Analysis Report

This report is structured as follows.

Contents

Descriptive Tables Conditional Logit Model	
Conditional Logit Model	
Constitution Dogit 1410001	
Fixed Effects Model	
	00.
Fixed Effects Model with Interactions	· G
Random Parameters Model	
Random Parameters Model without Interactions	
Random Parameters Model with Interactions	
SAMPLERE	

Frequency Tables

The table below presents demographic characteristics of participants, including gender, region, age, education level, employment status, location, income, household size, and number of children, along with preferences for beef steak attributes across different block selections. The majority of participants were females (56.9%), with a significant portion of the respondents being older than 45 years (63.6%). Most participants had higher education (52.3%) and were in full-time employment (38.9%), predominantly residing in urban areas (73.3%). The income distribution was varied, with the largest segment earning between \$25,000 and \$49,999 (24.3%). Households commonly consisted of two members (38.3%), and a significant portion of respondents preferred not to disclose the number of children (59.6%).

Category	Level	N	%
Gender	Female	679	56.9
Gender	Male	515	43.1
	Atlantic Canada	100	8.4
	British Columbia	154	12.9
Canada Dagian	Northern Canada	9	0.8
Canada_Region	Ontario	500	41.9
	Prairies	252	21.1
	Quebec	179	15.0
	15 – 30 years old	154	12.9
Age_Cat	30 – 45 years old	281	23.5
	45+	759	63.6
	Did not attend school	11	0.9
	Graduate or Professional Degree (MA, MSc, MAB, PhD, JD, MD, DDS,	201	16.8
Education	DVM, etc.)		
Education	Higher education (e.g., university degree or higher education diploma)		52.3
	Primary education	29	2.4
	Secondary education (e.g., high school)	329	27.6
	Full-time employment	464	38.9
	Home-maker	50	4.2
	I prefer not to answer	11	0.9
Employment	Part-time employment		10.2
	Retired	361	30.2
	Self-employed	72	6.0
	Student	34	2.8
	Unemployed	80	6.7
	I prefer not to answer	8	0.7
Location	Rural	311	26.0
	Urban	875	73.3
	\$100,000 - \$149,999		16.9
N.	\$150,000 or more	98	8.2
	\$25,000 - \$49,999		24.3
Income	\$50,000 - \$74,999		18.3
	\$75,000 - \$99,999		16.2
	I prefer not to answer	38	3.2
	Less than \$25,000	153	12.8
	1	277	23.2
	2		38.3
HH_size	3		18.3
	4	150	12.6
	I prefer not to answer	8	0.7

Category	Level	N %
	More than 4	84 7.0
	1	307 25.7
	2	118 9.9
Num_Child	3	40 3.4
	I prefer not to answer	712 59.6
	More than 3	17 1.4

Regarding preferences for beef steak attributes, the choice experiments were divided into three blocks, each representing different combinations of animal welfare practices, hair type on the steak, breeding methods, and price points. Notably, preferences varied significantly across different configurations. For instance, in block 1, the choice set "CE_Steak1_1_4.1" with Ear Tag, Normal hair, GS breeding, and a price of 18 dollars saw the highest preference for Beef Steak 1 (66.8%), with a substantial proportion of respondents (21.2%) not preferring any of the products. Similarly, in block 3, the choice set "CE_Steak1_3_2.1" featuring Ear Tag, Slick hair, GS breeding, and an 18-dollar price had the highest preference for Beef Steak 1 (75.1%), indicating distinct patterns in consumer preferences based on the combination of attributes presented (table below).

Category	Animal_Welfare	Hair	Breeding	Price	Level	N	%
					Block 1	397	33.2
Block_selection.1					Block 2	399	33.4
					Block 3	398	33.3
		X	(0.		Beef Steak 1	173	43.6
CE_Steak1_1_1.1	Ear Tag	Slick	GS	20	Beef Steak 2	189	47.6
					None of these products	35	8.8
					Beef Steak 1	68	17.1
CE_Steak1_1_2.1	Ear Tag	Slick	GS	22	Beef Steak 2	304	76.6
	<u></u>				None of these products	25	6.3
					Beef Steak 1	248	62.5
CE_Steak1_1_3.1	Hot Branding	Slick	CB	18	Beef Steak 2	107	27.0
					None of these products	42	10.6
					Beef Steak 1	265	66.8
CE_Steak1_1_4.1	Ear Tag	Normal	GS	18	Beef Steak 2	48	12.1
					None of these products	84	21.2
					Beef Steak 1	239	60.2
CE_Steak1_1_5.1	Hot Branding	Slick	CB	22	Beef Steak 2	75	18.9
					None of these products	83	20.9
M.					Beef Steak 1	213	53.7
CE_Steak1_1_6.1	Hot Branding	Slick	CB	20	Beef Steak 2	125	31.5
<u></u>					None of these products	59	14.9
					Beef Steak 1	101	25.4
CE_Steak1_1_7.1	Ear Tag	Normal	GS	22	Beef Steak 2	256	64.5
-					None of these products	40	10.1
					Beef Steak 1	156	39.3
CE_Steak1_1_8.1	Hot Branding	Normal	GE	18	Beef Steak 2	105	26.4
					None of these products	136	34.3
CE_Steak1_2_1.1	Ear Tag	Slick	GS	22	Beef Steak 1	231	57.9
CE_SIGAKI_Z_1.1	Lai Tag	SHCK	Ob	44	Beef Steak 2	94	23.6

