# C1 Set Theory

### Robin Adams

September 9, 2022

# 1 Primitive Notions

Let there be sets.

Let there be a binary relation called *membership*,  $\in$ . When  $x \in y$  holds, we say x is a *member* or *element* of y. We write  $x \notin y$  iff x is not a member of y.

**Definition 1** (Transitive Set). A set A is a *transitive set* iff every member of a member of A is a member of A.

# 2 The Axioms

**Axiom 2** (Extensionality). If two sets have exactly the same members, then they are equal.

As a consequence of this axiom, we may identify a set A with the class  $\{x:x\in A\}$ . The use of the symbols  $\in$  and = is consistent.

**Definition 3.** We say that a class **A** is a set iff there exists a set A such that  $A = \mathbf{A}$ . That is, the class  $\{x : P(x)\}$  is a set iff

$$\exists A. \forall x (x \in A \leftrightarrow P(x))$$
.

Otherwise, **A** is a proper class.

**Definition 4** (Subset). If A is a set and **B** is a class, we say A is a *subset* of **B** iff  $A \subseteq \mathbf{B}$ .

**Axiom 5** (Empty Set). The empty class is a set, called the empty set.

**Axiom 6** (Replacement). For any property P(x,y), the following is an axiom: Let A be a set. Assume that, for all  $x \in A$ , there is at most one y such that P(x,y). Then  $\{y : \exists x \in A.P(x,y)\}$  is a set.

**Definition 7** (Power Set). For any set A, the *power set* of A,  $\mathcal{P}A$ , is the class of all subsets of A.

**Axiom 8** (Power Set). For any set A, the class PA is a set.

**Theorem 9** (Pairing). For any objects a and b, the class  $\{a,b\}$  is a set, called a pair set.

PROOF: Let a and b be sets. Let P(x,y) be the formula  $(x = \emptyset \& y = a)$  or  $(x = \mathcal{P}\emptyset \& y = b)$ . Then we have  $(\forall x \in \mathcal{PP}\emptyset) \forall y_1 \forall y_2 (P(x,y_1) \& P(x,y_2) \Rightarrow y_1 = y_2)$ , hence there exists a set c such that

$$\forall y (y \in c \Leftrightarrow (\exists x \in \mathcal{PP}\emptyset) P(x, y))$$

The members of c are just a and b.  $\square$ 

**Definition 10** (Union). For any class of sets **A**, the *union*  $\bigcup$  **A** is the class  $\{x: \exists A \in \mathbf{A}. x \in A\}.$ 

We write  $\bigcup_{P[x_1,\ldots,x_n]} t[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$  for  $\bigcup \{t[x_1,\ldots,x_n]: P[x_1,\ldots,x_n]\}$ .

**Proposition 11.** *If*  $A \subseteq B$  *then*  $\bigcup A \subseteq \bigcup B$ .

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Axiom 12** (Union). For any set A, the union  $\bigcup A$  is a set.

**Proposition 13.** For any sets A and B, the class  $A \cup B$  is a set.

PROOF: It is  $\bigcup \{A, B\}$ .  $\square$ 

**Proposition Schema 14.** For any objects  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ , the class  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  is a set.

PROOF: By repeated application of the Pairing and Union axioms.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 15** (Subset Axioms, Aussonderung). For any class **A** and set B, if  $\mathbf{A} \subseteq B$  then **A** is a set.

PROOF: Let Q(x,y) be the formula  $x \in \mathbf{A} \land y = x$ . Now we reason as follows. Let c be any set. Then we have

$$(\forall x \in B) \forall y_1 \forall y_2 (Q(x, y_1) \& Q(x, y_2) \Rightarrow y_1 = y_2)$$

Then, by a Replacement Axiom, there exists a set c such that

$$\forall y (y \in c \Leftrightarrow (\exists x \in B)Q(x,y))$$
.

This is equivalent to  $\forall x (x \in c \Leftrightarrow x \in \mathbf{A})$ .  $\square$ 

**Proposition 16.** For any set A and class B, the intersection  $A \cap B$  is a set.

PROOF: By the Subset Axiom since it is a subclass of A.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 17.** For any set A and class **B**, the relative complement  $A - \mathbf{B}$  is a set.

PROOF: By the Subset Axiom since it is a subclass of A.  $\sqcup$ 

Theorem 18. The universal class V is a proper class.

PROOF

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Assume: **V** is a set.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $R = \{x : x \notin x\}$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . R is a set.

PROOF: By the Subset Axiom.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ .  $R \in R$  if and only if  $R \notin R$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ . Q.E.D.

PROOF: This is a contradiction.

П

**Definition 19** (Intersection). For any class of sets **A**, the *intersection*  $\bigcap$  **A** is the class  $\{x : \forall A \in \mathbf{A}. x \in A\}$ .

We write  $\bigcap_{P[x_1,...,x_n]} t[x_1,...,x_n]$  for  $\bigcap \{t[x_1,...,x_n]: P[x_1,...,x_n]\}$ .

**Proposition 20.** For any nonempty class of sets A, the class  $\bigcap A$  is a set.

PROOF: Pick  $A \in \mathbf{A}$ . Then  $\bigcap \mathbf{A} \subseteq A$ .  $\square$ 

**Proposition 21.** *If*  $A \subseteq B$  *then*  $\bigcap B \subseteq \bigcap A$ .

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 22.** For any set A and class of sets B, we have

$$A \cup \bigcap \mathbf{B} = \bigcap \{A \cup X \mid X \in \mathbf{B}\}\$$

Proof: Easy.

Proposition 23. For any set A and class of sets B, we have

$$A \cap \bigcup \mathbf{B} = \bigcup \{A \cap X \mid X \in \mathbf{B}\}\$$

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 24.** For any set C and class of sets A, we have

$$C - \bigcup \mathbf{A} = \bigcap \{C - X \mid X \in \mathbf{A}\} \ .$$

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 25.** For any set C and class of sets A, we have

$$C - \bigcap \mathbf{A} = \bigcup \{C - X \mid X \in \mathbf{A}\} .$$

Proof: Easy.

**Axiom 26** (Regularity). For every nonempty set A, there exists  $m \in A$  such that  $m \cap A = \emptyset$ .

Theorem 27. No set is a member of itself.

PROOF: If  $A \in A$  then there is no  $m \in \{A\}$  such that  $m \cap \{A\} = \emptyset$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 28.** There are no sets a and b with  $a \in b$  and  $b \in a$ .

PROOF: If there were, then there would be no  $m \in \{a,b\}$  such that  $m \cap \{a,b\} = \emptyset$ .

# 3 Ordered Pairs

**Definition 29** (Ordered Pair). For any objects a and b, the ordered pair (a, b) is  $\{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$ . We call a its first coordinate and b its second coordinate.

**Theorem 30.** For any objects (a,b), we have (a,b) = (c,d) if and only if a = c and b = d.

Proof:

$$\langle 1 \rangle 1$$
. If  $(a,b) = (c,d)$  then  $a = c$  and  $b = d$ 

$$\langle 2 \rangle 1$$
. Assume:  $(a,b) = (c,d)$ 

$$\langle 2 \rangle 2$$
.  $a = c$ 

PROOF: Since 
$$\{a\} = \bigcap (a, b) = \bigcap (c, d) = \{c\}.$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \{a,b\} = \{c,d\}$$

PROOF: 
$$\{a, b\} = \bigcup (a, b) = \bigcup (c, d) = \{c, d\}.$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 4$$
.  $b = c$  or  $b = d$ 

$$\langle 2 \rangle$$
5. Case:  $b = c$ 

$$\langle 3 \rangle 1$$
.  $a = b$ 

$$\langle 3 \rangle 2$$
.  $\{c,d\} = \{a\}$ 

$$\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ \ b = d$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 6$$
. Case:  $b = d$ 

PROOF: We have a = c and b = d as required.

$$\langle 1 \rangle 2$$
. If  $a = c$  and  $b = d$  then  $(a, b) = (c, d)$ 

PROOF: Trivial.

**Definition 31** (Cartesian Product). The *Cartesian product* of classes **A** and **B** is the class

$$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbf{A}, y \in \mathbf{B}\}$$
.

**Lemma 32.** For any objects x and y and set C, if  $x \in C$  and  $y \in C$  then  $(x,y) \in \mathcal{PPC}$ .

Proof: Easy.

**Corollary 32.1.** For any sets A and B, the Cartesian product  $A \times B$  is a set.

PROOF: By the Subset Axiom applied to  $\mathcal{PP}(A \cup B)$ .  $\square$ 

**Lemma 33.** If  $(x, y) \in \mathbf{A}$  then  $x, y \in \bigcup \bigcup \mathbf{A}$ .

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

# 4 Relations

**Definition 34** (Relation). A *relation* is a class of ordered pairs. It is *small* iff it is a set.

When **R** is a relation, we write  $x\mathbf{R}y$  for  $(x,y) \in \mathbf{R}$ .

**Definition 35** (Domain). The *domain* of a class **R** is dom **R** =  $\{x : \exists y . (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}\}.$ 

**Definition 36** (Range). The range of a class **R** is ran  $\mathbf{R} = \{y : \exists x . (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}\}.$ 

**Definition 37** (Field). The *field* of a class **R** is fld  $\mathbf{R} = \operatorname{dom} \mathbf{R} \cup \operatorname{ran} \mathbf{R}$ .

**Proposition 38.** If R is a set then dom R, ran R and fld R are sets.

PROOF: Apply the Subset Axiom to  $\bigcup \bigcup R$ .  $\square$ 

**Definition 39** (Single-Rooted). A class **R** is *single-rooted* iff, for all  $y \in \operatorname{ran} \mathbf{R}$ , there is only one x such that  $x\mathbf{R}y$ .

**Definition 40** (Inverse). The *inverse* of a class  $\mathbf{F}$  is the class  $\mathbf{F}^{-1} = \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in \mathbf{F}\}.$ 

**Theorem 41.** For any class  $\mathbf{F}$ , we have dom  $\mathbf{F}^{-1} = \operatorname{ran} \mathbf{F}$  and  $\operatorname{ran} \mathbf{F}^{-1} = \operatorname{dom} \mathbf{F}$ .

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 42.** For a relation  $\mathbf{F}$ ,  $(\mathbf{F}^{-1})^{-1} = \mathbf{F}$ .

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Definition 43** (Composition). The *composition* of classes **F** and **G** is the class  $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F} = \{(x, z) \mid \exists y. (x, y) \in \mathbf{F} \land (y, z) \in \mathbf{G}\}.$ 

**Theorem 44.** For any classes  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{G}$ ,  $(\mathbf{F} \circ \mathbf{G})^{-1} = \mathbf{G}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{F}^{-1}$ .

Proof: Easy.

**Definition 45** (Restriction). The *restriction* of the class **F** to the class **A** is the class **F**  $\upharpoonright$  **A** =  $\{(x,y): x \in A \land (x,y) \in \mathbf{F}\}.$ 

**Definition 46** (Image). The *image* of the class **A** under the class **F** is the class  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{A}) = \{y : \exists x \in \mathbf{A}.(x,y) \in \mathbf{F}\}.$ 

Theorem 47.

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{A}) \cup \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B})$$

Proof: Easy.

Theorem 48.

$$\mathbf{F}(\c|\ \mathbf{J}\mathbf{A}) = \c|\ \mathbf{J}\{\mathbf{F}(X) : X \in \mathbf{A}\}$$

Proof: Easy.

Theorem 49.

$$F(A \cap B) \subseteq F(A) \cap F(B)$$

Equality holds if  $\mathbf{F}$  is single-rooted.

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

Theorem 50.

$$\mathbf{F}(\bigcap \mathbf{A}) \subseteq \bigcap \{ \mathbf{F}(X) : X \in \mathbf{A} \}$$

Equality holds if **F** is single-rooted.

Proof: Easy.

Theorem 51.

$$\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{A}) - \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{B}) \subseteq \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B})$$

Equality holds if **F** is single-rooted.

Proof: Easy.

**Definition 52** (Reflexive). A binary relation **R** on **A** is *reflexive* on **A** if and only if  $\forall x \in \mathbf{A}.x\mathbf{R}x$ .

**Definition 53** (Symmetric). A binary relation **R** is *symmetric* iff, whenever  $x\mathbf{R}y$ , then  $y\mathbf{R}x$ .

**Definition 54** (Transitive). A binary relation **R** is *transitive* iff, whenever  $x\mathbf{R}y$  and  $y\mathbf{R}z$ , then  $x\mathbf{R}z$ .

**Definition 55** (Minimal). Let R be a relation on D. An element  $m \in D$  is R-minimal iff there is no  $x \in D$  such that x < m.

# 5 *n*-ary Relations

**Definition 56.** Given objects a, b, c, define the *ordered triple* (a, b, c) to be ((a, b), c).

Define (a, b, c, d) = ((a, b, c), d), etc.

Define the 1-tuple (a) to be a.

**Definition 57** (n-ary Relation). Given a class A, an n-ary relation on A is a class of ordered n-tuples, all of whose components are in A.

# 6 Functions

**Definition 58** (Function). A function is a relation  $\mathbf{F}$  such that, for all  $x \in \text{dom } \mathbf{F}$ , there is only one y such that  $x\mathbf{F}y$ . We call this unique y the value of  $\mathbf{F}$  at x and denote it by  $\mathbf{F}(x)$ .

We say **F** is a function from **A** into **B**, or **F** maps **A** into **B**, and write  $\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{B}$ , iff **F** is a function, dom  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{A}$ , and ran  $\mathbf{F} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$ .

If, in addition, ran  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{B}$ , we say  $\mathbf{F}$  is a function from  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{B}$ .

**Theorem 59.** For a class  $\mathbf{F}$ ,  $\mathbf{F}^{-1}$  is a function if and only if  $\mathbf{F}$  is single-rooted.

Proof: Easy.

**Theorem 60.** A relation  $\mathbf{F}$  is a function if and only if  $\mathbf{F}^{-1}$  is single-rooted.

Proof: Easy.

Theorem 61. For any function G and classes A and B,

$$\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\bigcup \mathbf{A}) = \bigcup \{ \mathbf{G}^{-1}(X) : X \in \mathbf{A} \}$$

$$\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\bigcap \mathbf{A}) = \bigcap \{ \mathbf{G}^{-1}(X) : X \in \mathbf{A} \}$$
 (if  $\mathbf{A} \neq \emptyset$ )
$$\mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}) = \mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{A}) - \mathbf{G}^{-1}(\mathbf{B})$$

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 62.** Assume that  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{G}$  are functions. Then  $\mathbf{F} \circ \mathbf{G}$  is a function, its domain is  $\{x \in \text{dom } \mathbf{G} : \mathbf{G}(x) \in \text{dom } \mathbf{F}\}$ , and for x in its domain,

$$(\mathbf{F} \circ \mathbf{G})(x) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{G}(x))$$
.

Proof: Easy.

**Definition 63** (One-to-one). A function F is one-to-one or an injection iff it is single-rooted.

**Theorem 64.** Let **F** be a one-to-one function. For  $x \in \text{dom } \mathbf{F}$ ,  $\mathbf{F}^{-1}(\mathbf{F}(x)) = x$ .

Proof: Easy.

**Theorem 65.** Let **F** be a one-to-one function. For  $y \in \operatorname{ran} \mathbf{F}$ ,  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{F}^{-1}(y)) = y$ .

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Definition 66** (Identity Function). For any class **A**, the *identity* function on **A** is  $id_{\mathbf{A}} = \{(x, x) \mid x \in \mathbf{A}\}.$ 

**Theorem 67.** Let  $F: A \to B$ . Assume  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Then F has a left inverse (i.e. there exists  $G: B \to A$  such that  $G \circ F = \mathrm{id}_A$ ) if and only if F is one-to-one.

