|T(x)\|: \|x\|=1\}$ is bounded above.

Proposition 9.5. Every linear transformation between finite dimensional inner product spaces is bounded.

Definition 9.6 (Outer Product). Let V be an inner product space and $|\psi\rangle$, $|\phi\rangle \in V$. The *outer product* of $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ is

$$|\psi\rangle\langle\phi|:V\to V$$
.

Hilbert Spaces 9.1

Definition 9.7 (Hilbert Space). A Hilbert space is a complete inner product space.

Theorem 9.8 (Completeness Relation). Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space. Let $\{|e_n\rangle\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a countable orthonormal basis for H. Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |e_n\rangle \langle e_n| = I .$$

Proof:

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{(1)} & \text{(1)} & \text{(1)} & \text{(1)} & \text{(1)} & \text{(1)} & \text{(2)} & \text{(2)$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle e_n | \phi \rangle | e_n \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_m \langle e_n | e_m \rangle | e_n \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n | e_n \rangle$$
$$= | \psi \rangle$$

Definition 9.9 (Separable). A Hilbert space is *separable* iff it has a countable dense orthonormal basis.

Lie Algebras

Definition 10.1 (Lie Algebra). Let K be a field. A Lie algebra \mathcal{L} over K consists of a vector space \mathcal{L} over K and an operation

$$[\ ,\]:\mathcal{L}^2 \to \mathcal{L}\ ,$$

the *Lie bracket* or *commutator*, such that, for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\alpha \in K$:

$$[x+y,z] = [x,z] + [y,z]$$

$$[x,y+z] = [x,y] + [x,z]$$

$$[\alpha x,y] = \alpha [x,y]$$

$$[x,x] = 0$$

$$[x,[y,z]] + [y,[z,x]] + [z,[x,y]] = 0$$
 (Jacobi identity)

Lemma 10.2. If K has characteristic 0 then the condition [x, x] = 0 can be replaced with [x, y] = -[y, x].

Proposition 10.3. The commutator is determind by its values on any basis for \mathcal{L} .

Example 10.4. \mathbb{R}^3 with the cross product is a real Lie algebra.

Example 10.5. For any $n \geq 0$, we have GL(n, K) is a Lie algebra over K under

$$[A, B] = AB - BA .$$

Definition 10.6 (Linear Lie Algebra). A *linear Lie algebra* over K is a Lie algebra over K that is a subalgebra of GL(n, K) for some n.

Example 10.7 (Special Linear Algebra). The special Linear algebra $SL(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) : \text{tr} = 0\}$ is a real linear Lie algebra.

Example 10.8 (Orthogonal Lie Algebra). The *orthogonal Lie algebra* $SO(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) : A \text{ is skew-symmetric} \}$ is a real linear Lie algebra.

Example 10.9. Let u(n) be the set of all skew-Hermitian $n \times n$ -matrices as a real Lie algebra.

Let $su(n) = u(n) \cap SL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 10.10. SU(2) is spanned by the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_x = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_y = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_z = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$$

which satisfy

$$[\sigma_x, \sigma_y] = \sigma_z$$
$$[\sigma_y, \sigma_z] = \sigma_x$$
$$[\sigma_z, \sigma_x] = \sigma_y$$

10.1 Lie Algebar Homomorphisms

Definition 10.11 (Homomorphism). Let L_1 and L_2 be Lie algebras over the same field. A *Lie algebra homomorphism* $\phi: L_1 \to L_2$ is a linear transformation such that

$$\phi([x,y]) = [\phi(x), \phi(y)]$$

for all $x, y \in L_1$.

Lemma 10.12. Every bijective Lie algebra homomorphism is an isomorphism.

Definition 10.13 (Representation). Let L be a real (complex) Lie algebra. A representation of L is a Lie algebra homomorphism $L \to GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ ($GL(n, \mathbb{C})$) for some n.

