## Math 108B Homework 4

#### Rad Mallari

#### March 4, 2022

For all problems:

- V is a vector space over  $\mathbb{C}$
- $\dim V = n$
- $x, y \in V$
- $V, T, S, N \in \mathcal{L}(V)$
- $N \in \mathcal{L}(V)$  is nilpotent if  $N^k = 0$  for some k > 0.

### 1 Problem 1

Prove that if  $T^{m-1}x \neq 0$ ,  $T^mx = 0$ , then the set  $\{x, Tx, ..., T^{m-1}x\}$  is linearly independent.

**Proof.** Taking  $a_0, a_1, ..., a_{m-1} \in F$ , where

$$a_0x + a_1Tx + a_2T^2v + \dots + a_{m-1}T^{m-1}x = 0$$
 (1)

Due to our assumption that  $T^{m-1} \neq 0$  we can apply it to both sides of (1). This gives us a  $T^m x$  for all the terms except for the first and by the second assumption that we are left with:

$$T^{m-1}a_0x = 0$$

Again, since  $T^{m-1}x \neq 0$ , we can deduce that  $a_0 = 0$  which allows us to rewrite (1) as:

$$a_1 T x + a_2 T^2 x + \dots + a_{m-1} T^{m-1} x = 0 (2)$$

Similarly, applying  $T^{m-2}$  leaves a  $T^m$  for every term except for the first giving us

$$T^{m-1}a_1x = 0$$

Therefore,  $a_1 \neq 0$  by the same argument as before. We can repeat this process until the last term allowing us to conclude that  $a_0 = a_1 = a_2 = ... = a_{m-1} = 0$ . Since we only have the trivial solution, we know that the set  $\{x, Tx, T^2x, ..., T^{m-1}x\}$  is linearly independent.

#### 2 Problem 2

Prove that if ST is nilpotent, then TS is nilpotent.

**Proof.** We begin with our assumption that ST is nilpotent, there must be some k > 0 such that  $(ST)^n 0$ . Now letting  $(TS)^{n+1} = (TS)(TS)...(TS) = T(ST)(ST)...(ST)S = T(ST)^n S$  Then we see that the multiplier in the center is 0 by our assumption and we're left with

$$(TS)^{n+1} = T(0)S = 0$$

Therefore, TS is nilpotent as well.

#### 3 Problem 3

Prove that if N is nilpotent, then 0 is the only eigenvalue of N.

**Proof.** Suppose that  $\lambda$  is an eigenvalue of N, then by definition, we have a nonzero vector  $x \in V$  such that  $\lambda x = Nx$ . Applying N until k where k > 0, we have that  $\lambda^k x = N^k x$ . Since we assumed that N is nilpotent, then with  $\lambda^k x = 0$ . Furthermore, we know  $x \neq 0$  so we conclude that  $\lambda = 0$ .

Prove that if null  $N^{n-1} \neq \text{null } N^n$ , then N is nilpotent.

**Proof.** Proposition 8.5 in the book tells us that if n is a nonnegative integer such that null  $T^n = \text{null } T^{n+1}$ , then

$$\operatorname{null} \, T^0 \subset \operatorname{null} \, T^1 \subset \ldots \subset \operatorname{null} \, T^m = \operatorname{null} \, T^{n+1} = \operatorname{null} \, T^{n+2} = \ldots$$

Therefore by our assumption that null  $N^{n-1} \neq \text{null } N^n$ , we can infer that null  $N^{n-1} \neq \text{null } N^n$  for  $0 \leq n \leq \dim V$ . Therefore,  $\{0\} = \text{null } N^0 \subsetneq \text{null } N^1 \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq \text{null } N^{n-1} \subsetneq \text{null } N^n$ . By **Proposition 8.6** n must increment by 1, in other words letting some  $n = \dim V$  yields dim null  $N^n = n$ . We can conclude that null  $N^n = V$  which implies that  $N^n = 0$ , and finally N is nilpotent.

