$$\begin{split} &\mathbf{1}.\,\mathbf{A}) \\ & \text{let}\,\,X = \left[x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, ..., x^{(m)}\right]^T \to X\theta = \left[\theta^T x^{(1)}, \theta^T x^{(2)}, ..., \theta^T x^{(m)}\right]^T \\ & \text{let}\,\,W = \frac{1}{2} \text{diag}(w_i) \to W(X\theta - y) = \frac{1}{2} \left[w_1(\theta^T x^{(1)} - y_1), ..., w_m(\theta^T x^{(m)} - y_m)\right]^T \\ & (X\theta - y)^T W(X\theta - y) = \frac{1}{2} \left(w_1(\theta^T x^{(1)} - y_1)^2 + \cdots + w_m(\theta^T x^{(m)} - y_m)^2\right) = J(\theta) \end{split}$$

1.B)

$$\begin{split} J(\theta) &= \theta^T X^T W X \theta - 2 \theta^T X^T W y + y^T W y \\ \nabla_{\theta} J(\theta) &= 2 X^T W X \theta - 2 X^T W y = 0 \rightarrow \theta_{optimal} = (X^T W X)^{-1} X^T W y \end{split}$$

1. C)

$$\begin{split} P(y|X;\theta) &= \prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{i}} exp \left\{ -\frac{\left(y_{i} - \theta^{T}x^{(i)}\right)^{2}}{2\sigma_{i}^{2}} \right\} \\ log\big(P(y|X;\theta)\big) &= \sum_{i=1}^{m} log\bigg(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma_{i}}\bigg) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\left(y_{i} - \theta^{T}x^{(i)}\right)^{2}}{2\sigma_{i}^{2}} \\ &= f(\sigma) - (X\theta - y)^{T}W(X\theta - y) \text{ where } W = \frac{1}{2} diag\bigg(\frac{1}{\sigma_{i}^{2}}\bigg) \end{split}$$

in ML estimation, $\underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(y|X;\theta)$ is found. In regression, $\underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmin}} J(\theta)$ is found. As shown above, $\underset{\theta}{\operatorname{argmax}} P(y|X;\theta)$ can be found by taking the logarithm of $P(y|X;\theta)$. The term in $log(P(y|X;\theta))$ which is dependent on θ has the form of weighted regression. the weights are like this: $w_i = \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}$

2)

The claim is that w^* is a linear combination of the feature vectors. more precisely, because $X = \left[x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, ..., x^{(m)}\right]^T$, it is claimed that w^* is in the column space of X^T . being in the column space of X^T is equivalent to being in the row space of X. We know the row space and null space of a linear map are orthogonal \rightarrow it suffices to show that w^* is not in the null space of X

lemma 1: X^TX is symmetric $\rightarrow (X^TX)^T = X^TX$

lemma 2: X^TX is nonsingular, therefor it has an inverse. proof 2: let y be in the null space of $X^TX \to X^TXz = 0$, $z^TX^TXz = \left| |Xz| \right|_2^2 = 0 \to Xz = 0$ with the assumption that the features are independent, we know $\text{Null}(X) = \{0\} \to z = 0$ then the null space of $X^TX = \{0\}$, therefor it is nonsingular and has an inverse.

lemma 3: The inverse of a symmetric matrix is also symmetric. proof: let A be a nonsingular symmetric matrix. we know $A^{-1}A = AA^{-1} = I$ $I^T = I \rightarrow (AA^{-1})^T = A^{-1}A \rightarrow (A^{-1})^TA^T = A^{-1}A \rightarrow (A^{-1})^TA = A^{-1}A \rightarrow (A^{-1})^TAA^{-1} = A^{-1}AA^{-1} \rightarrow (A^{-1})^T = A^{-1} \rightarrow A^{-1}$ is symmetric

putting the 3 lemmas together, we find that $(X^TX)^{-1}$ exists and is also symmetric.

we know that w^* in classical regression when l_2 loss is minimized is equal to $(X^TX)^{-1}X^Ty$. because $(X^TX)^{-1}$ is symmetric, it has eigendecomposition VDV^T .

therefor we have: $w^* = VDV^TX^Ty$ let's assume that w^* is in the null space of X

$$\rightarrow XVDV^TX^Ty = 0 \rightarrow y^TVDV^TX^Ty = 0 \rightarrow \left| \left| V^TX^Ty \right| \right|_2^2 = 0 \rightarrow V^TX^Ty = 0$$

if $V^T X^T y = 0$, then $w^* = 0$ and all α_i would be equal to 0

if $V^TX^Ty \neq 0$, then we have reached a contradiction $\to w^* \notin Null(X) \to w^* \in Column(X^T)$

 \rightarrow X α = w* is a consistent system of equations \rightarrow at least one α is found.

