

0.0.1 Related result [Section 4.1.12]

Here is a related result:

$$\mathfrak{p} \text{ is the contraction of a prime ideal if and only if } A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_A B \neq 0. \quad (1)$$

This will follow from Claim 1 and Claim 2 below.

Claim 1. Let C_1, \dots, C_5 be the five B -algebras

$$A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_A B/\mathfrak{p}B, \quad (B/\mathfrak{p}B)_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}, \quad \frac{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}{\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}} \otimes_A B, \quad (A/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_A B.$$

Then for any $1 \leq i, j \leq 5$ there is a unique B -algebra morphism $C_i \rightarrow C_j$, and this morphism is bijective. ???

Proof of Claim 1. Note that C_i has a unique $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -algebra structure which extends its natural B -algebra structure. In other words, the image in C_i of any $s \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ is a unit. Moreover, any element of C_i is equal to $\frac{b}{s} \cdot 1$ for some b in B and s in $A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$. This implies that there is at most one morphism of B -algebras $\phi_{ji} : C_i \rightarrow C_j$. To prove that such morphisms exist, we proceed as follows. If U is an additive group and V a subgroup, we denote the class in U/V of $u \in U$ by \bar{u} when V is clear from the context. For $i = 1, \dots, 5$ define the set theoretical map $f_i : A \times (A \setminus \mathfrak{p}) \times B \rightarrow C_i$ by

$$(f_1(a, s, b), \dots, f_5(a, s, b)) := \left(\frac{a}{s} \otimes \bar{b}, \frac{\overline{a \cdot b}}{s}, \left(\frac{a \cdot b}{s} \right)^-, \left(\frac{a}{s} \right)^- \otimes b, \frac{\bar{a}}{s} \otimes b \right).$$

We leave it to the reader to check that, for $i, j = 1, \dots, 5$, there is a B -algebra morphism $\phi_{ji} : C_i \rightarrow C_j$ such that $\phi_{ji}(f_i(a, s, b)) = f_j(a, s, b)$ for all a, s, b .

Note that C_i is an initial object in the category of those B -algebras C such that the image of $a \in A$ in C is zero if $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ and is a unit if $a \notin \mathfrak{p}$.

Claim 2. We have $(B/\mathfrak{p}^e)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0 \iff \mathfrak{p}^{\text{ec}} \neq \mathfrak{p}$.

Proof of Claim 2.

$$(B/\mathfrak{p}^e)_{\mathfrak{p}} = 0 \iff \frac{1}{1} = \frac{0}{1} \text{ in } (B/\mathfrak{p}^e)_{\mathfrak{p}} \iff \exists s \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p} \mid f(s) \in \mathfrak{p}^e \iff \exists s \in \mathfrak{p}^{\text{ec}} \setminus \mathfrak{p} \iff \mathfrak{p}^{\text{ec}} \neq \mathfrak{p}.$$

Statement (1) follows also from Exercise 3.21iv p. 47 of the book [see Section 0.0.2 p. 2 below].

[Reminder.]

Proposition 1 (Proposition 3.11 p. 41 of the book). (i) *Every ideal in $S^{-1}A$ is an extended ideal.*

(ii) *If \mathfrak{a} is an ideal in A , then $\mathfrak{a}^{\text{ec}} = \bigcup_{s \in S} (\mathfrak{a} : s)$. Hence $\mathfrak{a}^e = (1)$ if and only if \mathfrak{a} meets S .*

(iii) $\mathfrak{a} \in C \iff$ *no element of S is a zero-divisor in A/\mathfrak{a} .*

(iv) *The prime ideals of $S^{-1}A$ are in one-to-one correspondence ($\mathfrak{p} \leftrightarrow S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$) with the prime ideals of A which don't meet S .*

(v) *The operation S^{-1} commutes with formation of finite sums, products, intersections and radicals.*

0.0.2 Page 46, Exercise 3.21 [Section 4.2.21]

Statement. (i) Let A be a ring, S a multiplicatively closed subset of A , and $\phi : A \rightarrow S^{-1}A$ the canonical homomorphism. Show that $\phi^* : \text{Spec}(S^{-1}A) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(A)$ is a homeomorphism of $\text{Spec}(S^{-1}A)$ onto its image in $X = \text{Spec}(A)$. Let this image be denoted by $S^{-1}X$.

In particular, if $f \in A$, the image of $\text{Spec}(A_f)$ in X is the basic open set X_f (Chapter 1, Exercise 17).

(ii) Let $f : A \rightarrow B$ be a ring homomorphism. Let $X = \text{Spec}(A)$ and $Y = \text{Spec}(B)$, and let $f^* : Y \rightarrow X$ be the mapping associated with f . Identifying $\text{Spec}(S^{-1}A)$ with its canonical image $S^{-1}X$ in X , and $\text{Spec}(S^{-1}B) (= \text{Spec}(f(S)^{-1}B))$ with its canonical image $S^{-1}Y$ in Y , show that $S^{-1}f^* : \text{Spec}(S^{-1}B) \rightarrow \text{Spec}(S^{-1}A)$ is the restriction of f^* to $S^{-1}Y$, and that $S^{-1}Y = f^{*-1}(S^{-1}X)$.