Category	Animal_Welfare	Hair	Breeding	Price	Level	N	%
					None of these products	74	18.5
					Beef Steak 1	149	37.3
CE_Steak1_2_2.1	Hot Branding	Normal	GS	22	Beef Steak 2	171	42.9
					None of these products	79	19.8
					Beef Steak 1	219	54.9
CE_Steak1_2_3.1	Hot Branding	Slick	GS	18	Beef Steak 2	59	14.8
					None of these products	121	30.3
					Beef Steak 1	44	11.0
CE_Steak1_2_4.1	Hot Branding	Slick	GE	24	Beef Steak 2	248	62.2
	-				None of these products	107	26.8
					Beef Steak 1	96	24.1
CE_Steak1_2_5.1	Hot Branding	Normal	GE	24	Beef Steak 2	150	37.6
	Č				None of these products	153	38.3
					Beef Steak 1	150	37.6
CE_Steak1_2_6.1	Ear Tag	Slick	GE	18	Beef Steak 2	196	49.1
	C				None of these products	53	13.3
					Beef Steak 1	169	42.4
CE_Steak1_2_7.1	Hot Branding	Slick	СВ	20	Beef Steak 2	131	32.8
	C				None of these products	99	24.8
					Beef Steak 1	60	15.0
CE_Steak1_2_8.1	Hot Branding	Slick	GE	20	Beef Steak 2	214	53.6
					None of these products		31.3
				0	Beef Steak 1	50	12.6
CE_Steak1_3_1.1	Hot Branding	Slick	СВ	22	Beef Steak 2	284	71.4
	C			0	None of these products	64	16.1
)	Beef Steak 1	299	75.1
CE_Steak1_3_2.1	Ear Tag	Slick	GS	18	Beef Steak 2	39	9.8
	C		00.		None of these products	60	15.1
		X	0		Beef Steak 1	294	73.9
CE_Steak1_3_3.1	Hot Branding	Slick	СВ	18	Beef Steak 2	47	11.8
	C	/ -			None of these products	57	14.3
-					Beef Steak 1	178	44.7
CE_Steak1_3_4.1	Hot Branding	Normal	GE	18	Beef Steak 2	152	38.2
					None of these products	68	17.1
-					Beef Steak 1	232	58.3
CE_Steak1_3_5.1	Ear Tag	Normal	GE	20	Beef Steak 2	61	15.3
					None of these products	105	26.4
					Beef Steak 1	35	8.8
CE_Steak1_3_6.1	Ear Tag	Slick	СВ	24	Beef Steak 2	332	83.4
		,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,			None of these products	31	7.8
					Beef Steak 1	88	22.1
CE_Steak1_3_7.1	Ear Tag	Slick	GS	22	Beef Steak 2	265	66.6
	~~ - ~· o	·= == = **			None of these products	45	11.3
					Beef Steak 1		34.9
CE_Steak1_3_8.1	Hot Branding	Normal	CB	20	Beef Steak 2	204	51.3
52_5.0ak1_5_0.1	1100 Dimining	1 (Ollifful	C.D	_0	None of these products	55	13.8
_					1,one of these products		15.0

Concerns about heat stress on cattle due to climate change were also prominent, with 49.4% of participants being somewhat concerned and 19.7% being extremely concerned. This indicates a considerable level of worry about the impacts of climate change on animal welfare among the public.

When exploring what participants think animal welfare entails, a range of factors were identified as important, including suffering (47.2%), emotions (27.8%), happiness (37.9%), stress (43.8%), natural outdoor conditions (57.9%), and a clean environment (61.4%). These responses illustrate a comprehensive understanding of animal welfare, emphasizing both physical and emotional well-being.

Sources of information on animal welfare varied, with 31.2% of respondents receiving information from classic sources like radio, TV, and magazines, and a significant 43% indicating they don't usually receive information related to animal welfare. This suggests that while traditional media remains a vital source of information, there is a considerable portion of the population that may be uninformed about animal welfare issues.

Regarding the need for stricter legislation on animal welfare in Canada, 52.6% of respondents felt there was no need for any changes. This response could reflect satisfaction with the current state of animal welfare legislation or a lack of awareness of existing deficiencies (table below).

Category	Level	N	%
X	I know exactly what it		
Have you heard of the slick coat trait in the gene edited cattle	is	54	4.5
before	I've never heard about		
	it	1140	95.5
6 ,	Checked	340	28.5
κ'ο-	Unchecked	854	71.5
What factors would influence your willings to to got for the click	Checked	611	51.2
What factors would influence your willingness to pay for the slick coat trait in the gene edited meat products Reducing methane	Unchecked	583	48.8
emissions	Checked	718	60.1
emissions	Unchecked	476	39.9
	Checked	141	11.8
	Unchecked	1053	88.2
	Extremely concerned	235	19.7
How concerned one year about the heat stress on cettle due to	I prefer not to answer	19	1.6
How concerned are you about the heat stress on cattle due to climate change	Not at all concerned	99	8.3
chinate change	Not very concerned	251	21.0
	Somewhat concerned	590	49.4
What do you think Animal Welfare means Suffering	Checked	563	47.2
what do you think Allimai wehale means Suffering	Unchecked	631	52.8
What do you think Animal Welfare means Emotions	Checked	332	27.8
what do you think Allimai werrare means. Emotions	Unchecked	862	72.2
What do you think Animal Welfare means Happiness	Checked	453	37.9
What do you think Allimai Werrare means Trappiness	Unchecked	741	62.1
What do you think Animal Welfare means Stress	Checked	523	43.8
What do you think Animal Welfare means Stress	Unchecked	671	56.2
What do you think Animal Welfare means Natural outdoor	Checked	691	57.9
conditions	Unchecked	503	42.1
What do you think Animal Welfare means Housing clean	Checked	733	61.4
environment healthy	Unchecked	461	38.6
What do you think Animal Welfare means Behaviour	Checked	345	28.9
what do you milk Ammai wenale means Denaylour	Unchecked	849	71.1

	Level	N	9
Category What do you think Animal Welfare means Health medical	Checked	702	58
treatments	Unchecked	492	30 41
treatments	Checked	519	43
What do you think Animal Welfare means Feeding concentrate	Unchecked		
<u> </u>		675	56
What do you think Animal Welfare means I prefer not to answer	Checked	22	1.
	Unchecked	1172	98
From which sources do you normally receive information related to	Checked	373	31
animal welfare Classic sources radio TV magazines	Unchecked	821	68
From which sources do you normally receive information related to	Checked	225	18
animal welfare Family friends	Unchecked	969	81
From which sources do you normally receive information related to	Checked	193	16
animal welfare Scientific sources conferences professional		(
publication	Unchecked	1001	83
From which sources do you normally receive information related to	Checked	225	18
animal welfare Company food company website food label	Unchecked	969	81
From which sources do you normally receive information related to	Checked	207	17
animal welfare Government institution websites	Unchecked	987	82
From which sources do you normally receive information related to	Checked	513	43
animal welfare I don't usually receive information related to	1/1		
animal welfare	Unchecked	681	57
From which sources do you normally receive information related to	Checked	15	1
animal welfare I prefer not to answer	Unchecked	1179	98
Please indicate three aspects related to animal welfare in which you	Checked	628	52
think the legislation of Canada would need to be more strict if any	5		
None nothing	Unchecked	566	4
Palael De			
R.			
AMIRILE			

Descriptive Tables

This section presents the results of descriptive analysis on continuous variables.

The mean score for how well-informed individuals feel about animal welfare is 4.428 with a standard error of the mean (SEM) of 0.071 and a standard deviation (SD) of 2.444. This suggests that, on average, respondents consider themselves moderately informed about animal welfare, though there is considerable variability in their responses.

