

A Appendix

A.1 Straight-through Estimator

The Straight-Through Estimator (STE) [2] is a commonly used technique in deep learning paradigms that incorporate discrete or non-differentiable functions, for example, binarization. This method facilitates the approximation of gradients through these operations, thereby enabling end-to-end model training involving non-differentiable components. Consequently, we utilize STE for backpropagation and approximate its gradient $G'(x)$ correspondingly.

$$G'(x) \approx 1. \quad (5)$$

A.2 Proofs for Lemmas

Lemma 1.

$$\mathbb{E}_x \left[\mathbf{1}_{\{\theta_1 \cdot x > \theta_2 \cdot x\}} \mathbf{1}_{\{\hat{\theta}_1 \cdot x > \hat{\theta}_2 \cdot x\}} \right] = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{\arccos\left(\frac{(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cdot (\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2)}{\|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_2 \|\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2\|_2}\right)}{\pi} \right).$$

Proof. Consider the plane spanned by vector $\theta_1 - \theta_2$ and $\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2$ and the projection of x to this plane, the two indicator function requires the angle $\angle Px, \theta_1 - \theta_2 >$ and angle $\angle Px, \hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2 >$ to be smaller than $\frac{\pi}{2}$. Evaluating the expectation over \mathcal{X} is equivalent to evaluating the intersection region of two semicircles. Therefore the result is $\frac{\pi - \arccos\left(\frac{(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cdot (\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2)}{\|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_2 \|\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2\|_2}\right)}{2\pi}$.

Lemma 2. Let $\Delta\theta = \theta_1 - \theta_2$, $\Delta\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2$. When the coordinates of vector $\Delta\theta$ are ordered by absolute value: $1 \geq |\Delta\theta_1| \geq |\Delta\theta_2| \geq \dots \geq |\Delta\theta_d|$. Then we have the following equality:

$$\sup_{\Delta\hat{\theta} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^d} \frac{\Delta\theta \cdot \Delta\hat{\theta}}{\|\Delta\theta\|_2 \|\Delta\hat{\theta}\|_2} = \sup_{1 \leq j \leq d} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^j |\Delta\theta_i|}{\sqrt{j} \|\Delta\theta\|_2} \right\}.$$

Proof. By the definition of the supremum, iterate over the list $\Delta\hat{\theta} \in [\mathbf{e}_1, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2, \dots, \mathbf{e}_1 + \mathbf{e}_2 + \dots + \mathbf{e}_d]$, \mathbf{e}_i is the unit vector with the same sign as $\Delta\theta_i$, we know

$$\sup_{\Delta\hat{\theta} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}^d} \frac{\Delta\theta \cdot \Delta\hat{\theta}}{\|\Delta\theta\|_2 \|\Delta\hat{\theta}\|_2} \geq \sup_{1 \leq j \leq d} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^j |\Delta\theta_i|}{\sqrt{j} \|\Delta\theta\|_2} \right\}.$$

Now we show the \leq part. We show that when the $\Delta\theta$'s coordinates are ordered, the optimal $\Delta\hat{\theta}$ is of the form

$$(\text{sign}(\Delta\theta_1), \dots, \text{sign}(\Delta\theta_j), 0, \dots, 0).$$

For any $\Delta\hat{\theta}$ with norm \sqrt{j} ,

$$\Delta\theta \cdot \Delta\hat{\theta} \leq \sum_{i=1}^j |\Delta\theta_i|.$$

Therefore,

$$\sup_{\Delta\hat{\theta} \in \{-1,0,1\}^d} \frac{\Delta\theta \cdot \Delta\hat{\theta}}{\|\Delta\theta\|_2 \|\Delta\hat{\theta}\|_2} = \sup_j \sup_{|\Delta\hat{\theta}|=\sqrt{j}, \|\Delta\theta\|_2 \|\Delta\hat{\theta}\|_2} \frac{\Delta\theta \cdot \Delta\hat{\theta}}{\|\Delta\theta\|_2 \|\Delta\hat{\theta}\|_2} \leq \sup_{1 \leq j \leq d} \left\{ \frac{\sum_{i=1}^j |\Delta\theta_i|}{\sqrt{j} \|\Delta\theta\|_2} \right\}.$$

Lemma 3.

$$\inf_{\theta_1, \theta_2} \sup_{\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2} \left[1 - \frac{\arccos\left(\frac{(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cdot (\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2)}{\|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_2 \|\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2\|_2}\right)}{\pi} \right] \leq 1 - \frac{\arccos\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^d (\sqrt{j} - \sqrt{j-1})^2}}\right)}{\pi}.$$

