C1 Set Theory

Robin Adams

October 30, 2022

### Chapter 1

# The Foundations

#### 1.1 Classes

We speak informally of *classes*. A class is determined by a unary predicate. We write  $\{x : P(x)\}$  or  $\{x \mid P(x)\}$  for the class determined by the predicate P(x).

We define what it means for an object a to be an *element* or *member* of the class  $\mathbf{A}$ ,  $a \in \mathbf{A}$ , by:  $a \in \{x : P(x)\}$  means P(a). In this case we also write  $\mathbf{A} \ni a$ , and say  $\mathbf{A}$  contains a.

We write  $\{x \in \mathbf{A} : P(x)\}$  for  $\{x : x \in \mathbf{A} \land P(x)\}$ , and  $\{t[x_1, ..., x_n] : P[x_1, ..., x_n]\}$  for  $\{y : \exists x_1 \cdots \exists x_n (y = t[x_1, ..., x_n] \land P[x_1, ..., x_n])\}$ .

**Definition 1.1.1** (Equality of Classes). Two classes A and B are equal, A = B, iff they have exactly the same members.

**Definition 1.1.2** (Subclass). A class **A** is a *subclass* of a class **B**,  $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$ , iff every member of **A** is a member of **B**. In this case we also write  $\mathbf{B} \supseteq \mathbf{A}$ , and say **B** *includes* **A** or **B** is a *superclass* of **A**.

We say **A** is a *proper* subclass of the class **B**,  $\mathbf{A} \subset \mathbf{B}$ , iff  $\mathbf{A} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$  and  $\mathbf{A} \neq \mathbf{B}$ . In this case we also write  $\mathbf{B} \supset \mathbf{A}$ , and say  $\mathbf{B}$  properly includes  $\mathbf{A}$  or  $\mathbf{B}$  is a proper superclass of  $\mathbf{A}$ .

**Definition 1.1.3** (Disjoint). Two classes  ${\bf A}$  and  ${\bf B}$  are *disjoint* iff they have no common members.

**Definition 1.1.4** (Empty Class). The *empty class*,  $\emptyset$ , is  $\{x : \bot\}$ .

**Definition 1.1.5** (Universal Class). The universal class V is the class  $\{x : \top\}$ .

**Definition 1.1.6.** For any objects  $a_1, \ldots, a_n$ , we write  $\{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  for the class  $\{x : x = a_1 \lor \cdots \lor x = a_n\}$ .

A class of the form  $\{a\}$  is called a *singleton*.

A class of the form  $\{a, b\}$  is called a *pair class*.

**Definition 1.1.7** (Union). The *union* of classes **A** and **B**,  $\mathbf{A} \cup \mathbf{B}$ , is the class  $\{x : x \in \mathbf{A} \lor x \in \mathbf{B}\}.$ 

**Definition 1.1.8** (Intersection). The *intersection* of classes **A** and **B**,  $\mathbf{A} \cap \mathbf{B}$ , is the class  $\{x : x \in \mathbf{A} \land x \in \mathbf{B}\}$ .

**Definition 1.1.9** (Relative Complement). Given classes **A** and **B**, the *relative* complement  $\mathbf{A} - \mathbf{B}$  is the class  $\{x \in \mathbf{A} : x \notin \mathbf{B}\}.$ 

**Definition 1.1.10** (Intersection). For any class of sets **A**, the *intersection*  $\bigcap$  **A** is the class  $\{x : \forall A \in \mathbf{A}. x \in A\}$ .

We write  $\bigcap_{P[x_1,...,x_n]} t[x_1,...,x_n]$  for  $\bigcap \{t[x_1,...,x_n]: P[x_1,...,x_n]\}.$ 

#### 1.2 Primitive Notions

Let there be sets.

Let there be a binary relation called membership,  $\in$ .

### 1.3 The Axiom of Extensionality

**Axiom 1.3.1** (Extensionality). If two sets have exactly the same members, then they are equal.

As a consequence of this axiom, we may identify a set A with the class  $\{x:x\in A\}$ . The use of the symbols  $\in$  and = is consistent.

**Definition 1.3.2.** We say that a class **A** is a set iff there exists a set A such that  $A = \mathbf{A}$ . That is, the class  $\{x : P(x)\}$  is a set iff

$$\exists A. \forall x (x \in A \leftrightarrow P(x))$$
.

Otherwise, **A** is a proper class.

**Definition 1.3.3** (Subset). If A is a set and B is a class, we say A is a *subset* of B iff  $A \subseteq B$ .

If A is a set and **B** is a class, we say A is a *superset* of **B** iff  $A \supseteq \mathbf{B}$ .

If A is a set and **B** is a class, we say A is a *proper subset* of **B** iff  $A \subset \mathbf{B}$ .

If A is a set and B is a class, we say A is a proper superset of B iff  $A \supset B$ .

**Definition 1.3.4** (Power Class). For any class A, the *power class* of A,  $\mathcal{P}A$ , is the class of all subsets of A.

**Definition 1.3.5** (Union). For any class of sets **A**, the *union*  $\bigcup$  **A** is the class  $\{x : \exists A \in \mathbf{A} . x \in A\}.$ 

We write  $\bigcup_{P[x_1,...,x_n]} t[x_1,...,x_n]$  for  $\bigcup \{t[x_1,...,x_n]: P[x_1,...,x_n]\}.$ 

#### 1.4 The Zermelo-Fraenkel Axioms

**Axiom Schema 1.4.1** (Replacement). For any property P(x, y), the following is an axiom:

Let A be a set. Assume that, for all  $x \in A$ , there is at most one y such that P(x,y). Then  $\{y : \exists x \in A.P(x,y)\}$  is a set.

**Axiom 1.4.2** (Power Set). For any set A, the power class PA is a set.

**Definition 1.4.3** (Power Set). For any set A, we call  $\mathcal{P}A$  the power set of A.

**Axiom 1.4.4** (Union). For any set A, the union  $\bigcup A$  is a set.

**Axiom 1.4.5** (Regularity). For every nonempty set A, there exists  $m \in A$  such that  $m \cap A = \emptyset$ .

**Axiom 1.4.6** (Infinity). There exists a nonempty set A such that  $\forall x \in A. \exists y \in A. x \subset y$ .

### 1.5 Constructions of Sets

**Theorem Schema 1.5.1.** For any class **A** and set B, if  $\mathbf{A} \subseteq B$  then **A** is a set.

```
PROOF: \langle 1 \rangle 1. LET: B be a set. \langle 1 \rangle 2. (\forall x \in B) \forall y_1, y_2 ((x \in \mathbf{A} \land y_1 = x) \land (x \in \mathbf{A} \land y_2 = x) \Rightarrow y_1 = y_2) \langle 1 \rangle 3. \{ y : \exists x \in B (x \in \mathbf{A} \land y = x) \} is a set. PROOF: By a Replacement Axiom. \langle 1 \rangle 4. \mathbf{A} is a set.
```

Theorem 1.5.2 (Empty Set). The empty class is a set.

```
Proof:
```

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . PICK a set a

PROOF: By the Axiom of Infinity, a set exists.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ \emptyset \subseteq a$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ .  $\emptyset$  is a set.

PROOF: Theorem Schema 1.5.1.

П

**Definition 1.5.3** (Empty Set). Henceforth we call  $\emptyset$  the *empty set*.

**Theorem 1.5.4** (Pairing). For any sets a and b, the class  $\{a, b\}$  is a set.

PROOF:Let P(x, y) be the formula  $(x = \emptyset \land y = a) \lor (x = \mathcal{P}\emptyset \land y = b)$ . Then we reason:

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: a and b be sets.
```

$$\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ (\forall x \in \mathcal{PP}\emptyset) \forall y_1 \forall y_2 (P(x, y_1) \land P(x, y_2) \Rightarrow y_1 = y_2)$$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \emptyset \neq \mathcal{P} \emptyset$ 

PROOF: Since  $\emptyset \in \mathcal{P}\emptyset$  and  $\emptyset \notin \emptyset$ .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $A = \{ y : \exists x \in \mathcal{PP}\emptyset . P(x, y) \}$ 

PROOF: This is a set by a Replacement Axiom.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ A = \{a, b\}$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1. \ a \in A$ 

```
PROOF: Since \emptyset \in \mathcal{PP}\emptyset.
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. \ b \in A
     PROOF: Since \mathcal{P}\emptyset \in \mathcal{PP}\emptyset.
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \forall x \in A(x = a \lor x = b)
Proposition 1.5.5. For any sets A and B, the class A \cup B is a set.
PROOF: It is \bigcup \{A, B\}. \square
Proposition Schema 1.5.6. For any objects a_1, \ldots, a_n, the class \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}
is a set.
PROOF: By repeated application of the Pairing and Union axioms.
Proposition 1.5.7. For any set A and class B, the intersection A \cap B is a set.
PROOF: By Theorem Schema 1.5.1 since it is a subclass of A. \square
Proposition 1.5.8. For any set A and class B, the relative complement A - B
is a set.
PROOF: By Theorem Schema 1.5.1 since it is a subclass of A. \sqcup
Proposition 1.5.9. For any nonempty class of sets A, the intersection \bigcap A is
a set.
PROOF: Pick A \in \mathbf{A}. Then \bigcap \mathbf{A} \subseteq A and the result follows by Theorem 1.5.1.
          Basic Properties
1.6
Theorem 1.6.1. The universal class V is a proper class.
Proof:
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Assume: V is a set.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. Let: R = \{x : x \notin x\}
\langle 1 \rangle 3. R is a set.
  PROOF: By Theorem 1.5.1.
\langle 1 \rangle 4. R \in R if and only if R \notin R
\langle 1 \rangle5. Q.E.D.
  PROOF: This is a contradiction.
Theorem 1.6.2. No set is a member of itself.
PROOF: If A \in A then there is no m \in \{A\} such that m \cap \{A\} = \emptyset. \square
Theorem 1.6.3. There are no sets a and b with a \in b and b \in a.
```

PROOF: If there were, then there would be no  $m \in \{a, b\}$  such that  $m \cap \{a, b\} = \emptyset$ .

### 1.7 The Axiom of Choice

**Definition 1.7.1** (Axiom of Choice). The *Axiom of Choice* is the statement: Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a set such that (a) every member of  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nonempty set, and (b) any two distinct members of  $\mathcal{A}$  are disjoint. Then there exists a set C such that, for all  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ , we have  $C \cap B$  is a singleton.

### Chapter 2

# Relations and Functions

#### 2.1 Ordered Pairs

**Theorem 2.1.1.** There exists a predicate  $\mathbf{Pair}(x, y, z)$  such that the following is a theorem:

```
1. \forall x, y \exists ! z. \mathbf{Pair}(x, y, z)
    2. \forall x, y, z, w, p.(\mathbf{Pair}(x, y, p) \land \mathbf{Pair}(z, w, p) \Rightarrow x = z \land y = w)
Let \mathbf{Pair}(x, y, z) be the predicate z = \{\{x\}, \{x, y\}\}. Proof:
\langle 1 \rangle 1. \ \forall x, y \exists ! z. \mathbf{Pair}(x, y, z)
\langle 1 \rangle 2. \forall a, b, c, d, p.(\mathbf{Pair}(a, b, p) \land \mathbf{Pair}(c, d, p) \Rightarrow x = z \land y = w)
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. Assume: \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\} = \{\{c\}, \{c, d\}\}
    \langle 2 \rangle 2. a = c
       Proof: Since \{a\} = \bigcap (a, b) = \bigcap (c, d) = \{c\}.
    \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \{a,b\} = \{c,d\}
       PROOF: \{a, b\} = \bigcup (a, b) = \bigcup (c, d) = \{c, d\}.
    \langle 2 \rangle 4. b = c or b = d
    \langle 2 \rangle5. Case: b = c
        \langle 3 \rangle 1. a=b
       \langle 3 \rangle 2. \ \{c,d\} = \{a\}
       \langle 3 \rangle 3. b=d
    \langle 2 \rangle 6. Case: b = d
       PROOF: We have a = c and b = d as required.
```

Pick a predicate  $\mathbf{Pair}(x,y,z)$  such that the following is a theorem:

- 1.  $\forall x, y \exists ! z. \mathbf{Pair}(x, y, z)$
- 2.  $\forall x, y, z, w, p.(\mathbf{Pair}(x, y, p) \land \mathbf{Pair}(z, w, p) \Rightarrow x = z \land y = w)$

**Definition 2.1.2** (Ordered Pair). For any objects a and b, the ordered pair (a, b) is the object such that  $\mathbf{Pair}(a, b, (a, b))$ . We call a its first coordinate and b its second coordinate.

**Definition 2.1.3** (Cartesian Product). The *Cartesian product* of classes  ${\bf A}$  and  ${\bf B}$  is the class

$$\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} = \{(x, y) : x \in \mathbf{A}, y \in \mathbf{B}\}$$
.

**Theorem 2.1.4.** For any sets A and B, the Cartesian product  $A \times B$  is a set.

PROOF: By an Axiom of Replacement, for all  $a \in A$ , the class  $B_a = \{(a, b) : b \in B\}$  is a set. Hence by an Axiom of Replacement,  $\{B_a : a \in A\}$  is a set. Now  $A \times B = \bigcup \{B_a : a \in A\}$ .

#### 2.2 Relations

**Definition 2.2.1** (Relation). A *relation* is a class of ordered pairs. It is *small* iff it is a set.

When **R** is a relation, we write  $x\mathbf{R}y$  for  $(x,y) \in \mathbf{R}$ .

**Definition 2.2.2** (Domain). The *domain* of a class **R** is dom **R** =  $\{x : \exists y . (x, y) \in \mathbf{R}\}.$ 

**Definition 2.2.3** (Range). The *range* of a class **R** is ran  $\mathbf{R} = \{y : \exists x.(x,y) \in \mathbf{R}\}.$ 

**Definition 2.2.4** (Field). The *field* of a class **R** is fld  $\mathbf{R} = \operatorname{dom} \mathbf{R} \cup \operatorname{ran} \mathbf{R}$ .

**Proposition 2.2.5.** If R is a set then dom R, ran R and fld R are sets.

PROOF: Apply an Axiom of Replacement for dom R and ran R.  $\square$ 

**Definition 2.2.6** (Single-Rooted). A class **R** is *single-rooted* iff, for all  $y \in \operatorname{ran} \mathbf{R}$ , there is only one x such that  $x\mathbf{R}y$ .

**Definition 2.2.7** (Inverse). The *inverse* of a class  $\mathbf{F}$  is the class  $\mathbf{F}^{-1} = \{(y, x) \mid (x, y) \in \mathbf{F}\}.$ 

**Definition 2.2.8** (Composition). The *composition* of classes **F** and **G** is the class  $\mathbf{G} \circ \mathbf{F} = \{(x, z) \mid \exists y.(x, y) \in \mathbf{F} \land (y, z) \in \mathbf{G}\}.$ 

**Definition 2.2.9** (Restriction). The *restriction* of the class **F** to the class **A** is the class **F A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A A B A B** 

**Definition 2.2.10** (Image). The *image* of the class **A** under the class **F** is the class  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{A}) = \{y : \exists x \in \mathbf{A}.(x,y) \in \mathbf{F}\}.$ 

**Definition 2.2.11** (Reflexive). A binary relation **R** on **A** is *reflexive* on **A** if and only if  $\forall x \in \mathbf{A}.x\mathbf{R}x$ .

**Definition 2.2.12** (Ireflexive). A binary relation **R** on **A** is *irreflexive* on **A** if and only if  $\forall x \in \mathbf{A}. \neg x\mathbf{R}x$ .

**Definition 2.2.13** (Symmetric). A binary relation **R** is *symmetric* iff, whenever  $x\mathbf{R}y$ , then  $y\mathbf{R}x$ .

**Definition 2.2.14** (Asymmetric). A binary relation **R** is *asymmetric* iff, whenever  $x\mathbf{R}y$ , then  $\neg y\mathbf{R}x$ .

**Definition 2.2.15** (Antisymmetric). A binary relation **R** is *antisymmetric* iff, whenever x**R**y and y**R**x, then x = y.

**Definition 2.2.16** (Transitive). A binary relation **R** is *transitive* iff, whenever  $x\mathbf{R}y$  and  $y\mathbf{R}z$ , then  $x\mathbf{R}z$ .

**Definition 2.2.17** (Minimal). Let R be a relation on D. An element  $m \in D$  is R-minimal iff there is no  $x \in D$  such that xRm.

**Definition 2.2.18** (Maximal). Let R be a relation on D. An element  $m \in D$  is R-maximal iff there is no  $x \in D$  such that mRx.

**Definition 2.2.19** (Least). Let R be a relation on D. An element  $m \in D$  is least, smallest or the minimum iff  $\forall x \in D.(mRx \vee m = x)$ .

**Definition 2.2.20** (Greatest). Let R be a relation on D. An element  $m \in D$  is *greatest*, *largest* or the *maximum* iff  $\forall x \in D(xRm \lor x = m)$ .

### 2.3 *n*-ary Relations

**Definition 2.3.1.** Given objects a, b, c, define the *ordered triple* (a, b, c) to be ((a, b), c).

Define (a, b, c, d) = ((a, b, c), d), etc. Define the 1-tuple (a) to be a.

**Definition 2.3.2** (n-ary Relation). Given a class A, an n-ary relation on A is a class of ordered n-tuples, all of whose components are in A.

#### 2.4 Functions

**Definition 2.4.1** (Function). A function is a relation  $\mathbf{F}$  such that, for all  $x \in \text{dom } \mathbf{F}$ , there is only one y such that  $x\mathbf{F}y$ . We call this unique y the value of  $\mathbf{F}$  at x and denote it by  $\mathbf{F}(x)$ .

We say **F** is a function *from* **A** *into* **B**, or **F** *maps* **A** into **B**, and write  $\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{B}$ , iff **F** is a function, dom  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{A}$ , and ran  $\mathbf{F} \subseteq \mathbf{B}$ .

If, in addition, ran  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{B}$ , we say  $\mathbf{F}$  is a function from  $\mathbf{A}$  onto  $\mathbf{B}$ .

Theorem 2.4.2. Let  $\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{G} : \mathbf{A} \to \mathbf{B}$ . If  $\forall x \in \mathbf{A}.\mathbf{F}(x) = \mathbf{G}(x)$  then  $\mathbf{F} = \mathbf{G}$ .

Proof: Easy.  $\sqcup$ 

**Theorem 2.4.3.** Assume that  $\mathbf{F}$  and  $\mathbf{G}$  are functions. Then  $\mathbf{F} \circ \mathbf{G}$  is a function, its domain is  $\{x \in \text{dom } \mathbf{G} : \mathbf{G}(x) \in \text{dom } \mathbf{F}\}$ , and for x in its domain,

$$(\mathbf{F} \circ \mathbf{G})(x) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{G}(x)) .$$

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Definition 2.4.4** (One-to-one). A function **F** is *one-to-one* or an *injection* iff it is single-rooted.

**Theorem 2.4.5.** Let **F** be a one-to-one function. For  $x \in \text{dom } \mathbf{F}$ ,  $\mathbf{F}^{-1}(\mathbf{F}(x)) = x$ .

Proof: Easy.

**Theorem 2.4.6.** Let **F** be a one-to-one function. For  $y \in \operatorname{ran} \mathbf{F}$ ,  $\mathbf{F}(\mathbf{F}^{-1}(y)) = y$ .

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Definition 2.4.7** (Identity Function). For any class **A**, the *identity* function on **A** is  $id_{\mathbf{A}} = \{(x, x) \mid x \in \mathbf{A}\}.$ 

**Theorem 2.4.8.** Let  $F: A \to B$ . Assume  $A \neq \emptyset$ . Then F has a left inverse (i.e. there exists  $G: B \to A$  such that  $G \circ F = \mathrm{id}_A$ ) if and only if F is one-to-one.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . If F is one-to-one then F has a left inverse.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: F is one-to-one.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $F^{-1} : \operatorname{ran} F \to A$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Pick  $a \in A$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Define  $G: B \to A$  by:

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} F^{-1}(x) & \text{if } x \in \operatorname{ran} F \\ a & \text{if } x \in B - \operatorname{ran} F \end{cases}$$

- $\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ \forall x \in A.G(F(x)) = x$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If F has a left inverse then F is one-to-one.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: F has a left inverse G.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $x, y \in A$  with F(x) = F(y)
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ x = y$

PROOF: x = G(F(x)) = G(F(y)) = y.

**Definition 2.4.9** (Binary Operation). A binary operation on a set A is a function from  $A \times A$  into A.

**Theorem 2.4.10.** For any function  $F: A \to B$ , if F has a right inverse then F maps A onto B.

PROOF: If  $H: B \to A$  is a right inverse, then for any y in B, we have y = F(H(y)).  $\square$ 

### 2.5 Dependent Products

**Definition 2.5.1.** Let I be a set and  $H_i$  a set for all  $i \in I$ . Define

$$\prod_{i \in I} H_i = \{f : f \text{ is a function}, \text{dom } f = I, \forall i \in I. f(i) \in H_i \} .$$

#### 2.6 The Axiom of Choice

**Definition 2.6.1** (Choice Function). Let A be a set. A *choice function* for A is a function  $F: \mathcal{P}A - \{\emptyset\} \to A$  such that  $\forall X \in \mathcal{P}A - \{\emptyset\}.F(X) \in X$ .

**Theorem 2.6.2.** The following are equivalent.

- 1. The Axiom of Choice.
- 2. Every set has a choice function.
- 3. For any relation R there exists a function  $H \subseteq R$  with dom H = dom R.
- 4. (Multiplicative Axiom) For any set I and any function H with domain I, if  $H(i) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i \in I$ , then  $\prod_{i \in I} H(i) \neq \emptyset$

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1. \ 1 \Rightarrow 2$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: the Axiom of Choice
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: A be a set.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $A = \{ \{B\} \times B : B \in \mathcal{P}A \{\emptyset\} \}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . PICK a set C such that  $C \cap (\{B\} \times B)$  is a singleton for all  $B \in \mathcal{P}A \{\emptyset\}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . Let:  $F = C \cap \bigcup A$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$ .  $F: \mathcal{P}A \{\emptyset\} \to A$  is a function and  $F(X) \in X$  for all X
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ 2 \Rightarrow 3$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: 3
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: R be a relation
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Pick a choice function G for ran R
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Define  $F : \operatorname{dom} R \to \operatorname{ran} R$  by F(x) = G(R(x))
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ F \subseteq R$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ 3 \Rightarrow 4$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: 2
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: I be a set.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Let: H be a function with domain I.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Assume:  $H(i) \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i \in I$ .
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . Let:  $R = \{(i, x) : i \in I, x \in H(i)\}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 6. PICK a function  $F \subseteq R$  with dom F = dom RPROVE:  $F \in \prod_{i \in I} H(i)$

Proof: By  $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ .

- $\langle 2 \rangle 7$ . dom H = I
  - PROOF: We have dom R = I since for all  $i \in I$  there exists x such that  $x \in H(i)$ .
- $\langle 2 \rangle 8. \ \forall i \in I.F(i) \in H(i)$

PROOF: Since iRF(i).

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ 4 \Rightarrow 1$ 

PROOF: Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a set matching the two conditions. By the Multiplicative Axiom, pick a function  $f \in \prod_{B \in \mathcal{A}} B$ . Let  $C = \operatorname{ran} f$ . Then  $C \cap B = \{f(B)\}$  for all  $B \in \mathcal{A}$ .

**Theorem 2.6.3.** The Axiom of Choice is equivalent to the statement: for any sets A and B and every function F that maps A onto B, F has a right inverse.

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . If the Axiom of Choice is true and F maps A onto B then F has a right inverse.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: The Axiom of Choice
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume: F maps A onto B.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 3. PICK a function H with  $H \subseteq F^{-1}$  and dom  $H = \text{dom } F^{-1}$  PROOF: By the Axiom of Choice.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . dom H = B

PROOF: dom  $H = \text{dom } F^{-1} = \text{ran } F = B \text{ by } \langle 2 \rangle 2.$ 

- $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . For all  $y \in B$  we have F(H(y)) = y
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $y \in B$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2. \ (y, H(y)) \in F^{-1}$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . F(H(y)) = y
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If, for any sets A and B, any function F from A onto B has a right inverse, then the Axiom of Choice is true.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: For any sets A and B, any function F from A onto B has a right inverse.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: R be any relation.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $F: R \to \operatorname{dom} R$  be the function F(x,y) = x
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . F maps R onto dom R
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. PICK a right inverse  $G : \text{dom } R \to R \text{ to } F$ .
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$ . Let:  $H = \{(x, y) : (x, (x, y)) \in G\}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 7$ . H is a function
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 8. \ H \subseteq R$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 9$ . dom H = dom R

П

#### 2.7 Sets of Functions

**Definition 2.7.1.** Let A be a set and **B** be a class. Then  $\mathbf{B}^A$  is the class of all functions  $A \to \mathbf{B}$ .

**Theorem 2.7.2.** If A and B are sets then  $B^A$  is a set.

PROOF: Since it is a subset of  $\mathcal{P}(A \times B)$ .  $\square$ 

### 2.8 Equivalence Relations

**Definition 2.8.1** (Equivalence Relation). An equivalence relation on **A** is a binary relation on **A** that is reflexive on **A**, symmetric and transitive.

**Theorem 2.8.2.** If  $\mathbf{R}$  is a symmetric and transitive relation then  $\mathbf{R}$  is an equivalence relation on fld  $\mathbf{R}$ .

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $x \in \operatorname{fld} \mathbf{R}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . PICK y such that either  $x \mathbf{R} y$  or  $y \mathbf{R} x$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ .  $x \mathbf{R} y$  and  $y \mathbf{R} x$

PROOF: Since  $\mathbf{R}$  is symmetric.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ .  $x \mathbf{R} x$ 

Proof: Since  $\mathbf{R}$  is transitive.

**Definition 2.8.3** (Equivalence Class). If **R** is an equivalence relation and  $x \in \operatorname{fld} \mathbf{R}$ , the *equivalence class* of x modulo **R** is

$$[x]_{\mathbf{R}} = \{t : x\mathbf{R}t\} .$$

**Lemma 2.8.4.** Assume that R is an equivalence relation on A and that x and y belong to A. Then

$$[x]_{\mathbf{R}} = [y]_{\mathbf{R}} \text{ iff } x\mathbf{R}y$$
.

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . If  $[x]_{\mathbf{R}} = [y]_{\mathbf{R}}$  then  $x \mathbf{R} y$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $[x]_{\mathbf{R}} = [y]_{\mathbf{R}}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2. \ y \in [y]_{\mathbf{R}}$

PROOF: Since  $\mathbf{R}$  is reflexive on  $\mathbf{A}$ .

- $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ y \in [x]_{\mathbf{R}}$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $x \mathbf{R} y$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If  $x \mathbf{R} y$  then  $[x]_{\mathbf{R}} = [y]_{\mathbf{R}}$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $x \mathbf{R} y$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $[y]_{\mathbf{R}} \subseteq [x]_{\mathbf{R}}$ 
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $z \in [y]_{\mathbf{R}}$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2. \ y \mathbf{R} z$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ x \mathbf{R} z$

Proof: Since  $\mathbf{R}$  is transitive.

- $\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ z \in [x]_{\mathbf{R}}$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ y \mathbf{R} x$

PROOF: Since  $\mathbf{R}$  is symmetric.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $[x]_{\mathbf{R}} \subseteq [y]_{\mathbf{R}}$ 

PROOF: Similar.

**Definition 2.8.5** (Partition). A partition of a set A is a set  $P \subseteq \mathcal{P}A$  such that:

- $\bullet$  Every member of P is nonempty.
- ullet Any two distinct members of P are disjoint.
- $A = \bigcup P$

#### Theorem 2.8.6. Let A be a set.

For any equivalence relation R on the set A, the set of all equivalence classes is a partition of A.

Conversely, for any partition P, there exists a unique equivalence relation  $\sim$  on A such that P is the set of all equivalence classes with respect to  $\sim$ , given by  $x \sim y$  iff  $\exists X \in P(x \in X \land y \in X)$ .

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . For every equivalence relation R on A, the set of equivalence classes forms a partition of A.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let: R be an equivalence relation on A.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Every equivalence class is nonempty.

PROOF: For any  $x \in A$  we have  $x \in [x]_R$ .

- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Any two distinct equivalence classes are disjoint.
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $x, y \in A$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $z \in [x]_R \cap [y]_R$ Prove:  $[x]_R = [y]_R$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . xRy
    - $\langle 4 \rangle 1. \ xRz$
    - $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ . yRz
    - $\langle 4 \rangle 3$ . zRy

PROOF: By  $\langle 4 \rangle 2$  and symmetry.

 $\langle 4 \rangle 4$ . xRy

PROOF: By  $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ ,  $\langle 4 \rangle 3$  and transitivity.

 $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ .  $[x]_R = [y]_R$ 

PROOF: By Lemma 3N.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . A is the union of all the equivalence classes.

PROOF: For any  $x \in A$  we have  $x \in [x]_R$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . For any partition P, there exists a unique equivalence relation  $\sim$  on A such that P is the set of all equivalence classes with respect to  $\sim$ , given by  $x \sim y$  iff  $\exists X \in P(x \in X \land y \in X)$ .
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let: P be a partition of A.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $\sim = \{(x,y) \in A^2 : \exists X \in P(x \in X \land y \in X)\}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ .  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on A.
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1. \sim \text{ is reflexive.}$ 
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $x \in A$
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ . There exists  $X \in P$  such that  $x \in X$

PROOF: Since  $P = \bigcup A$ 

 $\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ x \sim x$ 

PROOF: Since  $\exists X \in P(x \in X \land x \in X)$ .

 $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ .  $\sim$  is symmetric.

PROOF: From the definition of  $\sim$ .

- $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ .  $\sim$  is transitive.
  - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $x, y, z \in A$
  - $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $x \sim y$  and  $y \sim z$
  - $\langle 4 \rangle 3$ . PICK  $X, Y \in P$  such that  $x \in X, y \in X, y \in Y$  and  $z \in Y$

```
\langle 4 \rangle 4. X = Y
```

PROOF: Since the elements of P are pairwise disjoint.

- $\langle 4 \rangle 5. \ x \in X \text{ and } z \in X$
- $\langle 4 \rangle 6. \ x \sim z$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . P is the set of  $\sim$ -equivalence classes.
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1. \ \forall X \in P. \forall x \in X. X = [x]_{\sim}$ 
    - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $X \in P$
    - $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $x \in X$
    - $\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ X \subseteq [x]_{\sim}$ 
      - $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $y \in X$
      - $\langle 5 \rangle 2$ .  $x \sim y$
      - $\langle 5 \rangle 3. \ y \in [x]_{\sim}$
    - $\langle 4 \rangle 4$ .  $[x]_{\sim} \subseteq X$ 
      - $\langle 5 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $y \in [x]_{\sim}$
      - $\langle 5 \rangle 2$ . PICK  $Y \in P$  such that  $x \in Y$  and  $y \in Y$
      - $\langle 5 \rangle 3. \ X = Y$

PROOF: Since  $x \in X$ ,  $x \in Y$  and the elements of P are pairwise disjoint.

- $\langle 5 \rangle 4. \ y \in X$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 2. \ \forall X \in P. \exists x \in A. X = [x]_{\sim}$ 
  - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $X \in P$
  - $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ . Pick  $x \in X$

PROOF: Since the elements of P are nonempty.

- $\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ X = [x]_{\sim}$ 
  - Proof: From  $\langle 3 \rangle 1$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ \forall x \in A.[x]_{\sim} \in P$ 
  - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $x \in A$
  - $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ . Pick  $X \in P$  such that  $x \in X$
  - $\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ X = [x]_{\sim}$ 
    - Proof: From  $\langle 3 \rangle 1$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 5.$  For any equivalence relation R on A, if P is the set of R-equivalence classes, then  $R=\sim.$ 
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let: R be an equivalence relation on A
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Assume: P is the set of R-equivalence classes.
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ .  $R \subseteq \sim$ 
    - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ . Let: xRy
    - $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ .  $[x]_R \in X$  and  $x, y \in [x]_R$
    - $\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ x \sim y$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 4. \sim \subseteq R$ 
    - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $x \sim y$
    - $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ . PICK  $X \in P$  such that  $x \in X$  and  $y \in X$
    - $\langle 4 \rangle 3$ . Pick  $z \in A$  such that  $X = [z]_R$
    - $\langle 4 \rangle 4$ . zRx and zRy
- $\langle 4 \rangle 5. \ xRy$

**Definition 2.8.7** (Quotient Set). If R is an equivalence relation on the set A, then the quotient set A/R is the set of all equivalence classes, and the natural map or canonical map  $\phi: A \to A/R$  is defined by  $\phi(x) = [x]_R$ .

**Theorem 2.8.8.** Assume that R is an equivalence relation on A and that F:  $A \to B$ . Assume that F is compatible with R; that is, whenever xRy, then F(x) = F(y). Then there exists a unique  $\overline{F}: A/R \to B$  such that  $F = \overline{F} \circ \phi$ .

PROOF: The unique such  $\overline{F}$  is  $\{([x], F(x)) : x \in A\}$ .  $\square$ 

#### 2.9 Well-Founded Relations

**Definition 2.9.1** (Well Founded). A relation R on a class D is well-founded iff every nonempty subset of D has an R-minimal element.

**Theorem 2.9.2** (Transfinite Induction). Let R be a well-founded relation on A. Let  $B \subseteq A$ . Assume that, for all  $x \in A$ , if  $\forall y \in A(yRx \Rightarrow y \in B)$ , then  $x \in B$ . Then B = A.

PROOF: If not, A - B has an R-minimal element  $a_0$ , say. But then we have  $\forall y.(yRa_0 \Rightarrow y \in B)$  and  $a_0 \notin B$ , which is a contradiction.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 2.9.3** (Transfinite Recursion Theorem Schema). For any property P(x, y, z) the following is a theorem:

Assume that  $\langle$  is a well-founded relation on A. Assume that  $\forall x, y \exists ! z P(x, y, z)$ . Then there exists a unique function F with domain A such that

$$\forall t \in A.P(F \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} t, t, F(t))$$
.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Given  $t \in A$ , let us say that a function v is P-constructed up to t iff  $\operatorname{dom} v = \{x \in A : x \leq t\}$  and  $\forall x \in \operatorname{dom} v. P(v \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x, x, v(x))$
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 2. Let  $t_1, t_2 \in A$  with  $t_1 \leq t_2$ . Let  $v_1$  be a function that is P-constructed up to  $t_1$ , and  $v_2$  a function that is P-constructed up to  $t_2$ . Then  $\forall x \leq t_1.v_1(x) = v_2(x)$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $x \leq t_1$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $\forall y < x. v_1(y) = v_2(y)$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ v_1 \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x = v_2 \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $P(v_1 \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x, v_1(x))$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ .  $P(v_2 \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x, v_2(x))$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 6. \ v_1(x) = v_2(x)$

PROOF: Since there is only one y such that  $P(v_1 \upharpoonright \text{seg } x, x, y)$ .

 $\langle 2 \rangle 7$ . Q.E.D.

PROOF: By transfinite induction.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $\mathcal{H} = \{ v : \exists t \in A.v \text{ is } P\text{-constructed up to } t \}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ .  $\mathcal{H}$  is a set.

PROOF: By a Replacement Axiom since, if  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  are both P-constructed up to t then  $v_1 = v_2$  by  $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .

```
\langle 1 \rangle 5. Let: F = \bigcup \mathcal{H}
\langle 1 \rangle 6. F is a function
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. Assume: tFx and tFy
    \langle 2 \rangle 2. PICK v_1, v_2 \in \mathcal{H} such that v_1(t) = x and v_2(t) = y
    \langle 2 \rangle 3. PICK t_1, t_2 \in A such that v_1 is P-constructed up to t_1 and v_2 is P-
              constructed up to t_2
    \langle 2 \rangle 4. Assume: w.l.o.g. t_1 \leq t_2
    \langle 2 \rangle 5. \ v_1(t) = v_2(t)
        Proof: By \langle 1 \rangle 2
    \langle 2 \rangle 6. \ x = y
\langle 1 \rangle 7. \ \forall x \in \text{dom } F.P(F \upharpoonright \text{seg } x, x, F(x))
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: x \in \text{dom } F
    \langle 2 \rangle 2. Pick v \in \mathcal{H} such that x \in \text{dom } v
    \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ P(v \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x, x, v(x))
    \langle 2 \rangle 4. v \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x = F \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x
        Proof: \forall y < x.(y, v(y)) \in \bigcup \mathcal{H} = F
    \langle 2 \rangle 5. \ v(x) = F(x)
        PROOF: (x, v(x)) \in \bigcup \mathcal{H} = F
\langle 1 \rangle 8. dom F = A
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: x \in A
    \langle 2 \rangle 2. Assume: \forall y < x.y \in \text{dom } F
    \langle 2 \rangle 3. Let: z be the object such that P(F \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x, z)
    \langle 2 \rangle 4. F \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x \cup \{(x,z)\} is P-constructed up to x
    \langle 2 \rangle 5. \ x \in \operatorname{dom} F
    \langle 2 \rangle 6. Q.E.D.
        PROOF: By transfinite induction, this proves \forall x \in A.x \in \text{dom } F.
\langle 1 \rangle 9. F is unique.
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: G be a function with domain A such that \forall x \in A.P(G \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x, x, G(x))
              PROVE: \forall x \in A.F(x) = G(x)
    \langle 2 \rangle 2. Let: x \in A
    \langle 2 \rangle 3. Assume: \forall y < x. F(y) = G(y)
    \langle 2 \rangle 4. F \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x = G \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} x
    \langle 2 \rangle 5. F(x) = G(x)
    \langle 2 \rangle 6. Q.E.D.
        PROOF: This completes the proof by transfinite induction.
```

#### 2.10 Transitive Closure

**Theorem 2.10.1.** For any relation R on a set A, there exists a least transitive relation  $R^t$  such that  $R \subseteq R^t$ .

PROOF: Define  $R^t$  to be the intersection of all the transitive relations Q such that  $R \subseteq Q$ .  $\square$ 

Theorem founded.	2.10.2.	The	transitive	closure	of a	well	-founded	relatio	n is	well-
PROOF: The element.		imal	element o	f a none	empty	set	B is also	the R	t-mi	nimal

# Chapter 3

# Order Theory

#### 3.1 Partial Orders

**Definition 3.1.1** (Strict Partial Order). A *strict partial order* is an irreflexive, transitive relation.

If < is a strict partial order, we write  $x \le y$  for  $x < y \lor x = y$ .

**Theorem 3.1.2.** Assume that < is a partial order. Then for any x, y and z:

1. At most one of the three alternatives,

$$x < y, x = y, y < x,$$

can hold.

2. 
$$x \le y \le x \Rightarrow x = y$$
.

Proof: Easy.

**Proposition 3.1.3.** If R is a partial ordering on D then so is  $R^{-1}$ .

Proof: Easy.

**Definition 3.1.4** (Upper Bound). Let < be a partial order on A and  $C \subseteq A$ . An *upper bound* for C is an element  $b \in A$  such that  $\forall x \in C.x \leq b$ .

**Definition 3.1.5** (Least Upper Bound). Let < be a partial order on A and  $C \subseteq A$ . The *least upper bound* or *supremum* for C is the least element in the set of upper bounds for C.

**Definition 3.1.6** (Lower Bound). Let < be a partial order on A and  $C \subseteq A$ . A *lower bound* for C is an element  $b \in A$  such that  $\forall x \in C.b \leq x$ .

**Definition 3.1.7** (Greatest Lower Bound). Let < be a partial order on A and  $C \subseteq A$ . The *greatest lower bound* or *infimum* for C is the greatest element in the set of lower bounds for C.

**Definition 3.1.8** (Initial Segment). Let < be a partial order on A and  $t \in A$ . The *initial segment* up to t is

$$\operatorname{seg} t = \{ x \in A : x < t \} .$$

**Definition 3.1.9** (Isomorphism). Let A and B be posets. An *isomorphism* between A and B is a bijection f between A and B such that, for all  $x, y \in A$ , we have x < y if and only if f(x) < f(y).

**Proposition 3.1.10.** Isomorphism is an equivalence relation on the class of posets.

Proof: Easy.

**Proposition 3.1.11.** Let (A,<) be a poset and  $B\subseteq A$ . Then  $<\cap B^2$  is a partial order on B.

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.1.12.** Let R be a well-founded relation on A. The transitive closure of R is a partial order on A.

PROOF: It is well founded, hence irreflexive.  $\square$ 

**Definition 3.1.13.** Let P and Q be partial orders and  $f: P \to Q$ . Then f is increasing iff, whenever  $x \leq y$ , then  $f(x) \leq f(y)$ .

**Definition 3.1.14.** Let P and Q be partial orders and  $f: P \to Q$ . Then f is strictly increasing iff, whenever x < y, then f(x) < f(y).

**Definition 3.1.15.** Let P and Q be partial orders and  $f: P \to Q$ . Then f is decreasing iff, whenever  $x \leq y$ , then  $f(x) \geq f(y)$ .

**Definition 3.1.16.** Let P and Q be partial orders and  $f: P \to Q$ . Then f is strictly decreasing iff, whenever x < y, then f(x) > f(y).

**Definition 3.1.17.** Let P and Q be partial orders and  $f: P \to Q$ . Then f is *monotone* iff it is either increasing or decreasing.

**Definition 3.1.18** (Open Interval). Let P be a poset and  $a, b \in P$  with a < b. The *open interval* (a, b) is the set  $\{x \in P : a < x < b\}$ .

The open interval  $(a, +\infty)$  is the set  $\{x \in P : a < x\}$ .

The open interval  $(-\infty, a)$  is the set  $\{x \in P : x < a\}$ .

**Definition 3.1.19** (Closed Interval). Let P be a poset and  $a, b \in P$  with a < b. The *open interval* [a, b] is the set  $\{x \in P : a \le x \le b\}$ .

The closed interval  $[a, +\infty)$  is the set  $\{x \in P : a \le x\}$ .

The closed interval  $(-\infty, a]$  is the set  $\{x \in P : x \leq a\}$ .

**Definition 3.1.20** (Half-Open Interval). Let P be a poset and  $a, b \in P$  with a < b. The half-open intervals [a, b) and (a, b] are defined by

$$[a,b) = \{x \in P : a \le x < b\}$$

$$(a, b] = \{ x \in O : a < x \le b \}$$

**Definition 3.1.21** (Interval). Let P be a poset. The *intervals* in P are the sets of the following forms:

- Ø
- a singleton
- P
- the open intervals
- the closed intervals
- the half-open intervals

#### 3.2 Linear Orders

**Definition 3.2.1** (Linear Ordering). Let **A** be a class. A *linear ordering* or *total ordering* on **A** is a relation **R** on **A** such that:

- R is transitive.
- **R** satisfies *trichotomy* on **A**; i.e. for any  $x, y \in \mathbf{A}$ , exactly one of

$$x\mathbf{R}y, x = y, y\mathbf{R}x$$

holds.

Theorem 3.2.2. Let R be a linear ordering on A.

- 1. There is no x such that  $x\mathbf{R}x$ .
- 2. For distinct x and y in A, either xRy or yRx.

PROOF: Immediate from trichotomy.

**Definition 3.2.3** (Strictly Monotone Functions). Let A and B be linearly ordered sets. A function  $f: A \to B$  is *strictly monotone* iff, for all  $x, y \in A$ , if x < y then f(x) < f(y).

**Theorem 3.2.4.** Let A and B be linearly ordered sets and  $f: A \to B$  be strictly monotone. For all  $x, y \in A$ , if f(x) < f(y) then x < y.

PROOF: We have  $f(x) \neq f(y)$  and  $f(y) \not < f(x)$  by trichotomy, hence  $x \neq y$  and  $y \not < x$  since f is strictly monotone, hence x < y by trichotomy.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.2.5.** Every strictly monotone function is injective.

PROOF: If f(x) = f(y), then we have  $f(x) \not< f(y)$  and  $f(y) \not< f(x)$  by trichotomy, hence  $x \not< y$  and  $y \not< x$  since f is strictly monotone, hence x = y by trichotomy.  $\square$ 

**Proposition 3.2.6.** Let (A,<) be a linearly ordered set and  $B\subseteq A$ . Then  $<\cap B^2$  is a linear order on B.

Proof: Easy.

**Definition 3.2.7.** Let A and B be disjoint linearly ordered sets. The *concatenation* of A and B,  $A \oplus B$ , is the set  $A \cup B$  under the order given by: x < y iff

- $x, y \in A$  and x < y; or
- $x, y \in B$  and x < y; or
- $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ .

It is easy to check this is a linear ordering.

Proposition 3.2.8.

$$A \oplus (B \oplus C) = (A \oplus B) \oplus C$$

Proof: Easy.

Proposition 3.2.9.

$$A \oplus \emptyset = \emptyset \oplus A = A$$

Proof: Easy.

**Definition 3.2.10.** Let A and B be linearly ordered sets. The *lexicographic* order on  $A \times B$  is defined by:  $(a_1, b_1) < (a_2, b_2)$  iff  $a_1 < a_2$  or  $(a_1 = a_2)$  and  $a_1 < a_2$ .

**Proposition 3.2.11.** These two orders on  $A \times B \times C$  are equal:

- lexicographic order formed from (lexicographic order on  $A \times B$ ) and order on C
- lexicographic order formed from order on A and (lexicographic order on  $B \times C$ )

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

Proposition 3.2.12.

$$A \times 1 = 1 \times A = A$$

Proof: Easy.

**Proposition 3.2.13.**  $A \times (B \oplus C) = (A \times B) \oplus (A \times C)$ 

Proof: Easy.

### 3.3 Well Orderings

**Definition 3.3.1** (Well Ordering). A well ordering on a set A is a linear ordering on A such that every nonempty subset of A has a least element.

**Theorem 3.3.2.** Assume that < is a linear ordering on A. Assume that the only <-inductive subset of A is A itself. Then < is a well ordering on A.

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Assume: for a contradiction  $B \subseteq A$  has no least element.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . A B is <-inductive.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $t \in A$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $\operatorname{seg} t \subseteq A B$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ t \notin B$

PROOF: If it were, it would be the least element of B.

$$\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ t \in A - B$$

$$\langle 1 \rangle 3$$
.  $A - B = A$ 

$$\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ B = \emptyset$$

**Proposition 3.3.3.** Let (A, <) be a well ordered set and  $B \subseteq A$ . Then  $< \cap B^2$  is a well order on B.

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 3.3.4.** Let A and B be well-ordered sets. Then one of the following holds:

- $A \cong B$
- $\exists b \in B.A \cong \operatorname{seg} b$
- $\exists a \in A. \operatorname{seg} a \cong B$

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . PICKe that is not a member of A or B
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Define  $F: A \to B \cup \{e\}$  by:

$$F(t) = \begin{cases} \text{the least element of } B - F(\sec t) & \text{if } B - F(\sec t) \neq \emptyset \\ e & \text{if } B - F(\sec t) = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Case:  $e \in \operatorname{ran} F$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $a \in A$  be least such that  $B F(\operatorname{seg} a) = \emptyset$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $F \upharpoonright \operatorname{seg} a : \operatorname{seg} a \cong B$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . Case: ran F = B

PROOF: In this case  $F: A \cong B$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. Case: ran  $F \subset B$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $b \in B$  be least such that  $b \notin \operatorname{ran} F$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $F: A \cong \operatorname{seg} b$

<b>Theorem 3.3.5.</b> The concatenation of two well-orderings is a well ordering.
Proof: Easy. $\square$
<b>Theorem 3.3.6.</b> The lexicographic ordering on the product of two well-ordered sets is a well ordering.
Proof: Easy. $\square$

### Chapter 4

# **Ordinal Numbers**

**Theorem 4.0.1.** There exists a function **Ord** from the class of all well-ordered sets to **V** such that  $\mathbf{Ord}(A) = \mathbf{Ord}(B)$  if and only if  $A \cong B$ .

Let  $\mathbf{Ord}(x,y)$  be the proposition: x is a well-ordered set (A,R) and there exists a surjective function  $E:A \twoheadrightarrow y$  such that, for all  $t \in A$ , we have  $E(t) = \{E(s): s \in A, sRt\}$ . We reason as follows:

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . **Ord** is a function
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $\mathbf{Ord}((A,R),\alpha)$  and  $\mathbf{Ord}((A,R),\beta)$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . PICK surjective functions  $E_1: A \twoheadrightarrow \alpha$  and  $E_2: A \twoheadrightarrow \beta$  such that, for all  $t \in A$ , we have  $E_1(t) = \{E_1(s): sRt\}$  and  $E_2(t) = \{E_2(s): sRt\}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ .  $E_1 = E_2$

PROOF: Prove  $E_1(t) = E_2(t)$  by R-induction on t.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ \alpha = \beta$ 
  - PROOF: We have  $\alpha = \operatorname{ran} E_1 = \operatorname{ran} E_2 = \beta$ .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . dom **Ord** is the class of all well-ordered sets
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . If  $\mathbf{Ord}(x,y)$  then x is a well-ordered set.

PROOF: Immediate.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . For any well-ordered set (A, R), there exists  $\alpha$  such that  $\mathbf{Ord}((A, R), \alpha)$ 
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let: (A, R) be a well-ordered set.
  - $\langle 3 \rangle$ 2. Define the function  $E: A \to \mathbf{V}$  by transfinite recursion by:  $E(t) = \{E(s): sRt\}$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $\alpha = \operatorname{ran} E$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ .  $\mathbf{Ord}((A,R), \alpha)$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Given well-ordered sets A and B, we have  $\mathbf{Ord}(A) = \mathbf{Ord}(B)$  if and only if  $A \cong B$ .
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let: (A, R) and (B, S) be well-ordered sets.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . If  $\mathbf{Ord}(A,R) = \mathbf{Ord}(B,S)$  then  $(A,R) \cong (B,S)$ 
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $\mathbf{Ord}(A, R) = \mathbf{Ord}(B, S) = \alpha$ , say
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . PICK surjective function  $E:(A,R) \twoheadrightarrow \alpha$  and  $E':(B,S) \twoheadrightarrow \alpha$  such that  $\forall t \in A.E(t) = \{E(s): sRt\}$  and  $\forall t \in B.E'(t) = \{E'(s): sSt\}$

```
\langle 3 \rangle 3. E' is a bijection
          PROOF: If sSt then E'(s) \in E'(t) hence E'(s) \neq E'(t).
       \langle 3 \rangle 4. Define F: A \to B by F = E'^{-1} \circ E
       \langle 3 \rangle 5. For s, t \in A we have sRt iff F(s)SF(t)
          Proof:
                                        sRt \Leftrightarrow E(s) \in E(t)
                                               \Leftrightarrow E'^{-1}(E(s))SE'^{-1}(E(t))
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. If A \cong B then \mathbf{Ord}(A) = \mathbf{Ord}(B)
       \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: F: (A,R) \cong (B,S)
       \langle 3 \rangle 2. Let: \alpha = \mathbf{Ord}(A, R)
       \langle 3 \rangle 3. Let: \beta = \mathbf{Ord}(B, S)
       \langle 3 \rangle 4. Pick a surjective function E: A \to \alpha such that \forall t \in A.E(t) =
                {E(s):sRt}
       \langle 3 \rangle5. PICK a surjective function E': B \to \beta such that \forall t \in B.E'(t) =
                \{E'(s): sSt\}
       \langle 3 \rangle 6. \ \forall t \in A.E(t) = E'(F(t))
          PROOF: By R-induction on t.
       \langle 3 \rangle 7. \ \alpha = \beta
          Proof: \alpha = \operatorname{ran} E = \operatorname{ran} E' = \beta
```

**Theorem Schema 4.0.2.** Given any predicates Ord(x, y) and Ord'(x, z), there exists a predicate F(y, z) such that the following is a theorem.

Assume  $\mathbf{Ord}$  and  $\mathbf{Ord}'$  are functions from the class of all well-ordered sets to  $\mathbf{V}$  such that, for all well-ordered sets A and B,  $\mathbf{Ord}(A) = \mathbf{Ord}(B)$  if and only if  $\mathbf{Ord}'(A) = \mathbf{Ord}'(B)$  if and only if  $A \cong B$ . Then  $\mathbf{F}$  is a bijection between  $\mathbf{Cord}'(B)$  and  $\mathbf{Cord}'(B)$  such that  $\mathbf{F} \circ \mathbf{Ord} = \mathbf{Ord}'(B)$ .

Take  $\mathbf{F}(y,z)$  to be the predicate: There exists x such that  $\mathbf{Ord}(x,y)$  and  $\mathbf{Ord}'(x,z)$ .

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . **F** is a bijection between ran **Ord** and **Ord**'
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . **F** is a function.
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $\mathbf{F}(y,z)$  and  $\mathbf{F}(y,z')$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Pick x such that  $\mathbf{Ord}(x) = y$  and  $\mathbf{Ord}'(x) = z$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . PICK x' such that  $\mathbf{Ord}(x') = y$  and  $\mathbf{Ord}'(x') = z'$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ x \cong x'$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 5.$  z = z'
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . dom  $\mathbf{F} = \operatorname{ran} \mathbf{Ord}$ 
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . dom  $\mathbf{F} \subseteq \operatorname{ran} \mathbf{Ord}$

PROOF: Immediate.

- $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . ran **Ord**  $\subseteq$  dom **F** 
  - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $y \in \operatorname{ran} \mathbf{Ord}$
  - $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ . PICK x such that  $\mathbf{Ord}(x) = y$
  - $\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ \mathbf{F}(y) = \mathbf{Ord}'(x)$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . ran  $\mathbf{F} = \operatorname{ran} \mathbf{Ord}'$

```
⟨3⟩1. ran \mathbf{F} \subseteq \operatorname{ran} \mathbf{Ord}'
PROOF: Immediate.
⟨3⟩2. ran \mathbf{Ord}' \subseteq \operatorname{ran} \mathbf{F}
⟨4⟩1. Let: z \in \operatorname{ran} \mathbf{Ord}'
⟨4⟩2. Pick x such that \mathbf{Ord}'(x) = z
⟨4⟩3. \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{Ord}(x)) = z
⟨2⟩4. \mathbf{F} is one-to-one.
⟨3⟩1. Assume: \mathbf{F}(y) = \mathbf{F}(y')
⟨3⟩2. Pick x and x' such that \mathbf{Ord}(x) = y, \mathbf{Ord}(x') = y', and \mathbf{Ord}'(x) = \mathbf{Ord}'(x') = \mathbf{F}(y)
⟨3⟩3. x \cong x'
⟨3⟩4. y = y'
⟨1⟩2. \mathbf{F} \circ \mathbf{Ord} = \mathbf{Ord}'
PROOF: Immediate.
```

Pick a function **Ord** such that dom **Ord** is the class of all well-ordered sets, and  $\mathbf{Ord}(A) = \mathbf{Ord}(B)$  iff  $A \cong B$ .

**Definition 4.0.3** (Ordinal Number). The class **On** of *ordinal numbers* is ran **Ord**.

**Definition 4.0.4** (Well-ordered by Epsilon). A set A is well-ordered by epsilon iff  $\{(x,y): x,y \in A, x \in y\}$  is a well ordering on A.

**Definition 4.0.5** (Transitive Set). A set A is a *transitive set* iff every member of a member of A is a member of A.

**Theorem 4.0.6.** A set is an ordinal number if and only if it is a transitive set that is well-ordered by epsilon.

#### Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Every ordinal number is a transitive set.

PROOF: Lemma ??.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Every ordinal number is well-ordered by epsilon.

PROOF: Corollary ??.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \forall x \in \alpha. E(x) = x$ 

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Every transitive set that is well-ordered by epsilon is an ordinal number.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\alpha$  be a transitive set well-ordered by epsilon.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $\beta$  be the epsilon-image of  $(\alpha, \in)$  with  $E: \alpha \cong \beta$  the canonical isomorphism.

