# C2 Algebra

#### Robin Adams

October 15, 2022

## 1 Groups

**Definition 1.1** (Group). A *group* is a triple  $(G, \cdot, e)$  where G is a set,  $\cdot$  is a binary operation on G, and  $e \in G$ , such that:

1. · is associative	١.
---------------------	----

2. 
$$\forall x \in G.xe = ex = x$$

3. 
$$\forall x \in G. \exists y \in G. xy = yx = e$$

**Lemma 1.2.** The integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  form a group under + and 0.

Proof: Easy.

Lemma 1.3. In any group, inverses are unique.

PROOF: Suppose y and z are inverses to x. Then

y = ey = zxy = ze = z

Ш

**Definition 1.4.** We write  $x^{-1}$  for the inverse of x.

# 2 Abelian Groups

**Definition 2.1** (Abelian Group). A group (G, +, 0) is *Abelian* iff + is commutative.

When using additive notation (i.e. the symbols + and 0) for a group, we write -y for the inverse of y, and x-y for x+(-y).

**Lemma 2.2.** The integers  $\mathbb{Z}$  are Abelian.

Proof: Easy.

**Lemma 2.3.** The rationals  $\mathbb{Q}$  form an Abelian group under +.

PROOF: Easy.

**Lemma 2.4.** The non-zero rationals form an Abelian group under multiplication.

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

## 3 Ring Theory

**Definition 3.1** (Rng). A rng is a quintuple  $(R, +, \cdot, 0)$  consisting of a set R, binary operations + and  $\cdot$  on R, and element  $0 \in R$  such that:

- 1. (R, +, 0) is an Abelian group.
- 2. The operation  $\cdot$  is associative, and distributive over +.

**Proposition 3.2.** In any rng we have x0 = 0.

PROOF: x0 = x(0+0) = x0 + x0 and also x0 = x0 + 0. The result follows by the cancellation law.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 3.3.** In any rng we have -(xy) = (-x)y = x(-y).

PROOF: The result -(xy)=(-x)y holds because  $xy+(-x)y=(x+(-x))y=0y=0\ .$  We prove -(xy)=x(-y) similarly.  $\square$ 

**Corollary 3.3.1.** *In any rng,* (-x)(-y) = xy.

**Definition 3.4** (Ring). A *ring* consists of a rng R and an element  $1 \in R$ , the *unit element*, such that  $\forall x \in R.x1 = 1x = x$ .

**Proposition 3.5.** In a ring R, if 0 = 1 then R has only one element.

**Definition 3.6.** Let n be an integer. In any ring, we write just n for n1.

**Definition 3.7** (Commutative Rng). A rng R is commutative iff  $\forall x, y \in R.xy = yx$ .

**Definition 3.8** (Zero Divisor). A zero divisor in a rng is an element x such that  $x \neq 0$  but there exists  $y \neq 0$  such that xy = 0.

**Definition 3.9** (Integral Domain). An *integral domain* is a commutative ring with no zero divisors.

**Example 3.10.** 1. The trivial ring is an integral domain.

- 2. The integers form an integral domain.
- 3. The rationals form an integral domain.

**Proposition 3.11.** Let R be a commutative ring. Then R is an integral domain if and only if, whenever xy = xz and  $x \neq 0$ , then y = z.

**Definition 3.12** (Boolean Ring). A Boolean rng is a rng R such that  $\forall x \in R.x^2 = x$ 

**Example 3.13.**  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  is a Boolean rng.

**Proposition 3.14.** In any Boolean rng we have x + x = 0 for all x

PROOF: We have  $x = x^2 = (-x)^2 = -x$ .  $\square$ 

**Proposition 3.15.** Every Boolean rng is commutative.

PROOF: We have

$$(x+y)^2 = x + y$$

$$= x^2 + y^2$$

$$\therefore x^2 + xy + yx + y^2 = x^2 + y^2$$

$$\therefore xy + yx = 0$$

$$\therefore xy = -(yx)$$

$$= yx$$

**Definition 3.16** (Characteristic). The *characteristic* of an integral domain is the least positive integer n such that n = 0, or 0 if there is no such n.

**Example 3.17.** 1. The characteristic of  $\mathbb{Z}$  is 0.

2. The characteristic of  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is n.

**Proposition 3.18.** The characteristic of an integral domain is either 0, 1 or a prime.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let: D be any integral domain of characteristic n > 1.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Assume: for a contradiction n = ab with a, b > 1

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . ab = 0 in D

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . a = 0 or b = 0 in D

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts the minimality of n.

П

**Theorem 3.19.** An integral domain D has characteristic 0 iff  $\{n1 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is infinite.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . If D has characteristic p > 0 then  $\{n1 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is finite.

 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 1. Assume: the characteristic of D is p>0Prove: For all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  there exists k < p such that n1 = k1 in D

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 3. Let: q, r be the integers such that n = qp + r with  $0 \le r < p$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . n1 = r1

Proof:

$$n1 = q(p1) + r1$$
$$= q0 + r1$$
$$= r1$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If  $\{n1 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is finite then D has non-zero characteristic.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $\{n1 : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is finite.

 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 2. PICK a positive integer p such that p1=k1 for some non-negative k 3. <math>(p-k)1=0 and p-k>0

**Proposition 3.20.** For any integral domain D, the set  $\{n1 : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  is a subdomain.

**Proposition 3.21.** For any integral domain D of characteristic 0, the mapping that sends n to n1 is an embedding of  $\mathbb{Z}$  in D.

Corollary 3.21.1. The integers are the unique integral domain D up to isomorphism with characteristic 0 such that D has no proper subdomains.

## 4 Polynomials

**Definition 4.1** (Polynomial). Let D be an integral domain. The set D[x] of polynomials over D is the set of sequences in D that are eventually zero. We write the sequence  $(a_n)$  as  $a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_mx^m$  if  $a_n = 0$  for all n > m. The element  $a_i$  is called the *i*th coefficient, or the coefficient of  $x^i$ .

**Definition 4.2** (Degree). The *degree* of a non-zero polynomial p is the largest integer n such that the coefficient of  $x^n$  is non-zero. This coefficient is the *leading coefficient* of p.

**Definition 4.3** (Addition). Addition of polynomials is defined by:  $(a_n)+(b_n)=(a_n+b_n)$ .

**Definition 4.4** (Multiplication). Multiplication of polynomials is defined by:  $(\sum_n a_n x^n)(\sum_n b_n x^n) = \sum_n (\sum_{m=0}^n a_m b_{n-m}) x^n$ .

**Theorem 4.5.** Under these operations, D[x] is an integral domain.

# 5 Ordered Integral Domains

**Definition 5.1** (Ordered Integral Domain). An *ordered integral domain* is an integral domain D with a linear order < such that:

- Whenever x < y then x + z < y + z.
- Whenever x < y and 0 < z then xz < yz.

**Proposition 5.2.** In an ordered integral domain, if x < y and z < 0 then yz < xz.

**Proposition 5.3.** x < y iff -y < -x.

**Proposition 5.4.** Any subdomain of an ordered integral domain is an ordered integral domain under the restriction of <.

**Definition 5.5** (Positive). In an integral domain, we say an element a is positive iff 0 < a and negative iff a < 0.

**Proposition 5.6.** x < y iff y - x is positive.

**Proposition 5.7.** x < y iff x - y is negative.

**Proposition 5.8.** x is positive iff -x is negative.

**Proposition 5.9.** x is negative iff -x is positive.

**Proposition 5.10.** The sum of two positive elements is positive.

**Proposition 5.11.** The product of two positive elements is positive.

**Proposition 5.12.** The product of two negative elements is positive.

**Proposition 5.13.** The product of a positive and a negative element is negative.

**Proposition 5.14.** If  $x \neq 0$  then  $x^2$  is positive.

**Proposition 5.15.**  $x^2$  is always non-negative.

Proposition 5.16. 0 < 1

**Proposition 5.17.** -1 < 0

**Theorem 5.18.** Let R be an integral domain and  $P \subseteq R$  be a set such that:

- 0 ∉ P
- For all  $x \in R$  we have  $x \in P$  or x = 0 or  $-x \in P$
- For all  $x, y \in P$  we have  $x + y \in P$
- For all  $x, y \in P$  we have  $xy \in P$

Define < on R by x < y iff  $y - x \in P$ . Then R is an ordered integral domain under < with P the set of positive elements.

