Algorithms for local refinement in hierarchical spline spaces

Eduardo M. Garau^{1,2,3} and Rafael Vázquez¹

¹Istituto di Matematica Applicata e Tecnologie Informatiche 'E. Magenes' (CNR), Italy
²Instituto de Matemática Aplicada del Litoral (CONICET-UNL), Argentina
³Facultad de Ingeniería Química (UNL), Argentina

October 28, 2015

1 Setting

Let $d \geq 1$. We are going to consider tensor-product d-variate spline function spaces on $\Omega := [0,1]^d \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, where $\mathbf{p} := (p_1, p_2, \dots, p_d)$ denotes the vector of polynomial degrees of the splines with respect to each coordinate direction.

1.1 Underlying sequence of tensor-product spline spaces

We consider a given sequence $\{S_\ell\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}_0}$ of tensor-product d-variate spline spaces such that

$$S_0 \subset S_1 \subset S_2 \subset S_3 \subset \dots, \tag{1}$$

with the corresponding tensor-product B-spline bases denoted by

$$\mathcal{B}_0, \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_3, \dots, \tag{2}$$

respectively. Furthermore, for $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, we denote by \mathcal{Q}_{ℓ} the tensor-product mesh associated to \mathcal{B}_{ℓ} and we say that $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\ell}$ is a *cell of level* ℓ . We now state some well-known properties of the B-spline basis functions [dB01, S07]:

- Local linear independence. For any nonempty open set $O \subset \Omega$, the functions in \mathcal{B}_{ℓ} that do not vanish identically on O, are linearly independent on O.
- Positive partition of unity. The B-spline basis functions of level ℓ form a partition of the unity on Ω , i.e.,

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell}} \beta \equiv 1, \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$
 (3)

• Two-scale relation between consecutive levels. The B-splines of level ℓ can be written as a linear combination of B-splines of level $\ell + 1$. More precisely,

$$\beta_{\ell} = \sum_{\beta_{\ell+1} \in \mathcal{C}(\beta_{\ell})} c_{\beta_{\ell+1}}(\beta_{\ell}) \beta_{\ell+1}, \qquad \forall \, \beta_{\ell} \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell}, \tag{4}$$

where the coefficients $c_{\beta_{\ell+1}}(\beta_{\ell})$ are strictly positive, and $\mathcal{C}(\beta_{\ell}) \subset \mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}$ is the set of children of β_{ℓ} as defined in [BG15a].

Remark 1.1. Notice that if we define $c_{\beta_{\ell+1}}(\beta_{\ell}) := 0$ when $\beta_{\ell+1}$ is not a child of β_{ℓ} , then equation (4) can be written as

$$\beta_{\ell} = \sum_{\beta_{\ell+1} \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}} c_{\beta_{\ell+1}}(\beta_{\ell}) \beta_{\ell+1}, \qquad \forall \, \beta_{\ell} \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell}.$$
 (5)

In particular, we remark that

$$\mathcal{C}(\beta_{\ell}) = \{ \beta_{\ell+1} \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell+1} \mid c_{\beta_{\ell+1}}(\beta_{\ell}) > 0 \} \subset \{ \beta_{\ell+1} \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell+1} \mid \text{supp } \beta_{\ell+1} \subset \text{supp } \beta_{\ell} \}.$$
 (6)

1.2 Hierarchical B-spline basis and hierarchical spline space

Definition 1.2. If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we say that $\Omega_n := \{\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_n\}$ is a hierarchy of subdomains of Ω of depth n if

- (i) Ω_{ℓ} is the union of cells of level $\ell-1$, for $\ell=1,2,\ldots,n$.
- (ii) $\Omega = \Omega_0 \supset \Omega_1 \supset \cdots \supset \Omega_{n-1} \supset \Omega_n = \emptyset$.

We now define the hierarchical B-spline basis $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}(\Omega_n)$ by

$$\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \{ \beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell} \mid \operatorname{supp} \beta \subset \Omega_{\ell} \wedge \operatorname{supp} \beta \not\subset \Omega_{\ell+1} \}.$$
 (7)

We say that β is *active* if $\beta \in \mathcal{H}$. The corresponding underlying mesh $\mathcal{Q} = \mathcal{Q}(\Omega_n)$ is given by

$$Q := \bigcup_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \{ Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\ell} \mid Q \subset \Omega_{\ell} \wedge Q \not\subset \Omega_{\ell+1} \}, \tag{8}$$

and we say that Q is an active cell is $Q \in \mathcal{Q}$, or that Q is an active cell of level ℓ if $Q \in \mathcal{Q} \cap \mathcal{Q}_{\ell}$.

Unlike the B-spline bases \mathcal{B}_{ℓ} for tensor-product spline spaces, the hierarchical B-spline basis \mathcal{H} does not constitute a partition of the unity. Instead, in view of the linear independence of functions in \mathcal{H} and taking into account that $\mathcal{S}_0 = \operatorname{span} \mathcal{B}_0 \subset \operatorname{span} \mathcal{H}$, we have that there exists a set $\{a_{\beta}\}_{{\beta}\in\mathcal{H}} \subset \mathbb{R}$, uniquely determined, such that

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{H}} a_{\beta} \beta \equiv 1, \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$
 (9)

It can be proved that $a_{\beta} \geq 0$, for all $\beta \in \mathcal{H}$. On the other hand, we remark that these coefficients depend on the hierarchy of subdomains Ω_n .