```
 \begin{array}{l} \langle 3 \rangle 1. \  \, \text{Let: } x \in \alpha \\ \langle 3 \rangle 2. \  \, \text{Assume: } \forall y < x. E(y) = y \\ \langle 3 \rangle 3. \  \, E(x) = x \\ \text{Proof:} \\ E(x) = \{E(y): y \in \alpha, y \in x\} \\ = \{E(y): y \in x\} \\ = \{y: y \in x\} \\ = x \end{array} \qquad (\alpha \text{ is a transitive set})
```

```
Theorem 4.0.7. Every member of an ordinal number is an ordinal number.
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Let: \alpha be an ordinal number.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. Let: \beta \in \alpha
\langle 1 \rangle 3. \beta is a transitive set.
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: x \in y \in \beta
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. \ y \in \alpha
       Proof: Since \alpha is a transitive set.
    \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ x \in \alpha
       PROOF: Since \alpha is a transitive set.
   \langle 2 \rangle 4. \ x \in \beta
       PROOF: Since \alpha is a partially ordered by epsilon.
\langle 1 \rangle 4. \beta is well-ordered by epsilon.
   PROOF: Since \{(x,y): x,y \in \beta, x \in y\} is the restriction of \{(x,y): x,y \in \beta\}
   \alpha, x \in y} to \beta.
\langle 1 \rangle 5. \beta is an ordinal number.
   PROOF: Theorem 4.0.6.
Proposition 4.0.8. The class of ordinals is well-ordered by epsilon.
Proof:
\langle 1 \rangle 1. For any ordinals \alpha, \beta, \gamma, if \alpha \in \beta \in \gamma then \alpha \in \gamma.
   PROOF: Since \gamma is a transitive set (Lemma ??).
\langle 1 \rangle 2. For any ordinal \alpha we have \alpha \notin \alpha.
   PROOF: Since \alpha is well-ordered by epsilon.
\langle 1 \rangle 3. For any ordinals \alpha, \beta, exactly one of \alpha \in \beta, \beta \in \alpha, \alpha = \beta holds.
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: \alpha, \beta be ordinals.
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. Either \alpha \cong \beta or \exists \gamma \in \beta. \alpha \cong \gamma or \exists \gamma \in \alpha. \gamma \cong \alpha
       PROOF: Theorem 3.3.4.
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. Either \alpha = \beta or \exists \gamma \in \beta . \alpha = \gamma or \exists \gamma \in \alpha . \gamma = \alpha
       PROOF: Since any ordinal is its own epsilon-image, and isomorphic well-
       orderings have equal epsilon-images.
\langle 1 \rangle 4. Any nonempty set of ordinals has a least element.
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: A be a nonempy set of ordinals.
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. Pick \alpha \in A
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. Case: A \cap \alpha = \emptyset
       PROOF: In this case, \alpha is least in A.
   \langle 2 \rangle 4. Case: A \cap \alpha \neq \emptyset
       PROOF: In this case, the least element of A \cap \alpha is the least element in A.
```

Corollary 4.0.8.1. Any transitive set of ordinal numbers is an ordinal number.

Corollary 4.0.8.2.  $\emptyset$  is an ordinal number.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $\alpha = \beta$ 

We write 0 for  $\emptyset$  considered as an ordinal number.

**Definition 4.0.9** (Successor). The *successor* of a set a is the set  $a^+ = a \cup \{a\}$ .

Corollary 4.0.9.1. The successor of an ordinal number is an ordinal number.

**Corollary 4.0.9.2.** For any set A of ordinal numbers, the set  $\bigcup A$  is an ordinal number.

**Theorem 4.0.10** (Burali-Forti). The class of ordinal numbers is not a set.

#### PROOF:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Assume: for a contradiction the class **On** is a set.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . **On** is an ordinal number.

Proof: Corollary 4.0.8.1.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . On  $\in$  On
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts Lemma ??.

**Theorem 4.0.11** (Hartogs). For any set A, there exists an ordinal not dominated by A.

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let: A be a set.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $\alpha = \{ \beta : \beta \text{ is an ordinal }, \beta \preccurlyeq A \}.$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $W = \{(B, <) : B \subseteq A, < \text{ is a well ordering on } B\}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ \forall \beta \in \alpha. \exists (B, <) \in W. \beta \text{ is the epsilon-image of } (B, <)$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\beta \in \alpha$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Pick an injection  $f: \beta \to A$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Define < on  $f(\beta)$  by:  $f(\gamma) < f(\delta)$  iff  $\gamma \in \delta$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . < well orders  $f(\beta)$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ .  $\beta$  is the epsilon-image of  $(f(\beta), <)$  with  $f^{-1}$  the canonical isomorphism.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ .  $\alpha$  is a set.

PROOF: By a Replacement Axiom applied to W.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$ .  $\alpha$  is an ordinal.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ .  $\alpha$  is a transitive set.
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\beta \in \gamma \in \alpha$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2. \ \beta \subseteq \gamma \preccurlyeq A$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ \beta \preccurlyeq A$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ \beta \in \alpha$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Q.E.D.

Proof: By Corollary 4.0.8.1.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 7. \ \alpha \not \leq A$ 

PROOF: Because  $\alpha \notin \alpha$ .

П

**Theorem 4.0.12.** The following statements are equivalent:

1. The Axiom of Choice

- 2. Well-Ordering Theorem For any set A, there exists a well ordering on A.
- 3. **Zorn's Lemma** Let A be a set such that, for every chain  $B \subseteq A$ , we have  $\bigcup \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Then  $\mathcal{A}$  has a maximal element.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . If the Axiom of Choice is true then the Well-Ordering Theorem is true.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: The Axiom of Choice
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: A be any set.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . PICK an ordinal  $\alpha$  not dominated by A.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Pick an object e such that  $e \notin A$ .
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. PICK a choice function  $G : \mathcal{P}A \{\emptyset\} \to A$  for A.

$$\langle 2 \rangle 6. \text{ Define the function } F: \alpha \to A \cup \{e\} \text{ by transfinite recursion thus:}$$

$$F(\gamma) = \begin{cases} G(A - \{F(\delta) : \delta < \gamma\}) & \text{if } A - \{F(\delta) : \delta < \gamma\} \neq \emptyset \\ e & \text{if } A - \{F(\delta) : \delta < \gamma\} = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

- $\langle 2 \rangle 7$ . Let:  $\delta$  be least such that  $F(\delta) = e$ 
  - PROOF: There is such a  $\delta$ , otherwise F would be a bijection between  $\alpha$  and A.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 8$ .  $F \upharpoonright \delta$  is a bijection between  $\delta$  and A
- $\langle 2 \rangle 9$ . Define  $\langle \text{ on } A \text{ by: } F(\gamma) \langle F(\beta) \text{ iff } \gamma \in \beta \text{ for } \gamma, \beta \in \delta$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 10$ . < is a well ordering on A.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If the Well-Ordering Theorem is true then Zorn's Lemma is true.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: The Well-Ordering Theorem
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $\mathcal{A}$  be a set that is closed under unions of chains.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Pick a well ordering  $\langle$  on  $\mathcal{A}$

$$\langle 2 \rangle$$
4. Define the function  $F: \mathcal{A} \to 2$  by transfinite recursion thus: 
$$F(A) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \forall B < A.F(B) = 1 \Rightarrow B \subseteq A \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. Let:  $\mathcal{C} = \{ A \in \mathcal{A} : F(A) = 1 \}$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 6$ . C is a chain.
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $A, B \in \mathcal{C}$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Assume: w.l.o.g. A < B
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ F(A) = 1$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ . F(B) = 1
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 5$ .  $A \subseteq B$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 7$ .  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{A}$ 
  - Proof: By  $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .
- $\langle 2 \rangle 8$ .  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  is maximal in  $\mathcal{A}$ 
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \subseteq D \in \mathcal{A}$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2. \ \forall B < D.F(B) = 1 \Rightarrow B \subseteq D$

PROOF: If F(B) = 1 then  $B \in \mathcal{C}$  so  $B \subseteq \bigcup \mathcal{C} \subseteq D$ .

- $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ F(D) = 1$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 4. \ D \in \mathcal{C}$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 5.$   $D = \bigcup \mathcal{C}$

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . If Zorn's Lemma is true then the Axiom of Choice is true.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: Zorn's Lemma
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: R be a relation.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $\mathcal{A}$  be the set of all functions that are subsets of R.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . For any chain  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  we have  $\bigcup \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. Pick  $F \in \mathcal{A}$  maximal.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 6$ . dom F = dom R

Corollary 4.0.12.1 (Numeration Theorem (Choice)). Any set is equinumerous to some ordinal number.

**Theorem 4.0.13** (Transfinite Recursion). Let  $F: V \to V$ . Then there exists a function  $G: On \to V$  such that

$$\forall \alpha \in \mathbf{On}.\mathbf{G}(\alpha) = \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{G} \upharpoonright \alpha)$$
.

PROOF: Define  $\mathbf{G} = \{(\alpha, y) : \exists f : \alpha^+ \to \mathbf{V}. \forall \beta \in \alpha^+. f(\beta) = \mathbf{F}(f \upharpoonright \beta)\}.$ 

**Definition 4.0.14** (Continuous). A function  $\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{On} \to \mathbf{On}$  is *continuous* iff  $\mathbf{F}(\lambda) = \bigcup_{\beta \in \lambda} \mathbf{F}(\beta)$  for every limit ordinal  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem 4.0.15.** Let  $\mathbf{F} : \mathbf{On} \to \mathbf{On}$  be continuous. Suppose  $\forall \alpha \in \mathbf{On}.\mathbf{F}(\alpha) < \mathbf{F}(\alpha+1)$ . Then  $\mathbf{F}$  is strictly monotone.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $P(\beta)$  be the statement:  $\forall \alpha < \beta . \mathbf{F}(\alpha) < \mathbf{F}(\beta)$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . P(0)

Proof: Vacuous.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \forall \beta \in \mathbf{On}.P(\beta) \Rightarrow P(\beta^+)$ 
  - PROOF: For  $\alpha < \beta^+$  we have  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha) \leq \mathbf{F}(\beta) < \mathbf{F}(\beta^+)$ .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . For every limit ordinal  $\lambda$ , if  $\forall \beta < \lambda . P(\beta)$  then  $P(\lambda)$

PROOF: For  $\alpha < \lambda$  we have  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha) < \mathbf{F}(\alpha^+) \leq \mathbf{F}(\lambda)$ .

П

**Definition 4.0.16** (Normal). A function  $F: On \to On$  is *normal* iff it is strictly monotone and continuous.

**Theorem 4.0.17.** Let  $\mathbf{F}: \mathbf{On} \to \mathbf{On}$  be normal. Let  $t_0 \leq \beta$ . Then there exists a greatest  $\gamma$  such that  $\mathbf{F}(\gamma) \leq \beta$ .

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\gamma = \{ \alpha \in \mathbf{On} : \mathbf{F}(\alpha) \leq \beta \}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .  $\gamma$  is an ordinal.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ .  $\gamma$  is a set.

PROOF: We have  $\alpha \leq \mathbf{F}(\alpha)$  for all  $\alpha$ , so  $\gamma \subseteq \beta$ .

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $\gamma$  is a transitive set.

PROOF: If  $\alpha < \alpha'$  and  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha') \leq \beta$  then  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha) < \beta$  by monotonicity.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \gamma \neq 0$ 

PROOF: By hypothesis.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . Case:  $\gamma$  is a successor ordinal.

PROOF: Let  $\gamma = \alpha^+$ . Then  $\alpha$  is greatest such that  $\mathbf{F}(\alpha) \leq \beta$ .

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. Case:  $\gamma$  is a limit ordinal.

PROOF: This is impossible since then  $\mathbf{F}(\gamma) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \gamma} \mathbf{F}(\alpha) \leq \beta$  and so  $\gamma \in \gamma$ .

**Theorem 4.0.18.** Let  $\mathbf{F}: \mathbf{On} \to \mathbf{On}$  be normal. Let S be a nonempty set of ordinals. Then  $\mathbf{F}(\sup S) = \sup \mathbf{F}(S)$ .

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ .  $\mathbf{F}(\sup S) \ge \sup \mathbf{F}(S)$ 

PROOF: By monotonicity.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .  $\mathbf{F}(\sup S) \leq \sup \mathbf{F}(S)$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Case:  $\sup S \in S$ 

PROOF: Immediate.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Case:  $\sup S \notin S$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . sup S is a limit ordinal.

 $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ .  $\mathbf{F}(\sup S) = \sup \{ \mathbf{F}(\beta) : \beta < \sup S \}$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ \forall \beta < \sup S.\mathbf{F}(\beta) \le \sup \mathbf{F}(S)$ 

**Theorem 4.0.19** (Veblen Fixed-Point Theorem (1907)). A normal operation on ordinals has arbitrarily large fixed points.

That is, let  $\mathbf{F}: \mathbf{On} \to \mathbf{On}$  be normal. For all  $\alpha \in \mathbf{On}$ , there exists  $\beta \geq \alpha$  such that  $\mathbf{F}(\beta) = \beta$ .

PROOF: Let  $\beta=\sup_{n\in\omega}F^n(\alpha)$ . Then  $\alpha\leq\beta$  using monotonicity, and  $F(\beta)=\sup_{n\in\omega}F^{n+1}(\alpha)$   $=\beta$ 

**Definition 4.0.20** (Addition). The *sum* of two ordinal numbers is the ordinal number of their concatenation.

Theorem 4.0.21. Addition is associative.

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

Theorem 4.0.22.

$$\alpha + 0 = 0 + \alpha = \alpha$$

Proof: Easy.

Theorem 4.0.23.

$$\alpha + \beta^+ = (\alpha + \beta)^+$$

Proof: Easy.

**Theorem 4.0.24.** For  $\lambda$  a limit ordinal,  $\alpha + \lambda = \sup_{\beta < \lambda} (\alpha + \beta)$ .

PROOF: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 4.0.25.** For any ordinal  $\alpha$ , the function that maps  $\beta$  to  $\alpha + \beta$  is normal.

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

Corollary 4.0.25.1.

$$\beta < \gamma \Leftrightarrow \alpha + \beta < \alpha + \gamma$$

Corollary 4.0.25.2. If  $\alpha + \beta = \alpha + \gamma$  then  $\beta = \gamma$ .

**Theorem 4.0.26.** If  $\beta \leq \gamma$  then  $\beta + \alpha \leq \gamma + \alpha$ .

PROOF: Transfinite induction on  $\alpha$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 4.0.27** (Subtraction Theorem). If  $\alpha \leq \beta$  then there exists a unique ordinal  $\gamma$  such that  $\alpha + \gamma = \beta$ .

PROOF: Let  $\gamma$  be greatest such that  $\alpha + \gamma \leq \beta$ .  $\square$ 

**Definition 4.0.28** (Multiplication). The *product* of two ordinal numbers  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  is the ordinal number of  $\alpha \times \beta$  under the lexicographic ordering.

Theorem 4.0.29. Multiplication is associative.

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

Theorem 4.0.30.

$$\alpha(\beta + \gamma) = \alpha\beta + \alpha\gamma$$

Proof: Easy.

Theorem 4.0.31.

$$\alpha 1 = 1\alpha = \alpha$$

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

Theorem 4.0.32.

$$\alpha 0 = 0\alpha = 0$$

Proof: Easy.

Theorem 4.0.33.

$$\alpha \beta^+ = \alpha \beta + \alpha$$

Proof: Easy.

**Theorem 4.0.34.** For  $\lambda$  a limit ordinal,  $\alpha\lambda = \sup_{\beta < \lambda} (\alpha\beta)$ .

Proof: Easy.

**Theorem 4.0.35.** For any ordinal  $\alpha > 0$ , the function that maps  $\beta$  to  $\alpha\beta$  is normal.

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

Corollary 4.0.35.1. For  $\alpha > 0$  we have

$$\beta < \gamma \Leftrightarrow \alpha \beta < \alpha \gamma$$

Corollary 4.0.35.2. For  $\alpha > 0$ , if  $\alpha \beta = \alpha \gamma$  then  $\beta = \gamma$ .

**Theorem 4.0.36.** If  $\beta \leq \gamma$  then  $\beta \alpha \leq \gamma \alpha$ .

PROOF: Transfinite induction on  $\alpha$ .

**Theorem 4.0.37** (Division Theorem). Let  $\delta \neq 0$ . For any  $\alpha$ , there exist unique ordinals  $\beta$ ,  $\gamma$  such that  $\alpha = \delta\beta + \gamma$  and  $\gamma < \delta$ .

PROOF: Let  $\beta$  be largest such that  $\delta\beta \leq \alpha$ , and let  $\gamma$  be as given by the Subtraction Theorem.  $\square$ 

PROOF: Let  $\gamma$  be greatest such that  $\alpha + \gamma \leq \beta$ .  $\square$ 

**Definition 4.0.38** (Exponentiation). Define  $\alpha^{\beta}$  by transfinite recursion thus:

$$\alpha^{0} = 1$$

$$\alpha^{\beta^{+}} = \alpha^{\beta} \alpha$$

$$\alpha^{\lambda} = \sup_{\beta < \lambda} \alpha^{\beta}$$

for  $\lambda$  a limit ordinal.

**Theorem 4.0.39.** For  $\alpha > 1$ , the function that maps  $\beta$  to  $\alpha^{\beta}$  is normal.

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

Corollary 4.0.39.1. For  $\alpha > 1$  we have

$$\beta < \gamma \Leftrightarrow \alpha^{\beta} < \alpha^{\gamma}$$

Corollary 4.0.39.2. For  $\alpha > 1$ , if  $\alpha^{\beta} = \alpha^{\gamma}$  then  $\beta = \gamma$ .

**Theorem 4.0.40.** If  $\beta \leq \gamma$  then  $\beta^{\alpha} \leq \gamma^{\alpha}$ .

PROOF: Transfinite induction on  $\alpha$ .

**Theorem 4.0.41** (Logarithm Theorem). Let  $\alpha \neq 0$  and  $\beta > 1$ . Then there exist unique ordinals  $\gamma$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\rho$  such that  $\alpha = \beta^{\gamma} \delta + \rho$ ,  $0 < \delta < \beta$  and  $\rho < \beta^{\gamma}$ .

PROOF: Let  $\gamma$  be greatest such that  $\beta^{\gamma} \leq \alpha$ , and then apply the Division Theorem.  $\square$ 

Theorem 4.0.42.

$$\alpha^{\beta+\gamma} = \alpha^{\beta}\alpha^{\gamma}$$

PROOF: Transfinite induction on  $\gamma$ .  $\square$ 

Theorem 4.0.43.

$$\alpha^{\beta\gamma} = (\alpha^\beta)^\gamma$$

PROOF: Transfinite induction on  $\gamma$ .  $\square$ 

# Chapter 5

# **Natural Numbers**

#### 5.1 Natural Numbers

**Definition 5.1.1** (Peano System). A *Peano system* is a triple  $\langle N, S, 0 \rangle$  consisting of a set N, a function  $S: N \to N$  and an element  $0 \in N$  such that:

- 1.  $0 \notin \operatorname{ran} S$
- 2. S is one-to-one
- 3. Any subset  $A \subseteq N$  that contains 0 and is closed under S equals N.

We call 0 zero and S(x) the successor of x.

**Theorem 5.1.2.** *In any Peano system, every element is either 0 or a successor.* 

PROOF: The set of elements that are either 0 or a successor contains 0 and is closed under successor.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.1.3** (Iteration Theorem). Let (N, S, 0) be any Peano system. Let W be a set,  $c \in W$  and  $g : W \to W$ . Then there exists a unique function  $F : N \to W$  such that F(0) = c and  $\forall x \in N.F(S(x)) = g(F(x))$ .

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . S is a well-founded relation.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $A \subseteq N$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume: A has no S-minimal element Prove:  $A = \emptyset$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ 0 \in N A$

PROOF: Otherwise 0 would be an S-minimal element of A.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ \forall x \in N - A.S(x) \in N - A$ 

PROOF: Otherwise S(x) would be an S-minimal element of A.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ N - A = N$ 

PROOF: By induction.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Q.E.D.

PROOF: By Transfinite Recursion.

**Definition 5.1.4** (Inductive). A class **A** is *inductive* iff  $\emptyset \in \mathbf{A}$  and  $\forall a \in \mathbf{A}.a^+ \in \mathbf{A}$ .

**Definition 5.1.5** (Natural Number). A *natural number* is a set that belongs to every inductive set.

We write  $\omega$  for the class of all natural numbers.

**Theorem 5.1.6.** The class  $\omega$  is a set.

PROOF: Pick an inductive set I (by the Axiom of Infinity), then apply a Subset Axiom to I.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.1.7.** The set  $\omega$  is inductive, and is a subset of every inductive set.

Proof: Easy.

Corollary 5.1.7.1 (Proof by Induction). Any inductive subclass of  $\omega$  is equal to  $\omega$ .

**Theorem 5.1.8.** Every natural number except 0 is the successor of some natural number.

Proof: Easy proof by induction.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 5.1.9.** For any transitive set a,  $\bigcup (a^+) = a$ .

Proof:

$$\bigcup (a^{+}) = \bigcup (a \cup \{a\})$$

$$= \bigcup a \cup \bigcup \{a\}$$

$$= \bigcup a \cup a$$

$$= a$$

since  $\bigcup a \subseteq a$ .  $\square$ 

Theorem 5.1.10. Every natural number is a transitive set.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . 0 is a transitive set.

Proof: Vacuous.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2.$  For any natural number n, if n is a transitive set then  $n^+$  is a transitive set.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let: n be a natural number that is a transitive set.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2. \bigcup (n^+) \subseteq n^+$

PROOF: Theorem 5.1.9.

**Theorem 5.1.11.**  $\langle \omega, \sigma, 0 \rangle$  is a Peano system, where  $0 = \emptyset$  and  $\sigma = \{\langle n, n^+ \rangle : n \in \omega \}$ .

### Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ .  $0 \notin \operatorname{ran} \sigma$ 

PROOF: For any  $n \in \omega$  we have  $0 \neq n^+$  since  $n \in n^+$  and  $n \notin 0$ .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .  $\sigma$  is one-to-one.

PROOF: If  $m^+ = n^+$  then  $m = \bigcup (m^+) = \bigcup (n^+) = n$  using Theorems 5.1.9 and 5.1.10.

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 3. Any subset  $A \subseteq \omega$  that contains 0 and is closed under  $\sigma$  equals  $\omega$ .

## **Theorem 5.1.12.** The set $\omega$ is a transitive set.

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . For every natural number n we have  $\forall m \in n$ . m is a natural number.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ .  $\forall m \in \mathbb{0}$ . m is a natural number.

Proof: Vacuous.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . If n is a natural number and  $\forall m \in n$ . m is a natural number, then  $\forall m \in n^+$ . m is a natural number.

PROOF: Since if  $m \in n^+$  we have either  $m \in n$  or m = n, and m is a natural number in either case.

**Theorem 5.1.13.** Let (N, S, e) be a Peano system. Then  $(\omega, \sigma, 0)$  is isomorphic to (N, S, e), i.e. there is a function h mapping  $\omega$  one-to-one onto N in a way that preserves the successor operation

$$h(\sigma(n)) = S(h(n))$$

and the zero element

$$h(0) = e .$$

## Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . There exists a function h that satisfies those two conditions.

PROOF: By the Recursion Theorem.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . For all  $m, n \in \omega$ , if  $m \neq n$  then  $h(m) \neq h(n)$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . For all  $n \in \omega$ , if  $n \neq 0$  then  $h(n) \neq h(0)$ 
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $n \in \omega$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $n \neq 0$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . Pick p such that  $n = p^+$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ .  $h(n) \neq h(0)$

PROOF:  $h(n) = S(h(p)) \neq e = h(0)$ .

- $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . For all  $m \in \omega$ , if  $\forall n (m \neq n \Rightarrow h(m) \neq h(n))$  then  $\forall n (m^+ \neq n \Rightarrow h(m^+) \neq h(n))$ 
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $m \in \omega$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $\forall n (m \neq n \Rightarrow h(m) \neq h(n))$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $n \in \omega$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ . Assume:  $m^+ \neq n$ Prove:  $h(m^+) \neq h(n)$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 5$ . Case: n = 0

Proof:  $h(m^{+}) = S(h(m)) \neq e = h(n)$  $\langle 3 \rangle 6$ . Case:  $n = p^+$  $\langle 4 \rangle 1. \ m \neq p$  $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ .  $h(m) \neq h(p)$  $\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ S(h(m)) \neq S(h(p))$  $\langle 4 \rangle 4$ .  $h(m^+) \neq h(p^+)$  $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . For all  $x \in N$ , there exists  $n \in \omega$  such that h(n) = xProof: An easy induction on x.

**Theorem 5.1.14** (Choice). Let R be a relation on A. Then R is well founded iff there does not exist any function  $f:\omega\to A$  such that f(n+1)Rf(n) for all  $n \in \omega$ .

## Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . If R is well founded then there does not exist any function  $f: \omega \to A$  such that f(n+1)Rf(n) for all  $n \in \omega$ .

PROOF: If there is such a function f then ran f is a nonempty subset of Awith no R-minimal element.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If there does not exist any function  $f: \omega \to A$  such that f(n+1)Rf(n)for all  $n \in \omega$  then R is well founded.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $X \subseteq A$  be a nonempty subset of A with no R-minimal element. There exists a function  $f: \omega \to A$  such that f(n+1) < f(n)
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Pick  $a_0 \in X$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \forall x \in X. \exists y \in X. yRx$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . PICK a function  $g: X \to X$  such that  $\forall x \in X. g(x) Rx$ PROOF: By the Axiom of Choice.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. Define  $f : \omega \to A$  recursively by:

$$f(0) = a_0$$
$$f(n^+) = g(f(n))$$

 $\langle 2 \rangle 6. \ \forall n \in \omega. f(n^+) Rf(n)$ 

Alternative proof for Theorem 2.10.1 Define  $f: \omega \to \mathcal{P}A^2$  by f(0) = Rand  $f(n^+) = f(n) \circ R$ . Define  $R^t = \bigcup_{n \in \omega} f(n)$ .

**Theorem 5.1.15.** For any set A, there exists the smallest transitive set B such that  $A \subseteq B$ .

Proof: Define  $f: \omega \to \mathbf{V}$  by

$$f(0) = A$$

$$f(n^+) = f(n) \cup \bigcup f(n)$$

Then  $\bigcup_n f(n)$  is the smallest transitive set that includes A.  $\square$ 

**Definition 5.1.16** (Transitive Closure). The transitive closure of a set A is the least transitive set that includes A.

**Theorem 5.1.17.** Addition on natural numbers is commutative.

**Theorem 5.1.18.** Multiplication on natural numbers is commutative.

**Definition 5.1.19** (Sequence). A sequence in a set A is a function  $\mathbb{N} \to A$ .

**Definition 5.1.20** (Subsequence). Let  $(a_n)$  be a sequence in a set A. A subsequence of  $(a_n)$  is a sequence of the form  $(a_{n_r})$  where  $(n_r)$  is a strictly increasing sequence in  $\mathbb{N}$ .

**Definition 5.1.21** (Nested Sequence). Let P be a partial order and  $([a_n, b_n])$  a sequence of closed intervals in P. The sequence is nested iff  $\forall n.a_n \leq a_{n+1}$  and  $\forall n.b_{n+1} \leq b_n.$ 

#### 5.2 Finite Sets

**Definition 5.2.1** (Finite). A set is *finite* iff it is equinumerous with a natural number. Otherwise it is infinite.

**Theorem 5.2.2.** No natural number is equinumerous with a proper subset of itself.

PROOF:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Any injective function  $f: 0 \to 0$  has range 0.

PROOF: Since the only such function is  $\emptyset$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . For any natural number n, if every injective function  $f: n \to n$  has range n, then every injective function  $f: n^+ \to n^+$  has range  $n^+$ .
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $n \in \omega$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume: Every injective function  $f: n \to n$  has range n.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $f: n^+ \to n^+$  be injective.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Define  $g: n \to n$  by

$$g(k) = \begin{cases} f(k) & \text{if } f(k) \in n \\ f(n) & \text{if } f(k) = n \end{cases}$$
 Proof: If  $k \in n$  and  $f(k) = n$  then  $f(n) \in n$  since  $f$  is injective.

- $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . g is injective.
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $i, j \in n$
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Assume: g(i) = g(j)
  - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . Case:  $f(i) \in n, f(j) \in n$

PROOF: Then f(i) = f(j) so i = j

 $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ . Case:  $f(i) \in n, f(j) \notin n$ 

PROOF: Then f(i) = f(n) which is impossible as f is injective.

 $\langle 3 \rangle 5$ . Case:  $f(i) \notin n, f(j) \in n$ 

PROOF: Then f(n) = f(j) which is impossible as f is injective.

 $\langle 3 \rangle 6$ . Case:  $f(i) \notin n, f(j) \notin n$ 

PROOF: Then f(i) = f(j) = n so i = j.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 6$ . ran g = n

Proof: By  $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .

```
\langle 2 \rangle 7. ran f = n^+
      \langle 3 \rangle 1. \ \forall k \in n.k \in \operatorname{ran} f
         PROOF: Since ran g \subseteq \operatorname{ran} f.
      \langle 3 \rangle 2. n \in \operatorname{ran} f
         \langle 4 \rangle 1. Case: f(n) \in n
             \langle 5 \rangle 1. Pick k such that g(k) = f(n)
             \langle 5 \rangle 2. f(k) = n
          \langle 4 \rangle 2. Case: f(n) = n
             PROOF: Then n \in \operatorname{ran} f.
П
Corollary 5.2.2.1. No finite set is equinumerous with a proper subset of itself.
Corollary 5.2.2.2. The set \omega is infinite.
PROOF: Since the function that maps n to n+1 is a bijection between \omega and
the proper subset \omega - \{0\}. \square
Corollary 5.2.2.3. Every finite set is equinumerous with a unique natural num-
ber.
Lemma 5.2.3. Let n be a natural number and C \subseteq n. Then there exists m \in n
such that C \approx m.
PROOF:
\langle 1 \rangle 1. For all C \subseteq 0, there exists m \in 0 such that C \approx m.
   PROOF: In this case C = \emptyset and so C \approx 0.
\langle 1 \rangle 2. Let n \in \omega. Assume that, for all C \subseteq n, there exists m \in n such that C \approx m.
        Let C \subseteq n^+. Then there exists m \in n^+ such that C \approx m.
   \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: n \in \omega
   \langle 2 \rangle 2. Assume: For all C \subseteq n, there exists m \in n such that C \approx m.
   \langle 2 \rangle 3. Let: C \subseteq n^+
   \langle 2 \rangle 4. Case: n \in C
      \langle 3 \rangle 1. Pick m \in n such that C - \{n\} \approx m
      \langle 3 \rangle 2. C \approx m^+
```

Corollary 5.2.3.1. Any subset of a finite set is finite.

PROOF: Then  $C \subseteq n$  so  $C \approx m$  for some  $m \in n$ .

 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. Case:  $n \notin C$ 

# Chapter 6

# Cardinal Numbers

# 6.1 Cardinal Numbers

**Definition 6.1.1** (Cardinality (Choice)). For any set A, define the *cardinal* number of A, |A|, to be the least ordinal that is equinumerous with A. **Theorem 6.1.2.** For any sets A and B, |A| = |B| if and only if  $A \approx B$ .

PROOF: Easy.  $\square$  **Theorem 6.1.3.** For any finite set A, |A| is the natural number such that  $A \approx |A|$ .

PROOF: Immediate from definitions.  $\square$  **Definition 6.1.4.** We write  $\aleph_0$  for  $|\omega|$ .

# 6.2 Cardinal Arithmetic

**Definition 6.2.1** (Addition). Let  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  be any cardinal numbers. Then  $\kappa + \lambda = |K \cup L|$ , where K and L are any disjoint sets of cardinality  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  respectively.

To show this is well-defined, we must prove that, if  $K_1 \approx K_2$ ,  $L_1 \approx L_2$ , and  $K_1 \cap L_1 = K_2 \cap L_2 = \emptyset$ , then  $K_1 \cup L_1 \approx K_2 \cup L_2$ .

PROOF: Easy.

**Lemma 6.2.2.** For any cardinal number  $\kappa$  we have  $\kappa + 0 = \kappa$ .

PROOF: Since for any set K we have  $K \cup \emptyset = K$ .

**Lemma 6.2.3.** For any natural number n we have  $n + \aleph_0 = \aleph_0$ .

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

### Lemma 6.2.4.

$$\aleph_0 + \aleph_0 = \aleph_0$$

PROOF: Define  $f:(\omega \times \{0\}) \cup (\omega \times \{1\}) \to \omega$  by f(n,0)=2n and f(n,1)=2n+1. Then f is a bijection.  $\square$ 

### Theorem 6.2.5.

$$\kappa + \lambda = \lambda + \kappa$$

Proof: Easy.

### Theorem 6.2.6.

$$\kappa + (\lambda + \mu) = (\kappa + \lambda) + \mu$$

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Definition 6.2.7** (Multiplication). Let  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  be any cardinal numbers. Then  $\kappa \lambda = |K \times L|$ , where K and L are any sets of cardinality  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  respectively.

It is easy to prove this well-defined.

**Lemma 6.2.8.** For any cardinal number  $\kappa$  we have  $\kappa 0 = 0$ .

PROOF: For any set K we have  $K \times \emptyset = \emptyset$ .  $\square$ 

**Lemma 6.2.9.** For any natural number n we have  $n\aleph_0 = \aleph_0$ .

PROOF: Induction on n using Lemma 6.2.4.  $\square$ 

# Lemma 6.2.10.

$$\aleph_0 \aleph_0 = \aleph_0$$

PROOF: Define  $f: \omega \times \omega \to \omega$  by  $f(m,n) = 2^m(2n+1) - 1$ . Then f is a bijection.  $\square$ 

# Lemma 6.2.11.

$$\kappa 1 = \kappa$$

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

# Theorem 6.2.12.

$$\kappa\lambda=\lambda\kappa$$

Proof: Easy.

### Theorem 6.2.13.

$$\kappa(\lambda\mu) = (\kappa\lambda)\mu$$

Proof: Easy.

### Theorem 6.2.14.

$$\kappa(\lambda + \mu) = \kappa\lambda + \kappa\mu$$

Proof: Easy.

**Definition 6.2.15** (Exponentiation). Let  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  be any cardinal numbers. Then  $\kappa^{\lambda} = |K^L|$ , where K and L are any sets of cardinality  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  respectively.

It is easy to prove this well-defined.

**Theorem 6.2.16.** For any cardinal  $\kappa$ ,  $\kappa^0 = 1$ .

PROOF: For any set K, there is only one function  $\emptyset \to K$ , namely  $\emptyset$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 6.2.17.** For any non-zero cardinal  $\kappa$ , we have  $0^{\kappa} = 0$ .

PROOF: For any nonempty set K, there is no function  $K \to \emptyset$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 6.2.18.** For any set A,  $|PA| = 2^{|A|}$ .

PROOF: Define the bijection  $f: \mathcal{P}A \to 2^A$  by f(S)(a) = 1 if  $a \in S$ , 0 if  $a \notin S$ .

Corollary 6.2.18.1. For any cardinal  $\kappa$ , we have  $\kappa \neq 2^{\kappa}$ .

Theorem 6.2.19.

$$\kappa^{\lambda+\mu} = \kappa^{\lambda}\kappa^{\mu}$$

Proof: Easy.

Theorem 6.2.20.

$$(\kappa\lambda)^{\mu} = \kappa^{\mu}\lambda^{\mu}$$

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

Theorem 6.2.21.

$$(\kappa^{\lambda})^{\mu} = \kappa^{\lambda\mu}$$

Proof: Easy.

**Lemma 6.2.22.** The union of a set of cardinal numbers is a cardinal number.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let: A be a set of cardinal numbers.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $\alpha \in \bigcup A$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . PICK  $\kappa \in A$  such that  $\alpha \in \kappa$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ \alpha \prec \kappa$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5. \ \alpha \prec \bigcup A$ 

# 6.3 Alephs

**Definition 6.3.1.** Define the cardinal number  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  for every ordinal  $\alpha$  by transfinite recursion thus:  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is the least infinite cardinal different from  $\aleph_{\beta}$  for every  $\beta < \alpha$ .

**Theorem 6.3.2.** If  $\alpha < \beta$  then  $\aleph_{\alpha} < \aleph_{\beta}$ .

PROOF: By minimality of  $\aleph_{\alpha}$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 6.3.3.** Every infinite cardinal is of the form  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha$ .

### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Assume: for every infinite cardinal  $\lambda < \kappa$ , there exists  $\alpha$  such that  $\lambda = \aleph_{\alpha}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $\alpha = \{ \beta : \aleph_{\beta} < \kappa \}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ .  $\alpha$  is a set.

PROOF: The mapping  $\beta \mapsto \aleph_{\beta}$  is an injection  $\alpha \to \kappa$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ .  $\alpha$  is a transitive set.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$ .  $\alpha$  is an ordinal.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 7$ .  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is the least infinite cardinal different from  $\aleph_{\beta}$  for all  $\beta$  such that  $\aleph_{\beta} < \kappa$ .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 8$ .  $\aleph_{\alpha}$  is the least infinite cardinal different from  $\lambda$  for every infinite cardinal  $\lambda < \kappa$ .

PROOF: By  $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 9. \ \aleph_{\alpha} = \kappa$ 

# 6.4 Arithmetic

**Lemma 6.4.1.** For any natural numbers m and n, we have  $m+n^+=(m+n)^+$ .

Proof: Easy.

Corollary 6.4.1.1. The union of two finite sets is finite.

**Lemma 6.4.2.** For any natural numbers m and n we have  $mn^+ = mn + m$ .

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

Corollary 6.4.2.1. The Cartesian product of two finite sets is finite.

**Lemma 6.4.3.** For any natural numbers m and n we have  $m^{n^+} = m^n m$ .

Proof: Easy.

Corollary 6.4.3.1. If A and B are finite sets then  $A^B$  is finite.

# 6.5 Ordering on the Natural Numbers

**Lemma 6.5.1.** For any natural numbers m and n,  $m \in n$  if and only if  $m^+ \in n^+$ .

## Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1. \ \forall m, n \in \omega (m \in n \Rightarrow m^+ \in n^+)$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \forall m \in \omega (m \in 0 \Rightarrow m^+ \in 0^+)$

Proof: Vacuous.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . For all  $n \in \omega$ , if  $\forall m \in n.m^+ \in n^+$  then  $\forall m \in n^+.m^+ \in n^{++}$ 