Proof

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . If F is one-to-one then F has a left inverse.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: F is one-to-one.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $F^{-1} : \operatorname{ran} F \to A$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Pick  $a \in A$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Define  $G: B \to A$  by:

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} F^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x \in \operatorname{ran} F \\ a & \text{if } x \in B - \operatorname{ran} F \end{cases}$$

- $\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ \forall x \in A.G(F(x)) = x$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If F has a left inverse then F is one-to-one.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: F has a left inverse G.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $x, y \in A$  with F(x) = F(y)
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ x = y$

PROOF: x = G(F(x)) = G(F(y)) = y.

**Definition 68** (Binary Operation). A binary operation on a set A is a function from  $A \times A$  into A.

# 7 The Axiom of Choice

**Axiom 69** (Choice). For any relation R there exists a function  $H \subseteq R$  with dom H = dom R.

**Theorem 70.** Let  $F: A \to B$ . Then F has a right inverse if and only if F maps A onto B.

#### PROOF:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . If F has a right inverse then F maps A onto B.
  - PROOF: If  $H: B \to A$  is a right inverse, then for any y in B, we have y = F(H(y)).
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If F maps A onto B then F has a right inverse.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: F maps A onto B.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . PICK a function H with  $H \subseteq F^{-1}$  and dom  $H = \text{dom } F^{-1}$  PROOF: By the Axiom of Choice.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . dom H = B

PROOF: dom  $H = \text{dom } F^{-1} = \text{ran } F = B \text{ by } \langle 2 \rangle 1.$ 

- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . For all  $y \in B$  we have F(H(y)) = y
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $y \in B$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2. \ (y,H(y)) \in F^{-1}$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . F(H(y)) = y

# 8 Sets of Functions

**Definition 71.** Let A be a set and **B** be a class. Then  $\mathbf{B}^A$  is the class of all functions  $A \to \mathbf{B}$ .

# 9 Dependent Products

**Definition 72.** Let I be a set and  $H_i$  a set for all  $i \in I$ . Define

$$\prod_{i \in I} H_i = \{f : f \text{ is a function}, \text{dom } f = I, \forall i \in I. f(i) \in H_i \} .$$

**Theorem 73.** The Axiom of Choice is equivalent to the statement: For any set I and any function H with domain I, if  $H(i) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i \in I$ , then  $\prod_{i \in I} H(i) \neq \emptyset$ 

## Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . If the Axiom of Choice is true then, for any set I and any function H with domain I, if  $H(i) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i \in I$ , then  $\prod_{i \in I} H(i) \neq \emptyset$ .
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: The Axiom of Choice.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: I be a set.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Let: H be a function with domain I.

```
\langle 2 \rangle 4. Assume: H(i) \neq \emptyset for all i \in I.
```

- $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . Let:  $R = \{(i, x) : i \in I, x \in H(i)\}$
- (2)6. PICK a function  $F \subseteq R$  with dom F = dom RPROVE:  $F \in \prod_{i \in I} H(i)$

PROOF: By the Axiom of Choice.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 7$ . dom H = I

PROOF: We have dom R = I since for all  $i \in I$  there exists x such that  $x \in H(i)$ .

 $\langle 2 \rangle 8. \ \forall i \in I.F(i) \in H(i)$ PROOF: Since iRF(i).

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If, for any set I and any function H with domain I, if  $H(i) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i \in I$ , then  $\prod_{i \in I} H(i) \neq \emptyset$ , then the Axiom of Choice is true.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 1. Assume: For any set I and any function H with domain I, if  $H(i) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i \in I$ , then  $\prod_{i \in I} H(i) \neq \emptyset$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: R be a relation
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Let: I = dom R
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Define the function H with domain I by: for  $i \in I$ ,  $H(i) = \{y : iRy\}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ .  $H(i) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i \in I$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$ . Pick  $F \in \prod_{i \in I} H(i)$

Proof: By  $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ 

- $\langle 2 \rangle 7$ . F is a function
- $\langle 2 \rangle 8. \ F \subseteq R$

PROOF: For all  $i \in I$  we have  $F(i) \in H(i)$  and so iRF(i).

 $\langle 2 \rangle 9$ . dom F = dom R

# Ш

#### **Theorem 74.** The following are equivalent.

- 1. The Axiom of Choice.
- 2. Let A be a set such that (a) every member of A is a nonempty set, and (b) any two distinct members of A are disjoint. Then there exists a set C such that, for all  $B \in A$ , we have  $C \cap B$  is a singleton.
- 3. For any set A, there exists a function  $F: \mathcal{P}A \{\emptyset\} \to A$  such that  $F(X) \in X$  for all  $X \in \mathcal{P}A \{\emptyset\}$ .

#### Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1. \ 1 \Rightarrow 2$ 

PROOF: Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a set matching the two conditions. By the Multiplicative Axiom, pick a function  $f \in \prod_{B \in \mathcal{A}} B$ . Let  $C = \operatorname{ran} f$ . Then  $C \cap B = \{f(B)\}$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ 2 \Rightarrow 3$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: 2
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: A be a set.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $\mathcal{A} = \{ \{B\} \times B : B \in \mathcal{P}A \{\emptyset\} \}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . PICK a set C such that  $C \cap (\{B\} \times B)$  is a singleton for all  $B \in \mathcal{P}A \{\emptyset\}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . Let:  $F = C \cap \bigcup \mathcal{A}$

```
\begin{array}{l} \langle 2 \rangle 6. \ F: \mathcal{P}A - \{\emptyset\} \to A \ \text{is a function and} \ F(X) \in X \ \text{for all} \ X \\ \langle 1 \rangle 3. \ 3 \Rightarrow 1 \\ \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \text{Assume:} \ 3 \\ \langle 2 \rangle 2. \ \text{Let:} \ R \ \text{be a relation} \\ \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \text{Pick a choice function} \ G \ \text{for ran} \ R \\ \langle 2 \rangle 4. \ \text{Define} \ F: \text{dom} \ R \to \text{ran} \ R \ \text{by} \ F(x) = G(R(x)) \\ \langle 2 \rangle 5. \ F \subseteq R \end{array}
```

# 10 Equivalence Relations

**Definition 75** (Equivalence Relation). An *equivalence relation* on **A** is a binary relation on **A** that is reflexive on **A**, symmetric and transitive.

**Theorem 76.** If  $\mathbf{R}$  is a symmetric and transitive relation then  $\mathbf{R}$  is an equivalence relation on fld  $\mathbf{R}$ .

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $x \in \operatorname{fld} \mathbf{R}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . PICK y such that either  $x \mathbf{R} y$  or  $y \mathbf{R} x$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ .  $x \mathbf{R} y$  and  $y \mathbf{R} x$

PROOF: Since  $\mathbf{R}$  is symmetric.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ .  $x \mathbf{R} x$ 

Proof: Since  $\mathbf{R}$  is transitive.

П

**Definition 77** (Equivalence Class). If **R** is an equivalence relation and  $x \in \operatorname{fld} \mathbf{R}$ , the *equivalence class* of x modulo **R** is

$$[x]_{\mathbf{R}} = \{t : x\mathbf{R}t\} .$$

**Lemma 78.** Assume that  $\mathbf{R}$  is an equivalence relation on  $\mathbf{A}$  and that x and y belong to  $\mathbf{A}$ . Then

$$[x]_{\mathbf{R}} = [y]_{\mathbf{R}} \text{ iff } x\mathbf{R}y$$
.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . If  $[x]_{\mathbf{R}} = [y]_{\mathbf{R}}$  then  $x \mathbf{R} y$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $[x]_{\mathbf{R}} = [y]_{\mathbf{R}}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2. \ y \in [y]_{\mathbf{R}}$

PROOF: Since  $\mathbf{R}$  is reflexive on  $\mathbf{A}$ .

- $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ y \in [x]_{\mathbf{R}}$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $x \mathbf{R} y$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If  $x \mathbf{R} y$  then  $[x]_{\mathbf{R}} = [y]_{\mathbf{R}}$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $x \mathbf{R} y$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $[y]_{\mathbf{R}} \subseteq [x]_{\mathbf{R}}$ 
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $z \in [y]_{\mathbf{R}}$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2. \ y \mathbf{R} z$

```
\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ x \mathbf{R} z
PROOF: Since \mathbf{R} is transitive.
\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ z \in [x]_{\mathbf{R}}
\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ y \mathbf{R} x
PROOF: Since \mathbf{R} is symmetric.
\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ [x]_{\mathbf{R}} \subseteq [y]_{\mathbf{R}}
PROOF: Similar.
```

**Definition 79** (Partition). A partition of a set A is a set  $P \subseteq \mathcal{P}A$  such that:

- $\bullet$  Every member of P is nonempty.
- Any two distinct members of P are disjoint.
- $A = \bigcup P$

**Theorem 80.** Let R be an equivalence relation on the set A. Then the set of all equivalence classes is a partition of A.

#### Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Every equivalence class is nonempty.

PROOF: For any  $x \in A$  we have  $x \in [x]_R$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Any two distinct equivalence classes are disjoint.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $x, y \in A$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $z \in [x]_R \cap [y]_R$ Prove:  $[x]_R = [y]_R$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . xRy
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1. \ xRz$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2. \ yRz$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . zRy

PROOF: By  $\langle 3 \rangle 2$  and symmetry.

 $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ . xRy

PROOF: By  $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ ,  $\langle 3 \rangle 3$  and transitivity.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $[x]_R = [y]_R$ 

PROOF: By Lemma 3N.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . A is the union of all the equivalence classes.

PROOF: For any  $x \in A$  we have  $x \in [x]_R$ .

**Definition 81** (Quotient Set). If R is an equivalence relation on the set A, then the quotient set A/R is the set of all equivalence classes, and the natural map or canonical map  $\phi: A \to A/R$  is defined by  $\phi(x) = [x]_R$ .

**Theorem 82.** Assume that R is an equivalence relation on A and that F:  $A \to B$ . Assume that F is compatible with R; that is, whenever xRy, then F(x) = F(y). Then there exists a unique  $\overline{F}: A/R \to B$  such that  $F = \overline{F} \circ \phi$ .

PROOF: The unique such  $\overline{F}$  is  $\{([x], F(x)) : x \in A\}$ .  $\square$ 

# 11 Well-Founded Relations

**Definition 83** (Well Founded). A relation R on a class D is well-founded iff every nonempty subset of D has an R-minimal element.

**Theorem 84** (Transfinite Induction). Let R be a well-founded relation on A. Let  $B \subseteq A$ . Assume that, for all  $x \in A$ , if  $\forall y \in A(yRx \Rightarrow y \in B)$ , then  $x \in B$ . Then B = A.

PROOF: If not, A - B has an R-minimal element  $a_0$ , say. But then we have  $\forall y.(yRa_0 \Rightarrow y \in B)$  and  $a_0 \notin B$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 85** (Transfinite Recursion Theorem Schema). For any property P(x, y, z) the following is a theorem:

Assume that  $\langle$  is a well-founded relation on A. Assume that  $\forall x, y \exists ! z P(x, y, z)$ . Then there exists a unique function F with domain A such that

$$\forall t \in A.P(F \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} t, t, F(t))$$
.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Given  $t \in A$ , let us say that a function v is P-constructed up to t iff  $\operatorname{dom} v = \{x \in A : x \leq t\}$  and  $\forall x \in \operatorname{dom} v. P(v \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x, x, v(x))$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Let  $t_1, t_2 \in A$  with  $t_1 \leq t_2$ . Let  $v_1$  be a function that is P-constructed up to  $t_1$ , and  $v_2$  a function that is P-constructed up to  $t_2$ . Then  $\forall x \leq t_1.v_1(x) = v_2(x)$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $x \leq t_1$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $\forall y < x.v_1(y) = v_2(y)$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ v_1 \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x = v_2 \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $P(v_1 \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x, v_1(x))$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ .  $P(v_2 \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x, v_2(x))$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 6. \ v_1(x) = v_2(x)$

PROOF: Since there is only one y such that  $P(v_1 \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x, x, y)$ .

 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 7. Q.E.D.

PROOF: By transfinite induction.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $\mathcal{H} = \{ v : \exists t \in A.v \text{ is } P\text{-constructed up to } t \}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ .  $\mathcal{H}$  is a set.

PROOF: By a Replacement Axiom since, if  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are both P-constructed up to t then  $v_1 = v_2$  by  $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ . Let:  $F = \bigcup \mathcal{H}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$ . F is a function
- $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: tFx and tFy
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . PICK  $v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{H}$  such that  $v_1(t) = x$  and  $v_2(t) = y$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . PICK  $t_1, t_2 \in A$  such that  $v_1$  is P-constructed up to  $t_1$  and  $v_2$  is P-constructed up to  $t_2$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Assume: w.l.o.g.  $t_1 \leq t_2$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ v_1(t) = v_2(t)$

Proof: By  $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ 

```
\langle 2 \rangle 6. \ x = y
\langle 1 \rangle 7. \forall x \in \text{dom } F.P(F \upharpoonright \text{seg } x, x, F(x))
     \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: x \in \text{dom } F
    \langle 2 \rangle 2. PICK v \in \mathcal{H} such that x \in \text{dom } v
     \langle 2 \rangle 3. P(v \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x, x, v(x))
    \langle 2 \rangle 4. v \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x = F \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x
        Proof: \forall y < x.(y, v(y)) \in \bigcup \mathcal{H} = F
    \langle 2 \rangle 5. \ v(x) = F(x)
        PROOF: (x, v(x)) \in \bigcup \mathcal{H} = F
\langle 1 \rangle 8. dom F = A
     \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: x \in A
    \langle 2 \rangle 2. Assume: \forall y < x.y \in \text{dom } F
    \langle 2 \rangle 3. Let: z be the object such that P(F \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x, z)
    \langle 2 \rangle 4. F \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x \cup \{(x,z)\} is P-constructed up to x
    \langle 2 \rangle 5. \ x \in \operatorname{dom} F
    \langle 2 \rangle 6. Q.E.D.
        PROOF: By transfinite induction, this proves \forall x \in A.x \in \text{dom } F.
\langle 1 \rangle 9. F is unique.
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: G be a function with domain A such that \forall x \in A.P(G \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x, x, G(x))
               PROVE: \forall x \in A.F(x) = G(x)
     \langle 2 \rangle 2. Let: x \in A
     \langle 2 \rangle 3. Assume: \forall y < x. F(y) = G(y)
    \langle 2 \rangle 4. F \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x = G \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x
    \langle 2 \rangle 5. \ F(x) = G(x)
    \langle 2 \rangle 6. Q.E.D.
        PROOF: This completes the proof by transfinite induction.
```

# 12 Transitive Closure

**Theorem 86.** For any relation R on a set A, there exists a least transitive relation  $R^t$  such that  $R \subseteq R^t$ .

PROOF: Define  $R^t$  to be the intersection of all the transitive relations Q such that  $R \subseteq Q$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 87.** The transitive closure of a well-founded relation is well-founded.

PROOF: The R-minimal element of a nonempty set B is also the  $R^t$ -minimal element.  $\square$ 

# 13 Partial Orders

**Definition 88** (Strict Partial Order). A *strict partial order* is an irreflexive, transitive relation.

If < is a strict partial order, we write  $x \le y$  for  $x < y \lor x = y$ .

**Theorem 89.** Assume that < is a partial order. Then for any x, y and z:

1. At most one of the three alternatives,

$$x < y, x = y, y < x,$$

can hold.

2.  $x \le y \le x \Rightarrow x = y$ .

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Definition 90** (Maximal). Let < be a partial order on D. An element  $m \in D$  is maximal iff there is no  $x \in D$  such that m < x.

**Definition 91** (Least). Let < be a partial order on D. An element  $m \in D$  is least, smallest or the minimum iff  $\forall x \in D.m \leq x$ .

**Definition 92** (Greatest). Let < be a partial order on D. An element  $m \in D$  is greatest, largest or the maximum iff  $\forall x \in D.x \leq m$ .

**Proposition 93.** If R is a partial ordering on D then so is  $R^{-1}$ .

Proof: Easy.

**Definition 94** (Upper Bound). Let < be a partial order on A and  $C \subseteq A$ . An *upper bound* for C is an element  $b \in A$  such that  $\forall x \in C.x \leq b$ .