2 Refinement of hierarchical spline spaces

In this section, we present a precise technique to refine locally a given hierarchical spline space span \mathcal{H} .

Definition 2.1. Let $\Omega_n := \{\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_n\}$ and $\Omega_{n+1}^* := \{\Omega_0^*, \Omega_1^*, \dots, \Omega_n^*, \Omega_{n+1}^*\}$ be hierarchies of subdomains of Ω of depth (at most) n and n+1, respectively. We say that Ω_{n+1}^* is an *enlargement* of Ω_n if

$$\Omega_{\ell} \subset \Omega_{\ell}^*, \qquad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

Let \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{Q} be the hierarchical B-spline basis and the hierarchical mesh associated to the hierarchy of subdomains of depth n, $\Omega_n := \{\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_n\}$.

Let Ω_{n+1}^* be an enlargement of Ω_n . Now, the corresponding hierarchical B-spline basis \mathcal{H}^* and refined mesh \mathcal{Q}^* are given by

$$\mathcal{H}^* := \bigcup_{\ell=0}^n \{ \beta \in \mathcal{B}_\ell \mid \operatorname{supp} \beta \subset \Omega_\ell^* \wedge \operatorname{supp} \beta \not\subset \Omega_{\ell+1}^* \}, \tag{10}$$

and

$$\mathcal{Q}^* := \bigcup_{\ell=0}^n \{Q \in \mathcal{Q}_\ell \mid Q \subset \Omega_\ell^* \, \wedge \, Q \not\subset \Omega_{\ell+1}^* \}.$$

Let $\{a_{\beta}^*\}_{\beta\in\mathcal{H}^*}$ denote the sequence of coefficients (with respect to the hierarchy Ω_{n+1}^*) such that

$$\sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{H}^*} a_{\beta}^* \beta \equiv 1, \quad \text{on } \Omega.$$

In [GJS14] has been proved that any enlargement of Ω_n gives rise to a new enriched hierarchical B-spline basis \mathcal{H}^* , in the sense that

$$\operatorname{span} \mathcal{H} \subset \operatorname{span} \mathcal{H}^*$$
.

In order to enlarge the given subdomains $\Omega_n = \{\Omega_0, \Omega_1, \dots, \Omega_n\}$ we have to select the areas in Ω where more ability of approximation is required. Such a choice can be done by selecting to *refine* some active basis functions or some active cells. More precisely, we consider the two following ways of enlarging the hierarchy Ω_n :

• Marking basis functions: We consider a subset \mathcal{M} of active B-spline basis functions, i.e., $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\ell} := \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{B}_{\ell}$, for $\ell = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1$. Now, we define the hierarchy of domains $\Omega_{n+1}^* := \{\Omega_0^*, \Omega_1^*, \ldots, \Omega_n^*, \Omega_{n+1}^*\}$ of depth (at most) n+1, by

$$\begin{cases}
\Omega_0^* &:= \Omega_0, \\
\Omega_\ell^* &:= \Omega_\ell \cup \bigcup_{\beta \in \mathcal{M}_{\ell-1}} \operatorname{supp} \beta, \qquad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\
\Omega_{n+1}^* &:= \emptyset.
\end{cases}$$
(11)

Let \mathcal{H}^* be the hierarchical B-spline basis associated to Ω_{n+1}^* . Notice that $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{H} \setminus \mathcal{H}^*$, i.e., at least the functions in \mathcal{M} have been removed (or deactivated) from the hierarchical basis \mathcal{H} .

• Marking active cells: We consider a subset \mathcal{M} of active cells, i.e., $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{Q}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{\ell} := \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{Q}_{\ell}$, for $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. Now, we define the hierarchy of domains $\Omega_{n+1}^* := \{\Omega_0^*, \Omega_1^*, \dots, \Omega_n^*, \Omega_{n+1}^*\}$ of depth (at most) n+1, by

$$\begin{cases}
\Omega_0^* & := \Omega_0, \\
\Omega_\ell^* & := \Omega_\ell \cup \bigcup_{Q \in \mathcal{M}_{\ell-1}} Q, \qquad \ell = 1, 2, \dots, n, \\
\Omega_{n+1}^* & := \emptyset.
\end{cases}$$
(12)

Let \mathcal{H}^* be the hierarchical B-spline basis associated to Ω_{n+1}^* and \mathcal{Q}^* be the corresponding hierarchical mesh. In this case, $\mathcal{M} \subset \mathcal{Q} \setminus \mathcal{Q}^*$, i.e., all cells in \mathcal{M} have been refined.

3 Algorithms for initialization and refinement of a hierarchical B-spline basis

Here we describe an algorithm to compute the active B-splines in the finer basis taking advantage of the knowledge of the active B-splines in the current coarse basis.