```
\langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: n \in \omega
        \langle 3 \rangle 2. Assume: \forall m \in n.m^+ \in n^+
        \langle 3 \rangle 3. Let: m \in n^+
        \langle 3 \rangle 4. Case: m \in n
            \langle 4 \rangle 1. \ m^+ \in n^+
               Proof: By \langle 3 \rangle 2
            \langle 4 \rangle 2. \ m^+ \in n^{++}
        \langle 3 \rangle 5. Case: m = n
            PROOF: m^{+} = n^{+} \in n^{++}
\langle 1 \rangle 2. \ \forall m, n \in \omega(m^+ \in n^+ \Rightarrow m \in n)
    \langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: m, n \in \omega
    \langle 2 \rangle 2. Assume: m^+ \in n^+
    \langle 2 \rangle 3. \ m \in m^+
    \langle 2 \rangle 4. m^+ \in n or m^+ = n
    \langle 2 \rangle 5. \ m \in n
        PROOF: If m^+ \in n this follows because n is transitive (Theorem 5.1.10).
```

**Lemma 6.5.2.** For any natural number n we have  $n \notin n$ .

```
Proof:
```

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1. \ 0 \notin 0$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . For all  $n \in \omega$ , if  $n \notin n$  then  $n^+ \notin n^+$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $n \in \omega$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $n^+ \in n^+$
  - PROVE:  $n \in n$  $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ n^+ \in n \text{ or } n^+ = n$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ n \in n^+$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ n \in n$

PROOF: If  $n^+ \in n$  this follows because n is transitive (Theorem 5.1.10).

**Theorem 6.5.3** (Trichotomy Law for  $\omega$ ). For any natural numbers m and n, exactly one of

$$m\in n, m=n, n\in m$$

holds.

## Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . For any  $m, n \in \omega$ , at most one of  $m \in n$ , m = n,  $n \in m$  holds.

PROOF: If  $m \in n$  and m = n then  $m \in m$  contradicting Lemma 6.5.2.

If  $m \in n$  and  $n \in m$  then  $m \in m$  by Theorem 5.1.10, contradicting Lemma 6.5.2.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . For any  $m, n \in \omega$ , at least one of  $m \in n$ , m = n,  $n \in m$  holds.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . For all  $n \in \omega$ , either  $0 \in n$  or 0 = n
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1. \ 0 = 0$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . For all  $n \in \omega$ , if  $0 \in n$  or 0 = n then  $0 \in n^+$

```
\langle 2 \rangle 2. For all m \in \omega, if \forall n \in \omega (m \in n \lor m = n \lor n \in m) then \forall n \in \omega (m^+ \in n \lor m^+ = n \lor n \in m^+)
```

 $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $m \in \omega$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $\forall n \in \omega (m \in n \lor m = n \lor n \in m)$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $n \in \omega$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ . Case:  $m \in n$ 

PROOF: Then  $m \in n^+$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 5$ . Case: m = n

PROOF: Then  $m \in n^+$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 6$ . Case:  $n \in m$ 

PROOF: Then  $n^+ \in m^+$  by Lemma 6.5.1 so  $n^+ \in m$  or  $n^+ = m$ .

Corollary 6.5.3.1. The relation  $\in$  is a linear ordering on  $\omega$ .

Corollary 6.5.3.2. For any natural numbers m and n,

$$m \in n \Leftrightarrow m \subset n$$
.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $m, n \in \omega$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If  $m \in n$  then  $m \subset n$ .

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $m \in n$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $m \subseteq n$ 

PROOF: Theorem 5.1.10.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ m \neq n$ 

Proof: Lemma 6.5.2.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . If  $m \subset n$  then  $m \in n$ .

PROOF: We have  $m \neq n$  and  $n \notin m$  by  $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ , hence  $m \in n$  by trichotomy.

**Theorem 6.5.4.** For any natural number p, the function that maps n to n+p is strictly monotone. For any natural numbers m, n and p, we have  $m \in n$  if and only if  $m+p \in n+p$ .

PROOF: We prove that  $m \in n \Rightarrow m+p \in n+p$ . This is an easy induction on p using Lemma 6.5.1.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 6.5.5.** For any non-zero natural number p, the function that maps n to np is strictly monotone.

PROOF: Easy induction on p using Theorem 6.5.4.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 6.5.6** (Strong Induction). Let A be a subset of  $\omega$  and suppose that, for all  $n \in \omega$ , we have

$$(\forall m < n.m \in A) \Rightarrow n \in A$$
.

Then  $A = \omega$ .

PROOF: Prove  $\forall n \in \omega. \forall m < n.m \in A$  by induction on n.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 6.5.7** (Well-Ordering of  $\omega$ ). The ordering < on  $\omega$  is a well-ordering.

PROOF: If A is a subset of  $\omega$  with no least element, we prove  $\forall n \in \omega. n \notin A$  by strong induction on n.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 6.5.8.** For any natural numbers m and n, we have  $m \in n$  if and only if there exists a natural number p such that  $n = m + p^+$ .

### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . For all m, p, we have  $m \in m + p^+$ PROOF:  $m = m + 0 \in m + p^+$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . For all m, n, if  $m \in n$  then there exists p such that  $n = m + p^+$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . For all m, if  $m \in 0$  then there exists p such that  $0 = m + p^+$  PROOF: Vacuous.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2.$  For all  $n \in \omega,$  if  $\forall m \in n. \exists p \in \omega. n = m+p^+$  then  $\forall m \in n^+. \exists p \in \omega. n^+ = m+p^+$ 
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $n \in \omega$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $\forall m \in n. \exists p \in \omega. n = m + p^+$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $m \in n^+$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ . Case:  $m \in n$ 
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ . Pick p such that  $n = m + p^+$
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 2. \ n^+ = m + p^{++}$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 5$ . Case: m = n

PROOF:  $n^{+} = m + 0^{+}$ 

 $\square$  **Lemma 6.5.9.** For natural numbers m, n, p and  $q, if m \in n$  and  $p \in q$  then

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . PICK natural numbers a and b such that  $n=m+a^+$  and  $q=p+b^+$  PROOF: Lemma 6.5.8.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .  $mp + nq = mq + np + (a^+ + b)^+$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ mp + nq \in mq + np$

 $mp + nq \in mq + np$ .

PROOF: Lemma 6.5.8.

# Chapter 7

# Integers

# 7.1 The Integers

**Theorem 7.1.1.** The relation  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $\omega \times \omega$ , where  $(m,n) \sim (p,q)$  iff m+q=n+p.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . The relation  $\sim$  is reflexive on  $\omega^2$ 

PROOF: For any m, n, we have m+n=m+n and so  $(m,n)\sim (m,n)$ .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . The relation  $\sim$  is symmetric.

PROOF: If m + q = n + p then p + n = q + m.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . The relation  $\sim$  is transitive.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume:  $(m,n) \sim (p,q) \sim (r,s)$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . m+q=n+p

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ p+s=q+r$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . m + p + q + s = n + p + q + r

 $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . m+s=n+r

PROOF: By cancellation of addition in  $\omega$ .

٦

**Definition 7.1.2.** The set  $\mathbb{Z}$  of *integers* is the quotient set  $(\omega \times \omega)/\sim$ .

**Lemma 7.1.3.** If  $(m,n) \sim (m',n')$  and  $(p,q) \sim (p',q')$  then  $(m+p,n+q) \sim (m'+p',n'+q')$ .

PROOF: Assume m+n'=m'+n and p+q'=p'+q. Then m+p+n'+q'=m'+p'+n+q.  $\square$ 

**Definition 7.1.4** (Addition). Addition + on  $\mathbb{Z}$  is the binary operation such that

$$[(m,n)] + [(p,q)] = [(m+p,n+q)]$$

**Theorem 7.1.5.** Addition on  $\mathbb{Z}$  is commutative.

PROOF: From the definition.  $\Box$ 

<b>Theorem 7.1.6.</b> Addition on $\mathbb{Z}$ is associtative.
Proof: Easy. $\square$
<b>Definition 7.1.7</b> (Zero). The zero in the integers is $0 = [(0,0)]$ .
<b>Theorem 7.1.8.</b> For any integer $a$ we have $a + 0 = 0$ .
Proof: Easy. $\square$
<b>Theorem 7.1.9.</b> For any integer $a$ , there exists an integer $b$ such that $a+b=0$ .
PROOF: If $a = [(m, n)]$ take $b = [(n, m)]$ . $\square$
<b>Lemma 7.1.10.</b> If $(m,n) \sim (m',n')$ and $(p,q) \sim (p',q')$ then $(mp+nq,mq+np) \sim (m'p'+n'q',m'q'+n'p')$ .
PROOF: $ \langle 1 \rangle 1. \text{ Assume: } m+n'=m'+n \text{ and } p+q'=p'+q \\ \langle 1 \rangle 2. mp+n'p=m'p+np \\ \langle 1 \rangle 3. m'q+nq=mq+n'q \\ \langle 1 \rangle 4. mp+mq'=mp'+mq \\ \langle 1 \rangle 5. n'p'+n'q=n'p+n'q' \\ \langle 1 \rangle 6. mp+n'p+m'q+nq+mp+mq'+n'p'+n'q=m'p+np+mq+n'q+mp'+mq+n'p+n'q' \\ \langle 1 \rangle 7. mp+nq+m'q'+n'p'=mq+np+m'p'+n'q' \\ \square $
<b>Definition 7.1.11</b> (Multiplication). <i>Multiplication</i> $\cdot$ is the binary operation on $\mathbb{Z}$ such that $[(m,n)][(p,q)] = [(mp+nq,mq+np)]$
Theorem 7.1.12. Multiplication is commutative.
Proof: Easy. $\square$
Theorem 7.1.13. Multiplication is associative.
Proof: Easy. $\square$
Theorem 7.1.14. Multiplication is distributive over addition.
Proof: Easy. $\square$
<b>Definition 7.1.15.</b> The integer one is $1 = [(1,0)]$ .
<b>Theorem 7.1.16.</b> For any integer $a$ we have $a1 = a$ .
Proof: Easy. $\square$
Theorem 7.1.17. $0 \neq 1$
Proof: Easy. $\square$

**Lemma 7.1.18.** If  $(m, n) \sim (m', n')$  and  $(p, q) \sim (p', q')$  then  $m + q \in p + n$  iff  $m' + q' \in p' + n'$ .

Proof:

$$m+q \in p+n \Leftrightarrow m+q+n'+q' \in p+n+n'+q'$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow m'+n+q+q' \in p'+n+n'+q$$
  
$$\Leftrightarrow m'+q' \in p'+n'$$

**Definition 7.1.19** (Ordering). The ordering < on  $\mathbb{Z}$  is defined by: [(m,n)] < [(p,q)] iff  $m+q \in n+p$ .

**Theorem 7.1.20.** The relation < is a linear ordering on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . < is transitive.
  - (2)1. Assume: [(m,n)] < [(p,q)] and [(p,q)] < [(r,s)]
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $m+q \in n+p$  and  $p+s \in q+r$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ .  $m+q+s \in n+p+s$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $n+p+s \in n+q+r$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ .  $m+q+s \in n+q+r$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 6. \ m+s \in n+r$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . < satisfies trichotomy.

PROOF: From trichotomy on  $\omega$ .

**Theorem 7.1.21.** For any integers a, b and c, we have a < b iff a + c < b + c.

PROOF: An easy consequence of the corresponding property in  $\omega$ .

**Corollary 7.1.21.1.** *If* a + c = b + c *then* a = b.

**Theorem 7.1.22.** If 0 < c, then the function that maps an integer a to ac is strictly monotone.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let: a, b and c be integers.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Assume: 0 < c and a < b
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Let: a = [(m, n)]
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . Let: b = [(p,q)]
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ . Let: c = [(r, s)]
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ s \in r$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 7$ .  $m+q \in p+n$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 8. \ (m+q)r + (p+n)s \in (m+q)s + (p+n)r$

PROOF: Lemma 6.5.9.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 9. \ ac < bc$ 

**Lemma 7.1.23.** For integers a and b, a(-b) = -(ab)

PROOF: This follows from the fact that ab + a(-b) = a(b + (-b)) = a0 = 0.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 7.1.24.** For integers a, b and c, if a < b and c < 0 then ac > bc.

PROOF: We have 0 < -c so a(-c) < b(-c) hence -(ac) < -(bc) so bc < ac.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 7.1.25.** For any integers a and b, if ab = 0 then a = 0 or b = 0.

PROOF: We prove if  $a \neq 0$  and  $b \neq 0$  then  $ab \neq 0$ .

If a > 0 and b > 0 then ab > 0. Similarly for the other four cases.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 7.1.26.** If ac = bc and  $c \neq 0$  then a = b.

PROOF: We have (a-b)c=0 so a-b=0 hence a=b.  $\square$ 

**Definition 7.1.27** (Positive). An integer a is positive iff 0 < a.

**Theorem 7.1.28.** Define  $E: \omega \to \mathbb{Z}$  by E(n) = [(n,0)]. Then E maps  $\omega$  one-to-one into  $\mathbb{Z}$ , and:

- 1. E(m+n) = E(m) + E(n)
- 2. E(mn) = E(m)E(n)
- 3.  $m \in n$  if and only if E(m) < E(n).

Proof: Routine calculations.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 7.1.29.** For any positive integer a and integer b, there exists a natural number k such that b < ak.

PROOF: Take k = |b| + 1.  $\square$ 

# Chapter 8

# Cardinal Numbers

# 8.1 Equinumerosity

**Definition 8.1.1** (Equinumerous). Two sets A and B are equinumerous,  $A \approx B$ , iff there exists a bijection between them.

**Theorem 8.1.2.** Equinumerosity is an equivalence relation on the class of sets.

Proof: Easy.

**Theorem 8.1.3** (Cantor 1873). No set is equinumerous with its power set.

# Proof:

```
\begin{split} \langle 1 \rangle 1. \text{ Let: } g: A \to \mathcal{P}A \\ \text{Prove: } g \text{ is not surjective.} \\ \langle 1 \rangle 2. \text{ Let: } B = \{x \in A: x \notin g(x)\} \\ \langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \forall x \in A.g(x) \neq B \\ \text{Proof: Because } x \in B \text{ iff } x \notin g(x). \\ \sqcap \end{split}
```

# 8.2 Ordering Cardinal Numbers

**Definition 8.2.1** (Dominated). A set A is dominated by a set B,  $A \leq B$ , iff there exists an injection  $f: A \to B$ .

**Lemma 8.2.2.** Domination is a preorder on the class of sets.

Proof: Easy.  $\sqcup$ 

**Lemma 8.2.3.** *If*  $A \subseteq B$  *then*  $A \preceq B$ .

PROOF: The inclusion from A to B is an injection.  $\square$ 

**Lemma 8.2.4.** If  $A \preceq B$ ,  $A \approx A'$  and  $B \approx B'$  then  $A' \preceq B'$ .

Proof: Easy.

**Definition 8.2.5.** Given cardinal numbers  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$ , we write  $\kappa \leq \lambda$  iff  $K \leq L$ , where K is any set of cardinality  $\kappa$  and L is any set of cardinality  $\lambda$ .

We write  $\kappa < \lambda$  iff  $\kappa \leq \lambda$  and  $\kappa \neq \lambda$ .

**Theorem 8.2.6** (Schröder-Bernstein). If  $A \leq B$  and  $B \leq A$  then  $A \approx B$ .

# Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $f: A \to B$  and  $g: B \to A$  be one-to-one.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Define the sequence of sets  $C_n \subseteq A$  by:

$$C_0 = A - \operatorname{ran} g$$

$$C_{n+1} = g(f(C_n))$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Define  $h: A \to B$  by

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } \exists n \in \mathbb{N}. x \in C_n \\ g^{-1}(x) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . h is injective.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $x, y \in A$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume: h(x) = h(y)
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Case:  $x \in C_m, y \in C_n$

PROOF: We have f(x) = f(y) so x = y

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Case:  $x \in C_m, y \notin \bigcup_n C_n$ 

PROOF: This case is impossible because we would have y = g(f(x)) and so  $y \in C_{m+1}$ .

 $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . Case:  $x, y \notin \bigcup_n C_n$ 

PROOF: We have  $g^{-1}(x) = g^{-1}(y)$  so x = y.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ . h is surjective.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $y \in B$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $y \notin f(C_n)$  for all n
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3.$   $g(y) \notin C_n$  for all n

Corollary 8.2.6.1. The relation  $\leq$  is a partial order on the class of cardinal numbers

**Theorem 8.2.7.** Let  $\kappa$ ,  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  be cardinal numbers.

- 1.  $\kappa < \lambda \Rightarrow \kappa + \mu < \lambda + \mu$
- 2.  $\kappa \leq \lambda \Rightarrow \kappa \mu \leq \lambda \mu$
- 3.  $\kappa \leq \lambda \Rightarrow \kappa^{\mu} \leq \lambda^{\mu}$
- 4.  $\kappa \leq \lambda \Rightarrow \mu^{\kappa} \leq \mu^{\lambda}$  if  $\kappa$  and  $\mu$  are not both zero.

PROOF: Parts 1-3 are easy. For part 4:

Let  $|K| = \kappa$ ,  $|L| = \lambda$  and  $|M| = \mu$  with  $K \subseteq L$ .

If  $M = \emptyset$  then  $\kappa \neq 0$  so  $\mu^{\kappa} = 0 \leq \mu^{\lambda}$ .

Otherwise, pick  $a \in M$ . Define  $\Phi: M^K \to M^L$  by:

$$\Phi(f)(x) = \begin{cases} f(x) & \text{if } x \in K \\ a & \text{if } x \notin K \end{cases}$$

Then  $\Phi$  is an injection.

**Theorem 8.2.8** (Cardinal Comparability). The Axiom of Choice is equivalent to the statement: for any sets C and D, either  $C \leq D$  or  $D \leq C$ .

#### Proof:

- (1)1. If Zorn's Lemma then Cardinal Comparability.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: Zorn's Lemma
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: C and D be sets.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $\mathcal A$  be the set of all injective functions f with dom  $f \subseteq C$  and  $\operatorname{ran} f \subseteq D$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . For every chain  $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$  we have  $\bigcup \mathcal{B} \in \mathcal{A}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . Let:  $f \in \mathcal{A}$  be maximal
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$ . dom f = C or ran f = D
- $\langle 2 \rangle 7$ . f is an injective function  $C \to D$  or  $f^{-1}$  is an injective function  $D \to C$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If Cardinal Comparability then the Well-Ordering Theorem.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Assume: Cardinal Comparability
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let: A be any set
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Pick an ordinal  $\alpha$  not dominated by A PROOF: Hartogs' Theorem.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ .  $A \leq \alpha$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 5. Pick an injective function  $f: A \to \alpha$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 6$ . Define < on A by: x < y iff  $f(x) \in f(y)$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 7$ . < is a well ordering on A.

**Theorem 8.2.9** (Choice). For any infinite set A, we have  $\omega \leq A$ .

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let: A be an infinite set.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . PICK a choice function F for A
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Define  $f: \omega \to A$  by recursion by:  $f(n) = F(A \{f(0), f(1), \dots, f(n-1)\})$ PROOF:  $A - \{f(0), f(1), \dots, f(n-1)\}$  is nonempty because A is infinite.  $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . f is injective.

Corollary 8.2.9.1 (Choice). For any infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  we have  $\aleph_0 \leq \kappa$ .

Corollary 8.2.9.2 (Choice). A set is infinite iff it is equinumerous to a proper subset of itself.

**Proposition 8.2.10** (Choice). If there exists a surjection  $A \to B$  then  $B \leq A$ .

PROOF: Any surjection  $A \to B$  has a right inverse which is an injection  $B \to A$ .

# 8.3 Countable Sets

**Definition 8.3.1** (Countable). A set is *countable* iff it is dominated by  $\omega$ .

Proposition 8.3.2. Any subset of a countable set is countable.

Proof: Easy.

The union of two countable sets is countable.

PROOF: Because  $\aleph_0 + \aleph_0 = \aleph_0$ 

**Proposition 8.3.3.** The product of two countable sets is countable.

PROOF: Because  $\aleph_0 \aleph_0 = \aleph_0$ .  $\square$ 

**Proposition 8.3.4** (Choice). For any infinite set A, the set  $\mathcal{P}A$  is uncountable.

PROOF: If  $|A| > \aleph_0$  then  $|\mathcal{P}A| > 2^{\aleph_0}$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 8.3.5** (Choice). A countable union of countable sets is countable.

### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\mathcal{A}$  be a countable set of countable sets.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Assume: w.l.o.g.  $\mathcal{A} \neq \emptyset$  and  $\emptyset \notin \mathcal{A}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Pick a surjection  $G: \omega \to A$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . PICK a function F with domain  $\omega$  such that, for all m, F(m) is a surjection  $\omega \to G(m)$

PROOF: By the Axiom of Choice.

- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. Define  $f: \omega \times \omega \to \bigcup A$  by f(m,n) = F(m)(n)
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6$ . f is surjective.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 7$ .  $A \leq \omega \times \omega$

# 8.4 Arithmetic of Infinite Cardinals

**Lemma 8.4.1** (Choice). For any infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  we have  $\kappa \cdot \kappa = \kappa$ .

### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Let: B be a set of cardinality  $\kappa$ .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $\mathcal{H} = \{ f : f = \emptyset \text{ or for some infinite } A \subseteq B, f \text{ is a bijection between } A \times A \text{ and } A \}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . For any chain  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ , we have  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} \in \mathcal{H}$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$  be a chain.
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume: w.l.o.g.  $\mathcal{C}$  has a nonempty element.

PROOF: Otherwise  $\bigcup \mathcal{C} = \emptyset \in \mathcal{H}$ .

- $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ .  $\bigcup C$  is an injective function.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Let:  $A = \operatorname{ran} \bigcup \mathcal{C}$
- $\langle 2 \rangle 5$ . A is infinite.
- $\langle 2 \rangle 6$ .  $\bigcup \mathcal{C}$  is a bijection between  $A \times A$  and A.

```
\langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: a_1, a_2 \in A
```

- $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . PICK  $f_1, f_2 \in \mathcal{C}$  such that  $a_1 \in \operatorname{ran} f_1$  and  $a_2 \in \operatorname{ran} f_2$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . Assume: w.l.o.g.  $f_1 \subseteq f_2$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ .  $\langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \in \text{dom } f_2$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 5. \ \langle a_1, a_2 \rangle \in \operatorname{dom} \bigcup \mathcal{C}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 5. Pick a maximal  $f_0 \in \mathcal{H}$

Proof: Zorn's Lemma.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ f_0 \neq \emptyset$ 

PROOF: B has a countable subset A, say, and  $A \times A \approx A$ .

- $\langle 1 \rangle 7$ . PICK  $A_0 \subseteq B$  infinite such that  $f_0$  is a bijection between  $A_0 \times A_0$  and  $A_0$ .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 8$ . Let:  $\lambda = |A_0|$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 9$ .  $\lambda$  is infinite
- $\langle 1 \rangle 10. \ \lambda = \lambda \cdot \lambda$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 11. \ \lambda = \kappa$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1. |B A_0| < \lambda$ 
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Assume: for a contradiction  $\lambda \leq |B A_0|$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Pick  $D \subseteq B A_0$  with  $|D| = \lambda$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 3. \ (A_0 \cup D) \times (A_0 \cup D) = (A_0 \times A_0) \cup (A_0 \times D) \cup (D \times A_0) \cup (D \times D)$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 4$ .  $f_0: A_0 \times A_0 \approx A_0$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 5. \ |(A_0 \times D) \cup (D \times A_0) \cup (D \times D)| = \lambda$

Proof:

$$\begin{split} |(A_0 \times D) \cup (D \times A_0) \cup (D \times D)| &= \lambda \cdot \lambda + \lambda \cdot \lambda + \lambda \cdot \lambda \\ &= \lambda + \lambda + \lambda \qquad (\langle 1 \rangle 10) \\ &= 3 \cdot \lambda \\ &\leq \lambda \cdot \lambda \\ &= \lambda \qquad (\langle 1 \rangle 10) \end{split}$$

- $\langle 3 \rangle$ 6. PICK a bijection  $g: (A_0 \times D) \cup (D \times A_0) \cup (D \times D) \approx D$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 7. \ f_0 \cup g : (A_0 \cup D) \times (A_0 \cup D) \approx A_0 \cup D$
- $\langle 3 \rangle 8$ . Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts the maximality of  $f_0$ .

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $\lambda = \kappa$ 

Proof:

$$\begin{split} \kappa &= |B| \\ &= |A_0| + |B - A_0| \\ &\leq \lambda + \lambda \\ &= 2 \cdot \lambda \\ &\leq \lambda \cdot \lambda \\ &= \lambda \\ &\leq \kappa \end{split}$$

Corollary 8.4.1.1 (Absorption Law of Cardinal Arithmetic (Choice)). Let  $\kappa$  and  $\lambda$  be cardinal numbers, the larger of which is infinite and the smaller of

which is nonzero. Then

$$\kappa + \lambda = \kappa \cdot \lambda = \max(\kappa, \lambda)$$
.

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1.$  Assume: w.l.o.g.  $\kappa \leq \lambda$ 

$$\langle 1 \rangle 2$$
.  $\kappa + \lambda = \lambda$ 

Proof:

$$\begin{split} \lambda &\leq \kappa + \lambda \\ &\leq \lambda + \lambda \\ &= 2 \cdot \lambda \\ &\leq \lambda \cdot \lambda \\ &= \lambda \end{split}$$

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \kappa \cdot \lambda = \lambda$ 

Proof:

$$\lambda = 1 \cdot \lambda$$

$$\leq \kappa \cdot \lambda$$

$$\leq \lambda \cdot \lambda$$

$$= \lambda$$

# 8.5 Rank

**Definition 8.5.1.** Define the set  $V_{\alpha}$  for every ordinal  $\alpha$  by transfinite recursion thus:

$$V_{\alpha} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{P}V_{\beta} : \beta \in \alpha \} .$$

**Lemma 8.5.2.** For any ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $V_{\alpha}$  is a transitive set.

Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\alpha$  be an ordinal.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $x \in y \in V_{\alpha}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . PICK  $\beta \in \alpha$  such that  $y \in \mathcal{P}V_{\beta}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4. \ x \in V_{\beta}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ . PICK  $\gamma \in \beta$  such that  $x \in \mathcal{P}V_{\gamma}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ \gamma \in \alpha \text{ and } x \in \mathcal{P}V_{\gamma}$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 7. \ x \in V_{\alpha}$

 $\prod_{i=1}^{n}$ 

**Theorem 8.5.3.** For ordinals  $\beta \in \alpha$  we have  $V_{\beta} \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ .

Proof:

$$V_{\beta} = \bigcup_{\gamma \in \beta} \mathcal{P}V_{\gamma}$$

$$\subseteq \bigcup_{\gamma \in \alpha} \mathcal{P}V_{\gamma}$$

$$= V_{\alpha}$$

Theorem 8.5.4.

$$V_0 = \emptyset$$

PROOF: Immediate from definitions.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 8.5.5.** For any ordinal  $\alpha$ ,  $V_{\alpha^+} = \mathcal{P}V_{\alpha}$ .

Proof:

$$V_{\alpha^{+}} = \bigcup_{\beta \leq \alpha} \mathcal{P}V_{\beta}$$
$$= \mathcal{P}V_{\beta}$$

by Theorem 8.5.3.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 8.5.6.** For  $\lambda$  a limit ordinal,  $V_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\beta < \lambda} V_{\beta}$ .

Proof:

$$V_{\lambda} = \bigcup_{\beta < \lambda} \mathcal{P}V_{\beta}$$
$$= \bigcup_{\beta < \lambda} V_{\beta^{+}}$$
$$= \bigcup_{\beta < \lambda} V_{\beta}$$

since  $\beta < \lambda$  iff  $\beta^+ < \lambda$ .  $\square$ 

**Definition 8.5.7** (Grounded, Rank). A set A is grounded iff  $\exists \alpha. A \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ . The rank of a grounded set A, rank A, is then the least ordinal  $\alpha$  such that  $A \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ .

**Theorem 8.5.8.** If A is grounded and  $a \in A$  then a is grounded and rank  $a < \operatorname{rank} A$ .

PROOF: We have  $a \in A \subseteq V_{\text{rank }A}$ . So  $a \in \mathcal{P}V_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha < \text{rank }A$ , i.e.  $a \subseteq V_{\alpha}$  for some  $\alpha < \text{rank }A$ , as required.

**Theorem 8.5.9.** If every member of A is grounded then A is grounded and

$$\operatorname{rank} A = \sup_{a \in A} (\operatorname{rank} a)^+ .$$

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\alpha = \sup_{a \in A} (\operatorname{rank} a)^+$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .  $A \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ 

```
\langle 2 \rangle 1. Let: a \in A
```

$$\langle 2 \rangle 2$$
.  $a \subseteq V_{\operatorname{rank} a}$ 

$$\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ a \in V_{(\operatorname{rank} a)^+}$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ a \in V_{\alpha}$$

$$\langle 1 \rangle 3$$
. If  $A \subseteq V_{\beta}$  then  $\alpha \leq \beta$ 

$$\langle 2 \rangle 1$$
. Assume:  $A \subseteq V_{\beta}$ 

$$\langle 2 \rangle 2. \ \forall a \in A.a \in V_{\beta}$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 3. \ \forall a \in A. \exists \gamma < \beta. a \subseteq V_{\gamma}$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 4. \ \forall a \in A. \exists \gamma < \beta. \, \text{rank} \, a \leq \gamma$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 5. \ \forall a \in A. \operatorname{rank} a < \beta$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 6. \ \forall a \in A.(\operatorname{rank} a)^+ \leq \beta$$

$$\langle 2 \rangle 7. \ \alpha \leq \beta$$

Ш

# Theorem 8.5.10. Every set is grounded.

### Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Assume: for a contradiction c is not grounded.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Let: B be the transitive closure of  $\{c\}$ .

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $A = \{x \in B : x \text{ is not grounded}\}$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . Pick  $m \in A$  such that  $m \cap A = \emptyset$ 

PROOF: By the Axiom of Regularity.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ . Every member of m is grounded.

PROOF: Every member of m is in B by transitivity but not in A.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 6$ . m is grounded.

PROOF: Theorem 8.5.9.

 $\langle 1 \rangle$ 7. Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts the fact that  $m \in A$ .

П

**Theorem 8.5.11.** Let A be any set and  $A^t$  its transitive closure. Let  $M^t$  be the transitive closure of the relation  $\{\langle x,y\rangle:x\in y\in A^t\}$ . Define  $E:A^t\to \mathbf{V}$  by transfinite recursion thus:

$$E(a) = \{ E(x) : xM^t a \} \qquad (a \in A^t) .$$

Then  $E(a) = \operatorname{rank} a$  for all  $a \in A^t$ , and  $\operatorname{ran} E = \operatorname{rank} A$ .

# Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ .  $M^t$  is well-founded

PROOF: Theorem 2.10.2.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ .  $\forall a \in A^t$ . rank  $a = \{ \operatorname{rank} x : xM^t a \}$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \forall x, a \in A^t.xM^ta \Rightarrow \operatorname{rank} x < \operatorname{rank} a$ 

PROOF: Theorem 8.5.8.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $\forall x \in A^t . \forall \alpha < \operatorname{rank} a . \exists x M^t a . \alpha = \operatorname{rank} x$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $a \in A^t$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $\forall b M^t a. \forall \alpha < \operatorname{rank} b. \exists x M^t b. \alpha = \operatorname{rank} x$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ . Let:  $\alpha < \operatorname{rank} a$ 

