Graph Learning
2. PageRank

Thomas Bonald
Institut Polytechnique de Paris

$2024 - 2025$

These lecture notes introduce PageRank, originally proposed for the Web [1, 2], and related metrics to rank the nodes of a graph in terms of frequency of visits by a random walk.

1 Notation

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a directed graph of n nodes and m edges, with adjacency matrix A. Let $d^+ = A1$ and $d^- = A^T 1$ be the vectors of out-degrees and in-degrees. We say that node i is a sink if $d_i^+ = 0$. Unless otherwise specified, we assume that the graph G has no sink, i.e., the vector d^+ is positive.

2 Random walk

Consider a random walk in the graph G with a probability of moving from node i to node j equal to A_{ij}/d_i^+. Let $X_0, X_1, X_2, \ldots$ be the sequence of nodes visited by the random walk. This defines a Markov chain on $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ with transition matrix $P = D^{-1}A$, where $D = \text{diag}(d^+)$. Let π_t be the distribution of X_t, expressed as a row vector. We have for all $t \geq 1$:

$$\pi_t = \pi_{t-1}P, \tag{1}$$

so that $\pi_t = \pi_0 P^t$, where π_0 is the initial distribution. If the graph is strongly connected and aperiodic (that is, the largest common divisor of the cycle lengths is equal to 1), the following limit exists and is unique:

$$\pi = \lim_{t \to +\infty} \pi_t. \tag{2}$$

This is the stationary distribution of the random walk, which is the unique solution to the balance equations:

$$\pi = \pi P. \tag{3}$$

In particular, π is the unique left eigenvector of P for the eigenvalue 1 such that $\pi 1 = 1$ (observe that $P1 = 1$, that is, 1 is the corresponding right eigenvector). The vector π gives the frequency of visits of the random walk to each node, and as such provides a natural ranking of the nodes. It is independent of the initial distribution π_0. The exact computation of π is computationally expensive for large graphs. In view of (1)–(2), an approximation of π follows from successive matrix-vector multiplications, starting from any initial distribution.

Remark 1 *It can be shown that the sequence π_t converges to π at an exponential rate equal to the modulus of the second largest eigenvalue of P.*

Remark 2 *If the graph is not strongly connected, the limit (2) exists but depends on the initial distribution.*

Undirected graphs. If the graph is undirected and connected, it can be easily verified that $\pi \propto d$, with $d = d^+ = d^-$: the frequency of visits to a node is proportional to its degree. In particular, there is no need to "solve" (3) in this case, as the solution is explicit.

> **Random walk**
>
> In a connected, undirected graph, a random walk visits each node with a frequency proportional to its degree.

Weighted graphs. If the graph is weighted, each edge is assigned a positive weight corresponding to its strength. The results apply in the same manner, with a probability of moving from node i to node j proportional to the weight of edge $i \to j$. If the graph is undirected and connected, the frequency of visits to a node is proportional to its weight (sum of weights of incident edges).

3   PageRank

If the graph G has sinks, the random walk is no longer defined. A natural approach consists in letting the random walk jump to any node chosen uniformly at random in V (in particular, the random walk stays in the same node with probability $1/n$). With these forced restarts, the transition matrix becomes:

$$P_{ij} = \begin{cases} \frac{A_{ij}}{d_i^+} & \text{if } d_i^+ > 0, \\ \frac{1}{n} & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \tag{4}$$

Another issue is related to the fact that the graph might be not strongly connected, giving to some nodes an unexpected high rank (e.g., absorbing set of nodes). The solution adopted by PageRank is to let the random walk restart with some fixed probability. Specifically, for some parameter $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the random walk continues along the edges of the graph with probability α and restarts from some node chosen uniformly at random in V with probability $1 - \alpha$. The corresponding transition matrix becomes:

$$P^{(\alpha)} = \alpha P + (1 - \alpha) \frac{\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^T}{n},$$

with P given by (4). The corresponding stationary distribution $\pi^{(\alpha)}$, called the PageRank vector, satisfies:

$$\pi^{(\alpha)} = \alpha \pi^{(\alpha)} P + (1 - \alpha) \frac{\mathbf{1}^T}{n}. \tag{5}$$

It can be computed either by inverting the matrix $I - \alpha P$ or through iterations, starting from some arbitrary distribution (e.g., uniform).

