### Formal Semantics of HML with Variables

- bisimulation as a fixed point
- Hennessy-Milner logic with recursively defined variables
- game characterization of the semantics
- some temporal properties of reactive systems

# Definition of Strong Bisimulation

Let  $(Proc, Act, \{\stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} | a \in Act\})$  be an LTS.

#### Strong Bisimulation

A binary relation  $R \subseteq Proc \times Proc$  is a strong bisimulation iff whenever  $(s, t) \in R$  then for each  $a \in Act$ :

- if  $s \xrightarrow{a} s'$  then  $t \xrightarrow{a} t'$  for some t' such that  $(s', t') \in R$
- if  $t \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} t'$  then  $s \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} s'$  for some s' such that  $(s', t') \in R$ .

Two processes  $p, q \in Proc$  are strongly bisimilar  $(p \sim q)$  iff there exists a strong bisimulation R such that  $(p, q) \in R$ .

$$\sim = \{ | \{R \mid R \text{ is a strong bisimulation} \} \}$$

# Strong Bisimulation as a Greatest Fixed Point

### Function $\mathcal{F}: 2^{(Proc \times Proc)} \rightarrow 2^{(Proc \times Proc)}$

Let  $S \subseteq Proc \times Proc$ . Then we define  $\mathcal{F}(S)$  as follows:

 $(s,t) \in \mathcal{F}(S)$  if and only if for each  $a \in Act$ :

- if  $s \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} s'$  then  $t \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} t'$  for some t' such that  $(s', t') \in S$
- if  $t \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} t'$  then  $s \stackrel{a}{\longrightarrow} s'$  for some s' such that  $(s', t') \in S$ .

#### Observations

- $(2^{(Proc \times Proc)}, \subseteq)$  is a complete lattice and  $\mathcal F$  is monotonic
- S is a strong bisimulation if and only if  $S \subseteq \mathcal{F}(S)$

### Strong Bisimilarity is the Greatest Fixed Point of ${\mathcal F}$

$$\sim = \bigcup \{ S \in 2^{(Proc \times Proc)} \mid S \subseteq \mathcal{F}(S) \}$$

# HML with One Recursively Defined Variable

#### Syntax of Formulae

Formulae are given by the following abstract syntax

$$F ::= X \mid tt \mid ff \mid F_1 \wedge F_2 \mid F_1 \vee F_2 \mid \langle a \rangle F \mid [a]F$$

where  $a \in Act$  and X is a distinguished variable with a definition

• 
$$X \stackrel{\min}{=} F_X$$
, or  $X \stackrel{\max}{=} F_X$ 

such that  $F_X$  is a formula of the logic (can contain X).

#### How to Define Semantics?

For every formula F we define a function  $O_F: 2^{Proc} \rightarrow 2^{Proc}$  s.t.

- if S is the set of processes that satisfy X then
- $O_F(S)$  is the set of processes that satisfy F.

# Definition of $O_F: 2^{Proc} \rightarrow 2^{Proc}$ (let $S \subseteq Proc$ )

$$O_{X}(S) = S$$

$$O_{tt}(S) = Proc$$

$$O_{f}(S) = \emptyset$$

$$O_{F_{1} \land F_{2}}(S) = O_{F_{1}}(S) \cap O_{F_{2}}(S)$$

$$O_{F_{1} \lor F_{2}}(S) = O_{F_{1}}(S) \cup O_{F_{2}}(S)$$

$$O_{\langle a \rangle F}(S) = \langle \cdot a \cdot \rangle O_{F}(S)$$

$$O_{[a]F}(S) = [\cdot a \cdot ] O_{F}(S)$$

### $O_F$ is monotonic for every formula F

$$S_1 \subseteq S_2 \Rightarrow O_F(S_1) \subseteq O_F(S_2)$$

Proof: easy (structural induction on the structure of F).

### **Semantics**

#### Observation

We know that  $(2^{Proc}, \subseteq)$  is a complete lattice and  $O_F$  is monotonic, so  $O_F$  has a unique greatest and least fixed point.

