Exercise 7 - chapter 4. Book: "Introdução a Medida e Integração"

Rafael Polli Carneiro

August 2021

Definition 0.1. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measurable space. Then, we say that any measurable set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is an atom if

$$\forall B \in \mathcal{A} (B \subseteq A \implies \mu(B) = 0 \text{ or } \mu(B) = \mu(A).)$$

Thus we say that a masure μ is non-atomic if it doesn't admit an atomic measurable space as element of its σ -algebra. In other words, μ is non-atomic if

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{A}, \exists B \in \mathcal{A} \setminus \{\emptyset\} (B \subseteq A \text{ and } 0 < \mu(B) < \mu(A))$$

Now that we know the meaning of a measure being non-atomic we will proove the following

Proposition 0.1. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ be a measurable space and μ a non-atomic measure. Then for any measurable set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$\mu(A) < \infty$$

we have quaranteed that for any real number $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$0 < \alpha < \mu(A)$$

there is BinA where the equality

$$\mu(B) = \alpha$$

holds.

Proof. The proof for this proposition is quite trick. I will make use of the Zorn's Lemma to proceed with the proof (check the section below ??).

1 Zorn's Lemma

We say that a non empty set X is endowed with a partial order \leq if

(i) \leq is *reflexive*, which means

$$\forall x \in X (x \le x)$$

(ii) \leq is anti-simmetric:

$$\forall x, y \in X (x \le y, y \le x \implies x = y)$$

(iii) \leq is transitive:

$$\forall x, y, z \in X (x \le y, y \le z \implies x \le z).$$

Every set X in posse of a partial order is called as a partially ordered set. Before we address the Zorn's Lemma we must introduction some notions. Consider X a partially ordered set and $Y \subseteq X$ a subset. We say that

- 1. $x_0 \in X$ is an upper bound of Y if $\forall y \in Y, y \leq x_0$;
- 2. $x_0 \in X$ is a maximal element of X if $\forall x \in X, x \leq x_0$;
- 3. Y is a chain if $\forall x, y \in Y, x \leq y$ or $y \leq x$.

Now we are able of stating the Zorn's Lemma, which is a proposition equivalent to the axiom of choice

Proposition 1.1. Let X, \leq be a partially ordered set. If any chain $Y \subseteq X$ admits an upper bound on X, that is

 $\forall Y \subseteq X, \forall y \in Y, \exists y_0 \in X (Y \text{ non empty and it is a chain } \Longrightarrow y \leq y_0),$

then X does have a maximal element.

2 Inducing a partial order into a measurable space

As usual, consider $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mu)$ a measurable space. $(\Omega \text{ non empty})$. We say that two measurable sets $X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$ are almost equal if

$$\mu(X\setminus Y\cup Y\setminus X)=0.$$

From this definition we state the following relation

$$\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{A}X \sim Y \iff \mu(X \setminus Y \cup Y \setminus X) = 0.$$

Notice that

- (i) $\forall X \in \mathcal{A} \implies X \sim X$;
- (ii) $\forall X, Y \in \mathcal{A}$, clearly $X \sim Y$ and $Y \sim X$;
- (iii) $\forall X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{A}$ such that

$$X \sim Y$$
 and $Y \sim Z$

then

$$\begin{split} \mu(Z \setminus X \cup X \setminus Z) &= \mu([(Z \setminus X) \cup (X \setminus Z)] \cap (Y \cup Y^c)) \\ &= \mu([(Z \setminus X) \cap (Y \cup Y^c)] \cup [(X \setminus Z) \cap (Y \cup Y^c)]) \\ &= \mu([(Z \cap X^c \cap Y) \cup (Z \cap X^c \cap Y^c)] \cup [(X \cap Z^c \cap Y) \cup (X \cap Z^c \cap Y^c)]) \\ &\leq \mu(Z \cap X^c \cap Y) + \mu(Z \cap X^c \cap Y^c) + \mu(X \cap Z^c \cap Y) + \mu(X \cap Z^c \cap Y^c) \\ &\leq \mu(X^c \cap Y) + \mu(Z \cap Y^c) + \mu(Z^c \cap Y) + \mu(X \cap Y^c) \\ &= 0. \end{split}$$

consequently, we conclude that \sim is an equivalence relation and therefore we can reduce \mathcal{A} into its equivalence classes

$$\mathcal{A}/\sim = \{[A]; \ \forall A \in \mathcal{A}\}$$

where

$$\forall A \in \mathcal{A}, [A] = \{ B \in \mathcal{A}; \ B \sim A \}.$$

Now we need set operators into the set \mathcal{A}/\sim . Define, for all $[A],[B]\in\mathcal{A}/\sim$:

$$[A] \sqcup [B] = [A \cup B], \quad [A] \sqcap [B] = [A \cap B]$$

and

$$[A] \setminus [B] = [A \setminus B]$$

Before we carry on, notice that:

- \mathcal{A}/\sim is still a σ -algebra;
- and μ can be extended to such σ -algebra.

2.1 A/\sim is still a σ -algebra

Whenever operating with equivalence relations, one must show that the operations extended from its base are well definied.

We start by the union. Let A_0, A_1, B_0, B_1 be measurable sets satisfying

$$A_0 \sim A_1$$
 and $B_0 \sim B_1$.

Let's check what is the value of

$$[A_1] \sqcup [B_1] = [A_1 \cup B_1].$$

We just need to inspect that

$$A_1 \cup B_1 \sim A_0 \cup B_0$$
.

Let's do it

$$\mu\Big(((A_1 \cup B_1) \setminus (A_0 \cup B_0)) \cup ((A_0 \cup B_0) \setminus (A_1 \cup B_1))\Big)$$

$$= \mu(((A_1 \cup B_1) \cap (A_0^c \cap B_0^c)) \cup ((A_0 \cup B_0) \cap (A_1^c \cap B_1^c))$$

$$= \mu(((A_1 \cap A_0^c \cap B_0^c) \cup (B_1 \cap A_0^c \cap B_0^c)) \cup ((A_0 \cap A_1^c \cap B_1^c) \cup (B_0 \cap A_1^c \cap B_1^c))$$

$$\leq \mu(A_1 \cap A_0^c) \mu(B_1 \cap B_0^c) + \mu(A_0 \cap A_1^c) \mu(B_0 \cap B_1^c)$$

$$= 0.$$

As a consequence

$$A_1 \cup B_1 \sim A_0 \cup B_0 \implies [A_1 \cup B_1] = [A_0 \cup B_0]$$

and we conclude that the unio is well defined.

For the operator \sqcap we will have the same arguments above and hence I won't do it. It only rest to check the complementary operation.

To show that taking the complementar is still a well defined operation we nee to show that

$$[A_1] \setminus [B_1] = [A_1 \setminus B_1]$$

is equal to the same above but changing the indexes to 0. Again, we need to show that $A_1 \setminus B_1 \sim A_0 \setminus B_0$. This is done below

$$\mu((A_1 \setminus B_1) \setminus (A_0 \setminus B_0) \cup (A_0 \setminus B_0) \setminus (A_1 \setminus B_1))$$

$$= \mu(((A_1 \cap B_1^c) \cap (A_0 \cap B_0^c)^c) \cup ((A_0 \cap B_0^c) \cap (A_1 \cap B_1^c)^c))$$

$$= \mu(((A_1 \cap B_1^c) \cap (A_0^c \cap B_0)) \cup ((A_0 \cap B_0^c) \cap (A_1^c \cap B_1)))$$

$$\leq 0.$$

As a result we have shown that the operations ove classes are well defined. Now we need to prove that \mathcal{A}/\sim