Regarding the perceived level of animal welfare in Canada, the mean score is 6.029, with an SEM of 0.059 and an SD of 2.019. This indicates that respondents generally view the level of animal welfare in Canada positively, but again, perceptions vary significantly among individuals.

When asked about the importance of product labeling for purchasing gene-edited products, respondents indicated a high level of importance, with a mean score of 6.919, an SEM of 0.085, and an SD of 2.895. This response underscores the critical role that transparent labeling plays in consumer decision-making processes, particularly concerning gene-edited products.

The mean score for how informed respondents believe citizens are about animal welfare issues is 4.116, with an SEM of 0.073 and an SD of 2.519. This suggests that respondents perceive a moderate level of public awareness regarding animal welfare, highlighting a potential gap in knowledge and the need for enhanced educational efforts.

Variable	Mean	SEM	SD
In your opinion how well informed are you about animal welfare	4.428	0.071	2.444
In general terms you think that the level of animal welfare in Canada is	6.029	0.059	2.019
You think that the information you receive about animal welfare is	4.716	0.076	2.609
Is product labeling importanttoyou to buy geneedited products	6.919	0.085	2.895
In general terms how much informed do you think the citizens of your country are			
about animal welfare issues	4.116	0.073	2.519

Conditional Logit Model

This section presents the results of the modelling phase.

Fixed Effects Model

The analysis was performed using R version 4.3.2 and the *mlogit* package, tailored for discrete choice modeling. To fit the requirements of the *mlogit* package, the dataset was transformed into a long-format structure, with each row representing an alternative within the choice sets presented to respondents. The reshaped dataset comprised 19,104 observations, reflecting the choices made by 1,194 individuals across eight distinct choice situations.

The conditional logit model estimated the effects of several attributes on participants' choices, with price serving as a critical reference for calculating WTP values for the other attributes. The log-likelihood for the model was -4734.1, indicating the fit of the model to the observed choices. Additional statistics reinforced the good fit, $\chi^2 = 1278.083$, p < 0.001, Pseudo R² = 0.119 (Mcfadden's). WTP was computed using the Beta method, which involves dividing the beta coefficients of the attributes by the beta coefficient of the price. This calculation provides a monetary value representing the amount consumers are willing to pay for a unit increase in the attribute while holding other factors constant.

The model yielded significant findings for several attributes (table below). The beta coefficient for Animal Welfare Hot Branding was 0.606, with a standard error of 0.040, resulting in a z-value of 14.975 and a highly significant p-value, indicating a strong preference for hot branding animal welfare practices among consumers. The WTP for this attribute was estimated at -\$1.19, suggesting that consumers are willing to pay this amount to avoid meat products from animals subjected to hot branding. The attribute HairSlick had a smaller, almost significant effect on choice, with a WTP of -\$0.11. The BreedingGE (gene editing for enhanced traits) attribute had a beta coefficient of 1.112, a z-value of 23.178, and a significant p-value, with a WTP of -\$2.19, indicating a high valuation of gene editing. Similarly, BreedingGS (gene selection) was valued negatively by consumers, with a WTP of -\$0.79, compared to the reference category (CB)

Variable	Estimate	Std. Error	z-value	Pr (> z)	WTP
Animal_WelfareHot Branding	0.606	0.040	14.975	0.000	-\$1.19
HairSlick	0.058	0.030	1.893	0.058	-\$0.11
BreedingGE	1.112	0.048	23.178	0.000	-\$2.19
BreedingGS	0.400	0.043	9.362	0.000	-\$0.79
Price	0.508	0.012	42.468	0.000	

Fixed Effects Model with Interactions

A similar approach was employed here, but also adding interaction terms for all sociodemographic variables (dummy-coded). Log-likelihood for this model was -4707.5, a very low difference compared to the model without interactions. The model's robustness is evidenced by a Chi-Square value of 1331.2930 (p < .001), indicating a highly significant fit, and a McFadden's R-squared of 0.1239.

The table below shows the model coefficients.

Variable		В	SE	z-value	p
, uzimbie	Animal_WelfareHot Branding	-0.136	0.711	-0.191	0.849
	HairSlick	-0.087	0.555	-0.157	0.875
Fixed	BreedingGE	0.753	0.853	0.882	0.378
	BreedingGS	0.434	0.769	0.564	0.573
	Price	0.747	0.257	2.911	0.004
	Price:Male	-0.170	0.024	-7.200	0.000
	HairSlick:Male	-0.023	0.064	-0.356	0.722
Gender	BreedingGE:Male	-0.381	0.098	-3.877	0.000
	BreedingGS:Male	-0.125	0.089	-1.411	0.158
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Male	-0.218	0.083	-2.619	0.009
	Price:British Columbia	-0.132	0.061	-2.152	0.031
	Price:Northern Canada	-0.383	0.115	-3.322	0.001
	Price:Ontario	-0.144	0.054		0.008
	Price:Prairies	-0.165	0.057	-2.880	0.004
	Price:Quebec	-0.176	0.058	-3.026	0.002
	HairSlick:British Columbia	0.077	0.140	0.548	0.584
	HairSlick:Northern Canada	0.229	0.351	0.652	0.514
	HairSlick:Ontario	0.098	0.119	0.821	0.412
	HairSlick:Prairies	0.115	0.130	0.884	0.377
	HairSlick:Quebec	0.113	0.135	0.567	0.571
	BreedingGE:British Columbia	-0.253	0.133	-1.118	0.263
	BreedingGS:British Columbia	-0.132	0.209	-0.629	0.529
Canada	BreedingGE:Northern Canada	-0.132	0.523	-0.990	0.322
Region	BreedingGS:Northern Canada	-0.108	0.323	-0.217	0.322
	BreedingGE:Ontario	-0.108	0.497	-0.217	0.828
	BreedingGS:Ontario	-0.234	0.193	-0.660	0.109
	BreedingGE:Prairies	-0.121	0.164	-0.000	0.269
	BreedingGS:Prairies	-0.231	0.209	-0.677	0.498
	BreedingGE:Quebec	-0.132	0.193	-0.077	0.438
		-0.409	0.213	-0.804	0.038
	BreedingGS:Quebec				0.422
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:British Columbia	0.017	0.187	0.088	
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Northern Canada	-0.038	0.440	-0.085	0.932
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Ontario	0.026	0.158	0.164	0.869
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Prairies	0.000	0.172	0.002	0.998
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Quebec	-0.043	0.178	-0.243	0.808
	Price:30 – 45 years old	0.170	0.034	4.929	0.000
	Price:45+	0.280	0.034	8.137	0.000
	HairSlick:30 – 45 years old	-0.016	0.110	-0.141	0.888
	HairSlick:45+	-0.001	0.106	-0.008	0.994
Age Cat	BreedingGE:30 – 45 years old	0.390	0.162	2.406	0.016
	BreedingGS:30 – 45 years old	0.249	0.149	1.670	0.095
Age Cat	BreedingGE:45+	0.614	0.157	3.911	0.000
	BreedingGS:45+	0.350	0.143	2.439	0.015
O,	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:30 – 45 years old	0.241	0.138	1.744	0.081
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:45+	0.340	0.133	2.548	0.011
	Price: Graduate or Professional Degree (MA, MSc, MAB,	-0.400	0.215	-1.860	0.063
	PhD, JD, MD, DDS, DVM, etc.)				
. .	Price:Higher (e.g., university degree or higher diploma)	-0.347	0.214	-1.622	0.105
Education		-0.403	0.222	-1.812	0.070
	Price:Secondary (e.g., high school)	-0.283	0.215	-1.318	0.188
	HairSlick:Graduate or Professional Degree (MA, MSc,	0.178	0.348	0.512	0.609
	MAB, PhD, JD, MD, DDS, DVM, etc.)	,			

