



Model Optimization and Tuning Phase Template

Date	Nov 30, 2024
Team ID	739891
Project Title	Unlocking the Minds: Analyzing Mental Health with NLP
Maximum Marks	10 Marks

Model Optimization and Tuning Phase

The Model Optimization and Tuning Phase involves refining machine learning models for peak performance. It includes optimized model code, fine-tuning hyperparameters, comparing performance metrics, and justifying the final model selection for enhanced predictive accuracy and efficiency.

Hyperparameter Tuning Documentation (6 Marks):

Model	Tuned Hyperparameters	Optimal Values
SVC	<pre>[25]: from sklearn.svm import SVC from sklearn.metrics import classification_report, accuracy_score sv = SVC() sv.fit(x_train,y_train) y_pred = sv.predict(x_test) print(classification_report(y_test,y_pred))</pre>	# Evaluate the performance of the based mobal accuracy = accuracy surverly lead, pured printif" Optical Appropriaters: (lead permin)") printif" Accuracy on Test Sets (accuracy)") Optical Appropriates: ("orizerina": "pins", "ans depth": Nove, "and semples, leaf": 1, "and semples, polit": NA, "splitter": "Best") Accuracy on Test Set: 0.7559933598887
Decision tree classifier	[27]: <pre>from sklearn.tree import DecisionTreeClassifier Dt = DecisionTreeClassifier() Dt.fit(x_train,y_train) y_pred = Dt.predict(x_test) print(classification_report(y_test,y_pred)) print(accuracy_score(y_test,y_pred))</pre>	# Evaluate the performance of the timed model accorday a accuracy score(n) tests, n provid print("Optimal Agreementers: (lest, parens")") print("Recursey on Test Set: (accuracy")") Optimal Agreementers: ("oritorion": "entropy", "man_depth": 20, "min_samples_lest": 1, "min_samples_spilit": 2, "m_estimator Accuracy on Test Set: 6.77514559594820





Random forest classifier	<pre>from sklearn.ensemble import RandomForestClassifier rf = RandomForestClassifier() rf.fit(x_train,y_train) y_pred = rf.predict(x_test) print(classification_report(y_test,y_pred)) print(accuracy_score(y_test,y_pred))</pre>	ate the perforance of the tonel model y = accomplishing perforance (perform) ("Option Ingergranders Deet permit) ("Accompt on Test Set (accompt)") I Representers ("Denning reda" of A.), "any depth": 5, "any seques plan": 1, "any seques policit": 5, "a estatores": 100, "advanpin": 6.5 y on Test Set: 8.7089-9800-9001
Ada boost classifier	<pre>from sklearn.ensemble import AdaBoostClassifier # clf = AdaBoostClassifier(algorithm='SAMME') ab = AdaBoostClassifier(algorithm='SAMME') ab.fit(x_train,y_train) y_pred = ab.predict(x_test) print(classification_report(y_test,y_pred)) print(accuracy_score(y_test,y_pred))</pre>	ate the perforance of the toned model. ty = according control (bed., p.prel) ("britise Apperamenters: (bed. permet)") ("konvery on lest Set. (accord)") (Apperamenters: ("berning rede" i.e.d., "beg. depth"; 5, "bid perples (bed"; 5, "bid perples (p. list"); 5, "bid perples (p. list"); 5, "bid perples (p. list"); 6, "bid perples (p. list"); 6, "bid perples (p. list"); 6, "bid perples (p. list"); 7, "bid perples (p. list"); 7, "bid perples (p. list"); 8, "bid perples (p. l
Gradient boosting classifier	grow sklearn.ensemble import GradientBoostingClassifier gb = GradientBoostingClassifier() gb.fit(x_train,y_train) y_pred = gb.predict(x_test) y_pred = gb.predict(x_test) print(classification_report(y_test,y_pred)) print(accuracy_score(y_test,y_pred))	ate the perforance of the toned model. ty = accuracy, somity, fact, y great ("defined hyperpressions: fleet person") ("konvery on lest Set. (accuracy)") ("hyperpressions: ("berning rest" i. it.), "any depth"; 5, "and persons, "berning split"; 5, "a estation"; 100, "absorph"; 6.5 y on lest Set. 8.7000-9800-98001
Logistic Regression	[35]: from skleurn.lineur_model import LogisticKeyression Ir = IngisticKeyression() 1r.fit(x_train,y_train) y_pred = Ir.predict(x_test) petat(classification report(y test,y pred)) print(accuracy_score(y_test,y_pred))	ate the perforance of the based model. ty = accords, surely, text, yeard ("bytical hyperpreseives: (lest person)") ("konney on lief Set. (accord)") (hyperpreseives: ("berning rede" i. i.d., "leag depth"; 5, "min person, "min person, "politic 5, "min person, "mi