**Definition 95** (Least Upper Bound). Let < be a partial order on A and  $C \subseteq A$ . The *least upper bound* or *supremum* for C is the least element in the set of upper bounds for C.

**Definition 96** (Lower Bound). Let < be a partial order on A and  $C \subseteq A$ . A lower bound for C is an element  $b \in A$  such that  $\forall x \in C.b \leq x$ .

**Definition 97** (Greatest Lower Bound). Let < be a partial order on A and  $C \subseteq A$ . The *greatest lower bound* or *infimum* for C is the greatest element in the set of lower bounds for C.

**Definition 98** (Initial Segment). Let < be a partial order on A and  $t \in A$ . The *initial segment* up to t is

$$\operatorname{seg} t = \{ x \in A : x < t \} .$$

**Definition 99** (Isomorphism). Let A and B be posets. An isomorphism between A and B is a bijection f between A and B such that, for all  $x, y \in A$ , we have x < y if and only if f(x) < f(y).

**Proposition 100.** *Isomorphism is an equivalence relation on the class of posets.* 

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

<b>Proposition 101.</b> Let $(A,<)$ be a poset and $B\subseteq A$ . Then $<\cap B^2$ is a partial order on $B$ .
Proof: Easy. $\square$
<b>Theorem 102.</b> Let $R$ be a well-founded relation on $A$ . The transitive closure of $R$ is a partial order on $A$ .
Proof: It is well founded, hence irreflexive. $\Box$
14 Linear Orders
<b>Definition 103</b> (Linear Ordering). Let $A$ be a class. A <i>linear ordering</i> or <i>total ordering</i> on $A$ is a relation $R$ on $A$ such that:
• R is transitive.
• <b>R</b> satisfies <i>trichotomy</i> on <b>A</b> ; i.e. for any $x, y \in \mathbf{A}$ , exactly one of
$x\mathbf{R}y, x = y, y\mathbf{R}x$
holds.
<b>Theorem 104.</b> Let <b>R</b> be a linear ordering on <b>A</b> .
1. There is no $x$ such that $x\mathbf{R}x$ .
2. For distinct $x$ and $y$ in $A$ , either $xRy$ or $yRx$ .
Proof: Immediate from trichotomy. $\square$
<b>Definition 105</b> (Strictly Monotone Functions). Let $A$ and $B$ be linearly ordered sets. A function $f:A\to B$ is <i>strictly monotone</i> iff, for all $x,y\in A$ , if $x< y$ then $f(x)< f(y)$ .
<b>Theorem 106.</b> Let A and B be linearly ordered sets and $f: A \to B$ be strictly monotone. For all $x, y \in A$ , if $f(x) < f(y)$ then $x < y$ .
PROOF: We have $f(x) \neq f(y)$ and $f(y) \not < f(x)$ by trichotomy, hence $x \neq y$ and $y \not < x$ since $f$ is strictly monotone, hence $x < y$ by trichotomy. $\square$
Theorem 107. Every strictly monotone function is injective.
PROOF: If $f(x) = f(y)$ , then we have $f(x) \not< f(y)$ and $f(y) \not< f(x)$ by trichotomy, hence $x \not< y$ and $y \not< x$ since $f$ is strictly monotone, hence $x = y$ by trichotomy. $\square$
<b>Proposition 108.</b> Let $(A, <)$ be a linearly ordered set and $B \subseteq A$ . Then $< \cap B^2$ is a linear order on $B$ .

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Definition 109.** Let A and B be disjoint linearly ordered sets. The *concatenation* of A and B,  $A \oplus B$ , is the set  $A \cup B$  under the order given by: x < y iff

- $x, y \in A$  and x < y; or
- $x, y \in B$  and x < y; or
- $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ .

It is easy to check this is a linear ordering.

## Proposition 110.

$$A \oplus (B \oplus C) = (A \oplus B) \oplus C$$

Proof: Easy.

# Proposition 111.

$$A \oplus \emptyset = \emptyset \oplus A = A$$

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Definition 112.** Let A and B be linearly ordered sets. The *lexicographic order* on  $A \times B$  is defined by:  $(a_1, b_1) < (a_2, b_2)$  iff  $a_1 < a_2$  or  $(a_1 = a_2 \text{ and } b_1 < b_2)$ .

**Proposition 113.** These two orders on  $A \times B \times C$  are equal:

- ullet lexicographic order formed from (lexicographic order on  $A \times B$ ) and order on C
- ullet lexicographic order formed from order on A and (lexicographic order on  $B \times C$ )

Proof: Easy.

#### Proposition 114.

$$A \times 1 = 1 \times A = A$$

Proof: Easy.

**Proposition 115.**  $A \times (B \oplus C) = (A \times B) \oplus (A \times C)$ 

Proof: Easy.

# 15 Well Orderings

**Definition 116** (Well Ordering). A *well ordering* on a set A is a linear ordering on A such that every nonempty subset of A has a least element.

**Theorem 117.** Assume that < is a linear ordering on A. Assume that the only <-inductive subset of A is A itself. Then < is a well ordering on A.

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Assume: for a contradiction  $B \subseteq A$  has no least element.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . A B is <-inductive.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $t \in A$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $\operatorname{seg} t \subseteq A B$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ t \notin B$

PROOF: If it were, it would be the least element of B.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ t \in A B$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ A B = A$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ B = \emptyset$

**Proposition 118.** Let (A,<) be a well ordered set and  $B \subseteq A$ . Then  $< \cap B^2$  is a well order on B.

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 119.** Let A and B be well-ordered sets. Then one of the following holds:

- $\bullet$   $A \cong B$
- $\exists b \in B.A \cong \operatorname{seg} b$
- $\exists a \in A. \operatorname{seg} a \cong B$

### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . PICKe that is not a member of A or B
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Define  $F: A \to B \cup \{e\}$  by:

$$F(t) = \begin{cases} \text{the least element of } B - F(\sec t) & \text{if } B - F(\sec t) \neq \emptyset \\ e & \text{if } B - F(\sec t) = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Case:  $e \in \operatorname{ran} F$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $a \in A$  be least such that  $B F(\operatorname{seg} a) = \emptyset$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $F \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} a : \operatorname{seg} a \cong B$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . Case: ran F = B

PROOF: In this case  $F: A \cong B$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. Case: ran  $F \subset B$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $b \in B$  be least such that  $b \notin \operatorname{ran} F$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $F: A \cong \operatorname{seg} b$

**Theorem 120.** The concatenation of two well-orderings is a well ordering.

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 121.** The lexicographic ordering on the product of two well-ordered sets is a well ordering.

Proof: Easy.

# 16 Epsilon-Images

**Lemma 122.** Let < be a well ordering on A. Let E be the function on A defined by transfinite recursion thus:

$$E(t) = \{ E(x) : x < t \}$$
  $(t \in A)$ .

Let  $\alpha = \operatorname{ran} E$ . Then:

- 1.  $\forall t \in A.E(t) \notin E(t)$
- 2. E is injective.
- 3.  $\forall s, t \in A.(s < t \Leftrightarrow E(s) \in E(t))$
- 4.  $\alpha$  is a transitive set.

### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1. \ \forall t \in A.E(t) \notin E(t)$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $t \in A$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $\forall s < t.E(s) \notin E(s)$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Assume: for a contradiction  $E(t) \in E(t)$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . PICK x < t such that E(t) = E(x)
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ .  $E(x) \in E(x)$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$ . Q.E.D.

PROOF: This is a contradiction. The result follows by transfinite induction.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . E is injective.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: for a contradiction E(x) = E(y) where  $x \neq y$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume: w.l.o.g. x < y
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ E(x) \in E(y)$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts  $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \forall s, t \in A(s < t \Leftrightarrow E(s) \in E(t))$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $s, t \in A$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . If s < t then  $E(s) \in E(t)$

PROOF: Immediate from definition of E.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . If  $E(s) \in E(t)$  then s < t
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $E(s) \in E(t)$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . PICK x < t such that E(s) = E(x)
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ s = x$

Proof:  $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .

- $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ . s < t
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ .  $\alpha$  is a transitive set.

PROOF: From definition of E.

**Corollary 122.1.** For any well-ordered set (A, <), if  $\alpha$  is its epsilon-image, then (A, <) is isomorphic to  $(\alpha, \in)$ .

Corollary 122.2. The epsilon-image of any well-ordered set is well ordered by  $\subseteq$ 

**Theorem 123.** Two well-ordered sets are isomorphic iff they have the same  $\epsilon$ -image.

#### PROOF:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let: A and B be well-ordered sets.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If A and B have the same  $\epsilon$ -image then they are isomorphic.

PROOF: From Corollary 122.1.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . If  $A \cong B$  then A and B have the same epsilon-image.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $f: A \cong B$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $E: A \cong \alpha$  and  $F: B \cong \beta$  be the canonical isomorphisms between A and B and their epsilon-images.
  - $$\begin{split} \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \forall x \in A.E(x) &= F(f(x)) \\ \langle 3 \rangle 1. \ \text{Let: } \ x \in A \\ \langle 3 \rangle 2. \ \text{Assume: } \forall y < x.E(y) &= F(f(y)) \\ \langle 3 \rangle 3. \ E(x) &= F(f(x)) \\ \text{Proof:} \\ E(x) &= \{E(y): y < x\} \\ &= \{F(f(y)): y < x\} \\ &= \{F(z): z < f(x)\} \\ &= F(f(x)) \end{split}$$

# 17 Ordinal Numbers

**Definition 124** (Ordinal Number). The *ordinal number* of a well-ordered set is its epsilon-image.

**Definition 125** (Well-ordered by Epsilon). A set A is well-ordered by epsilon iff  $\{(x,y): x,y \in A, x \in y\}$  is a well ordering on A.

**Theorem 126.** A set is an ordinal number if and only if it is a transitive set that is well-ordered by epsilon.

#### Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Every ordinal number is a transitive set.

Proof: Lemma 122.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Every ordinal number is well-ordered by epsilon.

Proof: Corollary 122.2.

- (1)3. Every transitive set that is well-ordered by epsilon is an ordinal number.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\alpha$  be a transitive set well-ordered by epsilon.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 2. Let:  $\beta$  be the epsilon-image of  $(\alpha, \in)$  with  $E: \alpha \cong \beta$  the canonical isomorphism.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \forall x \in \alpha. E(x) = x$ 
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $x \in \alpha$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $\forall y < x. E(y) = y$

```
\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ E(x) = x Proof: E(x) = \{E(y) : y \in \alpha, y \in x\} = \{E(y) : y \in x\} ($\alpha$ is a transitive set) = \{y : y \in x\} = x ($\alpha$) \(\alpha \gamma \leq \beta$
```

**Theorem 127.** Every member of an ordinal number is an ordinal number.

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\alpha$  be an ordinal number.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $\beta \in \alpha$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ .  $\beta$  is a transitive set.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $x \in y \in \beta$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2. \ y \in \alpha$

PROOF: Since  $\alpha$  is a transitive set.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ x \in \alpha$ 

PROOF: Since  $\alpha$  is a transitive set.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ x \in \beta$ 

PROOF: Since  $\alpha$  is a partially ordered by epsilon.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ .  $\beta$  is well-ordered by epsilon.

PROOF: Since  $\{(x,y): x,y \in \beta, x \in y\}$  is the restriction of  $\{(x,y): x,y \in \alpha, x \in y\}$  to  $\beta$ .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ .  $\beta$  is an ordinal number.

PROOF: Theorem 126.

**Proposition 128.** The class of ordinals is well-ordered by epsilon.

#### Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . For any ordinals  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ , if  $\alpha \in \beta \in \gamma$  then  $\alpha \in \gamma$ .

PROOF: Since  $\gamma$  is a transitive set (Lemma 122).

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . For any ordinal  $\alpha$  we have  $\alpha \notin \alpha$ .

PROOF: Since  $\alpha$  is well-ordered by epsilon.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . For any ordinals  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , exactly one of  $\alpha \in \beta$ ,  $\beta \in \alpha$ ,  $\alpha = \beta$  holds.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  be ordinals.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Either  $\alpha \cong \beta$  or  $\exists \gamma \in \beta. \alpha \cong \gamma$  or  $\exists \gamma \in \alpha. \gamma \cong \alpha$

PROOF: Theorem 119.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Either  $\alpha = \beta$  or  $\exists \gamma \in \beta . \alpha = \gamma$  or  $\exists \gamma \in \alpha . \gamma = \alpha$ 

PROOF: Since any ordinal is its own epsilon-image, and isomorphic well-orderings have equal epsilon-images.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . Any nonempty set of ordinals has a least element.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let: A be a nonempy set of ordinals.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Pick  $\alpha \in A$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Case:  $A \cap \alpha = \emptyset$

PROOF: In this case,  $\alpha$  is least in A.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Case:  $A \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset$ 

PROOF: In this case, the least element of  $A \cap \alpha$  is the least element in A.

Corollary 128.1. Any transitive set of ordinal numbers is an ordinal number.

Corollary 128.2.  $\emptyset$  is an ordinal number.

We write 0 for  $\emptyset$  considered as an ordinal number.

**Definition 129** (Successor). The *successor* of a set a is the set  $a^+ = a \cup \{a\}$ .

Corollary 129.1. The successor of an ordinal number is an ordinal number.

**Corollary 129.2.** For any set A of ordinal numbers, the set  $\bigcup A$  is an ordinal number.

**Theorem 130** (Burali-Forti). The class of ordinal numbers is not a set.

#### Proof:

П

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Assume: for a contradiction the class **On** is a set.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . **On** is an ordinal number.

Proof: Corollary 128.1.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . On  $\in$  On
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . Q.E.D.

Proof: This contradicts Lemma 122.

**Theorem 131** (Hartogs). For any set A, there exists an ordinal not dominated by A.

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let: A be a set.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $\alpha = \{ \beta : \beta \text{ is an ordinal }, \beta \leq A \}$ .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $W = \{(B, <) : B \subseteq A, < \text{ is a well ordering on } B\}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ \forall \beta \in \alpha. \exists (B, <) \in W. \beta$  is the epsilon-image of (B, <)
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\beta \in \alpha$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Pick an injection  $f: \beta \to A$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 3. Define < on  $f(\beta)$  by:  $f(\gamma) < f(\delta)$  iff  $\gamma \in \delta$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . < well orders  $f(\beta)$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ .  $\beta$  is the epsilon-image of  $(f(\beta), <)$  with  $f^{-1}$  the canonical isomorphism.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ .  $\alpha$  is a set.

PROOF: By a Replacement Axiom applied to W.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$ .  $\alpha$  is an ordinal.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ .  $\alpha$  is a transitive set.
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\beta \in \gamma \in \alpha$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2. \ \beta \subseteq \gamma \preccurlyeq A$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ \beta \preccurlyeq A$

 $\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ \beta \in \alpha$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Q.E.D.

Proof: By Corollary 128.1.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 7. \ \alpha \not\preccurlyeq A$ 

PROOF: Because  $\alpha \notin \alpha$ .

**Theorem 132** (Zorn's Lemma). The following statements are equivalent:

1. The Axiom of Choice

Well-Ordering Theorem For any set A, there exists a well ordering on A.

Zorn's Lemma Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a set such that, for every chain  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $\bigcup \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then A has a maximal element.