Variable		В	SE	z-value	р
	HairSlick:Higher (e.g., university degree or higher	0.119	0.341	0.349	0.72
	diploma)				
	HairSlick:Primary	0.139	0.392	0.354	0.723
	HairSlick:Secondary (e.g., high school)	0.177	0.342	0.517	0.603
	BreedingGE:Graduate or Professional Degree (MA, MSc,	-0.027	0.562	-0.048	0.96
	MAB, PhD, JD, MD, DDS, DVM, etc.)				
	BreedingGS:Graduate or Professional Degree (MA, MSc,	-0.166	0.538	-0.308	0.758
	MAB, PhD, JD, MD, DDS, DVM, etc.)				
	BreedingGE:Higher (e.g., university degree or higher	0.138	0.552	0.250	0.802
	diploma)				. 0
	BreedingGS:Higher (e.g., university degree or higher	-0.073	0.530	-0.137	0.89
	diploma)	0.047	0.622	0.076	0.04
	BreedingGE:Primary	0.047	0.622	0.076	0.94
	BreedingGS:Primary	-0.143	0.587	-0.244	0.80
	BreedingGE:Secondary (e.g., high school)	0.254	0.556	0.456	0.64
	BreedingGS:Secondary (e.g., high school)	-0.056	0.534	-0.105	0.91
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Graduate or Professional Degree	0.462	0.455	1.015	0.31
	(MA, MSc, MAB, PhD, JD, MD, DDS, DVM, etc.)		5		
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Higher (e.g., university degree or	0.564	0.447	1.261	0.20°
	higher diploma)				
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Primary	0.381	0.509	0.749	0.45
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Secondary (e.g., high school)	0.606	0.449	1.350	0.17
	Price:Home-maker	0.209	0.080	2.625	0.00
	Price:I prefer not to answer	-0.141	0.105	-1.350	0.17
	Price:Part-time	-0.016	0.038	-0.420	0.67
	Price:Retired	0.159	0.038	4.194	0.00
	Price:Self-employed Price:Student Price:Unemployed HairSlick:Home-maker	0.067	0.051	1.322	0.18
	Price:Student	0.107	0.065	1.634	0.10
	Price:Unemployed	0.062	0.054	1.147	0.25
		0.048	0.177	0.270	0.78
	HairSlick:I prefer not to answer	0.191	0.368	0.519	0.60
	HairSlick:Part-time	0.053	0.114	0.467	0.64
	HairSlick:Retired	0.005	0.093	0.052	0.95
	HairSlick:Self-employed	0.109	0.145	0.749	0.45
	HairSlick:Student	0.049	0.200	0.245	0.80
	HairSlick:Unemployed	0.016	0.147	0.112	0.91
	BreedingGE:Home-maker	0.408	0.288	1.415	0.15
Employm	BreedingGS:Home-maker	0.110	0.248	0.443	0.65
ent	BreedingGE:I prefer not to answer	-0.117	0.523	-0.225	0.82
	BreedingGS:I prefer not to answer	-0.079	0.427	-0.185	0.85
	BreedingGE:Part-time	-0.004	0.171	-0.025	0.98
	BreedingGS:Part-time	0.015	0.153	0.100	0.92
	BreedingGE:Retired	0.162	0.147	1.099	0.27
	BreedingGS:Retired	0.035	0.132	0.266	0.79
	BreedingGE:Self-employed	0.213	0.221	0.963	0.33
	BreedingGS:Self-employed	0.003	0.185	0.017	0.98
	BreedingGE:Student	0.240	0.299	0.803	0.42
	BreedingGS:Student	0.100	0.280	0.356	0.72
	BreedingGE:Unemployed	0.094	0.226	0.414	0.67
	BreedingGS:Unemployed	0.020	0.200	0.098	0.92
				2.070	
		0 347	0.244	1.424	() 15
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Home-maker	0.347	0.244	1.424 -0.206	
		0.347 -0.092 0.133	0.244 0.448 0.146	1.424 -0.206 0.910	0.15 0.83 0.36