Example 10.14. The linear transformation $\mathbb{R}^3 \to su(2)$ defined by

$$i \mapsto \sigma_x, j \mapsto \sigma_y, k \mapsto \sigma_z$$

is a representation of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Part II Topology

Metric Spaces

Definition 11.1 (Metric). A *metric* on a set X is a function $d: X^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- $d(x,y) \geq 0$
- d(x,y) = 0 iff x = y
- d(x,y) = d(y,x)
- Triangle Inequality $d(x, z) \le d(x, y) + d(y, z)$

A metric space X consists of a set X and a metric on X.

Example 11.2. \mathbb{R}^k is a metric space under $d(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = ||\vec{x} - \vec{y}||$. The triangle inequality is Corollary 8.7.1.

Proposition 11.3. Let (X,d) be a metric space and Y a subset of X. Then $d \upharpoonright Y^2$ is a metric on Y.

Proof: Easy.

11.1 Balls

Definition 11.4 (Open Ball). Let $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and r > 0. The open ball with centre \vec{x} and radius r is

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|y - x\| < r\} .$$

Proposition 11.5. Every open ball in \mathbb{R}^k is convex.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: B be the open ball with center \vec{a} and radius r.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in B$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Let: $\lambda \in (0,1)$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ \lambda \vec{x} + (1 \lambda) \vec{y} \in B$

Proof:

$$\begin{split} \|\lambda \vec{x} + (1 - \lambda)\vec{y} - \vec{a}\| &= \|\lambda (\vec{x} - \vec{a}) + (1 - \lambda)(\vec{y} - \vec{a})\| \\ &= \lambda \|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\| + (1 - \lambda)\|\vec{y} - \vec{a}\| \\ &< \lambda r + (1 - \lambda)r \\ &= r \end{split}$$

11.2 Limit Points

Definition 11.6 (Limit Point). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$ and $p \in X$. Then p is a *limit point* of E iff every open ball with centre p contains a point of E other than p.

Proposition 11.7. Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. Let p be a limit point of E. Then every neighbourhood of p contains infinitely many points of E.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Assume: for a contradiction N is a neighbourhood of p that contains only finitely many points q_1, \ldots, q_n of $E \{p\}$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $r = \min(q_1, \ldots, q_n)$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Let: B be the open ball with centre p and radius r.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. B is a neighbourhood of p that contains no points of E other than p. \sqcap

Corollary 11.7.1. A finite set has no limit points.

Definition 11.8 (Isolated Point). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$ and $p \in X$. Then p is an *isolated point* of E iff $p \in E$ and p is not a limit point of E.

11.3 Closed Sets

Definition 11.9 (Closed Set). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. Then E is *closed* iff every limit point of E is a member of E.

11.4 Interior Points

Definition 11.10 (Interior Point). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$ and $p \in X$. Then p is an *interior point* of E iff there exists an open ball E with centre E such that E if there exists an open ball E with the following E is an interior point of E iff there exists an open ball E with the following E is an interior point of E if there exists an open ball E is an interior point of E iff there exists an open ball E is an interior point of E if there exists an open ball E is an interior point of E if there exists an open ball E is an interior point of E if there exists an open ball E is an interior E.

11.5 Open Sets

Definition 11.11 (Open Sets). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. Then E is *open* iff every point in E is an interior point of E.

11.5. OPEN SETS

51

Proposition 11.12. Every open ball is open.

```
PROOF:  \langle 1 \rangle 1. \text{ Let: } B \text{ be an open ball with centre } c \text{ and radius } r.   \langle 1 \rangle 2. \text{ Let: } x \in B   \langle 1 \rangle 3. \text{ Let: } \epsilon = r - d(x,c)   \langle 1 \rangle 4. \text{ Let: } B' \text{ be the open ball with centre } x \text{ and radius } \epsilon.   \text{PROVE: } B' \subseteq B   \langle 1 \rangle 5. \text{ Let: } y \in B'   \langle 1 \rangle 6. \ d(y,c) < r   \text{PROOF: }   d(y,c) \leq d(y,x) + d(x,c)   \leq \epsilon + d(x,c)   (\langle 1 \rangle 5)   = r   (\langle 1 \rangle 3)
```

