# Facts about Rings of Fractions

# Rafal Michalski

# 1 Introduction

Fact 1.1. If  $0 \in S$ , then  $S^{-1}A$  is a trivial ring.

Proof. Any (a, s), (a', s') are related because  $(as' - a's) \cdot 0 = 0$  with  $0 \in S$ .

Fact 1.2. A a PID, the equivalence relation in  $A \times S$  is:  $(a, s) \equiv (a', s')$  iff as' = a's.

**Fact 1.3.** For A a field, and  $S = \{-1, 1\}, S^{-1}A \cong A$ .

*Proof.* It is easily verified that the standard isomorphism from A to  $S^{-1}A$  is 1-1 and onto.  $\Box$ 

**Fact 1.4.** For A a field, and S a multiplicatively closed subset of A not containing zero,  $S^{-1}A \cong A$ .

*Proof.* The standard homomorphism  $f: a \mapsto a/1$  of A into  $S^{-1}A$  is injective: if a/1 = a'/1 then  $a \cdot 1 = a1 \cdot 1$ , then a = a'. It is surjective:  $f(as^{-1}) = f(a)f(s^{-1}) = (a/1)(s^{-1}/1) = \ldots$ , but  $s^{-1}/1 = 1/s$  as  $s^{-1}s = 1 \cdot 1$ ; continuing,  $\ldots = (a/1)(1/s) = a/s$ .

Fact 1.5. For A a field, the ring of fractions and the field of fractions are isomorphic.

*Proof.* For isomorphism of A with its field of fractions, see Math Exchange 79188. About the isomorphism with its ring of fractions, is the fact above.

Example 1.6. Some example.

**Fact 1.7.** The quotient ring A/I can be viewed as an A-module, and then the ring of fractions  $T^{-1}(A/I)$ , where T is the image of S in A/I, equals the module of fractions  $S^{-1}(A/I)$ .

*Proof.* On the left, the relation is in  $(A/I) \times T$ :  $([a], [s]) \equiv ([a'], [s'])$  iff (ring notation) ([a][s']-[a'][s])[s''] = [0] iff [as's''-a'ss''] = [0]. On the right, the relation works in  $(A/I) \times S$ :  $([a],s) \equiv ([a'],s')$  iff (module notation) s''(s'[a]-s[a']) = [0] iff [as's''-a'ss''] = [0]. The conditions are identical so the classes must be in bijective correspondence. However, they are not identical as sets, so saying *equals* is too much.

Fact 1.8. What is  $S^{-1}\mathfrak{g}$ ?

It can be either an  $S^{-1}A$ -module  $S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$ , because  $\mathfrak{a}$  is an A-module, or the extension  $S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}=\mathfrak{a}$   $S^{-1}A$  in  $S^{-1}A$  of the ideal  $\mathfrak{a}$  in A via the canonical  $A\to S^{-1}A: a\mapsto a/s$ . In both cases elements of  $S^{-1}\mathfrak{a}$  are written as a/s with  $a\in\mathfrak{a}, s\in S$ , but they come from different sets. In the first, module case, a/s is in the quotient of  $\mathfrak{a}\times S$ , in the second, extension ideal case, a/s is in the quotient of  $A\times S$ . We are talking of  $S^{-1}A$ -modules, not rings, so there can only be an  $S^{-1}A$ -module isomorphism, which is obvious:

$$\mathbf{a} \times S/\sim_{\mathbf{A}} \ \ni \ a/s \mapsto a/s \ \in \ A \times S/\sim_A$$

Fact 1.9. Case  $\mathfrak{s} = \mathfrak{p}$ , a prime ideal. What is  $S^{-1}\mathfrak{p}$ ?

It can be either the  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , because  $\mathfrak{p}$  is an A-module, or the extension  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  of the ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in A, via the canonical  $A \to A_{\mathfrak{p}} : a \mapsto a/s$ . Looks like we don't have the  $\mathfrak{p}$ -instead-of- $S^{-1}$ · notation in the ideal extension case, but then, the quotient notation  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is used, which makes sense only if  $\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an ideal in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ 

$$\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}=\mathfrak{p}\,A_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

#### Fact 1.10. How is $B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module?

Let  $g = \psi \circ f$  be the composition  $A \to B \to T^{-1}B : a \to f(a) \to f(a)/1$ . This composition sends  $s \in S$  to a unit in  $T^{-1}B$ , as (f(s)/1)(1/f(s)) = 1/1, where  $f(s) \in f(S) = f(A \setminus \mathfrak{p}) \subseteq B \setminus \mathfrak{q} = T$ . By the universal property of the ring of fractions, g factorizes

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
A & \xrightarrow{\phi} S^{-1}A \\
\downarrow^f & \downarrow^h \\
B & \xrightarrow{\psi} T^{-1}B
\end{array}$$

where the recipe for h is given in **Proposition 3.1** of [ItCA] as  $a/s \mapsto g(a)g(s)^{-1} = (f(a)/1)(1/f(s)) = f(a)/f(s)$ .