Variable		В	SE	z-value	р
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Self-employed	0.160	0.188	0.848	0.396
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Student	0.130	0.252	0.518	0.605
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Unemployed	0.218	0.192	1.135	0.256
	Price:Rural	0.003	0.128	0.024	0.981
	Price:Urban	-0.010	0.126	-0.076	0.939
	HairSlick:Rural	-0.096	0.398	-0.241	0.810
	HairSlick:Urban	-0.102	0.394	-0.258	0.797
Location	BreedingGE:Rural	0.007	0.590	0.012	0.991
Location	BreedingGS:Rural	-0.041	0.502	-0.082	0.935
	BreedingGE:Urban	-0.032	0.584	-0.054	0.957
	BreedingGS:Urban	-0.054	0.496	-0.108	0.914
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Rural	-0.137	0.504	-0.272	0.785
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Urban	-0.153	0.500	-0.306	0.759
	Price:\$150,000 or more	0.032	0.045	0.725	0.468
	Price:\$25,000 - \$49,999	0.036	0.039	0.930	0.353
	Price:\$50,000 - \$74,999	-0.007	0.037	-0.194	0.846
	Price:\$75,000 - \$99,999	0.044	0.038	1.179	0.239
	Price:I prefer not to answer	-0.010	0.080	-0.127	0.899
	Price:Less than \$25,000	-0.029	0.049	-0.587	0.55'
	HairSlick:\$150,000 or more	-0.042	0.127	-0.332	0.740
	HairSlick:\$25,000 - \$49,999	0.007	0.105	0.068	0.94
	HairSlick:\$50,000 - \$74,999	-0.002	0.105	-0.019	0.98
	HairSlick:\$75,000 - \$99,999	0.010	0.107	0.092	0.92°
	HairSlick:I prefer not to answer	0.176	0.211	0.835	0.404
	HairSlick:Less than \$25,000	0.030	0.135	0.223	0.82
	BreedingGE:\$150,000 or more	0.031	0.193	0.158	0.87
	BreedingGS:\$150,000 or more	0.038	0.182	0.209	0.83
·	BreedingGE:\$25,000 - \$49,999	0.062	0.162	0.383	0.702
Income	BreedingGS:\$25,000 - \$49,999	-0.024	0.146	-0.163	0.87
	BreedingGE:\$50,000 - \$74,999	0.084	0.160	0.527	0.59
	BreedingGS:\$50,000 - \$74,999	0.015	0.147	0.104	0.91
	BreedingGE:\$75,000 - \$99,999	0.111	0.162	0.685	0.49
	BreedingGS:\$75,000 - \$99,999	0.019	0.148	0.129	0.89°
	BreedingGE:I prefer not to answer	0.101	0.330	0.307	0.75
	BreedingGS:I prefer not to answer	-0.102	0.282	-0.360	0.71
	BreedingGE:Less than \$25,000	-0.162	0.206	-0.787	0.43
	BreedingGS:Less than \$25,000	-0.126	0.187	-0.675	0.50
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:\$150,000 or more	-0.043	0.163	-0.262	0.79
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:\$25,000 - \$49,999	-0.038	0.137	-0.275	0.78
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:\$50,000 - \$74,999	0.007	0.135	0.053	0.95
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:\$75,000 - \$99,999	0.043	0.138	0.310	0.75
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:I prefer not to answer	0.148	0.283	0.523	0.60
N	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Less than \$25,000	-0.199	0.175	-1.137	0.25
10,	Price:2	-0.048	0.034	-1.421	0.15
12,	Price:3	-0.061	0.037	-1.647	0.10
) ₁	Price:4	0.082	0.048	1.726	0.08
	Price:I prefer not to answer	0.158	0.177	0.894	0.37
	Price:More than 4	-0.089	0.055	-1.609	0.10
HH size	HairSlick:2	0.012	0.033	0.139	0.10
111 5120	HairSlick:3	0.012	0.007	0.139	0.57
	Tunones.				0.51
	HairSlick:4	_() ()&2	() 17×	-(1.6/1/1	
	HairSlick:4 HairSlick: I prefer not to answer	-0.082 0.649	0.128	-0.644 0.996	
	HairSlick:4 HairSlick:I prefer not to answer HairSlick:More than 4	-0.082 0.649 -0.007	0.128 0.651 0.173	-0.644 0.996 -0.042	0.319

Variable		В	SE	z-value	p
	BreedingGS:2	-0.024	0.123	-0.198	0.843
	BreedingGE:3	-0.134	0.162	-0.828	0.408
	BreedingGS:3	-0.122	0.143	-0.850	0.395
	BreedingGE:4	0.077	0.197	0.388	0.698
	BreedingGS:4	0.093	0.183	0.505	0.613
	BreedingGE:I prefer not to answer	0.673	0.918	0.733	0.463
	BreedingGS:I prefer not to answer	0.053	0.559	0.096	0.924
	BreedingGE:More than 4	-0.071	0.256	-0.278	0.781
	BreedingGS:More than 4	-0.060	0.220	-0.273	0.785
	Animal_WelfareHot Branding:2	-0.003	0.115	-0.030	0.976
	Animal_WelfareHot Branding:3	-0.002	0.139	-0.014	0.989
	Animal_WelfareHot Branding:4	-0.027	0.166	-0.165	0.869
	Animal_WelfareHot Branding:I prefer not to answer	0.266	0.783	0.340	0.734
	Animal_WelfareHot Branding:More than 4	0.084	0.218	0.383	0.702
	Price:2	-0.074	0.041	-1.774	0.076
	Price:3	0.030	0.064	0.469	0.639
	Price:I prefer not to answer	0.094	0.029	3.239	0.001
	Price:More than 3	0.070	0.098	0.718	0.473
	HairSlick:2	0.001	0.124	0.009	0.993
	HairSlick:3	-0.067	0.201	-0.333	0.739
	HairSlick:I prefer not to answer	-0.018	0.083	-0.217	0.829
	HairSlick:More than 3	0.022	0.288	0.075	0.940
	BreedingGE:2	-0.094	0.186	-0.504	0.614
Num	BreedingGS:2	-0.084	0.173	-0.486	0.627
Child	BreedingGE:3	-0.064	0.297	-0.216	0.829
	BreedingGS:3	-0.018	0.272	-0.067	0.947
	BreedingGE:I prefer not to answer	0.174	0.126	1.382	0.167
	BreedingGS:I prefer not to answer	0.052	0.111	0.469	0.639
	BreedingGE:More than 3	0.126	0.434	0.289	0.772
	BreedingGS:More than 3	0.031	0.382	0.082	0.935
	Animal_WelfareHot Branding:2	-0.111	0.157	-0.707	0.479
	Animal_WelfareHot Branding:3	-0.199	0.253	-0.788	0.430
	Animal_WelfareHot Branding:I prefer not to answer	0.138	0.107	1.293	0.196
	Animal_WelfareHot Branding:More than 3	-0.022	0.369	-0.059	0.953

Price Sensitivity across Gender: The interaction between price and male gender (B = -0.170, p < .001) highlights men's distinct price sensitivity compared to women. This suggests that male consumers may be more price-conscious or value gene-edited meat products differently.

Geographic Variation in Price Sensitivity: Significant interactions between price and specific Canadian regions—British Columbia (B = -0.132, p = .031), Northern Canada (B = -0.383, p = .001), Ontario (B = -0.144, p = .008), Prairies (B = -0.165, p = .004), and Quebec (B = -0.176, p = .002)—indicate regional differences in price sensitivity.

Age-Related Differences in Price Sensitivity: Age significantly affects price sensitivity, with the 30 -45 years old group (B = 0.170, p < .001) and the 45+ group (B = 0.280, p < .001) showing increased sensitivity compared to younger consumers. This points to age-related factors influencing consumer valuation of gene-edited meat products.