#### PROOF:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . If the Axiom of Choice is true then the Well-Ordering Theorem is true.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: The Axiom of Choice
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: A be any set.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Pick an ordinal  $\alpha$  not dominated by A.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . PICK an object e such that  $e \notin A$ .
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. PICK a choice function  $G : \mathcal{P}A \{\emptyset\} \to A$  for A.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 6. Define the function  $F: \alpha \to A \cup \{e\}$  by transfinite recursion thus:

$$F(\gamma) = \begin{cases} G(A - \{F(\delta) : \delta < \gamma\}) & \text{if } A - \{F(\delta) : \delta < \gamma\} \neq \emptyset \\ e & \text{if } A - \{F(\delta) : \delta < \gamma\} = \emptyset \end{cases}$$
  $\langle 2 \rangle 7$ . Let:  $\delta$  be least such that  $F(\delta) = e$ 

PROOF: There is such a  $\delta$ , otherwise F would be a bijection between  $\alpha$  and

- $\langle 2 \rangle 8$ .  $F \upharpoonright \delta$  is a bijection between  $\delta$  and A
- $\langle 2 \rangle 9$ . Define  $\langle \text{ on } A \text{ by: } F(\gamma) \langle F(\beta) \text{ iff } \gamma \in \beta \text{ for } \gamma, \beta \in \delta$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 10.$  < is a well ordering on A.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If the Well-Ordering Theorem is true then Zorn's Lemma is true.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 1. Assume: The Well-Ordering Theorem
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $\mathcal{A}$  be a set that is closed under unions of chains.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Pick a well ordering  $\langle$  on  $\mathcal{A}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Define the function  $F: \mathcal{A} \to 2$  by transfinite recursion thus:

$$F(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \forall B < A.F(B) = 1 \Rightarrow B \subseteq A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. Let:  $\mathcal{C} = \{ A \in \mathcal{A} : F(A) =$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 6$ . C is a chain.
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Assume: w.l.o.g. A < B
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ F(A) = 1$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ F(B) = 1$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 5$ .  $A \subseteq B$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 7. \bigcup \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{A}$

Proof: By  $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .

- $\langle 2 \rangle 8$ .  $\bigcup C$  is maximal in A
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \subseteq D \in \mathcal{A}$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2. \ \forall B < D.F(B) = 1 \Rightarrow B \subseteq D$

PROOF: If F(B) = 1 then  $B \in \mathcal{C}$  so  $B \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{C} \subseteq D$ .

- $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ F(D) = 1$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ D \in \mathcal{C}$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 5$ .  $D = \bigcup \mathcal{C}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . If Zorn's Lemma is true then the Axiom of Choice is true.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: Zorn's Lemma
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: R be a relation.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all functions that are subsets of R.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . For any chain  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  we have  $\bigcup \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. Pick  $F \in \mathcal{A}$  maximal.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 6$ . dom F = dom R

**Theorem 133** (Well-Ordering Theorem (Choice)). For any set A, there exists a well ordering on A.

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let: A be a set.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2.$  Pick an ordinal  $\alpha$  not dominated by A
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ A \preccurlyeq \alpha$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . Pick an injection  $f: A \to \alpha$
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. Define  $\langle 0 \rangle$ 5. Define  $\langle 0 \rangle$ 6.  $\langle 1 \rangle$ 7.  $\langle 1 \rangle$ 6. Define  $\langle 0 \rangle$ 7.  $\langle 1 \rangle$ 8. Define  $\langle 0 \rangle$ 8. Define  $\langle 0 \rangle$ 9. Define  $\langle 0 \rangle$ 9.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$ . < is a well ordering on A.

Ш

Corollary 133.1 (Numeration Theorem (Choice)). Any set is equinumerous to some ordinal number.

**Theorem 134** (Transfinite Recursion). Let  $F: V \to V$ . Then there exists a function  $G: On \to V$  such that

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbf{On}.\mathbf{G}(\alpha) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{G} \upharpoonright \alpha)$$
.

PROOF: Define  $\mathbf{G} = \{(\alpha, y) : \exists f : \alpha^+ \to \mathbf{V}. \forall \beta \in \alpha^+. f(\beta) = \mathbf{F}(f \upharpoonright \beta)\}.$ 

**Definition 135** (Continuous). A function  $\mathbf{F}: \mathbf{On} \to \mathbf{On}$  is *continuous* iff  $\mathbf{F}(\lambda) = \bigcup_{\beta \in \lambda} \mathbf{F}(\beta)$  for every limit ordinal  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem 136.** Let  $\mathbf{F}: \mathbf{On} \to \mathbf{On}$  be continuous. Suppose  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbf{On}.\mathbf{F}(\alpha) < \mathbf{F}(\alpha+1)$ . Then  $\mathbf{F}$  is strictly monotone.

### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $P(\beta)$  be the statement:  $\forall \alpha < \beta . \mathbf{F}(\alpha) < \mathbf{F}(\beta)$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . P(0)

Proof: Vacuous.

```
\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \forall \beta \in \mathbf{On}.P(\beta) \Rightarrow P(\beta^+)
PROOF: For \alpha < \beta^+ we have \mathbf{F}(\alpha) \leq \mathbf{F}(\beta) < \mathbf{F}(\beta^+).
\langle 1 \rangle 4. For every limit ordinal \lambda, if \forall \beta < \lambda.P(\beta) then P(\lambda)
PROOF: For \alpha < \lambda we have \mathbf{F}(\alpha) < \mathbf{F}(\alpha^+) \leq \mathbf{F}(\lambda).
```

**Definition 137** (Normal). A function  $F: \mathbf{On} \to \mathbf{On}$  is *normal* iff it is strictly monotone and continuous.

**Theorem 138.** Let  $\mathbf{F}: \mathbf{On} \to \mathbf{On}$  be normal. Let  $t_0 \leq \beta$ . Then there exists a greatest  $\gamma$  such that  $\mathbf{F}(\gamma) \leq \beta$ .

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\gamma = \{ \alpha \in \mathbf{On} : \mathbf{F}(\alpha) \leq \beta \}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .  $\gamma$  is an ordinal.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ .  $\gamma$  is a set.

PROOF: We have  $\alpha \leq \mathbf{F}(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha$ , so  $\gamma \subseteq \beta$ .

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $\gamma$  is a transitive set.

PROOF: If  $\alpha < \alpha'$  and  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha') \leq \beta$  then  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha) < \beta$  by monotonicity.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \gamma \neq 0$ 

PROOF: By hypothesis.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . Case:  $\gamma$  is a successor ordinal.

PROOF: Let  $\gamma = \alpha^+$ . Then  $\alpha$  is greatest such that  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha) \leq \beta$ .

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. Case:  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal.

PROOF: This is impossible since then  $\mathbf{F}(\gamma) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \gamma} \mathbf{F}(\alpha) \leq \beta$  and so  $\gamma \in \gamma$ .

**Theorem 139.** Let  $\mathbf{F}: \mathbf{On} \to \mathbf{On}$  be normal. Let S be a nonempty set of ordinals. Then  $\mathbf{F}(\sup S) = \sup \mathbf{F}(S)$ .

## Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ .  $\mathbf{F}(\sup S) \ge \sup \mathbf{F}(S)$ 

PROOF: By monotonicity.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .  $\mathbf{F}(\sup S) \leq \sup \mathbf{F}(S)$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Case:  $\sup S \in S$ 

PROOF: Immediate.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Case:  $\sup S \notin S$ 
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . sup S is a limit ordinal.
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ .  $\mathbf{F}(\sup S) = \sup \{ \mathbf{F}(\beta) : \beta < \sup S \}$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ \forall \beta < \sup S.\mathbf{F}(\beta) \le \sup \mathbf{F}(S)$

**Theorem 140** (Veblen Fixed-Point Theorem (1907)). A normal operation on ordinals has arbitrarily large fixed points.

That is, let  $\mathbf{F}: \mathbf{On} \to \mathbf{On}$  be normal. For all  $\alpha \in \mathbf{On}$ , there exists  $\beta \geq \alpha$  such that  $\mathbf{F}(\beta) = \beta$ .

PROOF: Let  $\beta=\sup_{n\in\omega}F^n(\alpha)$ . Then  $\alpha\leq\beta$  using monotonicity, and  $F(\beta)=\sup_{n\in\omega}F^{n+1}(\alpha)$   $=\beta$ 

**Definition 141** (Addition). The *sum* of two ordinal numbers is the ordinal number of their concatenation.

Theorem 142. Addition is associative.

Proof: Easy.

Theorem 143.

$$\alpha + 0 = 0 + \alpha = \alpha$$

Proof: Easy.

Theorem 144.

$$\alpha + \beta^+ = (\alpha + \beta)^+$$

Proof: Easy.

**Theorem 145.** For  $\lambda$  a limit ordinal,  $\alpha + \lambda = \sup_{\beta < \lambda} (\alpha + \beta)$ .

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 146.** For any ordinal  $\alpha$ , the function that maps  $\beta$  to  $\alpha + \beta$  is normal.

Proof: Easy.

Corollary 146.1.

$$\beta < \gamma \Leftrightarrow \alpha + \beta < \alpha + \gamma$$

Corollary 146.2. If  $\alpha + \beta = \alpha + \gamma$  then  $\beta = \gamma$ .

**Theorem 147.** If  $\beta \leq \gamma$  then  $\beta + \alpha \leq \gamma + \alpha$ .

PROOF: Transfinite induction on  $\alpha$ .

**Theorem 148** (Subtraction Theorem). If  $\alpha \leq \beta$  then there exists a unique ordinal  $\gamma$  such that  $\alpha + \gamma = \beta$ .

PROOF: Let  $\gamma$  be greatest such that  $\alpha + \gamma \leq \beta$ .  $\square$ 

**Definition 149** (Multiplication). The *product* of two ordinal numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is the ordinal number of  $\alpha \times \beta$  under the lexicographic ordering.

Theorem 150. Multiplication is associative.

Proof: Easy.

Theorem 151.

$$\alpha(\beta + \gamma) = \alpha\beta + \alpha\gamma$$

PROOF: Easy.  $\square$ Theorem 152.  $\alpha 1 = 1\alpha = \alpha$ PROOF: Easy.  $\square$ Theorem 153.  $\alpha 0 = 0\alpha = 0$ PROOF: Easy.  $\square$ Theorem 154.  $\alpha \beta^+ = \alpha \beta + \alpha$ PROOF: Easy.  $\square$ Theorem 155. For  $\lambda$  a limit ordinal,  $\alpha \lambda = \sup_{\beta < \lambda} (\alpha \beta)$ .

PROOF: Easy.  $\square$ Theorem 156. For any ordinal  $\alpha > 0$ , the function that maps  $\beta$  to  $\alpha \beta$  is normal.

PROOF: Easy.  $\square$ 

Corollary 156.1. For  $\alpha > 0$  we have

$$\beta < \gamma \Leftrightarrow \alpha \beta < \alpha \gamma$$

Corollary 156.2. For  $\alpha > 0$ , if  $\alpha \beta = \alpha \gamma$  then  $\beta = \gamma$ .

**Theorem 157.** If  $\beta \leq \gamma$  then  $\beta \alpha \leq \gamma \alpha$ .

PROOF: Transfinite induction on  $\alpha$ .

**Theorem 158** (Division Theorem). Let  $\delta \neq 0$ . For any  $\alpha$ , there exist unique ordinals  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  such that  $\alpha = \delta\beta + \gamma$  and  $\gamma < \delta$ .

PROOF: Let  $\beta$  be largest such that  $\delta\beta \leq \alpha$ , and let  $\gamma$  be as given by the Subtraction Theorem.  $\square$ 

PROOF: Let  $\gamma$  be greatest such that  $\alpha + \gamma \leq \beta$ .  $\square$ 

**Definition 159** (Exponentiation). Define  $\alpha^{\beta}$  by transfinite recursion thus:

$$\alpha^{0} = 1$$

$$\alpha^{\beta^{+}} = \alpha^{\beta} \alpha$$

$$\alpha^{\lambda} = \sup_{\beta < \lambda} \alpha^{\beta}$$

for  $\lambda$  a limit ordinal.

**Theorem 160.** For  $\alpha > 1$ , the function that maps  $\beta$  to  $\alpha^{\beta}$  is normal.

Proof: Easy.

Corollary 160.1. For  $\alpha > 1$  we have

$$\beta < \gamma \Leftrightarrow \alpha^{\beta} < \alpha^{\gamma}$$

Corollary 160.2. For  $\alpha > 1$ , if  $\alpha^{\beta} = \alpha^{\gamma}$  then  $\beta = \gamma$ .

**Theorem 161.** If  $\beta \leq \gamma$  then  $\beta^{\alpha} \leq \gamma^{\alpha}$ .

PROOF: Transfinite induction on  $\alpha$ .

**Theorem 162** (Logarithm Theorem). Let  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\beta > 1$ . Then there exist unique ordinals  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\rho$  such that  $\alpha = \beta^{\gamma} \delta + \rho$ ,  $0 < \delta < \beta$  and  $\rho < \beta^{\gamma}$ .

PROOF: Let  $\gamma$  be greatest such that  $\beta^{\gamma} \leq \alpha$ , and then apply the Division Theorem.  $\square$ 

Theorem 163.

$$\alpha^{\beta+\gamma} = \alpha^{\beta}\alpha^{\gamma}$$

PROOF: Transfinite induction on  $\gamma$ .

Theorem 164.

$$\alpha^{\beta\gamma} = (\alpha^\beta)^\gamma$$

PROOF: Transfinite induction on  $\gamma$ .  $\square$ 

# 18 Natural Numbers

**Definition 165** (Inductive). A class **A** is *inductive* iff  $\emptyset \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $\forall a \in \mathbf{A}.a^+ \in \mathbf{A}$ 

Axiom 166 (Infinity). There exists an inductive set.

**Definition 167** (Natural Number). A *natural number* is a set that belongs to every inductive set.

We write  $\omega$  for the class of all natural numbers.

**Theorem 168.** The class  $\omega$  is a set.

PROOF: Pick an inductive set I (by the Axiom of Infinity), then apply a Subset Axiom to I.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 169.** The set  $\omega$  is inductive, and is a subset of every inductive set.

Proof: Easy.

Corollary 169.1 (Proof by Induction). Any inductive subclass of  $\omega$  is equal to  $\omega$ .

**Theorem 170.** Every natural number except 0 is the successor of some natural number.

Proof: Easy proof by induction.  $\square$ 

**Definition 171** (Peano System). A *Peano system* is a triple  $\langle N, S, e \rangle$  consisting of a set N, a function  $S: N \to N$  and an element  $e \in N$  such that:

- 1.  $e \notin \operatorname{ran} S$
- 2. S is one-to-one
- 3. Any subset  $A \subseteq N$  that contains e and is closed under S equals N.

**Theorem 172.** For any transitive set a,  $\bigcup (a^+) = a$ .

PROOF:

$$\bigcup (a^{+}) = \bigcup (a \cup \{a\})$$

$$= \bigcup a \cup \bigcup \{a\}$$

$$= \bigcup a \cup a$$

$$= a$$

since  $\bigcup a \subseteq a$ .  $\square$ 

Theorem 173. Every natural number is a transitive set.

Proof

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . 0 is a transitive set.

Proof: Vacuous.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2.$  For any natural number n, if n is a transitive set then  $n^+$  is a transitive set.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let: n be a natural number that is a transitive set.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2. \bigcup (n^+) \subseteq n^+$

PROOF: Theorem 172.

**Theorem 174.**  $\langle \omega, \sigma, 0 \rangle$  is a Peano system, where  $0 = \emptyset$  and  $\sigma = \{\langle n, n^+ \rangle : n \in \omega \}$ .

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ .  $0 \notin \operatorname{ran} \sigma$ 

PROOF: For any  $n \in \omega$  we have  $0 \neq n^+$  since  $n \in n^+$  and  $n \notin 0$ .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .  $\sigma$  is one-to-one.

PROOF: If  $m^+ = n^+$  then  $m = \bigcup (m^+) = \bigcup (n^+) = n$  using Theorems 172 and 173.

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 3. Any subset  $A \subseteq \omega$  that contains 0 and is closed under  $\sigma$  equals  $\omega$ .

**Theorem 175.** The set  $\omega$  is a transitive set.

### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . For every natural number n we have  $\forall m \in n$ . m is a natural number.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ .  $\forall m \in 0$ . m is a natural number.

Proof: Vacuous.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . If n is a natural number and  $\forall m \in n$ . m is a natural number, then  $\forall m \in n^+$ . m is a natural number.

PROOF: Since if  $m \in n^+$  we have either  $m \in n$  or m = n, and m is a natural number in either case.