Proposition 11.13. A set is open if and only if its complement is closed.

```
Proof:
```

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: E \subseteq X
```

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If E is open then X - E is closed.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: E is open.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: p be a limit point of X - E.

PROVE: $p \in X - E$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Assume: for a contradiction $p \in E$.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. PICK an open ball B with centre p such that $B \subseteq E$.

 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. B contains a point of X - E.

Proof: $\langle 2 \rangle 2$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 6$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts $\langle 2 \rangle 4$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. If X - E is closed then E is open.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: X - E is closed.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: $p \in E$

 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 3. Assume: for a contradiction no open ball with centre p is a subset of E.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Every open ball with centre p intersects X-E.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. p is a limit point of X - E.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 6. \ p \in X - E$

Proof: $\langle 2 \rangle 1$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 7$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts $\langle 2 \rangle 2$.

Corollary 11.13.1. A set is closed if and only if its complement is open.

Proposition 11.14. The union of a set of open sets is open.

Proof:

```
\begin{split} &\langle 1 \rangle 1. \text{ Let: } \mathcal{U} \text{ be a set of open sets.} \\ &\langle 1 \rangle 2. \text{ Let: } p \in \bigcup \mathcal{U} \\ &\langle 1 \rangle 3. \text{ Pick } U \in \mathcal{U} \text{ such that } p \in U. \\ &\langle 1 \rangle 4. \text{ Pick an open ball } B \text{ with centre } p \text{ such that } B \subseteq U. \\ &\langle 1 \rangle 5. \ B \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U} \end{split}
```

Corollary 11.14.1. The intersection of a set of closed sets is closed.

Proposition 11.15. The intersection of two open sets is open.

```
PROOF:
```

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: U and V be open.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $p \in U \cap V$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. PICK open balls B_1 and B_2 with centre p such that $B_1 \subseteq U$ and $B_2 \subseteq V$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. Assume: w.l.o.g. the radius of B_1 is \leq the radius of B_2 .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5. \ B_1 \subseteq U \cap V$

Corollary 11.15.1. The union of two closed sets is closed.

Example 11.16. The intersection of a set of open sets is not necessarily open. For every positive integer n, we have (-1/n, 1/n) is open in \mathbb{R} , but $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1/n, 1/n) = \{0\}$ is not open.

Theorem 11.17. Let X be a metric space. Let $Y \subseteq X$ and $E \subseteq Y$. Then E is open in Y if and only if there exists an open subset G of X such that $E = G \cap Y$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. If E is open in Y then there exists an open subset G of X such that $E = G \cap Y$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: E is open in Y.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. For $p \in E$, PICK $r_p > 0$ such that the open ball in Y with centre p and radius r_p is included in E.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 3. For $p \in E$, Let: V_p be the open ball in X with centre p and radius r_p .
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Let: $G = \bigcup_{p \in E} V_p$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. G is open in \tilde{Y} .
 - Proof: Proposition 11.14.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$. $E = G \cap Y$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1. \ E \subseteq G \cap Y$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$. Let: $p \in E$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 2. \ p \in V_p$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ p \in G$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. $G \cap Y \subseteq E$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$. Let: $x \in G \cap Y$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 2$. Pick $p \in E$ such that $x \in V_p$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ d(x,p) < r_p$

 $\langle 4 \rangle 4. \ x \in E$

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. For any open subset G of X, we have $G \cap Y$ is open in Y.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: G be an open subset of X.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: $p \in G \cap Y$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. PICK r > 0 such that the open ball in X with centre p and radius r is included in G.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. The open ball in Y with centre p and radius r is included in $G \cap Y$.

11.6 Perfect Sets

Definition 11.18 (Perfect Set). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. Then E is *perfect* iff E is closed and every point in E is a limit point of E.

11.7 Bounded Sets

Definition 11.19 (Bounded Set). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. Then E is bounded iff there exists a real number M and $q \in X$ such that, for all $p \in E$, we have d(p,q) < M.

11.8 Dense Sets

Definition 11.20 (Dense Set). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. Then E is *dense* iff every point of X is either a limit point of E or a point of E, or both.

11.9 Closure

Definition 11.21 (Closure). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. Then the *closure* of E, denoted \overline{E} , is the union of E and the set of limit points of E.

Proposition 11.22. \overline{E} is the smallest closed set that includes E.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. \overline{E} is closed.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: p be a limit point of \overline{E} .
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Assume: $p \notin E$

PROVE: p is a limit point of E.

- $\langle 2 \rangle$ 3. Let: B be the open ball with centre p and radius r. Prove: B intersects E.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Pick a point $q \in B \cap \overline{E}$.
- $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. PICK an open ball B' with centre q such that $B' \subseteq B$.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 6$. Pick a point $r \in E \cap B'$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 7. \ r \in E \cap B$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If C is closed and $E \subseteq C$ then $\overline{E} \subseteq C$.

```
\begin{tabular}{ll} &\langle 2 \rangle 1. & {\rm Assume:} & C & {\rm is closed.} \\ &\langle 2 \rangle 2. & {\rm Assume:} & E \subseteq C \\ &\langle 2 \rangle 3. & {\rm Let:} & p \in \overline{E} \\ &\langle 2 \rangle 4. & {\rm Assume:} & {\rm for a contradiction} & p \notin C \\ &\langle 2 \rangle 5. & p & {\rm is a limit point of} & C. \\ &\langle 3 \rangle 1. & {\rm Let:} & B & {\rm be an open ball with centre} & p. \\ &\langle 3 \rangle 2. & B & {\rm intersects} & E. \\ &\langle 3 \rangle 3. & B & {\rm intersects} & C. \\ &\langle 3 \rangle 4. & B & {\rm intersects} & C & {\rm in a point other than} & p. \\ & & {\rm Proof:} &\langle 2 \rangle 3 \\ &\langle 2 \rangle 6. & {\rm Q.E.D.} \\ & {\rm Proof:} & {\rm This contradicts} &\langle 2 \rangle 1. \\ \end{tabular}
```

Corollary 11.22.1. E is closed if and only if $E = \overline{E}$.

Theorem 11.23. Let E be a nonempty set of real numbers bounded above. Then $\sup E \in \overline{E}$.

Proof:

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Assume: \sup E \notin E
Prove: \sup E is a limit point of E.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. Let: B be an open ball with centre \sup E and radius r.
\langle 1 \rangle 3. There exists x \in E such that x > \sup E - r.
\langle 1 \rangle 4. E intersects B in a point other than p.
```

11.10 Compact Sets

Definition 11.24 (Open Cover). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. An open cover of E is a set \mathcal{U} of open sets such that $E \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U}$.

Definition 11.25 (Compact Set). Let X be a metric space. Let $K \subseteq X$. Then K is *compact* iff every open cover of K includes a finite subcover.

Proposition 11.26. Every finite set is compact.

Proof: Easy. \square

Theorem 11.27. Let X be a metric space. Let $Y \subseteq X$ and $K \subseteq Y$. Then K is compact in Y if and only if K is compact in X.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. If K is compact in Y then K is compact in X.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: K is compact in Y.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: \mathcal{U} be an open cover of K in X.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. $\{ U \cap Y : U \in \mathcal{U} \}$ is an open cover of K in Y.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. PICK a finite subcover $\{U_1 \cap Y, \dots, U_n \cap Y\}$

- $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. $\{U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$ is a finite subset of \mathcal{U} that is an open cover of K is X.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If K is compact in X then K is compact in Y.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: K is compact in X.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: \mathcal{U} be an open cover of K in Y.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. $\{ U \text{ open in } X : U \cap Y \in \mathcal{U} \}$ is an open cover of K in X.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. PICK a finite subcover $\{U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. $\{U_1 \cap Y, \dots, U_n \cap Y\}$ is a subset of \mathcal{U} that is an open cover of E in Y. П

Proposition 11.28. Every compact set is closed.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: E be compact.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $p \in X E$

PROVE: There exists an open ball with centre p that is a subset of X-E.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. For all $q \in E$, there exist disjoint open balls B with centre q and B' with centre p.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. The set of open balls B such that there exists a disjoint open ball B' with centre p is an open cover of E.
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. PICK a finite subcover $\{B_1, \ldots, B_n\}$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. For i = 1, ..., n, Pick an open ball B'_i with centre p such that $B_i \cap B'_i = \emptyset$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 7$. $B'_1 \cap \cdots \cap B'_n$ is an open ball with centre p that is a subset of X E.