Employment Status and Price Sensitivity: Among various employment statuses, retirees show specific price sensitivities (B = 0.159, p < .001), reflecting possibly fixed income considerations in their purchasing decisions.

Breeding Techniques and Gender: The interaction between the BreedingGE attribute and male gender ats.

Jets.

Rata Rualysis Portroito

SAMPLE REPORT. Rata de l'Actor de l'Act (B = -0.381, p < .001) signifies gender-specific preferences for certain genetic breeding techniques, suggesting that men may have distinct attitudes towards genetic modifications in meat products.

Random Parameters Model

These models were fit using the *gmnl* package and the *mixl* model type (mixed choice models). Choice attributes were included as random parameters to investigate choice heterogeneity, while keeping a fixed parameter. The distribution of the random parameters were considered normal. Traditional model-fit indices were not calculated since a null random model is not feasible in these types of models. Log-likelihood was used instead.

Random Parameters Model without Interactions

Log-likelihood for this model was -4927.77, indicating a slightly worse fit than the conditional model. The standard error of the standard deviation of the distribution of Animal Welfare was not calculated, possibly due to the dummy nature of the variable, which makes the convergence of standard deviations difficult. The table below shows the coefficients.

Index	Estimate	Std. Error	z-value	Pr (> z)	WTP
Price	0.505	0.012	42.732	0.000	
Animal_WelfareEar.Tag	-0.122	0.037	-3.334	0.001	\$0.24
HairSlick	0.059	0.031	1.925	0.054	-\$0.12
BreedingGE	0.903	0.048	18.866	0.000	-\$1.79
BreedingGS	0.366	0.043	8.541	0.000	-\$0.72
sd.Animal_WelfareEar.Tag	0.100				
sd.HairSlick	0.100	0.042	2.393	0.017	
sd.BreedingGE	0.100	0.093	1.075	0.282	
sd.BreedingGS	0.100	0.064	1.563	0.118	

Price: The price coefficient was significant (B = 0.505, SE = 0.012, p < .001), underscoring the sensitivity of consumer choices to price changes.

Animal Welfare Ear Tag: Consumers were willing to pay more for products labeled with an ear tag indicating animal welfare (B = -0.122, SE = 0.037, p = .001, WTP = \$0.24).

Hair Slick: This attribute had a positive but not statistically significant effect on WTP at the 0.05 level (B = 0.059, SE = 0.031, p = .054).

Gene Editing (BreedingGE): There was a significant and negative WTP for gene-edited products (B = 0.903, SE = 0.048, p < .001, WTP = -\$1.79), compared to conventional methods.

Genetic Selection (BreedingGS): Similarly, products from genetically selected breeds had a positive impact on consumer choices (B = 0.366, SE = 0.043, p < .001, WTP = -\$0.72), though the WTP calculation was not explicitly provided.

Standard Deviations: The model estimated standard deviations for random parameters (e.g., sd.HairSlick: B=0.100, SE=0.042, p=.017), indicating significant heterogeneity in consumer preferences for certain attributes.

Random Parameters Model with Interactions

Log-likelihood for the model with interactions was -4923.86, similar to the model without interactions. This suggests that there is not much influence of sociodemographic variables on consumer's choice.

Variable	-	В	SE	Z	p
Fixed Parameter	Price	0.783	0.260	3.005	0.003
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag	0.639	0.723	0.884	0.377
	HairSlick	-0.144	0.566	-0.254	0.799
	BreedingGE	0.485	0.865	0.560	0.575
Random	BreedingGS	0.375	0.775	0.483	0.629
Parameters	sd.Animal_WelfareEar.Tag	0.100	0.366	0.273	0.785
ranameters	sd.HairSlick	0.100	0.045	2.222	0.026
	sd.BreedingGE	0.100	0.089	1.120	0.263
	sd.BreedingGS	0.100	0.065	1.546	0.122
	Price:Male	-0.169	0.024	-7.148	0.000
	HairSlick:Male	-0.022	0.065	-0.343	0.732
Gender	BreedingGE:Male	-0.300	0.099	-3.026	0.002
	BreedingGS:Male	-0.113	0.089	-1.269	0.204
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Male	0.026	0.082	0.316	0.752
	Price:British Columbia	-0.137	0.062	-2.218	0.027
	Price:Northern Canada	-0.390	0.116	-3.372	0.001
	Price:Ontario	-0.150	0.055	-2.733	0.006
	Price:Prairies	-0.170	0.058	-2.945	0.003
	Price:Quebec	-0.180	0.059	-3.065	0.002
	HairSlick:British Columbia	0.099	0.141	0.699	0.485
	HairSlick:Northern Canada	0.247	0.352	0.701	0.483
	HairSlick:Ontario	0.117	0.120	0.976	0.329
	HairSlick:Prairies	0.141	0.131	1.077	0.282
	HairSlick:Quebec	0.100	0.136	0.734	0.463
	BreedingGE:British Columbia	-0.231	0.227	-1.017	0.309
	BreedingGE:Northern Canada	-0.399	0.527	-0.757	0.307
Canada	BreedingGE:Ontario	-0.229	0.195	-1.177	0.239
Region	BreedingGE:Prairies	-0.207	0.173	-0.983	0.237
	BreedingGE:Quebec	-0.380	0.210	-1.754	0.320
	BreedingGS:British Columbia	-0.134	0.217	-0.635	0.525
	Dura din aCC. Nouth and Con a do	-0.134	0.499	-0.033	0.323
	BreedingGS:Northern Canada				
	BreedingGS:Ontario	-0.123	0.185	-0.664	0.507
	BreedingGS:Prairies	-0.134	0.196	-0.684	0.494
6/19.	BreedingGS:Quebec	-0.165	0.204	-0.809	0.418
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:British Columbia	-0.101	0.187	-0.539	0.590
SAMP	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Northern Canada	-0.243	0.447	-0.543	0.587
	Allimar_wellareEar.rag.Ontario	-0.119	0.157	-0.762	0.446
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Prairies	-0.112	0.171	-0.655	0.513
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Quebec	-0.082	0.175	-0.465	0.642
	Price:30 – 45 years old	0.167	0.034	4.861	0.000
	Price:45+	0.276	0.034	8.036	0.000
Age Cat	HairSlick:30 – 45 years old	-0.016	0.111	-0.141	0.888
	HairSlick:45+	-0.001	0.106	-0.007	0.995
	BreedingGE:30 – 45 years old	0.322	0.164	1.963	0.050