#### Semantics of the Variable X

• If  $X \stackrel{\text{max}}{=} F_X$  then

$$\llbracket X \rrbracket = \bigcup \{ S \subseteq Proc \mid S \subseteq O_{F_X}(S) \}.$$

• If  $X \stackrel{\min}{=} F_X$  then

$$\llbracket X \rrbracket = \bigcap \{ S \subseteq Proc \mid O_{F_X}(S) \subseteq S \}.$$

### Game Characterization

Intuition: the attacker claims  $s \not\models F$ , the defender claims  $s \models F$ .

#### Configurations of the game are of the form (s, F)

- $\bullet$  (s, tt) and (s, ff) have no successors
- (s, X) has one successor  $(s, F_X)$
- $(s, F_1 \land F_2)$  has two successors  $(s, F_1)$  and  $(s, F_2)$  (selected by the attacker)
- $(s, F_1 \lor F_2)$  has two successors  $(s, F_1)$  and  $(s, F_2)$  (selected by the defender)
- (s,[a]F) has successors (s',F) for every s' s.t.  $s \xrightarrow{a} s'$  (selected by the attacker)
- $(s, \langle a \rangle F)$  has successors (s', F) for every s' s.t.  $s \xrightarrow{a} s'$  (selected by the defender)

### Who is the Winner?

Play is a maximal sequence of configurations formed according to the rules given on the previous slide.

#### Finite Play

- The attacker is the winner of a finite play if the defender gets stuck or the players reach a configuration (s, ff).
- The defender is the winner of a finite play if the attacker gets stuck or the players reach a configuration (s, tt).

#### Infinite Play

- The attacker is the winner of an infinite play if X is defined as  $X \stackrel{\min}{=} F_X$ . (inductive approach)
- The defender is the winner of an infinite play if X is defined as  $X \stackrel{\text{max}}{=} F_X$ . (coinductive approach)

### Game Characterization

#### Theorem

- $s \models F$  if and only if the defender has a universal winning strategy from (s, F)
- $s \not\models F$  if and only if the attacker has a universal winning strategy from (s, F)

# Selection of Temporal Properties

- Inv(F):  $X \stackrel{\text{max}}{=} F \wedge [Act]X$
- Pos(F):  $X \stackrel{\min}{=} F \vee \langle Act \rangle X$
- Safe(F):  $X \stackrel{\text{max}}{=} F \wedge ([Act]ff \vee \langle Act \rangle X)$
- Even(F):  $X \stackrel{\min}{=} F \vee (\langle Act \rangle tt \wedge [Act]X)$
- $F \mathcal{U}^w G$ :  $X \stackrel{\text{max}}{=} G \vee (F \wedge [Act]X)$
- $F \mathcal{U}^s G$ :  $X \stackrel{\min}{=} G \vee (F \wedge \langle Act \rangle tt \wedge [Act]X)$

Using until we can express e.g. Inv(F) and Even(F):

$$Inv(F) \equiv F \mathcal{U}^w ff$$
 Even $(F) \equiv tt \mathcal{U}^s F$ 

## Examples of More Advanced Recursive Formulae

#### Nested Definitions of Recursive Variables

$$X \stackrel{\min}{=} Y \vee \langle Act \rangle X$$

$$Y \stackrel{\max}{=} \langle a \rangle tt \wedge \langle Act \rangle Y$$

Solution: compute first [Y] and then [X].

#### Mutually Recursive Definitions

$$X \stackrel{\text{max}}{=} [a] Y$$

$$Y \stackrel{\max}{=} \langle a \rangle X$$

Solution: consider a complete lattice  $(2^{Proc} \times 2^{Proc}, \sqsubseteq)$  where  $(S_1, S_2) \sqsubseteq (S_1', S_2')$  iff  $S_1 \subseteq S_1'$  and  $S_2 \subseteq S_2'$ .

### Theorem (Characteristic Property for Finite-State Processes)

Let s be a process with finitely many reachable states. There exists a property  $X_s$  s.t. for all processes t:  $s \sim t$  if and only if  $t \in [X_s]$ .