Encyclopaedia of Mathematics and Physics

Robin Adams

Contents

| 1 | Set Theory | 5 |
|----|---|----------------------------------|
| 2 | Relations | 7 |
| 3 | Order Theory | 9 |
| 4 | Field Theory 4.1 Ordered Fields | 11 13 |
| 5 | Real Analysis 5.1 Construction of the Real Numbers 5.2 Properties of the Real Numbers 5.2.1 Logarithms 5.2.2 Intervals 5.2.3 The Cantor Set 5.3 The Extended Real Number System | 15 21 27 28 28 28 |
| 6 | Complex Analysis 6.1 Algebraic Numbers | 31 35 |
| Ι | Linear Algebra | 37 |
| 7 | Vector Spaces 7.1 Convex Sets | 39 |
| 8 | Real Inner Product Spaces 8.1 Balls | 41 42 |
| 9 | Complex Inner Product Spaces 9.1 Hilbert Spaces | 43 |
| 10 | Lie Algebras 10.1 Lie Algebar Homomorphisms | 45 |

4 CONTENTS

| II Topology | | | | 47 |
|--|--|--|--|----|
| 11 Metric Spaces | | | | 49 |
| 11.1 Balls | | | | 49 |
| 11.2 Limit Points | | | | 50 |
| 11.3 Closed Sets | | | | |
| 11.4 Interior Points | | | | |
| 11.5 Open Sets | | | | |
| 11.6 Perfect Sets | | | | |
| 11.7 Bounded Sets | | | | |
| 11.8 Dense Sets | | | | |
| 11.9 Closure | | | | |
| 11.10Compact Sets | | | | |
| 11.11Connected Sets | | | | 61 |
| 11.12Separable Spaces | | | | |
| 11.13Bases | | | | |
| 11.14Condensation Points | | | | 64 |
| 12 Convergence | | | | 67 |
| 12.1 Cauchy Sequences | | | | 73 |
| 12.2 Complete Metric Spaces | | | | |
| 12.3 Divergent Sequences | | | | |
| 12.4 Infinite Series | | | | |
| 12.5 The Number e | | | | |
| 12.6 Power Series | | | | |
| 12.7 Summation by Parts | | | | |
| 12.8 Absolute Convergence | | | | |
| 12.9 Addition and Multiplication of Series | | | | |
| 12.10Rearrangements | | | | |
| 12.11Completion of a Metric Space | | | | |
| 13 Continuity | | | | 93 |
| 13.1 Limit of a Function | | | | |
| 13.2 Continuous Functions | | | | |
| | | | | |
| III More Algebra | | | | 97 |
| 14 Lie Groups | | | | |

Set Theory

Proposition 1.1. Every infinite subset of a countably infinite set is countable.

```
Proof:
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: i: A \hookrightarrow \mathbb{N} be an infinite subset of \mathbb{N}.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. Define j : \mathbb{N} \to A by: j(k) is the element such that i(j(k)) is least such
        that i(j(k)) \notin \{i(j(0)), \dots, i(j(k-1))\}.
\langle 1 \rangle 3. j is a bijection.
Proposition 1.2. A countable union of countable sets is countable.
```

Proof:

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: (A_n) be a sequence of countable sets.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. For n \in \mathbb{N}, PICK an enumeration (e_{nm})_m of A_n.
\langle 1 \rangle 3. Let: (p_k) be the following enumeration of \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}:
```

 $(0,0),(1,0),(0,1),(2,0),(1,1),(0,2),\ldots$ $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. $(e_{\pi_1(p_k)\pi_2(p_k)})_k$ is an enumeration of $\bigcup_n A_n$.

Theorem 1.3. $2^{\mathbb{N}}$ is uncountable.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Assume: for a contradiction $f : \mathbb{N} \approx 2^{\mathbb{N}}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $S = \{ n \in \mathbb{N} : n \notin f(n) \}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. For all n, we have $n \in S \Leftrightarrow n \notin f(n)$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. For all n we have $S \neq f(n)$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts $\langle 1 \rangle 1$.

Relations

Definition 2.1 (Antisymmetric). A relation R on a set A is antisymmetric iff, whenever xRy and yRx, then x = y.

Definition 2.2 (Transitive). A relation R on a type A is *transitive* iff, whenever xRy and yRz, then xRz.

Order Theory

Definition 3.1 (Linear Order). A *linear order* on a set A is a binary relation \leq on A that is transitive, antisymmetric and:

$$\forall x, y \in A.x \le y \lor y \le x$$
.

A linearly ordered set is a pair (A, \leq) where A is a set and \leq is a binary relation on A.

We write x < y for $x \le y$ and $x \ne y$.

Definition 3.2 (Upper Bound). Let S be a linearly ordered set, $u \in S$ and $E \subseteq S$. Then u is an *upper bound* in E iff $\forall x \in E.x \leq u$. We say E is *bounded above* iff it has an upper bound.

The *up-set* of E, denoted $E \uparrow$, is the set of upper bounds of E.

Definition 3.3 (Lower Bound). Let S be a linearly ordered set, $l \in S$ and $E \subseteq S$. Then u is an *lower bound* in E iff $\forall x \in E.l \leq x$. We say E is *bounded below* iff it has a lower bound.

The down-set of E, denoted $E \downarrow$, is the set of lower bounds of E.

Definition 3.4 (Supremum). Let S be a linearly ordered set, $u \in S$ and $E \subseteq S$. Then u is the *least upper bound* or *supremum* of E iff u is an upper bound for E and, for any upper bound u' for E, we have $u \le u'$.

Definition 3.5 (Infimum). Let S be a linearly ordered set, $l \in S$ and $E \subseteq S$. Then l is the *greatest lower bound* or *infimum* of E iff l is a lower bound for E and, for any lower bound l' for E, we have $l' \leq l$.

Definition 3.6 (Least Upper Bound Property). A linearly ordered set S has the *least upper bound property* iff every nonempty subset of S that is bounded above has a least upper bound.

Proposition 3.7. Let S be a linearly ordered set and $E \subseteq S$.

1. If $E \downarrow has$ a supremum l, then l is the infimum of E.

2. If $E \uparrow has$ an infimum u, then U is the supremum of E.

PROOF

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. If $E \downarrow$ has a supremum l, then l is the infimum of E.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. l is a lower bound for E.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. Let: $x \in E$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. x is an upper bound for $E \downarrow$.

PROOF: For all $y \in E \downarrow$ we have $y \leq x$.

- $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ l \leq x$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. For any lower bound l' for E, we have $l' \leq l$.

PROOF: Since l is an upper bound for $E \downarrow$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 2. If $E \uparrow$ has an infimum u, then u is the supremum of E. PROOF: Dual.

П

Corollary 3.7.1. A linearly ordered set has the least upper bound property if and only if every nonempty set bounded below has an infimum.

Definition 3.8 (Closed Downwards). Let S be a linearly ordered set and $E \subseteq S$. Then E is closed downwards iff, whenever $x \in E$ and y < x, then $y \in E$.

Definition 3.9 (Closed Upwards). Let S be a linearly ordered set and $E \subseteq S$. Then E is *closed upwards* iff, whenever $x \in E$ and x < y, then $y \in E$.

Definition 3.10 (Greatest). Let S be a linearly ordered set and $u \in S$. Then u is greatest in S iff $\forall x \in S.x \leq u$.

Definition 3.11 (Least). Let S be a linearly ordered set and $l \in S$. Then l is least in S iff $\forall x \in S.l \leq x$.

Proposition 3.12. Let \leq be a linear order on a set S and $E \subseteq S$. Then $\leq \cap E^2$ is a linear order on E.

Proof: Easy. \sqcup

Given a linearly ordered set (S, \leq) and $E \subseteq S$, we write just E for the linearly ordered set $(E, \leq \cap E^2)$.

Definition 3.13 (Lexicographic Order). Let A and B be linearly ordered sets. The *lexicographic order* or *dictionary order* on $A \times B$ is the order defined by

$$(a,b) \le (a',b') \Leftrightarrow a = a' \lor (a < a' \land b \le b')$$
.

Proposition 3.14. The lexicographic order is a linear order.

Field Theory

Definition 4.1 (Field). A *field* F consists of a set F, two operations $+, \cdot : F^2 \to F$ and an element $0 \in F$ such that:

- \bullet + is commutative.
- \bullet + is associative.
- $\bullet \ \forall x \in F.x + 0 = x$
- $\forall x \in F. \exists y \in F. x + y = 0$
- \bullet · is commutative.
- \bullet · is associative.
- There exists $1 \in F$ such that $1 \neq 0$ and $\forall x \in F.x1 = x$ and $\forall x \in F.x \neq 0 \Rightarrow \exists y \in F.xy = 1$
- Distributive Law $\forall x, y, z \in F.x(y+z) = xy + xz$

Proposition 4.2. In any field F, the element 0 is the unique element such that $\forall x \in F.x + 0 = x$.

PROOF: If 0 and 0' both have this property then 0 = 0 + 0' = 0'. \square

Proposition 4.3. In any field F, given $x \in F$, there is a unique $y \in F$ such that x + y = 0.

PROOF: If
$$x + y = x + y' = 0$$
 then
$$y = y + 0$$
$$= y + x + y'$$
$$= 0 + y'$$
$$= y'$$

Definition 4.4. Let F be a field. Let $x \in F$. We denote by -x the unique element of F such that x + (-x) = 0.

Given $x, y \in F$, we write x - y for x + (-y).

Proposition 4.5. In any field F, if x + y = x + z then y = z.

PROOF: If x+y=x+z we have -x+x+y=-x+x+z $\therefore 0+y=0+z$ $\therefore y=z$

Proposition 4.6. In any field F, we have -(-x) = x.

PROOF: Since x + (-x) = 0. \square

Proposition 4.7. In any field F, the element 1 such that $\forall x \in F.x1 = x$ is unique.

PROOF: If 1 and 1' both have this property then $1 = 1 \cdot 1' = 1'$. \square

Proposition 4.8. In any field F, given $x \in F$ with $x \neq 0$, the element y such that xy = 1 is unique.

PROOF: If y and y' both have this property then we have

$$y = y1$$

$$= yxy'$$

$$= 1y'$$

$$= y'$$

Definition 4.9. In any field F, if $x \neq 0$, we write x^{-1} for the unique element such that $xx^{-1} = 1$.

We write x/y for xy^{-1} .

Proposition 4.10. In any field F, if xy = xz and $x \neq 0$ then y = z.

Proof:

$$y = 1y$$

$$= x^{-1}xy$$

$$= x^{-1}xz$$

$$= 1z$$

$$= z$$

Proposition 4.11. In any field F, if $x \neq 0$ then $x^{-1} \neq 0$ and $(x^{-1})^{-1} = x$.

PROOF: Since $xx^{-1} = 1$. \square

Proposition 4.12. In any field F, we have x0 = 0.

13

Proof:

$$x0 + 0 = x0$$

$$= x(0 + 0)$$

$$= x0 + x0$$

$$\therefore 0 = x0$$

Proposition 4.13. In any field F, if xy = 0 then x = 0 or y = 0.

PROOF: If xy = 0 and $x \neq 0$ then we have $y = x^{-1}xy = x^{-1}0 = 0$. \square

Proposition 4.14. In any field F, we have (-x)y = -(xy).

Proof:

$$xy + (-x)y = (x + (-x))y$$

$$= 0y$$

$$= 0 (Proposition 4.12) \square$$

Corollary 4.14.1. In any field F, we have (-x)(-y) = xy.

Proof:

$$(-x)(-y) = -(x(-y))$$

$$= -(-(xy))$$

$$= xy (Proposition 4.6) \Box$$

Proposition 4.15. Let K be a field. Let $a, b \in K$. If $a^2 = b^2$ then a = b or a = -b.

Proof:

$$a^2 - b^2 = 0$$
$$\therefore (a - b)(a + b) = 0$$

Hence either a - b = 0 or a + b = 0, and the conclusion follows. \square

4.1 Ordered Fields

Definition 4.16 (Ordered Field). An ordered field F consists of a field F and a linear order \leq on F such that:

- For all $x, y, z \in F$, if y < z then x + y < x + z
- For all $x, y \in F$, if x > 0 and y > 0 then xy > 0.

We call x positive iff x > 0 and negative iff x < 0.

Example 4.17. \mathbb{Q} is an ordered field.

Proposition 4.18. In any ordered field, if x is positive then -x is negative.

PROOF: If
$$x > 0$$
 then $0 = x + (-x) > 0 = (-x) = -x$. \Box

Proposition 4.19. In any ordered field, if y < z and x is positive then xy < xz.

PROOF: If y < z then we have

$$0 < z - y$$

$$0 < x(z - y)$$

$$= xz - xy$$

$$xy < xz$$

Proposition 4.20. In any ordered field, if y < z and x is negative then xy > xz.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. -x is positive.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. (-x)y < (-x)z
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. -(xy) < -(xz)$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. xz < xy

Proposition 4.21. In any ordered field, if $x \neq 0$ then $x^2 > 0$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. If x > 0 then $x^2 > 0$.

PROOF: Proposition 4.19.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If x < 0 then $x^2 > 0$.

Proof: Proposition 4.20.

Corollary 4.21.1. In any ordered field, we have 1 > 0.

Proposition 4.22. In any ordered field, if x is positive then x^{-1} is positive.

PROOF: If $x^{-1} < 0$ then we would have $1 = xx^{-1} < x0 = 0$ contradicting Corollary 4.21.1. \square

Proposition 4.23. In any ordered field, if 0 < x < y then $y^{-1} < x^{-1}$.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Assume: 0 < x < y
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. x^{-1} and y^{-1} are positive.

Proof: Proposition 4.22.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ xy^{-1} < yy^{-1} = 1$ $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ y^{-1} = x^{-1}xy^{-1} < x^{-1}1 = x^{-1}$

Lemma 4.24. Let K be an ordered field. Let $b \in K$ with b > 1. Let n be a positive integer. Then

$$b^n - 1 \ge n(b - 1)$$

Proof:

$$b^{n} - 1 = (b-1)(b^{n-1} + b^{n-2} + \dots + 1)$$

$$\geq (b-1)(1+1+\dots+1)$$

$$= n(b-1)$$

Real Analysis

5.1 Construction of the Real Numbers

Definition 5.1 (Cut). A *cut* is a subset α of \mathbb{Q} such that:

- $\emptyset \neq \alpha \neq \mathbb{Q}$
- α is closed downwards.
- α has no greatest element.

In this section, we write R for the set of all cuts.

Proposition 5.2. R is linearly ordered by \subseteq .

```
PROOF: The only difficult part is to prove that, for any cuts \alpha and \beta, either \alpha \subseteq \beta or \beta \subseteq \alpha. 
(1)1. Assume: \alpha \nsubseteq \beta Prove: \beta \subseteq \alpha
```

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. PICK $q \in \alpha$ such that $q \notin \beta$ $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Let: $r \in \beta$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ q \not< r$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5. \ r < q$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ r \in \alpha$

Proposition 5.3. R has the least upper bound property.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $E \subseteq R$ be nonempty and bounded above.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $s = \bigcup E$

Prove: s is a cut.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \emptyset \neq s$

PROOF: Since E is nonempty and every element of E is nonempty.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ s \neq \mathbb{Q}$

- $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. PICK an upper bound u for E.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Pick $q \notin u$ Prove: $q \notin s$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \forall \alpha \in E.\alpha \subseteq u$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ s \subseteq u$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ q \notin s$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. s is closed downwards.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: $q \in s$ and r < q.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Pick $\alpha \in E$ such that $q \in \alpha$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ r \in \alpha$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ r \in s$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. s has no greatest element.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: $q \in s$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. PICK $\alpha \in E$ such that $q \in \alpha$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Pick $r \in \alpha$ such that q < r.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ r \in s$

Definition 5.4 (Addition). Given cuts α and β , we define

$$\alpha + \beta = \{q + r : q \in \alpha, r \in \beta\} .$$

Proposition 5.5. Given cuts α and β , we have $\alpha + \beta$ is a cut.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. $\alpha + \beta$ is nonempty.

PROOF: Since α and β are nonempty.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ \alpha + \beta \neq \mathbb{Q}$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Pick $q \in \mathbb{Q} \alpha$ and $r \in \mathbb{Q} \beta$. Prove: $q + r \notin \alpha + \beta$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Assume: for a contradiction $q + r \in \alpha + \beta$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Pick $x \in \alpha$ and $y \in \beta$ such that q + r = x + y
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. x < q
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. y < r
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$. x + y < q + r
 - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 7. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This is a contradiction.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. $\alpha + \beta$ is closed downwards.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: $q \in \alpha$, $r \in \beta$ and x < q + r
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. x q < r
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ x q \in \beta$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ x \in \alpha + \beta$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. $\alpha + \beta$ has no greatest element.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: $q \in \alpha$ and $r \in \beta$.

PROVE: q + r is not greatest in $\alpha + \beta$.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Pick $q' \in \alpha$ with q < q' and $r' \in \beta$ with r < r'.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ q + r < q' + r' \in \alpha + \beta$

Proposition 5.6. Addition is commutative and associative on R.

PROOF: Immediate from definitions and the fact that addition is commutative and associative on \mathbb{Q} . \square

Definition 5.7. For any $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, let $q^* = \{r \in \mathbb{Q} : r < q\}$.

Proposition 5.8. For any $q \in \mathbb{Q}$, we have q^* is a cut.

```
Proof:
```

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. \ q^* \neq \emptyset
   PROOF: Since q - 1 \in q^*.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ q^* \neq \mathbb{Q}
   PROOF: Since q \notin q^*.
\langle 1 \rangle 3. q^* is closed downwards.
   PROOF: Immediate from definition.
```

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. q^* has no greatest element.

PROOF: For all $r \in q^*$ we have $r < (q+r)/2 \in q^*$.

Proposition 5.9. For any cut α we have $\alpha + 0^* = \alpha$.

Proof:

$$\begin{array}{l} \langle 1 \rangle 1. \ \alpha + 0^* \subseteq \alpha \\ \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \text{Let:} \ q \in \alpha \ \text{and} \ r \in 0^* \\ \text{Prove:} \ q + r \in \alpha \\ \langle 2 \rangle 2. \ r < 0 \\ \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ q + r < q \\ \langle 2 \rangle 4. \ q + r \in \alpha \\ \langle 1 \rangle 2. \ \alpha \subseteq \alpha + 0^* \\ \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \text{Let:} \ q \in \alpha \\ \langle 2 \rangle 2. \ \text{Pick} \ r \in \alpha \ \text{such that} \ q < r \\ \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ q = r + (q - r) \in \alpha + 0^* \end{array}$$

Proposition 5.10. For any cut α , there exists a cut β such that $\alpha + \beta = 0$.