Definition 5.19 (Absolute Value). In any ordered integral domain, define

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } 0 \le x \\ -x & \text{if } x < 0 \end{cases}$$

**Proposition 5.20.** |x| is always non-negative.

**Proposition 5.21.** |x| = 0 *iff* x = 0

**Proposition 5.22.** |-x| = |x|

**Proposition 5.23.** |x - y| = |y - x|

**Proposition 5.24.** |xy| = |x||y|

Proposition 5.25.  $-|x| \le x \le |x|$ 

**Proposition 5.26.** |x| < u iff -u < x < u

**Proposition 5.27.**  $|x| \le u$  iff  $-u \le x \le u$ 

**Proposition 5.28** (Triangle Inequality).  $|x + y| \le |x| + |y|$ 

**Proposition 5.29.**  $||x| - |y|| \le |x - y|$ 

**Proposition 5.30.** Any ordered integral domain has characteristic 0.

PROOF: For any positive integer n we have 0 < n and so  $n \neq 0$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 5.31.** Let D be an ordered integral domain. Then the following are equivalent.

- 1.  $D \cong \mathbb{Z}$
- 2. The set of positive elements of D is  $\{n1: n \in \mathbb{Z}^+\}$
- 3. The set of positive elements of D is well-ordered by <.

**Theorem 5.32.** Let D be an ordered integral domain. Then D[x] is an ordered integral domain under: p(x) < q(x) iff q(x)-p(x) is positive, where a polynomial is positive iff its leading coefficient is positive.

**Definition 5.33** (Monic Polynomial). A polynomial is *monic* iff its leading coefficient is 1.

**Theorem 5.34.** Let D be an integral domain. Let  $f, g \in D[x]$  with f a monic polynomial of degree  $\geq 1$ . Then there exist unique polynomials  $q, r \in D[x]$  such that g = fq + r and either r = 0 or  $\deg r < \deg f$ .

### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $f \in D[x]$  be a monic polynomial of degree k > 1
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . 0 and 0 are the unique polynomials such that 0 = f0 + 0
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . For any  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  and polynomial g of degree n, there exist polynomials  $q, r \in D[x]$  such that g = fq + r and either r = 0 or  $\deg r < \deg f$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . For any ppolynomial g of degree < k, there exist polynomials  $q,r \in D[x]$  such that g=fq+r and either r=0 or  $\deg r < \deg f$

PROOF: Take q = 0 and r = g.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $k \leq n$ . Assume for any polynomial g of degree  $\leq n$ , there exist polynomials  $q, r \in D[x]$  such that g = fq + r and either r = 0 or  $\deg r < \deg f$ . Then for any polynomial g of degree n + 1, there exist polynomials  $q, r \in D[x]$  such that g = fq + r and either r = 0 or  $\deg r < \deg f$ 
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $n \in \mathbb{N}$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Assume: For any polynomial g of degree n, there exist polynomials  $q, r \in D[x]$  such that g = fq + r and either r = 0 or  $\deg r < \deg f$ .

- $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . Let: g be a polynomial of degree n+1
- $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ . Let:  $a_{n+1}$  be the leading coefficient of g
- $\langle 3 \rangle 5$ . Let:  $h(x) = g(x) a_{n+1}x^{n+1-k}f(x)$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 6$ . Either h = 0 or deg  $h \leq n$
- $\langle 3 \rangle$ 7. Pick polynomials q, r with h = fq + r and either r = 0 or deg r < k
- $\langle 3 \rangle 8. \ g(x) = f(x)(q(x) + a_{n+1}x^{n+1-k}) + r(x)$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . If fq + r = fq' + r'; either r = 0 or  $\deg r < \deg f$ ; and either r' = 0 or  $\deg r' < \deg f$ ; then q = q' and r = r'
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . f(q-q')=r'-r and r'-r is either 0 or has degree  $< \deg f$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . q q' = 0
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ r = r'$

**Definition 5.35** (Polynomial Function). Given  $f(x) \in D[x]$  and  $a \in D$ , define  $f(a) \in D$  in the obvious way.

**Definition 5.36** (Root). A root of a polynomial  $p(x) \in D[x]$  is an element  $a \in D$  such that p(a) = 0.

**Theorem 5.37.** Let  $p(x) \in D[x]$  and  $a \in D$ . Then p(a) = 0 iff there exists  $q(x) \in D[x]$  such that p(x) = q(x)(x - a).

**PROOF** 

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . If p(x) = q(x)(x-a) then p(a) = 0
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If p(a) = 0 then there exists q such that p(x) = q(x)(x-a)
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: p(a) = 0
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: q and r be the polynomials such that p(x) = q(x)(x-a) + r(x) where r = 0 or  $\deg r < 1$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Let: r(x) = c, a constant
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . c = 0

Proof:

$$p(a) = 0$$

$$\therefore q(a)(a-a) + c = 0$$

$$\therefore c = 0$$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ p(x) = q(x)(x-a)$ 

Corollary 5.37.1. A polynomial of degree n has at most n distinct roots.

**Corollary 5.37.2.** Let D be an infinite integral domain and  $f, g \in D[x]$ . Then f = g iff f and g determine the same function  $D \to D$ .

PROOF: If f and g determine the same function then f-g has infinitely many roots, hence f-g=0.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 5.38** (Division Theorem). Let a and b be integers, a > 1. Then there exist unique integers q and r such that b = qa + r and  $0 \le r < a$ .

PROOF: For existence, prove the case  $b \ge 0$  by induction on b. The case b < 0 follows.

For uniqueness, if qa + r = q'a + r' then a|r - r' and -a < r - r' < a, hence r - r' = 0. So r = r' and q = q'.  $\square$ 

**Definition 5.39** (Divisibility). We say a divides b,  $a \mid b$ , iff there exists c such that b = ac.

**Proposition 5.40.** For every integer a we have  $a \mid 0$ .

**Proposition 5.41.** For every integer a we have  $1 \mid a$ .

**Proposition 5.42.** For every integer a we have  $a \mid a$ .

**Proposition 5.43.** *If*  $a \mid b$  *and*  $b \mid c$  *then*  $a \mid c$ .

**Proposition 5.44.** If  $a \mid c \text{ and } c \neq 0 \text{ the } |a| \leq |c|$ .

**Proposition 5.45.** If  $0 \mid a \text{ then } a = 0$ .

**Proposition 5.46.** *If*  $a \mid b$  *and*  $b \mid a$  *then* a = b *or* a = -b.

Proposition 5.47.  $a \mid ab$ 

**Proposition 5.48.** If  $a \mid b$  and  $a \mid c$  then  $a \mid b + c$ .

**Proposition 5.49.** If  $a \mid b$  and  $a \mid c$  then  $a \mid b - c$ .

**Proposition 5.50.** *If*  $a \mid 1$  *then* a = 1 *or* a = -1.

**Definition 5.51** (Greatest Common Divisor). The integer d is the *greatest common divisor* of a and b iff d is non-negative,  $d \mid a$ ,  $d \mid b$ , and whenever  $x \mid a$  and  $x \mid b$  then  $d \mid x$ .

**Proposition 5.52.** Two integers have at most one gcd.

**Theorem 5.53.** Let a and b be integers that are not both 0. Then there exist integers x and y such that xa + yb is the greatest common divisor of a and b.

PROOF: Take the least positive member of  $\{xa + yb : x, y \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ .

**Definition 5.54** (Relatively Prime). Two integers a and b are relatively prime iff their gcd is 1.

**Definition 5.55** (Prime). An integer p is *prime* iff p > 1 and the only divisors of p are 1 and p.

An integer a is *composite* iff a > 1 and a is not prime.

**Proposition 5.56.** Every integer greater than 1 is divisible by a prime.

Theorem 5.57. There are infinitely many primes.

**Proposition 5.58.** If p is prime and  $p \mid ab$  then  $p \mid a$  or  $p \mid b$ .

**Theorem 5.59** (Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic). Every integer > 1 is the product of a unique multiset of primes.

