Math221_Summary_Statistics

January 30, 2018

```
Math 221 - Applied Statistics (Data Analysis)
   Dr. Kamal Dingle (Spring 2018)
   Summary Statistics
   Computing summary statistics is easy in Python.
   First let's make some data
In [1]: import numpy as np
        X = 5*np.random.rand(100) # 100 uniform random numbers between 0 and 5
   Let's find the mean of X
In [2]: np.mean(X)
Out[2]: 2.6291180609569391
   Which makes sense, because it is about half way beteen 0 and 5.
   Now let's find the median
In [3]: np.median(X)
Out[3]: 2.8271151434378794
   It is not worthwhile trying to find the mode of X, because probably the numbers are all dif-
ferent, or with very few repetitions. So, to practice finding the mode we will make some fake
data:
```

```
In [4]: Data = [1,2,3,3,3,4,2,5,6,3,6,7,8,7,5,3,4,3,3]
```

We could use numpy to find the mode, but it is easier to use another library called **scipy**

```
In [5]: from scipy.stats import mode
In [6]: mode(Data)
Out[6]: ModeResult(mode=array([3]), count=array([7]))
```

Which tells you that the mode is 3, and the number 3 occured 7 times. Now for some measures of spread. We will analyse the data in X again. We start with the range

4.91973172095

Which is what we expect, because the random numbers were between 0 and 5, so should have a range of about 5

Now for the standard deviation and variance

2.14386085052

Recall from the class that std = square root of variance, so we'll quickly verify this.

```
In [10]: np.sqrt(sigmasquared)
Out[10]: 1.4641929007196142
```

Which is the same as sigma.

Finally we calculate the linear correlation as follows, but we first need to correlate *with something*, so lets make some more random data:

The linear correlation is in the top-right (and bottom left). The correlation is very close to zero, which is what we expect for completely random numbers.

But if we make a new variable Z

```
In [12]: Z = X + Y
```

Then we expect Z to correlate with X (and also Y). Let's see if it does.

Yes indeed it does, the correlation is much stronger now, and in fact it is a **positive correlation**