

# Hypothesis Testing Errors Explained

You said:



True or False? Knowing the distribution of the test statistic under the null allows you to calculate  $\alpha$  (the probability of a type I error) and  $\beta$  (the probability of a type II error).

☐ True

☒ False



Explanation

Recall, knowing the distribution of the test statistic under the null allows you to calculate  $\alpha$  (the probability of a type I error), but does not allow you to compute  $\beta$ .

I have a problem with the solution, as shown in the picture.

Please explain the context of the problem.

Please extract all the specific terms.

Explain each with an analogy and a quantitative example.

ChatGPT said:



The problem in the image is about understanding the relationship between the distribution of a test statistic under the null hypothesis and the calculation of the probabilities of Type I and Type II errors, denoted as  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ , respectively.

## Context of the Problem:

- **Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ):** This is a general statement or default position that there is no effect or no difference. In the context of hypothesis testing, it's the hypothesis that the test seeks to disprove.
- **Test Statistic:** This is a standardized value derived from sample data during a hypothesis test. It's used to decide whether to reject the null hypothesis. The distribution of the test statistic under the null hypothesis is crucial in determining how likely we are to observe the sample data if the null hypothesis is true.
- **Type I Error ( $\alpha$ ):** This occurs when the null hypothesis is true, but we incorrectly reject it. The probability of making a Type I error is denoted by  $\alpha$ . This is often referred to as the significance level of the test, commonly set at 0.05, meaning there's a 5% chance of rejecting the null hypothesis when it is actually true.
- **Type II Error ( $\beta$ ):** This occurs when the null hypothesis is false, but we fail to reject it. The probability of making a Type II error is denoted by  $\beta$ . This is related to the power of the test, where power is defined as  $1 - \beta$ .

## Explanation of the Solution:

The solution asserts that **knowing the distribution of the test statistic under the null hypothesis allows you to calculate  $\alpha$  but not  $\beta$** . This is because  $\alpha$  depends on the distribution of the test statistic under the null hypothesis, while  $\beta$  depends on the distribution of the test statistic under the alternative hypothesis.

## Specific Terms Extracted and Explained:

### 1. Null Hypothesis ( $H_0$ ):

- **Analogy:** Think of a courtroom where the null hypothesis is the assumption that the defendant is innocent. The jury's job is to decide if there is enough evidence to reject this assumption.
- **Example:** Suppose we believe a coin is fair, meaning the null hypothesis is that the probability of heads is 0.5. We flip the coin 100 times to test this.

### 2. Test Statistic:

- **Analogy:** Imagine you're measuring the weight of a package. The test statistic is like comparing the actual weight of the package to what you'd expect if the package was empty (null hypothesis).
- **Example:** For the coin flip, the test statistic might be the number of heads observed. If we observe 60 heads out of 100 flips, the test statistic will help us determine if this is unusual enough to suggest the coin isn't fair.

### 3. Type I Error ( $\alpha$ ):

- **Analogy:** In our courtroom analogy, a Type I error is like convicting an innocent person—rejecting the null hypothesis when it's true.
- **Example:** If the significance level is set at 0.05, there's a 5% chance of incorrectly concluding that the coin is unfair when it is actually fair.

### 4. Type II Error ( $\beta$ ):

- **Analogy:** In the courtroom, a Type II error is like letting a guilty person go free—failing to reject the null hypothesis when it's false.
- **Example:** Suppose the coin is actually biased with a 0.6 probability of heads, but our test fails to detect this bias. This failure corresponds to a Type II error, and  $\beta$  represents the probability of this happening.

## Quantitative Example:

Let's say we perform a hypothesis test with the following parameters:

- Null hypothesis  $H_0$ : The mean of a population is 100.
- Alternative hypothesis  $H_1$ : The mean of the population is not 100.
- We collect a sample and calculate the test statistic, which follows a normal distribution under the null hypothesis.

If our test statistic follows a standard normal distribution (mean = 0, standard deviation = 1) under the null:

- If we set  $\alpha = 0.05$ , we are saying that there is a 5% chance we will reject the null hypothesis (say the mean is not 100) when it is actually true.
- However,  $\beta$  depends on what the true population mean actually is (under the alternative hypothesis). If the true mean is 105,  $\beta$  would be the probability that our test statistic falls within the range that would lead us not to reject  $H_0$ .

