	Outstanding	Good	Acceptable	Needs work	Inadequate
	5	4	3	2	1
Curiosity	Intense exploration and evidence of many trials and failures. You have looked at the data in many different ways before coming to your final answer. You have gone beyond what was asked: additional research from other sources used to help understand/explain findings. Your explanation and presentation is creative.	Plenty of exploration and investigation. Some additional research helps explain findings, and some of your ideas are creatively presented and explained.	Some exploration, but little evidence that you have selected the best of many ideas. Little or no additional research.	You have done the bare minimum that was asked. There is no evidence to suggest that you tried multiple approaches (tables, graphics, or models) before coming to your final conclusion.	Questions are simple, and there is no evidence of exploration. You have not come up with your own questions of the data, but relied on those we discussed in class
Skepticism	You suggest multiple explanations for a given finding, and use multiple tools to explore surprising results. You present one or two as the most plausible, but have allowed for the possibility that you are wrong. You are self-critical: What did I do well? What did I do poorly? What have I missed? How could I do better next time? You identify flaws in methodology and provide suggestions as to how they could be remedied.	You are skeptical and self-critical, but not consistently. There is some critical analysis, and some use of multiple techniques to answer the same question.	You haven't blinded accepted findings, but you haven't come up with many ways to check your results either. There is little self-criticism and little evidence to suggest you have thought about how to do better in the future.	Some findings accepted without question. Self-criticism is weak.	Findings accepted uncritically. Leaps of logic without justification. You have not thought about how to do better next time.
Organization	Findings very well organised. Clear headings demarcate separate sections. Excellent flow from one section to the next. The paper is easy to scan. An abstract or summary at the start of the paper briefly summarises your approach and findings. Conclusions at the end present further questions and ways to investigate more. Tables and graphics carefully tuned and placed for desired purpose.	Findings well organized and sections clearly separated, but flow is lacking. Each section has a clear purpose. Tables and graphics clear and well chosen	Generally well organized, but some sections muddled. Tables or graphics appropriate, but some are poorly presented - too many decimal places, poorly chosen aspect ratio etc.	Sections unclear and no attempt to flow from one topic to the next. Graphics and tables poorly chosen to support questions. Some have fundamental flaws.	It is hard to read your paper. There are no headings, figures are far away from where they are referenced in the text. There is no summary or conclusion.