## 6 Integers Modulo n

**Definition 6.1** (Congruence). Two integers a and b are congruent modulo n,  $a \equiv b \mod n$ , iff  $n \mid a - b$ .

**Proposition 6.2.** Congruence modulo n is an equivalence relation.

**Proposition 6.3.** If  $a \equiv b \mod n$  and  $c \equiv d \mod n$  then  $a + c \equiv b + d \mod n$ .

**Proposition 6.4.** If  $a \equiv b \mod n$  then  $-a \equiv -b \mod n$ .

**Proposition 6.5.** If  $a \equiv b \mod n$  and  $c \equiv d \mod n$  then  $ac \equiv bd \mod n$ .

**Definition 6.6.** The equivalence classes with respect to congruence modulo n are called *residue classes modulo* n.

**Definition 6.7.** The set of *integers modulo* n,  $\mathbb{Z}_n$ , is the quotient of  $\mathbb{Z}$  by congruence modulo n.

**Proposition 6.8.** If n > 0 then  $|\mathbb{Z}_n| = n$ .

**Proposition 6.9.**  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a commutative ring.

**Proposition 6.10.**  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is an integral domain if and only if n is prime.

## 7 Field Theory

**Definition 7.1** (Field). A *field* is a non-trivial integral domain such that every non-zero element has a multiplicative inverse.

**Definition 7.2** (Field of Fractions). Let R be a non-trivial integral domain. The *field of fractions* or *quotient field* of R is  $(R \times (R - \{0\})) / \sim$ , where  $(a, b) \sim (c, d)$  iff ad = bc, under the following operations:

$$[(a,b)] + [(c,d)] = [(ad+bc,bd)]$$
$$[(a,b)][(c,d)] = [(ac,bd)]$$
$$0 = [(0,1)]$$
$$1 = [(1,1)]$$

We prove that the relation  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation, the operations are well-defined, and this structure is a field.

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ .  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ .  $\sim$  is reflexive on  $\mathbb{R}^2$ .
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $a, b \in R$  with  $b \neq 0$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . ab = ab
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ (a,b) \sim (a,b)$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $\sim$  is symmetric.

```
\langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: a, b, c, d \in R with b \neq 0 and d \neq 0
```

- $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $(a,b) \sim (c,d)$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . ad = bc
- $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ . cb = da

PROOF: Since R is commutative.

- $\langle 3 \rangle 5.$   $(c,d) \sim (a,b)$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ .  $\sim$  is transitive.
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $a, b, c, d, e, f \in R$  with  $b \neq 0, d \neq 0$  and  $f \neq 0$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $(a,b) \sim (c,d) \sim (e,f)$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . ad = bc
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ . cf = de
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 5$ . adf = bcf
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 6. \ bcf = bde$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 7$ . adf = bde
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 8. \ af = be$

Proof: Proposition 3.11.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Addition is well-defined.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . If  $b \neq 0$  and  $d \neq 0$  then  $bd \neq 0$

PROOF: Since R has no zero-divisors.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $(a,b) \sim (a',b')$  and  $(c,d) \sim (c',d')$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . ab' = a'b
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . cd' = c'd
- $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . (ad + bc)b'd' = (a'd' + b'c')bd

Proof:

$$(ad + bc)b'd' = ab'dd' + bb'cd'$$
$$= a'bdd' + bb'cd'$$
$$= (a'd' + b'c')bd$$

- $\langle 2 \rangle 6$ .  $(ad + bc, bd) \sim (a'd' + b'c', b'd')$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Multiplication is well-defined.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . If  $b \neq 0$  and  $d \neq 0$  then  $bd \neq 0$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $(a,b) \sim (a',b')$  and  $(c,d) \sim (c',d')$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . ab' = a'b
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . cd' = c'd
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . ab'cd' = a'bc'd
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$ .  $(ac, bd) \sim (a'c', b'd')$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . The axioms of a field are satisfied.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Addition is commutative.

PROOF: [(a,b)] + [(c,d)] = [(c,d)] + [(a,b)] = [(ad+bc,bd)]

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Addition is associative.

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} [(a,b)] + ([(c,d)] + [(e,f)]) &= [(a,b)] + [(cf+de,df)] \\ &= [(adf+bcf+bde,bdf)] \\ &= [(ad+bc,bd)] + [(e,f)] \\ &= ([(a,b)] + [(c,d)]) + [(e,f)] \end{aligned}$$

```
\langle 2 \rangle 3. x + 0 = x
  Proof:
                             [(a,b)] + [(0,1)] = [(a1+b0,b1)]
                                                  = [(a, b)]
\langle 2 \rangle 4. For all x, there exists y such that x + y = 0
  PROOF:
                            [(a,b)] + [(-a,b)] = [(ab - ab, b^2)]
                                                   =[(0,b^2)]
                                                   = [(0,1)]
  since (0, b^2) \sim (0, 1).
\langle 2 \rangle5. Multiplication is commutative.
  PROOF: [(a,b)][(c,d)] = [(c,d)][(a,b)] = [(ac,bd)]
\langle 2 \rangle 6. Multiplication is associative.
  Proof: [(a,b)]([(c,d)][(e,f)]) = ([(a,b)][(c,d)])[(e,f)] = [(ace,bdf)]
\langle 2 \rangle 7. \ x1 = x
  PROOF: [(a,b)][(1,1)] = [(a1,b1)] = [(a,b)]
\langle 2 \rangle 8. For all x \neq 0, there exists y such that xy = 1
  \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: a, b \in R with b \neq 0 and (a, b) \nsim (0, 1)
  \langle 3 \rangle 2. \ a \neq 0
  \langle 3 \rangle 3. \ [(a,b)][(b,a)] = [(1,1)]
     PROOF: Since (ab, ab) \sim (1, 1)
\langle 2 \rangle 9. Multiplication is distributive over addition.
  Proof:
               [(a,b)]([(c,d)] + [(e,f)]) = [(a,b)][(cf + de, df)]
                                              = [(acf + ade, bdf)]
                                              = [(abcf + abde, b^2df)]
                                              = [(ac, bd)] + [(ae, bf)]
                                              = [(a,b)][(c,d)] + [(a,b)][(e,f)]
\langle 2 \rangle 10. \ 0 \neq 1
  PROOF: Since (0,1) \sim (1,1)
```

**Definition 7.3** (Rational Numbers). The field of rational numbers  $\mathbb{Q}$  is the field of fractions of the integers.

**Theorem 7.4.** Every finite integral domain with at least two elements is a field.

PROOF: Let D be a non-trivial finite integral domain. Let  $x \in D$ . The map that sends y to xy is an injective map  $D \to D$ , hence a bijection by the Pigeonhole Principle. Therefore there exists y such that xy = 1.  $\square$ 

**Corollary 7.4.1.** For any integer n > 1, we have  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a field if and only if n is prime.

**Theorem 7.5.** Let  $a_0, a_1, \ldots, a_{k-1}$  be integers. If x is a rational number such that  $x^k + a_{k-1}x^{k-1} + \cdots + a_0 = 0$  then x is an integer.

```
PROOF:
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \langle 1 \rangle 1. \text{ Pick integers } p, \ q \text{ such that } x = p/q \text{ with } \gcd(p,q) = 1 \\ \langle 1 \rangle 2. \ p^k + a_{k-1}qp^{k-1} + \cdots + a_0q^k = 0 \\ \langle 1 \rangle 3. \ q = 1 \\ \langle 2 \rangle 1. \text{ Assume: for a contradiction } q \text{ has a prime factor } r \\ \langle 2 \rangle 2. \ r \mid a_{k-1}qp^{k-1} + \cdots + a_0q^k \\ \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ r \mid p^k \\ \langle 2 \rangle 4. \ r \mid p \\ \langle 2 \rangle 5. \text{ Q.E.D.} \\ \text{Proof: This contradicts the fact that } \gcd(p,q) = 1. \end{array}
```

Corollary 7.5.1. There is no rational number q such that  $q^2 = 2$ .

#### 7.1 Subfields

**Definition 7.6** (Subfield). Let  $(E, +_E, \cdot_E)$  and  $(F, +_F, \cdot_F)$  be fields. Then E is a *subfield* of F if and only if  $E \subseteq F$ ,  $+_E = +_F \upharpoonright E^2$  and  $\cdot_E = \cdot_F \upharpoonright E^2$ .

**Proposition 7.7.** Let  $(F, +_F, \cdot_F)$  be a field and  $E \subseteq F$ . If E contains a non-zero element and is closed under subtraction and division (i.e. whenever  $x, y \in E$  and  $y \neq 0$  then  $x/y \in E$ ), then  $(E, +_F \upharpoonright E^2, \cdot_F \upharpoonright E^2)$  is a subfield of F.

```
Proof:
```

```
TROOF. \langle 1 \rangle 1. \ 1 \in E \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \text{PICK } a \in E \text{ with } a \neq 0 \langle 2 \rangle 2. \ a/a \in E \langle 1 \rangle 2. \ 0 \in E \text{PROOF: Since } 0 = 1 - 1 \langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \forall x \in E. - x \in E \text{PROOF: Since } -x = 0 - x \langle 1 \rangle 4. \ E \text{ is closed under addition.} \text{PROOF: For } x, y \in E, \text{ we have } x + y = x - (-y) \in E. \langle 1 \rangle 5. \ \forall x \in E - \{0\}.x^{-1} \in E \text{PROOF: Since } x^{-1} = 1/x. \langle 1 \rangle 6. \ E \text{ is closed under multiplication.} \text{PROOF: For } x, y \in E, \text{ if } y = 0 \text{ then } xy = 0 \in E. \text{ Otherwise } xy = x/y^{-1} \in E.
```

**Definition 7.8** (Prime Field). A field is *prime* iff it contains no proper subfield.

**Definition 7.9** (Integers and Rational Numbers of a Field). In any field F, the *integers* of F are the elements of the form n1 for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The rational numbers of F are the elements of the form m/n where m and n are integers of F with  $n \neq 0$ .

**Proposition 7.10.** For any field F, the rational numbers of F form a subfield of F which is minimal (i.e. a subfield of every other subfield of F).

**Proposition 7.11.** If F has characteristic 0 then the rationals of F are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Corollary 7.11.1.** In any ordered field F, the rationals of F are isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

**Theorem 7.12.** The prime fields are  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  for p prime and  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Every  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is prime.

PROOF: If F is a subfield of  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  then F contains every integer and so is  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a prime field.

PROOF: If F is a subfield of  $\mathbb{Q}$  then F contains every integer, hence contains m/n for m and n integers with  $n \neq 0$ , and so is  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . For p prime, if F is a prime field of characteristic p then  $F \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$ .

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . If F is any field of characteristic p then  $\mathbb{Z}_p$  is a subfield of F.

 $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Define  $\phi : \mathbb{Z}_p \to F$  by  $\phi(k) = k1$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ .  $\phi$  is injective.

PROOF: Since  $k1 \neq l1$  for  $0 \leq k, l < p$ .

 $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ .  $\phi$  preserves addition.

PROOF: If  $k + l \cong m \pmod{p}$  then k1 + l1 = m1 in F.

 $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ .  $\phi$  preserves multiplication.

PROOF: If  $kl \cong m \pmod{p}$  then (k1)(l1) = m1 in F.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . If F is a prime field of characteristic 0 then  $F \cong \mathbb{Q}$ .

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . If F is any field of characteristic 0 then  $\mathbb{Q}$  is a subfield of F.

8 Rational Numbers

**Lemma 8.1.** If  $(a,b) \sim (a',b')$  and  $(c,d) \sim (c',d')$  and b,b',d,d' are all positive then ad < bc iff a'd' < b'c'.

PROOF: Easy.

**Definition 8.2.** The ordering on the rationals is defined by: if b and d are positive then [(a,b)] < [(c,d)] iff ad < bc.

**Theorem 8.3.** The relation < is a linear ordering on  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Proof: Easy.

**Definition 8.4** (Positive). A rational q is positive iff 0 < q.

**Definition 8.5** (Absolute Value). The absolute value of a rational q is the rational |q| defined by

 $|q| = \begin{cases} q & \text{if } q \ge 0 \\ -q & \text{if } q \le 0 \end{cases}$ 

**Theorem 8.6.** For any rational s, the function that maps q to q + s is strictly monotone.

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 8.7.** For any positive rational s, the function that maps q to qs is strictly monotone.

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 8.8.** Define  $E: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$  by E(a) = [(a,1)]. Then E is one-to-one and:

- 1. E(a+b) = E(a) + E(b)
- 2. E(ab) = E(a)E(b)
- 3. E(0) = 0
- 4. E(1) = 1
- 5. a < b iff E(a) < E(b)

Proof: Easy.

### 9 Ordered Fields

**Definition 9.1** (Ordered Field). An *ordered field* is an ordered integral domain  $(D, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$  such that  $(D, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$  is a field.

**Theorem 9.2.** The quotient field F of an ordered integral domain D is an ordered field under: [(a,b)] is positive iff ab > 0 in D. The canonical imbedding  $D \hookrightarrow F$  is strictly monotone.

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let: D be an ordered integral domain and F its quotient field.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Define a fraction [(a,b)] to be positive iff ab > 0
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $a, b, c, d \in D$  with  $b \neq 0 \neq d$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $(a,b) \sim (c,d)$  and ab>0 Prove: cd>0
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . ad = bc
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Case: d > 0
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . abd > 0
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ .  $b^2 c > 0$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ c > 0$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ cd > 0$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . Case: d < 0
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . abd < 0
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ .  $b^2 c < 0$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 3.$  c < 0

```
\langle 3 \rangle 4. cd > 0
```

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . 0 is not positive.

PROOF: Since  $0 \times 1 \not > 0$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . For any  $x \in F$ , either x is positive or x = 0 or -x is positive.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let: x = [(a, b)]
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Either ab > 0 or ab = 0 or ab < 0
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . If ab < 0 then -x is positive.

PROOF: Since -x = [(-a, b)] and -ab > 0.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ . If x and y are positive then x + y is positive.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let: x = [(a, b)] and y = [(c, d)]
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume: ab > 0 and cd > 0
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ x + y = [(ad + bc, bd)]$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . (ad + bc)bd > 0
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$ . If x and y are positive then xy is positive.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let: x = [(a, b)] and y = [(c, d)]
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume: ab > 0 and cd > 0
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ xy = [(ac, bd)]$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . acbd > 0
- (1)7. For  $a, b \in D$ , if a < b then [(a, 1)] < [(b, 1)]

PROOF: We have [(a - b, 1)] is positive because a - b > 0.

**Corollary 9.2.1.** The rationals are an ordered field under p/q < r/s iff ps < rq for q, s positive.

**Theorem 9.3.** The relation p/q < r/s iff ps < qr for q, s positive is the only relation that makes  $\mathbb{Q}$  into an ordered field.

PROOF: If  $\mathbb{Q}$  is an ordered field under < then, for q, s positive:

$$p/q < r/s \Leftrightarrow ps < qr$$

 $\Leftrightarrow$ 

**Proposition 9.4.** In any ordered field, if  $x \neq 0$ , then x > 0 iff  $x^{-1} > 0$ .

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . If x > 0 then  $x^{-1} > 0$ 

PROOF: If  $x^{-1} \le 0$  then  $xx^{-1} = 1 \le 0$ .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If  $x^{-1} > 0$  then x > 0

PROOF: From  $\langle 1 \rangle 1$  since  $(x^{-1})^{-1} = x$ .

Corollary 9.4.1. In any ordered field, if  $x \neq 0$ , then x < 0 iff  $x^{-1} < 0$ .

**Proposition 9.5.** In any ordered field, if y > 0 and v > 0 then x/y < u/v iff xv = yu.

PROOF: Multiplying by yv or by  $y^{-1}v^{-1}$ .  $\square$ 

**Proposition 9.6.** In any ordered field, if  $y \neq 0$  then |x/y| = |x|/|y|.

PROOF: Since |x/y||y| = |x|.  $\square$ 

Corollary 9.6.1. In any ordered field, if  $y \neq 0$  then  $|y^{-1}| = 1/|y|$ .