The parameter α is known as the damping factor. Observe that, in the absence of sinks, the path length of the random walk until restart has a geometric distribution with parameter $1 - \alpha$. In particular, the average path length is:

$$\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha}.$$

> **Random walk with restarts**
>
> In PageRank, the random walk restarts:
>
> - with probability 1 from a sink
>
> - with probability $1 - \alpha$ from any other node.
>
> The parameter α is called the damping factor. Its default value is $\alpha = 0.85$.

Remark 3 *For the default value $\alpha = 0.85$, the average path length is equal to 5.7, which is typical of the distance between two nodes of real graphs (cf. the six degrees of separation).*

PageRank

Input:
P, transition matrix of the random walk
α, damping factor
K, number of iterations

Do:
$\pi \leftarrow \frac{1}{n}(1, \ldots, 1)$
For $t = 1, \ldots, K$, $\pi \leftarrow \alpha \pi P + (1 - \alpha)\frac{1}{n}(1, \ldots, 1)$

Output:
π, PageRank vector

The following result shows that the PageRank vector is the smoothing average of the distributions $\pi(t)$ of the pure random walk (without damping, $\alpha = 1$) at times $t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$, with $\pi(0)$ the uniform distribution.

Proposition 1 *We have:*

$$\pi^{(\alpha)} = (1 - \alpha) \sum_{t=0}^{+\infty} \alpha^t \pi(t). \tag{6}$$

Proof. It is sufficient to check that the row vector $\pi^{(\alpha)}$ defined by (6) satisfies (5):

$$\alpha \pi^{(\alpha)} P + (1 - \alpha)\frac{1^T}{n} = \alpha(1 - \alpha) \sum_{t=0}^{+\infty} \alpha^t \pi(t) P + (1 - \alpha)\pi(0),$$

$$= (1 - \alpha) \sum_{t=0}^{+\infty} \alpha^{t+1} \pi(t + 1) + (1 - \alpha)\pi(0) = \pi^{(\alpha)}.$$

$\square$

Observe that $\pi^{(\alpha)} = \pi(0) + \alpha(\pi(1) - \pi(0)) + o(\alpha)$. Since $\pi(0)$ is the uniform distribution, the ranking is that induced by the sampling of a random neighbor when $\alpha \to 0$. When $\alpha \to 1$, the ranking is that induced by the limit of $\pi(t)$ when $t \to +\infty$ (proportional to the degrees if the graph is undirected and connected).

The PageRank is the smoothing average of the distribution of the random walk at time $t = 0, 1, 2, \ldots$.

The approximation provided by the first K jumps of the random walk (as in the algorithm described above) amounts to truncating the sum (6), namely to approximating $\pi^{(\alpha)}$ by

$$(1 - \alpha) \sum_{t=0}^{K-1} \alpha^t \pi(t) + \alpha^K \pi(K).$$

3

4 Personalized PageRank

While PageRank provides a global ranking of the nodes, it is interesting in practice to get a local ranking, relative to some target node(s). This is the objective of Personalized PageRank, used by Web search engines to display the most relevant pages relative to some request.

Let $s \in V$ be some target node. The idea of Personalized PageRank is to rank nodes relative to their frequency of visits for a random walk (re)starting from that node. Specifically, the transition matrix of the random walk is such that:

$$\forall j \neq s, \quad P_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = \begin{cases} \alpha \frac{A_{ij}}{d_i^+} & \text{if } d_i^+ > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that the random walk moves to node s with probability 1 from any sink, and with probability $1 - \alpha$ from other nodes. The corresponding stationary distribution $\pi^{(\alpha)}$ is called the Personalized PageRank vector. The expression (6) remains valid, with $\pi(0) = 1_s^T$ (unit row vector on s) and $\pi(t)$ the distribution after t jumps from s (with restart to s from any sink). The parameter α controls the "locality" of the ranking, the successors of s being favored when $\alpha \to 0$.

The Personalized PageRank can be generalized to some set $S \subset V$ of target nodes, with relative weights captured by some distribution μ on S. The transition matrix of the random walk becomes:

$$\forall j \notin S, \quad P_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = \begin{cases} \alpha \frac{A_{ij}}{d_i^+} & \text{if } d_i^+ > 0, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad \text{and} \quad \forall j \in S, \quad P_{ij}^{(\alpha)} = \begin{cases} \alpha \frac{A_{ij}}{d_i^+} + (1 - \alpha)\mu_j & \text{if } d_i^+ > 0, \\ \mu_j & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The Personalized PageRank can also be computed by fixed-point iterations. Below is the pseudo-code in the particular case where the distribution μ is uniform on S.