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: \beta = \{ p \in \mathbb{Q} : \exists r > 0. - p - r \notin \alpha \}
\langle 1 \rangle 2. \beta is a cut.
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \beta \neq \emptyset
         \langle 3 \rangle 1. Pick q \notin \alpha
         \langle 3 \rangle 2. -q - 1 \in \beta
     \langle 2 \rangle 2. \ \beta \neq \mathbb{Q}
         \langle 3 \rangle 1. Pick q \in \alpha
                      Prove: -q \notin \beta
         \langle 3 \rangle 2. Assume: for a contradiction -q \in \beta
```

```
\langle 3 \rangle 3. Pick r > 0 such that q - r \notin \alpha
         \langle 3 \rangle 4. \ q - r < q
         \langle 3 \rangle 5. Q.E.D.
            PROOF: This contradicts the fact that \alpha is closed downwards.
    \langle 2 \rangle 3. \beta is closed downwards.
         \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: p \in \beta and q < p.
         \langle 3 \rangle 2. Pick r > 0 such that -p - r \notin \alpha
         \langle 3 \rangle 3. -p-r < -q-r
         \langle 3 \rangle 4. -q - r \notin \alpha
         \langle 3 \rangle 5. \ q \in \beta
    \langle 2 \rangle 4. \beta has no greatest element.
         \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: p \in \beta
         \langle 3 \rangle 2. Pick r > 0 such that -p - r \notin \alpha
         \langle 3 \rangle 3. \ -(p+r/2) - r/2 \notin \alpha
         \langle 3 \rangle 4. \ p + r/2 \in \beta
\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \alpha + \beta \subseteq 0^*
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: p \in \alpha and q \in \beta.
    \langle 2 \rangle 2. Pick r > 0 such that -q - r \notin \alpha.
    \langle 2 \rangle 3. p < -q - r
    \langle 2 \rangle 4. p+q < -r
    \langle 2 \rangle 5. p+q < 0
    \langle 2 \rangle 6. \ p+q \in 0^*
\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ 0^* \subseteq \alpha + \beta
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: v \in 0^*
    \langle 2 \rangle 2. Let: w = -v/2
    \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ w > 0
    \langle 2 \rangle 4. PICK an integer n such that nw \in \alpha and (n+1)w \notin \alpha.
    \langle 2 \rangle5. Let: p = -(n+2)w
    \langle 2 \rangle 6. \ p \in \beta
    \langle 2 \rangle 7. \ v = nw + p
    \langle 2 \rangle 8. \ v \in \alpha + \beta
```

Proposition 5.11. Given $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in R$, if $\beta < \gamma$, then $\alpha + \beta < \alpha + \gamma$.

```
PROOF:  \begin{array}{l} \langle 1 \rangle 1. \ \alpha + \beta \subseteq \alpha + \gamma \\ \text{PROOF: Immediate from definitions.} \\ \langle 1 \rangle 2. \ \alpha + \beta \neq \alpha + \gamma \\ \text{PROOF: If } \alpha + \beta = \alpha + \gamma \text{ then } \beta = \gamma \text{ by cancellation.} \\ \end{array}
```

Definition 5.12. Given cuts α and β , define $\alpha\beta$ by:

$$\alpha\beta = \begin{cases} \{p \in \mathbb{Q} : \exists r \in \alpha. \exists s \in \beta (p \le rs \land r > 0 \land s > 0\} & \text{if } \alpha > 0^* \text{ and } \beta > 0^* \\ (-\alpha)(-\beta) & \text{if } \alpha < 0^* \text{ and } \beta < 0^* \\ -((-\alpha)\beta) & \text{if } \alpha < 0^* \text{ and } \beta < 0^* \\ -(\alpha(-\beta)) & \text{if } \alpha > 0^* \text{ and } \beta < 0^* \\ 0^* & \text{if } \alpha > 0^* \text{ and } \beta < 0^* \end{cases}$$

Proposition 5.13. For any cuts α and β , we have $\alpha\beta$ is a cut.

```
Proof:
```

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. If \alpha > 0^* and \beta > 0^* then \alpha \beta is a cut.
```

- $\langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \alpha \beta \neq \emptyset$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. Pick $q \in \alpha$ and $r \in \beta$ such that $q, r \notin 0^*$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. Assume: w.l.o.g. 0 < q and 0 < r.

PROOF: Since α and β have no greatest element.

- $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ qr \in \alpha \beta$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. $\alpha \beta \neq \mathbb{Q}$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. PICK $r \notin \alpha$ and $s \notin \beta$ PROVE: $rs \notin \alpha \beta$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. Assume: for a contradiction $rs \in \alpha \beta$.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$. Pick $r' \in \alpha$ and $s' \in \beta$ such that $rs \leq r's'$ and r' > 0 and s' > 0.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 4$. r' < r and s' < s
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 5$. r's' < rs
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 6$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This is a contradiction.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. $\alpha \beta$ is closed downwards.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. Let: $p \in \alpha \beta$ and p' < p
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. Pick $r \in \alpha$ and $s \in \beta$ such that $p \leq rs$, r > 0 and s > 0
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ p' \leq rs$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ p' \in \alpha \beta$

П

- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. $\alpha \beta$ has no greatest element.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. Let: $p \in \alpha \beta$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. Pick $r \in \alpha$ and $s \in \beta$ such that $p \leq rs$, r > 0 and s > 0.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$. Pick $r' \in \alpha$ and $s' \in \beta$ with r < r' and s < s'.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ p < r's' \in \alpha \beta$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. For any cuts α and β , we have $\alpha \beta$ is a cut.

PROOF: Since if α is a cut then $-\alpha$ is a cut.

Proposition 5.14. For any cuts α and β we have $\alpha\beta = \beta\alpha$.

PROOF: Easy from the definitions. \square

Proposition 5.15. For any cuts α , β and γ we have

$$\alpha(\beta\gamma) = (\alpha\beta)\gamma$$
.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Case: α , β and γ are all positive.

PROOF: In this case $\alpha(\beta\gamma) = (\alpha\beta)\gamma = \{p \in \mathbb{Q} : \exists r \in \alpha. \exists s \in \beta. \exists t \in \gamma. (p \leq rst \land r > 0 \land s > 0 \land t > 0)\}.$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Case: One of α , β or γ is 0^* .

PROOF: Then $\alpha(\beta\gamma) = (\alpha\beta)\gamma = 0^*$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3.$ Case: α and β are positive, γ is negative. Proof:

$$\alpha(\beta\gamma) = \alpha(-(\beta(-\gamma)))$$

$$= -(\alpha(\beta(-\gamma)))$$

$$= -((\alpha\beta)(-\gamma))$$

$$= (\alpha\beta)\gamma$$
(\langle 1\rangle 1)

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4.$ Case: α is positive, β is negative, γ is positive. Proof:

$$\alpha(\beta\gamma) = \alpha(-((-\beta)\gamma))$$

$$= -(\alpha((-\beta)\gamma))$$

$$= -((\alpha(-\beta))\gamma)$$

$$= (-(\alpha(-\beta)))\gamma$$

$$= (\alpha\beta)\gamma$$

$$(\langle 1\rangle 1)$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5.$ Case: α is positive, β and γ are negative. Proof:

$$\alpha(\beta\gamma) = \alpha((-\beta)(-\gamma))$$

$$= (\alpha(-\beta))(-\gamma)$$

$$= (-(\alpha\beta))(-\gamma)$$

$$= (\alpha\beta)\gamma$$

$$(\langle 1 \rangle 1)$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 6. Case: α is negative, β and γ are positive. Proof: Similar to $\langle 1 \rangle$ 3.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 7.$ Case: α is negative, β is positive, γ is negative. Proof:

$$\alpha(\beta\gamma) = \alpha(-(\beta(-\gamma)))$$

$$= (-\alpha)(\beta(-\gamma))$$

$$= ((-\alpha)\beta)(-\gamma)$$

$$= (-(\alpha\beta))(-\gamma)$$

$$= (\alpha\beta)\gamma$$

$$(\langle 1 \rangle 1)$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 8$. Case: α and β are negative, γ is positive. Proof: Similar to $\langle 1 \rangle 5$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 9$. Case: α , β and γ are all negative.

$$\alpha(\beta\gamma) = \alpha(-(-\beta)(-\gamma))$$

$$= -((-\alpha)((-\beta)(-\gamma)))$$

$$= -(((-\alpha)(-\beta))(-\gamma))$$

$$= -((\alpha\beta)(-\gamma))$$

$$= (\alpha\beta)\gamma$$

$$(\langle 1 \rangle 1)$$

П

Proposition 5.16. For any cut α we have $\alpha 1^* = \alpha$.

Proof:

```
\begin{array}{ll} \langle 1 \rangle 1. \  \, \text{Case:} \  \, \alpha \  \, \text{is positive.} \\ \langle 2 \rangle 1. \  \, \alpha 1^* \subseteq \alpha \\ \langle 2 \rangle 2. \  \, \alpha \subseteq \alpha 1^* \\ \langle 1 \rangle 2. \  \, \text{Case:} \  \, \alpha = 0^* \\ \underline{\langle 1 \rangle} 3. \  \, \text{Case:} \  \, \alpha \  \, \text{is negative.} \end{array}
```

Theorem 5.17. There exists an ordered field with the least upper bound property.

Proposition 5.18. There is no rational p such that $p^2 = 2$.

PROOF:

```
PROOF: \langle 1 \rangle 1. Assume: for a contradiction p^2 = 2. \langle 1 \rangle 2. PICK integers m, n not both even such that p = m/n. \langle 1 \rangle 3. m^2 = 2n^2 \langle 1 \rangle 4. m is even. \langle 1 \rangle 5. PICK an integer k such that m = 2k. \langle 1 \rangle 6. 4k^2 = 2n^2 \langle 1 \rangle 7. 2k^2 = n^2 \langle 1 \rangle 8. n is even. \langle 1 \rangle 9. Q.E.D. PROOF: \langle 1 \rangle 2, \langle 1 \rangle 4 and \langle 1 \rangle 8 form a contradiction.
```

Theorem 5.19. Any two complete ordered fields are isomorphic.

Definition 5.20. Let \mathbb{R} be the complete ordered field. We call its elements *real numbers*.

5.2 Properties of the Real Numbers

Theorem 5.21. \mathbb{Q} is a subfield of \mathbb{R} .

Theorem 5.22 (Archimedean Property). Let $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with x > 0. There exists a positive integer n such that nx > y.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $A = \{ nx : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Assume: for a contradiction there is no positive integer n such that nx > y.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. y is an upper bound for A.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. Let: $\alpha = \sup A$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. αx is not an upper bound for A.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. Pick a positive integer m such that $\alpha x < mx$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 7$. $\alpha < (m+1)x \in A$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 8$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts $\langle 1 \rangle 4$.

П

Theorem 5.23. \mathbb{Q} is dense in \mathbb{R} .

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ with x < y
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. PICK a positive integer n such that

$$n(y-x) > 1 .$$

PROOF: Archimedean property.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. PICK a positive integer m_1 such that $m_1 > nx$

Proof: Archimedean property.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. PICK a positive integer m_2 such that $m_2 > -nx$ PROOF: Archimedean property.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. $-m_2 < nx < m_1$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. Let: m be the integer such that

$$m-1 \le nx < m$$
.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 7$. $nx < m \le 1 + nx < ny$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 8. \ x < m/n < y$

Theorem 5.24. For every real number x > 0 and positive integer n, there exists a unique positive real number y such that $y^n = x$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. There exists a real y > 0 such that $y^n = x$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: $E = \{ t \in \mathbb{R}^+ : t^n < x \}$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: $y = \sup E$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1. \ E \neq \emptyset$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$. Let: t = x/(x+1)
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 2. \ 0 < t < 1$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ t^n < t < x$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 4. \ t \in E$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. x+1 is an upper bound for E.
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$. Let: t > x + 1
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 2$. $t^n > t > x$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ t \notin E$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ y^n = x$$

 $\langle 3 \rangle 1. \ y^n \not< x$

 $\langle 4 \rangle 1$. Assume: for a contradiction $y^n < x$.

 $\langle 4 \rangle 2$. Pick h such that 0 < h < 1 and

$$h < \frac{x - y^n}{n(y+1)^{n-1}}$$
.

$$\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ (y+h)^n - y^n < x - y^n$$

Proof:

$$(y+h)^n - y^n = ((y+h) - y) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (y+h)^{n-1-i} y^i$$

$$= h \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} (y+h)^{n-1-i} y^i$$

$$\leq hn(y+h)^{n-1}$$

$$\leq hn(y+1)^{n-1}$$

$$< x - y^n$$

$$\langle 4 \rangle 4$$
. $(y+h)^n < x$

$$\langle 4 \rangle 5. \ y + h \in E$$

 $\langle 4 \rangle 6$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts the fact that y is an upper bound for E.

$$\langle 3 \rangle 2. \ y^n \not> x$$

 $\langle 4 \rangle 1$. Assume: for a contradiction $y^n > x$

 $\langle 4 \rangle 2$. Let:

$$k = \frac{y^n - x}{ny^{n-1}}$$

 $\langle 4 \rangle 3$. 0 < k < y

 $\langle 4 \rangle 4$. y - k is an upper bound for E.

$$\langle 5 \rangle 1$$
. Let: $t \geq y - k$

$$\langle 5 \rangle 2$$
. $y^n - t^n \le y^n - x$

Proof:

$$\begin{split} y^n - t^n &\leq y^n - (y - k)^n \\ &= (y - (y - k)) \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y^{n-i} (y - k)^i \\ &= k \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} y^{n-i} (y - k)^i \\ &\leq k n y^{n-1} \\ &= y^n - x \end{split}$$

$$\langle 5 \rangle 3. \ t^n \ge x$$

$$\langle 5 \rangle 4. \ t \notin E$$

 $\langle 4 \rangle 5$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts the fact that y is the least upper bound of E. $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If y and y' are positive reals with $y^n = y'^n$ then y = y'.

Proof: Since the function that sends y to y^n is strictly monotone. \square

Definition 5.25 (*n*th Root). Given any real number x > 0 and positive integer n, the nth root of x, denoted $x^{1/n}$, is the unique positive real such that

$$(x^{1/n})^n = x .$$

We write \sqrt{x} for $x^{1/2}$.

Proposition 5.26. Let a and b be positive real numbers and n a positive integer. Then

$$(ab)^{1/n} = a^{1/n}b^{1/n}$$
.

PROOF: Since $(a^{1/n}b^{1/n})^n = ab$. \square

Lemma 5.27. Let b be a real number with b > 1. Let n be a positive integer. Then

$$b-1 \ge n(b^{1/n}-1)$$
.

Proof: From Lemma 4.24. \Box

Lemma 5.28. Let b and t be real numbers with b > 1 and t > 1. For any positive integer n, if $n > \frac{b-1}{t-1}$ then $b^{1/n} < t$.

Proof:

$$b-1 \ge n(b^{1/n}-1)$$

$$\therefore \frac{b-1}{n} \ge b^{1/n}-1$$

$$\therefore t-1 > b^{1/n}-1$$

$$\therefore t > b^{1/n}$$

Lemma 5.29. Let b be a real number with b > 0. Let m, n, p, q be integers with n > 0 and q > 0. Assume m/n = p/q. Then

$$(b^m)^{1/n} = (b^p)^{1/q}$$
.

Proof:

$$\langle 1 \rangle 1. \ (b^m)^{1/n} = (b^{1/n})^m$$

Proof:

$$((b^{1/n})^m)^n = ((b^{1/n})^n)^m$$

= b^m

$$\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ ((b^m)^{1/n})^q = b^p$$

Proof:

$$((b^m)^{1/n})^q = (b^{1/n})^{mq}$$
$$= (b^{1/n})^{np}$$
$$= b^p$$

Definition 5.30. For a a positive real and q a rational number, we may therefore define a^q by

$$a^{m/n} = (a^m)^{1/n}$$

for m and n integers with n > 0.

Proposition 5.31. Let a be a positive real and r, s rational numbers. Then

$$a^{r+s} = a^r a^s$$
.

Proof:

$$a^{m/n+p/q} = a^{(mq+np)/nq}$$

$$= (a^{mq+np})^{1/nq}$$

$$= (a^{mq})^{1/nq} (a^{np})^{1/nq}$$

$$= a^{m/n} a^{p/q}$$

Proposition 5.32. Let b > 1 be a real number and q a rational number. Then

$$b^q = \sup\{b^t : t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \le q\}$$

PROOF: It is the greatest element of this set. \square

Definition 5.33. Let b > 1 be a real number and x a real number. Then

$$b^x = \sup\{b^t : t \in \mathbb{Q}, t \le x\} .$$

Lemma 5.34. Let b, w and y be real numbers with b > 1. Assume $b^w < y$. Then there exists a positive integer n such that $b^{w+1/n} < y$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $t = yb^{-w}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. PICK a positive integer n such that $n > \frac{b-1}{t-1}$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ b^{1/n} < t$

PROOF: Lemma 5.28.

PROOF: Lemma
$$\langle 1 \rangle 4$$
. $b^{w+1/n} < y$

Lemma 5.35. Let b, w and y be real numbers with b > 1. Assume $b^w > y$. Then there exists a positive integer n such that $b^{w-1/n} < y$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $t = b^w/y$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. PICK a positive integer n such that $n > \frac{b-1}{t-1}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ b^{1/n} < t$

Proof: Lemma 5.28.

$$\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ y < b^{w-1/n}$$

Proposition 5.36. For b and x real numbers with b > 1 we have

$$b^x = \sup\{b^t : t \in \mathbb{Q}, t < x\} .$$

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. b^x is an upper bound for $\{b^t : t \in \mathbb{Q}, t < x\}$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: u be any upper bound for $\{b^t : t \in \mathbb{Q}, t < x\}$. Prove: $b^x \leq u$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3.$ Let: q be a rational number with $q \leq x.$ Prove: $b^q \leq u$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. Assume: for a contradiction $b^q > u$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. PICK a positive integer n such that $b^{q-1/n} > u$.

PROOF: Lemma 5.35.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ b^{q-1/n} \le u$ PROOF: $\langle 1 \rangle 2$

PROOF: $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ $\langle 1 \rangle 7$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts $\langle 1 \rangle 4$.

Lemma 5.37. Let A be a set of positive real numbers with supremum a > 0 and B a set of positive real numbers with supremum b > 0. Then ab is the supremum of $\{xy : x \in A, y \in B\}$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. For all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$ we have $xy \leq ab$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If u is any upper bound for $\{xy : x \in A, y \in B\}$ then $ab \leq u$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: u be an upper bound for $\{xy : x \in A, y \in B\}$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. For all $x \in A$ we have u/x is an upper bound for B.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. For all $x \in A$ we have $b \leq u/x$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. For all $x \in A$ we have $x \leq u/b$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. $a \leq u/b$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 6. \ ab \leq u$

Proposition 5.38. *Let* $b, x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ *with* b > 1. *Then*

$$b^{x+y} = b^x b^y .$$

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. For any rational number q < x + y, there exist rational numbers r < x and s < y such that q = r + s.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1. \ q x < y$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Pick a rational t such that q x < t < y
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. q = t + (q t) and t < y, q t < x
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. $b^x b^y = b^{x+y}$

$$\begin{split} b^x b^y &= \sup\{b^q b^r : q, r \in \mathbb{Q}, q < x, r < y\} \\ &= \sup\{b^{q+r} : q, r \in \mathbb{Q}, q < x, r < y\} \\ &= \sup\{b^q : q \in \mathbb{Q}, q < x + y\} \\ &= b^{x+y} \end{split}$$

5.2.1 Logarithms

Proposition 5.39. Let b and y be real numbers with b > 1 and y > 0. There exists a unique real x such that $b^x = y$.

```
Proof:
```

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: x = \sup\{w : b^w < y\}
        PROVE: b^x = y
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \{ w : b^w < y \} \neq \emptyset
      Proof: It contains 0.
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. \{w : b^w < y\} is bounded above.
      \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: n be the least integer such that
         PROOF: Archimedean property.
      \langle 3 \rangle 2. Let: w be a real number with b^w < y
              Prove: w < n
      \langle 3 \rangle 3. \ b^w < n(b-1)+1
      \langle 3 \rangle 4. \ b^w < b^n
      \langle 3 \rangle 5. \ w < n
\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ b^x \leq y
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. Assume: for a contradiction b^x > y
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. PICK a positive integer n such that b^{x-1/n} > y
      Proof: Lemma 5.35.
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. Pick w such that x - 1/n < w and b^w < y
      PROOF: Since x - 1/n is not an upper bound for \{w : b^w < y\}.
   \langle 2 \rangle 4. \ b^{x-1/n} < y
   \langle 2 \rangle 5. Q.E.D.
     PROOF: This contradicts \langle 2 \rangle 2.
\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ b^x \geq y
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. Assume: for a contradiction b^x < y.
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. Pick a positive integer n such that b^{x+1/n} < y.
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ x + 1/n \le x
   \langle 2 \rangle 4. Q.E.D.
      PROOF: This is a contradiction.
```

Definition 5.40 (Logarithm). Let b and y be real numbers with b > 1 and y > 0. The *logarithm* of y to *base* b, denoted $\log_b y$, is the unique real number

such that

$$b^{\log_b y} = y .$$

5.2.2 Intervals

Definition 5.41 (Intervals). Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

The open interval (a, b) is $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : a < x < b\}$.

The closed interval [a, b] is $\{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \le x \le b\}$.

The half-open intervals [a, b) and (a, b] are defined by

$$[a,b) := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a \le x < b\}$$
$$(a,b] := \{x \in \mathbb{R} : a < x \le b\}$$

Definition 5.42 (k-cell). Let k be a positive integer. A k-cell is a subset of \mathbb{R}^k of the form

$$\{\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k : \forall i = 1, \dots, k.a_i \le x_i \le b_i\}$$

for some real numbers $a_1, \ldots, a_k, b_1, \ldots, b_k$ with $a_i \leq b_i$ for each i.

5.2.3 The Cantor Set

Definition 5.43 (Cantor Set). Define a sequence E_n of unions of intervals as follows:

- $E_0 = [0, 1]$
- E_{n+1} is formed from E_n by replacing every interval [a, b] with [a, (2a+b)/3] and [(a+2b)/3, b].

The Cantor set is $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n$.

5.3 The Extended Real Number System

Definition 5.44 (Extended Real Number System). The *extended real number* system is the set $\mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$.

We extend the ordering \leq to the extended reals by defining

$$-\infty < x < +\infty$$

for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

We extend +, \cdot and / to partial operations on the extended real by defining:

$$x + (+\infty) = +\infty \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$x + (-\infty) = -\infty \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$(+\infty) + x = +\infty \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$(+\infty) + (+\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(+\infty) + (-\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(-\infty) + x = -\infty \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$(-\infty) + (+\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$x \cdot (+\infty) = +\infty \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$x \cdot (-\infty) = -\infty \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$(+\infty) \cdot x = +\infty \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$(+\infty) \cdot (+\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(+\infty) \cdot (-\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(-\infty) \cdot x = -\infty \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$(-\infty) \cdot (+\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(-\infty) \cdot (+\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(-\infty) \cdot (-\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$x/(+\infty) = 0 \qquad (x \in \mathbb{R})$$

$$(+\infty)/x \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(+\infty)/(+\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(+\infty)/(+\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(+\infty)/(+\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(-\infty)/x \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(-\infty)/x \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(-\infty)/(+\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

$$(-\infty)/(+\infty) \text{ is undefined}$$

 $(-\infty)/(-\infty)$ is undefined

Complex Analysis

Definition 6.1 (Complex Numbers). A *complex number* is a pair of real numbers. We write \mathbb{C} for the set of complex numbers.

Define + and \cdot on \mathbb{C} by:

$$(a,b) + (c,d) = (a+c,b+d)$$

 $(a,b)(c,d) = (ac-bd,ad+bc)$

Theorem 6.2. The complex numbers form a field.

Theorem 6.3. The function that maps a to (a,0) is an embedding of \mathbb{R} in \mathbb{C} .

Definition 6.4.

$$i = (0, 1)$$

Lemma 6.5.

$$(a,b) = a + ib$$

PROOF: Since (a, 0) + (0, 1)(b, 0) = (a, b).

Lemma 6.6.

$$i^2 = -1$$

PROOF: Immediate from definitions. \square

Corollary 6.6.1. There is no linear order on $\mathbb C$ that makes $\mathbb C$ into an ordered field.

Definition 6.7 (Complex Conjugate). For any complex number z, the complex conjugate \overline{z} is defined by

$$\overline{a+ib} = a-ib \qquad (a,b \in \mathbb{R}) .$$

Definition 6.8 (Real Part). For any complex number z, the *real part* of z, denoted Re(z), is defined by

$$\operatorname{Re}(a+ib) = a \qquad (a, b \in \mathbb{R}) .$$

Definition 6.9 (Imaginary Part). For any complex number z, the *imaginar* part of z, denoted Im(z), is defined by

$$\operatorname{Im}(a+ib) = b \qquad (a, b \in \mathbb{R}) .$$

Theorem 6.10. For all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\overline{z+w} = \overline{z} + \overline{w} .$$

Proof:

$$\overline{(a+ib)+(c+id)} = \overline{(a+c)+i(b+d)}$$

$$= (a+c)-i(b+d)$$

$$= (a-ib)+(c-id)$$

$$= \overline{a+ib}+\overline{c+id}$$

Theorem 6.11. For all $z, w \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\overline{zw} = \overline{z} \cdot \overline{w} \ .$$

Proof:

$$\overline{(a+ib)(c+id)} = \overline{(ac-bd) + i(ad+bc)}$$

$$= (ac-bd) - i(ad+bc)$$

$$= (a-ib)(c-id)$$

$$= \overline{a+ib} \cdot \overline{c+id}$$

Theorem 6.12. For all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\operatorname{Re}(z) = \frac{1}{2}(z + \overline{z})$$
.

Proof:

$$(a+ib) + \overline{a+ib} = (a+ib) + (a-ib)$$

$$= 2a$$

$$= 2\operatorname{Re}(a+ib)$$

Theorem 6.13. For all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have

$$\operatorname{Im}(z) = \frac{1}{2i}(z - \overline{z}) .$$

Proof:

$$(a+ib) - \overline{a+ib} = (a+ib) - (a-ib)$$

$$= 2ib$$

$$= 2i\operatorname{Im}(a+ib)$$

Theorem 6.14. For all $z \in \mathbb{C}$ we have $z\overline{z}$ is a non-negative real.

$$(a+ib)(\overline{a+ib}) = (a+ib)(a-ib)$$
$$= a^2 + b^2$$

Theorem 6.15. For any $z \in \mathbb{C}$, if $z\overline{z} = 0$ then z = 0.

PROOF: Let z = a + ib. Then $z\overline{z} = a^2 + b^2 = 0$ iff a = b = 0. \square

Definition 6.16 (Absolute Value). For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, the absolute value of z is

$$|z|=(z\overline{z})^{1/2}$$
.

Proposition 6.17. For x a non-negative real we have |x| = x.

PROOF: Since $|x| = \sqrt{x^2} = x$. \square

Proposition 6.18. For x a negative real we have |x| = -x.

Proof: Since $|x| = \sqrt{x^2} = -x$. \square

Theorem 6.19. For any complex number z we have $|z| \ge 0$.

PROOF: Immediate from definition. \Box

Theorem 6.20. For any complex number z, if |z| = 0 then z = 0.

PROOF: From Theorem 6.15. \square

Theorem 6.21. For any complex number z we have

$$|\overline{z}| = |z|$$
.

PROOF: Immediate from definitions. \Box

Theorem 6.22. For any complex numbers z and w we have

$$|zw| = |z||w|$$
.

Proof:

$$|zw| = \sqrt{zw\overline{z}w}$$

 $= \sqrt{z\overline{z}}\sqrt{w\overline{w}}$ (Proposition 5.26)
 $= |z||w|$

Theorem 6.23. For any complex number z we have

$$|\operatorname{Re} z| \le |z|$$

PROOF: Let z = a + ib. Then

$$|\operatorname{Re} z| = \sqrt{a^2} \le \sqrt{a^2 + b^2}$$
.

Theorem 6.24. For any complex numbers z and w we have

$$|z+w| \le |z| + |w| .$$

$$|z+w|^2 = (z+w)(\overline{z}+\overline{w})$$

$$= z\overline{z} + z\overline{w} + \overline{z}w + w\overline{w}$$

$$= |z|^2 + 2\operatorname{Re}(z\overline{w}) + |w|^2 \qquad \text{(Theorem 6.12)}$$

$$\leq |z|^2 + 2|z\overline{w}| + |w|^2 \qquad \text{(Theorem 6.23)}$$

$$= |z|^2 + 2|z||w| + |w|^2 \qquad \text{(Theorem 6.22)}$$

$$= (|z| + |w|)^2 \qquad \Box$$

Theorem 6.25 (Schwarz Inequality). Let $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n$ be complex numbers. Then

$$\left| \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \overline{b_j} \right|^2 \le \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_j|^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} |b_j|^2.$$

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $A = \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_j|^2$ $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $B = \sum_{j=1}^{n} |b_j|^2$ $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Let: $C = \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j \overline{b_j}$ $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. Assume: w.l.o.g. B > 0

PROOF: If B=0 then $b_1=\cdots=b_n=0$ and both sides of the inequality are

$$\langle 1 \rangle$$
5. $\sum_{j=1}^{n} |Ba_j - Cb_j|^2 = B(AB - |C|^2)$

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} |Ba_{j} - Cb_{j}|^{2} = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (Ba_{j} - Cb_{j})(B\overline{a_{j}} - \overline{Cb_{j}})$$

$$= B^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |a_{j}|^{2} - B\overline{C} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}\overline{b_{j}} - BC \sum_{j=1}^{n} \overline{a_{j}}b_{j} + |C|^{2} \sum_{j=1}^{n} |b_{j}|^{2}$$

$$= B^{2}A - 2B|C|^{2} + B|C|^{2}$$

$$= B(AB - |C|^{2})$$

$$\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ B(AB - |C|^{2}) \ge 0$$

$$\langle 1 \rangle 7. \ AB \ge |C|^{2}$$

Proposition 6.26. For any non-zero complex number w, there are exactly two complex numbers z such that $z^2 = w$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. There are at most two complex numbers z such that $z^2 = w$. Proof: Proposition 4.15.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. There are at least two complex numbers z such that $z^2 = w$.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: w = u + iv

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: $a = \sqrt{\frac{|w| + u}{2}}$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Let: $b = \sqrt{\frac{|w|-u}{2}}$

$$\begin{array}{lll} \langle 2 \rangle 4. & {\rm Case:} \ v \geq 0 \\ \langle 3 \rangle 1. & {\rm Let:} \ z = a + ib \\ \langle 3 \rangle 2. & z^2 = w \\ & {\rm Proof:} \end{array}$$

$$z^2 = (a + ib)^2 \\ & = a^2 - b^2 + 2iab \\ & = u + i\sqrt{|w|^2 - u^2} \\ & = u + iv \\ & = w \end{array}$$

$$\langle 3 \rangle 3. & (-z)^2 = w \\ \langle 2 \rangle 5. & {\rm Case:} \ v \leq 0 \\ \langle 3 \rangle 1. & {\rm Let:} \ z = a - ib \\ \langle 3 \rangle 2. & z^2 = w \\ & {\rm Proof:} \end{array}$$

$$z^2 = (a - ib)^2 \\ & = a^2 - b^2 - 2iab \\ & = u - i\sqrt{|w|^2 - u^2} \\ & = u - i|v| \\ & = w \end{array}$$

6.1 Algebraic Numbers

Definition 6.27 (Algebraic). A complex number z is algebraic iff there exist integers a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n not all zero such that

$$a_0 z^n + a_1 z^{n-1} + \dots + a_{n-1} z + a_n = 0$$
;

otherwise, it is transcendental.

Proposition 6.28. The set of algebraic numbers is countable.

PROOF: There are countably many finite sequences of integers (a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n) , and for each one, there are only finitely many complex numbers z such that $a_0z^n + a_1z^{n-1} + \cdots + a_n = 0$. \square

Part I Linear Algebra

Chapter 7

Vector Spaces

7.1 Convex Sets

Definition 7.1 (Convex). Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$. Then E is *convex* iff, for all $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in E$ and $\lambda \in (0,1)$,

$$\lambda \vec{x} + (1 - \lambda) \vec{y} \in E .$$

Proposition 7.2. Every k-cell is convex.

```
Proof:
```

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: C = \{ \vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k : \forall i.a_i \leq x_i \leq b_i \} be a k-cell.
```

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in C$ and $\lambda \in (0, 1)$.

PROVE: $\lambda \vec{x} + (1 - \lambda) \vec{y} \in C$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. For each i we have $a_i \leq \lambda x_i + (1 - \lambda)y_i \leq b_i$

PROOF: Since $\lambda a_1 + (1 - \lambda)a_i \le \lambda x_i + (1 - \lambda)y_i \le \lambda b_i + (1 - \lambda)b_i$.

Chapter 8

Real Inner Product Spaces

Definition 8.1 (Inner Product). Given $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k$, define the inner product $\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}$ by

$$(x_1, \ldots, x_k) \cdot (y_1, \ldots, y_k) = x_1 y_1 + \cdots + x_k y_k$$
.

Definition 8.2 (Norm). Define the *norm* of a vector $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ by

$$\|\vec{x}\| = \sqrt{\vec{x} \cdot \vec{x}} .$$

Proposition 8.3.

$$\|\vec{x}\| \ge 0$$

PROOF: Immediate from the definition. \Box

Proposition 8.4. *If* $||\vec{x}|| = 0$ *then* $\vec{x} = \vec{0}$.

PROOF: If $\|\vec{x}\| = 0$ then $x_1^2 + \cdots + x_n^2 = 0$ so $x_1 = \cdots = x_n = 0$. \square

Proposition 8.5. For $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$,

$$\|\alpha \vec{x}\| = |\alpha| \|\vec{x}\| .$$

Proof: Easy. \square

Proposition 8.6. For $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k$, we have

$$||\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}|| \le ||\vec{x}|| ||\vec{y}||$$
.

PROOF: By the Schwarz inequality. \square

Proposition 8.7. For $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ we have

$$\|\vec{x} + \vec{y}\| \le \|\vec{x}\| + \|\vec{y}\|$$
.

Proof:

$$\|\vec{x} + \vec{y}\|^{2} = (\vec{x} + \vec{y}) \cdot (\vec{x} + \vec{y})$$

$$= \vec{x} \cdot \vec{x} + 2\vec{x} \cdot \vec{y} + \vec{y} \cdot \vec{y}$$

$$\leq \|\vec{x}\|^{2} + 2\|\vec{x}\| \|\vec{y}\| + \|\vec{y}\|^{2} \qquad (Proposition 8.6)$$

$$= (\|\vec{x}\| + \|\vec{y}\|)^{2}$$

Corollary 8.7.1. For $\vec{x}, \vec{y}, \vec{z} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ we have

$$\|\vec{x} - \vec{z}\| \le \|\vec{x} - \vec{y}\| + \|\vec{y} - \vec{z}\|$$
.

8.1 Balls

Definition 8.8 (Closed Ball). Let $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and r > 0. The *closed ball* with *centre* \vec{x} and *radius* r is

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|y - x\| \le r\} .$$

Proposition 8.9. Every closed ball is convex.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: B be the closed ball with center \vec{a} and radius r.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in B$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Let: $\lambda \in (0,1)$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. $\lambda \vec{x} + (1 - \lambda) \vec{y} \in B$

Proof:

$$\begin{split} \|\lambda \vec{x} + (1 - \lambda)\vec{y} - \vec{a}\| &= \|\lambda (\vec{x} - \vec{a}) + (1 - \lambda)(\vec{y} - \vec{a})\| \\ &= \lambda \|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\| + (1 - \lambda)\|\vec{y} - \vec{a}\| \\ &\leq \lambda r + (1 - \lambda)r \\ &= r \end{split}$$

Chapter 9

Complex Inner Product Spaces

Definition 9.1 (Inner Product). Let V be a complex vector space. An *inner product* on V is a function $\langle \ , \ \rangle : V^2 \to \mathbb{C}$ such that, for all $x,y,z \in V$ and $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$:

- $\langle y, x \rangle = \overline{\langle x, y \rangle}$
- $\langle x + y, z \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle + \langle y, z \rangle$
- $\bullet \ \langle \alpha x, y \rangle = \overline{\alpha} \langle x, y \rangle$
- $\langle x, x \rangle \ge 0$
- If $\langle x, x \rangle = 0$ then x = 0.

An inner product space consists of a complex vector space V and an inner product on V.

Definition 9.2 (Norm). Let V be an inner product space and $x \in V$. The norm of x is

$$||x|| = \sqrt{\langle x, x \rangle}$$
.

Proposition 9.3. An inner product space is a metric space under

$$d(x,y) = ||x - y||.$$

Definition 9.4 (Bounded). Let V_1 and V_2 be inner product spaces and $T:V_1 \to V_2$ a linear transformation. Then T is bounded iff $\{\|T(x)\|: \|x\|=1\}$ is bounded above.

Proposition 9.5. Every linear transformation between finite dimensional inner product spaces is bounded.

Definition 9.6 (Outer Product). Let V be an inner product space and $|\psi\rangle$, $|\phi\rangle \in V$. The *outer product* of $|\psi\rangle$ and $|\phi\rangle$ is

$$|\psi\rangle\langle\phi|:V\to V$$
.

Hilbert Spaces 9.1

Definition 9.7 (Hilbert Space). A Hilbert space is a complete inner product space.

Theorem 9.8 (Completeness Relation). Let \mathcal{H} be a Hilbert space. Let $\{|e_n\rangle\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a countable orthonormal basis for H. Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |e_n\rangle \langle e_n| = I .$$

Proof:

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{(1)} & \text{(1)} & \text{(1)} & \text{(1)} & \text{(1)} & \text{(1)} & \text{(2)} & \text{(2)$

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle e_n | \phi \rangle | e_n \rangle = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \alpha_m \langle e_n | e_m \rangle | e_n \rangle$$
$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_n | e_n \rangle$$
$$= | \psi \rangle$$

Definition 9.9 (Separable). A Hilbert space is *separable* iff it has a countable dense orthonormal basis.