**Proposition 9.7** (Density). In any ordered field, if x < y then x < (x+y)/2 < y.

PROOF: If x < y then 2x < x + y so x < (x + y)/2, and x + y < 2y so (x + y)/2 < y.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 9.8** (Cauchy-Schwarz Inequality). Let F be an ordered field. Let  $a_1, \ldots, a_n, b_1, \ldots, b_n \in F$ . Then

$$(a_1b_1 + \dots + a_nb_n)^2 \le (a_1^2 + \dots + a_n^2)(b_1^2 + \dots + b_n^2)$$
.

Proof

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{TROOT:} \\ \langle 1 \rangle 1. \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j^2 - 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i \right)^2 \\ \text{PROOF:} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (a_i b_j - a_j b_i)^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i^2 b_j^2 - 2 \sum_{i_1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_i b_j a_j b_i + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_j^2 b_i^2 \\ = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i^2 \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_j^2 - 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{n} a_i b_i \right)^2 \end{array}$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Q.E.D.

PROOF: Since a sum of squares must be  $\geq 0$ .

**Definition 9.9** (Cut). Let F be an ordered field. A cut in F is a pair (A, B) of subsets of F such that:

- 1. A and B are nonempty.
- $A \cup B = F$
- $3. \ \forall x \in A. \forall y \in B. x < y$

**Definition 9.10** (Gap). Let F be an ordered field. A gap in F is a cut (A, B) in F such that A has no maximum element and B has no minimum element.

**Proposition 9.11.** Let (A, B) be a cut in an ordered field F. Then (A, B) is a gap if and only if A has no supremum.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . If A has a supremum then (A, B) is not a gap.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let: s be the supremum of A
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Case:  $s \in A$

PROOF: In this case s is the maximum element of A.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Case:  $s \in B$ 

PROOF: In this case s is the minimum element of B.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If (A, B) is not a gap then A has a supremum.

PROOF: If A has a maximum element then it is a supremum of A, and if B has a minimum element then it is a supremum of A.

**Proposition 9.12.** Let (A, B) be a cut in an ordered field F. Then (A, B) is a gap if and only if B has no infimum.

Proof: Dual.

**Definition 9.13** (Cut Determined by an Element). Let F be an ordered field and  $c \in F$ . The cuts determined by c are  $(\{x \in F : x \le c\}, \{x \in F : x > c\})$  and  $(\{x \in F : x \le c\}, \{x \in F : x > c\})$ .

**Definition 9.14** (Complete Ordered Field). A *complete ordered field* is an ordered field with no gaps.

**Theorem 9.15.** Let F be an ordered field. The following are equivalent.

- 1. F is complete.
- 2. Every nonempty subset of F bounded above has a supremum.
- 3. Every nonempty subset of F bounded below has an infimum.

#### Proof:

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. \ 1 \Rightarrow 2
```

- $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: F is complete
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: A be a nonempty subset of F bounded above.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $A_1 = \{ x \in F : \exists y \in A . x \le y \}$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Let:  $B = F A_1$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ .  $(A_1, B)$  is a cut.
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1. \ A_1 \neq \emptyset$ 
    - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ . Pick  $a \in A$

PROOF: A is nonempty  $(\langle 2 \rangle 2)$ .

- $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ .  $a 1 \in A_1$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 2. \ B \neq \emptyset$ 
  - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ . PICK an upper bound u for A

PROOF: A is bounded above  $(\langle 2 \rangle 2)$ .

- $\langle 4 \rangle 2. \ u+1 \in B$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ .  $A_1 \cup B = F$

Proof: By  $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .

 $\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ \forall x \in A_1. \forall y \in B. x < y$ 

PROOF: If  $x \in A_1$  and  $y \leq x$  then  $y \in A_1$ .

 $\langle 2 \rangle 6$ .  $(A_1, B)$  is not a gap.

Proof: By  $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ .

- $\langle 2 \rangle$ 7. Case:  $A_1$  has a maximum element.
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let: s be the maximum of  $A_1$ .
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2. \ s \in A$ 
    - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ . PICK  $x \in A$  such that  $s \leq x$

```
\langle 4 \rangle 2. \ x \in A_1
          \langle 4 \rangle 3. \ x \leq s
             PROOF: By the maximality of s.
          \langle 4 \rangle 4. x = s
       \langle 3 \rangle 3. s is an upper bound for A.
          PROOF: Since A \subseteq A_1.
       \langle 3 \rangle 4. s is the maximum element of A.
       \langle 3 \rangle 5. s is the supremum of A.
   \langle 2 \rangle 8. Case: B has a minimum element.
       \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: s be the minimum element in B.
       \langle 3 \rangle 2. s is an upper bound for A
          PROOF: For all x \in A we have x \in A_1 and so x < s.
       \langle 3 \rangle 3. For any upper bound u for A we have s \leq u
          \langle 4 \rangle 1. Let: u be an upper bound for A.
          \langle 4 \rangle 2. \ u \notin A_1
              \langle 5 \rangle 1. Assume: for a contradiction u \in A_1
              \langle 5 \rangle 2. u < s
              \langle 5 \rangle 3. Pick y such that u < y < s
              \langle 5 \rangle 4. Case: y \in A_1
                  \langle 6 \rangle 1. PICK x \in A such that y \leq x
                  \langle 6 \rangle 2. u < x
                 \langle 6 \rangle3. Q.E.D.
                     Proof: This contradicts \langle 4 \rangle 1.
              \langle 5 \rangle 5. Case: y \in B
                 Proof: This contradicts \langle 3 \rangle 1.
          \langle 4 \rangle 3. \ u \in B
          \langle 4 \rangle 4. \ s \leq u
             PROOF: By minimality of s.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ 2 \Rightarrow 1
   PROOF: By Proposition 9.11
\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ 1 \Rightarrow 3
   PROOF: Similar to \langle 1 \rangle 1.
\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ 3 \Rightarrow 1
   Proof: By Proposition 9.12.
```

**Definition 9.16** (Archimedean). An ordered field F is *Archimedean* if and only if, for all positive  $x, y \in F$ , there exists  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$  such that nx > y.

Lemma 9.17. The rational numbers are Archimedean.

PROOF: Let p=a/b and r=c/d where a,b and d are positive. Let n=bc+1. Then bc < adn so r < pn.  $\square$ 

**Example 9.18.** The quotient field of  $\mathbb{Z}[x]$  is not Archimedean, since n1 < x for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ .

**Theorem 9.19.** Let F be an ordered field. Then F is Archimedean if and only if the set of integers in F is not bounded above.

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . If F is Archimedean then the set of integers in F is not bounded above.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 1. Assume: F is Archimedean.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . For every integer y in F, there exists an integer n such that n1 > y.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . The integers in F have no upper bound.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2.$  If the set of integers in F is not bounded above then F is Archimedean.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: The set of integers in F is not bounded above.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $x, y \in F$  be positive.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . PICK an integer n such that n1 > y/x

**Corollary 9.19.1.** Let F be an ordered field. Then F is Archimedean if and only if, for every positive  $z \in F$ , there exists a positive integer n such that 1/n < z.

**Theorem 9.20.** Let F be an Archimedean ordered field. Let  $x \in F$ . Then there exists a unique integer n such that  $n \le x < n + 1$ .

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . There exists an integer n such that  $n \leq x < n+1$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . PICK a positive integer j such that -x < j.

PROOF: By the Archimedean property applied to -x.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: h be the least positive integer such that x + j < h.

PROOF: By the Archimedean property applied to x + j.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Let: n = h j 1
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . x < n+1
- $\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ x \geq n$

PROOF: Since  $h-1 \le x+j$  by the minimality of h and the fact that 0 < x+i.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If m and n are integers with  $m \leq x < m+1$  and  $n \leq x < n+1$  then m=n
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1. \ m < n+1$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $m \leq n$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . n < m + 1
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ n \leq m$

**Definition 9.21** (Floor). In any Archimedean ordered field, the *floor* of x,  $\lfloor x \rfloor$ , is the integer such that  $\lfloor x \rfloor \leq x < \lfloor x \rfloor + 1$ .

**Theorem 9.22.** Every complete ordered field is Archimedean.

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let: F be a complete ordered field.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Assume: for a contradiction the integers of F are bounded above.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Let: u be the supremum of the integers of F
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ .  $u < \lfloor u \rfloor + 1$