(iii) Let \mathfrak{a} be an ideal of A and let $\mathfrak{b} = \mathfrak{a}^e$ be its extension in B . Let $\bar{f} : A/\mathfrak{a} \rightarrow B/\mathfrak{b}$ be the homomorphism induced by f . If $\text{Spec}(A/\mathfrak{a})$ is identified with its canonical image $V(\mathfrak{a})$ in X , and $\text{Spec}(B/\mathfrak{b})$ with its image $V(\mathfrak{b})$ in Y , show that \bar{f}^* is the restriction of f^* to $V(\mathfrak{b})$.

(iv) Let \mathfrak{p} be a prime ideal of A . Take $S = A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ in (ii) and then reduce mod $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ as in (iii). Deduce that the subspace $f^{*-1}(\mathfrak{p})$ of Y is naturally homeomorphic to $\text{Spec}(B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{Spec}(k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A B)$, where $k(\mathfrak{p})$ is the residue field of the local ring $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$.

$\text{Spec}(k(\mathfrak{p}) \otimes_A B)$ is called the fiber of f^* over \mathfrak{p} .

Solution. (i) Set $S^{-1}X := \{\mathfrak{p} \in X \mid \mathfrak{p} \cap S = \emptyset\}$. By Proposition 3.11iv p. 41 of the book [Proposition 1 p. 1] the maps

$$\text{Spec}(S^{-1}A) \xrightleftharpoons[S^{-1}]{\phi^*} S^{-1}X$$

are inverse bijections. Let us equip $S^{-1}X \subset X$ with the induced topology. Then the closed subsets of $S^{-1}X$ are precisely the subsets of the form $V(\mathfrak{a}) \cap S^{-1}X$ where \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of A . By Proposition 3.11i p. 41 of the book [Proposition 1 p. 1], the closed subsets of $S^{-1}A$ are precisely the subsets of the form $V(S^{-1}\mathfrak{a})$ where \mathfrak{a} is an ideal of A . Thus it suffices to show that, given an ideal \mathfrak{a} of A and a prime ideal \mathfrak{p} of A , we have $S^{-1}\mathfrak{a} \subset S^{-1}\mathfrak{p} \iff \mathfrak{a} \subset \mathfrak{p}$. Implication \Leftarrow is clear. Conversely $S^{-1}\mathfrak{a} \subset S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ implies

$$\mathfrak{a} \subset \phi^* S^{-1}\mathfrak{a} \subset \phi^* S^{-1}\mathfrak{p} = \mathfrak{p}.$$

(ii) Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ S^{-1}A & \xrightarrow{S^{-1}f} & S^{-1}B \end{array}$$

commutes, so does

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{f^*} & Y \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ S^{-1}X & \xleftarrow{(S^{-1}f)^*} & S^{-1}Y. \end{array}$$

This proves the first claim. To show $S^{-1}Y = f^{*-1}(S^{-1}X)$, note that, for $\mathfrak{q} \in Y$, we have

$$\mathfrak{q} \in S^{-1}Y \iff f(S) \cap \mathfrak{q} = \emptyset \iff S \cap f^*(\mathfrak{q}) = \emptyset \iff f^*(\mathfrak{q}) \in S^{-1}X \iff \mathfrak{q} \in f^{*-1}(S^{-1}X).$$

(iii) Since the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ A/\mathfrak{a} & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & B/\mathfrak{b} \end{array}$$

commutes, so does

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{f^*} & Y \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ V(\mathfrak{a}) & \xleftarrow{\bar{f}^*} & V(\mathfrak{b}). \end{array}$$

(iv) We have the commuting diagrams

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} A & \xrightarrow{f} & B & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ A/\mathfrak{p} & \longrightarrow & B/\mathfrak{p}B & & \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ k(\mathfrak{p}) & \longequal{\quad} & (A/\mathfrak{p})_{\mathfrak{p}} & \longrightarrow & (B/\mathfrak{p}B)_{\mathfrak{p}} \longequal{\quad} B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}} \end{array}$$

and

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X & \xleftarrow{f^*} & Y \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ V(\mathfrak{p}) & \xleftarrow{\bar{f}^*} & V(\mathfrak{p}B) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ \{\mathfrak{p}\} & \xleftarrow{\bar{f}_{\mathfrak{p}}^*} & Z \end{array}$$

with $Z := \operatorname{Spec}(B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}})$. The second claim of (ii) implies $Z = \overline{f}^{*-1}(\mathfrak{p})$. Finally note that we have

$$\overline{f}^{*-1}(\mathfrak{p}) = \{\mathfrak{q} \in Y \mid \mathfrak{q} \supset f(\mathfrak{p}), f^*(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{p}\} = \{\mathfrak{q} \in Y \mid f^*(\mathfrak{q}) = \mathfrak{p}\} = f^{*-1}(\mathfrak{p}),$$

that is, $\operatorname{Spec}(B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}) = f^{*-1}(\mathfrak{p})$, as required.