**Theorem 176** (Recursion Theorem on  $\omega$ ). Let A be a set,  $a \in A$  and  $F : A \to A$ . Then there exists a unique function  $h : \omega \to A$  such that

$$h(0) = a ,$$

and for every n in  $\omega$ ,

$$h(n^+) = F(h(n)) .$$

### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let us call a function v acceptable iff dom  $v \subseteq \omega$ , ran  $v \subseteq A$  and:
  - 1. If  $0 \in \text{dom } v \text{ then } v(0) = a$
  - 2. For all  $n \in \omega$ , if  $n^+ \in \text{dom } v$  then  $n \in \text{dom } v$  and  $v(n^+) = F(v(n))$ .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $\mathcal{K}$  be the set of acceptable functions.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $h = \bigcup \mathcal{K}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . h is a function.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $S = \{ n \in \omega : \text{for at most one } y, (n, y) \in h \}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . S is inductive.
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1. \ 0 \in S$ 
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\langle 0, y_1 \rangle, \langle 0, y_2 \rangle \in h$
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ . PICK acceptable  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  such that  $v_1(0) = y_1$  and  $v_2(0) = y_2$
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ y_1 = a$
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 4$ .  $y_2 = a$
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 5. \ y_1 = y_2$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2. \ \forall k \in S.k^+ \in S$ 
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $k \in S$
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $(k^+, y_1), (k^+, y_2) \in h$
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 3$ . Pick acceptable  $v_1, v_2$  such that  $v_1(k^+) = y_1$  and  $v_2(k^+) = y_2$
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 4$ .  $y_1 = F(v_1(k))$
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 5. \ f_2 = F(v_2(k))$
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 6. \ v_1(k) = v_2(k)$ 
        - $\langle 5 \rangle 1. \ (k, v_1(k)), (k, v_2(k)) \in h$
        - $\langle 5 \rangle 2$ . Q.E.D.

Proof: By  $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ 

- $\langle 4 \rangle 7. \ y_1 = y_2$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3.$   $S = \omega$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ . h is acceptable.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . If  $0 \in \text{dom } h \text{ then } h(0) = a$ 
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $0 \in \text{dom } h$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Pick v acceptable with v(0) = h(0)
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ v(0) = a$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ . h(0) = a
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . For all  $n \in \omega$ , if  $n^+ \in \text{dom } h$  then  $n \in \text{dom } h$  and  $h(n^+) = F(h(n))$ 
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $n \in \omega$  with  $n^+ \in \text{dom } h$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . PICK v acceptable with  $v(n^+) = h(n^+)$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ .  $n \in \text{dom } v$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ v(n) = h(n)$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 5. \ h(n^+) = F(h(n))$

Proof:

$$h(n^+) = v(n^+)$$
$$= F(v(n))$$
$$= F(h(n))$$

- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$ . dom  $h = \omega$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1. \ 0 \in \operatorname{dom} h$

PROOF: Since  $\{(0,a)\}$  is an acceptable function.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $\forall n \in \text{dom } h.n^+ \in \text{dom } h$ 
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $n \in \text{dom } h$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . PICK an acceptable v such that  $n \in \text{dom } v$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . Assume: w.l.o.g.  $n^+ \notin \text{dom } v$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ v \cup \{(n^+, F(v(n)))\}$  is acceptable.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 7$ . For any acceptable function  $h' : \omega \to A$  we have h' = h
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $h' : \omega \to A$  be acceptable.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2. \ h'(0) = h(0)$

PROOF: h'(0) = h(0) = a

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \forall n \in \omega.h'(n) = h(n) \Rightarrow h'(n^+) = h(n^+)$ 

PROOF: We have  $h'(n^{+}) = F(h'(n)) = F(h(n)) = h(n^{+})$ .

**Theorem 177.** Let (N, S, e) be a Peano system. Then  $(\omega, \sigma, 0)$  is isomorphic to (N, S, e), i.e. there is a function h mapping  $\omega$  one-to-one onto N in a way that preserves the successor operation

$$h(\sigma(n)) = S(h(n))$$

and the zero element

$$h(0) = e .$$

PROOF:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1.$  There exists a function h that satisfies those two conditions.

PROOF: By the Recursion Theorem.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . For all  $m, n \in \omega$ , if  $m \neq n$  then  $h(m) \neq h(n)$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . For all  $n \in \omega$ , if  $n \neq 0$  then  $h(n) \neq h(0)$ 
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $n \in \omega$

```
\langle 3 \rangle 2. Assume: n \neq 0
       \langle 3 \rangle 3. Pick p such that n = p^+
       \langle 3 \rangle 4. h(n) \neq h(0)
          PROOF: h(n) = S(h(p)) \neq e = h(0).
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. For all m \in \omega, if \forall n (m \neq n \Rightarrow h(m) \neq h(n)) then \forall n (m^+ \neq n \Rightarrow h(m) \neq h(n))
            h(m^+) \neq h(n)
       \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: m \in \omega
       \langle 3 \rangle 2. Assume: \forall n (m \neq n \Rightarrow h(m) \neq h(n))
       \langle 3 \rangle 3. Let: n \in \omega
       \langle 3 \rangle 4. Assume: m^+ \neq n
                Prove: h(m^+) \neq h(n)
       \langle 3 \rangle 5. Case: n=0
          PROOF: h(m^+) = S(h(m)) \neq e = h(n)
       \langle 3 \rangle 6. Case: n = p^+
           \langle 4 \rangle 1. \ m \neq p
           \langle 4 \rangle 2. h(m) \neq h(p)
          \langle 4 \rangle 3. \ S(h(m)) \neq S(h(p))
          \langle 4 \rangle 4. h(m^+) \neq h(p^+)
\langle 1 \rangle 3. For all x \in N, there exists n \in \omega such that h(n) = x
   Proof: An easy induction on x.
```

**Theorem 178.** There is no function f with domain  $\omega$  such that  $\cdots \in f(2) \in f(1) \in f(0)$ .

PROOF: If there were then there would be no  $m \in \operatorname{ran} f$  such that  $m \cap \operatorname{ran} f = \emptyset$ , contradicting the Axiom of Regularity.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 179** (Choice). Let R be a relation on A. Then R is well founded iff there does not exist any function  $f: \omega \to A$  such that f(n+1)Rf(n) for all  $n \in \omega$ .

### Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . If R is well founded then there does not exist any function  $f : \omega \to A$  such that f(n+1)Rf(n) for all  $n \in \omega$ .

PROOF: If there is such a function f then ran f is a nonempty subset of A with no R-minimal element.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If there does not exist any function  $f : \omega \to A$  such that f(n+1)Rf(n) for all  $n \in \omega$  then R is well founded.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 1. Let:  $X \subseteq A$  be a nonempty subset of A with no R-minimal element. Prove: There exists a function  $f: \omega \to A$  such that f(n+1) < f(n) for all  $n \in \omega$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Pick  $a_0 \in X$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \forall x \in X. \exists y \in X. yRx$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . PICK a function  $g: X \to X$  such that  $\forall x \in X.g(x)Rx$  PROOF: By the Axiom of Choice.

 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. Define  $f: \omega \to A$  recursively by:

$$f(0) = a_0$$

$$f(n^+) = g(f(n))$$

$$(2)6. \ \forall n \in \omega.f(n^+)Rf(n)$$

Alternative proof for Theorem 86 Define  $f: \omega \to \mathcal{P}A^2$  by f(0) = R and  $f(n^+) = f(n) \circ R$ . Define  $R^t = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} f(n)$ .

**Theorem 180.** For any set A, there exists the smallest transitive set B such that  $A \subseteq B$ .

Proof: Define  $f: \omega \to \mathbf{V}$  by

$$f(0) = A$$

$$f(n^+) = f(n) \cup \bigcup f(n)$$

Then  $\bigcup_n f(n)$  is the smallest transitive set that includes A.  $\square$ 

**Definition 181** (Transitive Closure). The *transitive closure* of a set A is the least transitive set that includes A.

# 19 Finite Sets

**Definition 182** (Finite). A set is *finite* iff it is equinumerous with a natural number. Otherwise it is infinite.

**Theorem 183.** No natural number is equinumerous with a proper subset of itself.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Any injective function  $f: 0 \to 0$  has range 0.

PROOF: Since the only such function is  $\emptyset$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . For any natural number n, if every injective function  $f: n \to n$  has range n, then every injective function  $f: n^+ \to n^+$  has range  $n^+$ .
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $n \in \omega$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume: Every injective function  $f: n \to n$  has range n.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $f: n^+ \to n^+$  be injective.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Define  $g: n \to n$  by

$$g(k) = \begin{cases} f(k) & \text{if } f(k) \in n \\ f(n) & \text{if } f(k) = n \end{cases}$$

PROOF: If  $k \in n$  and f(k) = n then  $f(n) \in n$  since f is injective.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . g is injective.
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $i, j \in n$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Assume: q(i) = q(j)
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . Case:  $f(i) \in n, f(j) \in n$

PROOF: Then f(i) = f(j) so i = j

```
\langle 3 \rangle 4. Case: f(i) \in n, f(j) \notin n
       PROOF: Then f(i) = f(n) which is impossible as f is injective.
   \langle 3 \rangle 5. Case: f(i) \notin n, f(j) \in n
      PROOF: Then f(n) = f(j) which is impossible as f is injective.
   \langle 3 \rangle 6. Case: f(i) \notin n, f(j) \notin n
      PROOF: Then f(i) = f(j) = n so i = j.
\langle 2 \rangle 6. ran g = n
   Proof: By \langle 2 \rangle 2.
\langle 2 \rangle 7. ran f = n^+
   \langle 3 \rangle 1. \ \forall k \in n.k \in \operatorname{ran} f
       PROOF: Since ran g \subseteq \operatorname{ran} f.
   \langle 3 \rangle 2. n \in \operatorname{ran} f
       \langle 4 \rangle 1. Case: f(n) \in n
          \langle 5 \rangle 1. Pick k such that g(k) = f(n)
          \langle 5 \rangle 2. f(k) = n
       \langle 4 \rangle 2. Case: f(n) = n
          PROOF: Then n \in \operatorname{ran} f.
```

Corollary 183.1. No finite set is equinumerous with a proper subset of itself.

Corollary 183.2. The set  $\omega$  is infinite.

PROOF: Since the function that maps n to n+1 is a bijection between  $\omega$  and the proper subset  $\omega - \{0\}$ .  $\square$ 

Corollary 183.3. Every finite set is equinumerous with a unique natural number

**Lemma 184.** Let n be a natural number and  $C \subseteq n$ . Then there exists  $m \in n$  such that  $C \approx m$ .

#### Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . For all  $C \subseteq 0$ , there exists  $m \in 0$  such that  $C \approx m$ .

PROOF: In this case  $C = \emptyset$  and so  $C \approx 0$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Let  $n \in \omega$ . Assume that, for all  $C \subseteq n$ , there exists  $m \subseteq n$  such that  $C \approx m$ . Let  $C \subseteq n^+$ . Then there exists  $m \subseteq n^+$  such that  $C \approx m$ .
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $n \in \omega$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume: For all  $C \subseteq n$ , there exists  $m \in n$  such that  $C \approx m$ .
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $C \subseteq n^+$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Case:  $n \in C$ 
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . PICK  $m \in n$  such that  $C \{n\} \approx m$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ .  $C \approx m^+$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. Case:  $n \notin C$

PROOF: Then  $C \subseteq n$  so  $C \approx m$  for some  $m \in n$ .

Ш

Corollary 184.1. Any subset of a finite set is finite.

# 20 Cardinal Numbers

**Definition 185** (Cardinality (Choice)). For any set A, define the *cardinal number* of A, |A|, to be the least ordinal that is equinumerous with A.

**Theorem 186.** For any sets A and B, |A| = |B| if and only if  $A \approx B$ .

Proof: Easy.

**Theorem 187.** For any finite set A, |A| is the natural number such that  $A \approx |A|$ .

PROOF: Immediate from definitions.  $\square$ 

**Definition 188.** We write  $\aleph_0$  for  $|\omega|$ .

# 21 Cardinal Arithmetic

**Definition 189** (Addition). Let  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  be any cardinal numbers. Then  $\kappa + \lambda = |K \cup L|$ , where K and L are any disjoint sets of cardinality  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  respectively. To show this is well-defined, we must prove that, if  $K_1 \approx K_2$ ,  $L_1 \approx L_2$ , and  $K_1 \cap L_1 = K_2 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $K_1 \cup L_1 \approx K_2 \cup L_2$ .

PROOF: Easy.

**Lemma 190.** For any cardinal number  $\kappa$  we have  $\kappa + 0 = \kappa$ .

PROOF: Since for any set K we have  $K \cup \emptyset = K$ .

**Lemma 191.** For any natural number n we have  $n + \aleph_0 = \aleph_0$ .

Proof: Easy.

Lemma 192.

$$\aleph_0 + \aleph_0 = \aleph_0$$

PROOF: Define  $f: (\omega \times \{0\}) \cup (\omega \times \{1\}) \to \omega$  by f(n,0) = 2n and f(n,1) = 2n+1. Then f is a bijection.  $\square$ 

Theorem 193.

$$\kappa + \lambda = \lambda + \kappa$$

Proof: Easy.

Theorem 194.

$$\kappa + (\lambda + \mu) = (\kappa + \lambda) + \mu$$

Proof: Easy.

**Definition 195** (Multiplication). Let  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  be any cardinal numbers. Then  $\kappa \lambda = |K \times L|$ , where K and L are any sets of cardinality  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  respectively.

It is easy to prove this well-defined.

**Lemma 196.** For any cardinal number  $\kappa$  we have  $\kappa 0 = 0$ .

PROOF: For any set K we have  $K \times \emptyset = \emptyset$ .  $\square$ 

**Lemma 197.** For any natural number n we have  $n\aleph_0 = \aleph_0$ .

PROOF: Induction on n using Lemma 192.  $\square$ 

Lemma 198.

$$\aleph_0 \aleph_0 = \aleph_0$$

PROOF: Define  $f:\omega\times\omega\to\omega$  by  $f(m,n)=2^m(2n+1)-1$ . Then f is a bijection.  $\square$ 

Lemma 199.

$$\kappa 1 = \kappa$$

Proof: Easy.

Theorem 200.

$$\kappa\lambda = \lambda\kappa$$

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

Theorem 201.

$$\kappa(\lambda\mu) = (\kappa\lambda)\mu$$

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

Theorem 202.

$$\kappa(\lambda + \mu) = \kappa\lambda + \kappa\mu$$

Proof: Easy.

**Definition 203** (Exponentiation). Let  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  be any cardinal numbers. Then  $\kappa^{\lambda} = |K^L|$ , where K and L are any sets of cardinality  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  respectively.

It is easy to prove this well-defined.

**Theorem 204.** For any cardinal  $\kappa$ ,  $\kappa^0 = 1$ .

PROOF: For any set K, there is only one function  $\emptyset \to K$ , namely  $\emptyset$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 205.** For any non-zero cardinal  $\kappa$ , we have  $0^{\kappa} = 0$ .

PROOF: For any nonempty set K, there is no function  $K \to \emptyset$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 206.** For any set A,  $|PA| = 2^{|A|}$ .

PROOF: Define the bijection  $f: \mathcal{P}A \to 2^A$  by f(S)(a) = 1 if  $a \in S, 0$  if  $a \notin S$ .

Corollary 206.1. For any cardinal  $\kappa$ , we have  $\kappa \neq 2^{\kappa}$ .

Theorem 207.

$$\kappa^{\lambda+\mu} = \kappa^{\lambda}\kappa^{\mu}$$

Proof: Easy.

Theorem 208.

$$(\kappa\lambda)^{\mu} = \kappa^{\mu}\lambda^{\mu}$$

Proof: Easy.  $\sqcup$ 

Theorem 209.

$$(\kappa^{\lambda})^{\mu} = \kappa^{\lambda\mu}$$

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

Lemma 210. The union of a set of cardinal numbers is a cardinal number.