```
\langle 1 \rangle 5. \lfloor u \rfloor + 1 \leq u
  PROOF: Since u is an upper bound for the integers of F.
\langle 1 \rangle 6. Q.E.D.
   Proof: This is a contradiction.
Definition 9.23 (Dense). Let F be an ordered field and A \subseteq F. Then A is
dense in F if and only if, for all x, y \in F with x < y, there exists z \in A with
Theorem 9.24. Let F be an ordered field. Then F is Archimedean if and only
if the rational numbers are dense in F.
\langle 1 \rangle 1. If F is Archimedean then the rationals are dense in F.
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: F be an Archimedean ordered field.
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. Let: x, y \in F with x < y.
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. Let: n be the least positive integer such that 1/n < y - x.
   \langle 2 \rangle 4. Let: k = \lfloor nx + 1 \rfloor
   \langle 2 \rangle 5. nx < k \le nx + 1
   \langle 2 \rangle 6. x < k/n < y
\langle 1 \rangle 2. If the rationals are dense in F then F is Archimedean.
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. Assume: The rationals are dense in F.
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. Let: x \in F
          PROVE: There exists an integer n such that x < n
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. Assume: w.l.o.g. x > 0
   \langle 2 \rangle 4. Pick
Lemma 9.25. Let F be an Archimedean ordered field. Let x \in F. Then x is
the supremum of A = \{q \in F : q \text{ is rational}, q < x\}.
Proof:
\langle 1 \rangle 1. x is an upper bound for A.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. For any upper bound u for A we have x \leq u
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: u be an upper bound for A.
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. Assume: for a contradiction u < x.
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. Pick a rational q with u < q < x.
```

## 10 The Real Numbers

PROOF: This contradicts  $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ .

PROOF: Theorem 9.24.  $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $q \in A$  and u < q

 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. Q.E.D.

**Definition 10.1** (Dedekind Cut). A real number or Dedekind cut is a subset x of  $\mathbb{Q}$  such that:

- 1.  $\emptyset \neq x \neq \mathbb{Q}$
- 2. x is closed downwards, i.e. for all  $q \in x$ , if  $r \in \mathbb{Q}$  and r < q then  $r \in x$ .
- 3. x has no largest member.

Let  $\mathbb{R}$  be the set of all real numbers.

**Definition 10.2.** For any rational number u, let  $u_{\mathbb{R}} = \{x \in \mathbb{Q} : x < u\}$ .

### **Proposition 10.3.** $\forall u \in \mathbb{Q}.u_{\mathbb{R}} \in \mathbb{R}$

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $u \in \mathbb{Q}$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ u_{\mathbb{R}} \neq \emptyset$ 

PROOF: Since  $u - 1 \in u_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ u_{\mathbb{R}} \neq \mathbb{Q}$ 

PROOF: Since  $u \notin u_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ .  $u_{\mathbb{R}}$  is closed downwards.

PROOF: If x < y < u then x < u.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ .  $u_{\mathbb{R}}$  has no largest member.

PROOF: If  $x \in u_{\mathbb{R}}$  then  $x < (x + u)/2 \in u_{\mathbb{R}}$ .

**Definition 10.4.** Given real numbers x and y, we write x < y iff  $x \subset y$ .

**Theorem 10.5.** The relation < is a linear ordering on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

PROOF: The only hard part is proving that, for any reals x and y, either  $x \subseteq y$  or  $y \subseteq x$ .

Suppose  $x \nsubseteq y$ . Pick  $q \in x$  such that  $q \notin y$ . Let  $r \in y$ . Then  $q \not< r$  (since y is closed downwards) therefore r < q. Hence  $r \in x$  (because x is closed downwards).  $\square$ 

**Theorem 10.6.** Any nonempty set A of reals bounded above has a least upper bound.

PROOF: We prove that  $\bigcup A$  is a Dedekind cut. It is then the least upper bound of A.

The set  $\bigcup A$  is nonempty because A is nonempty. Pick an upper bound r for A, and a rational  $q \notin r$ ; then  $q \notin \bigcup A$ , so  $\bigcup A \neq \mathbb{Q}$ .

 $\bigcup A$  is closed downwards because every member of A is closed downwards.

 $\bigcup_{\square} A$  has no largest member because every member of A has no largest member.

**Definition 10.7** (Addition).  $Addition + on \mathbb{R}$  is defined by:

$$x + y = \{q + r \mid q \in x, r \in y\}$$
.

We prove this is a Dedekind cut.

#### Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1. \ x + y \neq \emptyset$ 

PROOF: Pick  $q \in x$  and  $r \in y$ . Then  $q + r \in x + y$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .  $x + y \neq \mathbb{Q}$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Pick $q \in \mathbb{Q} x$  and  $r \in \mathbb{Q} y$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . For all  $q' \in x$  we have q' < q
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . For all  $r' \in y$  we have r' < r
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . For all  $q' \in x$  and  $r' \in y$  we have q' + r' < q + r
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ q + r \notin x + y$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . x + y is closed downwards.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $q \in x$  and  $r \in y$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: s < q + r
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . s q < r
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ s q \in y$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ .  $s = q + (s q) \in x + y$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . x + y has no largest member.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $q \in x$  and  $r \in y$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Pick  $q' \in x$  with q < q'
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Pick  $r' \in y$  with r < r'
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $q' + r' \in x + y$  and q + r < q' + r'

**Theorem 10.8.** Addition is associative and commutative.

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 10.9.** For every real x we have  $x + 0_{\mathbb{R}} = x$ .

### Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1. \ x + 0 \subseteq x$ 

PROOF: Let  $q \in x$  and  $r \in 0$ . Then q + r < q so  $q + r \in x$ .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .  $x \subseteq x + 0$ 

PROOF: Let  $q \in x$ . Pick  $r \in x$  such that q < r. Then  $q - r \in 0$  and  $q = r + (q - r) \in x + 0$ .

\_

**Definition 10.10.** For any real x, define

$$-x = \{r \in \mathbb{Q} : \exists s > r. - s \notin x\} .$$

We prove this is a Dedekind cut.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1. -x \neq \emptyset$ 

PROOF: Pick s such that  $s \notin x$ . Then  $-s - 1 \in -x$ .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2. -x \neq \mathbb{Q}$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Pick  $r \in x$ 

Prove:  $-r \notin -x$ 

```
\langle 2 \rangle 2. Assume: for a contradiction -r \in -x \langle 2 \rangle 3. Pick s > -r such that -s \notin x \langle 2 \rangle 4. -s < r \langle 2 \rangle 5. -s \in x \langle 2 \rangle 6. Q.E.D. Proof: This is a contradiction. \langle 1 \rangle 3. -x is closed downwards. Proof: Easy. \langle 1 \rangle 4. -x has no largest element. \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: r \in -x \langle 2 \rangle 2. Pick s > r such that -s \notin x \langle 2 \rangle 3. Pick q such that r < q < s
```

**Lemma 10.11.** Let  $\epsilon$  be a positive real number. For any real x, there exists  $q \in x$  such that  $q + \epsilon$  is an upper bound for x but not the least upper bound for x.

#### Proof:

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . r < q and  $q \in -x$ 

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . PICK a rational  $a_1 \in x$  such that if x has a least upper bound s then  $a_1 > s \epsilon$ .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Let: k be least such that  $a_1 + k\epsilon$  is an upper bound for x Proof: By Lemma 9.17.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ .  $a_1 + k\epsilon$  is an upper bound for x that is not the least upper bound for  $x \langle 1 \rangle 4$ .  $a_1 + (k-1)\epsilon \in x$

**Theorem 10.12.** For any real x we have x + (-x) = 0.

#### Proof:

```
\begin{array}{l} \langle 1 \rangle 1. \  \, x + (-x) \subseteq 0 \\ \langle 2 \rangle 1. \  \, \text{Let:} \  \, q \in x \  \, \text{and} \  \, r \in -x \\ \langle 2 \rangle 2. \  \, \text{Pick} \  \, s > r \  \, \text{such that} \  \, -s \notin x \\ \langle 2 \rangle 3. \  \, q < -s \\ \langle 2 \rangle 4. \  \, q < -r \\ \langle 2 \rangle 5. \  \, q + r < 0 \\ \langle 1 \rangle 2. \  \, 0 \subseteq x + (-x) \\ \langle 2 \rangle 1. \  \, \text{Let:} \  \, p < 0 \\ \langle 2 \rangle 2. \  \, \text{Pick} \  \, q \in x \  \, \text{such that} \  \, q - p/2 \notin x \\ \text{Proof:} \  \, \text{By Lemma 10.11.} \\ \langle 2 \rangle 3. \  \, \text{Let:} \  \, s = p/2 - q \\ \langle 2 \rangle 4. \  \, -s \notin x \\ \langle 2 \rangle 5. \  \, p - q \in -x \\ \text{Proof:} \  \, \text{Since} \  \, p - q < s \  \, \text{and} \  \, -s \notin x. \\ \langle 2 \rangle 6. \  \, p = q + (p - q) \in x + (-x) \\ \end{array}
```

Theorem 10.13. The reals form an Abelian group under addition.

Proof: Easy.

**Theorem 10.14.** For any real z, the function that maps x to x + z is strictly monotone.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Assume: x < y
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .  $x + z \subseteq y + z$

PROOF: From the definition.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ x + z \neq y + z$ 

PROOF: By cancellation.

П

**Definition 10.15** (Absolute Value). The absolute value of a real number x is

$$|x| = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x \ge 0\\ -x & \text{if } x \le 0 \end{cases}$$

**Definition 10.16** (Multiplication). Given real numbers x, y, define the real xy by:

• If  $x \ge 0$  and  $y \ge 0$  then

$$xy = 0 \cup \{rs : 0 \le r \in x, 0 \le s \in y\}$$

- If  $x \ge 0$  and y < 0 then xy = -(x(-y))
- If x < 0 and  $y \ge 0$  then xy = -((-x)y)
- If x < 0 and y < 0 then xy = (-x)(-y)

We prove this is a Dedekind cut.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $x \geq 0$  and  $y \geq 0$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ xy \neq \emptyset$

PROOF: Since  $-1 \in xy$ 

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ xy \neq \mathbb{Q}$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Pick  $r \in \mathbb{Q} x$  and  $s \in \mathbb{Q} y$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2.$  For all r' with  $0 \le r' \in x$  and s' with  $0 \le s' \in y$  we have r' < r and s' < s so r's' < rs
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ .  $rs \notin xy$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . xy is closed downwards.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $q \in xy$  and r < q
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $0 \le r$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . PICK rationals a, b with  $0 \le a \in x$  and  $0 \le b \in y$  such that q = ab
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $a \neq 0$  or  $b \neq 0$

```
PROOF: Since q \neq 0 because 0 \leq r < q.
   \langle 2 \rangle5. Assume: w.l.o.g. a \neq 0
   \langle 2 \rangle 6. r/a < b
   \langle 2 \rangle 7. r/a \in y
   \langle 2 \rangle 8. \ r = a(r/a) \in xy
\langle 1 \rangle 5. xy has no greatest element.
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: q \in xy
            PROVE: There exists r \in xy such that q < r
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. Assume: w.l.o.g. 0 \le q
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. PICK rationals a and b with 0 \le a \in x and 0 \le b \in y such that q = ab
   \langle 2 \rangle 4. Pick rationals a' and b' with a < a' \in x and b < b' \in y
   \langle 2 \rangle 5. \ q < a'b' \in xy
Theorem 10.17. Multiplication is commutative and associative.
Proof: Easy. \square
Theorem 10.18.
                                   \forall x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}.x(y+z) = xy + xz
Proof:
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}
\langle 1 \rangle 2. Case: x, y, z > 0
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. xy > 0
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. xz > 0
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ y+z>0
   \langle 2 \rangle 4. x(y+z) > 0
   \langle 2 \rangle 5. xy + xz > 0
   \langle 2 \rangle 6. \ \ x(y+z) \subseteq xy+xz
      \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: q \in x(y+z)
      \langle 3 \rangle 2. Assume: w.l.o.g. 0 < q
          PROOF: Otherwise q \in xy and 0 \in xz so q \in xy + xz
       \langle 3 \rangle 3. PICK a \in x, b \in y and c \in z such that 0 < a, 0 < b+c and q = a(b+c)
       \langle 3 \rangle 4. ab \in xy
          \langle 4 \rangle 1. Case: b \leq 0
             PROOF: Then ab \leq 0 so ab \in xy
          \langle 4 \rangle 2. Case: b > 0
             PROOF: Then ab \in xy by definition.
       \langle 3 \rangle 5. ac \in xz
          PROOF: Similar.
       \langle 3 \rangle 6. \ q \in xy + xz
   \langle 2 \rangle 7. xy + xz \subseteq x(y+z)
      \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: q \in xy + xz
       \langle 3 \rangle 2. Case: \exists a, a_1 \in x. \exists b \in y. \exists c \in z. (a, b, c, a_1 > 0 \land q = ab + a_1c)
          \langle 4 \rangle 1. Let: a_2 = \max(a, a_1)
```

 $\langle 4 \rangle 2. \ \ q \leq a_2(b+c)$ 

```
\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ \ q \in x(y+z)
      \langle 3 \rangle 3. Case: \exists a \in x. \exists b \in y. \exists u \leq 0. q = ab + u
         \langle 4 \rangle 1. ab + u \leq ab
         \langle 4 \rangle 2. ab + u \in xy
         \langle 4 \rangle 3. Case: ab + u \leq 0
            Proof: ab + u \in x(y + z)
         \langle 4 \rangle 4. Case: ab + u > 0
            \langle 5 \rangle 1. Pick a' \in x, b' \in y such that 0 < a', 0 < b' and ab + u = a'b'
             \langle 5 \rangle 2. \ b' \in y + z
            \langle 5 \rangle 3. \ a'b' \in x(y+z)
      \langle 3 \rangle 4. Case: \exists u \leq 0 . \exists a \in x . \exists c \in z . q = u + ac
         PROOF: Similar.
      \langle 3 \rangle 5. Case: \exists u, u' \leq 0.q = u + u'
         \langle 4 \rangle 1. \ u + u' \leq 0
          \langle 4 \rangle 2. \ u + u' \in x(y+z)
\langle 1 \rangle 3. Case: x = 0 or y = 0 or z = 0
  PROOF: Then x(y+z) = xy + xz = 0
\langle 1 \rangle 4. Case: x < 0 and y > 0 and z > 0
  Proof:
                      x(y+z) = -((-x)(y+z))
                                  = -((-x)y + (-x)z)
                                                                                   (\langle 1 \rangle 2)
                                   = -(-(xy) + -(xz))
                                   = xy + xz
\langle 1 \rangle5. Case: x > 0 and y < 0 and z > 0
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ z = -y
      \langle 3 \rangle 1. x(y+z)=0
      \langle 3 \rangle 2. xy + xz = 0
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. z > -y
      Proof:
                   xy + xz = xy + (x(-y + y + z))
                               = -(x(-y)) + x(-y) + x(y+z)
                                                                                         (\langle 1 \rangle 2)
                               =x(y+z)
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ z < -y
      Proof:
                      xy + xz = -(x(-y)) + xz
                                  = -(x(z - y - z)) + xz
                                  = -(xz + x(-y - z)) + xz
                                                                                       (\langle 1 \rangle 2)
                                  = -xz - x(-y-z) + xz
                                  =-x(-y-z)
```

=x(y+z)

 $\langle 1 \rangle 6$ . Case: x > 0 and y < 0 and z < 0

Proof:

$$x(y+z) = -(x(-y-z))$$

$$= -(x(-y)) - (x(-z))$$

$$= xy + xz$$

$$(\langle 1 \rangle 2)$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 7$ . Case: x < 0 and y < 0 and z > 0

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Case: y = -z

PROOF: Then x(y+z) = xy + xz = 0.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Case: y > -z

Proof:

$$x(y+z) = -((-x)(y+z))$$

$$= -((-x)y) - ((-x)z)$$

$$= - - ((-x)(-y)) + xz$$

$$= xy + xz$$
(\langle 1\rangle 5)

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Case: y < -z

Proof:

$$x(y+z) = (-x)(-y-z) = (-x)(-y) + (-x)(-z) = xy + xz$$
 (\langle 1\rangle 5)

 $\langle 1 \rangle 8$ . Case: x < 0 and y < 0 and z < 0

PROOF:

$$x(y+z) = (-x)(-y-z) = (-x)(-y) + (-x)(-z) = xy + xz$$
 (\langle 1\rangle 2)

**Definition 10.19.** The real number *one* is  $1 = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} : q < 1\}$ . It is easy to check this is a Dedekind cut.

**Theorem 10.20.**  $0 \neq 1$ 

PROOF:  $0 \in 1$  and  $0 \notin 0$ .

**Theorem 10.21.** For any real x, x1 = x.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ 

Prove: x1 = x

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Case:  $0 \le x$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1. \ x1 \subseteq x$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $q \in x1$ 

Prove:  $q \in x$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Case: q < 0

PROOF: Then  $q \in x$  because  $0 \le x$ .

 $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . Case: There exist nonnegative rationals  $r \in x, s \in 1$  such that q = rs Proof: Then  $q < r \in x$  so  $q \in x$ .