Proof. We will show the \leq part by construction. Set $\theta_1 = (1, \sqrt{2} - \sqrt{1}, \dots, \sqrt{d} - \sqrt{d-1})$, $\theta_2 = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$. According to the Lemma 2 and the monotonicity of arccos function, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \inf_{\theta_1, \theta_2} \sup_{\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2} \left[1 - \frac{\arccos\left(\frac{(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cdot (\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2)}{\|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_2 \|\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2\|_2}\right)}{\pi} \right] &\leq \sup_{\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2} \left[1 - \frac{\arccos\left(\frac{(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cdot (\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2)}{\|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_2 \|\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2\|_2}\right)}{\pi} \right] \\ &= 1 - \frac{\arccos(\sup_{\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2} \frac{(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cdot (\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2)}{\|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_2 \|\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2\|_2})}{\pi} \\ &= 1 - \frac{\arccos\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^d (\sqrt{j} - \sqrt{j-1})^2}}\right)}{\pi}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.

$$\inf_{\theta_1, \theta_2} \sup_{\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2} \left[1 - \frac{\arccos\left(\frac{(\theta_1 - \theta_2) \cdot (\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2)}{\|\theta_1 - \theta_2\|_2 \|\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2\|_2}\right)}{\pi} \right] \geq 1 - \frac{\arccos\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^d (\sqrt{j} - \sqrt{j-1})^2}}\right)}{\pi}.$$

Proof. Proof by contradiction. Assume there exists θ_1^*, θ_2^* such that the LHS is smaller than $1 - \frac{\arccos\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^d (\sqrt{j} - \sqrt{j-1})^2}}\right)}{\pi}$, by monotonicity of cosine function we know

$$C_0 := \sup_{\hat{\theta}_1, \hat{\theta}_2} \frac{(\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*) \cdot (\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2)}{|\theta_1^* - \theta_2^*| \|\hat{\theta}_1 - \hat{\theta}_2\|} < \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sum_{j=1}^d (\sqrt{j} - \sqrt{j-1})^2}} =: C_d.$$

Denote $\Delta\theta^* = \theta_1^* - \theta_2^*$. Without loss of generality, we assume $\Delta\theta^* \in [0, 1]^d$, $\|\Delta\theta^*\|_2 = 1$ and

$$\Delta\theta_1^* \geq \Delta\theta_2^* \geq \dots \geq \Delta\theta_d^*.$$

Starting from $\Delta\theta_1^*, \dots, \Delta\theta_d^*$, we construct another feasible solution $\Delta\theta_1, \dots, \Delta\theta_d$ without increasing the corresponding supremum value beyond C_0 . However, if we compare $\Delta\theta_1, \dots, \Delta\theta_d$ element-wisely with $(\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{k-1})C_0$, $1 \leq k \leq d$, the first $\Delta\theta_k$ that is not equal to $(\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{k-1})C_0$ is greater than $(\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{k-1})C_0$, this gives us the contradiction to the C_0 's definition.

Also notice $\sum_{i=1}^d (\Delta\theta_i^*)^2 = 1$, $C_0 < C_d$, there always exists index k satisfying $\Delta\theta_k^* > (\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{k-1})C_0$.

Assume the first θ_i that is not equal to $(\sqrt{i} - \sqrt{i-1})C_0$ is still smaller than $(\sqrt{i} - \sqrt{i-1})C_0$. By the above paragraph, we can find the first $\theta_k, k > i$ with $\theta_k^* > (\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{k-1})C_0$.

Then we adjust (θ_i^*, θ_k^*) to $((\sqrt{i} - \sqrt{i-1})C_0, \sqrt{(\theta_i^*)^2 + (\theta_k^*)^2 - (\sqrt{i} - \sqrt{i-1})^2 C_0^2})$. We can verify that the assumed inequalities continue to hold. (There are cases for $(\theta_i^*)^2 + (\theta_k^*)^2 - (\sqrt{i} - \sqrt{i-1})^2 C_0^2 \leq (\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{k-1})^2 C_0^2$, then we just end this modification with $(\sqrt{(\theta_i^*)^2 + (\theta_k^*)^2 - (\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{k-1})^2 C_0^2}, (\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{k-1})C_0)$ and then repeat the procedure.)

As the above procedure repeats, number $\#\{k | \theta_k = (\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{k-1})C_0\}$ is strictly increased. When it stopped, the first non- $(\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{k-1})C_0$ term is larger than the $(\sqrt{k} - \sqrt{k-1})C_0$ and this gives us the contradiction.