#### **Initial-Value Problems for ODEs**

$$y'(x) = f(x, y)$$
$$y(x_0) = y_0$$

### **General Way:**

$$x_n = x_0 + nh$$
  
 $w_{n+1} = w_n + h\Phi(x_n, w_n) \rightarrow difference$  equation

#### **Local Truncation Error:**

$$\tau_{i+1} = \frac{y_{i+1} - (y_i + h\Phi(x_i, y_i))}{h} = \frac{y_{i+1} - y_i}{h} - \Phi(x_i, y_i)$$

### Taylor's Method for order k:

$$\begin{split} &x_n = x_0 + nh \\ &w_{n+1} = w_n + hT^k(x_n, w_n) \\ &T^k(x, y) = f(x, y) + \frac{h}{2}f'(x, y) + \frac{h^2}{6}f''(x, y) + \dots + \frac{h^{k-1}}{k!}f^{(k-1)}(x, y) \\ &\tau_{i+1} = \frac{y_{i+1} - y_i}{h} - T^k(x_i, y_i) = \frac{h^k y^{(k+1)} \big(\mu(x_i)\big)}{(k+1)!} = O(h^k) \\ &\sum \tau_i = \sum \frac{h^k y^{(k+1)} \big(\mu(x_i)\big)}{(k+1)!} = n \times \frac{h^k y^{(k+1)} (\mu)}{(k+1)!} = O(h^{k-1}) \end{split}$$

### Euler's Method is Taylor's Method with k=1

$$x_n = x_0 + nh$$
  

$$w_{n+1} = w_n + hf(x_n, w_n)$$

### **Runge-Kutta Methods:**

$$x_n = x_0 + nh$$
  
 $w_{n+1} = w_n + \gamma_1 K_1 + \gamma_2 K_2 + \dots + \gamma_j K_j$ 

$$\begin{split} K_1 &= hf(x_n, w_n) \\ K_l &= hf\left(x_i + \alpha_l h, w_i + \sum\nolimits_{m=1}^{l-1} \beta_{ml} K_m\right), 2 \leq l \leq j \end{split}$$

find  $\gamma_1$  to  $\gamma_i$  such that  $w_{n+1}$  has Taylor's Method error for order k=j

Solve Runge-Kutta for j = 2:

$$K_1 = hf(x_n, w_n)$$
  

$$K_2 = hf(x_n + \alpha h, w_n + \beta K_1)$$

Recall that Taylor's Method for k=2 is:

$$\begin{split} &x_n = x_0 + nh \\ &w_{n+1} = w_n + hf(x_n, w_n) + \frac{h^2 f'(x_n, y_n)}{2} \\ &f'(x, y) = \frac{d}{dx} f(x, y) = \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial y} \times \frac{\partial y}{\partial x} = \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial x} + f(x, y) \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial y} \\ &\to w_{n+1} = w_n + hf(x_n, w_n) + \frac{h^2}{2} \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial x} + \frac{h^2 f(x, y)}{2} \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial y} \end{split}$$

Recall that Taylor's Expansion for two variables is:

$$f(x + h, y + k) = f(x, y) + h \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial x} + k \frac{\partial f(x, y)}{\partial y} + \left(h \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + k \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)^2 f(\theta_x, \theta_y)$$

$$\rightarrow K_2 = hf(x_n, w_n) + \alpha h^2 \frac{\partial f(x, w)}{\partial x} + \beta h^2 f(x_n, w_n) \frac{\partial f(x, w)}{\partial w} + O(h^3)$$

$$\begin{split} w_{n+1} &= w_n + \gamma_1 K_1 + \gamma_2 K_2 \\ w_{n+1} &= w_n + (\gamma_1 + \gamma_2) hf(x_n, w_n) + \gamma_2 \alpha h^2 \frac{\partial f(x, w)}{\partial x} + \gamma_2 \beta h^2 f(x_n, w_n) \frac{\partial f(x, w)}{\partial w} \end{split}$$

$$\gamma_1 + \gamma_2 = 1$$
,  $\gamma_2 \alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\gamma_2 \beta = \frac{1}{2}$ 

The system of equations gives more than one solution!