```
\langle 2 \rangle 2. x \subseteq x1
       \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: q \in x
       \langle 3 \rangle 2. Assume: w.l.o.g. 0 \leq q
       \langle 3 \rangle 3. Pick r \in x with q < r
       \langle 3 \rangle 4. \ 0 \le q/r < 1
       \langle 3 \rangle 5. \ q = r(q/r) \in x1
\langle 1 \rangle 3. Case: x < 0
   PROOF: Then x1 = -((-x)1) = -(-x) = x.
Theorem 10.22. For any nonzero real x, there is a nonzero real y such that
xy = 1.
Proof:
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Case: x > 0
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: y = \{q \in \mathbb{Q} : q \leq 0\} \cup \{1/q : q \text{ is an upper bound of } x \text{ but not the least upper bound of } x\}
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. \ y \in \mathbb{R}
      \langle 3 \rangle 1. \ y \neq \emptyset
          PROOF: Since -1 \in y.
       \langle 3 \rangle 2. \ y \neq \mathbb{Q}
          PROOF: Pick a positive integer q \in x. Then 1/q \notin y.
       \langle 3 \rangle 3. y is closed downwards.
          Proof: Easy.
       \langle 3 \rangle 4. y has no largest member.
          \langle 4 \rangle 1. Let: q \in y
                   PROVE: There exists r \in y such that q < r
          \langle 4 \rangle 2. Case: q \leq 0
             \langle 5 \rangle 1. PICK a rational r that is an upper bound of x but not the least
                      upper bound of x
              \langle 5 \rangle 2. \ q < 1/r \in y
          \langle 4 \rangle 3. Case: q > 0
              \langle 5 \rangle 1. 1/q is an upper bound of x but not the least upper bound of x
              \langle 5 \rangle 2. Pick r < 1/q such that r is an upper bound of x but not the
                      least upper bound of x
              \langle 5 \rangle 3. \ q < 1/r \in y
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ 0 < y
      PROOF: Easy
   \langle 2 \rangle 4. xy = 1
      \langle 3 \rangle 1. \ xy \subseteq 1
          \langle 4 \rangle 1. Let: q \in xy
          \langle 4 \rangle 2. Assume: w.l.o.g. q > 0
          \langle 4 \rangle 3. PICK r \in x and s \in y such that r > 0, s > 0 and q = rs
          \langle 4 \rangle 4. 1/s is an upper bound of x
          \langle 4 \rangle 5. r < 1/s
          \langle 4 \rangle 6. \ rs < 1
       \langle 3 \rangle 2. 1 \subseteq xy
          \langle 4 \rangle 1. Let: q be a rational with 0 < q < 1
```

```
 \langle 4 \rangle 2. \text{ PICK } r \in x \text{ with } 0 < r   \langle 4 \rangle 3. \ (1-q)r > 0   \langle 4 \rangle 4. \text{ PICK } a \in x \text{ such that } a > 0 \text{ and } a + (1-q)r \text{ is an upper bound for } x \text{ but not the least upper bound for } x   \langle 4 \rangle 5. \text{ Let: } w = a + (1-q)r   \langle 4 \rangle 6. \ w - a = (1-q)r < (1-q)w   \langle 4 \rangle 7. \ qw < a   \langle 4 \rangle 8. \ w < a/q   \langle 4 \rangle 9. \ a/q \text{ is an upper bound of } x \text{ and not the least upper bound of } x.   \langle 4 \rangle 10. \ q/a \in y   \langle 4 \rangle 11. \ q = a(q/a) \in xy   \langle 1 \rangle 2. \text{ Case: } x < 0   \langle 2 \rangle 1. \text{ PICK } y \text{ such that } (-x)y = 1   \text{ PROOF: By } \langle 1 \rangle 1.   \langle 2 \rangle 2. \ x(-y) = 1
```

**Theorem 10.23.** For any positive real z, the function that maps x to xz is strictly monotone.

```
PROOF: \langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: 0 < z and x < y \langle 1 \rangle 2. y - x > 0 \langle 1 \rangle 3. z(y - x) > 0 Proof: Definition of multiplication. \langle 1 \rangle 4. zx < zy
```

# 11 Complete Ordered Fields

**Definition 11.1** (Complete Ordered Field). An ordered field is *complete* iff it has the least upper bound property.

**Theorem 11.2.** The reals form a complete ordered field.

PROOF: From the results above.

**Theorem 11.3.** Any two complete ordered fields are isomorphic.

PROOF: See A. Gleason. Fundamentals of Abstract Analysis p. 110.

**Theorem 11.4.** Define  $E:\mathbb{Q}\to\mathbb{R}$  by  $E(q)=\{p\in\mathbb{Q}:p< q\}$ . Then E is one-to-one and

1. 
$$E(q+r) = E(q) + E(r)$$

2. 
$$E(qr) = E(q)E(r)$$

3. 
$$E(0) = 0$$

```
4. E(1) = 1
   5. q < r \text{ iff } E(q) < E(r)
\langle 1 \rangle 1. For all q \in \mathbb{Q}, E(q) is a Dedekind cut.
   Proof: Easy.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ \forall q, r \in \mathbb{Q}. E(q+r) = E(q) + E(r)
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: q, r \in \mathbb{Q}
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. E(q+r) \subseteq E(q) + E(r)
       \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: t \in E(q+r)
       \langle 3 \rangle 2. Let: \epsilon = (r+s-t)/2
       \langle 3 \rangle 3. \ \epsilon > 0
       \langle 3 \rangle 4. Let: p = r - \epsilon
       \langle 3 \rangle 5. Let: q = s - \epsilon
       \langle 3 \rangle 6. \ p < r
       \langle 3 \rangle 7. \ q < s
       \langle 3 \rangle 8. \ p+q=t
       \langle 3 \rangle 9. \ t \in E(r) + E(s)
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ E(q) + E(r) \subseteq E(q+r)
       PROOF: If p < q and s < r then p + s < q + r.
\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \forall q, r \in \mathbb{Q}.E(qr) = E(q)E(r)
   PROOF: TODO
\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ E(0) = 0
   PROOF: By definition.
\langle 1 \rangle 5. \ E(1) = 1
   PROOF: By definition.
\langle 1 \rangle 6. E is strictly monotone.
   PROOF: If q < r then E(q) \subseteq E(r) by transitivity of < on \mathbb{Q}, and E(q) \neq E(r)
   because q \in E(r) and q \notin E(q).
```

**Theorem 11.5** (Cantor 1873). The set  $\omega$  is not equinumerous with  $\mathbb{R}$ .

#### Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $f : \omega \to \mathbb{R}$ 

PROVE: f is not surjective.

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 2. Let: z be the real number between 0 and 1 whose n+1st decimal place is 7 unless the n+1st decimal place of f(n) is 7, in which case it is 6

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \forall n \in \omega. f(n) \neq z$