Chapter 10

Lie Algebras

Definition 10.1 (Lie Algebra). Let K be a field. A Lie algebra \mathcal{L} over K consists of a vector space \mathcal{L} over K and an operation

$$[\ ,\]:\mathcal{L}^2 \to \mathcal{L}\ ,$$

the *Lie bracket* or *commutator*, such that, for all $x, y, z \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\alpha \in K$:

$$\begin{split} [x+y,z] &= [x,z] + [y,z] \\ [x,y+z] &= [x,y] + [x,z] \\ [\alpha x,y] &= \alpha [x,y] \\ [x,x] &= 0 \\ [x,[y,z]] + [y,[z,x]] + [z,[x,y]] &= 0 \end{split} \tag{Jacobi identity}$$

Lemma 10.2. If K has characteristic 0 then the condition [x, x] = 0 can be replaced with [x, y] = -[y, x].

Proposition 10.3. The commutator is determind by its values on any basis for \mathcal{L} .

Example 10.4. \mathbb{R}^3 with the cross product is a real Lie algebra.

Example 10.5. For any $n \geq 0$, we have GL(n, K) is a Lie algebra over K under

$$[A, B] = AB - BA .$$

Definition 10.6 (Linear Lie Algebra). A *linear Lie algebra* over K is a Lie algebra over K that is a subalgebra of GL(n, K) for some n.

Example 10.7 (Special Linear Algebra). The special Linear algebra $SL(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) : \text{tr} = 0\}$ is a real linear Lie algebra.

Example 10.8 (Orthogonal Lie Algebra). The *orthogonal Lie algebra* $SO(n, \mathbb{R}) = \{A \in GL(n, \mathbb{R}) : A \text{ is skew-symmetric} \}$ is a real linear Lie algebra.

Example 10.9. Let u(n) be the set of all skew-Hermitian $n \times n$ -matrices as a real Lie algebra.

Let $su(n) = u(n) \cap SL(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Proposition 10.10. SU(2) is spanned by the Pauli matrices

$$\sigma_x = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_y = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \qquad \sigma_z = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 \\ 0 & -i \end{pmatrix}$$

which satisfy

$$[\sigma_x, \sigma_y] = \sigma_z$$
$$[\sigma_y, \sigma_z] = \sigma_x$$
$$[\sigma_z, \sigma_x] = \sigma_y$$

10.1 Lie Algebar Homomorphisms

Definition 10.11 (Homomorphism). Let L_1 and L_2 be Lie algebras over the same field. A *Lie algebra homomorphism* $\phi: L_1 \to L_2$ is a linear transformation such that

$$\phi([x,y]) = [\phi(x), \phi(y)]$$

for all $x, y \in L_1$.

Lemma 10.12. Every bijective Lie algebra homomorphism is an isomorphism.

Definition 10.13 (Representation). Let L be a real (complex) Lie algebra. A representation of L is a Lie algebra homomorphism $L \to GL(n, \mathbb{R})$ ($GL(n, \mathbb{C})$) for some n.

Example 10.14. The linear transformation $\mathbb{R}^3 \to su(2)$ defined by

$$i \mapsto \sigma_x, j \mapsto \sigma_y, k \mapsto \sigma_z$$

is a representation of \mathbb{R}^3 .

Part II Topology

Chapter 11

Metric Spaces

Definition 11.1 (Metric). A *metric* on a set X is a function $d: X^2 \to \mathbb{R}$ such that, for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- $d(x,y) \geq 0$
- d(x,y) = 0 iff x = y
- d(x,y) = d(y,x)
- Triangle Inequality $d(x,z) \le d(x,y) + d(y,z)$

A $metric\ space\ X$ consists of a set X and a metric on X.

Example 11.2. \mathbb{R}^k is a metric space under $d(\vec{x}, \vec{y}) = ||\vec{x} - \vec{y}||$. The triangle inequality is Corollary 8.7.1.

Example 11.3. For any set X, the discrete metric on X is defined by

$$d(x,y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x \neq y \\ 0 & \text{if } x = y \end{cases}$$

Proposition 11.4. Let (X,d) be a metric space and Y a subset of X. Then $d \upharpoonright Y^2$ is a metric on Y.

Proof: Easy.

11.1 Balls

Definition 11.5 (Open Ball). Let $\vec{x} \in \mathbb{R}^k$ and r > 0. The open ball with centre \vec{x} and radius r is

$$\{y \in \mathbb{R}^k : \|y - x\| < r\} .$$

Proposition 11.6. Every open ball in \mathbb{R}^k is convex.

Proof:

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: B be the open ball with center \vec{a} and radius r.
```

$$\langle 1 \rangle 2$$
. Let: $\vec{x}, \vec{y} \in B$

$$\langle 1 \rangle 3$$
. Let: $\lambda \in (0,1)$

$$\langle 1 \rangle 4$$
. $\lambda \vec{x} + (1 - \lambda) \vec{y} \in B$

Proof:

$$\begin{split} \|\lambda \vec{x} + (1 - \lambda)\vec{y} - \vec{a}\| &= \|\lambda (\vec{x} - \vec{a}) + (1 - \lambda)(\vec{y} - \vec{a})\| \\ &= \lambda \|\vec{x} - \vec{a}\| + (1 - \lambda)\|\vec{y} - \vec{a}\| \\ &< \lambda r + (1 - \lambda)r \\ &= r \end{split}$$

11.2 Limit Points

Definition 11.7 (Limit Point). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$ and $p \in X$. Then p is a *limit point* of E iff every open ball with centre p contains a point of E other than p.

Proposition 11.8. Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. Let p be a limit point of E. Then every neighbourhood of p contains infinitely many points of E.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Assume: for a contradiction N is a neighbourhood of p that contains only finitely many points q_1, \ldots, q_n of $E \{p\}$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $r = \min(q_1, \ldots, q_n)$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Let: B be the open ball with centre p and radius r.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. B is a neighbourhood of p that contains no points of E other than p.

Corollary 11.8.1. A finite set has no limit points.

Definition 11.9 (Isolated Point). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$ and $p \in X$. Then p is an *isolated point* of E iff $p \in E$ and p is not a limit point of E.

11.3 Closed Sets

Definition 11.10 (Closed Set). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. Then E is *closed* iff every limit point of E is a member of E.

11.4 Interior Points

Definition 11.11 (Interior Point). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$ and $p \in X$. Then p is an *interior point* of E iff there exists an open ball E with centre E such that E if there exists an open ball E with the E if there exists an open ball E is the exist E if E if there exists an open ball E if E if there exists an open ball E if E if

11.5. OPEN SETS

Definition 11.12 (Interior). The *interior* of a set E, denoted E° , is the set of all its interior points.

51

Proposition 11.13. The interior of E is the largest open set that is included in E.

```
Proof:
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: I be the interior of E.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. I is open.
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: p \in I
    \langle 2 \rangle 2. PICK an open ball B with centre p such that B \subseteq E.
    \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ B \subset I
       \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: q \in B
       \langle 3 \rangle 2. There exists an open ball B' with centre q such that B' \subseteq B.
       \langle 3 \rangle 3. There exists an open ball B' with centre q such that B' \subseteq E.
       \langle 3 \rangle 4. \ q \in I
\langle 1 \rangle 3. If J is any open set and J \subseteq E then J \subseteq I.
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: J be an open set.
    \langle 2 \rangle 2. Assume: J \subseteq E
    \langle 2 \rangle 3. For all p \in J, there exists an open ball B with centre p such that B \subseteq J.
    \langle 2 \rangle 4. For all p \in J, there exists an open ball B with centre p such that B \subseteq E.
    \langle 2 \rangle 5. \ p \in I
П
```

11.5 Open Sets

Definition 11.14 (Open Sets). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. Then E is *open* iff every point in E is an interior point of E.

Proposition 11.15. Every open ball is open.

```
Proof:
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: B be an open ball with centre c and radius r.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. Let: x \in B
\langle 1 \rangle 3. Let: \epsilon = r - d(x, c)
\langle 1 \rangle 4. Let: B' be the open ball with centre x and radius \epsilon.
        Prove: B' \subseteq B
\langle 1 \rangle 5. Let: y \in B'
\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ d(y,c) < r
   Proof:
                  d(y,c) \le d(y,x) + d(x,c)
                                                                      (Triangle Inequality)
                             < \epsilon + d(x,c)
                                                                                            (\langle 1 \rangle 5)
                                                                                            (\langle 1 \rangle 3)
                             = r
```

Proposition 11.16. A set is open if and only if its complement is closed.

```
Proof:
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: E \subseteq X
\langle 1 \rangle 2. If E is open then X - E is closed.
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. Assume: E is open.
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. Let: p be a limit point of X - E.
           PROVE: p \in X - E
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. Assume: for a contradiction p \in E.
   \langle 2 \rangle 4. PICK an open ball B with centre p such that B \subseteq E.
   \langle 2 \rangle5. B contains a point of X - E.
      Proof: \langle 2 \rangle 2
   \langle 2 \rangle 6. Q.E.D.
      PROOF: This contradicts \langle 2 \rangle 4.
\langle 1 \rangle 3. If X - E is closed then E is open.
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. Assume: X - E is closed.
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. Let: p \in E
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. Assume: for a contradiction no open ball with centre p is a subset of
   \langle 2 \rangle 4. Every open ball with centre p intersects X - E.
   \langle 2 \rangle5. p is a limit point of X - E.
   \langle 2 \rangle 6. \ p \in X - E
      Proof: \langle 2 \rangle 1
   \langle 2 \rangle 7. Q.E.D.
      Proof: This contradicts \langle 2 \rangle 2.
Corollary 11.16.1. A set is closed if and only if its complement is open.
Proposition 11.17. The union of a set of open sets is open.
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: \mathcal{U} be a set of open sets.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. Let: p \in \bigcup \mathcal{U}
\langle 1 \rangle 3. PICK U \in \mathcal{U} such that p \in U.
\langle 1 \rangle 4. PICK an open ball B with centre p such that B \subseteq U.
\langle 1 \rangle 5. \ B \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U}
Corollary 11.17.1. The intersection of a set of closed sets is closed.
Proposition 11.18. The intersection of two open sets is open.
Proof:
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: U and V be open.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. Let: p \in U \cap V
\langle 1 \rangle 3. PICK open balls B_1 and B_2 with centre p such that B_1 \subseteq U and B_2 \subseteq V.
\langle 1 \rangle 4. Assume: w.l.o.g. the radius of B_1 is \leq the radius of B_2.
\langle 1 \rangle 5. \ B_1 \subseteq U \cap V
```

Corollary 11.18.1. The union of two closed sets is closed.

Example 11.19. The intersection of a set of open sets is not necessarily open.

For every positive integer n, we have (-1/n, 1/n) is open in \mathbb{R} , but $\bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1/n, 1/n) =$ $\{0\}$ is not open.

Theorem 11.20. Let X be a metric space. Let $Y \subseteq X$ and $E \subseteq Y$. Then E is open in Y if and only if there exists an open subset G of X such that $E = G \cap Y$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. If E is open in Y then there exists an open subset G of X such that $E = G \cap Y$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: E is open in Y.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. For $p \in E$, Pick $r_p > 0$ such that the open ball in Y with centre p and radius r_p is included in E.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. For $p \in E$,

Let: V_p be the open ball in X with centre p and radius r_p .

- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Let: $G = \bigcup_{p \in E} V_p$ $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. G is open in Y.

Proof: Proposition 11.17.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 6$. $E = G \cap Y$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1. \ E \subseteq G \cap Y$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$. Let: $p \in E$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 2. \ p \in V_p$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ p \in G$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. $G \cap Y \subseteq E$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$. Let: $x \in G \cap Y$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 2$. PICK $p \in E$ such that $x \in V_p$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ d(x,p) < r_p$
 - $\langle 4 \rangle 4. \ x \in E$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. For any open subset G of X, we have $G \cap Y$ is open in Y.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: G be an open subset of X.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: $p \in G \cap Y$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. PICK r > 0 such that the open ball in X with centre p and radius r is included in G.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. The open ball in Y with centre p and radius r is included in $G \cap Y$.

Perfect Sets 11.6

Definition 11.21 (Perfect Set). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. Then E is perfect iff E is closed and every point in E is a limit point of E.

11.7 Bounded Sets

Definition 11.22 (Bounded Set). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. Then E is bounded iff there exists a real number M and $q \in X$ such that, for all $p \in E$, we have d(p,q) < M.

Definition 11.23 (Diameter). Let X be a metric space and $E \subseteq X$ be bounded. Then the *diameter* of E is $\sup\{d(x,y): x,y\in E\}$.

Proposition 11.24. Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$ be bounded. Then \overline{E} is bounded and

$$\dim \overline{E} = \dim E .$$

```
PROOF:
```

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. diam E is an upper bound for $\{d(x,y) : x,y \in \overline{E}\}$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: $x, y \in \overline{E}$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. For all $\epsilon > 0$ we have $d(x,y) < \dim E + \epsilon$.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. Let: $\epsilon > 0$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. Pick $x', y' \in E$ such that $d(x', x) < \epsilon/2$ and $d(y', y) < \epsilon/2$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$. d(x', y') < diam E
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 4$. $d(x,y) < \operatorname{diam} E + \epsilon$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ d(x,y) \leq \operatorname{diam} E$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. diam \overline{E} is an upper bound for $\{d(x,y) : x,y \in E\}$.

PROOF: This follows since $E \subseteq \overline{E}$.

П

11.8 Dense Sets

Definition 11.25 (Dense Set). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. Then E is *dense* iff every point of X is either a limit point of E or a point of E, or both.

11.9 Closure

Definition 11.26 (Closure). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. Then the closure of E, denoted \overline{E} , is the union of E and the set of limit points of E.

Proposition 11.27. \overline{E} is the smallest closed set that includes E.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. \overline{E} is closed.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: p be a limit point of \overline{E} .
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Assume: $p \notin E$

PROVE: p is a limit point of E.

- $\langle 2 \rangle$ 3. Let: B be the open ball with centre p and radius r. Prove: B intersects E.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Pick a point $q \in B \cap \overline{E}$.
- $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. Pick an open ball B' with centre q such that $B' \subseteq B$.

11.9. CLOSURE 55

```
\langle 2 \rangle 6. Pick a point r \in E \cap B'
```

 $\langle 2 \rangle 7. \ r \in E \cap B$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If C is closed and $E \subseteq C$ then $\overline{E} \subseteq C$.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: C is closed.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Assume: $E \subseteq C$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Let: $p \in \overline{E}$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Assume: for a contradiction $p \notin C$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. p is a limit point of C.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. Let: B be an open ball with centre p.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. B intersects E.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$. B intersects C.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 4$. B intersects C in a point other than p. Proof: $\langle 2 \rangle 3$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 6$. Q.E.D.

Proof: This contradicts $\langle 2 \rangle 1$.

Corollary 11.27.1. E is closed if and only if $E = \overline{E}$.

Theorem 11.28. Let E be a nonempty set of real numbers bounded above. Then $\sup E \in \overline{E}$.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Assume: $\sup E \notin E$

PROVE: $\sup E$ is a limit point of E.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: B be an open ball with centre sup E and radius r.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. There exists $x \in E$ such that $x > \sup E r$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. E intersects B in a point other than p.

Proposition 11.29.

$$\overline{A \cup B} = \overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$$

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B}$ is a closed set that includes $A \cup B$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If C is a closed set that includes $A \cup B$ then $\overline{A} \cup \overline{B} \subseteq C$.

Example 11.30. It is not true in general. that $\overline{\bigcup A} = \bigcup_{A \in A} \overline{A}$. In \mathbb{R} , let $\mathcal{A} = \{\{1/n\} : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$. Then

$$\overline{\bigcup \mathcal{A}} = \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\} \cup \{0\}$$
$$\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \overline{A} = \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$$

Proposition 11.31.

$$X - E^{\circ} = \overline{X - E}$$

Proof:

$$p \in X - E^{\circ} \Leftrightarrow p \notin E^{\circ}$$

 $\Leftrightarrow \forall B$ an open ball with centre $p.B \nsubseteq E$
 $\Leftrightarrow \forall B$ an open ball with centre $p.B$ intersects $X - E$
 $\Leftrightarrow p \in \overline{X - E}$

11.10 Compact Sets

Definition 11.32 (Open Cover). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. An open cover of E is a set \mathcal{U} of open sets such that $E \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{U}$.

Definition 11.33 (Compact Set). Let X be a metric space. Let $K \subseteq X$. Then K is *compact* iff every open cover of K includes a finite subcover.

Proposition 11.34. Every finite set is compact.

Proof: Easy. \square

Theorem 11.35. Let X be a metric space. Let $Y \subseteq X$ and $K \subseteq Y$. Then K is compact in Y if and only if K is compact in X.

PROOF:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. If K is compact in Y then K is compact in X.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: K is compact in Y.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: \mathcal{U} be an open cover of K in X.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. $\{ U \cap Y : U \in \mathcal{U} \}$ is an open cover of K in Y.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. PICK a finite subcover $\{U_1 \cap Y, \dots, U_n \cap Y\}$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. $\{U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$ is a finite subset of \mathcal{U} that is an open cover of K is X.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If K is compact in X then K is compact in Y.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: K is compact in X.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: \mathcal{U} be an open cover of K in Y.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. $\{ U \text{ open in } X : U \cap Y \in \mathcal{U} \}$ is an open cover of K in X.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. PICK a finite subcover $\{U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$.
- $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. $\{U_1 \cap Y, \dots, U_n \cap Y\}$ is a subset of \mathcal{U} that is an open cover of E in Y.

Proposition 11.36. Every compact set is closed.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: E be compact.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $p \in X E$

PROVE: There exists an open ball with centre p that is a subset of X-E.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. For all $q \in E$, there exist disjoint open balls B with centre q and B' with centre p.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. The set of open balls B such that there exists a disjoint open ball B' with centre p is an open cover of E.
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. PICK a finite subcover $\{B_1, \ldots, B_n\}$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 6. For $i = 1, \ldots, n$, PICK an open ball B_i' with centre p such that $B_i \cap B_i' = \emptyset$. $\langle 1 \rangle$ 7. $B_1' \cap \cdots \cap B_n'$ is an open ball with centre p that is a subset of X - E.

Proposition 11.37. Every closed subset of a compact set is compact.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: E be compact and $C \subseteq E$ be closed.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: \mathcal{U} be an open cover of C.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. $\mathcal{U} \cup \{X C\}$ is an open cover of E.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. PICK a finite subcover $\{U_1, \ldots, U_n\}$ or $\{U_1, \ldots, U_n, X C\}$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5. \{U_1, \dots, U_n\} \text{ covers } C.$

Corollary 11.37.1. The intersection of a compact set and a closed set is compact.

Proposition 11.38. Let K be a nonempty set of compact sets. If every nonempty finite subset of K has nonempty intersection, then $\bigcap K$ is nonempty.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Pick $K \in \mathcal{K}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Assume: $\bigcap \mathcal{K} = \emptyset$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. $\{X K' : K' \in \mathcal{K}\}$ is an open cover of K.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. PICK a finite subcover $\{X K_1, \dots, X K_n\}$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. There exists $p \in K \cap K_1 \cap \cdots \cap K_n$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ and $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ form a contradiction.

Corollary 11.38.1. Let (K_n) be a sequence of nonempty compact sets such that $K_0 \supseteq K_1 \supseteq \cdots$. Then $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n \neq \emptyset$.

Theorem 11.39. Let X be a metric space and $E \subseteq X$. Then E is compact if and only if every infinite subset of E has a limit point in E.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. If E is compact then every infinite subset of E has a limit point in E.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: E is compact.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: $A \subseteq E$ be infinite.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Assume: for a contradiction E has no limit point in K.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. For all $p \in K$, there exists an open ball B with centre p such that B does not intersect E outside p.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. The set of open balls that intersect E in at most one point is an open cover for K.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$. PICK a finite subcover B_1, \ldots, B_n .
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 7$. E has at most n points.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 8$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts the fact that E is finite.