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let: A be a set of cardinal numbers.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $\alpha \in \bigcup A$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . PICK  $\kappa \in A$  such that  $\alpha \in \kappa$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ .  $\alpha \prec \kappa$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5. \ \alpha \prec \bigcup A$

#### 22 Alephs

**Definition 211.** Define the cardinal number  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  for every ordinal  $\alpha$  by transfinite recursion thus:  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is the least infinite cardinal different from  $\aleph_{\beta}$  for every  $\beta < \alpha$ .

**Theorem 212.** If  $\alpha < \beta$  then  $\aleph_{\alpha} < \aleph_{\beta}$ .

PROOF: By minimality of  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 213.** Every infinite cardinal is of the form  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha$ .

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Assume: for every infinite cardinal  $\lambda < \kappa$ , there exists  $\alpha$  such that  $\lambda = \aleph_{\alpha}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $\alpha = \{ \beta : \aleph_{\beta} < \kappa \}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ .  $\alpha$  is a set.

PROOF: The mapping  $\beta \mapsto \aleph_{\beta}$  is an injection  $\alpha \to \kappa$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ .  $\alpha$  is a transitive set.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$ .  $\alpha$  is an ordinal.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 7$ .  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is the least infinite cardinal different from  $\aleph_{\beta}$  for all  $\beta$  such that  $\aleph_{\beta} < \kappa$ .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 8$ .  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is the least infinite cardinal different from  $\lambda$  for every infinite cardinal

PROOF: By  $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .

PROOF: By 
$$\langle 1 \rangle 9$$
.  $\aleph_{\alpha} = \kappa$ 

### 23 Arithmetic

Lemma 214. For any natural numbers m and n, we have  $m+n^+=(m+n)^+$ . PROOF: Easy.  $\square$ Corollary 214.1. The union of two finite sets is finite.

Lemma 215. For any natural numbers m and n we have  $mn^+=mn+m$ .

PROOF: Easy.  $\square$ Corollary 215.1. The Cartesian product of two finite sets is finite.

Lemma 216. For any natural numbers m and n we have  $m^{n^+}=m^nm$ .

PROOF: Easy.  $\square$ 

Corollary 216.1. If A and B are finite sets then  $A^B$  is finite.

# 24 Ordering on the Natural Numbers

**Lemma 217.** For any natural numbers m and n,  $m \in n$  if and only if  $m^+ \in n^+$ .

```
Proof:
```

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. \ \forall m, n \in \omega (m \in n \Rightarrow m^+ \in n^+)
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \forall m \in \omega (m \in 0 \Rightarrow m^+ \in 0^+)
        Proof: Vacuous.
    \langle 2 \rangle 2. For all n \in \omega, if \forall m \in n.m^+ \in n^+ then \forall m \in n^+.m^+ \in n^{++}
        \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: n \in \omega
        \langle 3 \rangle 2. Assume: \forall m \in n.m^+ \in n^+
        \langle 3 \rangle 3. Let: m \in n^+
        \langle 3 \rangle 4. Case: m \in n
            \langle 4 \rangle 1. \ m^+ \in n^+
               Proof: By \langle 3 \rangle 2
            \langle 4 \rangle 2. \ m^+ \in n^{++}
        \langle 3 \rangle 5. Case: m = n
            Proof: m^{+} = n^{+} \in n^{++}
\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ \forall m, n \in \omega(m^+ \in n^+ \Rightarrow m \in n)
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: m, n \in \omega
    \langle 2 \rangle 2. Assume: m^+ \in n^+
    \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ m \in m^+
    \langle 2 \rangle 4. m^+ \in n or m^+ = n
    \langle 2 \rangle 5. \ m \in n
        PROOF: If m^+ \in n this follows because n is transitive (Theorem 173).
П
```

**Lemma 218.** For any natural number n we have  $n \notin n$ .

```
⟨1⟩1. 0 \notin 0
⟨1⟩2. For all n \in \omega, if n \notin n then n^+ \notin n^+
⟨2⟩1. Let: n \in \omega
⟨2⟩2. Assume: n^+ \in n^+
Prove: n \in n
⟨2⟩3. n^+ \in n or n^+ = n
⟨2⟩4. n \in n^+
⟨2⟩5. n \in n
Proof: If n^+ \in n this follows because n is transitive (Theorem 173).
```

**Theorem 219** (Trichotomy Law for  $\omega$ ). For any natural numbers m and n, exactly one of

$$m \in n, m = n, n \in m$$

holds.

#### Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . For any  $m, n \in \omega$ , at most one of  $m \in n$ , m = n,  $n \in m$  holds.

PROOF: If  $m \in n$  and m = n then  $m \in m$  contradicting Lemma 218.

If  $m \in n$  and  $n \in m$  then  $m \in m$  by Theorem 173, contradicting Lemma 218.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . For any  $m, n \in \omega$ , at least one of  $m \in n$ , m = n,  $n \in m$  holds.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . For all  $n \in \omega$ , either  $0 \in n$  or 0 = n
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1. \ 0 = 0$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . For all  $n \in \omega$ , if  $0 \in n$  or 0 = n then  $0 \in n^+$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . For all  $m \in \omega$ , if  $\forall n \in \omega (m \in n \lor m = n \lor n \in m)$  then  $\forall n \in \omega (m^+ \in n \lor m^+ = n \lor n \in m^+)$ 
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $m \in \omega$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $\forall n \in \omega (m \in n \lor m = n \lor n \in m)$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $n \in \omega$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ . Case:  $m \in n$

PROOF: Then  $m \in n^+$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 5$ . Case: m = n

PROOF: Then  $m \in n^+$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 6$ . Case:  $n \in m$ 

PROOF: Then  $n^+ \in m^+$  by Lemma 217 so  $n^+ \in m$  or  $n^+ = m$ .

Corollary 219.1. The relation  $\in$  is a linear ordering on  $\omega$ .

Corollary 219.2. For any natural numbers m and n,

 $m \in n \Leftrightarrow m \subset n$ .

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $m, n \in \omega$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If  $m \in n$  then  $m \subset n$ .
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $m \in n$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $m \subseteq n$ 

PROOF: Theorem 173.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ m \neq n$ 

Proof: Lemma 218.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . If  $m \subset n$  then  $m \in n$ .

PROOF: We have  $m \neq n$  and  $n \notin m$  by  $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ , hence  $m \in n$  by trichotomy.

**Theorem 220.** For any natural number p, the function that maps n to n+p is strictly monotone. For any natural numbers m, n and p, we have  $m \in n$  if and only if  $m+p \in n+p$ .

PROOF: We prove that  $m \in n \Rightarrow m+p \in n+p$ . This is an easy induction on p using Lemma 217.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 221.** For any non-zero natural number p, the function that maps n to np is strictly monotone.

PROOF: Easy induction on p using Theorem 220.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 222** (Strong Induction). Let A be a subset of  $\omega$  and suppose that, for all  $n \in \omega$ , we have

$$(\forall m < n.m \in A) \Rightarrow n \in A$$
.

Then  $A = \omega$ .

PROOF: Prove  $\forall n \in \omega . \forall m < n.m \in A$  by induction on n.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 223** (Well-Ordering of  $\omega$ ). The ordering < on  $\omega$  is a well-ordering.

PROOF: If A is a subset of  $\omega$  with no least element, we prove  $\forall n \in \omega. n \notin A$  by strong induction on n.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 224.** For any natural numbers m and n, we have  $m \in n$  if and only if there exists a natural number p such that  $n = m + p^+$ .

### Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . For all m, p, we have  $m \in m + p^+$ 

Proof:  $m = m + 0 \in m + p^+$ 

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . For all m, n, if  $m \in n$  then there exists p such that  $n = m + p^+$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . For all m, if  $m \in 0$  then there exists p such that  $0 = m + p^+$  PROOF: Vacuous.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2.$  For all  $n \in \omega,$  if  $\forall m \in n. \exists p \in \omega. n = m+p^+$  then  $\forall m \in n^+. \exists p \in \omega. n^+ = m+p^+$ 
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $n \in \omega$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $\forall m \in n. \exists p \in \omega. n = m + p^+$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $m \in n^+$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ . Case:  $m \in n$ 
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ . Pick p such that  $n = m + p^+$

