#### Question 7, Page 26

(a)

Required: For any sets A and B, show that  $P(A) \cap P(B) = P(A \cap B)$ .

First, let us show that  $P(A) \cap P(B) \subseteq P(A \cap B)$ .

Consider the following.

$$x \in P(A) \cap P(B) \Rightarrow x \in P(A) \land x \in P(B)$$

$$\Rightarrow x \subseteq A \land x \subseteq B$$

$$\Rightarrow (\forall y \in x)(y \in A) \land (\forall y \in x)(y \in B)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\forall y \in x)(y \in A \land y \in B)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\forall y \in x)(y \in A \cap B)$$

$$\Rightarrow x \subseteq A \cap B$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in P(A \cap B)$$

So, we have that  $P(A) \cap P(B) \subseteq P(A \cap B)$ .

Now, let us show that  $P(A \cap B) \subseteq P(A) \cap P(B)$ .

Consider the following.

$$x \in P(A \cap B) \Rightarrow x \subseteq A \cap B$$

$$\Rightarrow (\forall y \in x)(y \in A \cap B)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\forall y \in x)(y \in A \land y \in B)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\forall y \in x)(y \in A) \land (\forall y \in x)(y \in B)$$

$$\Rightarrow x \subseteq A \land x \subseteq B$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in P(A) \land x \in P(B)$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in P(A) \cap P(B)$$

So, we have that  $P(A \cap B) \subseteq P(A) \cap P(B)$ .

Therefore,  $P(A) \cap P(B) = P(A \cap B)$ .

(b)

Required: For any sets A and B, show that  $P(A) \cup P(B) \subseteq P(A \cup B)$ .

Consider the following.

$$x \in P(A) \cup P(B) \Rightarrow x \in P(A) \lor x \in P(B)$$

$$\Rightarrow x \subseteq A \lor x \subseteq B$$

$$\Rightarrow (\forall y \in x)(y \in A) \lor (\forall y \in x)(x \in B)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\forall y \in x)(y \in A \lor y \in B)$$

$$\Rightarrow (\forall y \in x)(y \in A \cup B)$$

$$\Rightarrow x \subseteq A \cup B$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in P(A \cup B)$$

If  $A \subseteq B$  or  $B \subseteq A$ , then quality holds and  $P(A) \cup P(B) = P(A \cup B)$ .

# Question 8, Page 26

Show that there is no set to which every singleton belongs.

Assume for the sake of contradiction that there is a set A such that A contains all the singletons.

Then, we have that  $\bigcup A$  takes the union of all the singleton sets and becomes the set of all sets.

But the set of all sets is a proper class, and not a set. Therefore we have a contradiction.

Therefore, there is no set of all singletons.

# Question 10, Page 26

Required: Show that if  $a \in B$ , then  $P(a) \in PP(\bigcup B)$ .

If  $a \in B$ , then for every  $x \in a$ ,  $x \in \bigcup B$ . Therefore  $a \subseteq \bigcup B$ .

We must now show that  $P(a) \subseteq P(\bigcup B)$ .

Let  $y \in P(a)$ . So  $y \subseteq a$ . Since  $a \subseteq \bigcup B$  and we know that containment is transitive, this implies that  $y \subseteq \bigcup B$ . Therefore  $y \in P(\bigcup B)$ .

Therefore,  $P(a) \subseteq P(\bigcup B)$ .

Therefore,  $P(a) \in PP(\bigcup B)$ .

## Question 24, Page 33

**a**)

Show that if A is nonempty, then  $P(\bigcap A) = \bigcap \{P(X)|X \in A\}.$ 

We will show that each set is contained in the other.

Let  $a \in P(\bigcap A)$ . So  $a \subseteq \bigcap A$ .

So for every  $x \in a$  we have that  $x \in \bigcap A$ .

So for every  $X \in A$  and for every  $x \in a$  we have that  $x \in X$ .

So for every  $X \in A$ , we have  $a \subseteq X$ .

So for every  $X \in A$ , we have  $a \in P(X)$ .

i.e.  $a \in \bigcap \{P(X)|X \in A\}.$ 

Therefore,  $P(\bigcap A) \subseteq \bigcap \{P(X)|X \in A\}.$ 

Now for the other containment.

Let  $a \in \bigcap \{P(X)|X \in A\}.$ 

So for every  $X \in A$ , we have  $a \in P(X)$ .

So for every  $X \in A$ , we have  $a \subseteq X$ .

So for every  $X \in A$  and for every  $x \in a$ , we have  $x \in X$ .

