Manuscript evaluation form

Abstract number: #69

First author's name: John Doe

Reviewer: reviewer's name



1 Paper title

Here a very long paper title that you will copy and paste from the abstract you are evaluating.

page 1/2

General information

Number of pages
Number of references

pages # references

2 Evaluation criteria

To each item bellow a grade from 0 to 5 is given, where 0 is the lowest score and 5, the highest.

1.) Does the article fit into the topic of the TC1-TC2 symposium? # grade

2.) Does the paper have a practical, innovative or didactic value? # grade

3.) Is the statement of the problem clear?

4.) Does the abstract match what is presented on the article? # grade

5.) Is the methodology adequate/correct/sound? # grade

6.) Is the conclusion of the article pertinent to what is presented? # grade

7.) Are the references cited correctly? # grade

8.) Are language and register adequate? # grade

9.) Does the article follow the template for the conference? # grade

3 Paper evaluation

From the following categories:

- A) Accept unconditionally;
- B) Accept with minor revision without further review;
- C) Accept with major revision and further review;
- D) Reject.

To be presented as:

- A) Oral presentation;
- B) Poster presentation.

Manuscript evaluation form

Abstract number: #69

First author's name: John Doe



Reviewer: reviewer's name		TC1 – TC2 Jena 2019
4	General comments and suggestions	
5	Reviewer's conflicts of interest	
Statement		
Her	etement reby I state that submitted review is done objectively and indiffere ed on professional, scientific and ethical standards.	The reviewer.

page 2/2