Response to Review Comments
(Paper ID: 3015)

Number wise answers to the reviewer's specific comments/suggestions/queries are as follows:

Response to Reviewer #1 Comments:

S. No	Comments	Response
1.	Improve the abstract, there is lack of motivation.	The abstract is improved with motivation for the problem
2.	Replace "Index Terms" with "Keywords"	"Index Terms" is replaced with "Keywords" .
3.	Maintain the reference order throughout the manuscript we cant use higher reference number before lower value of reference number. It should be in chronological order	The reference order is maintained throughout the manuscript.
4.	Needs proper reference "A cohort of students from the Shanghai Jiao Tong University was used in the research. The Covid-19 epidemic and the trend of distant learning led to the distribution of the questionnaire online."	We are sorry that we did not understand the comment.

Response to Reviewer #2 Comments:

Add future scope of this research
2) Provide table II results in graph for more clear representation
3) Proof read is required there is some typos mistakes.

S. No	Comments	Response
1.	Add future scope of this research	The future scope of the research is added as the last paragraph in the conclusion section.
2.	Provide table II results in graph for more clear representation	The graph for the results is constructed and added in the page 6.
3.	Proof read is required there is some typos mistakes.	The manuscript is proof read and the typos are eliminated.