# Fact 1.11. How is $B_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{q}}$ an $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module?

The kernel of the composition  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \to B_{\mathfrak{q}} \to B_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{q}B_{\mathfrak{q}}: a/s \mapsto f(a)/f(s) + \mathfrak{q}B_{\mathfrak{q}}$  contains  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  (because  $\mathfrak{p} = f^{-1}(\mathfrak{q})$ ) so the composition factors through  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \to B_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{q}B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ :  $a/s + \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \mapsto f(a)/f(s) + \mathfrak{q}B_{\mathfrak{q}}$ . This is a ring homomorphism that makes  $B_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{q}$  an  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}$ -module.

### Fact 1.12. What is $\mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ?

When  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is seen as an A-module,  $\mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{am/s : a \in \mathfrak{p}, m \in M, s \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$ . When  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is seen as an  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is not even an ideal in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , but its extension,  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is, and  $(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}})M_{\mathfrak{p}} = \{(a/s')(m/s) : a \in \mathfrak{p}, m \in M, s, s' \notin \mathfrak{p}\} = \{am/s : a \in \mathfrak{p}, m \in M, s \notin \mathfrak{p}\}$ , the same set, which we write  $\mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  for:

$$\mathfrak{p}M_{\mathfrak{p}}=(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}})M_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

Fact 1.13. *How* 

$$\frac{(B\otimes_A M)_{\mathfrak{q}}}{\mathfrak{q}(B\otimes_A M)_{\mathfrak{q}}}\cong \frac{B_{\mathfrak{q}}}{\mathfrak{q}_{\mathfrak{q}}}\otimes_B B\otimes_A M$$

2

Proposition 3.5 states, in the language of subscript- $\mathfrak{p}$ , that  $M_{\mathfrak{p}} \cong A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_A M$  over  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . Here  $(B \otimes_A M)_{\mathfrak{q}} \cong B_{\mathfrak{q}} \otimes_B (B \otimes_A M)$ . Then

$$\begin{split} \frac{B_{\mathbf{q}} \otimes_B (B \otimes_A M)}{(\mathbf{q} B_{\mathbf{q}})(B_{\mathbf{q}} \otimes_B (B \otimes_A M))} &\cong \frac{B_{\mathbf{q}}}{\mathbf{q} B_{\mathbf{q}}} \otimes_{B_{\mathbf{q}}} (B_{\mathbf{q}} \otimes_B (B \otimes_A M)) \\ &\cong \frac{B_{\mathbf{q}}}{\mathbf{q}_{\mathbf{q}}} \otimes_B B \otimes_A M \end{split}$$

In P. Y. Gaillard solution to ItCA Exercise 3.19 (viii).

#### Fact 1.14. The diagram

$$A_{\mathfrak{p}} \xrightarrow{\phi} A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

$$\downarrow^{f} \xrightarrow{\eta} \downarrow^{h}$$

$$B_{\mathfrak{q}} \xrightarrow{\psi} B_{\mathfrak{q}}/\mathfrak{q}B_{\mathfrak{q}}$$

$$a/s \xrightarrow{\phi} a/s + \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

$$\downarrow^{f} \qquad \downarrow^{h}$$

$$(a)/f(s) \xrightarrow{\psi} f(a)/f(s) + \mathfrak{q}I$$

is commutative.

All calculated on the diagram.

Now  $\kappa_{\mathbf{q}} = B_{\mathbf{q}}/\mathfrak{q}B_{\mathbf{q}}$  is an  $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ -module by  $A_{\mathbf{p}} \to A_{\mathbf{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathbf{p}} \to B_{\mathbf{q}}/\mathfrak{q}B_{\mathbf{q}}$  (with the formula as on the bottom diagram) and we may tensor over  $A_{\mathbf{p}}$ .

If a field K is an A-module for some ring A, can it be a zero A-module?

$$1_A 1_K = 1_k \neq 0_K$$

It cannot.

Now that  $\kappa_{\mathbf{q}} \otimes_{A_{\mathbf{p}}} M_{\mathbf{p}}/\mathfrak{p} M_{\mathbf{p}} = 0$ , both tensorands finitely generated, and  $\kappa_{\mathbf{q}} \neq 0$ , it must be  $M_{\mathbf{p}}/\mathfrak{p} M_{\mathbf{p}} = 0$  by ItCA Exercise 2.3.

In solution of ItCA 3.19 (viii) by J. D. Taylor.

#### Fact 1.15. What is pB?

For  $f:A\to B$ , we can think in two ways. As we identify ab=f(a)b,  $\mathfrak{p}B=\{ab=f(a)b: a\in\mathfrak{p},b\in B\}$  is the extension  $f(\mathfrak{p})B$  of the ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$ . The second way is that B is an A-module, and  $\mathfrak{p}$  a prime ideal in A, so we can form  $\mathfrak{p}B=\{\sum a_ib_i=\sum f(a_i)b_i\}$  with  $a_i\in\mathfrak{p},\ b_i\in B$ , getting the same set.

## Fact 1.16. What is $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ?

Since B is an A-module,  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  consists of all elements b/s where  $b \in B, s \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . This is the standard construction of  $S^{-1}A$ -module  $S^{-1}M$  in the text. It is:

- An A-module.
- An  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module: the standard construction.
- A B-module.
- A ring.

#### Fact 1.17. What is $\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ?

 $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an A - module,  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime ideal of A, so  $\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  makes sense and consists of finite sums  $\sum a_i(b_i/s)$  where  $a_i \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $b_i \in B$ , and  $s_i \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ . After bringing to common denominator, the sum becomes ab/s where  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ ,  $b \in B$  and  $s_i \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$  that is, b/s where  $b \in \mathfrak{p}B$  and  $s_i \in A \setminus \mathfrak{p}$ .

#### Fact 1.18. $\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is an ideal in $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

As a module, it is an abelian group, then the multiplication property is easily verified.  $\Box$ 

The ideal  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  was the single maximal ideal in  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ . We do not know this for  $\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  in  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

# Fact 1.19. How is $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ an A-module ?

The canonical map  $\phi:A\to A_{\mathfrak{p}}:a\mapsto \frac{a}{1}$  gives the multiplication by scalars from A

$$a'\frac{a}{s} = \phi(a')\frac{a}{s} = \frac{a'}{1}\frac{a}{s} = \frac{a'a}{s}$$

#### Fact 1.20. What is $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ?

As  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an A-module, we can multiply it by a prime ideal in A in a standard way

$$\sum a_i' \frac{a_i}{s_i} = \sum \frac{a'a_i}{s_i}$$

After bringing to a common denominator, this is

$$\frac{a}{s}$$

with  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ , so  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the single maximal ideal of the local ring  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

Fact 1.21. How is  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  an  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module ?

Definition of the  $S^{-1}M$  as  $S^{-1}A$ -module in the text. The multiplication by a scalar is

$$\frac{a}{s'}\frac{b}{s} = \frac{ab}{s's} = \frac{f(a)b}{s's}$$

Fact 1.22. What is  $(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}})B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ?

As  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is an  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -module, and  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the single maximal ideal of the local ring  $A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , Any element is, from the definition of the ideal-by-module and from the general element of  $\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$   $(a \in \mathfrak{p})$ 

$$\sum_{i} \frac{a_i}{s_i'} \frac{b_i}{s_i} = \sum_{i} \frac{ab}{s's}$$

After bringing to a common denominator, this becomes

$$ab/s = f(a)b/s$$

where  $a \in \mathfrak{p}$ . Notice we got the general element of  $\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ , so

$$(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}})B_{\mathfrak{p}}=\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

Fact 1.23. How  $B_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}} = A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}} B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ ?

Apply Exercise 2.2

$$A/\mathfrak{a} \otimes_A M \cong M/\mathfrak{a}M$$

to  $M \coloneqq B_{\mathfrak{p}}, A \coloneqq A_{\mathfrak{p}}, \mathfrak{s} \coloneqq \mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}$ 

$$A_{\mathfrak{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}}\otimes_{A_{\mathfrak{p}}}B_{\mathfrak{p}}=B_{\mathfrak{p}}/(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}})B_{\mathfrak{p}}$$

now apply  $(\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathfrak{p}})B_{\mathfrak{p}} = \mathfrak{p}B_{\mathfrak{p}}$ .

Fact 1.24. How  $A_{\mathfrak{p}} \otimes_A B \cong B_{\mathfrak{p}}$  ?

Apply Proposition 3.5:  $S^{-1}A \otimes_A M \cong S^{-1}M$  .

We now understand the isomorphisms in the solution of ItCA's 3.21(iv) by J D. Taylor.

$$\begin{split} B_{\mathbf{p}}/\mathfrak{p}B_{\mathbf{p}} &= A_{\mathbf{p}}/\mathfrak{p}A_{\mathbf{p}} \otimes_{A_{\mathbf{p}}} B_{\mathbf{p}} \\ &= K_{\mathbf{p}} \otimes_{A_{\mathbf{p}}} A_{\mathbf{p}} \otimes_{A} B \\ &= K_{\mathbf{p}} \otimes_{A} B \end{split}$$

# 2 Saturated

**Fact 2.1.** For saturated S, if f(a) is a unit in  $S^{-1}A$ , then  $a \in S$ . Proof.

$$\frac{a}{1} \cdot \frac{b}{t} = \frac{1}{1}$$

$$\frac{ab}{t} = \frac{1}{1}$$

$$(ab, t) \equiv (1, 1)$$

$$(ab - t)u = 0$$

$$abu=tu$$

$$abu \in S$$

As S is saturated,  $a \in S$ .