So for every  $x \in a$ , we have  $x \in \bigcap A$ .

So  $a \subseteq \bigcap A$ .

So  $a \in P(\bigcap A)$ .

Therefore  $\bigcap \{P(X)|X \in A\} \subseteq P(\bigcap A)$ .

Therefore, we have shown that  $P(\bigcap A) = \bigcap \{P(X)|X \in A\}.$ 

Show that  $\bigcup \{P(X)|X \in A\} \subseteq P(\bigcup A)$ . Under what conditions does equality hold?

Let  $x \in \bigcup \{P(X)|X \in A\}.$ 

i.e. There exists an  $X \in A$  such that  $x \in P(X)$ .

So, there exists an  $X \in A$  such that  $x \subseteq X$ .

Since  $X \in A$ , we have that  $X \subseteq \bigcup A$ .

Since  $x \subseteq X$  and  $X \subseteq \bigcup A$ , we have that  $x \subseteq \bigcup A$  by transitivity of containment.

Finally,  $x \in P(\bigcup A)$ .

Therefore,  $\bigcup \{P(X)|X \in A\} \subseteq P(\bigcup A)$ .

In order for equality to hold the other containment must hold.

i.e.  $P(\bigcup A) \subseteq \bigcup \{P(X)|X \in A\}$ 

So consider  $x \in P(\bigcup A)$ .

So,  $x \subseteq \bigcup A$ .

Now, we need  $x \in \bigcup \{P(X)|X \in A\}.$ 

So we need  $x \in P(X)$  for some  $X \in A$ .

So we need  $x \subseteq X$  for some  $X \in A$ .

So, we have that  $x \subseteq \bigcup A$  and we have that  $x \subseteq X$  for some  $X \in A$ .

But since  $X \in A$ , we need  $\bigcup A \subseteq X$ .

Therefore the condition for equality is the following.

We need  $\bigcup A \subseteq X$  for some  $X \in A$ .

# Question 25, Page 33

Is  $A \cup \bigcup B$  always the same as  $\bigcup \{A \cup X | X \in B\}$ ? If not, then under what conditions does equality hold?

We will show that  $A \cup \bigcup B = \bigcup \{A \cup X | X \in B\}$ . i.e. equality holds when B is NON-EMPTY.

We will show that each set is contained in the other.

Let  $x \in A \cup \bigcup B$ . We now have to consider two cases.

Case 1: If  $x \in A$ , then there exists an  $X \in B$  such that  $x \in A \cup X$ . So,  $x \in \bigcup \{A \cup X | X \in B\}$ .

Case 2: If  $x \in \bigcup B$ , then there exists an  $X \in B$  such that  $x \in X$ . So  $x \in A \cup X$ . So,  $x \in \bigcup \{A \cup X | X \in B\}$ .

Therefore,  $A \cup \bigcup B \subseteq \bigcup \{A \cup X | X \in B\}.$ 

For the other containment, let  $x \in \bigcup \{A \cup X | X \in B\}$ .

So, there exists an  $X \in B$  such that  $x \in A \cup X$ . We now have to consider two cases.

Case 1: If  $x \in A$ , then clearly  $x \in A \cup \bigcup B$ .

Case 2: If  $x \in X$ , then clearly  $x \in \bigcup B$ . So clearly  $x \in A \cup \bigcup B$ .

Therefore,  $\bigcup \{A \cup X | X \in B\} \subseteq A \cup \bigcup B$ .

Therefore, we have shown that  $A \cup \bigcup B = \bigcup \{A \cup X | X \in B\}$  when B is NON-EMPTY.

## Question 35, Page 34

Assume that P(A) = P(B). Show that A = B.

We will show that each set is contained in the other.

#### $A \subseteq B$ :

Let  $a \in A$ . Then  $\{a\} \subseteq A$ . So  $\{a\} \in P(A)$ . Since P(A) = P(B), we have that  $\{a\} \in P(B)$ . So  $\{a\} \subseteq B$ . And finally,  $a \in B$ . Therefore  $A \subseteq B$ .

#### $B \subseteq A$ :

Let  $b \in B$ . Then  $\{b\} \subseteq B$ . So  $\{b\} \in P(B)$ . Since P(A) = P(B), we have that  $\{b\} \in P(A)$ . So  $\{b\} \subseteq A$ . And finally,  $b \in A$ . Therefore  $B \subseteq A$ .

Since  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A$ , we have that A = B, as required.