Personalized PageRank

Input:
P, transition matrix of the random walk
S, set of target nodes
α, damping factor
K, number of iterations

Do:
$\mu \leftarrow 1_S^T/|S|$
$\pi \leftarrow \mu$
For $t = 1, \ldots, K$, $\pi \leftarrow \alpha \pi P + (1 - \alpha)\mu$

Output:
π, Personalized PageRank vector

It turns out that, in the absence of sinks, the Personalized PageRank vector associated with some distribution μ on S follows from the Personalized PageRank vectors associated with the nodes $s \in S$ taken individually. This is interesting from a mathematical point of view only; for computations, it is preferable to use the algorithm above, whose complexity is in $O(Km)$ independently of the cardinality of the set S.

Proposition 2 *In the absence of sinks, we have:*

$$\pi^{(\alpha)} = \sum_{s \in S} \mu_s \pi_s^{(\alpha)}, \tag{7}$$

where $\pi_s^{(\alpha)}$ is the Personalized PageRank vector associated with node s.

Proof. Observing that

$$P^{(\alpha)} = \alpha P + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{s \in S} \mu_s 11_s^T,$$

with $P = D^{-1}A$, we get

$$\pi^{(\alpha)}(\alpha P + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{s \in S} \mu_s 11_s^T) = \alpha \pi^{(\alpha)} P + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{s \in S} \mu_s 1_s^T,$$
$$= \alpha \sum_{s \in S} \mu_s \pi_s^{(\alpha)} P + (1 - \alpha) \sum_{s \in S} \mu_s 1_s^T,$$
$$= \sum_{s \in S} \mu_s (\alpha \pi_s^{(\alpha)} P + (1 - \alpha) 1_s^T),$$
$$= \sum_{s \in S} \mu_s \pi_s^{(\alpha)}.$$

$\square$

Observe that this result is no longer valid in the presence of sinks, due to the restart mechanism.

5 Case of bipartite graphs

The application of PageRank to bipartite graphs requires some care. Consider the case of a bipartite graph $G = (V_1, V_2, E)$ with $n = n_1 + n_2$ nodes, $n_1 = |V_1|$ and $n_2 = |V_2|$. The adjacency matrix A of this graph can be written:

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & B \\ B^T & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \tag{8}$$

where B is the biadjacency matrix, of dimension $n_1 \times n_2$, specifying the edges between V_1 and V_2. Let $d_1 = B1$ and $d_2 = B^T 1$ be the vectors of degrees of nodes in V_1 and V_2, respectively, which we assume positive. We denote by $D_1 = \mathrm{diag}(d_1)$ and $D_2 = \mathrm{diag}(d_2)$ the corresponding diagonal matrices. The vector of node degrees is:

$$d = A1 = \begin{bmatrix} d_1 \\ d_2 \end{bmatrix},$$

and the corresponding diagonal matrix is:

$$D = \mathrm{diag}(d) = \begin{bmatrix} D_1 & 0 \\ 0 & D_2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

The random walk in this graph defines a Markov chain of period 2: starting from a node in V_1, the state of the Markov chain will be in V_1 in even times and in V_2 in odd times. The transition matrix is:

$$P = D^{-1}A = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & P_1 \\ P_2 & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

where $P_1 = D_1^{-1}B$ is the transition matrix from V_1 to V_2 and $P_2 = D_2^{-1}B^T$ is the transition matrix from V_2 to V_1. In particular,

$$P^2 = \begin{bmatrix} P_1 P_2 & 0 \\ 0 & P_2 P_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Starting from any set of nodes in V_1, the distribution of the Markov chain on even times has a limit π_1 that satisfies the balance equations:

$$\pi_1 = \pi_1 P_1 P_2. \tag{9}$$

Similar, starting from any set of nodes in V_2, the stationary distribution on even times has a limit π_2 that satisfies the balance equations:

$$\pi_2 = \pi_2 P_2 P_1. \tag{10}$$

This is also the stationary distribution on *odd* times starting from any set of nodes in V_1.