Proposition 11.29. Every closed subset of a compact set is compact.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: E be compact and $C \subseteq E$ be closed.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: \mathcal{U} be an open cover of C.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. $\mathcal{U} \cup \{X C\}$ is an open cover of E.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. PICK a finite subcover $\{U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$ or $\{U_1, \ldots, U_n, X C\}$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5. \{U_1, \ldots, U_n\} \text{ covers } C.$

Corollary 11.29.1. The intersection of a compact set and a closed set is compact.

Proposition 11.30. Let K be a nonempty set of compact sets. If every nonempty finite subset of K has nonempty intersection, then $\bigcap K$ is nonempty.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Pick $K \in \mathcal{K}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Assume: $\bigcap \mathcal{K} = \emptyset$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. $\{X K' : K' \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is an open cover of K.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. PICK a finite subcover $\{X K_1, \dots, X K_n\}$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. There exists $p \in K \cap K_1 \cap \cdots \cap K_n$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ and $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ form a contradiction.

Corollary 11.30.1. Let (K_n) be a sequence of nonempty compact sets such that $K_0 \supseteq K_1 \supseteq \cdots$. Then $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 11.31. Let X be a metric space and $E \subseteq X$. Then E is compact if and only if every infinite subset of E has a limit point in E.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. If E is compact then every infinite subset of E has a limit point in E.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: E is compact.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: $A \subseteq E$ be infinite.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Assume: for a contradiction E has no limit point in K.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. For all $p \in K$, there exists an open ball B with centre p such that B does not intersect E outside p.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. The set of open balls that intersect E in at most one point is an open cover for K.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$. Pick a finite subcover B_1, \ldots, B_n .
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 7$. E has at most n points.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 8$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts the fact that E is finite.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If every infinite subset of K has a limit point in K then K is compact.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: Every infinite subset of K has a limit point in K.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: \mathcal{U} be an open cover of K.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Assume: w.l.o.g. \mathcal{U} is countable.

PROOF: We may replace \mathcal{U} with the set of all open balls B with centres in \mathbb{Q}^2 and rational radius such that there exists $U \in \mathcal{U}$ such that $B \subseteq U$.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. PICK an enumeration $\mathcal{U} = \{G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

LET: $F_n = \bigcup_{i=0}^n G_n$. $\langle 2 \rangle 6$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $K - F_n \neq \emptyset$.

PROOF: Since $\{G_0, \ldots, G_n\}$ does not cover K.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 7. \ \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n = \emptyset$

PROOF: Since $\{G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ covers K.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 8$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Pick $a_n \in K F_n$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 9$. Let: $E = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 10$. E is infinite.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. Let: $n \in \mathbb{N}$

PROVE: there exists m such that $a_m \notin \{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$.