Variable		В	SE	Z	p
	BreedingGE:45+	0.500	0.158	3.156	0.002
	BreedingGS:30 – 45 years old	0.241	0.150	1.612	0.107
	BreedingGS:45+	0.334	0.144	2.322	0.020
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:30 – 45 years old	-0.050	0.139	-0.356	0.722
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:45+	-0.031	0.134	-0.228	0.820
	Price:Graduate or Professional Degree (MA, MSc, MAB, PhD, JD, MD, DDS, DVM, etc.)	-0.431	0.220	-1.958	0.050
	Price:Higher (e.g., university degree or higher diploma)	-0.379	0.219	-1.728	0.084
	Price:Primary	-0.433	0.227	-1.906	0.057
	Price:Secondary (e.g., high school)	-0.316	0.220	-1.439	0.150
	HairSlick:Graduate or Professional Degree (MA, MSc, MAB, PhD, JD, MD, DDS, DVM, etc.)	0.218	0.364	0.599	0.130
	HairSlick:Higher (e.g., university degree or higher	0.151	0.357	0.423	0.672
	diploma) HairSlick:Primary	0.165	0.407	0.406	0.685
	HairSlick:Secondary (e.g., high school)	0.217	0.359	0.606	0.544
	BreedingGE:Graduate or Professional Degree (MA, MSc, MAB, PhD, JD, MD, DDS, DVM, etc.)	0.138	0.577	0.239	0.811
Education	BreedingGE:Higher (e.g., university degree or higher diploma)	0.300	0.568	0.528	0.598
2000000	BreedingGE:Primary	0.242	0.640	0.378	0.706
	BreedingGE:Secondary (e.g., high school)	0.366	0.571	0.642	0.521
	BreedingGS:Graduate or Professional Degree (MA, MSc, MAB, PhD, JD, MD, DDS, DVM, etc.)	-0.121	0.546	-0.222	0.824
	BreedingGS:Higher (e.g., university degree or higher diploma)	-0.027	0.538	-0.050	0.960
	BreedingGS:Primary	-0.093	0.595	-0.157	0.875
	BreedingGS:Secondary (e.g., high school)	-0.020	0.542	-0.038	0.970
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Graduate or Professional Degree				
	(MA, MSc, MAB, PhD, JD, MD, DDS, DVM, etc.)	-0.699	0.468	-1.494	0.135
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Higher (e.g., university degree or higher diploma)	-0.752	0.458	-1.643	0.100
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Primary	-0.653	0.522	-1.252	0.211
	_ ,	-0.033			
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Secondary (e.g., high school)		0.461	-1.545	0.122
	Price: Home-maker	0.208	0.081	2.568	0.010
	Price:I prefer not to answer Price:Part-time	-0.143	0.104	-1.375	0.169
		-0.020	0.038	-0.535	0.592
	Price:Retired	0.160	0.038	4.197	0.000
	Price:Self-employed	0.062	0.050	1.234	0.217
	Price:Student	0.104	0.065	1.589	0.112
	Price:Unemployed	0.056	0.054	1.036	0.300
	HairSlick:Home-maker	0.051	0.184	0.279	0.781
	HairSlick:I prefer not to answer	0.225	0.370	0.607	0.544
N'	HairSlick:Part-time	0.057	0.115	0.497	0.619
Employment	HairSlick:Retired	0.007	0.093	0.076	0.939
	HairSlick:Self-employed	0.129	0.146	0.884	0.377
	HairSlick:Student	0.044	0.201	0.220	0.826
	HairSlick:Unemployed	0.022	0.148	0.151	0.880
	BreedingGE:Home-maker	0.022	0.146	1.091	0.275
	-	-0.106	0.287	-0.201	0.273
	BreedingGE: Port time				
	BreedingGE:Part-time	0.012	0.172	0.071	0.944
	BreedingGE:Retired	0.080	0.148	0.542	0.588
	BreedingGE:Self-employed	0.155	0.222	0.700	0.484
	BreedingGE:Student	0.210	0.303	0.693	0.488

Variable		В	SE	Z	p
	BreedingGE:Unemployed	0.081	0.228	0.356	0.722
	BreedingGS:Home-maker	0.096	0.249	0.384	0.701
	BreedingGS:I prefer not to answer	-0.078	0.429	-0.181	0.856
	BreedingGS:Part-time	0.019	0.154	0.122	0.903
	BreedingGS:Retired	0.020	0.133	0.153	0.879
	BreedingGS:Self-employed	-0.007	0.186	-0.038	0.970
	BreedingGS:Student	0.099	0.281	0.354	0.724
	BreedingGS:Unemployed	0.021	0.201	0.104	0.918
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Home-maker	-0.114	0.246	-0.464	0.643
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:I prefer not to answer	-0.004	0.449	-0.009	0.993
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Part-time	-0.125	0.144	-0.866	0.387
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Retired	0.003	0.121	0.026	0.979
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Self-employed	-0.049	0.185	-0.267	0.790
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Student	0.016	0.259	0.062	0.951
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Unemployed	-0.123	0.189	-0.651	0.515
	Price:Rural	0.007	0.127	0.055	0.956
	Price:Urban	-0.006	0.125	-0.044	0.965
	HairSlick:Rural	-0.100	0.399	-0.252	0.801
	HairSlick:Urban	-0.107	0.395	-0.270	0.787
T	BreedingGE:Rural	-0.007	0.592	-0.011	0.991
Location	BreedingGE:Urban	-0.038	0.586	-0.064	0.949
	BreedingGS:Rural	-0.043	0.502	-0.086	0.931
	BreedingGS:Urban	-0.055	0.496	-0.111	0.911
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Rural	0.120	0.507	0.236	0.813
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Urban	0.119	0.505	0.235	0.814
	Price:\$150,000 or more	0.034	0.044	0.764	0.445
	Price:\$25,000 - \$49,999	0.037	0.039	0.948	0.343
	Price:\$50,000 - \$74,999	-0.009	0.037	-0.252	0.801
	Price:\$75,000 - \$99,999	0.043	0.037	1.161	0.246
	Price:I prefer not to answer	-0.017	0.080	-0.218	0.827
	Price:Less than \$25,000	-0.025	0.049	-0.515	0.607
	HairSlick:\$150,000 or more	-0.053	0.128	-0.409	0.683
	HairSlick:\$25,000 - \$49,999	0.006	0.106	0.052	0.959
	HairSlick:\$50,000 - \$74,999	-0.003	0.105	-0.029	0.977
	HairSlick:\$75,000 - \$99,999	0.009	0.107	0.084	0.933
	HairSlick: I prefer not to answer	0.205	0.212	0.968	0.333
	HairSlick:Less than \$25,000	0.041	0.135	0.301	0.764
	BreedingGE:\$150,000 or more	0.039	0.196	0.201	0.841
	BreedingGE:\$25,000 - \$49,999	0.047	0.163	0.288	0.773
Income	BreedingGE:\$50,000 - \$74,999	0.096	0.161	0.595	0.552
	BreedingGE:\$75,000 - \$99,999	0.094	0.164	0.576	0.565
	BreedingGE:I prefer not to answer	0.062	0.329	0.188	0.851
	BreedingGE:Less than \$25,000	-0.187	0.207	-0.901	0.367
M.	BreedingGS:\$150,000 or more	0.041	0.182	0.227	0.821
	BreedingGS:\$25,000 - \$49,999	-0.026	0.147	-0.178	0.859
o ,	BreedingGS:\$50,000 - \$74,999	0.018	0.147	0.121	0.903
	BreedingGS:\$75,000 - \$99,999	0.018	0.149	0.117	0.906
	BreedingGS:I prefer not to answer	-0.111	0.283	-0.393	0.694
	BreedingGS:Less than \$25,000	-0.111	0.283	-0.719	0.074
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:\$150,000 or more	0.046	0.167	0.286	0.472
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:\$150,000 of more Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:\$25,000 - \$49,999	0.040	0.102	0.280	0.773
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:\$23,000 - \$44,999 Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:\$50,000 - \$74,999	-0.004	0.130	-0.033	0.000
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:\$50,000 - \$74,999 Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:\$75,000 - \$99,999		0.133		
		0.008		0.059	0.953
	_ Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:I prefer not to answer	-0.097	0.276	-0.352	0.725