We consider a global numbering for all the basis functions in \mathcal{B}_{ℓ} , for each $\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and assume that we have available the following basic routines related with the underlying tensor-product spline spaces:

- (1) Basic routines in each tensor-product space S_{ℓ} :
 - $I = \mathtt{get_cells}(i_{\beta}, \ell)$, where i_{β} is the global index of a function $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell}$, and I contains the global indices of the cells in \mathcal{Q}_{ℓ} which are subsets of supp β .
 - $I = \text{get_neighbors}(i_{\beta}, \ell)$, where i_{β} is the global index of a function $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell}$, and I contains the global indices of functions in \mathcal{B}_{ℓ} whose supports have at least one cell of level ℓ within supp β .
 - $I = \text{get_basis_functions}(i_Q, \ell)$, where i_Q is the global index of a cell $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\ell}$ and I contains the global indices of functions in \mathcal{B}_{ℓ} that do not vanish on Q.
- (2) Basic routines linking two consecutive levels of the tensor-product spaces (S_{ℓ} and $S_{\ell+1}$):
 - $I = \text{split_cell}(i_Q, \ell)$, where i_Q is the global index of a cell $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\ell}$ and I contains the global indices of the cells in $\mathcal{Q}_{\ell+1}$ which are inside of Q.
 - $[I, c] = \text{split_fun}(i_{\beta}, \ell)$, where i_{β} is the global index of a function $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell}$, I contains the global indices of all children of β , and c is an array with the corresponding coefficients given by (4).

Remark 3.1. The routines listed above are in fact elementary. The *hardest* thing in the previous routines is the computation of the coefficients for the two-scale relation (4) in the function <code>split_fun</code>. Nevertheless, by virtue of the tensor-product structure of B-splines, this task can be done by computing the corresponding coefficients in the two-scale relation for univariate B-splines and Kronecker products. On the other hand, it is

important to remark that the coefficients in the univariate case can be computed using knot insertion formulae. It is important to mention that these coefficients will be used in our algorithms below to compute the coefficients of the hierarchical basis functions for the partition of the unity (cf. (9)). We remark that some a posteriori error estimators may require this information [BG15b].

Finally, we remark that if we do not need the explicit knowledge of the coefficients in (9), we can consider a simple version of the function split_fun given by

$$I = \mathtt{split_fun}(i_{\beta}, \ell),$$

where i_{β} is the global index of a function $\beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell}$ and I contains the global indices of the children of β . In this case, the algorithms described below can also be considerably simplified.

The hierarchical mesh \mathcal{Q} can be defined through the variable MESH = $\{E_{\ell}^A, E_{\ell}^D\}_{\ell=0}^{n-1}$, where

- E_{ℓ}^{A} is the array containing the global indices of active cells of level ℓ , i.e., cells in $Q \cap Q_{\ell}$.
- E_{ℓ}^{D} is the array containing the global indices of deactivated cells of level ℓ , i.e., cells $Q \in \mathcal{Q}_{\ell}$ such that $Q \subset \Omega_{\ell+1}$. Notice that $E_{n-1}^{D} = \emptyset$.

On the other hand, the hierarchical B-spline basis \mathcal{H} associated to \mathcal{Q} can be described through the variable SPACE = $\{F_{\ell}^{A}, F_{\ell}^{D}, W_{\ell}\}_{\ell=0}^{n-1}$, where

- F_{ℓ}^{A} is the array containing the global indices of active B-splines of level ℓ , i.e., functions in $\mathcal{H} \cap \mathcal{B}_{\ell}$.
- F_{ℓ}^{D} is an array containing the global indices of B-splines in \mathcal{B}_{ℓ} whose supports are subsets of $\Omega_{\ell+1}$, i.e.,

$$F_{\ell}^{D} := \{ i_{\beta} \mid \beta \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell} \quad \land \quad \text{supp } \beta \subset \Omega_{\ell+1} \}.$$

Notice that $F_{n-1}^D = \emptyset$.

• W_{ℓ} is an array containing the values of the coefficients a_{β} for the partition of the unity (9) corresponding to the active B-splines β of level ℓ , i.e., to the functions in F_{ℓ}^{A} .

3.1 Getting the new active basis functions from the current ones

Let MARKED = $\{M_\ell\}_{\ell=0}^{n-1}$, where $M_\ell \subset F_\ell^A$ (or $M_\ell \subset E_\ell^A$) is the set of global indices of marked functions (or elements) of level ℓ , i.e., functions (or elements) in \mathcal{M}_ℓ . Now, we present an algorithm for updating the information in MESH and SPACE when enlarging the

hierarchy of subdomains Ω_n with the marked functions or elements as explained in the previous section, cf. (11) and (12).

function [MESH, SPACE] = refine(MESH, SPACE, MARKED)

```
\% This function updates MESH and SPACE when enlarging the current subdomains with the marked functions (or elements) given in MARKED
```

% REFINE MESH;

 $\mathbf{switch} \ \mathit{marked} \ \mathit{functions} \ \mathit{or} \ \mathit{elements} \ \mathbf{do}$

- 1. case functions, ME = compute_cells_to_refine($\{E_{\ell}^A\}_{\ell=0}^{n-1}$, MARKED);
- 2. case elements, ME = MARKED;

endsw

% ME contains the cells that have to be refined;