3.1)

We want to minimize the loss function $||\Phi\theta - y||_2^2$

$$\boldsymbol{\Phi} = \left[\boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(1)}), \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(2)}), ..., \boldsymbol{\varphi}(\boldsymbol{x}^{(m)})\right]^T$$

$$\nabla_{\theta} ||\Phi \theta - y||_{2}^{2} = 2\Phi^{T}\Phi \theta - 2\Phi^{T}y$$

we want to prove that for every t, θ_t is a linear combination of $\{\phi(x^{(i)})\}$, in other words, it should be in the column space of Φ^T

Induction:

Base case: $\theta_0 = 0 \rightarrow \beta_0 = 0$

Inductive step: $\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \eta \ \nabla_{\theta} L(\theta_t) = (I - 2\eta \Phi^T \Phi) \theta_t + 2\eta \Phi^T y$

- $\rightarrow~\theta_t$ is in the column space of Φ^T
- $\rightarrow 2\eta \Phi^T y$ is also equal to $\sum 2\eta y_i \, \varphi(x^{(i)}) \rightarrow$ is in the column space of Φ^T
- → Proof is complete

3.2)

$$\begin{split} &\beta_0 = 0 \\ &\theta_t = \Phi^T \beta_t \\ &\theta_{t+1} = \theta_t - \eta \, \nabla_\theta L(\theta_t) = \, \Phi^T \beta_t - 2 \eta \Phi^T \Phi \Phi^T \beta_t + 2 \eta \Phi^T y = \Phi^T (\beta_t - 2 \eta \Phi \Phi^T \beta_t + 2 \eta y) \\ &\Phi \Phi_{i,j}^T = \langle \varphi(x^{(i)}), \varphi(x^{(j)}) \, \rangle = \varphi_i^T \varphi_j \end{split}$$

$$\theta_{t+1} = \Phi^T \beta_{t+1} \rightarrow \beta_{t+1} = \beta_t - 2\eta \Phi \Phi^T \beta_t + 2\eta y$$

3.2) already in vector form!

4)

```
Jacobi Method:  \begin{aligned} Ax &= b \\ A &= D + R \text{ where D is a diagonal matrix } \\ x^{(0)} &= \text{initial guess} \\ x^{(k+1)} &= D^{-1} \big( b - Rx^{(k)} \big) \\ x_i^{(k+1)} &= \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \Bigg( b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \Bigg) \end{aligned}
```

Use the python code below to solve the problem:

Result:

Gauss — Siedel Method:

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_{j>i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k+1)} - \sum_{i>j} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right)$$

the equations:

$$Ax = b \rightarrow \begin{bmatrix} 1 & t_1 & t_1^2 \\ 1 & t_2 & t_2^2 \\ 1 & t_3 & t_3^2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ a_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ v_3 \end{bmatrix}$$

Use the python code below to solve the problem:

```
import numpy as np
def gauss_seidel(A, b, x0, tolerance=1e-5, max_iterations=1000):
    n = len(b)
    x = x0.copy()
    for iteration in range(max_iterations):
        x_new = x_copy()
        for i in range(n):
            sum1 = sum(A[i][j] * x_new[j] for j in range(i))
            sum2 = sum(A[i][j] * x[j] for j in range(i + 1, n))
            x_{new}[i] = (b[i] - sum1 - sum2) / A[i][i]
        if np.allclose(x, x_new, atol=tolerance):
            break
        x = x_new
    return x, iteration + 1
t1 = 5
t2 = 8
t3 = 12
A = np.array([[1, t1, t1**2],
              [1, t2, t2**2],
              [1, t3, t3**2]], dtype=float)
b = np.array([8.106, 2.177, 2.279], dtype=float)
x0 = np.array([1, 2, 5], dtype=float)
solution, iterations = gauss_seidel(A, b, x0)
print(f'Solution after {iterations} iterations: {solution}')
```

Result:

```
Solution after 99 iterations: [29.42920311 -5.69463872 0.28601015]
```

دقت کنید که در زمان حل این سوال، متوجه تغییر آن نشده بودم و با توجه به عدم اطلاع رسانی به موقع، به هنگام تحویل متوجه شدم که مقادیر جداول درون سوال عوض شدهاست و با توجه به فشردگی آزمونها، فرصتی برای درست کردن آن نداشتم. ممنون از درک شما.