```
\langle 4 \rangle 2. \ n^{+} = m + p^{++} 
\langle 3 \rangle 5. \ \text{Case:} \ m = n 
PROOF: n^{+} = m + 0^{+}
```

**Lemma 225.** For natural numbers m, n, p and q, if  $m \in n$  and  $p \in q$  then  $mp + nq \in mq + np$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . PICK natural numbers a and b such that  $n=m+a^+$  and  $q=p+b^+$  PROOF: Lemma 224.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .  $mp + nq = mq + np + (a^+ + b)^+$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ mp + nq \in mq + np$

Proof: Lemma 224.

# 25 The Integers

**Theorem 226.** The relation  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $\omega \times \omega$ , where  $(m,n) \sim (p,q)$  iff m+q=n+p.

PROOF

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . The relation  $\sim$  is reflexive on  $\omega^2$ 

PROOF: For any m, n, we have m + n = m + n and so  $(m, n) \sim (m, n)$ .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . The relation  $\sim$  is symmetric.

PROOF: If m + q = n + p then p + n = q + m.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . The relation  $\sim$  is transitive.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $(m,n) \sim (p,q) \sim (r,s)$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . m+q=n+p
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ p+s=q+r$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . m+p+q+s=n+p+q+r
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . m+s=n+r

PROOF: By cancellation of addition in  $\omega$ .

**Definition 227.** The set  $\mathbb{Z}$  of *integers* is the quotient set  $(\omega \times \omega)/\sim$ .

**Lemma 228.** If  $(m,n) \sim (m',n')$  and  $(p,q) \sim (p',q')$  then  $(m+p,n+q) \sim (m'+p',n'+q')$ .

PROOF: Assume m+n'=m'+n and p+q'=p'+q. Then m+p+n'+q'=m'+p'+n+q.  $\square$ 

**Definition 229** (Addition). Addition + on  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the binary operation such that

$$[(m,n)] + [(p,q)] = [(m+p,n+q)]$$

**Theorem 230.** Addition on  $\mathbb{Z}$  is commutative.

PROOF: From the definition.  $\Box$ 

Proof: Easy. $\square$
<b>Definition 232</b> (Zero). The zero in the integers is $0 = [(0,0)]$ .
<b>Theorem 233.</b> For any integer $a$ we have $a + 0 = 0$ .
Proof: Easy. $\square$
<b>Theorem 234.</b> For any integer $a$ , there exists an integer $b$ such that $a+b=0$ .
Proof: If $a = [(m, n)]$ take $b = [(n, m)]$ . $\square$
<b>Lemma 235.</b> If $(m,n) \sim (m',n')$ and $(p,q) \sim (p',q')$ then $(mp+nq,mq+np) \sim (m'p'+n'q',m'q'+n'p')$ .
PROOF: $ \langle 1 \rangle 1. \text{ Assume: } m+n'=m'+n \text{ and } p+q'=p'+q \\ \langle 1 \rangle 2. mp+n'p=m'p+np \\ \langle 1 \rangle 3. m'q+nq=mq+n'q \\ \langle 1 \rangle 4. mp+mq'=mp'+mq \\ \langle 1 \rangle 5. n'p'+n'q=n'p+n'q' \\ \langle 1 \rangle 6. mp+n'p+m'q+nq+mp+mq'+n'p'+n'q=m'p+np+mq+n'q+mp'+mq+n'p+n'q' \\ \langle 1 \rangle 7. mp+nq+m'q'+n'p'=mq+np+m'p'+n'q' \\ \square $
<b>Definition 236</b> (Multiplication). <i>Multiplication</i> $\cdot$ is the binary operation on $\mathbb Z$ such that $[(m,n)][(p,q)] = [(mp+nq,mq+np)]$
Theorem 237. Multiplication is commutative.
Proof: Easy.
Theorem 238. Multiplication is associative.
Proof: Easy. $\square$
Theorem 239. Multiplication is distributive over addition.
Proof: Easy. $\square$
<b>Definition 240.</b> The integer one is $1 = [(1,0)]$ .
<b>Theorem 241.</b> For any integer $a$ we have $a1 = a$ .
Proof: Easy. $\square$
<b>Theorem 242.</b> $0 \neq 1$
Proof: Easy. $\square$

**Theorem 231.** Addition on  $\mathbb{Z}$  is associtative.

**Lemma 243.** If  $(m,n) \sim (m',n')$  and  $(p,q) \sim (p',q')$  then  $m+q \in p+n$  iff  $m'+q' \in p'+n'$ .

Proof:

$$m+q \in p+n \Leftrightarrow m+q+n'+q' \in p+n+n'+q'$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow m'+n+q+q' \in p'+n+n'+q$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow m'+q' \in p'+n'$$

**Definition 244** (Ordering). The ordering < on  $\mathbb{Z}$  is defined by: [(m,n)] < [(p,q)] iff  $m+q \in n+p$ .

**Theorem 245.** The relation < is a linear ordering on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . < is transitive.
  - (2)1. Assume: [(m,n)] < [(p,q)] and [(p,q)] < [(r,s)]
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $m+q \in n+p$  and  $p+s \in q+r$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ .  $m+q+s \in n+p+s$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $n+p+s \in n+q+r$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ .  $m+q+s \in n+q+r$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 6. \ m+s \in n+r$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . < satisfies trichotomy.

PROOF: From trichotomy on  $\omega$ .

٦

**Theorem 246.** For any integers a, b and c, we have a < b iff a + c < b + c.

PROOF: An easy consequence of the corresponding property in  $\omega$ .

**Corollary 246.1.** *If* a + c = b + c *then* a = b.

**Theorem 247.** If 0 < c, then the function that maps an integer a to ac is strictly monotone.

### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let: a, b and c be integers.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Assume: 0 < c and a < b
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Let: a = [(m, n)]
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . Let: b = [(p,q)]
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ . Let: c = [(r, s)]
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ s \in r$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 7$ .  $m+q \in p+n$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 8. \ (m+q)r + (p+n)s \in (m+q)s + (p+n)r$

Proof: Lemma 225.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 9$ . ac < bc

Ų.,

**Lemma 248.** For integers a and b, a(-b) = -(ab)

PROOF: This follows from the fact that ab + a(-b) = a(b + (-b)) = a0 = 0.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 249.** For integers a, b and c, if a < b and c < 0 then ac > bc.

PROOF: We have 0 < -c so a(-c) < b(-c) hence -(ac) < -(bc) so bc < ac.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 250.** For any integers a and b, if ab = 0 then a = 0 or b = 0.

PROOF: We prove if  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$  then  $ab \neq 0$ .

If a > 0 and b > 0 then ab > 0. Similarly for the other four cases.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 251.** If ac = bc and  $c \neq 0$  then a = b.

PROOF: We have (a - b)c = 0 so a - b = 0 hence a = b.  $\square$ 

**Definition 252** (Positive). An integer a is positive iff 0 < a.

**Theorem 253.** Define  $E: \omega \to \mathbb{Z}$  by E(n) = [(n,0)]. Then E maps  $\omega$  one-to-one into  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and:

- 1. E(m+n) = E(m) + E(n)
- 2. E(mn) = E(m)E(n)
- 3.  $m \in n$  if and only if E(m) < E(n).

PROOF: Routine calculations.

# 26 Equinumerosity

**Definition 254** (Equinumerous). Two sets A and B are equinumerous,  $A \approx B$ , iff there exists a bijection between them.

**Theorem 255.** Equinumerosity is an equivalence relation on the class of sets.

Proof: Easy.

Theorem 256 (Cantor 1873). No set is equinumerous with its power set.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $g: A \to \mathcal{P}A$ 
  - Prove: g is not surjective.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $B = \{x \in A : x \notin g(x)\}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \forall x \in A.g(x) \neq B$

PROOF: Because  $x \in B$  iff  $x \notin g(x)$ .

# 27 Ordering Cardinal Numbers

**Definition 257** (Dominated). A set A is dominated by a set B,  $A \leq B$ , iff there exists an injection  $f: A \to B$ .

**Lemma 258.** Domination is a preorder on the class of sets.

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 259.** *If*  $A \subseteq B$  *then*  $A \preceq B$ .

PROOF: The inclusion from A to B is an injection.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 260.** If  $A \leq B$ ,  $A \approx A'$  and  $B \approx B'$  then  $A' \leq B'$ .

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Definition 261.** Given cardinal numbers  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$ , we write  $\kappa \leq \lambda$  iff  $K \leq L$ , where K is any set of cardinality  $\kappa$  and L is any set of cardinality  $\lambda$ .

We write  $\kappa < \lambda$  iff  $\kappa \leq \lambda$  and  $\kappa \neq \lambda$ .

**Theorem 262** (Schröder-Bernstein). If  $A \leq B$  and  $B \leq A$  then  $A \approx B$ .

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to A$  be one-to-one.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Define the sequence of sets  $C_n \subseteq A$  by:

$$C_0 = A - \operatorname{ran} g$$

$$C_{n+1} = g(f(C_n))$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Define  $h: A \to B$  by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. x \in C_n \\ g^{-1}(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . h is injective.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $x, y \in A$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume: h(x) = h(y)
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Case:  $x \in C_m, y \in C_n$

PROOF: We have f(x) = f(y) so x = y

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Case:  $x \in C_m, y \notin \bigcup_n C_n$ 

PROOF: This case is impossible because we would have y = g(f(x)) and so  $y \in C_{m+1}$ .

 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. Case:  $x, y \notin \bigcup_n C_n$ 

PROOF: We have  $g^{-1}(x) = g^{-1}(y)$  so x = y.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ . h is surjective.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $y \in B$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $y \notin f(C_n)$  for all n
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3.$   $g(y) \notin C_n$  for all n
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ \ y = h(g(y))$

 $\neg$ 

**Corollary 262.1.** The relation  $\leq$  is a partial order on the class of cardinal numbers.

**Theorem 263.** Let  $\kappa$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be cardinal numbers.

1. 
$$\kappa \leq \lambda \Rightarrow \kappa + \mu \leq \lambda + \mu$$

2. 
$$\kappa \leq \lambda \Rightarrow \kappa \mu \leq \lambda \mu$$

3. 
$$\kappa < \lambda \Rightarrow \kappa^{\mu} < \lambda^{\mu}$$

4.  $\kappa \leq \lambda \Rightarrow \mu^{\kappa} \leq \mu^{\lambda}$  if  $\kappa$  and  $\mu$  are not both zero.

PROOF: Parts 1-3 are easy. For part 4:

Let  $|K| = \kappa$ ,  $|L| = \lambda$  and  $|M| = \mu$  with  $K \subseteq L$ .

If  $M = \emptyset$  then  $\kappa \neq 0$  so  $\mu^{\kappa} = 0 \leq \mu^{\lambda}$ .

Otherwise, pick  $a \in M$ . Define  $\Phi: M^K \to M^L$  by:

$$\Phi(f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in K \\ a & \text{if } x \notin K \end{cases}$$

Then  $\Phi$  is an injection.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 264** (Cardinal Comparability). The Axiom of Choice is equivalent to the statement: for any sets C and D, either  $C \leq D$  or  $D \leq C$ .

### Proof:

- ⟨1⟩1. If Zorn's Lemma then Cardinal Comparability.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: Zorn's Lemma
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: C and D be sets.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 3. Let:  $\mathcal A$  be the set of all injective functions f with dom  $f \subseteq C$  and ran  $f \subseteq D$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . For every chain  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  we have  $\bigcup \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. Let:  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  be maximal
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$ . dom f = C or ran f = D
- $\langle 2 \rangle 7$ . f is an injective function  $C \to D$  or  $f^{-1}$  is an injective function  $D \to C$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If Cardinal Comparability then the Well-Ordering Theorem.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: Cardinal Comparability
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: A be any set
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3.$  PICK an ordinal  $\alpha$  not dominated by A PROOF: Hartogs' Theorem.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $A \leq \alpha$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. Pick an injective function  $f: A \to \alpha$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 6. Define < on A by: x < y iff  $f(x) \in f(y)$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 7$ . < is a well ordering on A.

**Theorem 265** (Choice). For any infinite set A, we have  $\omega \leq A$ .

### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let: A be an infinite set.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . PICK a choice function F for A
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 3. Define  $f : \omega \to A$  by recursion by:  $f(n) = F(A \{f(0), f(1), \dots, f(n-1)\})$ PROOF:  $A - \{f(0), f(1), \dots, f(n-1)\}$  is nonempty because A is infinite.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . f is injective.

**Corollary 265.1** (Choice). For any infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  we have  $\aleph_0 \leq \kappa$ .

Corollary 265.2 (Choice). A set is infinite iff it is equinumerous to a proper subset of itself.

**Proposition 266** (Choice). If there exists a surjection  $A \to B$  then  $B \leq A$ .

PROOF: Any surjection  $A \to B$  has a right inverse which is an injection  $B \to A$ .

### 28 Countable Sets

**Definition 267** (Countable). A set is *countable* iff it is dominated by  $\omega$ .

Proposition 268. Any subset of a countable set is countable.

Proof: Easy.

The union of two countable sets is countable.

PROOF: Because  $\aleph_0 + \aleph_0 = \aleph_0$ 

**Proposition 269.** The product of two countable sets is countable.

PROOF: Because  $\aleph_0 \aleph_0 = \aleph_0$ .  $\square$ 

**Proposition 270** (Choice). For any infinite set A, the set PA is uncountable.

PROOF: If  $|A| \geq \aleph_0$  then  $|\mathcal{P}A| \geq 2^{\aleph_0}$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 271** (Choice). A countable union of countable sets is countable.

### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\mathcal{A}$  be a countable set of countable sets.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Assume: w.l.o.g.  $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{A}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Pick a surjection  $G : \omega \to A$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4.$  Pick a function F with domain  $\omega$  such that, for all  $m,\, F(m)$  is a surjection  $\omega \to G(m)$

PROOF: By the Axiom of Choice.

- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. Define  $f : \omega \times \omega \to \bigcup A$  by f(m,n) = F(m)(n)
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$ . f is surjective.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 7. \ A \preccurlyeq \omega \times \omega$

### 29 Arithmetic of Infinite Cardinals

**Lemma 272** (Choice). For any infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  we have  $\kappa \cdot \kappa = \kappa$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Let: B be a set of cardinality  $\kappa$ .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $\mathcal{H} = \{ f : f = \emptyset \text{ or for some infinite } A \subseteq B, f \text{ is a bijection between } A \times A \text{ and } A \}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . For any chain  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ , we have  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{H}$

- $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  be a chain.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume: w.l.o.g. C has a nonempty element.

PROOF: Otherwise  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} = \emptyset \in \mathcal{H}$ .

- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ .  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  is an injective function.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Let:  $A = \operatorname{ran} \bigcup \mathcal{C}$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . A is infinite.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 6$ .  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  is a bijection between  $A \times A$  and A.
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $a_1, a_2 \in A$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . PICK  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $a_1 \in \operatorname{ran} f_1$  and  $a_2 \in \operatorname{ran} f_2$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . Assume: w.l.o.g.  $f_1 \subseteq f_2$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ .  $\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \in \text{dom } f_2$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 5. \ \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \in \operatorname{dom} \bigcup \mathcal{C}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. Pick a maximal  $f_0 \in \mathcal{H}$

Proof: Zorn's Lemma.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ f_0 \neq \emptyset$ 

PROOF: B has a countable subset A, say, and  $A \times A \approx A$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle 7$ . Pick  $A_0 \subseteq B$  infinite such that  $f_0$  is a bijection between  $A_0 \times A_0$  and  $A_0$ .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 8$ . Let:  $\lambda = |A_0|$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 9$ .  $\lambda$  is infinite
- $\langle 1 \rangle 10. \ \lambda = \lambda \cdot \lambda$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 11$ .  $\lambda = \kappa$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1. |B A_0| < \lambda$ 
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Assume: for a contradiction  $\lambda \leq |B A_0|$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Pick  $D \subseteq B A_0$  with  $|D| = \lambda$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ (A_0 \cup D) \times (A_0 \cup D) = (A_0 \times A_0) \cup (A_0 \times D) \cup (D \times A_0) \cup (D \times D)$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ f_0 : A_0 \times A_0 \approx A_0$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 5. \ |(A_0 \times D) \cup (D \times A_0) \cup (D \times D)| = \lambda$

Proof:

$$\begin{split} |(A_0 \times D) \cup (D \times A_0) \cup (D \times D)| &= \lambda \cdot \lambda + \lambda \cdot \lambda + \lambda \cdot \lambda \\ &= \lambda + \lambda + \lambda \qquad (\langle 1 \rangle 10) \\ &= 3 \cdot \lambda \\ &\leq \lambda \cdot \lambda \\ &= \lambda \qquad (\langle 1 \rangle 10) \end{split}$$

- $\langle 3 \rangle$ 6. Pick a bijection  $g: (A_0 \times D) \cup (D \times A_0) \cup (D \times D) \approx D$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 7. \ f_0 \cup g : (A_0 \cup D) \times (A_0 \cup D) \approx A_0 \cup D$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 8$ . Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts the maximality of  $f_0$ .

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $\lambda = \kappa$ 

Proof:

$$\begin{split} \kappa &= |B| \\ &= |A_0| + |B - A_0| \\ &\leq \lambda + \lambda \\ &= 2 \cdot \lambda \\ &\leq \lambda \cdot \lambda \\ &= \lambda \\ &\leq \kappa \end{split}$$

Corollary 272.1 (Absorption Law of Cardinal Arithmetic (Choice)). Let  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  be cardinal numbers, the larger of which is infinite and the smaller of which is nonzero. Then

$$\kappa + \lambda = \kappa \cdot \lambda = \max(\kappa, \lambda)$$
.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Assume: w.l.o.g.  $\kappa \leq \lambda$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .  $\kappa + \lambda = \lambda$ 

Proof:

$$\begin{split} \lambda &\leq \kappa + \lambda \\ &\leq \lambda + \lambda \\ &= 2 \cdot \lambda \\ &\leq \lambda \cdot \lambda \\ &= \lambda \end{split}$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \kappa \cdot \lambda = \lambda$ Proof:

$$\lambda = 1 \cdot \lambda$$

$$\leq \kappa \cdot \lambda$$

$$\leq \lambda \cdot \lambda$$

$$= \lambda$$

### 30 Rank

**Definition 273.** Define the set  $V_{\alpha}$  for every ordinal  $\alpha$  by transfinite recursion thus:

$$V_{\alpha} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}V_{\beta} : \beta \in \alpha \} .$$

**Lemma 274.** For any ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $V_{\alpha}$  is a transitive set.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\alpha$  be an ordinal.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2.$  Let:  $x \in y \in V_\alpha$   $\langle 1 \rangle 3.$  Pick  $\beta \in \alpha$  such that  $y \in \mathcal{P} V_\beta$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ x \in V_{\beta}$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ . Pick  $\gamma \in \beta$  such that  $x \in \mathcal{P}V_{\gamma}$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ \gamma \in \alpha \text{ and } x \in \mathcal{P}V_{\gamma}$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 7. \ x \in V_{\alpha}$ 

**Theorem 275.** For ordinals  $\beta \in \alpha$  we have  $V_{\beta} \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ .

Proof:

$$V_{eta} = \bigcup_{\gamma \in eta} \mathcal{P}V_{\gamma}$$

$$\subseteq \bigcup_{\gamma \in lpha} \mathcal{P}V_{\gamma}$$

$$= V_{lpha}$$

Theorem 276.

$$V_0 = \emptyset$$

PROOF: Immediate from definitions.

**Theorem 277.** For any ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $V_{\alpha^+} = \mathcal{P}V_{\alpha}$ .

Proof:

$$V_{\alpha^{+}} = \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} \mathcal{P}V_{\beta}$$
$$= \mathcal{P}V_{\beta}$$

by Theorem 275.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 278.** For  $\lambda$  a limit ordinal,  $V_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\beta < \lambda} V_{\beta}$ .

Proof:

$$V_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\beta < \lambda} \mathcal{P}V_{\beta}$$
$$= \bigcup_{\beta < \lambda} V_{\beta^{+}}$$
$$= \bigcup_{\beta < \lambda} V_{\beta}$$

since  $\beta < \lambda$  iff  $\beta^+ < \lambda$ .  $\square$ 

**Definition 279** (Grounded, Rank). A set A is grounded iff  $\exists \alpha. A \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ . The rank of a grounded set A, rank A, is then the least ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $A \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ .

**Theorem 280.** If A is grounded and  $a \in A$  then a is grounded and rank a < A $\operatorname{rank} A.$ 

PROOF: We have  $a \in A \subseteq V_{\operatorname{rank} A}$ . So  $a \in \mathcal{P}V_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha < \operatorname{rank} A$ , i.e.  $a \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ for some  $\alpha < \operatorname{rank} A$ , as required.

**Theorem 281.** If every member of A is grounded then A is grounded and

$$\operatorname{rank} A = \sup_{a \in A} (\operatorname{rank} a)^+ .$$

### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\alpha = \sup_{a \in A} (\operatorname{rank} a)^+$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .  $A \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $a \in A$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $a \subseteq V_{\operatorname{rank} a}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ a \in V_{(\operatorname{rank} a)^+}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ a \in V_{\alpha}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . If  $A \subseteq V_{\beta}$  then  $\alpha \leq \beta$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $A \subseteq V_{\beta}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2. \ \forall a \in A.a \in V_{\beta}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \forall a \in A. \exists \gamma < \beta. a \subseteq V_{\gamma}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $\forall a \in A. \exists \gamma < \beta. \operatorname{rank} a \leq \gamma$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ .  $\forall a \in A$ . rank  $a < \beta$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 6. \ \forall a \in A. (\operatorname{rank} a)^+ \leq \beta$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 7. \ \alpha \leq \beta$

### Theorem 282. Every set is grounded.

#### **PROOF**

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Assume: for a contradiction c is not grounded.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Let: B be the transitive closure of  $\{c\}$ .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $A = \{x \in B : x \text{ is not grounded}\}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . Pick  $m \in A$  such that  $m \cap A = \emptyset$

PROOF: By the Axiom of Regularity.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ . Every member of m is grounded.

PROOF: Every member of m is in B by transitivity but not in A.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 6$ . m is grounded.

PROOF: Theorem 281.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 7$ . Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts the fact that  $m \in A$ .

**Theorem 283.** Let A be any set and  $A^t$  its transitive closure. Let  $M^t$  be the transitive closure of the relation  $\{\langle x,y\rangle:x\in y\in A^t\}$ . Define  $E:A^t\to \mathbf{V}$  by transfinite recursion thus:

$$E(a) = \{ E(x) : xM^t a \} \qquad (a \in A^t) .$$

Then  $E(a) = \operatorname{rank} a$  for all  $a \in A^t$ , and  $\operatorname{ran} E = \operatorname{rank} A$ .

### Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ .  $M^t$  is well-founded

PROOF: Theorem 87.