In summary, while knowing the distribution under the null hypothesis allows you to calculate  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  requires knowledge of the distribution under the alternative hypothesis, which typically requires more information about the effect size or population parameter under the alternative scenario.

You said:



from your explanation, can I conclude that  $\beta$  is like the  $\alpha$  for  $H_1$ ?

ChatGPT said:



Yes, you can think of  $\beta$  as being analogous to  $\alpha$  but under the alternative hypothesis  $H_1$ .

### Here's how the analogy works:

- **$\alpha$  (Type I Error):**
  - **Context:**  $\alpha$  is the probability of rejecting the null hypothesis  $H_0$  when it is actually true.
  - **Distribution:** It is based on the distribution of the test statistic under the null hypothesis  $H_0$ .
  - **Significance Level:** This is the threshold we set (commonly 0.05) to decide whether to reject  $H_0$ .
- **$\beta$  (Type II Error):**
  - **Context:**  $\beta$  is the probability of failing to reject the null hypothesis  $H_0$  when the alternative hypothesis  $H_1$  is actually true.
  - **Distribution:** It is based on the distribution of the test statistic under the alternative hypothesis  $H_1$ .
  - **Power:** The power of a test,  $1 - \beta$ , is the probability of correctly rejecting  $H_0$  when  $H_1$  is true.

### So in summary:

- $\alpha$  is the probability of a false positive under  $H_0$ .
- $\beta$  is the probability of a false negative under  $H_1$ .

Both are probabilities of making errors in hypothesis testing, but they apply to different hypotheses:  $\alpha$  applies under the assumption that  $H_0$  is true, while  $\beta$  applies under the assumption that  $H_1$  is true. This makes  $\beta$  functionally similar to  $\alpha$  but in the context of the alternative hypothesis.

You said:



what is "false positive" and "false negative"?  
please show a simple example.

ChatGPT said:



"False positive" and "false negative" are terms used to describe errors that can occur in decision-making processes, especially in the context of hypothesis testing, medical testing, machine learning, and other areas where decisions are made based on data.

## False Positive:

- **Definition:** A false positive occurs when a test incorrectly indicates the presence of a condition or effect when it is actually not present. In hypothesis testing, this means rejecting the null hypothesis  $H_0$  when it is actually true (Type I error).
- **Analogy:** Imagine a fire alarm that goes off, but there is no fire. The alarm falsely indicates a fire (a positive signal) when there is none.

## False Negative:

- **Definition:** A false negative occurs when a test incorrectly fails to indicate the presence of a condition or effect when it is actually present. In hypothesis testing, this means failing to reject the null hypothesis  $H_0$  when the alternative hypothesis  $H_1$  is actually true (Type II error).
- **Analogy:** Imagine a smoke detector that doesn't go off when there is a fire. The alarm fails to signal a fire (a negative signal) when there actually is one.

## Simple Example: Medical Test for a Disease

Let's say we have a medical test for a disease, and we're testing whether a person has the disease.

1. **True Positive:** The test correctly identifies that a person has the disease.
  - The test says "You have the disease," and you actually do.
2. **True Negative:** The test correctly identifies that a person does not have the disease.
  - The test says "You do not have the disease," and you actually don't.
3. **False Positive:** The test incorrectly indicates that a person has the disease when they do not.
  - **Example:** The test says "You have the disease," but you actually don't. This could lead to unnecessary stress, further testing, or even treatment.
4. **False Negative:** The test incorrectly indicates that a person does not have the disease when they actually do.
  - **Example:** The test says "You do not have the disease," but you actually do. This could lead to a missed diagnosis and a delay in necessary treatment.

## In Hypothesis Testing:

- **False Positive (Type I Error):**
  - **Situation:** Suppose a new drug is being tested to see if it improves patient outcomes compared to a placebo.
  - **Outcome:** A false positive in this case would mean concluding that the drug works (rejecting  $H_0$ ) when it actually does not (when  $H_0$  is true).
- **False Negative (Type II Error):**
  - **Situation:** The same drug test is being performed.
  - **Outcome:** A false negative would mean concluding that the drug does not work (failing to reject  $H_0$ ) when it actually does (when  $H_1$  is true).

Understanding these errors is crucial in many fields, as they help assess the risks and benefits of decisions based on data. In medical testing, for instance, a high rate of false positives could lead to unnecessary treatments, while a high rate of false negatives could result in missed diagnoses.