#### 5 Problem 5

Prove that if null  $N^{n-2} \neq \text{null } N^{n-1}$ , then N has at most two distinct eigenvalues

**Proof.** Similar to (4) by **Proposition 8.6** dim null  $T^n > \dim \operatorname{null} T^{n-1}$  by at least 1 for n = 1, ..., n-1. From this we know that dim null  $n^{-1} \ge n-1$  which implies that 0 is an eigenvalue of T with multiplicity of at least n-1. Since V is a complex vector space and  $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ , then the sum of multiplicities of all eigenvalues of  $T = \dim V$  by Proposition 8.18. Therefore, we can conclude that T can have at most one extra eigenvalue.

Prove that for any T,

null 
$$T^n \cap \text{range } T^n = \{0\}$$

**Proof.** We begin by choosing some  $v \in \text{null } T^n \cap \text{range } T^n$ . Then for some  $a \in T$ ,  $T^n a = v$ . Now by **Proposition 8.6** we know that:

$$\operatorname{null}\, T^n = \operatorname{null}\, T^{n+1} = \operatorname{null}\, T^{n+2} = \dots$$

and similarly, by Proposition 8.9,

range 
$$T^n = \text{range } T^{n+1} = \text{range } T^{n+2} = \dots$$

which implies that  $T^{n+1}a = T^na = 0$  since  $v \in \dim \text{null } T$ . We know that  $a \in \text{null } T^2$ , and since  $T^{n+1} = T^n$  by **8.6**, we deduce  $T^na = v = 0$  from which we can conclude that null  $T^n \cap \text{range } T^n = \{0\}$ .

Find a  $3 \times 3$  matrix whose minimal polynomial is  $z^2$ .

**Proof.** Since  $V \in \mathbb{C}$ , we take  $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{C}^3)$  such that  $T(z_1, z_2, z_3) = (0, 0, z_2)$ . We consider,

$$T^2(z_1, z_2, z_3) = T(0, 0, z_2)$$

which, in matrix form is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

For brevity, we use the operator notation, and applying this to our matrix T to our minimal polynomial  $p(z) = z^2$  yields:

$$p(T) = T^{2}(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}, z_{4}) = T(0, 0, 0, z_{2}) = (0, 0, 0, 0)$$

Satisfying our condition of a matrix with minimal polynomial of  $z^2$ . But by **Theorem 8.34** we must consider the monic polynomials  $1, z, z^2$ . And so applying our candidates to the matrix T shows us that:

$$p(1) = 1 \neq 0$$
 
$$p(z) = z \implies p(T) = T \neq 0$$
 
$$p(z) = z^2 \implies p(T) = T^2 = 0 \text{ (as above)}$$

Since  $z^2$  is the least monic polynomial satisfying p(T)=0, it must be the case that  $z^2$  is the minimal polynomial of our matrix.

Find a  $4 \times 4$  matrix whose minimimal polynomial is  $z(z-1)^2$ . **Proof.** Similar to **Problem 7**, we want a matrix T such that  $p(T) = T(T-1)^2 = 0$ , where  $p(z) = z(z-1)^2$ . Therefore, we consider

$$T(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (z_1, z_1 + z_2, 0, z_4)$$

Which in matrix form is:

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

Subtracting  $I(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$  to both sides yields:

$$T(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) - I(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (z_1, z_1 + z_2, 0, z_4) - (z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4)$$
$$(T - I)(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (0, z_1, -z_3, 0)$$

Applying this again we have that

$$(T-I)^2(z_1, z_2, z_3, z_4) = (T-I)(0, z_1, -z_3, 0) = (0, 0, z_3, 0)$$

And finally, applying T results in:

$$T(T-I)^2 = T(0,0,z_3,0) = (0,0,0,0)$$

This matrix implies that when the minimal polynomial  $p(z) = z(z-1)^2$  is applied, we have p(T) = 0. Furthermore, the only other monic polynomial that have same roots as our minimal polynomial are z(z-1) and itself. Applying T to the former candidate, we have  $T(T-1) = T(-z_1, z_4, 0, 0) = (0, z_4, 0, 0) \neq 0$ , therefore it must be the case that  $z(z-1)^2$  is the only minimal polynomial satisfying our matrix.

Suppose T is invertible. Prove that there is a polynomial p such that  $T^{-1} = p(T)$ .