```
\langle 1 \rangle 2. If every infinite subset of K has a limit point in K then K is compact.
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. Assume: Every infinite subset of K has a limit point in K.
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. Let: \mathcal{U} be an open cover of K.
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. Assume: w.l.o.g. \mathcal{U} is countable.
      PROOF: We may replace \mathcal{U} with the set of all open balls B with centres in
      \mathbb{Q}^2 and rational radius such that there exists U \in \mathcal{U} such that B \subseteq U.
   \langle 2 \rangle 4. Pick an enumeration \mathcal{U} = \{G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.
   \langle 2 \rangle 5. For n \in \mathbb{N},
   LET: F_n = \bigcup_{i=0}^n G_n. \langle 2 \rangle 6. For all n \in \mathbb{N}, we have K - F_n \neq \emptyset.
       PROOF: Since \{G_0, \ldots, G_n\} does not cover K.
   \langle 2 \rangle 7. \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} F_n = \emptyset
      PROOF: Since \{G_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} covers K.
   \langle 2 \rangle 8. For n \in \mathbb{N}, PICK a_n \in K - F_n
   \langle 2 \rangle 9. Let: E = \{a_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}
   \langle 2 \rangle 10. E is infinite.
       \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: n \in \mathbb{N}
                PROVE: there exists m such that a_m \notin \{a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_n\}.
       \langle 3 \rangle 2. For i = 0, \ldots, n, PICK k_i such that a_i \in G_{k_i}.
       \langle 3 \rangle 3. Let: m = \max(k_0, \dots, k_n)
       \langle 3 \rangle 4. Assume: for a contradiction a_m = a_i for some i = 0, \ldots, n
       \langle 3 \rangle 5. \ a_i \in G_{k_i}
       \langle 3 \rangle 6. \ a_i \notin F_m
       \langle 3 \rangle 7. Q.E.D.
          PROOF: This is a contradiction since k_i \leq m.
   \langle 2 \rangle 11. PICK a limit point l for E in K.
       Proof: From \langle 2 \rangle 1.
   \langle 2 \rangle 12. PICK n such that l \in G_n.
   \langle 2 \rangle 13. Pick an open ball B with centre l such that B \subseteq G_n
   \langle 2 \rangle 14. B \cap E is infinite.
       Proof: Proposition 11.8.
   \langle 2 \rangle 15. Pick m \geq n such that a_m \in B.
   \langle 2 \rangle 16. \ a_m \in G_n
   \langle 2 \rangle 17. Q.E.D.
```

Theorem 11.40 (Heine-Borel). Let $E \subseteq \mathbb{R}^k$. Then E is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. If E is compact then E is closed.

Proof: Proposition 11.36.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If E is compact then E is bounded.

PROOF: Otherwise $\{(-N,N)^k : N \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$ would be an open cover of E with no finite subcover.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. If E is closed and bounded then E is compact.

PROOF: This is a contradiction since $a_m \notin F_m$.

```
\langle 2 \rangle 1. Assume: E is closed and bounded.
```

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Pick \vec{c} and M such that $\forall \vec{x} \in E. ||\vec{x} - \vec{c}|| < M$.

$$\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ E \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^k [c_i - M, c_i + M]$$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. E is compact.

Proof: Proposition 11.37.

Corollary 11.40.1 (Weierstrass's Theorem). Every bounded infinite subset of \mathbb{R}^k has a limit point.

Proof: It is a bounded infinite subset of some k-cell and therefore has a limit point in that k-cell. \square

Example 11.41. It is not true that, in any metric space, a set is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded.

In \mathbb{Q} , the set $\{p \in \mathbb{Q} : 2 < p^2 < 3\}$ is closed and bounded but not compact.

Theorem 11.42. Every nonempty perfect set in \mathbb{R}^k is uncountable.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: P be a nonempty perfect set in \mathbb{R}^k .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. P is infinite.

Proof: Corollary 11.8.1.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Assume: for a contradiction P is countable.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. PICK an enumeration $P = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. PICK a sequence (V_n) of open balls such that, for all n, we have $\overline{V_{n+1}} \subseteq V_n$ and $x_n \notin \overline{V_{n+1}}$ and $V_n \cap P \neq \emptyset$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: as induction hypothesis we have picked V_0, \ldots, V_{n-1} that satisfy these conditions.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. PICK $p \in P \cap V_n$ such that $p \neq x_n$

PROOF: We cannot have $P \cap V_n = \{x_n\}$ because then V_n would be a neighbourhood of x_n that only intersects P at x_n .

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. PICK an open ball B with centre p such that $B \subseteq V_n \cap P - \{x_n\}$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Let: V_{n+1} be the open ball with centre p and half the radius of B.

 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. $\overline{V_{n+1}} \subseteq V_n$ PROOF: Since $\overline{V_{n+1}} \subseteq B \subseteq V_n$.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 6. \ x_n \notin \overline{V_{n+1}}$

PROOF: Since $\overline{V_{n+1}} \subseteq B \subseteq P - \{x_n\}$.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 7. \ V_{n+1} \cap P \neq \emptyset$

PROOF: Since $p \in V_{n+1} \cap P$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

Let:
$$K_n = \overline{V_n} \cap P$$
.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 7$. For all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, K_n is compact.

PROOF: By the Heine-Borel Theorem.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 8. \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n \cap P = \emptyset$ PROOF: Since for each n we have $x_n \notin K_{n+1}$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 9. \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n = \emptyset$ PROOF: Since $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n \subseteq P$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 10$. Q.E.D.

Proof: This contradicts Proposition 11.38.

Corollary 11.42.1. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ with a < b, the closed interval [a, b] is uncountable.

Corollary 11.42.2. \mathbb{R} is uncountable.

Corollary 11.42.3. The set of transcendental numbers is uncountable.

Proof: Since the set of algebraic numbers is countable. \Box

Example 11.43. The Cantor set is a perfect set in \mathbb{R} that does not include any open interval.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: (E_n) be the sequence of unions of closed intervals from the definition of the Cantor set, and C be the Cantor set.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ C \neq \emptyset$

PROOF: Since $0 \in C$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. C is closed.

PROOF: Each E_n is closed and C is their intersection.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. Every point of C is a limit point of C.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: $p \in C$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: B be an open ball with centre p and radius r.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Pick n such that each of the intervals that make up E_n has length < r/2.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Let: I be the interval in E_n that contains p.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. $I \subseteq B$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$. The endpoint of I that is not p is in $P \cap B$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. C does not include any open interval.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: (α, β) be any open interval.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. PICK m such that $3^{-m} < (\beta \alpha)/6$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. PICK k such that $\left(\frac{3k+1}{3^m}, \frac{3k+2}{3^m}\right) \subseteq (\alpha, \beta)$

 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ \left(\frac{3k+1}{3^m}, \frac{3k+2}{3^m}\right) \subseteq P$ $\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ \left(\frac{3k+1}{3^m}, \frac{3k+2}{3^m}\right) \cap E_m = \emptyset$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This is a contradiction.

Corollary 11.43.1. The Cantor set is uncountable.

Proposition 11.44. Let X be a metric space. Let (K_n) be a sequence of compact sets in X such that $K_0 \supseteq K_1 \supseteq K_2 \supseteq \cdots$. Assume diam $K_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} K_n$ is a singleton.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1. \bigcap_n K_n \neq \emptyset$

11.11 Connected Sets

Definition 11.45 (Separated). Let X be a metric space. Let $A, B \subseteq X$. Then A and B are separated iff $\overline{A} \cap B = A \cap \overline{B} = \emptyset$.

Proposition 11.46. Any two disjoint open sets are separated.

```
Proof:
```

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: A and B be disjoint open sets.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Assume: for a contradiction $p \in \overline{A} \cap B$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. B is a neighbourhood of p.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. B intersects A.

Definition 11.47 (Connected). Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. Then E is *connected* iff E is not the union of two nonempty separated sets.

Theorem 11.48. A subset E of the real line is connected if and only if it is convex.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. If E is connected then E is convex.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: E is connected.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: $x, y \in E$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Let: $z \in (x, y)$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ z \in E$

PROOF: Otherwise $E \cap (-\infty, z)$ and $E \cap (z, +\infty)$ would be a separation of E.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If E is convex then E is connected.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: E is convex.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Assume: for a contradiction $E = A \cup B$ where A and B are nonempty and separated.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Pick $a \in A$ and $b \in B$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Assume: w.l.o.g. a < b
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. Let: $z = \sup(A \cap [a, b])$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 6. \ z \in \overline{A}$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 7. \ z \notin B$

```
\begin{array}{l} \langle 2 \rangle 8. \ z < b \\ \langle 2 \rangle 9. \ \text{Case:} \ z \in A \\ \langle 3 \rangle 1. \ z \notin \overline{B} \\ \langle 3 \rangle 2. \ \text{Pick} \ z_1 \in (z,b) \ \text{such that} \ z_1 \notin B \\ \langle 3 \rangle 3. \ a < z_1 < b \\ \langle 3 \rangle 4. \ z_1 \notin E \\ \text{Proof:} \ \text{We have} \ z_1 \notin A \ \text{from} \ \langle 2 \rangle 5 \ \text{since} \ z_1 \in [a,b] \ \text{and} \ z_1 > z, \ \text{and} \\ z_1 \notin B \ \text{from} \ \langle 3 \rangle 2. \\ \langle 3 \rangle 5. \ \text{Q.E.D.} \\ \text{Proof:} \ \text{This contradicts} \ \langle 2 \rangle 1. \\ \langle 2 \rangle 10. \ \text{Case:} \ z \notin A \\ \text{Proof:} \ \text{Then} \ a < z < b \ \text{and} \ z \notin E \ \text{contradicting} \ \langle 2 \rangle 1. \\ \end{array}
```

Proposition 11.49. Every connected metric space with more than one point is uncountable.

PROOF:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: X be a connected metric space with more than one points.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Pick distinct points $p, q \in X$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Let: $\epsilon = d(p,q)$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. For every $r \in (0, \epsilon)$, there exists a point $x \in X$ such that d(p, x) = r. Proof: Otherwise $\{x \in X : d(p, x) < r\}$ and $\{x \in X : d(p, x) > r\}$ would form a separation of X.

Proposition 11.50. The closure of a connected set is connected.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: X be a metric space.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: E be a connected subspace of X.
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 3. Assume: for a contradiction A and B form a separation of \overline{E} Prove: $A \cap E$ and $B \cap E$ form a separation of E.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. $A \cap E \neq \emptyset$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: for a contradiction $A \cap E = \emptyset$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. $E \subseteq B$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \overline{E} \subseteq \overline{B}$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ A \subseteq \overline{B}$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ A \cap \overline{B} = A \neq \emptyset$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts $\langle 1 \rangle 3$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5. \ B \cap E \neq \emptyset$

Proof: Similar.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ \overline{A \cap E} \cap B \cap E = \emptyset$

PROOF: Since $\overline{A \cap E} \cap B \cap E \subseteq \overline{A} \cap B$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 7$. $A \cap E \cap \overline{B \cap E} = \emptyset$

PROOF: Similar.

П

Example 11.51. The interior of a connected set is not necessarily connected.

Two touching discs in \mathbb{R}^2 form a connected set but the interior is disconnected.

Proposition 11.52. Every convex set in \mathbb{R}^k is connected.

```
PROOF:  \langle 1 \rangle 1. \text{ Let: } E \text{ be a convex set in } \mathbb{R}^k. \\ \langle 1 \rangle 2. \text{ Assume: for a contradiction } A \text{ and } B \text{ form a separation of } E. \\ \langle 1 \rangle 3. \text{ Pick } \vec{a} \in A \text{ and } \vec{b} \in B. \\ \langle 1 \rangle 4. \text{ Define } p: [0,1] \to \mathbb{R}^k \text{ by } p(t) = (1-t)\vec{a}+t\vec{b}. \\ \langle 1 \rangle 5. \ p^{-1}(A) \text{ and } p^{-1}(B) \text{ are separated sets in } \mathbb{R}. \\ \langle 1 \rangle 6. \text{ Pick } x \in [0,1] \text{ such that } x \notin p^{-1}(A) \text{ and } x \notin p^{-1}(B). \\ \text{PROOF: There exists such an } x \text{ since } [0,1] \text{ is connected.} \\ \langle 1 \rangle 7. \ p(x) \in E \\ \text{PROOF: Since } E \text{ is convex.} \\ \langle 1 \rangle 8. \ p(x) \notin A \cup B \\ \langle 1 \rangle 9. \ Q.E.D. \\ \text{PROOF: This contradicts } \langle 1 \rangle 2. \\ \square
```

11.12 Separable Spaces

Definition 11.53 (Separable). A metric space is *separable* iff it has a countable dense subset.

Example 11.54. \mathbb{R}^k is separable since \mathbb{Q}^k is dense.

Proposition 11.55. Every compact metric space is separable.

```
Proof:  \langle 1 \rangle 1. \text{ Let: } X \text{ be a compact metric space.}   \langle 1 \rangle 2. \text{ For } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, \text{ pick finitely many points } a_{n1}, \ldots, a_{nr_n} \text{ such that } \{B(a_{ni}, 1/n) : 1 \leq i \leq r_n\} \text{ covers } X.  Proof: Since \{B(x, 1/n) : x \in X\} \text{ covers } X.   \langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \{a_{ni} : n \in \mathbb{Z}^+, 1 \leq i \leq r_n\} \text{ is dense.}   \langle 2 \rangle 1. \text{ Let: } U \text{ be an open set and } p \in U.   \langle 2 \rangle 2. \text{ Pick } \epsilon > 0 \text{ such that } B(p, \epsilon) \subseteq U.   \langle 2 \rangle 3. \text{ Pick } n \text{ such that } 1/n < \epsilon.   \langle 2 \rangle 4. \text{ Pick } i \text{ such that } p \in B(a_{ni}, 1/n)   \langle 2 \rangle 5. \ a_{ni} \in U
```

11.13 Bases

Definition 11.56 (Basis). A basis for a metric space X is a set \mathcal{B} of open sets such that, for every open set U and point $p \in U$, there exists $B \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $p \in B \subseteq U$.

Proposition 11.57. Every separable metric space has a countable basis.

```
Proof:
```

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: X be a separable metric space.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. PICK a countable dense set D in X.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Let: $\mathcal{B} = \{ B(p, \epsilon) : p \in D, \epsilon \in \mathbb{Q}^+ \}$ Prove: \mathcal{B} is a basis.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. Let: U be an open set in X and $p \in U$
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. Pick $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B(p, \epsilon) \subseteq U$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. Pick $q \in B(p, \epsilon) \cap D$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 7$. PICK a rational δ such that $d(p,q) < \delta < \epsilon$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 8. \ B(q, \delta) \in \mathcal{B} \text{ and } B(q, \delta) \subseteq U.$

11.14 Condensation Points

Definition 11.58 (Condensation Point). Let X be a metric space, $p \in X$ and $E \subseteq X$. Then p is a *condensation point* of E iff every neighbourhood of p contains uncountably many points in E.

Proposition 11.59. Let X be a metric space. Let $E \subseteq X$. Let P be the set of condensation points of E. Then P is perfect.

PROOF:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. P is closed.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: $p \in X P$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Pick a neighbourhood U of p that contains only countably many points of E.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. For every $x \in U$, we have that U is a neighbourhood of x that contains only countably many points of E.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ p \in U \subseteq X P$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Every point in P is a limit point of P.

PROOF: Immediate from definitions.

Proposition 11.60. Let X be a metric space with a countable basis. Let $E \subseteq X$ be uncountable. Let P be the set of condensation points of E. Then E - P is countable.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. PICK a countable basis \mathcal{B} for X.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $W = \bigcup \{ B \in \mathcal{B} : E \cap B \text{ is countable} \}$

```
\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ P = X - W
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ P \subseteq X - W
       \langle 3 \rangle 1. Assume: for a contradiction p \in P \cap W
       \langle 3 \rangle 2. PICK B \in \mathcal{B} such that p \in B and E \cap B is countable.
       \langle 3 \rangle 3. E \cap B is uncountable.
       \langle 3 \rangle 4. Q.E.D.
          PROOF: This is a contradiction.
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. X - W \subseteq P
       \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: p \in X - W
       \langle 3 \rangle 2. Let: U be a neighbourhood of p.
       \langle 3 \rangle 3. Pick B \in \mathcal{B} such that p \in B \subseteq U.
       \langle 3 \rangle 4. E \cap B is uncountable.
          PROOF: Since p \notin W.
       \langle 3 \rangle 5. E \cap W is uncountable.
\langle 1 \rangle 4. E - P = E \cap W
\langle 1 \rangle5. E - P is countable.
```

Corollary 11.60.1. Every closed subset of a metric space with a countable basis is the union of a perfect set and a countable set.