# 1) Midpoint Method:

$$\gamma_1 = 0, \gamma_2 = 1, \alpha = \frac{1}{2}, \beta = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$x_n = x_0 + nh$$

$$w_{n+1} = w_n + hf\left(x_n + \frac{h}{2}, w_n + \frac{hf(x_n, w_n)}{2}\right)$$

# 2) Modified Euler's Method (AKA Huen's Method):

$$\begin{split} \gamma_1 &= \frac{1}{2}, \gamma_2 = \frac{1}{2}, \alpha = 1, \beta = 1 \\ x_n &= x_0 + nh \\ w_{n+1} &= w_n + \frac{h}{2} \Big( f(x_n, w_n) + f \big( x_{n+1}, y_n + h f(x_n, w_n) \big) \Big) \end{split}$$

In both of these methods, because the coefficients were solved by 2<sup>nd</sup> order Runge-Kutta, the local truncation error is equal to Taylor's 2<sup>nd</sup> Order Method, which is O(h<sup>2</sup>)

Higher Order Runge-Kutta are found in a similar way.

# **3rd Order Runge-Kutta:**

$$\begin{cases} K_{\text{I}} = hf(x_n, y_n) \\ K_{\text{Y}} = hf(x_n + \frac{h}{\text{Y}}, y_n + \frac{K_{\text{I}}}{\text{Y}}) \\ K_{\text{Y}} = hf(x_{n+\text{I}}, y_n + \text{Y}K_{\text{Y}} - K_{\text{I}}) \\ y_{n+\text{I}} = y_n + \frac{1}{\hat{F}} (K_{\text{I}} + \text{Y}K_{\text{Y}} + K_{\text{Y}}) \end{cases}$$

### 4th Order Runge-Kutta:

4th Order Runge-Kutta: 
$$\begin{cases} K_{\text{N}} = hf(x_n, y_n) \\ K_{\text{Y}} = hf(x_n + \frac{h}{\text{Y}}, y_n + \frac{K_{\text{N}}}{\text{Y}}) \\ K_{\text{Y}} = hf(x_n + \frac{h}{\text{Y}}, y_n + \frac{K_{\text{Y}}}{\text{Y}}) \\ K_{\text{Y}} = hf(x_{n+1}, y_n + K_{\text{Y}}) \\ y_{n+1} = y_n + \frac{1}{9} (K_{\text{N}} + \text{Y}K_{\text{Y}} + \text{Y}K_{\text{Y}} + K_{\text{Y}}) \end{cases}$$

Solving for a system of equations is no different than solving for a single one, just use vectors as inputs and outputs of the functions. Solving an equation with higher-degree differentials is the same, convert it to a system of equations and use vector calculus.

# **Solving Equations in One Variable:**

# **Order of Convergence:**

Suppose  $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  is a sequence that converges to p, with  $p_n \neq p$  for all n. If positive constants  $\lambda$  and  $\alpha$  exist with

$$\lim_{n\to\infty}\frac{|p_{n+1}-p|}{|p_n-p|^{\alpha}}=\lambda,$$

then  $\{p_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$  converges to p of order  $\alpha$ , with asymptotic error constant  $\lambda$ .

#### **Bisection:**

Binary search on the interval [a,b] to find the solution. An upper bound for the error is shown below:

$$|p_n-p| \leq \frac{|b-a|}{2^n}$$

#### **Fixed-Point Method:**

A number p is a fixed point for g if:

$$f(p) = 0$$
  
 
$$g(x) = x \pm af(x) \rightarrow g(p) = p$$

#### **Fixed-Point Theorems:**

### Theorem 1)

- i) if continuous function g is contained within [a, b] within interval [a, b], there exists at least one fixed point.
- ii) if there exists constant k in interval [0,1) such that:  $|g'(x)| \le k \ \forall x \in [a,b]$ 
  - → There is exactly one fixed point.

#### Proof:

i) if g(a) = a or g(b) = b, one is a fixed point. otherwise, g(a) > a and g(b) < b

$$\rightarrow$$
 let h(x) = g(x) - x  $\rightarrow$  h(a) > 0 and h(b) < 0  $\rightarrow$  h(p) = 0 for some p  $\in$  (a, b)  $\rightarrow$  g(p) = p

ii) suppose there are 2 fixed points p and  $q \to \frac{g(p) - g(q)}{p - q} = g'(\mu) = 1 \to contradiction$ 

# Theorem 2)

If the conditions hold for the Fixed-Point Theorem, then this series converges:  $p_n=g(p_{n-1})$ 

**Proof:** 

$$\begin{aligned} |p_n - p| &= |g(p_{n-1}) - g(p)| = |g'(\mu)||p_{n-1} - p| \le k|p_{n-1} - p| \\ &\to |p_n - p| \le k^n|p_0 - p| = 0 \to |p_n - p| = 0 \end{aligned}$$

