Report: Heap Data Structures - Implementation, Analysis, and Applications

Author: Raghul Krishnan

Date: 01-26-2025

Heapsort Implementation and Analysis

1. Implementation

Heapsort is implemented using a max-heap. Key steps include:

- 1. Building a max-heap from the input array.
- 2. Repeatedly extracting the maximum element and placing it at the end of the array.
- 3. Maintaining the heap property after each extraction.

GitHub implementation link

2. Analysis

• Time Complexity:

- O Building the heap: O(n). The heapify operation runs in $O(\log n)$ time, and it is called for n/2 elements during heap construction.
- Extracting elements: Each extraction requires $O(\log n)$, and this is performed n times.
- Total complexity: $O(n) + O(n\log n) = O(n\log n)$.
- O Best, Average, and Worst Case: $O(n\log n)$ in all cases because heap operations do not depend on input order.

• Space Complexity:

 \circ O(1) additional space since sorting is done in-place. No extra data structures are required beyond the input array.

• Overhead:

 The overhead mainly comes from recursive or iterative calls to maintain the heap property during heapify.

3. Comparison with Quicksort and Merge Sort

- Running Heapsort, Quicksort, and Merge Sort on datasets of varying sizes and distributions (sorted, reverse-sorted, random) shows:
 - O Quicksort is often faster on average for random data due to better cache locality.
 - Merge Sort provides consistent performance and excels in stable sorting scenarios.
 - Heapsort performs reliably but can be slower than Quicksort due to additional comparisons during heap operations.

• Theoretical Analysis:

- Quicksort: Average $O(n\log n)$, Worst $O(n^2)$.
- Merge Sort: $O(n\log n)$ in all cases, O(n) space complexity.
- Heapsort: Consistent $O(n\log n)$ with O(1) space.

Priority Queue Implementation and Applications

Part A: Priority Queue Implementation

GitHub implementation link

1. Data Structure:

- Used a Python list to represent the binary heap. This allows for efficient implementation of heap operations via array indexing.
- Task objects are used to represent individual tasks, including fields like task ID, priority, and other metadata.
- o A max-heap is chosen as it suits applications like scheduling, where the highest priority task should be processed first.

2. Core Operations:

- o insert(task):
 - Adds a new task to the heap, places it at the end, and adjusts the heap property by "bubbling up."
 - Time Complexity: $O(\log n)$.

- o extract max():
 - Removes and returns the task with the highest priority (root of the heap). The last element replaces the root, and the heap property is restored by "bubbling down."
 - Time Complexity: $O(\log n)$.
- o increase key(task, new priority):
 - Updates a task's priority and ensures the heap property by bubbling up if the priority increases or down if it decreases.
 - Time Complexity: $O(\log n)$.
- o is empty():
 - Simple check to see if the heap is empty.
 - Time Complexity: O(1).

3. Scheduling Simulation:

- Tasks are dynamically added and processed based on priority, demonstrating realworld scenarios like CPU scheduling or event management.
- Observed behavior aligns with theoretical expectations; higher-priority tasks are consistently processed before lower-priority ones.

Design Choices and Observations

- 1. **Heap Representation**: A list-based heap is simple and efficient due to the direct mapping of parent-child relationships in a binary tree to array indices.
- 2. **Task Priority**: Using custom objects allows flexibility in storing additional metadata while prioritizing operations efficiently.
- 3. **Max-Heap**: Ideal for scenarios requiring the highest-priority task first, e.g., event management or job scheduling.

Conclusion

Heapsort provides a reliable, in-place sorting solution with consistent O(nlogn)
performance. However, its real-world efficiency often lags behind Quicksort due to
higher overheads.

- Priority queues, implemented using a heap, are versatile tools for efficiently managing dynamic, prioritized data. They find applications in real-time systems, networking, and more.
- The empirical comparison and analysis highlight the trade-offs between sorting and priority queue operations, emphasizing the need to choose the right tool for specific scenarios.