Proposition 3 *If the graph is connected, the stationary distributions π_1 and π_2 are proportional to d_1 and d_2, respectively.*

Proof. We have:

$$d_1^T P_1 P_2 = 1^T B P_2 = d_2^T P_2 = 1^T B^T = d_1^T,$$

which shows that $\pi_1 \propto d_1^T$. The proof is similar for π_2. $\qquad\square$

In bipartite graphs, it is natural to restart the randow walk either from V_1 or from V_2. We here present the results when the restart distribution is uniform over V_1 (the results are similar for a specific distribution over V_1). We denote by $\pi^{(\alpha)} = (\pi_1^{(\alpha)}, \pi_2^{(\alpha)})$ the corresponding global PageRank vector, with $\pi_1^{(\alpha)}$ and $\pi_2^{(\alpha)}$ the PageRank vectors of nodes V_1 and V_2, respectively. We have the analogue of Proposition 1, with $\pi(t) = (\pi_1(t), \pi_2(t))$ the distribution of the random walk after t steps of the pure random walk starting from the uniform distribution over V_1.

Proposition 4 *We have:*

$$\pi_1^{(\alpha)} = (1 - \alpha) \sum_{t \in 2\mathbb{N}} \alpha^t \pi_1(t) \quad and \quad \pi_2^{(\alpha)} = (1 - \alpha) \sum_{t \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \alpha^t \pi_2(t). \tag{11}$$

Observe that when $\alpha \to 0$, the ranking of nodes in V_2 is that induced by the sampling of a random neighbor of V_1, namely $\pi_2(1)$, while the ranking of nodes in V_2 is that induced by the sampling of a random neighbor of a random neighbor of V_1, namely $\pi_1(2)$ (because $\pi_1(0)$ is uniform). When $\alpha \to 1$, the rankings are proportional to the degrees, respectively the vectors d_1 and d_2, whenever the graph is connected.

Note that it does not make sense to compare the PageRank vectors of nodes in V_1 and V_2. Using the fact that $1^T \pi_1(t) = 1$ for all $t \in 2\mathbb{N}$ while $1^T \pi_2(t) = 1$ for all $t \in 2\mathbb{N} + 1$, we get from Proposition 4:

$$1^T \pi_1^{(\alpha)} = (1 - \alpha) \sum_{t \in 2\mathbb{N}} \alpha^t = \frac{1}{\alpha + 1} \quad and \quad 1^T \pi_2^{(\alpha)} = (1 - \alpha) \sum_{t \in 2\mathbb{N}+1} \alpha^t = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha + 1}.$$

In particular, the ratio of the total score of V_1 to the total score of V_2 is constant and equal to $1/\alpha$. This is valid for any restart distribution over V_1. So the PageRank vectors can be used to rank nodes within each set V_1 and V_2 but not between nodes in V_1 and V_2.

Co-neighbor graph. In view of (9) and Proposition 4, the PageRank vector $\pi_1^{(\alpha)}$ is that of the co-neighbor graph $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ of adjacency matrix:

$$A_1 = B D_2^{-1} B^T,$$

with damping factor α^2. In practice, the adjacency matrix A of the bipartite graph is sparse but the adjacency matrix A_1 of the co-neighbor graph may be dense, due to the small-world property (note that a node of degree k in V_2 generates a clique of k nodes in the co-neighbor graph G_1). Thus it is generally more efficient to compute the PageRank from the adjacency matrix A.

Forward-backward random walk. Directed graphs can be viewed as bipartite graphs. The corresponding PageRank vector is that of a different random walk, as explained below. Consider a directed graph $G = (V, E)$ of n nodes with adjacency matrix A. This can be viewed as a bipartite graph of $2n$ nodes with biadjacency matrix A, each node being duplicated (one as a source of edges, the other as a destination of edges). The PageRank vector in this bipartite graph corresponds to the distribution of a *forward-backward* random walk in the directed graph, where edges are followed in forward and backward directions alternately. The idea is similar to that used in the algorithms HITS [3] and SALSA [4].

6

References

[1] Sergey Brin, Rajeev Motwani, Lawrence Page, and Terry Winograd. What can you do with a web in your pocket? *IEEE Data Eng. Bull.*, 21(2):37–47, 1998.

[2] Sergey Brin and Lawrence Page. The anatomy of a large-scale hypertextual web search engine. *Computer networks and ISDN systems*, 30(1-7):107–117, 1998.

[3] Jon M Kleinberg. Authoritative sources in a hyperlinked environment. *Journal of the ACM (JACM)*, 46(5):604–632, 1999.

[4] Ronny Lempel and Shlomo Moran. SALSA: the stochastic approach for link-structure analysis. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)*, 19(2):131–160, 2001.