# **Marketing Mix Assignment**

# Introduction

The following models were prepared using the 'MLB' dataset for the 1993 season salaries of Major League Baseball players. This dataset contained a number of variables affecting MLB player's 'salaries' which was our outcome variable. Our independent variables were split into dichotomous and continuous moderators, where 'frstbase', scndbase', 'thrdbase', 'shrtstop', 'outfield' and 'catcher' represent the dichotomous variables and 'hruns' and 'games' represent the continuous variables. The goal of our report was to analyze how a MLB manager might determine a player's salary.

# **Spotlight Analysis- Dichotomous Moderator**

We ran several regressions relating salaries and the dichotomous variables mentioned above to *hruns*. These regressions are shown in the appendix below under section 1.

All of our dichotomous variables are position based, so these regressions answered the question of whether the position a player played affected their salary given an interaction of the number of career home runs they had with their position. As these position variables all came within the dataset as dichotomous, where 1 means that is their position and 0 means it is not there was no need to create any further variables beyond non variables for each position. Given the magic rule of 0's these non position variables are essential to see the actual effect of homeruns interaction with position on salary.

As can be seen in Appendix 1 there aren't significant interactions between *frstbase* and *hruns* as well as between *thirdbase* and *hruns*. This means that *hrun* is independent of these two positions. The remaining positions such as *scndbase*, *'shrtstop'*, *'outfield'* and *'catcher'* are significant with respect to *hurns*. As we can see from Appendix 1F, players playing in the *catcher* position earned \$12479 more than players playing other positions for each additional career home run (*hruns*). This makes the position of catcher most dependent on *hruns* compared to the other positions.

# **Spotlight Analysis- Continuous Moderator**

Here we first ran a mean regression on the effect between *hruns* and *games* (career games played) and the effect they have on *salary* (1993 salary). From appendix 2A it can be seen that this effect is highly significant at the 0.001 level. Thus we decided to run further regressions for High and Low standard deviations from the mean to understand which direction this effect is highest.

From looking at appendix 2B and 2C it can be seen that both interactions of high and low produce significant results. Therefore, we must examine the Beta values of both interactions.

We can see that if a player played a low no. of games his salary increased by \$19860.3409 for every additional hrun he scored. While if he played a high no. of games, the player's salary increased by \$10694.3042 per home run. There is a difference of \$9166.0367 for a player's salary per home run in relation to the number of games they played. This is due to the law of diminishing returns, as a player's ratio of home runs to games decreases as more number of games are played.

# Floodlight Analysis

We used the Johnson-Neyman Plot as seen in Appendix Table 3 to analyze the effect of continuous moderator no. of *games* played on *hruns* scored in their career. And it's evident from the graph that there's a decline in the no. of *hruns* as their career progresses towards playing more no. of games. This interaction between *hruns* and *games* remains significant until the no. of games played reaches 2000 and it turns insignificant as most of the players retire after that point in their career.

## **Conclusion**

Based on the models, we can interpret that players playing at the *catcher* position are the ones who earn the most compared to other players when compared to their number of career *hruns* as they carry two very important responsibilities throughout the game giving them a dual role. Given the graph for floodlight analysis no. of *games* played and total *hruns* scored throughout their career has an inversely proportional relationship. Players tend to score more *hruns* in their prime rather than through mid or end of their career. So MLB managers definitely look at the no. of games played interacted with career home runs to make the determination that they are likely better off drafting younger rookie players and developing them rather than trading for older players who have been in the league for many years.

# **Appendix**

## Table 1A:

```
Output_frstbase <- lm(salary~hruns*frstbase,data)
summary(Output_frstbase)
```

```
## Call:
## lm(formula = salary ~ hruns * frstbase, data = data)
##
## Residuals:
              1Q Median
     Min
                             3Q
## -5128281 -614857 -388753 499932 4164510
##
## Coefficients:
##
               Estimate Std. Error t value
                                                 Pr(>|t|)
## hruns 11639.6 909.8 12.794
## frstbase -179182.3 240801.4 -0.744
                          909.8 12.794 < 0.0000000000000000 ***
                                                    0.457
## hruns:frstbase 670.3 2044.5 0.328
                                                    0.743
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1115000 on 349 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.3771, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3718
## F-statistic: 70.43 on 3 and 349 DF, p-value: < 0.0000000000000022
```

```
data$non_frstbase <- data$frstbase - 1
Output_non_frstbase <- lm(salary~hruns*non_frstbase,data)
summary(Output_non_frstbase)</pre>
```

```
## Call:
## lm(formula = salary ~ hruns * non_frstbase, data = data)
##
## Residuals:
## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
## -5128281 -614857 -388753 499932 4164510
##
## Coefficients:
                     Estimate Std. Error t value 541535.5 227641.0 2.379
                                                      Pr(>|t|)
0.0179 *
##
## (Intercept)
## hruns
                      12309.9 1830.9 6.723 0.000000000726 ***
                 -179182.3 240801.4 -0.744 0.4573
## non frstbase
## hruns:non_frstbase
                       670.3
                                   2044.5 0.328
                                                           0.7432
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 1115000 on 349 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.3771, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3718
## F-statistic: 70.43 on 3 and 349 DF, p-value: < 0.0000000000000022
```