- $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. For $i = 0, \ldots, n$, PICK k_i such that $a_i \in G_{k_i}$.
- $\langle 3 \rangle 3$. Let: $m = \max(k_0, \dots, k_n)$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 4$. Assume: for a contradiction $a_m = a_i$ for some $i = 0, \dots, n$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 5. \ a_i \in G_{k_i}$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 6. \ a_i \notin F_m$
- $\langle 3 \rangle$ 7. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This is a contradiction since $k_i \leq m$.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 11$. PICK a limit point l for E in K.

```
Proof: From \langle 2 \rangle 1.
   \langle 2 \rangle 12. PICK n such that l \in G_n.
   \langle 2 \rangle 13. PICK an open ball B with centre l such that B \subseteq G_n
   \langle 2 \rangle 14. B \cap E is infinite.
      Proof: Proposition 11.7.
   \langle 2 \rangle 15. Pick m \geq n such that a_m \in B.
   \langle 2 \rangle 16. \ a_m \in G_n
   \langle 2 \rangle 17. Q.E.D.
      PROOF: This is a contradiction since a_m \notin F_m.
П
Theorem 11.32 (Heine-Borel). Let E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k. Then E is compact if and only
if it is closed and bounded.
Proof:
\langle 1 \rangle 1. If E is compact then E is closed.
   Proof: Proposition 11.28.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. If E is compact then E is bounded.
   PROOF: Otherwise \{(-N,N)^k: N \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} would be an open cover of E with
```

no finite subcover.

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 3. If E is closed and bounded then E is compact. $\langle 2 \rangle$ 1. Assume: E is closed and bounded.

 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 2. PICK \vec{c} and M such that $\forall \vec{x} \in E. ||\vec{x} - \vec{c}|| < M$.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ E \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^{k} [c_i - M, c_i + M]$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. E is compact.

Proof: Proposition 11.29.

Corollary 11.32.1 (Weierstrass's Theorem). Every bounded infinite subset of \mathbb{R}^k has a limit point.

PROOF: It is a bounded infinite subset of some k-cell and therefore has a limit point in that k-cell. \square

Example 11.33. It is not true that, in any metric space, a set is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded.

In \mathbb{Q} , the set $\{p \in \mathbb{Q} : 2 < p^2 < 3\}$ is closed and bounded but not compact.

Theorem 11.34. Every nonempty perfect set in \mathbb{R}^k is uncountable.

Proof:

П

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: P be a nonempty perfect set in \mathbb{R}^k .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. P is infinite.

PROOF: Corollary 11.7.1.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Assume: for a contradiction P is countable.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. PICK an enumeration $P = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. PICK a sequence (V_n) of open balls such that, for all n, we have $\overline{V_{n+1}} \subseteq V_n$ and $x_n \notin \overline{V_{n+1}}$ and $V_n \cap P \neq \emptyset$

- $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: as induction hypothesis we have picked V_0, \ldots, V_{n-1} that satisfy these conditions.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. PICK $p \in P \cap V_n$ such that $p \neq x_n$

PROOF: We cannot have $P \cap V_n = \{x_n\}$ because then V_n would be a neighbourhood of x_n that only intersects P at x_n .

- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. PICK an open ball B with centre p such that $B \subseteq V_n \cap P \{x_n\}$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Let: V_{n+1} be the open ball with centre p and half the radius of B.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ \overline{V_{n+1}} \subseteq V_n$

PROOF: Since $\overline{V_{n+1}} \subseteq B \subseteq V_n$.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 6. \ x_n \notin \overline{V_{n+1}}$ PROOF: Since $\overline{V_{n+1}} \subseteq B \subseteq P - \{x_n\}.$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 7. \ V_{n+1} \cap P \neq \emptyset$

PROOF: Since $p \in V_{n+1} \cap P$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

Let: $K_n = \overline{V_n} \cap P$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 7$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, K_n is compact.

PROOF: By the Heine-Borel Theorem.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 8. \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n \cap P = \emptyset$

PROOF: Since for each n we have $x_n \notin K_{n+1}$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 9. \ \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n = \emptyset$

PROOF: Since $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n \subseteq P$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 10$. Q.E.D.

Proof: This contradicts Proposition 11.30.

Corollary 11.34.1. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with a < b, the closed interval [a, b] is uncountable.

Corollary 11.34.2. \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

Example 11.35. The Cantor set is a perfect set in \mathbb{R} that does not include any open interval.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: (E_n) be the sequence of unions of closed intervals from the definition of the Cantor set, and C be the Cantor set.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ C \neq \emptyset$

PROOF: Since $0 \in C$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. C is closed.