You said:



can you provide example of a problem involving calculation of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ?

ChatGPT said:



Certainly! Let's walk through an example that involves calculating both  $\alpha$  (the probability of a Type I error) and  $\beta$  (the probability of a Type II error).

## Problem Example:

### Scenario:

A company manufactures light bulbs, and they claim that the average lifespan of their light bulbs is 1,000 hours. A consumer advocacy group believes that the true average lifespan is actually less than 1,000 hours and decides to test this claim.

- **Null Hypothesis  $H_0$ :** The average lifespan of the light bulbs is 1,000 hours ( $\mu = 1000$ ).
- **Alternative Hypothesis  $H_1$ :** The average lifespan of the light bulbs is less than 1,000 hours ( $\mu < 1000$ ).

The consumer group takes a sample of 50 light bulbs and finds that their average lifespan is 990 hours with a known population standard deviation of 50 hours. They use a significance level ( $\alpha$ ) of 0.05 for their test.

## 1. Calculating $\alpha$ (Type I Error):

### Step 1: Define the test statistic.

- Since the population standard deviation is known, we can use the Z-test.
- The test statistic (Z) is calculated as:

$$Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_0}{\sigma / \sqrt{n}}$$

where:

- $\bar{X}$  is the sample mean (990 hours),
- $\mu_0$  is the population mean under the null hypothesis (1000 hours),
- $\sigma$  is the population standard deviation (50 hours),
- $n$  is the sample size (50).

### Step 2: Calculate the Z-value.

$$Z = \frac{990 - 1000}{50/\sqrt{50}} = \frac{-10}{7.07} \approx -1.41$$

### Step 3: Determine the critical value for $\alpha$ .

- For a one-tailed test with  $\alpha = 0.05$ , the critical Z-value is approximately  $-1.645$  (this value comes from Z-tables).

### Step 4: Compare the Z-value to the critical value.

- Since  $-1.41 > -1.645$ , we **fail to reject the null hypothesis**.
- $\alpha$ : The probability of making a Type I error is 0.05, which is the chosen significance level. This means there's a 5% chance of rejecting the null hypothesis when it is actually true.

## 2. Calculating $\beta$ (Type II Error):

Now, let's assume the true mean lifespan of the light bulbs is actually 980 hours (under  $H_1$ ). We want to calculate  $\beta$ , the probability of failing to reject the null hypothesis when the true mean is 980 hours.

### Step 1: Find the Z-value under $H_1$ .

- Under  $H_1$ , the true mean  $\mu_1 = 980$  hours.
- The Z-value is calculated as:

$$Z = \frac{\bar{X} - \mu_1}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} = \frac{1000 - 980}{50/\sqrt{50}} \approx 2.83$$

### Step 2: Determine the power of the test.

- The critical Z-value under  $H_0$  for  $\alpha = 0.05$  is  $-1.645$ .
- Now, calculate the probability of observing a Z-value greater than  $-1.645$  under  $H_1$ .

### Step 3: Calculate $\beta$ .

- Using the Z-value of 2.83 (from the true mean  $\mu_1 = 980$ ):

$$P(Z > 2.83) \approx 0.0023$$

- Therefore, the probability of rejecting  $H_0$  when  $\mu_1 = 980$  (true mean) is  $1 - 0.0023 = 0.9977$ .
- $\beta$ : The probability of a Type II error is  $\beta = 0.0023$ , which means there's a 0.23% chance of failing to reject the null hypothesis when the true mean lifespan is actually 980 hours.

## Summary:

- $\alpha = 0.05$ : The probability of incorrectly rejecting the null hypothesis (Type I error).
- $\beta = 0.0023$ : The probability of failing to reject the null hypothesis when it is actually false (Type II error).

In this example,  $\beta$  is quite small, which indicates that the test has high power to detect that the true mean lifespan is 980 hours, significantly less than the claimed 1,000 hours.



so, on the example case, based on the  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  values, should null hypothesis be accepted or rejected?

ChatGPT said:



In the context of hypothesis testing, we don't typically "accept" the null hypothesis; rather, we either **reject** it or **fail to reject** it based on the evidence provided by the data.