- 3. [MESH, NE] = refine_hierarchical_mesh(MESH, ME);
 - % NE contains the new cells;
 - % REFINE SPACE;
- 4. SPACE = refine_hierarchical_space(MESH, SPACE, MARKED, NE);

Algorithm 1: refine (REFINE THE HIERARCHICAL MESH AND SPACE)

Routines for the mesh 3.1.1

 $\texttt{ME} = \texttt{compute_cells_to_refine}(\{E_\ell^A\}_{\ell=0}^{n-1}, \texttt{MARKED})$

% This function computes the indices of cells that have to be splitted when marking for refinement the functions in MARKED

$$\mathbf{Data} \colon \begin{cases} \{E_\ell^A\}_\ell \text{ (indices of active cells)} \\ \mathsf{MARKED} = \{M_\ell\}_\ell \end{cases} \qquad (\ell = 0, 1, \dots, n-1).$$

Result: $ME = \{ME_{\ell}\}_{\ell=0}^{n-1}$ (Indices of cells that have to be refined)

foreach $\ell = 0, 1, ..., n - 1$ **do**

- 1. Use get_cells to compute the set of indices ME_{ℓ} of the cells of level ℓ that are included in the support of functions in M_{ℓ} ;
- 2. $ME_{\ell} \leftarrow ME_{\ell} \cap E_{\ell}^{A}$ % Remove the nonactive cells from ME_{ℓ} ;

end

Algorithm 2: compute_cells_to_refine

function $[MESH, NE] = refine_hierarchical_mesh(MESH, ME)$

% This function updates the active and deactive cells in each level when refining the cells in ME

$$\begin{aligned} &\textbf{Data} \text{: (Data for } \mathcal{Q} \text{ and ME)} \text{: } \begin{cases} &\texttt{MESH} = \{E_\ell^A, E_\ell^D\}_\ell \\ &\texttt{ME} = \{\texttt{ME}_\ell\}_\ell \end{cases} & (\ell = 0, 1, \dots, n-1). \end{cases} \\ &\textbf{Result} \text{: (Data for } \mathcal{Q}^* \text{ and NE)} \text{: } \begin{cases} &\texttt{MESH} = \{E_\ell^A, E_\ell^D\}_\ell \\ &\texttt{NE} = \{\texttt{NE}_\ell\}_\ell \end{cases} & (\ell = 0, 1, \dots, n). \end{cases}$$

Result: (Data for
$$\mathcal{Q}^*$$
 and NE):
$$\begin{cases} \text{MESH} = \{E_\ell^A, E_\ell^D\}_\ell \\ \text{NE} = \{\text{NE}_\ell\}_\ell \end{cases} \quad (\ell = 0, 1, \dots, n).$$

1. if $ME_{n-1} \neq \emptyset$, then $E_n^A = E_n^D = \emptyset$;

foreach $\ell = 0, 1, ..., n - 1$ **do**

- 2. $E_{\ell}^A \leftarrow E_{\ell}^A \setminus ME_{\ell}$ % Update E_{ℓ}^A by removing the cells to be refined;
- 3. $E_{\ell}^D \leftarrow E_{\ell}^D \cup \mathtt{ME}_{\ell}$ % Update E_{ℓ}^D by adding the cells that were deactivated;
- 4. Use split_cell to get the set of indices $NE_{\ell+1}$ of cells of level $\ell+1$ which are inside of the cells in ME_{ℓ} ;
- 5. $E_{\ell+1}^A \leftarrow E_{\ell+1}^A \cup NE_{\ell+1} \%$ Update $E_{\ell+1}^A$ by adding the new active cells of level $\ell+1$;

Algorithm 3: update_active_cells

3.1.2 Routines for the space

For the routines in this section notice that the variable MESH is already updated, i.e., it contains the information about Q^* .

function SPACE = refine_hierarchical_space(MESH, SPACE, MARKED, NE)

```
\mathbf{Data:} \; (\mathrm{Data} \; \mathrm{for} \; \mathcal{Q}^*, \; \mathcal{H}, \; \mathcal{M}, \; \mathrm{and} \; \mathrm{NE}) \colon \begin{cases} \mathsf{MESH} = \{E_\ell^A, E_\ell^D\}_{\ell=0}^n \\ \mathsf{SPACE} = \{F_\ell^A, F_\ell^D, W_\ell\}_\ell \\ \mathsf{MARKED} = \{M_\ell\}_\ell \end{cases} \; (\ell = 0, \dots, n-1).
\mathbf{Result:} \; (\mathrm{Data} \; \mathrm{for} \; \mathcal{H}^*) \colon \; \mathsf{SPACE} = \{F_\ell^A, F_\ell^D, W_\ell\}_\ell \quad (\ell = 0, 1, \dots, n).
1. \; \mathsf{MF} = \mathsf{compute\_functions\_to\_deactivate}(\mathsf{MESH}, \mathsf{SPACE}, \mathsf{MARKED});
\% \; \mathsf{MF} \; \mathsf{contains} \; \mathsf{all} \; \mathsf{B-splines} \; \mathsf{that} \; \mathsf{have} \; \mathsf{to} \; \mathsf{be} \; \mathsf{deactivated};
2. \; \mathsf{SPACE} = \mathsf{update\_active\_functions}(\mathsf{MESH}, \mathsf{SPACE}, \mathsf{MF}, \mathsf{NE});
```