Performance Metrics Comparison Report (2 Marks):

Model	Optimized Metric
-------	------------------





SVC	precision recall f1-score support 0 0.89 0.94 0.91 4271 1 0.93 0.88 0.91 4121 accuracy 0.91 8392 macro avg 0.91 0.91 0.91 8392 weighted avg 0.91 0.91 0.91 8392 : sv_acc = accuracy_score(y_test,y_pred) sv_acc : 0.9101525262154433
Decision tree classifier	precision recall f1-score support 0 0.82 0.83 0.82 4271 1 0.82 0.81 0.82 4321 accuracy macro avg 0.82 0.82 8392 weighted avg 0.82 0.82 0.82 8392 0.819351763584366 [28]: dt_acc = accuracy_score(y_test,y_pred) dt_acc [28]: 0.819351763584366
Random forest classifier	precision recall f1-score support 0
Ada boost classifier	precision recall f1-score support 0





	Pro	C131011	100011 1	2 Jeore	эвррог с			
	0	0.83	0.92	0.87	4271			
	1	0.90	0.81	0.85	4121			
	accuracy			0.86	8392 8392			
C 1: (1 (: 1 : C	macro avg weighted avg	0.87 0.87	0.86 0.86	0.86 0.86	8392 8392			
Gradient boosting classifier	weighten avg	0.07	0.00	0.00	0372			
	0.861415633937083							
	[34]: gb_acc = accuracy gb_acc	[34]: gb_acc = accuracy_score(y_test,y_pred)						
	[34]: 0.861415633937083							
			preci	sion	recall f	1-score	support	
		0		0.89	0.94	0.91	4271	
		1	(0.93	0.88	0.90	4121	
	acc	uracy				0.91	8392	
	macr	o avg		0.91	0.91	0.91	8392	
Logistic Pagrassion								
Logistic Regression	weighte			0.91	0.91	0.91	8392	
Logistic Regression		d avg	•	0.91	0.91	0.91	8392	
Logistic Regression	weighte	d avg	16969				8392	
Logistic Regression	weighte 0.90741 [36]: lr_acc lr_acc	d avg 182078 = accu	16969 racy_s				8392	
Logistic Regression	weighte 0.90741 [36]: lr_acc	d avg 182078 = accu	16969 racy_s				8392	
Logistic Regression	weighte 0.90741 [36]: lr_acc lr_acc	d avg 182078 = accu	16969 racy_s				8392	
Logistic Regression	weighte 0.90741 [36]: lr_acc lr_acc	d avg 182078 = accu	16969 racy_s				8392	

Final Model Selection Justification (2 Marks):

Final Model	Reasoning
	SVC performs well with limited data since it focuses on support vectors
	rather than the entire dataset. SVC's combination of flexibility
	(kernels), accuracy, robustness, and theoretical rigor makes it the "best"
	choice for projects where these qualities are critical and evaluating
SVC (support vector	alternatives based on dataset's size, structure, and requirements is
classifier)	essential.