

Determining if a web page contains (clickable) images that are wrongfully marked as decorative (and vice versa) using a combination of basic logic and computer vision

Sources:

- [Technieken om afbeeldingen een tekstalternatief te geven](#) (AnySurfer)
- [Welk tekstalternatief voor afbeeldingen](#) (AnySurfer)
- [An alt Decision Tree](#) (W3C)

1 - Collect (contextual) information about the images

For a given accessibility tree (that is derived from a web page DOM), we first need to create a list of all elements that have a role of **image**. For each image, we need to collect some information to work with.

(Note that this list is not limited to **** elements.)

a - Visual properties of the image

- Does it contain a (bitmapped) representation of text (OCR)?
 - Yes/no
- Image classification
 - Photo
 - List of keywords
 - Screenshot
 - Illustration

b - Accessible Name

The value of the accessible name is derived from a **visible** (e.g., the visible text on a button) or **invisible** (e.g., the text alternative that describes an icon) property of an element (DOM node) on the page.

This is how it is computed: [W3C Accessible Name and Description Computation 1.2](#).

For example, in `` the Accessible Name of that element is "bar" because **aria-label** takes precedence over **alt**. There are other rules as well. We don't need to recreate these computation rules. Use [Puppeteer](#) or headless Chrome to query the accessibility tree.

c - Is it marked as decorative or "not important to AT" by the author or not? (true/false)

Look for a null (not missing) **alt=""**, **aria-hidden="true"** or **role="presentation"** or retrieve this information from the accessibility tree.

d - Is it interactive (is it clickable or focusable)? (true/false)

Is the image contained in a parent node that is a **link** or a **button** or can it be focused and/or clicked?

Example (clickable image)

```
<a href="..."><img alt="..."></a>
```

(The most reliable way to determine this is by trusting the information that the accessibility tree provides.)

e - Image type

- Bitmap image (JPEG, WEBP, PNG etc.)
- SVG
- Icon font

(if it is a SVG, it is very unlikely that it is decorative.)

f - Image dimensions (width+height in pixels)

(if it is smaller than 64x64 pixels or if it has a 1:1 ratio, it is very likely that it is an icon.)

2 - Assumptions (“principles”)

Our assumptions about decorative images:

1. Images that contain text and/or are identified as a screenshot (a) **can never** be decorative.
2. Images that you can interact with (d) **can never** be decorative (buttons, image maps).
3. Images that are of a certain image type (e) or a certain size (e) **are likely** to be **not decorative**.
4. Images that contain no **identifiable content** (using computer vision) are likely to be decorative.
 - However... images that contain **identifiable content** might be decorative as well.
5. (More to add?)

3 - Decide

With this information, we can start building a simple decision tree.

Questions to ask:

- Is it marked as decorative by the author (c)?
 - If **yes**, determine how likely it is that that is indeed correct
 - Use assumptions
 - If **no** (= it has an Accessible Name that is not null)
 - Use assumptions