
Value issues, the precautionary principle, and equity in relation to radiological protection

Sven Ove Hansson, KTH

Separating values and facts - is it possible?



Trying to separate facts and values

Cannot be done perfectly

Is still worth doing as far as possible


Requires awareness of scientific uncertainty

Rationality

Theoretical rationality (what to believe)

Practical rationality (what to do)

The scientific knowledge production process



Using the "bypass route" from evidence to policy

- 1. The same type of evidence
- 2. The same assessment of actual strength of evidence
- 3. Differences in the required level of evidence

The precautionary principle

The vulcano example

The Iraq example

Not special to radiation protection or to the discourse on human health and the environment

Three basic thought patterns in ethics

QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompresso are needed to see this picture. QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are næded to see this pidure.

Utilitarianism

Deontology

Virtue ethics

Three basic thought patterns in ethics

QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are needed to see this picture.

QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompresso are needed to see this picture. QuickTime™ and a TIFF (Uncompressed) decompressor are næded to see this pidure.

Utilitarianism

Deontology

Virtue ethics

Optimization

Dose limits

Justification

Can a disadvantage for one person be outweighed by a (larger) advantage for another person?

Moral philosophy — No! (deontology)

Yes! (utilitarianism)

Sometimes ("everyday" ethics)

Economics —

Yes! (old welfare economics)

— No! (new welfare economics)

Risk analysis — Yes!

Medical ethics———— No!

Radiological protection — *Partly* (?)

Combining equity with optimization

Egalitarianism (against "relative poverty")

Prioritarianism (against "absolute poverty")

A simple mathematical model

$$<$$
X₁,X₂,X₃,X₄,X₅>

Collective dose: $x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4+x_5$

Dose limit: $max(x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4,x_5)$

Egalitarianism: $x_1+x_2+x_3+x_4+x_5+m(< x_1,x_2,x_3,x_4,x_5>)$

Prioritarianism: $f(x_1)+f(x_2)+f(x_3)+f(x_4)+f(x_5)$

Ethics and the future

Discounting spelt out:

