

## Proposition 1.11

July 19, 2021

M.F. Atiyah, I.G. MacDonald *Introduction to Commutative Algebra*

Let  $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}_i$  ( $1 \leq i \leq n$ ) and the hypothesis be true for  $n - 1$ . For each  $i \leq n$ ,  $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \mathfrak{p}$  for  $j \neq i$ ; there are  $n - 1$  such  $j$ 's, so by the induction hypothesis,  $\mathfrak{a} \not\subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^n \mathfrak{p}_j$ ; then there is some  $x_i$  in  $\mathfrak{a}$  that is not in any  $\mathfrak{p}_j$  for  $j \neq i$ .

Now let

$$y = \sum_{i=1}^n x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} x_{i+1} \cdots x_n$$

Can the  $i$ 'th term be in  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ ? No, since then, as the ideal is prime, we would have  $x_j \in \mathfrak{p}_i$  for some  $j \neq i$ . The factor  $x_i$  is in every term except  $x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} x_{i+1} \cdots x_n$ ; factoring out, for some  $z$

$$x_i z + x_1 \cdots x_{i-1} x_{i+1} \cdots x_n = y$$

Can  $y$  be in  $\mathfrak{p}_i$ ? Now the first summand is in the ideal, as is the right side, then one of factors must be in the ideal, a contradiction to what we observed.