

Regression: In Class Work

Garcia

```
library(tidyverse)

## Warning: package 'tidyverse' was built under R version 4.0.5

## -- Attaching packages ----- tidyverse
1.3.1 --

## v ggplot2 3.3.5      v purrr  0.3.4
## v tibble  3.1.4      v dplyr  1.0.7
## v tidyr   1.1.3      v stringr 1.4.0
## v readr   2.0.1      v forcats 0.5.1

## Warning: package 'ggplot2' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Warning: package 'tibble' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Warning: package 'tidyr' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Warning: package 'readr' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Warning: package 'purrr' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Warning: package 'dplyr' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Warning: package 'stringr' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Warning: package 'forcats' was built under R version 4.0.5

## -- Conflicts -----
tidyverse_conflicts() --
## x dplyr::filter() masks stats::filter()
## x dplyr::lag()     masks stats::lag()

library(tidymodels)

## Warning: package 'tidymodels' was built under R version 4.0.5

## Registered S3 method overwritten by 'tune':
##   method                from
## required_pkgs.model_spec parsnip

## -- Attaching packages ----- tidymodels
0.1.3 --

## v broom          0.7.9      v rsample          0.1.0
## v dials          0.0.10     v tune             0.1.6
## v infer          1.0.0      v workflows        0.2.3
```

```

## v modeldata      0.1.1      v workflowsets 0.1.0
## v parsnip        0.1.7      v yardstick    0.0.8
## v recipes        0.1.17

## Warning: package 'broom' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Warning: package 'dials' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Warning: package 'scales' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Warning: package 'infer' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Warning: package 'modeldata' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Warning: package 'parsnip' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Warning: package 'rsample' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Warning: package 'tune' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Warning: package 'workflows' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Warning: package 'workflowsets' was built under R version 4.0.5
## Warning: package 'yardstick' was built under R version 4.0.5

## -- Conflicts -----
tidymodels_conflicts() --
## x scales::discard() masks purrr::discard()
## x dplyr::filter()   masks stats::filter()
## x recipes::fixed()  masks stringr::fixed()
## x dplyr::lag()       masks stats::lag()
## x yardstick::spec() masks readr::spec()
## x recipes::step()   masks stats::step()
## * Use tidymodels_prefer() to resolve common conflicts.

library(plotly)

## Warning: package 'plotly' was built under R version 4.0.5

##
## Attaching package: 'plotly'

## The following object is masked from 'package:ggplot2':
##
##   last_plot

## The following object is masked from 'package:stats':
##
##   filter

## The following object is masked from 'package:graphics':
##
##   layout

```

```
ad<-read_rds("area_data.Rds")
```

1. Estimate a model that includes the census division (division) of the area as the sole independent variable, and mobility perc_moved_in as the dependent variable. Provide an interpretation of the results. "perc_moved_in~division"

```
set.seed(35202)
```

```
split_data<-ad%>%initial_split(prop=.5)
```

```
ad_train<-training(split_data)
```

```
ad_test<-testing(split_data)
```

```
lm_fit <-  
  linear_reg() %>%  
  set_engine("lm") %>%  
  set_mode("regression")
```

```
move_wf<-workflow()%>%  
  add_model(lm_fit)
```

```
move_formula<-as.formula("perc_moved_in~division")
```

```
move_rec<-recipe(move_formula,data=ad)%>%  
  step_dummy(division)
```

```
move_wf<-move_wf%>%  
  add_recipe(move_rec)
```

```
lm_results<-fit(move_wf,ad_train)
```

```
lm_results%>%  
  tidy()
```

```
## # A tibble: 9 x 5
```