### Theorem 3)

if  $g^{(i)} = 0$  for all i < k: the order of convergence for fixed point is k proof:

$$\begin{split} g(p_n) &= g(p) + (p_n - p)g'(p) + \dots + \frac{(p_n - p)^{k-1}g^{(k-1)}(p)}{(k-1)!} + \frac{(p_n - p)^kg^{(k)}(p)}{k!} + \frac{(p_n - p)^{k+1}g^{(k+1)}(\mu)}{(k+1)!} \\ &= \frac{(p_n - p)^kg^{(k)}(p)}{k!} + \frac{(p_n - p)^{k+1}g^{(k+1)}(\mu)}{(k+1)!} \end{split}$$

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{|p_{n+1} - p|}{|p_n - p|^k} = \frac{|p_n - p|^k}{|p_n - p|^k} \lim_{n \to \infty} \left( \frac{g^{(k)}(p)}{k!} + \frac{(p_n - p)g^{(k+1)}(\mu)}{(k+1)!} \right) = \frac{g^{(k)}(p)}{k!} = \lambda$$

### The Newton-Raphson Method:

$$f(p) = f(p_i) + (p - p_i)f'(p_i) + \dots \to p \approx p_i - \frac{f(p_i)}{f'(p_i)}$$

$$\rightarrow p_n = g(p_{n-1})$$
 where  $g(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}$ 

Convergence Proof: if f(x) is 2 times diffrentiable on [a,b] and there exists  $p \in (a,b)$  such that f(p) = 0 and  $f'(p) \neq 0 \rightarrow$  there exists  $\delta$  such that the Newton - Raphson sequence converges starting from  $p_0 \in [p-\delta,p+\delta]$ 

$$p_n = g(p_{n-1})$$
 where  $g(x) = x - \frac{f(x)}{f'(x)}$ 

Lemma 1: There exists  $\delta_1$  such that  $f'(x) \neq 0$  for every  $x \in [p - \delta_1, p + \delta_1]$  $\rightarrow g(x)$  is defined an continuous on  $[p - \delta_1, p + \delta_1]$ 

$$g'(x) = 1 - \frac{(f'(x))^2 - f(x)f''(x)}{(f'(x))^2} = \frac{f(x)f''(x)}{(f'(x))^2} \to g'(p) = 0$$

Lemma 2: There exists  $\delta$  such that  $|g'(x)| \le k$  for some k < 1 for every  $x \in [p - \delta, p + \delta]$ 

$$\begin{split} |g(x)-p| &= |g(x)-g(p)| = |g'(\mu)||x-p| \leq k|x-p| < |x-p| < \delta \\ &\rightarrow g \text{ maps } [p-\delta,p+\delta] \text{ on to } [p-\delta,p+\delta] \end{split}$$

 $\rightarrow$  It satisfies the Fixed – Point Theorem  $\rightarrow$  Newton – Raphson converges with  $\alpha = 2$  (at least)

## The Secant Method:

Use an approximation for f'(x) in Newton-Raphson:

$$p_n = p_{n-1} - \frac{f(p_{n-1})(p_{n-1} - p_{n-2})}{f(p_{n-1}) - f(p_{n-2})}$$

# **Solving a System of Equations:**

Direct Methods of solving a system of linear equations involve using matrix algebra, which we have previously learnt in Linear Algebra course!

- For minimizing the error caused by row elimination, choose the row with the biggest  $|x_j|$  to eliminate others.

The objective is to solve Ax = b, or better represented:

$$a_{11}x_1 + a_{12}x_2 + \dots + a_{1n}x_n = b_1$$
  

$$a_{21}x_1 + a_{22}x_2 + \dots + a_{2n}x_n = b_2$$
  
...  

$$a_{n1}x_1 + a_{n2}x_2 + \dots + a_{nn}x_n = b_n$$

#### **Iterative Methods:**

The general form is like this:  $x^{(k)} = Tx^{(k-1)} + c \rightarrow \text{converges when } ||T|| < 1$ 

### 1) The Jacobi Method:

$$x_i^{(k)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left( b_i - \sum_{j \neq i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)} \right)$$

$$A = L + D + U$$

$$Dx^{(k)} = b - (L + U)x^{(k-1)}$$

$$\rightarrow x^{(k)} = -D^{-1}(L + U)x^{(k-1)} + D^{-1}b$$

### 2) The Gauss-Seidel Method:

$$x_i^{(k)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left( b_i - \sum_{j < i} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{i < j} a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)} \right)$$

$$A = L + D + U$$

$$(D + L)x^{(k)} = b - Ux^{(k-1)}$$

$$\to x^{(k)} = -(D + L)^{-1}Ux^{(k-1)} + (D + L)^{-1}b$$

#### **Solving Non-Linear System of Equations:**

$$f(x,y) = 0$$
$$g(x,y) = 0$$

let  $(\alpha, \beta)$  be the solution of the system where  $\alpha = x_0 + h_0$  and  $\beta = y_0 + k_0$ 

$$\begin{split} f(\alpha,\beta) &\approx f(x_0,y_0) + h_0 f_x(x_0,y_0) + k_0 f_y(x_0,y_0) = 0 \\ g(\alpha,\beta) &\approx g(x_0,y_0) + h_0 g_x(x_0,y_0) + k_0 g_y(x_0,y_0) = 0 \end{split}$$

This is also known as Newton's Method for solving non-linear systems. The iterative scheme is very similar for the one-variable version of it where f(x) = 0.