```
\langle 3 \rangle 4. \text{ Pick } b \in a \text{ such that } \alpha \leq \operatorname{rank} b Proof: Theorem 8.5.9. \langle 3 \rangle 5. \text{ CASE: } \alpha < \operatorname{rank} b \langle 4 \rangle 1. \text{ Pick } xM^tb \text{ such that } \alpha = \operatorname{rank} x Proof: By \langle 3 \rangle 2 \langle 4 \rangle 2. xM^ta \langle 3 \rangle 6. \text{ CASE: } \alpha = \operatorname{rank} b Proof: We have bM^ta \text{ and } \alpha = \operatorname{rank} b \text{ as required.} \langle 3 \rangle 7. \text{ Q.E.D.} Proof: This concludes the proof by transfinite induction over M^t \ (\langle 1 \rangle 1). \langle 1 \rangle 3. \ \forall a \in A^t.E(a) = \operatorname{rank} a Proof: By transfinite induction on a. \langle 1 \rangle 4. \ \operatorname{ran} E = \operatorname{rank} A Proof: From \langle 1 \rangle 3 \text{ substituting } \{A\} \text{ for } A.
```

# 8.6 Models of Set Theory

**Theorem 8.6.1.** For any limit ordinal  $\lambda > \omega$ , we have  $V_{\lambda}$  is a model of Zermelo set theory.

Proof: Easy.  $\square$ 

**Theorem 8.6.2** (Choice). For any ordinal  $\alpha$ , we have  $V_{\alpha}$  is a model of the Axiom of Choice.

Proof: Easy.

**Lemma 8.6.3** (Choice). There exists a well-ordered structure in  $V_{\omega 2}$  whose ordinal number is not in  $V_{\omega 2}$ .

PROOF: Pick an uncountable set  $S \in V_{\omega_2}$ . Pick a well-ordering R on S. Then  $\langle S, R \rangle \in V_{\omega_2}$  but its ordinal is not, because every ordinal in  $V_{\omega_2}$  is  $< \omega_2$  hence countable.  $\square$ 

Corollary 8.6.3.1 (Choice). The set  $V_{\omega 2}$  is not a model of ZFC.

Corollary 8.6.3.2. The Replacement Axioms are not provable from the Zermelo axioms.

# 8.7 Cofinality

**Definition 8.7.1** (Cofinal). Let  $\lambda$  be a limit ordinal and S a set of smaller ordinals. Then S is *cofinal* in  $\lambda$  iff  $\lambda = \sup S$ .

**Definition 8.7.2** (Cofinality). The *cofinality* of a limit ordinal  $\lambda$ , cf  $\lambda$ , is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that  $\lambda$  is the limit of  $\kappa$  smaller ordinals.

We also define cf 0 = 0 and cf  $\alpha^+ = 1$ .

**Definition 8.7.3** (Regular Cardinal). A cardinal  $\kappa$  is regular iff cf  $\kappa = \kappa$ ; otherwise  $\kappa$  is singular.

**Theorem 8.7.4.** For every ordinal  $\alpha$ , the cardinal  $\aleph_{\alpha+1}$  is regular.

PROOF: If S is a set of fewer than  $\aleph_{\alpha+1}$  smaller ordinals then  $\forall \beta \in S. |\beta| \leq \aleph_{\alpha}$  and so

$$|\bigcup S| \leq |S| \cdot \aleph_\alpha \leq \aleph_\alpha \cdot \aleph_\alpha = \aleph_\alpha \ . \Box$$

**Theorem 8.7.5.** For every limit ordinal  $\lambda$ , we have cf  $\aleph_{\lambda} = \operatorname{cf} \lambda$ .

#### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\lambda$  be a limit ordinal.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . cf  $\aleph_{\lambda} \leq \operatorname{cf} \lambda$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . PICK a set S with  $|S| = \operatorname{cf} \lambda$  and  $\bigcup S = \lambda$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .  $\aleph_{\lambda} = \sup_{\alpha \in S} \aleph_{\alpha}$

PROOF: Theorem 4.0.18.

- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . cf  $\lambda \leq \operatorname{cf} \aleph_{\lambda}$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle$ 1. Let: A be a set of smaller ordinals such that  $\aleph_{\lambda} = \sup A$  Prove: cf  $\lambda \leq |A|$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $B = \{ \gamma \in \lambda : \exists \alpha \in A. |\alpha| = \aleph_{\gamma} \}$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 3. |B| \leq |A|$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . sup  $B = \lambda$ 
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 1. \ \forall \alpha \in A. \alpha \in \aleph_{\sup B+1}$ 
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\alpha \in A$
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ .  $|\alpha| \leq \aleph_{\sup B}$
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 3. \ \alpha \in \aleph_{\sup B+1}$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ .  $\lambda \in \sup B + 1$ 
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 1. \ \aleph_{\lambda} \leq \aleph_{\sup B+1}$
    - $\langle 3 \rangle 3$ .  $\lambda = \sup B$ 
      - $\langle 4 \rangle 1$ .  $\lambda \leq \sup B$

PROOF: From  $\langle 3 \rangle 2$  since  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal.

 $\langle 4 \rangle 2$ . sup  $B \leq \lambda$ 

PROOF: From  $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ .

**Definition 8.7.6** (Weakly Inaccessible). An ordinal  $\lambda$  is weakly inaccessible iff  $\aleph_{\lambda}$  is regular.

**Lemma 8.7.7.** Let f be an  $\alpha$ -sequence of ordinals. Then there exists an increasing  $\beta$ -sequence g for some  $\beta \leq \alpha$  such that  $\sup \operatorname{ran} f = \sup \operatorname{ran} g$ .

### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Let: h be the sequence defined by transfinite recursion thus:  $h_{\xi}$  is the least  $\gamma$  such that  $\forall \delta < \xi. f_{h_{\delta}} < f_{\gamma}$  if any such  $\gamma$  exists; otherwise the sequence halts.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Let:  $\beta = \text{dom } h$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3.$   $g_{\xi} = f_{h_{\xi}}$  for  $\xi < \beta$

```
\langle 1 \rangle 4. sup ran g \leq \sup \operatorname{ran} f
     PROOF: Since g is a subsequence of f.
 \langle 1 \rangle 5. sup ran f \leq \sup \operatorname{ran} g
     \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \forall \xi < \beta. \forall \delta \le h_{\xi}. f_{\delta} \le g_{\xi}
          \langle 3 \rangle 1. Let: \xi < \beta
          \langle 3 \rangle 2. Let: \delta \leq h_{\xi}
          \langle 3 \rangle 3. \ f_{\delta} \leq f_{h_{\xi}}
               \langle 4 \rangle 1. Assume: \delta < h_{\xi}
               \langle 4 \rangle 2. Pick \alpha < \xi such that f_{delta} \leq f_{h\alpha}
              \langle 4 \rangle 3. \ f_{\delta} \leq f_{h_{\alpha}} \leq f_{h_{\xi}}
     \langle 3 \rangle 4. f_{h_{\xi}} = g_{\xi}
\langle 2 \rangle 2. \forall \xi < \beta . f_{\xi} \le g_{\xi}
     \langle 2 \rangle 3. Case: \beta = \alpha
         PROOF: Then sup ran f \leq \sup \operatorname{ran} g immediately.
     \langle 2 \rangle 4. Case: \beta < \alpha
          \langle 3 \rangle 1. There is no \gamma such that g_{\delta} < f_{\gamma} for all \delta < \beta
              PROOF: This is the condition for the sequence h to halt.
          \langle 3 \rangle 2. For all \gamma, there exists \delta such that f_{\gamma} < g_{\delta}
          \langle 3 \rangle 3. sup ran f \leq \sup \operatorname{ran} g
```

**Theorem 8.7.8.** Let  $\lambda$  be a limit ordinal. Then there exists an increasing  $(\operatorname{cf} \lambda)$ -sequence of ordinals that converges to  $\lambda$ .

# Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . PICK a set S with  $|S| = \operatorname{cf} \lambda$  and  $\lambda = \sup S$
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . Pick a bijection  $f : \text{cf } \lambda \approx S$
- $\langle 1 \rangle$ 3. PICK an increasing  $\beta$ -sequence converging to  $\lambda$  with  $\beta \leq$  cf  $\lambda$  PROOF: Lemma 8.7.7.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ .  $\beta = \operatorname{cf} \lambda$

PROOF: By leastness of cf  $\lambda$ .

٦

Corollary 8.7.8.1. For any limit ordinal  $\lambda$ , we have cf  $\lambda$  is the least ordinal  $\alpha$  such that there exists an increasing  $\alpha$ -sequence of ordinals  $< \lambda$  that converges to  $\lambda$ .

**Theorem 8.7.9.** For any ordinal  $\lambda$ , we have cf  $\lambda$  is a regular cardinal.

### Proof:

- $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ . Assume: w.l.o.g.  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal.
- $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . PICK an increasing cf  $\lambda$ -sequence f of ordinals  $\langle \lambda \rangle$  that converges to  $\lambda$ .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . Let: S be a set of ordinals  $\langle \operatorname{cf} \lambda \operatorname{such that cf} \lambda = \sup S$ .
- $\langle 1 \rangle 4$ . f(S) is cofinal in  $\lambda$ 
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 1$ . Let:  $\alpha < \lambda$
  - $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Pick  $\beta < \operatorname{cf} \lambda$  such that  $\alpha < f(\beta) < \lambda$

PROOF: Since f converges to  $\lambda$ .

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . PICK  $\gamma \in S$  such that  $\beta < \gamma$ 

```
PROOF: Since \sup S = \operatorname{cf} \lambda. \langle 2 \rangle 4. \alpha < f(\gamma) \in f(S) \langle 1 \rangle 5. \operatorname{cf} \lambda \leq |S| PROOF: We have \operatorname{cf} \lambda \leq |f(S)| = |S| \langle 1 \rangle 6. \operatorname{cf} \operatorname{cf} \lambda = \operatorname{cf} \lambda
```

**Theorem 8.7.10.** Let  $\lambda$  be an infinite cardinal. Then cf  $\lambda$  is the least cardinal  $\kappa$  such that  $\lambda$  can be decomposed as the union of  $\kappa$  sets each with cardinality  $< \lambda$ 

### Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1$ .  $\lambda$  can be decomposed as the union of cf  $\lambda$  sets each with cardinality  $\langle \lambda \rangle$  PROOF: Since  $\lambda$  is the union of a set of cf  $\lambda$  smaller ordinals.

**Theorem 8.7.11** (König's Theorem (Choice)). For any infinite cardinal  $\kappa$  we have  $\kappa < \operatorname{cf} 2^{\kappa}$ 

## Proof:

```
\langle 1 \rangle 1. Assume: for a contradiction of 2^{\kappa} \leq \kappa
```

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . PICK a set S with  $|S| = 2^{\kappa}$ 

(1)3. PICK a  $\kappa$ -sequence of sets  $A_{\xi}$  with  $S^{\kappa} = \bigcup_{\xi < \kappa} A_{\xi}$  and  $\forall \xi < \kappa. |A_{\xi}| < 2^{\kappa}$  PROOF: Since  $|S^{\kappa}| = 2^{\kappa}$ 

 $\begin{array}{l} \langle 1 \rangle 4. \ \forall \xi < \kappa. \{g(\xi): g \in A_\xi\} \subset S \\ \text{Proof: Since } |\{g(\xi): g \in A_\xi\}| \leq |A_\xi| < 2^{\kappa} \end{array}$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 5$ . For all  $\xi < \kappa$ , PICK  $s_{\xi} \in S - \{g(\xi) : g \in A_{\xi}\}$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 6. \ s \in S^{\kappa}$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 7. \ \forall \xi < \kappa.s \notin A_{\xi}$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 8$ . Q.E.D.

PROOF: This contradicts  $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ .

Ш

Corollary 8.7.11.1.  $2^{\aleph_0} \neq \aleph_{\omega}$ 

PROOF: Since cf  $\aleph_{\omega} = \aleph_0$  and cf  $2^{\aleph_0} > \aleph_0$ .  $\square$ 

#### 8.8 **Inaccessible Cardinals**

**Definition 8.8.1** (Inaccessible Cardinal). A cardinal  $\kappa$  is *inaccessible* iff:

- $\kappa > \aleph_0$
- For every cardinal  $\lambda < \kappa$  we have  $2^{\lambda} < \kappa$
- $\kappa$  is regular.

**Lemma 8.8.2.** For any ordinal  $\alpha$  and limit ordinal  $\lambda$ ,

$$V_{\alpha+\lambda} = \bigcup_{\delta < \lambda} V_{\alpha+\delta}$$

Proof:

 $\begin{array}{l} \langle 1 \rangle 1. \ V_{\alpha+\lambda} = \bigcup_{\delta < \lambda} V_{\alpha+\delta} \\ \langle 2 \rangle 1. \ \text{Let:} \ x \in V_{\alpha+\lambda} \end{array}$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Pick  $\beta < \alpha + \lambda$  such that  $x \in V_{\beta}$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3$ . Case:  $\beta < \alpha$ 

PROOF: Then  $x \in V_{\alpha+0}$ .

 $\langle 2 \rangle 4$ . Case:  $\alpha \leq \beta$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle$ 1. Let:  $\delta$  be the ordinal such that  $\beta = \alpha + \delta$ 

 $\langle 3 \rangle 2$ .  $x \in V_{\alpha+\delta}$  and  $\delta < \lambda$ 

$$\langle 1 \rangle 2. \bigcup_{\delta < \lambda} V_{\alpha + \delta} \subseteq V_{\alpha + \lambda}$$

**Lemma 8.8.3.** For any ordinal  $\alpha$  we have  $|V_{\omega+\alpha}| = \beth_{\alpha}$ .

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1. |V_{\omega}| = \beth_0$ 

PROOF: Since  $V_{\omega}$  is the union of an  $\omega$ -sequence of finite sets of increasing size.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . For any ordinal  $\alpha$ , if  $|V_{\omega+\alpha}| = \beth_{\alpha}$  then  $|V_{\omega+\alpha^+}| = \beth_{\alpha^+}$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . For any limit ordinal  $\lambda$ , if  $\forall \alpha < \lambda . |V_{\omega+\alpha}| = \beth_{\alpha}$  then  $|V_{\omega+\lambda}| = \beth_{\lambda}$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle$ 1. Let:  $\lambda$  be a limit ordinal.

 $\langle 2 \rangle 2$ . Assume:  $\forall \alpha < \lambda . |V_{\omega + \alpha}| = \beth_{\alpha}$ 

 $\langle 2 \rangle 3. |V_{\omega+\lambda}| \geq \beth_{\lambda}$ 

Proof:

$$|V_{\omega+\lambda}| = |\bigcup_{\delta < \lambda} V_{\omega+\delta}|$$

$$\geq \sup_{\delta < \lambda} |V_{\omega+\delta}|$$

$$= \sup_{\delta < \lambda} \beth_{\delta}$$

$$= \beth_{\lambda}$$
(Lemma 8.8.2)

$$\langle 2 \rangle 4$$
.  $\beth_{\lambda} \leq |V_{\omega+\lambda}|$  PROOF:

$$|V_{\omega+\lambda}| = |\bigcup_{\delta < \lambda} V_{\omega+\delta}|$$

$$\leq |\lambda| \cdot \beth_{\lambda}$$

$$\leq \beth_{\lambda} \cdot \beth_{\lambda}$$

$$= \beth_{\lambda}$$

**Lemma 8.8.4.** Let  $\kappa$  be an inaccessible cardinal. For any ordinal  $\alpha < \kappa$ , we have  $\beth_{\alpha} < \kappa$ .

Proof:

 $\langle 1 \rangle 1. \ \ \beth_0 < \kappa$ 

PROOF: By definition of inaccessible.

 $\langle 1 \rangle 2$ . If  $\beth_{\alpha} < \kappa$  then  $\beth_{\alpha^+} < \kappa$ PROOF:  $\beth_{\alpha^+} = 2^{\beth_{\alpha}} < \kappa$ 

 $\langle 1 \rangle 3$ . If  $\lambda$  is a limit ordinal,  $\lambda < \kappa$  and  $\forall \alpha < \lambda. \beth_{\alpha} < \kappa$  then  $\beth_{\lambda} < \kappa$ 

PROOF: Since  $\beth_{\lambda} = \sup_{\alpha < \lambda} \beth_{\alpha}$  is the supremum of fewer than  $\kappa$  smaller ordinals.

**Lemma 8.8.5.** Let  $\kappa$  be an inaccessible cardinal. For all  $A \in V_{\kappa}$  we have  $|A| < \kappa$ .

PROOF: Pick  $\alpha < \kappa$  such that  $A \subseteq V_{\alpha}$ . Then  $|A| \leq |V_{\alpha}| \leq \beth_{\alpha} < \kappa$ .  $\square$ 

**Theorem 8.8.6.** If  $\kappa$  is an inaccessible cardinal then  $V_{\kappa}$  is a model of ZF.

Proof: Easy.  $\square$