```
Proof:
```

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: X be a metric space with a countable basis.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: E be a closed subset of X.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Let: P be the set of condensation points of E.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. E P is countable.

Proof: Proposition 11.60.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. $P \cap E$ is perfect.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. $P \cap E$ is closed.

PROOF: Proposition 11.59.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Every point in $P \cap E$ is a limit point of $P \cap E$.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. Let: $l \in P \cap E$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. Let: U be a neighbourhood of l.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$. Pick $x \in P \cap U$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 4$. *U* is a neighbourhood of *x*.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle$ 5. U contains uncountably many points of E.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 6$. U intersects $P \cap E$

PROOF: It cannot be that every point in U and E is not in P since E-P is countable.

Corollary 11.60.2. Let X be a metric space with a countable basis. Then every countable set in X has an isolated point.

Chapter 12

Convergence

Definition 12.1 (Converge). Let X be a metric space. Let (p_n) be a sequence in X and $l \in X$. Then we say (p_n) converges to the *limit* l, and write

$$p_n \to l \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
,

iff for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists an integer N such that, for all $n \geq N$, we have $d(p_n, l) < \epsilon$.

We say (p_n) diverges iff it does not converge to any limit.

Proposition 12.2. A sequence has at most one limit.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Assume: $p_n \to l$ and $p_n \to m$ as $n \to \infty$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Assume: for a contradiction $l \neq m$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Let: $\epsilon = d(l,m)/2$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. There exists N such that $\forall n \geq N. d(p_n, l) < \epsilon$ and $d(p_n, m) < \epsilon$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5.$ $d(l,m) < 2\epsilon$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This is a contradiction.

Proposition 12.3. Every convergent sequence is bounded.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $p_n \to l$ as $n \to \infty$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. PICK N such that $\forall n \geq N.d(p_n, l) < 1$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Let: $M = \max(d(p_0, l), \dots, d(p_{N-1}, l), 1)$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. For all n, we have $d(p_n, l) \leq M$.

` ר

Proposition 12.4. If l is a limit point of E, then there exists a sequence in E that converges to l.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, PICK a point $a_n \in E$ such that $d(a_n, l) < 1/n$. PROOF: Since B(l, 1/n) intersects E.

$$\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ a_n \to l \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Corollary 12.4.1. Every sequence in a compact metric space has a convergent subsequence.

PROOF: By Theorem 11.39. \square

Proposition 12.5. Assume $s_n \to s$ and $t_n \to t$ in \mathbb{R}^k . Then $s_n + t_n \to s + t$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $\epsilon > 0$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. PICK N such that, for all $n \geq N$, we have $||s_n s|| < \epsilon/2$ and $||t_n t|| < \epsilon/2$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. For all $n \geq N$ we have $||(s_n + t_n) (s + t)|| < \epsilon$. PROOF: Since $||(s_n + t_n) - (s + t)|| \leq ||s_n - s|| + ||t_n - t||$.

Lemma 12.6. If $s_n \to s$ as $n \to \infty$ in \mathbb{C} , and $c \in \mathbb{C}$, then $cs_n \to cs$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $\epsilon > 0$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Assume: w.l.o.g. $c \neq 0$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Pick N such that $\forall n \geq N . |s_n s| < \epsilon / |c|$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ \forall n \ge N. |cs_n cs| < \epsilon$

Proposition 12.7. If $s_n \to s$ and $t_n \to t$ in \mathbb{C} then $s_n t_n \to st$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. $(s_n s)(t_n t) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: $\epsilon > 0$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. PICK N such that, for all $n \geq N$, we have $|s_n s| < \sqrt{\epsilon}$ and $|t_n t| < \sqrt{\epsilon}$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. For all $n \geq N$ we have $|(s_n s)(t_n t)| < \epsilon$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. $s_n t_n st \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$

Proof:

$$s_n t_n - st = (s_n - s)(t_n - t) + s(t_n - t) + t(s_n - s)$$

$$\to 0 \qquad \text{as } n \to \infty$$

Proposition 12.8. If $s_n \to s$ as $n \to \infty$ in \mathbb{C} , and every s_n and s is nonzero, then $1/s_n \to 1/s$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. PICK m such that, for all $n \geq m$, we have $|s_n s| < \frac{1}{2}|s|$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. $\forall n \geq m . |s_n| > \frac{1}{2} |s|$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Let: $\epsilon > 0$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. PICK N > m such that, for all $n \geq N$, we have

$$|s_n - s| < \frac{1}{2}|s|^2 \epsilon .$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. For all $n \geq N$, we have

$$\left|\frac{1}{s_n} - \frac{1}{s}\right| < \epsilon .$$

Proof:

$$\left| \frac{1}{s_n} - \frac{1}{s} \right| = \frac{|s_n - s|}{|s_n||s|}$$

$$< \frac{|s|^2 \epsilon}{2|s_n||s|}$$

$$= \frac{|s|\epsilon}{2|s_n|}$$

$$< \epsilon$$

Theorem 12.9. Let $(\vec{x_n})$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R}^k and $\vec{l} \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Then $\vec{x_n} \to \vec{l}$ as $n \to \infty$ iff, for i = 1, ..., k, we have $\pi_i(\vec{x_n}) \to \pi_i(\vec{l})$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. If $\vec{x_n} \to \vec{l}$ then $\pi_i(\vec{x_n}) \to \pi_i(l)$.

$$\langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \|\vec{x_n} - \vec{l}\| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 2. \quad \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^{k} (\pi_i(\vec{x_n}) - \pi_i(l))^2} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 3. \quad \sum_{i=1}^{k} (\pi_i(\vec{x_n}) - \pi_i(l))^2 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 4. \quad (\pi_i(\vec{x_n}) - \pi_i(l))^2 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 3. \sum_{i=1}^k (\pi_i(\vec{x_n}) - \pi_i(l))^2 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 4$$
. $(\pi_i(\vec{x_n}) - \pi_i(l))^2 \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 5$$
. $\pi_i(\vec{x_n}) - \pi_i(l) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If $\pi_i(\vec{x_n}) \to \pi_i(\vec{l})$ for every i then $\vec{x_n} \to l$.

$$\langle 2 \rangle 1$$
. Assume: $\pi_i(\vec{x_n}) \to \pi_i(\vec{l})$ for every i .

$$\langle 2 \rangle 2. \ \vec{x_n} \rightarrow \vec{l}$$

Proof:

$$\|\vec{x_n} - \vec{l}\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^k (\pi_i(\vec{x_n}) - \pi_i(\vec{l}))^2$$

$$\to 0$$

Corollary 12.9.1. If $\beta_n \to \beta$ in \mathbb{R} and $\vec{x_n} \to \vec{l}$ in \mathbb{R}^k , then $\beta_n \vec{x_n} \to \beta \vec{l}$.

Proposition 12.10. If $\vec{x_n} \to \vec{x}$ and $\vec{y_n} \to \vec{y}$ in \mathbb{R}^k , then $\vec{x_n} \cdot \vec{y_n} \to \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}$.

Proof:

$$\vec{x_n} \cdot \vec{y_n} = \sum_{i=1}^k \pi_i(\vec{x_n}) \pi_i(\vec{y_n})$$

$$\rightarrow \sum_{i=1}^k \pi_i(\vec{x}) \pi_i(\vec{y})$$

$$= \vec{x} \cdot \vec{y}$$

Proposition 12.11. Let (p_n) be a sequence in the metric space X. The set E^* of all limits of convergent subsequences is a closed set.

PROOF:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Assume: w.l.o.g. $\{p_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is infinite.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: q be a limit point of E^* . Prove: $q \in E^*$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. PICK an integer n_0 such that $q \neq p_{n_0}$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. Extend a strictly increasing sequence of integers (n_i) such that, for all i, we have $d(q, p_{n_i}) \leq 2^i d(q, p_{n_0})$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: as induction hypothesis we have picked $n_0 < n_1 < \cdots < n_i$ such that, for $0 \le j \le i$, we have $d(q, p_{n_j}) \le 2^j d(q, p_{n_0})$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. PICK $x \in E^*$ such that $d(x,q) < 2^{-(i+2)}\delta$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. There exists a subsequence of (p_n) that converges to x.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. There exists $n_{i+1} > n_i$ such that $d(p_{n_{i+1}}, x) < 2^{-(i+2)} \delta$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ d(p_{n_{i+1}}, q) < 2^{-(i+1)} \delta$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5. \ p_{n_i} \to q \text{ as } i \to \infty.$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ q \in E^*$

Theorem 12.12. Every monotonically increasing sequence in \mathbb{R} that is bounded above converges to its supremum.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: (s_n) be a monotonically increasing sequence with supremum s.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $\epsilon > 0$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. PICK S such that $|s_N s| < \epsilon$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. For all $n \geq N$, we have $s \epsilon < s s_N \leq s s_n \leq s$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5. \ \forall n \geq N. |s_n s| < \epsilon$

Theorem 12.13. Every monotonically decreasing sequence in \mathbb{R} that is bounded below converges to its infimum.

Proof: Similar. \square

Proposition 12.14 (Sandwich Theorem). Let (a_n) , (b_n) and (c_n) be sequences of real numbers and $l \in \mathbb{R}$. Assume $\forall n.a_n \leq b_n \leq c_n$ and $a_n \to l$ and $c_n \to l$. Then $b_n \to l$.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $\epsilon > 0$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. PICK N such that, for all $n \geq N$, we have $|a_n - l| < \epsilon$ and $|c_n - l| < \epsilon$.

$$\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \forall n \geq N. |b_n - l| < \epsilon$$

Theorem 12.15. For any real p > 0 we have

$$\frac{1}{(n+1)^p} \to 0$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $\epsilon > 0$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. PICK N such that $N > (1/\epsilon)^{1/p}$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Let: $n \geq N$

$$\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ 1/n^p < \epsilon$$

Theorem 12.16. For any real p > 0 we have

$$p^{\frac{1}{n+1}} \to 1$$

as $n \to \infty$.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Case: p > 1

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$

LET: $x_n = p^{\frac{1}{n+1}} - 1$.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2. \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. x_n > 0$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$

$$1 + (n+1)x_n < p$$

 $1+(n+1)x_n \leq p \ .$ Proof: Since $1+(n+1)x_n \leq (1+x_n)^{n+1}$ by the Binomial Theorem.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}.$

$$0 < x_n \le \frac{p-1}{n+1} .$$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. $x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

PROOF: Sandwich Theorem.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Case: p = 1

Proof: Trivial.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Case: p < 1

PROOF: Then $p^{1/(n+1)} = 1/((1/p)^{1/(n+1)}) \to 1/1 = 1$ by $\langle 1 \rangle 1$.

Theorem 12.17.

$$(n+1)^{1/(n+1)} \to 1 \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

Proof:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \langle 1 \rangle 1. \ \ \text{For} \ n \in \mathbb{N}, \\ \text{Let:} \ \ x_n = (n+1)^{1/(n+1)} - 1. \end{array}$$

$$\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}. x_n \geq 0$$

$$\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$$

$$n+1 \ge \frac{n(n+1)}{2}x_n^2.$$

PROOF: Since $(1+x_n)^{n+1} \ge \frac{n(n+1)}{2}x_n^2$ by the Binomial Theorem.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ \forall n \geq 1$

$$0 \le x_n \le \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. $x_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

PROOF: Sandwich Theorem.

Theorem 12.18. Let p and α be real numbers with p > 0. Then

$$\frac{n^{\alpha}}{(1+p)^n} \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty .$$

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. PICK a positive integer k such that $k > \alpha$.

PROOF: Archimedean Property.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. $\forall n > 2k$

$$(1+p)^n > \frac{n^k p^k}{2^k k!} .$$

$$(1+p)^n > \binom{n}{k} p^k$$
 (Binomial Theorem)
$$= \frac{n(n-1)\cdots(n-k+1)}{k!} p^k$$

$$> \frac{n^k p^k}{2^k k!}$$
 $(n>2k \text{ so if } n-k < i \le n \text{ then } i > n/2)$

$$\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \forall n>2k$$

$$0 < \frac{n^{\alpha}}{(1+p)^n} < \frac{2^k k!}{p^k} n^{\alpha-k}$$
.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. $n^{\alpha-k} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$

PROOF: Theorem 12.15. $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. $\frac{n^{\alpha}}{(1+p)^n} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

PROOF: Sandwich Theorem.

Corollary 12.18.1. For any real number x with |x| < 1 we have $x^n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof: Taking $\alpha = 0$.

12.1 Cauchy Sequences

Definition 12.19 (Cauchy Sequence). Let (p_n) be a sequence in the metric space X. Then (p_n) is a Cauchy sequence iff, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N such that, for all $m, n \geq N$, we have $d(p_m, p_n) < \epsilon$.

Proposition 12.20. Let (p_n) be a sequence in the metric space X and let $E_N = \{p_n : n \geq N\}$ for all N. Then (p_n) is a Cauchy sequence if and only if diam $E_N \to 0$ as $N \to \infty$.

PROOF: Immediate from definitions. \square

Theorem 12.21. Every convergent sequence is Cauchy.

```
Proof:
```

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: (p_n) be a convergent sequence with limit l. \langle 1 \rangle 2. Let: \epsilon > 0 \langle 1 \rangle 3. Pick N such that, for all n \geq N, we have d(p_n, l) < \epsilon/2 \langle 1 \rangle 4. \forall m, n \geq N. d(p_m, p_n) < \epsilon
```

12.2 Complete Metric Spaces

Definition 12.22 (Complete Metric Space). A metric space is *complete* iff every Cauchy sequence converges.

Theorem 12.23. Every compact metric space is complete.

```
PROOF:  \langle 1 \rangle 1. \text{ Let: } X \text{ be a compact metric space.}   \langle 1 \rangle 2. \text{ Let: } (p_n) \text{ be a Cauchy sequence in } X.   \langle 1 \rangle 3. \text{ For } N \in \mathbb{N},   \text{ Let: } \underline{E_N} = \{p_n : n \geq N\}.   \langle 1 \rangle 4. \text{ diam } \overline{E_N} \to 0 \text{ as } N \to \infty.   \langle 1 \rangle 5. \text{ For all } N, \text{ every } \overline{E_N} \text{ is compact.}   \text{PROOF: Proposition } 11.37.   \langle 1 \rangle 6. \text{ For all } N \text{ we have } \overline{E_N} \supseteq \overline{E_{N+1}}.   \langle 1 \rangle 7. \text{ Let: } l \text{ be the unique point in } \bigcap_{N=0}^{\infty} \overline{E_N}   \text{PROVE: } p_n \to l \text{ as } n \to \infty.   \text{PROOF: Proposition } 11.44.   \langle 1 \rangle 8. \text{ Let: } \epsilon > 0   \langle 1 \rangle 9. \text{ PICK } N_0 \text{ such that } \forall N \geq N_0. \text{ diam } \overline{E_N} < \epsilon.   \langle 1 \rangle 10. \forall q \in E_N. d(l,q) < \epsilon   \langle 1 \rangle 11. \forall n \geq N. d(l,p_n) < \epsilon   \square
```

Corollary 12.23.1. Let X be a metric space. If every closed bounded set in X is compact, then X is complete.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: S be a Cauchy sequence in X.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. S is bounded.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. \overline{S} is closed and bounded.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. \overline{S} is compact.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. S is a Cauchy sequence in \overline{S} .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. S converges.

Corollary 12.23.2. For every natural number k, we have \mathbb{R}^k is complete.

Corollary 12.23.3. Every closed subspace of a complete metric space is complete.

Proposition 12.24. Let X be a complete metric space. Let (E_n) be a sequence of nonempty closed bounded sets in X with

$$E_0 \supseteq E_1 \supseteq \cdots$$

and diam $E_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. Then $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n$ consists of exactly one point.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $K = \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} E_n$ $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. K has at least one point.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. For each n, PICK $a_n \in E_n$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. (a_n) is Cauchy.
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. Let: $\epsilon > 0$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. Pick N such that $\forall n \geq N$. diam $E_n < \epsilon$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ \forall m, n \ge N. d(a_m, a_n) < \epsilon$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Let: $l = \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ l \in K$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. Let: $n \in \mathbb{N}$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. For all $m \geq n$ we have $a_m \in E_n$
 - $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ l \in E_n$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. K has at most one point.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: for a contradiction $a, b \in K$ such that $a \neq b$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Pick n such that diam $E_n < d(a,b)$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ a,b \in E_n$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

Proof: This is a contradiction.

Theorem 12.25 (Baire's Theorem). Let X be a complete metric space. Let (G_n) be a sequence of dense open subsets of X. Then $\bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n$ is not empty.

П

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. PICK a sequence (E_n) of open balls such that $E_0 \supseteq E_1 \supseteq \cdots$ and diam $E_n \leq 1/2^n$ and $\overline{E_n} \subseteq G_n$.

```
\langle 2 \rangle 1. \text{ Assume: as induction hypothesis we have chosen } E_0, \ldots, E_n \text{ with centres } c_0, \ldots, c_n. \langle 2 \rangle 2. \text{ Pick } x \in E_n \cap G_{n+1} \langle 2 \rangle 3. \text{ Pick } 0 < \epsilon \le 1/2^{n+2} \text{ such that } B(x,\epsilon) \subseteq E_n \cap G_{n+1} \langle 2 \rangle 4. \text{ Let: } E_{n+1} = B(x,\epsilon/2) \langle 2 \rangle 5. E_{n+1} \subseteq E_n \langle 2 \rangle 6. \text{ diam } E_{n+1} \le 1/2^{n+1} \langle 2 \rangle 7. \overline{E_{n+1}} \subseteq G_{n+1} \langle 1 \rangle 2. \text{ Let: } \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} \overline{E_n} = \{p\} Proof: Proposition 12.24. \langle 1 \rangle 3. p \in \bigcap_{n=0}^{\infty} G_n
```

12.3 Divergent Sequences

Definition 12.26. Let (s_n) be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then we say s_n diverges to $+\infty$, and write

$$s_n \to +\infty \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
,

iff for every real number M, there exists an integer N such that

$$\forall n \geq N.s_n \geq M$$
.

We say s_n diverges to $-\infty$, and write

$$s_n \to -\infty \text{ as } n \to \infty$$
,

iff for every real number M, there exists an integer N such that

$$\forall n \geq N.s_n \leq M$$
.

Definition 12.27 (Limit Supremum, Limit Infimum). Let (s_n) be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . Let E be the set of all $l \in \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty, -\infty\}$ such that there exists a subsequence of (s_n) that converges to l.

The *limit supremum* of (s_n) , denoted

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} s_n ,$$

is the supremum of E in the extended reals.

The *limit infimum* of (s_n) , denoted

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} s_n ,$$

is the infimum of E in the extended reals.

PROOF: The set E is always nonempty because: if (s_n) is unbounded above then $+\infty \in E$; if it is unbounded below then $-\infty \in E$; and if it is bounded above and below then there is a real number in E by Corollary 12.4.1. \square

Theorem 12.28. Let (s_n) be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists a subsequence of (s_n) that converges or diverges to $\limsup_{n\to\infty} s_n$

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Case: $\limsup_{n} s_n = +\infty$

PROOF: (s_n) is unbounded above and so has a subsequence that diverges to $+\infty$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Case: $\limsup_n s_n \in \mathbb{R}$

PROOF: Then $\limsup s_n$ is in the set of limits of subsequences of (s_n) by Proposition 12.11.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Case: $\limsup_n s_n = -\infty$

PROOF: (s_n) is unbounded below and so has a subsequence that diverges to $-\infty$.

Theorem 12.29. Let (s_n) be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then there exists a subsequence of (s_n) that converges or diverges to $\liminf_{n\to\infty} s_n$

Proof: Similar.

Theorem 12.30. Let (s_n) be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . If $x > \limsup_n s_n$, then there exists N such that $\forall n \geq N.s_n < x$.

PROOF: If not, we could choose a subsequence of (s_n) that converges to a value $\geq x$, contradicting the definition of $\limsup_n s_n$. \square

Theorem 12.31. Let (s_n) be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . If $x < \liminf_n s_n$, then there exists N such that $\forall n \geq N. s_n > x$.

Proof: Similar.