**Let's clarify the decision-making process for the example case:**

### 1. Significance Level ( $\alpha$ ):

- **Given:**  $\alpha = 0.05$
- **Critical Z-value:** For  $\alpha = 0.05$  in a one-tailed test, the critical Z-value is approximately  $-1.645$ .
- **Calculated Z-value:** From the data, we calculated a Z-value of  $-1.41$ .

### Decision Based on $\alpha$ :

- Since the calculated Z-value of  $-1.41$  is **greater than** the critical Z-value of  $-1.645$ , we **fail to reject the null hypothesis**.
- This means the sample data does not provide sufficient evidence to conclude that the average lifespan of the light bulbs is less than 1,000 hours.

### 2. Type II Error ( $\beta$ ):

- **Given the true mean is 980 hours,  $\beta$  was calculated as 0.0023.**
- This small  $\beta$  value indicates that the probability of making a Type II error (failing to reject  $H_0$  when  $H_1$  is true) is very low.

### Implications:

- Although the test has high power (since  $\beta$  is low), the specific sample data we used did not produce a Z-value low enough to reject the null hypothesis.
- However, the low  $\beta$  suggests that if the true mean really is 980 hours, the test is generally effective in detecting this difference.

## Final Decision:

Based on the  $\alpha$  value:

- **Fail to reject the null hypothesis** because the calculated Z-value does not fall into the rejection region (it is not less than  $-1.645$ ).

This means, according to the test and the data provided:

- We do not have strong enough evidence to conclude that the average lifespan of the light bulbs is less than 1,000 hours.
- The null hypothesis remains plausible based on the current data, but this does not prove that the null hypothesis is true; it only indicates that the data do not show a statistically significant deviation from it.

You said:



If we already have result based on  $\alpha$  and Z-value, is it still important to calculate  $\beta$ ? or are they interchangeable depend on which hypothesis exist?

ChatGPT said:



Great question! Let's clarify the roles of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  in hypothesis testing and why both are important.

## Roles of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ :

- **$\alpha$  (Type I Error):**
  - **Purpose:**  $\alpha$  is the threshold you set before conducting the test. It defines the probability of rejecting the null hypothesis  $H_0$  when it is actually true. This is your **significance level**.
  - **Use:**  $\alpha$  is used to determine the critical region for the test statistic. If your test statistic falls within this region, you reject  $H_0$ .
- **$\beta$  (Type II Error):**
  - **Purpose:**  $\beta$  represents the probability of failing to reject the null hypothesis  $H_0$  when the alternative hypothesis  $H_1$  is true. It's associated with the **power** of the test, where power is  $1 - \beta$ .
  - **Use:**  $\beta$  is used to understand the test's ability to detect a true effect when it exists. A lower  $\beta$  (or higher power) means your test is more likely to detect a difference if one actually exists.

## Are $\alpha$ and $\beta$ Interchangeable?

- **No, they are not interchangeable.** They serve different purposes and are relevant in different contexts:
  - $\alpha$  focuses on controlling the rate of false positives (rejecting a true null hypothesis).
  - $\beta$  focuses on the risk of false negatives (failing to reject a false null hypothesis).

## Why Calculate $\beta$ After $\alpha$ and Z-Value?

- **$\alpha$  and the Z-value** are used to make the primary decision about whether to reject  $H_0$  based on your data. This gives you an immediate result about whether there's enough evidence against  $H_0$ .
- **$\beta$  is still important** because it provides insight into the test's effectiveness in detecting a true effect (i.e., when  $H_1$  is true). Even if you fail to reject  $H_0$ , knowing  $\beta$  helps you understand the risk of missing a real effect.

## Example of Importance:

- Suppose you perform a test and fail to reject  $H_0$  with  $\alpha = 0.05$ .
- If  $\beta$  is very high (e.g., 0.7), this suggests your test has low power, and there's a 70% chance that you've missed detecting a true effect. This would prompt you to reconsider your test design, perhaps by increasing the sample size to lower  $\beta$ .

## Summary:



- $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are **not interchangeable**; they address different types of errors.
- Calculating  $\beta$  after  $\alpha$  provides additional information about the power of your test, which is crucial for understanding the reliability of your results.
- You typically use  $\alpha$  to make an initial decision, but  $\beta$  helps you assess how confident you should be in that decision, especially when you fail to reject  $H_0$ .

ChatGPT can make mistakes. Check important info.