```
\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ \forall a \in A^t. \operatorname{rank} a = \{\operatorname{rank} x : xM^t a\}
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \forall x, a \in A^t.xM^ta \Rightarrow \operatorname{rank} x < \operatorname{rank} a
        Proof: Theorem 280.
    \langle 2 \rangle 2. \forall x \in A^t . \forall \alpha < \operatorname{rank} a . \exists x M^t a . \alpha = \operatorname{rank} x
         \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: a \in A^t
         \langle 3 \rangle 2. Assume: \forall b M^t a. \forall \alpha < \operatorname{rank} b. \exists x M^t b. \alpha = \operatorname{rank} x
         \langle 3 \rangle 3. Let: \alpha < \operatorname{rank} a
         \langle 3 \rangle 4. Pick b \in a such that \alpha \leq \operatorname{rank} b
             Proof: Theorem 281.
         \langle 3 \rangle 5. Case: \alpha < \operatorname{rank} b
             \langle 4 \rangle 1. PICK xM^tb such that \alpha = \operatorname{rank} x
                 Proof: By \langle 3 \rangle 2
             \langle 4 \rangle 2. xM^ta
         \langle 3 \rangle 6. Case: \alpha = \operatorname{rank} b
            PROOF: We have bM^ta and \alpha = \operatorname{rank} b as required.
         \langle 3 \rangle 7. Q.E.D.
             PROOF: This concludes the proof by transfinite induction over M^t (\langle 1 \rangle 1).
\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \forall a \in A^t.E(a) = \operatorname{rank} a
    PROOF: By transfinite induction on a.
\langle 1 \rangle 4. ran E = \operatorname{rank} A
    PROOF: From \langle 1 \rangle 3 substituting \{A\} for A.
```

# 31 Models of Set Theory

**Theorem 284.** For any limit ordinal  $\lambda > \omega$ , we have  $V_{\lambda}$  is a model of Zermelo set theory.

Proof: Easy.

**Theorem 285** (Choice). For any ordinal  $\alpha$ , we have  $V_{\alpha}$  is a model of the Axiom of Choice.

Proof: Easy.

**Lemma 286** (Choice). There exists a well-ordered structure in  $V_{\omega 2}$  whose ordinal number is not in  $V_{\omega 2}$ .

PROOF: Pick an uncountable set  $S \in V_{\omega_2}$ . Pick a well-ordering R on S. Then  $\langle S, R \rangle \in V_{\omega_2}$  but its ordinal is not, because every ordinal in  $V_{\omega_2}$  is  $< \omega_2$  hence countable.  $\square$ 

Corollary 286.1 (Choice). The set  $V_{\omega 2}$  is not a model of ZFC.

**Corollary 286.2.** The Replacement Axioms are not provable from the Zermelo axioms.

# 32 Cofinality

**Definition 287** (Cofinal). Let  $\lambda$  be a limit ordinal and S a set of smaller ordinals. Then S is *cofinal* in  $\lambda$  iff  $\lambda = \sup S$ .

**Definition 288** (Cofinality). The *cofinality* of a limit ordinal  $\lambda$ , cf  $\lambda$ , is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that  $\lambda$  is the limit of  $\kappa$  smaller ordinals.

We also define cf 0 = 0 and cf  $\alpha^+ = 1$ .

**Definition 289** (Regular Cardinal). A cardinal  $\kappa$  is regular iff cf  $\kappa = \kappa$ ; otherwise  $\kappa$  is singular.

**Theorem 290.** For every ordinal  $\alpha$ , the cardinal  $\aleph_{\alpha+1}$  is regular.

PROOF: If S is a set of fewer than  $\aleph_{\alpha+1}$  smaller ordinals then  $\forall \beta \in S. |\beta| \leq \aleph_{\alpha}$  and so

$$|\bigcup S| \leq |S| \cdot \aleph_{\alpha} \leq \aleph_{\alpha} \cdot \aleph_{\alpha} = \aleph_{\alpha} . \square$$

**Theorem 291.** For every limit ordinal  $\lambda$ , we have cf  $\aleph_{\lambda} = \operatorname{cf} \lambda$ .

```
Proof:
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: \lambda be a limit ordinal.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. cf \aleph_{\lambda} \leq \operatorname{cf} \lambda
     \langle 2 \rangle 1. Pick a set S with |S| = \text{cf } \lambda and \bigcup S = \lambda
     \langle 2 \rangle 2. \aleph_{\lambda} = \sup_{\alpha \in S} \aleph_{\alpha}
          PROOF: Theorem 139.
\langle 1 \rangle 3. cf \lambda \leq cf \aleph_{\lambda}
     \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: A be a set of smaller ordinals such that \aleph_{\lambda} = \sup A
                  Prove: cf \lambda \leq |A|
     \langle 2 \rangle 2. Let: B = \{ \gamma \in \lambda : \exists \alpha \in A. |\alpha| = \aleph_{\gamma} \}
     \langle 2 \rangle 3. |B| \leq |A|
     \langle 2 \rangle 4. sup B = \lambda
          \langle 3 \rangle 1. \ \forall \alpha \in A.\alpha \in \aleph_{\sup B+1}
               \langle 4 \rangle 1. Let: \alpha \in A
               \langle 4 \rangle 2. |\alpha| \leq \aleph_{\sup B}
               \langle 4 \rangle 3. \ \alpha \in \aleph_{\sup B+1}
          \langle 3 \rangle 2. \lambda \subseteq \sup B + 1
```

 $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ \lambda = \sup B$  $\langle 4 \rangle 1. \ \lambda \leq \sup B$ 

П

PROOF: From  $\langle 3 \rangle 2$  since  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal.

 $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ .  $\sup B \leq \lambda$ 

 $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ .  $\aleph_{\lambda} \leq \aleph_{\sup B+1}$ 

PROOF: From  $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .

**Definition 292** (Weakly Inaccessible). An ordinal  $\lambda$  is weakly inaccessible iff  $\aleph_{\lambda}$  is regular.

**Lemma 293.** Let f be an  $\alpha$ -sequence of ordinals. Then there exists an increasing  $\beta$ -sequence g for some  $\beta \leq \alpha$  such that  $\sup \operatorname{ran} f = \sup \operatorname{ran} g$ .

#### **PROOF**

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let: h be the sequence defined by transfinite recursion thus:  $h_{\xi}$  is the least  $\gamma$  such that  $\forall \delta < \xi. f_{h_{\delta}} < f_{\gamma}$  if any such  $\gamma$  exists; otherwise the sequence halts.

```
\langle 1 \rangle 2. Let: \beta = \operatorname{dom} h
```

$$\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ g_{\xi} = f_{h_{\xi}} \text{ for } \xi < \beta$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . sup ran  $g \leq \sup \operatorname{ran} f$ 

PROOF: Since g is a subsequence of f.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ . sup ran  $f \leq \sup \operatorname{ran} g$ 

$$\langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \forall \xi < \beta. \forall \delta \le h_{\xi}. f_{\delta} \le g_{\xi}$$

$$\langle 3 \rangle 1$$
. Let:  $\xi < \beta$ 

$$\langle 3 \rangle 2$$
. Let:  $\delta \leq h_{\xi}$ 

$$\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ f_{\delta} \leq f_{h_{\xi}}$$

 $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $\delta < h_{\xi}$ 

 $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ . PICK  $\alpha < \xi$  such that  $f_delta \leq f_{h_\alpha}$ 

$$\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ f_{\delta} \leq f_{h_{\alpha}} \leq f_{h_{\xi}}$$

$$\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ f_{h_{\xi}} = g_{\xi}$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 2. \ \forall \xi < \beta. f_{\xi} \leq g_{\xi}$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 3$$
. Case:  $\beta = \alpha$ 

PROOF: Then sup ran  $f \leq \sup \operatorname{ran} g$  immediately.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Case:  $\beta < \alpha$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle$ 1. There is no  $\gamma$  such that  $g_{\delta} < f_{\gamma}$  for all  $\delta < \beta$  PROOF: This is the condition for the sequence h to halt.

 $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . For all  $\gamma$ , there exists  $\delta$  such that  $f_{\gamma} < g_{\delta}$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . sup ran  $f \leq \sup \operatorname{ran} g$ 

**Theorem 294.** Let  $\lambda$  be a limit ordinal. Then there exists an increasing (cf  $\lambda$ )-sequence of ordinals that converges to  $\lambda$ .

### Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Pick a set S with  $|S| = \operatorname{cf} \lambda$  and  $\lambda = \sup S$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Pick a bijection  $f : \text{cf } \lambda \approx S$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 3. PICK an increasing  $\beta$ -sequence converging to  $\lambda$  with  $\beta \leq \operatorname{cf} \lambda$  PROOF: Lemma 293.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ .  $\beta = \operatorname{cf} \lambda$ 

PROOF: By leastness of cf  $\lambda$ .

**Corollary 294.1.** For any limit ordinal  $\lambda$ , we have cf  $\lambda$  is the least ordinal  $\alpha$  such that there exists an increasing  $\alpha$ -sequence of ordinals  $< \lambda$  that converges to  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem 295.** For any ordinal  $\lambda$ , we have cf  $\lambda$  is a regular cardinal.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Assume: w.l.o.g.  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . PICK an increasing cf  $\lambda$ -sequence f of ordinals  $\langle \lambda \rangle$  that converges to  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem 296.** Let  $\lambda$  be an infinite cardinal. Then cf  $\lambda$  is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that  $\lambda$  can be decomposed as the union of  $\kappa$  sets each with cardinality  $< \lambda$ .

#### PROOF:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ .  $\lambda$  can be decomposed as the union of cf  $\lambda$  sets each with cardinality  $< \lambda$  PROOF: Since  $\lambda$  is the union of a set of cf  $\lambda$  smaller ordinals.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \langle 1 \rangle 2. \text{ If } \lambda = \bigcup \mathcal{A} \text{ where } \forall X \in \mathcal{A}. |X| < \lambda \text{ then cf } \lambda \leq |\mathcal{A}. \\ \langle 2 \rangle 1. \text{ Let: } \kappa = |\mathcal{A}| \\ \langle 2 \rangle 2. \text{ Let: } \mathcal{A} = \{A_{\xi} : \xi < \kappa\} \\ \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \lambda = \bigcup_{\xi < \kappa} A_{\xi} \\ \langle 2 \rangle 4. \ \forall \xi < \kappa. |A_{\xi}| < \lambda \\ \langle 2 \rangle 5. \text{ Let: } \mu = \sup_{\xi < \kappa} |A_{\xi}| \\ \langle 2 \rangle 6. \ \lambda \leq \mu \cdot \kappa \\ \text{PROOF: Since } \lambda = |\bigcup_{\xi < \kappa} A_{\xi}| \\ \langle 2 \rangle 7. \text{ Case: } \lambda \leq \kappa \\ \text{PROOF: Then cf } \lambda \leq \lambda \leq \kappa \\ \langle 2 \rangle 8. \text{ Case: } \kappa < \lambda \\ \langle 3 \rangle 1. \ \lambda = \mu \\ \text{PROOF: Since } \lambda \leq \mu \cdot \kappa \leq \lambda \cdot \lambda = \lambda \\ \langle 3 \rangle 2. \ \lambda \text{ is the supremum of } \kappa \text{ smaller ordinals.} \\ \langle 3 \rangle 3. \text{ cf } \lambda \leq \kappa \\ \end{array}
```

**Theorem 297** (König's Theorem (Choice)). For any infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  we have  $\kappa < \operatorname{cf} 2^{\kappa}$ 

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1.$  Assume: for a contradiction of  $2^\kappa \le \kappa$   $\langle 1 \rangle 2.$  Pick a set S with  $|S| = 2^\kappa$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Pick a  $\kappa$ -sequence of sets  $A_{\xi}$  with  $S^{\kappa} = \bigcup_{\xi < \kappa} A_{\xi}$  and  $\forall \xi < \kappa. |A_{\xi}| < 2^{\kappa}$  Proof: Since  $|S^{\kappa}| = 2^{\kappa}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ \forall \xi < \kappa. \{g(\xi): g \in A_{\xi}\} \subset S$ PROOF: Since  $|\{g(\xi): g \in A_{\xi}\}| \leq |A_{\xi}| < 2^{\kappa}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ . For all  $\xi < \kappa$ , PICK  $s_{\xi} \in S \{g(\xi) : g \in A_{\xi}\}$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ s \in S^{\kappa}$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 7. \ \forall \xi < \kappa.s \notin A_{\xi}$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 8$ . Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts  $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ .

Corollary 297.1.  $2^{\aleph_0} \neq \aleph_{\omega}$ 

PROOF: Since cf  $\aleph_{\omega} = \aleph_0$  and cf  $2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_0$ .  $\square$ 

#### 33 **Inaccessible Cardinals**

**Definition 298** (Inaccessible Cardinal). A cardinal  $\kappa$  is *inaccessible* iff:

- $\kappa > \aleph_0$
- For every cardinal  $\lambda < \kappa$  we have  $2^{\lambda} < \kappa$
- $\kappa$  is regular.

**Lemma 299.** For any ordinal  $\alpha$  and limit ordinal  $\lambda$ ,

$$V_{\alpha+\lambda} = \bigcup_{\delta < \lambda} V_{\alpha+\delta}$$

Proof:

 $\begin{array}{l} \langle 1 \rangle 1. \ V_{\alpha+\lambda} = \bigcup_{\delta < \lambda} V_{\alpha+\delta} \\ \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \mathrm{Let:} \ x \in V_{\alpha+\lambda} \end{array}$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . PICK  $\beta < \alpha + \lambda$  such that  $x \in V_{\beta}$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Case:  $\beta < \alpha$ 

PROOF: Then  $x \in V_{\alpha+0}$ .

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Case:  $\alpha \leq \beta$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\delta$  be the ordinal such that  $\beta = \alpha + \delta$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ .  $x \in V_{\alpha+\delta}$  and  $\delta < \lambda$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2. \bigcup_{\delta < \lambda} V_{\alpha + \delta} \subseteq V_{\alpha + \lambda}$ 

**Lemma 300.** For any ordinal  $\alpha$  we have  $|V_{\omega+\alpha}| = \beth_{\alpha}$ .

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1. \mid V_{\omega} \mid = \beth_0$ 

PROOF: Since  $V_{\omega}$  is the union of an  $\omega$ -sequence of finite sets of increasing size.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . For any ordinal  $\alpha$ , if  $|V_{\omega+\alpha}| = \beth_{\alpha}$  then  $|V_{\omega+\alpha^+}| = \beth_{\alpha^+}$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . For any limit ordinal  $\lambda$ , if  $\forall \alpha < \lambda . |V_{\omega + \alpha}| = \beth_{\alpha}$  then  $|V_{\omega + \lambda}| = \beth_{\lambda}$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\lambda$  be a limit ordinal.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $\forall \alpha < \lambda . |V_{\omega + \alpha}| = \beth_{\alpha}$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3. |V_{\omega+\lambda}| \geq \beth_{\lambda}$ 

Proof:

$$|V_{\omega+\lambda}| = |\bigcup_{\delta < \lambda} V_{\omega+\delta}| \qquad \text{(Lemma 299)}$$

$$\geq \sup_{\delta < \lambda} |V_{\omega+\delta}|$$

$$= \sup_{\delta < \lambda} \beth_{\delta}$$

$$= \beth_{\lambda}$$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $\beth_{\lambda} \leq |V_{\omega + \lambda}|$  PROOF:

$$\begin{aligned} |V_{\omega+\lambda}| &= |\bigcup_{\delta < \lambda} V_{\omega+\delta}| \\ &\leq |\lambda| \cdot \beth_{\lambda} \\ &\leq \beth_{\lambda} \cdot \beth_{\lambda} \\ &= \beth_{\lambda} \end{aligned}$$

**Lemma 301.** Let  $\kappa$  be an inaccessible cardinal. For any ordinal  $\alpha < \kappa$ , we have  $\beth_{\alpha} < \kappa$ .

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1. \ \ \Box_0 < \kappa$ 

PROOF: By definition of inaccessible.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If  $\beth_{\alpha} < \kappa$  then  $\beth_{\alpha^+} < \kappa$  Proof:  $\beth_{\alpha^+} = 2^{\beth_{\alpha}} < \kappa$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . If  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal,  $\lambda < \kappa$  and  $\forall \alpha < \lambda. \beth_{\alpha} < \kappa$  then  $\beth_{\lambda} < \kappa$ 

PROOF: Since  $\beth_{\lambda} = \sup_{\alpha < \lambda} \beth_{\alpha}$  is the supremum of fewer than  $\kappa$  smaller ordinals.

П

**Lemma 302.** Let  $\kappa$  be an inaccessible cardinal. For all  $A \in V_{\kappa}$  we have  $|A| < \kappa$ .

PROOF: Pick  $\alpha < \kappa$  such that  $A \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ . Then  $|A| \le |V_{\alpha}| \le \beth_{\alpha} < \kappa$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 303.** If  $\kappa$  is an inaccessible cardinal then  $V_{\kappa}$  is a model of ZF.

Proof: Easy.