### **Data Fitting:**

The main problem is to fit the data  $\{(x_i, y_i)\}_{i=1}^N$  via some function.

### **Polynomial Fitting:**

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & x_1 & \dots & x_1^k \\ 1 & x_2 & \dots & x_2^k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & x_n & \dots & x_n^k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a_0 \\ a_1 \\ \vdots \\ a_k \end{bmatrix} \approx \begin{bmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ \vdots \\ y_n \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\rightarrow \min ||Xa - y|| \rightarrow \frac{d||Xa - y||}{da} = \frac{d(Xa - y)^T(Xa - y)}{da} = 2X^TXa - 2X^Ty = 0 \rightarrow a = (X^TX)^{-1}X^Ty$$

In Polynomial Fitting, the basis functions are as:  $\{x^0, x^1, ..., x^k\}$ The columns of matrix X is the basis functions applied to each data vector.

If the basis functions are to change, then matrix X changes and everything else stays the same!

Another way of solving the equations without using vector calculus is shown below:

# let the basis functions be $\{\phi_0, \phi_1, ..., \phi_k\}$

we want to minimize the error of  $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i \phi_i(x_j) \approx y_j$  by optimizing each  $c_i$ 

objective:

$$\begin{split} & \text{minimize error} = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \Biggl( \sum_{i=0}^{k} c_i \varphi_i \big( x_j \big) - y_j \Biggr)^2 \rightarrow \frac{\partial error}{\partial c_m} = 2 \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi_m \big( x_j \big) \Biggl( \sum_{i=0}^{k} c_i \varphi_i \big( x_j \big) - y_j \Biggr) = 0 \\ & \sum_{j=1}^{N} y_j \varphi_m \big( x_j \big) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \sum_{i=0}^{k} c_i \varphi_i \big( x_j \big) \varphi_m \big( x_j \big) \end{split}$$

let  $\Phi_i$  be the function  $\phi_i$  applied to each data vector.

The equation becomes as below:

$$y^T \Phi_m = \sum_{i=0}^k c_i \, \Phi_i^T \Phi_m$$
 for all m

The equations for all m together gives the following:

$$\begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{\Phi}_1^T \boldsymbol{\Phi}_1 & \boldsymbol{\Phi}_1^T \boldsymbol{\Phi}_2 & ... & \boldsymbol{\Phi}_1^T \boldsymbol{\Phi}_k \\ \boldsymbol{\Phi}_2^T \boldsymbol{\Phi}_1 & \boldsymbol{\Phi}_2^T \boldsymbol{\Phi}_2 & ... & \boldsymbol{\Phi}_2^T \boldsymbol{\Phi}_k \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{\Phi}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Phi}_1 & \boldsymbol{\Phi}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Phi}_2 & ... & \boldsymbol{\Phi}_k^T \boldsymbol{\Phi}_k \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{c} \\ \boldsymbol{c}_1 \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{c}_k \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{\Phi}_1 \\ \boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{\Phi}_2 \\ \vdots \\ \boldsymbol{y}^T \boldsymbol{\Phi}_k \end{bmatrix}$$

If the function vectors are orthogonal, then the matrix becomes diagonal, making solving it much easier. Use Gram-Schmidt to make the basis functions orthogonal.

### **Gram-Schmidt Procedure:**

The objective is to turn basis  $\{g_0,g_1,...,g_k\}$  into orthonormal basis  $\{\varphi_0,\varphi_1,...,\varphi_k\}$ 

- 1)  $\phi_0 = g_0$
- 2) do the following for k steps

$$\varphi_{m} = g_{m} - \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \frac{g_{m}^{T} \varphi_{i}}{\varphi_{i}^{T} \varphi_{i}} \varphi_{i} \ \forall m > 0$$

3) 
$$\phi_i = \frac{\phi_i}{\phi_i^T \phi_i} \ \forall m \ge 0 \text{ to normalize}$$

If the basis functions  $\{\varphi_0,\varphi_1,...,\varphi_k\}$  where orthonormal, then we get  $c_i=y^T\varphi_i$ 

In exponential data-fitting, we can change the data points by taking the natural logarithm to turn it into linear regression, which we already know the answer to!