Theorem 12.32. Let (s_n) be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . Let s^* be an extended real such that:

- There exists a subsequence of (s_n) that converges or diverges to s^* .
- For any $x > s^*$, there exists N such that $\forall n \geq N.s_n < x$.

Then $s^* = \limsup_n s_n$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: E be the set of subsequential limits of (s_n) .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. s^* is an upper bound for E.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: $x \in E$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Assume: for a contradiction $x > s^*$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ s^* \in \mathbb{R}$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Let: y = x if $x \in \mathbb{R}$, or $s^* + 1$ if $x = +\infty$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. There exists N such that $\forall n \geq N.s_n < y$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$. Q.E.D

PROOF: This contradicts the fact that some subsequence of (s_n) converges or diverges to x.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. If u is an upper bound for E then $s^* \leq u$.

Theorem 12.33. Let (s_n) be a sequence in \mathbb{R} . Let s^* be an extended real such that:

- There exists a subsequence of (s_n) that converges or diverges to s^* .
- For any $x < s^*$, there exists N such that $\forall n \geq N.s_n > x$.

Then $s^* = \liminf_n s_n$.

Proof: Similar.

Proposition 12.34. Let (s_n) be a sequence of real numbers and $l \in \mathbb{R}$. Then (s_n) converges to l iff $\limsup_n s_n = \liminf_n s_n = l$.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. If (s_n) converges to l then $\limsup_n s_n = \liminf_n s_n = l$.

PROOF: If (s_n) converges to l then every subsequence of (s_n) converges to l.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If $\limsup_n s_n = \liminf_n s_n = l$ then (s_n) converges to l.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: $\limsup_n s_n = \liminf_n s_n = l$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 2. For all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N such that $\forall n \geq N.l \epsilon < s_n < l + \epsilon$. PROOF: Theorem 12.32 and 12.33.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ s_n \to l \text{ as } n \to \infty.$

Theorem 12.35. Let (s_n) and (t_n) be sequences of real numbers and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $\forall n \geq N. s_n \leq t_n$. Then

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} s_n \le \liminf_{n\to\infty} t_n .$$

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. For any subsequence (t_{n_r}) of (t_n) that converges or diverges to $\pm \infty$, we have $\liminf_n s_n \leq \lim_r t_{n_r}$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: (t_{n_r}) be a subsequence of (t_n) with limit l.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. PICK m such that a subsequence of (s_{n_r}) has limit m.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \forall r.s_{n_r} \leq t_{n_r}$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ m \leq l$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. $\liminf_n s_n \leq l$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. $\liminf_n s_n \leq \liminf_n t_n$

Theorem 12.36. Let (s_n) and (t_n) be sequences of real numbers and $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Assume $\forall n \geq N. s_n \leq t_n$. Then

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} s_n \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} t_n .$$

Proof: Similar.

Theorem 12.37. For any sequence (c_n) of positive real numbers, we have

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} c_n^{1/n} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} .$$

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $\alpha = \limsup_{n} c_{n+1}/c_n$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Assume: w.l.o.g. $\alpha < +\infty$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. For all $\beta > \alpha$ we have $\limsup_{n \to \infty} c_n^{1/n} \leq \beta$.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Let: $\beta > \alpha$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. PICK N such that, for all $n \geq N$, we have $c_{n+1} < \beta$

Proof: Theorem 12.30.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. For all $k \geq 0$ we have

$$c_{N+k+1} \le \beta c_{N+k}$$
.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. For all $n \geq N$ we have

$$c_n \le c_N \beta^{-N} \beta^n .$$

Proof: Induction on n.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. For all $n \geq N$ we have

$$c_n^{1/n} \le (c_N \beta^{-N})^{1/n} \beta$$
.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 6$.

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} c_n^{1/n} \leq \beta$$

Proof:

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} c_n^{1/n} \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} (c_N \beta^{-N})^{1/n} \beta$$
 (Theorem 12.36)
= β (Theorem 12.16)

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$.

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} c_n^{1/n} \leq \alpha$$

Theorem 12.38. For any sequence (c_n) of positive real numbers, we have

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} \frac{c_{n+1}}{c_n} \le \liminf_{n \to \infty} c_n^{1/n} .$$

Proof: Similar.

Proposition 12.39. Let (a_n) and (b_n) be sequences of reals. Assume that it is not the case that one of $\limsup_n a_n$, $\limsup_n b_n$ is $+\infty$ and the other is $-\infty$. Then

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} (a_n + b_n) \le \limsup_{n \to \infty} a_n + \limsup_{n \to \infty} b_n .$$

12.4 Infinite Series

Definition 12.40. Let (a_n) be a sequence in \mathbb{R}^k and $s \in \mathbb{R}^k$. We say the infinite series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ converges to s, and write

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n = s$$

iff

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n \to s \text{ as } N \to \infty .$$

If $(\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n)$ diverges, we say the infinite series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges.

Theorem 12.41. Let (a_n) be a sequence in \mathbb{R}^k . Then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ converges if and only if, for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists N such that, for all $m, n \geq N$,

$$\left\| \sum_{i=m}^{n} a_i \right\| \le \epsilon .$$

PROOF: This is what it means for $(\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i)$ to be a Cauchy sequence. \square

Corollary 12.41.1. If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ converges then $a_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem 12.42. A series of nonnegative reals converges if and only if its partial sums form a bounded sequence.

PROOF: Its partial sums are a monotonically increasing sequence, and so converge if and only if they are bounded above. \Box

Theorem 12.43 (Comparison Test). Let (a_n) be a sequence in \mathbb{R}^k and (c_n) a sequence of real numbers. If there exists N such that $\forall n \geq N . ||a_n|| \leq c_n$, and if $\sum_n c_n$ converges, then $\sum_n a_n$ converges.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $\epsilon > 0$

(1)2. PICK N such that $\forall n \geq N . ||a_n|| \leq c_n$ and $\forall m, n \geq N . \sum_{k=m}^n c_k < \epsilon$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \forall m, n \geq N. \| \sum_{k=m}^{n} a_k \| \leq \epsilon$

Corollary 12.43.1. Let (a_n) and (d_n) be sequences of real numbers. If there exists N such that $\forall n \geq N.a_n \geq d_n \geq 0$, and if $\sum_n d_n$ diverges, then $\sum_n a_n$ diverges.

Theorem 12.44 (Geometric Series). For x a real number with $0 \le x < 1$ we have

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n = \frac{1}{1-x} .$$

PROOF: Since $\sum_{n=0}^{N} x^n = \frac{1-x^{N+1}}{1-x} \to \frac{1}{1-x}$ as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem 12.45. For x a real number with $x \ge 1$ we have $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} x^n$ diverges.

PROOF: If x = 1 then $\sum_{n=0}^{N} x^n = N + 1$. If x > 1 then $\sum_{n=0}^{N} x^n = \frac{1 - x^{N+1}}{1 - x}$. Both of these sequences diverge. \square

Theorem 12.46. Let (a_n) be a monotonically decreasing sequence of nonnegative real numbers. Then $\sum_n a_n$ converges if and only if $\sum_n 2^n a_{2^n}$ converges.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

LET: $s_N = \sum_{n=0}^N a_n$. $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

Let: $t_N = \sum_{n=0}^N 2^n a_{2^n}$. $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. For natural number N and k with $N < 2^k$ we have $s_N \le a_0 + t_{k-1}$. Proof:

$$s_N \le \sum_{n=0}^{2^k - 1} a_n$$

$$= a_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{n=2^i} 2^{i+1} - 1a_n$$

$$\le a_0 + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} 2^i a_{2^i}$$

$$= a_0 + t_{k-1}$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. For natural number N and k with $N > 2^k$ we have $t_k < 2s_N$. Proof:

$$s_N \ge \sum_{n=1}^{2^k} a_n$$

$$\ge \sum_{i=0}^k \sum_{n=2^{i+1}} 2^{i+1} a_n$$

$$\ge \sum_{i=0}^k 2^i a_{2^{i+1}}$$

$$= (1/2)t_k$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. (s_N) converges if and only if (t_k) converges.

Theorem 12.47. If p is a real number with p > 1 then $\sum_{n} 1/n^{p}$ converges.

PROOF: Since

PROOF: Since
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^n \frac{1}{2^{np}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} 2^{(1-p)n}$$
 which converges since $2^{1-p} < 1$. \Box

Theorem 12.48. If p is a real number with $p \le 1$ then $\sum_{n} 1/n^p$ diverges.

PROOF: If $p \leq 0$ then $1/n^p$ does not converge to 0.

If 0 we have

If
$$0 we have
$$\sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^n \frac{1}{2^{np}} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty 2^{(1-p)n}$$
 which diverges since $2^{1-p} \ge 1$. $\square$$$

Theorem 12.49. Let p be a real number. The series

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(\ln n)^p}$$

converges if and only if p > 1.

Proof:

$$2^{k} \frac{1}{2^{k} (\ln 2^{k})^{p}} = \frac{1}{(k \ln 2)^{p}}$$
$$= \frac{1}{(\ln 2)^{p}} \cdot \frac{1}{k^{p}}$$

 $=\frac{1}{(\ln 2)^p}\cdot\frac{1}{k^p}$ and this series converges iff $\sum_k\frac{1}{k^p}$ converges iff p>1.

Theorem 12.50 (Root Test). Let $(a_n)_{n\geq 1}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R}^k . Let $\alpha =$ $\limsup_{n\to\infty} \|a_n\|^{1/n}.$

- 1. If $\alpha < 1$ then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.
- 2. If $\alpha > 1$ then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. If $\alpha < 1$ then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: $\alpha < 1$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. PICK β such that $\alpha < \beta < 1$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. PICK N such that $\forall n \geq N . ||a_n||^{1/n} < \beta$ PROOF: Theorem 12.30.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. $\forall n \geq N . ||a_n|| < \beta^n$ $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta^n$ converges. PROOF: Theorem 12.44.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 6$. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.

PROOF: Comparison Test. $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If $\alpha > 1$ then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges. $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: $\alpha > 1$

- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. There exists a sequence of positive integers (n_k) such that $||a_{n_k}||^{1/n_k} \to$ α as $k \to \infty$.

Proof: Theorem 12.28.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. There are infinitely many n such that $||a_n|| > 1$.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. $a_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges.

Proof: Corollary 12.41.1.

Example 12.51. If $a_n = 1/n$ then $|a_n|^{1/n} \to 1$ and $\sum_n a_n$ diverges. If $a_n = 1/n^2$ then $|a_n|^{1/n} \to 1$ and $\sum_n a_n$ converges.

Theorem 12.52 (Ratio Test). Let $(a_n)_{n\geq 0}$ be a sequence in \mathbb{R}^k .

1. If

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|a_{n+1}\|}{\|a_n\|} < 1$$

then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.

2. If there exists N such that $\forall n \geq N. \frac{\|a_{n+1}\|}{\|a_n\|} > 1$ then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges.

Proof:

- $\begin{array}{l} \text{Thoof:} \\ \langle 1 \rangle 1. \text{ If } \lim\sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|a_{n+1}\|}{\|a_n\|} < 1 \text{ then } \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \text{ converges.} \\ \langle 2 \rangle 1. \text{ Assume: } \lim\sup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\|a_{n+1}\|}{\|a_n\|} < 1 \\ \langle 2 \rangle 2. \lim\sup_{n \to \infty} \|a_n\|^{1/n} < 1 \end{array}$

PROOF: Theorem 12.37. $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.

PROOF: Root Test

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If there exists N such that $\forall n \geq N \cdot \frac{\|a_{n+1}\|}{\|a_n\|} > 1$ then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ diverges. PROOF: Since $a_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Example 12.53. If $a_n = 1/n$ then $a_{n+1}/a_n \to 1$ and $\sum_n a_n$ diverges. If $a_n = 1/n^2$ then $a_{n+1}/a_n \to 1$ and $\sum_n a_n$ converges.

12.5 The Number e

Lemma 12.54. The series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}$ converges.

Proof:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} \frac{1}{n!} \le 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$$
< 3

Definition 12.55. The number e is

$$e = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} .$$

Theorem 12.56.

$$\left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \to e \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

LET: $s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!}$ $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

Let: $t_n = (1 + \frac{1}{n})^n$ $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have

$$t_n = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{n} \right) .$$

Proof:

$$t_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} \frac{1}{n^k}$$
 (Binomial Theorem)
$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{\prod_{i=0}^{k-1} (n-i)}{k!} \frac{1}{n^k}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \frac{n-i}{n}$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{i=0}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{n}\right)$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{n}\right)$$

$$\langle 1 \rangle 4. \text{ For } n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ we have } t_n \leq s_n.$$

$$\langle 1 \rangle 5. \lim \sup_{n \to \infty} t_n \leq e$$

$$\langle 1 \rangle 6. \text{ For } m, n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \text{ with } n \geq m \text{ we have}$$

$$t_n \ge \sum_{k=0}^{m} \frac{1}{k!} \prod_{i=1}^{k-1} \left(1 - \frac{i}{n} \right) .$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 7$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} t_n \ge \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{k!} .$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 8$. For $m \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have

$$s_m \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} t_n$$
.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 9$.

$$e \leq \liminf_{n \to \infty} t_n$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 10$. $t_n \to e$ as $n \to \infty$.

PROOF: From $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ and $\langle 1 \rangle 9$.

Theorem 12.57. e is irrational.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Assume: for a contradiction e = p/q where p and q are positive integers.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

Let:
$$s_n = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!}$$
. $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have

$$0 < e - s_n < \frac{1}{n!n} .$$

$$e - s_n = \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!}$$

$$< \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^k}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n!n}$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$.

$$0 < q!(e - s_q) < \frac{1}{q}$$

- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. q!e is an integer.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. $q!(e-s_q)$ is an integer.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 7$. There exists an integer between 0 and 1.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 8$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This is a contradiction.

Theorem 12.58. e is transcendental.

Proof: See I. M. Niven. Irrational Numbers p. 25. \Box

12.6 Power Series

Definition 12.59 (Power Series). Let (c_n) be a sequence of complex numbers. The *power series* with *coefficients* (c_n) is the function that maps a complex number z to the series

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n .$$

Definition 12.60 (Radius of Convergence). Let (c_n) be a sequence of complex numbers. Let

$$\alpha := \limsup_{n \to \infty} |c_n|^{1/n}$$

$$R := \frac{1}{\alpha}$$

where $R = +\infty$ if $\alpha = 0$ and R = 0 if $\alpha = +\infty$. Then R is called the radius of convergence of the power series $\sum_{n} c_n z^n$.

Theorem 12.61. Let R be the radius of convergence of $\sum_n c_n z^n$.

1. If
$$|z| < R$$
 then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ converges.

2. If
$$|z| > R$$
 then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$ diverges.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Let: $a_n(z) = c_n z^n$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$.

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} |a_n(z)|^{1/n} = |z|/R$$

 $\limsup_{n\to\infty}|a_n(z)|^{1/n}=|z|/R$ (1)3. If |z|< R then $\sum_{n=0}^\infty a_n(z)$ converges.

PROOF: Root Test.

(1)4. If |z| > R then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(z)$ diverges.

PROOF: Root Test.

12.7Summation by Parts

Theorem 12.62. Let (a_n) , (b_n) be two sequences in \mathbb{R}^k . Let

$$A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \qquad (n \ge -1) \ .$$

Let p and q be integers with $0 \le p \le q$. Then

$$\sum_{n=p}^{q} a_n b_n = \sum_{n=p}^{q-1} A_n (b_n - b_{n+1}) + A_q b_q - A_{p-1} b_p.$$

Proof:

$$\sum_{n=p}^{q} a_n b_n = \sum_{n=p}^{q} (A_n - A_{n-1}) b_n$$

$$= \sum_{n=p}^{q} A_n b_n - \sum_{n=p-1}^{q-1} A_n b_{n+1}$$

$$= \sum_{n=p}^{q-1} A_n (b_n - b_{n+1}) + A_q b_q - A_{p-1} b_p$$

Theorem 12.63. Let (a_n) be a sequence in \mathbb{R}^k and (b_n) be a sequence of real numbers. Assume that:

- 1. The partial sums $\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n$ form a bounded sequence.
- 2. (b_n) is monotone decreasing.
- 3. $b_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n b_n$ converges.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Pick M such that, for all N, we have $\|\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n\| \leq M$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let: $\epsilon > 0$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. PICK N such that $b_N \leq \epsilon/2M$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. Let: $N \leq p \leq q$
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. For any integer k, LET: $A_k = \sum_{n=0}^k a_n$. $\langle 1 \rangle$ 6. $\| \sum_{n=p}^q a_n b_n \| \le \epsilon$

$$\langle 1 \rangle 6. \parallel \sum_{n=p}^{q} a_n b_n \parallel \leq \epsilon$$

$$\left\| \sum_{n=p}^{q} a_n b_n \right\| = \left\| \sum_{n=p}^{q-1} A_n (b_n - b_{n+1}) + A_q b_q - A_{p-1} b_p \right\| \quad \text{(Summation by Parts)}$$

$$\leq M \left\| \sum_{n=p}^{q-1} (b_n - b_{n+1}) + b_q - b_p \right\|$$

$$= 2M b_p$$

$$\leq 2M b_N$$

$$\leq \epsilon$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 7$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Cauchy criterion.

Corollary 12.63.1 (Alternating Series). Let (c_n) be a sequence of real numbers. Assume that

- 1. $(|c_n|)$ is monotone decreasing.
- 2. $c_n \geq 0$ for all odd n, and $c_n \leq 0$ for all even n.
- 3. $c_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$

Then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n$ converges.

PROOF: Take $a_n = (-1)^{n+1}$ and $b_n = |c_n|$. \square

Theorem 12.64. Let $\sum_{n} c_n z^n$ be a power series with radius of convergence 1. Suppose (c_n) is monotone decreasing with limit 0. Then $\sum_n c_n z^n$ converges at every point on the circle |z| = 1 except possibly z = 1.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: z be a complex number with |z| = 1 and $z \neq 1$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Let: $a_n = z^n$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Let: $b_n = c_n$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. The partial sums $\sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n$ form a bounded sequence.

$$\left| \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n \right| = \left| \sum_{n=0}^{N} z^n \right|$$
$$= \left| \frac{1 - z^{N+1}}{1 - z} \right|$$
$$\leq \frac{2}{|1 - z|}$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. (b_n) is monotone decreasing with limit 0.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Theorem 12.63.

12.8 Absolute Convergence

Definition 12.65 (Absolute Convergence). Let (a_n) be a sequence in \mathbb{R}^k . Then the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ converges absolutely iff $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \|a_n\|$ converges.

Theorem 12.66. If $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ converges absolutely then $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ converges.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $\epsilon > 0$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. PICK N such that, for all $p, q \geq N$, we have

$$\sum_{n=p}^{q} \|a_n\| \le \epsilon .$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. For $p, q \geq N$, we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n=p}^{q} a_n \right\| \le \epsilon .$$

S

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Cauchy criterion.

П

12.9 Addition and Multiplication of Series

Theorem 12.67. If $\sum_{n} a_{n} = A \text{ and } \sum_{n} b_{n} = B \text{ then } \sum_{n} (a_{n} + b_{n}) = A + B$.

