

Why another wrapper?

The usual idiom used when writing zmq::poll based code is based on a block of if_conditions, testing for the occurrence of one event or the other:

```
zmq::poll();
if event
    eventHandler(state);
if another_event
    another_eventHandler(state);
```

This might be error prone and hard to maintain in the long run. And this is where the wrapper comes handy: it clearly separates poll functionality from events and state and provides the boiler plate of zmq::poll functionality.

How it works?

The user just writes the event handlers and assembles them together with the other required artifacts (zmq::sockets). There are 4 distinct ways of writing the event handlers and mixing them with state:

1: as free functions (they can share state at global level) - see server.cpp example

```
typedef void (EVT_OP)(zmq::socket_t* s);
void op1 (zmq::socket_t* s)
{ /* ... */ }
void op2 (zmq::socket_t* s)
{ /* ... */ }
reactor<EVT_OP> r;
r.add(s, ZMQ_POLLIN, &op1);
r.add(s1, ZMQ_POLLIN, &op2);
while (1) {
    r();
}
```

2. as free functions sharing common state (state can be at scope level) - see server2cpp example

```
struct State
{ /* ... */ };
typedef void (EVT_OP)(zmq::socket_t* , State* );
void op1 (zmq::socket_t* s, State* state)
{ /* ... */ }
void op2 (zmq::socket_t* s, State* state)
{ /* ... */ }
reactor<EVT_OP> r;
r.add(s, ZMQ_POLLIN, &op1);
r.add(s1, ZMQ_POLLIN, &op2);
State state;
while (1)
```

```

{
r(&state);
}

```

3. polymorphic functors (each might keep its own state) - see server.cpp example

```

struct IReactorEvent
{
virtual void operator()(zmq::socket_t* s) = 0;
virtual ~IReactorEvent(){};
};
struct ReactorEvent1 : IReactorEvent
{
virtual void operator() (zmq::socket_t* s)
{ /* ... */ }
};
struct ReactorEvent2 : IReactorEvent
{
virtual void operator() (zmq::socket_t* s)
{ /* ... */ }
};
reactor<IReactorEvent> r;
ReactorEvent1 e1(state);
r.add(s, ZMQ_POLLIN, &e1);
ReactorEvent2 e2(state);
r.add(s1, ZMQ_POLLIN, &e2);
while (1) {
r();
}

```

4. “duck typing” interface that can hide arbitrary, non-related classes - see server1.cpp example

```

template <class T>
struct ReactorEvent1
{ /* ... */ }
template <class T>
struct ReactorEvent2
{ /* ... */ }
reactor<PollEventInterface> r;
ReactorEvent1<STATE> e1(State0);
PollEventInterface pe1(&e1);
r.add(s, ZMQ_POLLIN, &pe1);
ReactorEvent2<STATE> e2(State1);
PollEventInterface pe2(&e2);
r.add(s1, ZMQ_POLLIN, &pe2)[
while (1)
{
r();
}

```

4. was introduced for scenarios when virtual functions from 3. are:

- small enough to be inlined (but cannot be due to virtualness)

- used in inner, tight loops (and this is mostly the case)

For possible penalties see Technical Report on C++ Performance: <http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg21/docs/TR18015.pdf>

Notes:

A>There are other possible implementation for event handlers: std::function & boost::fusion, not taken into account for this implementation (std::function for performance (http://www.boost.org/doc/libs/1_44_0/doc/html/function/misc.html#id1285008) and boost::fusion for being different enough not to justify the complexity)

B>More complex functionality can be easily obtained by:

B1>reconfiguring State and/or event handlers at run-time (see server1.cpp) :

```
reactor<PollEventInterface> r;
//assuming we have 2 PollEventInterface (pe1, pe2)
//initially connected to 2 EventHandlers (e1, e2)
//and another EventHandler (e3)
//all using a common State, pe1 and pe2 initially triggered by s & s1
while (1)
{
    state.changedTo(SOME_STATE) ? pe2 = &e3 : pe2 = &e2 ;
    r();
}
```

B2>Adding or removing dynamically event handlers (see server3.cpp)

```
reactor<PollEventInterface> r;
ReactorEvent1<BITS> e1(bits);
PollEventInterface pe1(&e1);
ReactorEvent2<BITS> e2(bits);
PollEventInterface pe2(&e2);
r.add(s, ZMQ_POLLIN, &pe1);
while (1)
{
    if (bits.count('a') && bits['a'] )
    {
        bool t = r.add(s1, ZMQ_POLLIN, &pe2);
        printf ("added pe2: %d\n", t);
    }
    if (bits.count('x') && !bits['x'] )
    {
        bits['x'] = true;
        r.remove(s1);
        printf ("removed \n");
    }
    int ret = r();
    printf ("ret: %d\n", ret);
    if (ret == -1)
        break;
}
```

C>The whole wrapper is provided by 2 headers, one being optional (implements the “duck typing” functionality)

D>The code should compile with no problems using:

-VS2010 on Windows

-g++ > 4.3 on Linux (using --std=gnu++0x)

for older compilers “auto” is most probably not supported and has to be replaced with the correct type

E>For more complicated requirements see Matt Weinstein’s Reactor Pattern ([git://github.com/mjw9100/zmq_reactor.git](https://github.com/mjw9100/zmq_reactor.git))