Algorithm 4: refine_hierarchical_space

 $\texttt{function} \quad \texttt{MF} = \texttt{compute_functions_to_deactivate}(\{E_\ell^A\}_{\ell=0}^n, \{F_\ell^A\}_{\ell=0}^{n-1}, \texttt{MARKED})$

```
% This function computes the indices of the functions that have to be deactivated when marking
for refinement the functions (or elements) in MARKED
 \begin{aligned} \mathbf{Data} \colon \begin{cases} \{E_\ell^A\}_{\ell=0}^n \text{ (indices of active cells)} \\ \{F_\ell^A\}_\ell \text{ (indices of active basis functions)} \end{cases} & (\ell=0,1,\ldots,n-1). \end{cases} \\ \mathbf{MARKED} = \{M_\ell\}_\ell \end{aligned} 
Result: MF = \{MF_\ell\}_{\ell=0}^{n-1} (Indices of basis functions that have to be deactivated)
foreach \ell = 0, 1, ..., n - 1 do
              % Computation of functions which possibly have to be removed;
              switch marked functions or elements do
           case functions,
                            1. Use get_neighbors to compute the set of indices MF_{\ell} of functions of level \ell whose
                                supports intersect the support of a function in M_{\ell};
                            2. MF_{\ell} \leftarrow (MF_{\ell} \cap F_{\ell}^{A}) \setminus M_{\ell} % Remove from MF_{\ell} the nonactive functions and the active
                                functions already selected for deactivation;
           end
           case elements,
                            3. Use get_basis_functions to compute the set of indices MF_{\ell} of functions of level \ell
                                whose supports intersect at least one cell in M_{\ell};
                            4. MF_{\ell} \leftarrow (MF_{\ell} \cap F_{\ell}^{A}) % Remove from MF_{\ell} the nonactive functions;
           end
              % Computation of functions which in fact have to be removed;
          5. Update MF_{\ell} by removing the functions that have all least one active cell of level \ell within their
              supports. Use get_cells;
```

6. if marking functions, then $MF_{\ell} = MF_{\ell} \cup M_{\ell}$;

% This function updates the active and deactive B-spline basis functions. The inputs MESH and NE are already updated (see refine_hierarchical_mesh) and MF contains the indices of all B-splines that have to be deactivated (see compute_functions_to_deactivate). Finally, the variable SPACE in the input contains the information before updating the space

Result: (Data for \mathcal{H}^*): SPACE = $\{F_\ell^A, F_\ell^D, W_\ell\}_{\ell=0}^n$

- 1. if $MF_{n-1} \neq \emptyset$, then $F_n^A = F_n^D = W_n = \emptyset$;
 - % Update of $\{F_{\ell}^{A}, F_{\ell}^{D}, W_{\ell}\}_{\ell}$;

foreach $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ do

- 2. $MF_{\ell} \leftarrow MF_{\ell} \cup (F_{\ell}^{A} \cap F_{\ell}^{D})$ % This line is important for $\ell \geq 1$;
- 3. $F_{\ell}^A \leftarrow F_{\ell}^A \setminus MF_{\ell}$ % Remove MF_{ℓ} from the active functions of level ℓ ;
- 4. Save in W the values of W_{ℓ} corresponding to functions in MF_{ℓ} and update W_{ℓ} by removing these values;
- 5. $F_{\ell}^D \leftarrow F_{\ell}^D \cup MF_{\ell}$ % Update F_{ℓ}^D by adding the functions to be deactivated;

for each β in MF $_{\ell}$ do

- 6. Compute the set of indices I_{β} of the B-splines of level $\ell+1$ and the corresponding coefficients c of the two-scale relation (4) when writing β as a linear combination of functions in $\mathcal{B}_{\ell+1}$
- 7. Use get_cells to update $F_{\ell+1}^D$ by adding the functions in $(I_{\beta} \setminus F_{\ell+1}^A) \setminus F_{\ell+1}^D$ that have no active cell of level $\ell+1$ within its support.
- 8. $F_{\ell+1}^A \leftarrow F_{\ell+1}^A \cup I_\beta$ % Enlarge $F_{\ell+1}^A$ by adding the possible new active functions;
- 9. Enlarge $W_{\ell+1}$ in order to match with the new $F_{\ell+1}^A$, setting equal to zero the coefficients corresponding to the new functions in $F_{\ell+1}^A$.