### Table 1B:

#### Appendix 1B:

salary given interaction of hruns on scndbase

```
Output_scndbase <- lm(salary-hruns*scndbase,data)
summary(Output_scndbase)
```

```
## Call:
## lm(formula = salary ~ hruns * scndbase, data = data)
## Residuals:
## Min 10 Median 30 Max
## -4993560 -597135 -402805 474501 4208572
##
## Coefficients:
##
                   Estimate Std. Error t value
                                                              Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept) 696003.2 78172.3 8.903 <0.00000000000000000 ***
## hruns 11363.2 822.8 13.811 ## scndbase -49499.8 231550.9 -0.214 ## hruns:scndbase 8392.1 3675.5 2.283
                                 822.8 13.811 < 0.0000000000000000 ***
                                                                 0.023 *
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
\#\# Residual standard error: 1106000 on 349 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.3879, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3827
## F-statistic: 73.73 on 3 and 349 DF, p-value: < 0.00000000000000022
```

```
data$non_scndbase <- data$scndbase - 1
Output_non_scndbase <- lm(salary~hruns*non_scndbase,data)
summary(Output_non_scndbase)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = salary ~ hruns * non_scndbase, data = data)
##
## Residuals:
## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
## -4993560 -597135 -402805 474501 4208572
##
## Coefficients:
                   ##
## (Intercept)
## hruns -49500 ## non_scndbase -49500 8392
                                   3582 5.515 0.000000068 ***
                                231551 -0.214 0.83085
3676 2.283 0.02301 *
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1106000 on 349 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.3879, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3827
## F-statistic: 73.73 on 3 and 349 DF, p-value: < 0.0000000000000022
```

## Table 1C:

#### Appendix 1C:

salary given interaction of hruns on shrtstop

```
Output_shrtstop <- lm(salary-hruns*shrtstop,data)
summary(Output_shrtstop)
##
```

```
## lm(formula = salary ~ hruns * shrtstop, data = data)
##
## Residuals:
## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
## -4994864 -614800 -367975 486099 3956318
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value
833.7 13.574 <0.0000000000000000 ***
## hruns:shrtstop 7400.7 3528.8 2.097
                                              0.0367 *
## ___
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1109000 on 349 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.384, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3787
## F-statistic: 72.53 on 3 and 349 DF, p-value: < 0.0000000000000022
```

```
data$non_shrtstop <- data$shrtstop - 1
Output_non_shrtstop <- lm(salary-hruns*non_shrtstop,data)
summary(Output_non_shrtstop)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = salary ~ hruns * non_shrtstop, data = data)
##
## Residuals:
## Min 10 Median 30 Max
## -4994864 -614800 -367975 486099 3956318
##
## Coefficients:
                    Estimate Std. Error t value
##
                                                       Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
                                                       0.0021 **
                     567673 183178 3.099
                                    3429 5.459 0.0000000912 ***
## hruns
                        18717
## hruns 18717
## non_shrtstop -148311
## non_shrtstop -148311 200173 -0.741 0.4592
## hruns:non_shrtstop 7401 3529 2.097 0.0367
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1109000 on 349 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.384, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3787
## F-statistic: 72.53 on 3 and 349 DF, p-value: < 0.0000000000000022
```

## Table 1D:

#### Appendix 1D:

salary given interaction of hruns on thrdbase

```
Output_thrdbase <- lm(salary~hruns*thrdbase,data)
summary(Output_thrdbase)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = salary ~ hruns * thrdbase, data = data)
##
            1Q Median 3Q
     Min
                                  Max
## -5092884 -611435 -404937 518721 4177620
##
## Coefficients:
              Estimate Std. Error t value
##
                                             Pr(>|t|)
             ## (Intercept)
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
\#\# Residual standard error: 1116000 on 349 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.3766, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3713
## F-statistic: 70.29 on 3 and 349 DF, p-value: < 0.0000000000000022
```

```
data$non_thrdbase <- data$thrdbase - 1
Output_non_thrdbase <- lm(salary-hruns*non_thrdbase,data)
summary(Output_non_thrdbase)</pre>
```

```
## lm(formula = salary ~ hruns * non thrdbase, data = data)
##
## Residuals:
## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
## -5092884 -611435 -404937 518721 4177620
##
## Coefficients:
                     Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
                      562058 274995 2.044
## (Intercept)
                                      3234 4.155 0.0000409 ***
## hruns
                         13439
## hruns 13439 3234 4.155 0.0000409
## non_thrdbase -150306 285609 -0.526 0.5990
## hruns:non_thrdbase 1868 3340 0.559 0.5763
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 1116000 on 349 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.3766, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3713
## F-statistic: 70.29 on 3 and 349 DF, p-value: < 0.0000000000000022
```

