



Collaborizer Report

Collaborizer: the sizer of the agile collaboration


Score Achieved




Variation of Agreement on Each Criterion




Correlation Between Criteria in Cooperation



Correlation Between Criteria in Coordination



Correlation Between Criteria in Communication



Warnings and Matchings for Cooperation

Warnings

Matchings

The participants worked towards a common goal.
Significant results were achieved.
Additional solutions were achieved.
Participants were able to work in distributed environments.
The shared virtual space was used successfully.
Activities were well planned and organized.
The activities were shared efficiently.
The available resources were well used throughout the project.
Decisions were made with a focus on the objectives.
The participants demonstrated motivation and engagement in the tasks.

Warnings and Matchings for Coordination

Warnings

Matchings

There could have been supporting to improve the self-organization of the participants.

The complementary instructions were insufficient.

The available tools were not efficient.

The tasks were well monitored.

Problems that occurred were solved efficiently.

The tasks were clear and well-defined.

After planning the activities, occasional adjustments were successfully made.

The methodology used was adequate.

The participants were engaged and productive.

The manager was efficient in resolving impediments.

The experience of the participants was fundamental to the project.

The participants executed the unexpected situations with ability.

Warnings and Matchings for Communication

Warnings

Matchings

| | |
|---|---|
| Knowledge sharing needs to be improved. | <div>The interpretation of the messages was efficient.</div> <div>The participants prioritized the actions of the project.</div> <div>The negotiations of the activities among the participants were assertive.</div> <div>The exchange of information between the participants was efficient.</div> <div>The language used was appropriate and understandable.</div> <div>The participants had a common understanding of the project.</div> <div>Synchronous communications met the needs efficiently.</div> <div>The information provided was precise and accessible.</div> |
|---|---|