% Now, we update the values in $W_{\ell+1}$

10. **foreach** $\beta_{\ell+1}$ in I_{β} **do** $a_{\beta_{\ell+1}} \leftarrow a_{\beta_{\ell+1}} + a_{\beta} * c_{\beta_{\ell+1}}$, where a_{β} is the value in W corresponding to β , and $a_{\beta_{\ell+1}}$ and $c_{\beta_{\ell+1}}$ are the values in $W_{\ell+1}$ and c, respectively, corresponding to $\beta_{\ell+1}$;

end

% Now, we activate B-splines of level $\ell+1$ that are not children of any deactivated B-spline of level ℓ ;

- 11. Use get_basis_functions to compute the indices I of the B-splines of level $\ell + 1$ that do not vanish in some cell of $NE_{\ell+1}$;
- 12. $I \leftarrow I \setminus F_{\ell+1}^A$ % Remove from I the functions which were already active;
- 13. Use get_cells to update I by removing the functions such that have at least one cell of level $\ell+1$ in their support that does not belong to $E_{\ell+1}^A \cup E_{\ell+1}^D$;
- 14. $F_{\ell+1}^A \leftarrow F_{\ell+1}^A \cup I;$
- 15. Enlarge $W_{\ell+1}$ in order to match with the new $F_{\ell+1}^A$, setting equal to zero the coefficients corresponding to the new functions in $F_{\ell+1}^A$;

% This function updates the active and deactivated B-spline basis functions, when using the simplified hierarchical space. The inputs MESH and NE are already updated (see refine_hierarchical_mesh) and MF contains the indices of all B-splines that have to be deactivated (see compute_functions_to_deactivate). Finally, the variable SPACE in the input contains the information before updating the space

 $\mathbf{Data:} \text{ (Data for } \mathcal{Q}^*, \, \mathcal{H}, \, \mathtt{MF} \text{ and NE):} \begin{cases} \mathtt{MESH} = \{E_\ell^A, E_\ell^D\}_{\ell=0}^n \\ \mathtt{SPACE} = \{F_\ell^A, F_\ell^D\}_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \\ \mathtt{MF} = \{\mathtt{MF}_\ell\}_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \\ \mathtt{NE} = \{\mathtt{NE}_\ell\}_{\ell=0}^n \end{cases}$

Result: (Data for \mathcal{H}^*): SPACE = $\{F_\ell^A, F_\ell^D\}_{\ell=0}^n$

1. if $MF_{n-1} \neq \emptyset$, then $F_n^A = F_n^D = \emptyset$;

foreach $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ do

- 2. $F_{\ell}^A \leftarrow F_{\ell}^A \setminus MF_{\ell}$ % Remove MF_{ℓ} from the active functions of level ℓ ;
- 3. $F_{\ell}^D \leftarrow F_{\ell}^D \cup MF_{\ell}$ % Update F_{ℓ}^D by adding the functions to be deactivated;
- 4. $F^C \leftarrow \text{split_fun } (MF_\ell)$, % Compute the list of children functions of the marked functions;
- 5. $F^C \leftarrow F^C \setminus (F_{\ell+1}^A \cup F_{\ell+1}^D)$ Take only the children that have not been already activated.
- 6. $F_{\ell+1}^A \leftarrow F_{\ell+1}^A \cup F^C$ % Enlarge $F_{\ell+1}^A$ by adding the new active functions;
- 7. Use get_cells to update $MF_{\ell+1}$ by adding the functions in F^C that have no active cell of level $\ell+1$ within its support.

Algorithm 7: update_active_functions

% This function updates the active and deactivated B-spline basis functions, when using the standard hierarchical space. The inputs MESH and NE are already updated (see refine_hierarchical_mesh) and MF contains the indices of all B-splines that have to be deactivated (see compute_functions_to_deactivate). Finally, the variable SPACE in the input contains the information before updating the space

 $\mathbf{Data:} \text{ (Data for } \mathcal{Q}^*, \, \mathcal{H}, \, \mathtt{MF} \text{ and NE):} \begin{cases} \mathtt{MESH} = \{E_\ell^A, E_\ell^D\}_{\ell=0}^n \\ \mathtt{SPACE} = \{F_\ell^A, F_\ell^D\}_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \\ \mathtt{MF} = \{\mathtt{MF}_\ell\}_{\ell=0}^{n-1} \\ \mathtt{NE} = \{\mathtt{NE}_\ell\}_{\ell=0}^n \end{cases}$

Result: (Data for \mathcal{H}^*): SPACE = $\{F_\ell^A, F_\ell^D\}_{\ell=0}^n$

1. if $NE_{n-1} \neq \emptyset$, then $F_n^A = F_n^D = \emptyset$;

foreach $\ell = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$ do

- 2. $F_{\ell}^A \leftarrow F_{\ell}^A \setminus MF_{\ell}$ % Remove MF_{ℓ} from the active functions of level ℓ ;
- 3. $F_{\ell}^D \leftarrow F_{\ell}^D \cup MF_{\ell}$ % Update F_{ℓ}^D by adding the functions to be deactivated;
- 4. $F^C \leftarrow \texttt{get_basis_functions(NE}_{\ell+1})$ % Compute the indices of B-splines of level $\ell+1$ that do not vanish in some cell of $NE_{\ell+1}$;
- 5. $F^C \leftarrow F^C \setminus F_{\ell+1}^A$ % Remove from F^C the functions that were already active;
- 6. Use get_cells to update F^C by removing the functions such that have at least one cell of level $\ell+1$ in their support that does not belong to $E^A_{\ell+1} \cup E^D_{\ell+1}$;
- 7. $F_{\ell+1}^A \leftarrow F_{\ell+1}^A \cup F^C$;

Algorithm 8: update_active_functions

3.2 Refinement matrix between hierarchical spaces (knot insertion)

Since the spaces are nested, span $\mathcal{H} \subset \operatorname{span} \mathcal{H}^*$, we can write a function in the first space as a linear combination of basis functions in the second one, and the operation can be done through a matrix. It is possible to use the information of active and deactivated functions to obtain this.