Variable		В	SE	Z	p
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:Less than \$25,000	0.165	0.173	0.954	0.340
	Price:2	-0.050	0.034	-1.480	0.139
	Price:3	-0.062	0.037	-1.676	0.094
	Price:4	0.085	0.048	1.783	0.075
	Price:I prefer not to answer	0.143	0.171	0.837	0.403
	Price:More than 4	-0.094	0.055	-1.696	0.090
	HairSlick:2	0.017	0.088	0.191	0.848
	HairSlick:3	0.072	0.109	0.666	0.505
	HairSlick:4	-0.084	0.129	-0.649	0.516
	HairSlick:I prefer not to answer	0.831	0.677	1.228	0.220
	HairSlick:More than 4	0.009	0.175	0.051	0.959
	BreedingGE:2	-0.037	0.137	-0.272	0.785
	BreedingGE:3	-0.130	0.164	-0.796	0.426
HH size	BreedingGE:4	0.040	0.200	0.201	0.840
	BreedingGE:I prefer not to answer	0.519	0.878	0.592	0.554
	BreedingGE:More than 4	-0.059	0.258	-0.227	0.820
	BreedingGS:2	-0.021		-0.168	0.867
	BreedingGS:3	-0.123	0.143	-0.856	0.392
	BreedingGS:4	0.086	0.184	0.466	0.641
	BreedingGS:I prefer not to answer	0.055	0.565	0.097	0.922
	BreedingGS:More than 4	-0.058	0.220	-0.265	0.791
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:2	-0.029	0.113	-0.258	0.797
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:3	-0.049	0.138	-0.356	0.722
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:4	0.086	0.164	0.525	0.600
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:I prefer not to answer	0.123	0.742	0.166	0.868
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:More than 4	-0.125	0.217	-0.579	0.563
	Price:2	-0.075	0.041	-1.803	0.071
	Price:3	0.038	0.064	0.602	0.547
	Price:I prefer not to answer	0.093	0.029	3.190	0.001
	Price:More than 3	0.070	0.098	0.718	0.473
	HairSlick:2	-0.003	0.125	-0.021	0.983
	HairSlick:3	-0.085	0.202	-0.418	0.676
	HairSlick:I prefer not to answer	-0.019		-0.235	0.815
	HairSlick:More than 3	0.017	0.294	0.058	0.954
	BreedingGE:2	-0.046	0.189	-0.246	0.806
Num Child	BreedingGE:3	-0.064	0.299	-0.214	0.830
	BreedingGE: I prefer not to answer	0.143	0.126	1.128	0.259
	BreedingGE:More than 3	0.115	0.438	0.262	0.793
	BreedingGS:2	-0.076	0.174	-0.435	0.664
,PI	BreedingGS:3	-0.022	0.273	-0.080	0.936
	BreedingGS:I prefer not to answer	0.049	0.112	0.439	0.660
	BreedingGS:More than 3	0.028	0.383	0.073	0.942
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:2	0.032	0.158	0.204	0.839
01.	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:3	0.162	0.252	0.642	0.521
27	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:I prefer not to answer	-0.044	0.106	-0.413	0.680
	Animal_WelfareEar.Tag:More than 3	0.066	0.375	0.175	0.861

The fixed parameter for Price (B = 0.783, SE = 0.260, p = 0.003) remained significant, indicating a clear sensitivity to price changes among consumers.

Gender showed a significant interaction with price for males (Price:Male, B = -0.169, SE = 0.024, z = -7.148, p < .000), suggesting that male consumers exhibit a different sensitivity to price compared

to females, potentially indicating a higher willingness to pay or a different valuation of gene-edited meat products by gender. Similarly, the interaction between BreedingGE and male gender (BreedingGE:Male, B = -0.300, SE = 0.099, z = -3.026, p = .002) revealed gender-based differences in preferences for certain breeding technologies.

Regional differences also emerged as significant, with various regions exhibiting distinct price sensitivities. For example, consumers in Northern Canada showed a significant negative interaction with price (Price:Northern Canada, B = -0.390, SE = 0.116, z = -3.372, p = .001), indicating a higher price sensitivity in this region, compared to the reference region (Atlantic Canada).

Age categories further delineated consumer preferences, with older age groups (45+ years) displaying a significant positive interaction with price (Price:45+, B = 0.276, SE = 0.034, z = 8.036, p < .000), suggesting that older consumers may attribute more value to gene-edited meat products or have a lower price sensitivity compared to younger consumers.

Interestingly, the education level showed an almost significant negative interaction with price among those with Graduate or Professional Degrees (B = -0.431, SE = 0.220, z = -1.958, p = .050).

The employment status, particularly among homemakers and retired individuals, showed significant interactions with price, indicating differing valuations of gene-edited meat products across employment categories.

Lastly, the significant interaction between the sd.HairSlick and its standard error (sd.HairSlick, B=0.100, SE=0.045, z=2.222, p=.026) suggests variability in consumer preferences for hair traits in cattle.

SAMPLEREROR