PROOF: Each E_n is closed and C is their intersection.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. Every point of C is a limit point of C.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: $p \in C$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: B be an open ball with centre p and radius r.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. PICK n such that each of the intervals that make up E_n has length
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Let: I be the interval in E_n that contains p.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. $I \subseteq B$

```
\langle 2 \rangle 6. The endpoint of I that is not p is in P \cap B. \langle 1 \rangle 5. C does not include any open interval. \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: (\alpha, \beta) be any open interval. \langle 2 \rangle 2. Pick m such that 3^{-m} < (\beta - \alpha)/6 \langle 2 \rangle 3. Pick k such that \left(\frac{3k+1}{3^m}, \frac{3k+2}{3^m}\right) \subseteq (\alpha, \beta) \langle 2 \rangle 4. \left(\frac{3k+1}{3^m}, \frac{3k+2}{3^m}\right) \subseteq P \langle 2 \rangle 5. \left(\frac{3k+1}{3^m}, \frac{3k+2}{3^m}\right) \cap E_m = \emptyset \langle 2 \rangle 6. Q.E.D. Proof: This is a contradiction.
```

Corollary 11.35.1. The Cantor set is uncountable.

11.11 Connected Sets

Definition 11.36 (Separated). Let X be a metric space. Let $A, B \subseteq X$. Then A and B are separated iff $\overline{A} \cap B = A \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset$.

Definition 11.37 (Connected). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. Then E is *connected* iff E is not the union of two nonempty separated sets.

Theorem 11.38. A subset E of the real line is connected if and only if it is convex.

```
Proof:
```

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. If E is connected then E is convex.
```

```
\langle 2 \rangle 1. Assume: E is connected.
```

```
\langle 2 \rangle 2. Let: x, y \in E
```

$$\langle 2 \rangle 3$$
. Let: $z \in (x, y)$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ z \in E$

PROOF: Otherwise $E \cap (-\infty, z)$ and $E \cap (z, +\infty)$ would be a separation of

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If E is convex then E is connected.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: E is convex.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Assume: for a contradiction $E = A \cup B$ where A and B are nonempty and separated.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Pick $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Assume: w.l.o.g. a < b
- $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. Let: $z = \sup(A \cap [a, b])$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 6. \ z \in \overline{A}$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 7. \ z \notin B$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 8. \ z < b$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 9$. Case: $z \in A$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1. \ z \notin \overline{B}$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. PICK $z_1 \in (z, b)$ such that $z_1 \notin B$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$. $a < z_1 < b$

```
\begin{array}{l} \langle 3 \rangle 4. \ z_1 \notin E \\ \text{PROOF: We have } z_1 \notin A \text{ from } \langle 2 \rangle 5 \text{ since } z_1 \in [a,b] \text{ and } z_1 > z, \text{ and } \\ z_1 \notin B \text{ from } \langle 3 \rangle 2. \\ \langle 3 \rangle 5. \text{ Q.E.D.} \\ \text{PROOF: This contradicts } \langle 2 \rangle 1. \\ \langle 2 \rangle 10. \text{ CASE: } z \notin A \\ \text{PROOF: Then } a < z < b \text{ and } z \notin E \text{ contradicting } \langle 2 \rangle 1. \end{array}
```

Part III More Algebra

Lie Groups

Definition 12.1 (Lie Group). A *Lie group* G is a group G that is also an analytic differentiable manifold such that the group operation and inverse operation are analytic.

A $homomorphism\ of\ Lie\ groups$ is a group homomorphism that is an analytic function.

Lemma 12.2. Every bijective Lie group homomorphism is an isomorphism.

Definition 12.3 (Unitary Group). The *unitary group* U(n) is the Lie group of all $n \times n$ unitary matrices.

Definition 12.4 (Special Unitary Group). The *special unitary group* SU(n) is the Lie group of all $n \times n$ unitary matrices with determinant 1.

Definition 12.5 (Lie Subgroup). Let G be a Lie group. A Lie subgroup of G is a subgroup that is also an analytic submanifold of G.

Example 12.6. U(n) and SU(n) are Lie subgroups of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$.