## Table 1E:

#### Appendix 1E:

salary given interaction of hruns on outfield

```
Output_outfield <- lm(salary-hruns*outfield,data)
summary(Output_outfield)
```

```
## lm(formula = salary ~ hruns * outfield, data = data)
##
## Residuals:
## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
## -4382208 -587850 -393337 489172 4120517
##
                 Estimate Std. Error t value
                 | Stimate std. Error t value | Pr(>|t|) | 574613 | 92130 | 6.237 | 0.00000000129 ***
                                                            Pr(>|t|)
## (Intercept)
              14355 1189 12.072 < 0.0000000000000000 ***
302874 153006 1.979 0.04855 *
                                  1189 12.072 < 0.0000000000000000 ***
## hruns
## outfield
## hruns:outfield -4984 1618 -3.081
                                                             0.00223 **
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1102000 on 349 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.3926, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3874
## F-statistic: 75.19 on 3 and 349 DF, p-value: < 0.00000000000000022
```

```
data$non_outfield <- data$outfield - 1
Output_non_outfield <- lm(salary-hruns*non_outfield,data)
summary(Output_non_outfield)</pre>
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = salary ~ hruns * non_outfield, data = data)
##
## Residuals:
## Min 10 Median 30 Max
## -4382208 -587850 -393337 489172 4120517
##
## Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error t value
##
                                                     Pr(>|t|)
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1102000 on 349 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.3926, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3874
## F-statistic: 75.19 on 3 and 349 DF, p-value: < 0.0000000000000022
```

## Table 1F:

#### Appendix 1F:

salary given interaction of hruns on catcher

```
Output_catcher<- lm(salary~hruns*catcher,data)
summary(Output_catcher)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = salary ~ hruns * catcher, data = data)
## Residuals:
## Min 1Q Median 3Q Max
## -5001906 -639010 -346512 455946 4163420
## Coefficients:
##
             Estimate Std. Error t value
                                            Pr(>|t|)
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 1106000 on 349 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.3878, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3825
## F-statistic: 73.69 on 3 and 349 DF, p-value: < 0.0000000000000022
```

```
data$non_catcher <- data$catcher - 1
Output_non_catcher <- lm(salary~hruns*non_catcher,data)
summary(Output_non_catcher)</pre>
```

```
## Call:
## lm(formula = salary ~ hruns * non_catcher, data = data)
## Residuals:
                              30
              1Q Median
##
     Min
                                     Max
## -5001906 -639010 -346512 455946 4163420
##
## Coefficients:
                Estimate Std. Error t value Pr(>|t|)
267780 202648 1.321 0.1872
##
## (Intercept)
## hruns
                   23730
                              5032 4.716 0.00000348 ***
## non_catcher -481230
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
##
## Residual standard error: 1106000 on 349 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.3878, Adjusted R-squared: 0.3825
## F-statistic: 73.69 on 3 and 349 DF, p-value: < 0.00000000000000022
```

# Table 2A:

## Question 2:

Table 2A:

Use the same data set to present a meaningful Spotlight analysis on moderated regression analyses using a continuous moderator.

```
data$cHruns <- data$hruns - mean(data$hruns)
data$cGames <- data$games - mean(data$games)

out <- lm(salary~ cHruns*cGames,data)
summary(out)</pre>
```

Table 2B:

## Table 2B:

Table 2B:

```
data$HIGames <- data$cGames - sd(data$games)
data$LOGames <- data$cGames + sd(data$games)
outHI <- lm(salary-cHruns*HIGames,data)
outLO <- lm(salary-cHruns*LOGames,data)
summary(outHI)
```

```
summary(outLO)
```

```
##
## Call:
## lm(formula = salary ~ cHruns * LOGames, data = data)
##
    Min 1Q Median
##
                               3Q
                                           Max
## -3157323 -420852 -80015 376365 3773905
##
## Coefficients:
                   Estimate Std. Error t value
## (Intercept) 1273288.0040 105412.7239 12.079 < 0.000000000000000 ***
## cHruns 19860.3409 1831.3830 10.844 < 0.000000000000000 ***
## LOGames 617.5374 154.1500 4.006 0.000075480537476104 ***
## cHruns:LOGames -8.5076 0.9917 -8.579 0.000000000000000318 ***
## ---
## Signif. codes: 0 '***' 0.001 '**' 0.01 '*' 0.05 '.' 0.1 ' ' 1
## Residual standard error: 986400 on 349 degrees of freedom
## Multiple R-squared: 0.513, Adjusted R-squared: 0.5088
## F-statistic: 122.5 on 3 and 349 DF, p-value: < 0.0000000000000022
```

# Table 3:

## Question 3:

Use the same data set to present a meaningful Floodlight analysis using a continuous moderator.

Table 3:

```
library(interactions)
m <- lm(salary ~ hruns*games,data)
johnson_neyman(m, pred = hruns, modx = games, alpha = 0.05, title = "Johnson-Neyman Plot")

## JOHNSON-NEYMAN INTERVAL
##
## When games is OUTSIDE the interval [2153.46, 2831.55], the slope of hruns
## is p < .05.
##</pre>
```