MORE NOTATION TO BE FIXED

- N_{ℓ} : number of basis functions for the tensor-product space of level ℓ .
- N_{ℓ}^{A} : number of active functions of level ℓ in the hierarchical space.
- $N_{\ell}^{A \cup D}$: number of active and deactivated functions of level ℓ .

The analogous notation with * is used for the refined space.

Since any (active) function in \mathcal{H} is either in \mathcal{H}^* or in the set of deactivated functions (DEFINE), we can define the rectangular matrix $\tilde{I}_{\ell} \in \mathcal{M}_{N_{\ell}^{*A \cup D}, N_{\ell}^{A}}$ such that

$$(\tilde{I}_{\ell})_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \beta_{i,\ell}^{*^{A \cup D}} = \beta_{j,\ell}^{A}(active) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define the matrix for refinement $K = K_n$ with the following recursive algorithm:

1.
$$K_0 = \tilde{I}_0$$
,

2.
$$K_{\ell+1} = \begin{bmatrix} K_{\ell}^{A^*} & 0 \\ K_{\ell}^{\ell+1} K_{\ell}^{D^*} & \tilde{I}_{\ell+1} \end{bmatrix}$$
,

where $K_{\ell}^{A^*}$ and $K_{\ell}^{D^*}$ are submatrices of K_{ℓ} restricted to the rows corresponding to active and deactivated functions in \mathcal{H}^* . The matrix $K_{\ell}^{\ell+1}$ is the submatrix of $C_{\ell}^{\ell+1}$ restricted to the rows of active and deactivated functions of level $\ell+1$ in \mathcal{H}^* , and the columns of deactivated functions of level ℓ in \mathcal{H}^* .

We remark that this recursive algorithm is independent of the chosen hierarchical space (either standard or simplified). To compute the same matrix for truncated hierarchical B-splines, one only needs to replace the matrix $C_{\ell}^{\ell+1}$ (and its submatrix) by its truncated version. (EXPLAIN IN PREVIOUS SECTIONS)

The refinement matrix K may become useful in several occasions. For instance, it can be used for applying knot insertion; in time dependent problems, it can serve to pass the solution from the previous time step to the current mesh; in multigrid methods, it can be used to pass from a grid of n levels to a grid of n + 1 levels...

3.3 Initialization of a hierarchical spline space

The function refine detailed in the previous section can be also used to select the active functions of a hierarchical B-spline basis \mathcal{H} , if we know the active cells of each

```
function [MESH, SPACE] = build_hierarchical_space(\{E_\ell^A\}_{\ell=0}^{n-1})

Data: \{E_\ell^A\}_{\ell=0}^{n-1} (Active elements in each level)

Result: (Data for \mathcal{Q} and \mathcal{H}): \begin{cases} \text{MESH} = \{E_\ell^A, E_\ell^D\}_\ell \\ \text{SPACE} = \{F_\ell^A, F_\ell^D, W_\ell\}_\ell \end{cases} (\ell = 0, 1, \dots, n-1).

1. Define \hat{E}_0^A as the set of indices of all the cells in \mathcal{Q}_0;

2. Define F_0^A as the set of indices of all the basis functions in \mathcal{B}_0;

3. Define the corresponding array of weights W_0 setting all the values equal to 1;

4. \hat{E}_0^D = \emptyset;

5. F_0^D = \emptyset;

6. MESH = \{\hat{E}_0^A, \hat{E}_0^D\};

7. SPACE = \{F_0^A, F_0^D, W_0\};

foreach k = 0, \dots, n-2 do

8. Let M_0 = \dots = M_{k-1} = \emptyset and M_k = \hat{E}_k^A \setminus E_k^A. Then, MARKED = \{M_\ell\}_{\ell=0}^k;

9. [MESH, SPACE] = refine(MESH, SPACE, MARKED);
```

Algorithm 9: build_hierarchical_space

Remark 3.2. In order to make the last algorithm more understandable we have used the function refine. This algorithm can be improved by adapting the code of refine instead of call it. Notice that the set of marked cells in each of the calls to refine has cells only of one level.

4 Assembly of the matrix

level $\{E_{\ell}^A\}_{\ell=0}^{n-1}$.

SOME NOTATION, to be changed after discussion:

- N_{ℓ} : number of basis functions in \mathcal{B}_{ℓ} .
- N_{ℓ}^{A} : number of active functions of level ℓ . Give a name to the sets in (7).
- $\tilde{N}_{\ell}^{A} := \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} N_{k}^{A}$, number of active functions up to level ℓ .
- $\beta_{j,\ell}^A$ active functions. Probably we can avoid these.