Proof:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} (a_n + b_n) = \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n + \sum_{n=0}^{N} b_n$$

$$\to A + B \qquad \text{as } N \to \infty \square$$

Theorem 12.68. If $\sum_n a_n = A$ then $\sum_n (ca_n) = cA$.

88

Proof:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{N} ca_n = c \sum_{n=0}^{N} a_n$$

$$\to cA \qquad \text{as } N \to \infty \square$$

Definition 12.69 (Cauchy Product). The (Cauchy) product of the series $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ and $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$ is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k b_{n-k} .$$

Theorem 12.70. Let (a_n) and (b_n) be sequences of complex numbers. Assume:

- 1. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$ converges absolutely.
- 2. $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$ converges.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $c_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k}$. Then

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n = \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n\right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n\right) .$$

PROOF:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let:

$$A = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. Let:

$$B = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Let:

$$A_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k .$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, LET:

$$B_n = \sum_{k=0}^n b_k \ .$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Let:

$$C_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k} .$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Let:

$$\beta_n = B_n - B$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 7$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$C_n = A_n B + \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \beta_{n-k} .$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 8$. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, Let:

$$\gamma_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k \beta_{n-k}$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 9$. $A_n B \to AB$ as $n \to \infty$.

 $\begin{array}{l} \langle 1 \rangle 10. \ \gamma_n \to 0 \ \text{as} \ n \to \infty. \\ \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \text{Let:} \ \alpha = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n| \\ \langle 2 \rangle 2. \ \text{For all} \ \epsilon > 0 \ \text{we have} \ \lim \sup_n |\gamma_n| \le \epsilon \alpha. \end{array}$

 $\langle 3 \rangle 1$. Let: $\epsilon > 0$

 $\langle 3 \rangle 2$. PICK N such that $\forall n \geq N. |\beta_n| \leq \epsilon$.

 $\langle 3 \rangle 3$. For all $n \geq N$ we have $|\gamma_n| \leq \left| \sum_{k=0}^N \beta_k \alpha_{n-k} \right| + \epsilon \alpha$. Proof:

$$|\gamma_n| \le \left| \sum_{k=0}^N \beta_k \alpha_{n-k} \right| + \left| \sum_{k=N+1}^n \beta_k a_{n-k} \right|$$
$$\le \left| \sum_{k=0}^N \beta_k \alpha_{n-k} \right| + \epsilon \alpha$$

 $\langle 3 \rangle 4$.

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} |\gamma_n| \le \epsilon \alpha$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 3$$
. $\limsup_n \gamma_n = 0$
 $\langle 1 \rangle 11$. $C_n \to AB$ as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem 12.71 (Abel). Let (a_n) and (b_n) be sequences of complex numbers.

$$c_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k}$$

for all n. If the series $\sum_n a_n$, $\sum_n b_n$ and $\sum_n c_n$ all converge, then

$$\sum_{n} c_n = \left(\sum_{n} a_n\right) \left(\sum_{n} b_n\right) .$$

Proposition 12.72. The Cauchy product of two absolutely convergent series is absolutely convergent.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1.$ Let: $\sum_n a_n$ and $\sum_n b_n$ be two absolutely convergent series. $\langle 1 \rangle 2.$ Let: $c_n = \sum_{k=0}^n a_k b_{n-k}$ $\langle 1 \rangle 3.$ $\sum_n |c_n|$ converges.

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n| = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{k=0}^{n} a_k b_{n-k} \right|$$

$$\leq \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^{n} |a_k| |b_{n-k}|$$

which converges by Theorem 12.70

12.10Rearrangements

Definition 12.73 (Rearrangement). A rearrangement of a sequence (a_n) is a sequence $(a_{\phi(n)})$ for some bijection $\phi : \mathbb{N} \approx \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem 12.74 (Riemann). Let $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n$ be a series that converges but not absolutely. Let α and β be extended reals with $\alpha \leq \beta$. Then there exists a rearrangement of $\sum_n a_n$ with partial sums s'_n such that

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} s'_n = \alpha, \qquad \liminf_{n \to \infty} s'_n = \beta .$$

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, Let:

$$p_n = \frac{|a_n| + a_n}{2} .$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, Let:

$$q_n = \frac{|a_n| - a_n}{2} .$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.p_n - q_n = a_n$ $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ \forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+.p_n + q_n = |a_n|$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ . p_n \geq 0$ $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ . q_n \geq 0$ $\langle 1 \rangle 6$. $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}^+ . q_n \geq 0$ $\langle 1 \rangle 7$. $\sum_n p_n$ and $\sum_n q_n$ both diverge.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. It is not the case than $\sum_n p_n$ and $\sum_n q_n$ both converge. PROOF: This would imply that $\sum_n |a_n|$ converges by $\langle 1 \rangle 4$.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. It is not the case that $\sum_n p_n$ converges and $\sum_n q_n$ diverges. PROOF: This would imply that $\sum_n a_n$ diverges by $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. It is not the case that $\sum_n p_n$ diverges and $\sum_n q_n$ converges. PROOF: This would imply that $\sum_n a_n$ diverges by $\langle 1 \rangle 3$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 8$. Let: (P_n) be the subsequence of (a_n) consisting of the non-negative terms.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 9$. Let: (Q_n) be the subsequence of $(|a_n|)$ consisting only of the terms such that a_n is negative.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 10$. $\sum_n P_n$ diverges.

PROOF: It is the series $\sum_{n} p_n$ with the zero terms removed.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 11$. $\sum_{n} Q_n$ diverges.

PROOF: It is the series $\sum_{n} q_n$ with the zero terms removed.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 12$. PICK sequences of real numbers (α_n) , (β_n) such that $\alpha_n \to \alpha$, $\beta_n \to \beta$, $\alpha_n < \beta_n$ for all n, and $\beta_1 > 0$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 13$. PICK strictly increasing sequences of natural numbers $(m_n)_{n\geq 1}$, $(k_n)_{n\geq 1}$ such that, for all n,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=m_{i-1}+1}^{m_i} P_j - \sum_{j=k_{i-1}+1}^{k_i} Q_j \right) + \sum_{j=m_{n-1}+1}^{m_n} P_j > \beta_n$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\sum_{j=m_{i-1}+1}^{m_i} P_j - \sum_{j=k_{i-1}+1}^{k_i} Q_j \right) < \alpha_n$$

and m_n and k_n are the smallest integers that make these inequalities

PROOF: Given the choice of m_1, \ldots, m_n and k_1, \ldots, k_n , there must exist such an m_{n+1} by $\langle 1 \rangle 10$, and then there must exist such a k_{n+1} by $\langle 1 \rangle 11$.

such an
$$m_{n+1}$$
 by $\langle 1/10 \rangle$, and then there must exist such a k_{n+1} by $\langle 1/11 \rangle$.
 $\langle 1 \rangle 14$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,
LET: $x_n = \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \left(\sum_{j=m_{i-1}+1}^{m_i} P_j - \sum_{j=k_{i-1}+1}^{k_i} Q_j \right) + \sum_{j=m_{n-1}+1}^{m_n} P_j$
 $\langle 1 \rangle 15$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,

$$\langle 1 \rangle 15$$
. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$,
LET: $y_n = \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\sum_{j=m_{i-1}+1}^{m_i} P_j - \sum_{j=k_{i-1}+1}^{k_i} Q_j \right)$
 $\langle 1 \rangle 16$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have

$$|x_n - \beta_n| \le P_{m_n}$$
.

Proof: By minimality of m_n . $|x_n - \beta_n| \le P_{m_n} \ .$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 17$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ we have

$$|y_n - \alpha_n| \le Q_{k_n} .$$

PROOF: By minimality of k_n .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 18. \ P_n \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$

PROOF: Since $a_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 19$. $Q_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

PROOF: Since $a_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 20$. $x_n \to \beta$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof: $\langle 1 \rangle 16$, $\langle 1 \rangle 18$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 21. \ y_n \to \alpha \text{ as } n \to \infty.$

Proof: $\langle 1 \rangle 17, \langle 1 \rangle 19$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 22$. No number less than α or greater than β is a subsequential limit of the partial sums of the series $P_1 + \cdots + P_{m_1} - Q_1 - \cdots - Q_{k_1} + P_{m_1+1} + \cdots + P_{m_n+1} - Q_n - \cdots - Q_{k_n} + Q_n - \cdots - Q_n - \cdots P_{m_2} - Q_{k_1+1} - \dots - Q_{k_2} + \dots$

PROOF: Since every partial sum after the $m_n + k_n$ term is between $\alpha_n - Q_{k_n}$ and $\beta_n + P_{m_n}$.

Theorem 12.75. If $\sum_n a_n$ is a series in \mathbb{R}^k that converges absolutely to s, then every rearrangement of $\sum_n a_n$ converges to s.

- $\langle 1\rangle 1.$ Let: $\sum_n a'_n=\sum_n a_{k_n}$ be a rearrangement with partial sums $s'_n.$ $\langle 1\rangle 2.$ Let: $\epsilon>0$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} \|a_i\| \le \epsilon/3 .$$

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Pick N such that, for all $m \geq n \geq N$, we have $\sum_{i=n}^m \|a_i\| \leq \epsilon/3 \ .$ $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. Pick p such that $\{1,\ldots,N\} \subseteq \{k_1,k_2,\ldots,k_p\}$. $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. For all n>p we have $\|s_n-s_n'\| \leq \epsilon$.

$$||s_n - s_n'|| = \left\| \sum_{i=1}^N a_i + \sum_{i=N+1}^n a_i - \sum_{i=1}^p a_{k_i} - \sum_{i=p+1}^n a_{k_i} \right\|$$

$$\leq \left\| \sum_{i=N+1}^n a_i \right\| + \left\| \sum_{\substack{1 \le i \le p \\ k_i > N}} a_{k_i} \right\| + \left\| \sum_{i=p+1}^n a_{k_i} \right\|$$

$$\leq \epsilon$$

$$\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ s_n' \to s \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Completion of a Metric Space 12.11

Definition 12.76 (Completion). Let X be a metric space. Let X^* be the set of all Cauchy sequences in X, quotiented by: $(p_n) \sim (q_n)$ iff $d(p_n, q_n) \to 0$. Define the distance function on X^* by:

$$\Delta((p_n),(q_n)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} d(p_n,q_n) .$$

Then the metric space X^* is called the *completion* of X.

Theorem 12.77. The completion of X^* is a complete metric space, and X is a dense subspace under the embedding that maps $p \in X$ to the constant sequence (p).

Example 12.78. \mathbb{R} is the completion of \mathbb{Q} .

Chapter 13

Continuity

13.1 Limit of a Function

Definition 13.1 (Limit). Let X and Y be metric spaces. Let $E \subseteq X$ and $f: E \to Y$. Let p be a limit point of E and $q \in Y$. Then we say q is the *limit* of f at p, and write

$$f(x) \to q \text{ as } x \to p, \text{ or } \lim_{x \to p} f(x) = q$$
,

iff for all $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for all $x \in E$, if $0 < d(x, p) < \delta$ then $d(f(x), q) < \epsilon$.

Theorem 13.2. Let X and Y be metric spaces. Let $E \subseteq X$ and $f: E \to Y$. Let p be a limit point of E and $q \in Y$. Then $f(x) \to q$ as $x \to p$ if and only if, for every sequence (p_n) in $E - \{p\}$ with limit p, we have $f(p_n) \to q$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. If $f(x) \to q$ as $x \to p$ then, for every sequence (p_n) in $E \{p\}$ with limit p, we have $f(p_n) \to q$ as $n \to \infty$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: $f(x) \to q$ as $x \to p$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: (p_n) be a sequence in $E \{p\}$ with limit p.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Let: $\epsilon > 0$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. Pick $\delta > 0$ such that, for all $x \in E$, if $0 < d(x,p) < \delta$ then $d(f(x),q) < \epsilon$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. PICK N such that, for all $n \geq N$, we have $d(p_n, p) < \delta$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 6. \ \forall n \geq N.d(f(p_n),q) < \epsilon$
- (1)2. If, for every sequence (p_n) in $E \{p\}$ with limit p, we have $f(p_n) \to q$ as $n \to \infty$, then $f(x) \to q$ as $x \to p$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: $f(x) \nrightarrow q$ as $x \to p$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 2. Pick $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for all $\delta > 0$, there exists a $x \in E$ such that $0 < d(x,p) < \delta$ and $d(f(x),q) \ge \epsilon$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. For all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$, PICK $p_n \in E$ such that $0 < d(p_n, p) < 1/n$ and $d(f(p_n), q) \ge \epsilon$.

$$\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ p_n \to p \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ f(p_n) \nrightarrow q \text{ as } n \to \infty.$

Corollary 13.2.1. A function has at most one limit at any point.

Theorem 13.3. Let X be a metric space, $E \subseteq X$, and p a limit point of E. Let $f, g: E \to \mathbb{R}^k$. Assume $f(x) \to a$ as $x \to p$ and $g(x) \to b$ as $x \to p$. Then

$$f(x) + g(x) \rightarrow a + b \text{ as } x \rightarrow p$$
.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: (p_n) be a sequence in E that converges to p.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. $f(p_n) \to a \text{ as } n \to \infty$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ g(p_n) \to b \text{ as } n \to \infty.$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. $f(p_n) + g(p_n) \to a + b$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof: Proposition 12.5.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Theorem 13.2.

Theorem 13.4. Let X be a metric space, $E \subseteq X$, and p a limit point of E. Let $f, g: E \to \mathbb{C}$. Assume $f(x) \to a$ as $x \to p$ and $g(x) \to b$ as $x \to p$. Then

$$f(x)g(x) \to ab \ as \ x \to p$$
.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: (p_n) be a sequence in E that converges to p.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. $f(p_n) \to a \text{ as } n \to \infty$.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ g(p_n) \to b \text{ as } n \to \infty.$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. $f(p_n)g(p_n) \to ab$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof: Proposition 12.7.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5$. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Theorem 13.2.

Theorem 13.5. Let X be a metric space, $E \subseteq X$, and p a limit point of E. Let $f: E \to \mathbb{C} - \{0\}$. Assume $f(x) \to a \neq 0$ as $x \to p$. Then

$$f(x)^{-1} \to a^{-1} \ as \ x \to p$$
.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: (p_n) be a sequence in E that converges to p.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. $f(p_n) \to a \text{ as } n \to \infty$. $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. $f(p_n)^{-1} \to a^{-1} \text{ as } n \to \infty$.

Proof: Proposition 12.8.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. Q.E.D.

Proof: Theorem 13.2.

П

Theorem 13.6. Let X be a metric space, $E \subseteq X$, and p a limit point of E. Let $f, g: E \to \mathbb{R}^k$. Assume $f(x) \to a$ as $x \to p$ and $g(x) \to b$ as $x \to p$. Then

$$f(x) \cdot g(x) \to a \cdot b \text{ as } x \to p$$
.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: (p_n) be a sequence in E that converges to p.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. $f(p_n) \to a \text{ as } n \to \infty$.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ g(p_n) \to b \text{ as } n \to \infty.$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. $f(p_n) \cdot g(p_n) \to a \cdot b$ as $n \to \infty$.

Proof: Proposition 12.10.

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. Q.E.D.

PROOF: Theorem 13.2.

13.2 Continuous Functions

Definition 13.7 (Continuous). Let X be a metric space, $E \subseteq X$ and $p \in E$. Then f is *continuous* at p iff, for every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > 0$ such that, for all $x \in E$, if $d(x, p) < \delta$ then

$$d(f(x), f(p)) < \epsilon$$
.

f is continuous or continuous on E iff f is continuous at every point.

Theorem 13.8. Let X be a metric space, $E \subseteq X$ and $p \in E$ be a limit point of E. Then f is continuous at p iff $f(x) \to f(p)$ as $x \to p$.

Proof: Easy.

Theorem 13.9. Let X, Y and Z be metric spaces. Let $E \subseteq X$. Let $f: E \to Y$ and $g: f(E) \to Z$. Let $p \in E$. If f is continuous at p and g is continuous at f(p) then $g \circ f$ is continuous at p.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. Let: $\epsilon > 0$
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 2. PICK $\delta_1 > 0$ such that, for all $y \in f(E)$, if $d(y, f(p)) < \delta_1$ then $d(g(y), g(f(p))) < \delta_1$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$. Pick $\delta_2 > 0$ such that, for all $x \in E$, if $d(x,p) < \delta_2$ then $d(f(x),f(p)) < \delta_1$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$. For all $x \in E$, if $d(x,p) < \delta_2$ then $d(g(f(x)), g(f(p))) < \epsilon$.

Theorem 13.10. Let X and Y be metric spaces. Let $f: X \to Y$. Then f is continuous if and only if, for every open set $V \subseteq Y$, we have $f^{-1}(V)$ is open in X.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$. If f is continuous then, for every open set V in Y, we have $f^{-1}(V)$ is open in X.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: f is continuous.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: V be an open set in Y. PROVE: $f^{-1}(V)$ is open. $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Let: $x \in f^{-1}(V)$

 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. PICK $\epsilon > 0$ such that $B(f(x), \epsilon) \subseteq V$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. PICK $\delta > 0$ such that, for all $x' \in X$, if $d(x', x) < \delta$ then $d(f(x'), f(x)) < \delta$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 6. \ B(x,\delta) \subseteq f^{-1}(V)$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$. If, for every open set V in Y, we have $f^{-1}(V)$ is open in X, then f is
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$. Assume: For every open set V in Y, we have $f^{-1}(V)$ is open in X.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$. Let: $p \in X$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$. Let: $\epsilon > 0$
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$. $f^{-1}(B(f(p), \epsilon))$ is open in X.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$. Pick $\delta > 0$ such that $B(p, \delta) \subseteq f^{-1}(B(f(p), \epsilon))$.
 - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$. For all $x \in X$, if $d(x,p) < \delta$ then $d(f(x),f(p)) < \epsilon$.

Corollary 13.10.1. Let X and Y be metric spaces. Let $f: X \to Y$. Then f is continuous if and only if, for every closed set C in Y, we have $f^{-1}(C)$ is closed in X.

Part III More Algebra

Chapter 14

Lie Groups

Definition 14.1 (Lie Group). A *Lie group* G is a group G that is also an analytic differentiable manifold such that the group operation and inverse operation are analytic.

A $homomorphism\ of\ Lie\ groups$ is a group homomorphism that is an analytic function.

Lemma 14.2. Every bijective Lie group homomorphism is an isomorphism.

Definition 14.3 (Unitary Group). The *unitary group* U(n) is the Lie group of all $n \times n$ unitary matrices.

Definition 14.4 (Special Unitary Group). The *special unitary group* SU(n) is the Lie group of all $n \times n$ unitary matrices with determinant 1.

Definition 14.5 (Lie Subgroup). Let G be a Lie group. A *Lie subgroup* of G is a subgroup that is also an analytic submanifold of G.

Example 14.6. U(n) and SU(n) are Lie subgroups of $GL(n, \mathbb{C})$.