**Proof.** Letting  $a_0 + a_1 z + ... + a_{m-1} z^{z-1} + z^m$  be the minimal polynomial of T, then

$$a_0I + a_1T + \dots + a_{m-1}T^{m-1} + T^m = 0$$

We know that  $a_0 \neq 0$  since if it is, we can multiply both sides by  $T^{-1}$  and get

$$a_1I + a_2T + \dots + a_{m-1}T^{m-2} + T^{m-1} = 0$$

which suggests that we have a monic polynomial that has a smaller degree contradicting the definition of minimal polynomial. This allows us to solve for the identity operator which is given by:

$$I = -\frac{a_1}{a_0}T - \dots - \frac{a_{m-1}}{a_0}T^{m-1} - \frac{1}{a_0}T^m$$

Multiplying both sides by  $T^{-1}$  yields

$$T^{-1} = -\frac{a_1}{a_0}I - \dots - \frac{a_{m-1}}{a_0}T^{m-2} - \frac{1}{a_0}T^{m-1}$$

So our polynomial is

$$p(z) = -\frac{a_1}{a_0} - \dots - \frac{a_{m-1}}{a_0} z^{m-2} - \frac{1}{a_0} z^{m-1}$$

From which we can conclude that  $T^{-1} = P(T)$ .

Prove that V has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of T if and only if the minimal polynomial of T has no repeated roots.

**Proof.** For one direction, we begin with that we have a basis  $(v_1, ..., v_n)$  of V consisting of T, where  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k$  are distinct eigenvalues. Then by our assumptions, using **Theorem 8.36**, we can construct a minimal polynomial where  $p(z) = (z - \lambda_1)...(z - \lambda_k)$ . Since our  $\lambda_1, ..., \lambda_k$  are distinct eigenvalues, p(z) must have distinct, i.e. non-repeating roots, thereby proving this direction.

On the other hand, we begin with the assumption that we have we minimal polynomial with distinct roots where our polynomial is defined as:

$$p(z) = (z - \lambda_1)...(z - \lambda_k)$$

Furthermore, we can let  $\lambda_1...\lambda_k$  be the distinct eigenvalues of T. Then by definition of minimal polynomial, we have the following:

$$(T - \lambda_1 I)...(T - \lambda_k I)) = 0$$

Letting  $U_k$  be the corresponding subspaces of generalized eigenvectors for each  $\lambda_k$ , we can take a  $v \in U_k$  where  $u = (T - \lambda_k I)v \implies u \in U_k$ . Furthermore, by definition, we know

$$(T \mid_{U_m} -\lambda_1 I)...(T \mid_{U_m} -\lambda_{m-1} I)u = (T - \lambda_1 I)...(T - \lambda_m I)v$$

$$= 0$$
(3)

By **Theorem 8.23(c)**,  $(T - \lambda_m I)|_{U_m}$  is nilpotent, and it's eigenvalue is only 0. Therefore,  $T|_{U_m} - \lambda_k I$  is invertible in  $U_m$  for k = 1, ..., m - 1, and (3) implies that u = 0. We can then conclude that v is an eigenvector T. Since v is some eigenvector of T with eigenvalue of  $\lambda_m$  is an eigenvector of T, we know that generalized v's of T is an eigenvector of T. Therefore, we have a basis of generalized eigenvectors of T which implies that there is a of V consisting of generalized eigenvectors of T by **Corollary 8.25**. This is the result proves the other direction of proof.

Suppose  $x \neq 0$ . Let p be the monic polynomial of the smallest degree such that p(T)x = 0. Prove that p divides the minimal polynomial of T.

**Proof.** Letting q be the minimal polynomial of T, then there exists  $s, r \in \mathcal{P}(F)$ , where q = sp + r and the degree of r is less than degree of p. Then we have that

$$q(T)x = s(T)p(T)v + r(T)x$$

By definition of minimal polynomial q(T) = 0 and p(T)x = 0 by our assumption. This results in  $r(T)x = 0 \implies r = 0$ , so the equation q = sp + r becomes

$$q = sp$$

Here it's clear that  $p \mid q$ , which is the minimal polynomial of T.