One of the issues when implementing IGA with hierarchical splines is the assembly of the matrices. In order to compute the matrices of the discrete problem it is necessary to evaluate integrals that involve basis functions from different levels, and possibly in a level that does not correspond to the level of the functions. For instance, to compute one entry of the mass matrix, one must compute

$$\int_{\Omega} \beta_{i,\ell_i} \beta_{j,\ell_j} d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{Q \in \mathcal{Q}} \int_{Q} \beta_{i,\ell_i} \beta_{j,\ell_j} d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\ell=\max\{\ell_i,\ell_j\}}^{n} \sum_{Q_{\ell} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\ell}} \int_{Q_{\ell}} \beta_{i,\ell_i} \beta_{j,\ell_j} d\mathbf{x}.$$

In order to compute the integrals, we take advantage of the two-scale relation (4): I AM CHANGING THE NOTATION

$$\beta_{i,\ell} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\ell+1}} c_{k,\ell+1}(\beta_{i,\ell}) \beta_{k,\ell+1}, \qquad \forall \, \beta_{i,\ell} \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell},$$

and computing the coefficients for each basis function, we obtain an operator $C_{\ell}^{\ell+1}$: $S_{\ell} \longrightarrow S_{\ell+1}$, which can be written in matrix form.

Succesively applying the two-scale relation, we obtain the general version

$$\beta_{i,\ell} = \sum_{k=1}^{N_{\ell+m}} c_{k,\ell+m}(\beta_{i,\ell}) \beta_{k,\ell+m}, \qquad \forall \, \beta_{i,\ell} \in \mathcal{B}_{\ell},$$

and the matrix operator $C_\ell^{\ell+m} = C_{\ell+m-1}^{\ell+m} \dots C_{\ell+1}^{\ell+2} C_\ell^{\ell+1}$.

Plugging this expression into our integral, and after reordering, we obtain that

$$\int_{\Omega} \beta_{i,\ell_{i}} \beta_{j,\ell_{j}} d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\ell=\max\{\ell_{i},\ell_{j}\}}^{n} \sum_{Q_{\ell} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\ell}} \int_{Q_{\ell}} \left(\sum_{k_{i}=1}^{N_{\ell}} c_{k_{i},\ell}(\beta_{i,\ell_{i}}) \beta_{k_{i},\ell} \right) \left(\sum_{k_{j}=1}^{N_{\ell}} c_{k_{j},\ell}(\beta_{j,\ell_{j}}) \beta_{k_{j},\ell} \right) d\mathbf{x} = \sum_{\ell=\max\{\ell_{i},\ell_{j}\}}^{n} \sum_{k_{i}=1}^{N_{\ell}} \sum_{k_{j}=1}^{N_{\ell}} c_{k_{i},\ell}(\beta_{i,\ell_{i}}) c_{k_{j},\ell}(\beta_{j,\ell_{j}}) \sum_{Q_{\ell} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\ell}} \int_{Q_{\ell}} \beta_{k_{i},\ell} \beta_{k_{j},\ell} d\mathbf{x}.$$

After the arrangements, we see that it is only needed to compute, in the active elements of level ℓ , the integrals involving the tensor product functions of that level, both active and inactive. The computation of these integrals is easily available in any software for IGA.

The only missing part is the computation of the coefficients in an efficient way. This can be done rewriting the equations in matrix form. Let us denote by M_{ℓ} the matrix obtained from function of level ℓ , that is

$$(M_{\ell})_{k_i k_j} = \sum_{Q_{\ell} \in \mathcal{Q}_{\ell}} \int_{Q_{\ell}} \beta_{k_i, \ell} \beta_{k_j, \ell} \, d\mathbf{x},$$

and let us also denote by $\tilde{I}_{\ell} \in \mathcal{M}_{N_{\ell},N_{\ell}^A}$ the rectangular matrix such that

$$(\tilde{I}_{\ell})_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } \beta_{i,\ell} = \beta_{j,\ell}^A(active) \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

We define the matrices for basis change $C_{\ell} \in \mathcal{M}_{N_{\ell}, \tilde{N}_{\ell}^{A}}$ by the recursive algorithm

1.
$$C_0 = \tilde{I}_0$$
.

2.
$$C_{\ell} = [C_{\ell-1}^{\ell} C_{\ell-1}, \tilde{I}_{\ell}].$$

By doing so, the global mass matrix is written as

$$M = \sum_{\ell=0}^{n} C_{\ell}^{T} M_{\ell} C_{\ell}.$$

References

- [BG15a] A. Buffa and E.M. Garau, New refinable spaces and local approximation estimates for hierarchical splines, submitted, 2015.
- [BG15b] A. Buffa and E.M. Garau, A posteriori error estimators for hierarchical B-spline discretizations, in preparation, 2015.
- [dB01] C. de Boor, A practical guide to splines, Revised edition. Applied Mathematical Sciences, 27. Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [GJS14] C. Giannelli, B. Jüttler, and H. Speleers, Strongly stable bases for adaptively refined multilevel spline spaces, Adv. Comput. Math. 40 (2014), no. 2, 459–490.
- [K98] R. Kraft, Adaptive und linear unabhängige multilevel B-Splines und ihre Anwendungen, Ph.D. thesis, Universität Stuttgart, 1998.
- [S07] L.L. Schumaker, *Spline functions: basic theory*, Third edition, Cambridge Mathematical Library, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2007.
- [VGJS11] A.-V. Vuong, C. Giannelli, B. Jüttler and B. Simeon, A hierarchical approach to adaptive local refinement in isogeometric analysis, Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Engrg. 200 (2011), no. 49-52, 3554–3567.