##	term	estimate	std.error	statistic	p.value
##	<chr>	<dbl>	<dbl>	<dbl>	<dbl>
## 1	(Intercept)	1.62	0.188	8.64	9.47e-17
## 2	division_West.North.Central	1.44	0.280	5.16	3.77e- 7
## 3	division_Mid.Atlantic	0.219	0.354	0.620	5.35e- 1
## 4	division_New.England	1.16	0.576	2.02	4.45e- 2
## 5	division_East.South.Central	0.724	0.314	2.31	2.15e- 2
## 6	division_South.Atlantic	1.16	0.272	4.28	2.27e- 5

```
## 7 division_West.South.Central    0.570    0.280    2.04 4.23e- 2
## 8 division_Mountain              2.39     0.320    7.47 4.15e-13
## 9 division_Pacific               1.37     0.366    3.75 2.03e- 4

lm_results%>%
  pull_workflow_fit()%>%
  glance()

## Warning: `pull_workflow_fit()` was deprecated in workflows 0.2.3.
## Please use `extract_fit_parsnip()` instead.

## # A tibble: 1 x 12
##   r.squared adj.r.squared sigma statistic p.value    df loglik   AIC
##   <dbl>      <dbl> <dbl>      <dbl>    <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
## 1    0.142        0.127  1.72      9.41 4.68e-12     8 -904. 1828.
## # ... with 3 more variables: deviance <dbl>, df.residual <int>, nobs <int>

ad_test<-
  predict(lm_results,ad_test)%>%
  rename(pred1=.pred)%>%
  bind_cols(ad_test)

rmse_1<-ad_test%>%rmse(truth=perc_moved_in,estimate=pred1)
rmse_1

## # A tibble: 1 x 3
##   .metric .estimator .estimate
##   <chr>   <chr>      <dbl>
## 1 rmse    standard      1.37
```

2. Add both income (income_75) and commute times (perc_commute_30p) to the above model and describe the coefficients for all three of the variables.

```
move_formula<-as.formula("perc_moved_in~division+income_75+perc_commute_30p")

move_rec<-recipe(move_formula,data=ad)%>%
  step_dummy(division)

move_wf<-move_wf%>%
  update_recipe(move_rec)

lm_results<-fit(move_wf,ad_train)

lm_results%>%
  tidy()
```

```
## # A tibble: 11 x 5
##   term                estimate std.error statistic  p.value
##   <chr>              <dbl>    <dbl>    <dbl>    <dbl>
## 1 (Intercept)        1.58      0.491      3.22 1.38e- 3
## 2 income_75           0.0362    0.0109      3.31 9.92e- 4
## 3 perc_commute_30p   -0.0368    0.00916    -4.01 7.02e- 5
## 4 division_West.North.Central 1.12      0.281      3.99 7.80e- 5
## 5 division_Mid.Atlantic    0.201    0.348      0.577 5.64e- 1
## 6 division_New.England    0.851    0.585      1.46 1.46e- 1
## 7 division_East.South.Central 1.11      0.316      3.52 4.71e- 4
## 8 division_South.Atlantic 1.43      0.271      5.28 2.06e- 7
## 9 division_West.South.Central 0.734    0.275      2.67 7.91e- 3
## 10 division_Mountain    2.29      0.314      7.31 1.26e-12
## 11 division_Pacific     1.30      0.366      3.56 4.16e- 4

lm_results%>%
  pull_workflow_fit()%>%
  glance()

## Warning: `pull_workflow_fit()` was deprecated in workflows 0.2.3.
## Please use `extract_fit_parsnip()` instead.

## # A tibble: 1 x 12
##   r.squared adj.r.squared sigma statistic  p.value    df logLik   AIC
##   <dbl>      <dbl> <dbl>    <dbl>    <dbl> <dbl> <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 0.188      0.170 1.68     10.4 5.98e-16    10 -891. 1807.
## # ... with 3 more variables: deviance <dbl>, df.residual <int>, nobs <int>

ad_test<-
  predict(lm_results,ad_test)%>%
  #PLEASE NOTE: new models being fit need new pred# names, so your first is
  #pred1, the second is pred2, the third is pred3, etc.
  rename(pred2=.pred)%>%
  bind_cols(ad_test)

rmse_2<-ad_test%>%rmse(truth=perc_moved_in,estimate=pred2)
rmse_2

## # A tibble: 1 x 3
##   .metric .estimator .estimate
##   <chr>    <chr>      <dbl>
## 1 rmse     standard      1.30
```

3. Which of the two models above fit the data better? How do you know?

#This is a way of comparing the models in the same table.

```
rmse_comp<-rbind(rmse_1,rmse_2)
rmse_comp
```

```
## # A tibble: 2 x 3
##   .metric .estimator .estimate
##   <chr>   <chr>       <dbl>
## 1 rmse    standard       1.37
## 2 rmse    standard       1.30
```