

# 1. Adversarial Active Learning for Deep Networks: A Margin-Based Approach

**Motivation:** The paper addresses the problem of efficiently minimizing the number of annotated data required to train deep neural networks, which is crucial when obtaining labeled data is expensive, as in fields like chemistry and medicine. Traditional active learning approaches rely on uncertainty sampling, which can be misleading due to adversarial examples that exploit vulnerabilities in deep networks. The motivation is to propose a more effective method by leveraging adversarial examples to approximate decision boundaries, optimizing data selection for labeling.

**Solution:** The authors propose a novel active learning strategy called "DeepFool Active Learning" (DFAL). This approach queries samples near decision boundaries using adversarial examples, where the perturbation magnitude of the adversarial attacks provides an approximation of the distance from decision boundaries. By labeling both the queried samples and their adversarial counterparts, the network achieves faster convergence and reduces the need for human annotations. DFAL is applied to deep networks in various datasets and achieves superior performance compared to traditional methods.

## **Novelties/Contributions:**

- Introduction of DFAL, which uses adversarial examples not as threats but as tools to identify informative samples for labeling.
- The DFAL method allows both original samples and their adversarial counterparts to be labeled using a single label, effectively doubling the dataset without introducing corrupted labels.
- Empirical validation on three datasets (MNIST, Shoe-Bag, and Quick-Draw) demonstrates that DFAL achieves faster convergence and higher accuracy than existing methods like BALD and CORE-SET.
- DFAL does not require hyperparameters and is computationally efficient, making it practical for use in high-dimensional deep networks.

**Downsides:**

- The method primarily focuses on binary classification scenarios and extends to multiclass settings only through approximations, which may not be as efficient.
- The approach relies on adversarial attacks, which, although useful in approximating decision boundaries, can introduce complexity and increase computational overhead, especially in large-scale datasets with multiclass settings.
- Transferability between different model architectures is limited, as evidenced in some datasets where transferred queries do not always outperform random selection.
- While DFAL improves over other methods in terms of query efficiency, its real-world applicability might be constrained by the reliance on adversarial examples, which require additional computational resources.

In conclusion, the paper presents a novel and effective active learning approach for deep networks, contributing significantly to the reduction of labeled data required for training. However, the complexity introduced by adversarial example generation and limitations in multiclass contexts may pose challenges in practical applications.

## 2. Multiple Instance Active Learning for Object Detection

**Motivation:** This paper tackles the problem of object detection with a limited amount of labeled data, where labeling is often expensive and time-consuming. Existing active learning methods for object detection struggle with instance-level uncertainty, particularly due to a large number of irrelevant background instances that interfere with determining the importance of objects in images. The goal is to develop an active learning strategy that selects the most informative images by effectively learning and managing instance-level uncertainty.

**Solution:** The authors propose a novel approach called Multiple Instance Active Object Detection (MI-AOD), which focuses on instance-level uncertainty. MI-AOD uses two key modules: instance uncertainty learning (IUL) and instance uncertainty re-weighting (IUR). IUL uses adversarial classifiers to maximize prediction discrepancies between instances, helping to identify uncertain instances. IUR refines the uncertainty by treating images as bags of instances and re-weighting the importance of instances based on their consistency, filtering out noisy background instances and improving overall image uncertainty evaluation. This iterative process helps in selecting the most informative images for labeling and training.

### Novelties/Contributions:

- Introduction of the MI-AOD framework, which bridges the gap between instance-level and image-level uncertainty in object detection.
- The use of adversarial instance classifiers to maximize prediction discrepancies, which enables more accurate identification of uncertain and informative instances.
- Development of a multiple instance learning (MIL) module that re-weights instance uncertainty, suppressing background noise and enhancing the selection of meaningful instances.
- The iterative re-weighting and learning approach, which improves object detector performance with fewer labeled samples and achieves superior results on benchmark datasets like PASCAL VOC and MS COCO.

- Significant performance improvements over existing state-of-the-art methods in active learning for object detection, particularly in scenarios with limited labeled data.

**Downsides:**

- The complexity of the proposed MI-AOD method may lead to increased computational overhead, particularly due to the need for adversarial classifiers and the iterative re-weighting of instance uncertainty.
- The performance gains are most significant when the labeled dataset is relatively small; however, it remains to be seen how well MI-AOD scales with larger datasets and more diverse object categories.
- The method relies heavily on accurate pseudo-labeling and instance classification, which may introduce errors if the model's predictions are biased or noisy.

In conclusion, MI-AOD presents an innovative approach to addressing the challenges of instance-level uncertainty in active learning for object detection. Its ability to filter noisy instances and improve image selection sets a new baseline for active learning techniques, though its practical application might face challenges due to its complexity and reliance on instance re-weighting.

### 3. Adversarial Active Learning for Sequence Labeling and Generation

**Motivation:** The paper addresses the challenge of sequence learning tasks like sequence labeling and generation, which require labor-intensive labeling efforts, especially for long sequences. Existing active learning (AL) methods struggle with two key issues: the cold-start problem, where initial predictions are inaccurate due to limited labeled data, and the label sampling dilemma, where approximations must be made due to the large number of possible label combinations. The paper introduces an adversarial learning-based active learning framework to improve labeling efficiency and effectiveness for sequence tasks.

**Solution:** The authors propose the Adversarial Active Learning for Sequences (ALISE) model. ALISE uses a feature encoder to generate latent representations of both labeled and unlabeled samples, feeding these into a discriminator network that differentiates between labeled and unlabeled samples. The framework learns to rank unlabeled samples based on their informativeness, assigning higher priority for labeling to sequences that are least covered by the labeled data. The model's adversarial structure helps ensure that the latent representations of labeled and unlabeled samples are similar, enabling better query selection for labeling.

#### **Novelties/Contributions:**

- ALISE introduces an adversarial learning mechanism into active learning for sequence tasks, which is unique in comparison to traditional uncertainty-based methods.
- The model does not rely on uncertainty measures derived from structured predictors, making it computationally efficient, especially when dealing with large datasets.
- ALISE offers a combination of adversarial learning and sequence-based uncertainty, which can further refine the selection of informative samples, improving labeling efficiency.
- Experimental results on tasks like slot filling and image captioning demonstrate the superior performance of ALISE, especially in the early stages of active learning with limited labeled data.

### **Novelties/Contributions:**

- ALISE introduces an adversarial learning mechanism into active learning for sequence tasks, which is unique in comparison to traditional uncertainty-based methods.
- The model does not rely on uncertainty measures derived from structured predictors, making it computationally efficient, especially when dealing with large datasets.
- ALISE offers a combination of adversarial learning and sequence-based uncertainty, which can further refine the selection of informative samples, improving labeling efficiency.
- Experimental results on tasks like slot filling and image captioning demonstrate the superior performance of ALISE, especially in the early stages of active learning with limited labeled data.

### **Downsides:**

- While ALISE is efficient, its reliance on adversarial training might introduce some instability during the learning process, which can make training more challenging.
- The framework may over-prioritize sequences that appear very different from the labeled data, potentially leading to a selection of outliers or sequences that do not generalize well to the overall task.
- The method's dependence on a discriminator network means that if the discriminator does not perform well, the model may fail to identify truly informative samples for labeling.

In conclusion, ALISE presents a novel and efficient approach to active learning for sequence tasks, leveraging adversarial learning to improve the selection of informative samples. While it brings significant improvements in speed and accuracy, particularly for early-stage labeling, some challenges in training stability and generalization remain.