## Question 1, Page 38

Let 
$$\langle x.y.z \rangle^* = \{\{x\}, \{x,y\}, \{x,y,z\}\}.$$

We will show that the above definition is not successful by providing x, y, z, u, v, w such that  $\langle x, y, z \rangle^* = \langle u, v, w \rangle^*$  but either  $y \neq v$  or  $z \neq w$  or both.

Let 
$$x = \emptyset, y = \{\emptyset\}, z = \{\emptyset\}, u = \emptyset, v = \{\emptyset\}, w = \emptyset.$$

$$<\boldsymbol{x},\boldsymbol{y},\boldsymbol{z}>^*=\{\{\emptyset\},\{\emptyset,\{\emptyset\}\},\{\emptyset,\{\emptyset\},\{\emptyset\}\}\}\}=\{\{\emptyset\},\{\emptyset,\{\emptyset\}\}\}\}$$

$$< u, v, w >^* = \{ \{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}, \emptyset\} \} = \{ \{\emptyset\}, \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\} \}$$

So clearly  $\langle x, y, z \rangle^* = \langle u, v, w \rangle^*$  but  $z \neq w$ .

#### Question 2, Page 38

 $\mathbf{a}$ 

Required: Show that  $A \times (B \cup C) = (A \times B) \cup (A \times C)$ 

We will show that each set is contained in the other.

Let  $\langle x, y \rangle \in A \times (B \cup C)$ . So  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B \cup C$ . We now have to consider two cases.

If  $y \in B$ , then  $\langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B$ .

If  $y \in C$ , then  $\langle x, y \rangle \in A \times C$ .

So we have that  $(\langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B) \vee (\langle x, y \rangle \in A \times C)$ .

Therefore,  $\langle x, y \rangle \in (A \times B) \cup (A \times C)$ .

Therefore,  $A \times (B \cup C) \subseteq (A \times B) \cup (A \times C)$ .

Now we will show the other containment.

Let  $\langle x, y \rangle \in (A \times B) \cup (A \times C)$ . We now have to consider two cases.

If  $\langle x, y \rangle \in (A \times B)$ , then  $x \in A$  and  $y \in B$ . So  $(y \in B) \vee (y \in C)$ . So  $y \in B \cup C$ . Therefore  $\langle x, y \rangle \in A \times (B \cup C)$ .

If  $\langle x, y \rangle \in (A \times C)$ , then  $x \in A$  and  $y \in C$ . So  $(y \in B) \vee (y \in C)$ . So  $y \in B \cup C$ . Therefore  $\langle x, y \rangle \in A \times (B \cup C)$ .

Therefore  $(A \times B) \cup (A \times C) \subseteq A \times (B \cup C)$ .

Therefore, we have shown that  $A \times (B \cup C) = (A \times B) \cup (A \times C)$ .

b)

Required: Show that if  $A \times B = A \times C$  and  $A \neq \emptyset$ , then B = C.

Assume  $A \times B = A \times C$  and  $A \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $\langle x, y \rangle \in A \times B$ . Since  $A \times B = A \times C$ , there exists a  $\langle u, v \rangle \in A \times C$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$ .

Enderton has proved that  $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$  iff u = x and v = y on page 36.

Therefore y = v. Therefore  $y \in C$ .

Therefore  $B \subseteq C$ .

Let  $\langle x, y \rangle \in A \times C$ . Since  $A \times B = A \times C$ , there exists a  $\langle u, v \rangle \in A \times B$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle u, v \rangle$ .

Enderton has proved that  $\langle u, v \rangle = \langle x, y \rangle$  iff u = x and v = y on page 36.

Therefore y = v. Therefore  $y \in B$ .

Therefore  $C \subseteq B$ .

## Question 3, Page 38

Show that  $A \times \bigcup B = \bigcup \{A \times X | X \in B\}.$ 

We will show that each set is contained in the other.

Let  $\langle a, b \rangle \in A \times \bigcup B$ . So we have that  $a \in A$  and  $b \in \bigcup B$ .

So, there exists some  $X \in B$  such that  $b \in X$ .

Since  $a \in A$  and  $b \in X$ , we have that  $\langle a, b \rangle \in A \times X$ .

Therefore,  $\langle a, b \rangle \in \bigcup \{A \times X | X \in B\}.$ 

Therefore,  $A \times \bigcup B \subseteq \bigcup \{A \times X | X \in B\}.$ 

Now we will show the other containment.

Let  $\langle a, b \rangle \in \bigcup \{A \times X | X \in B\}.$ 

Then, there exists an  $X \in B$  such that  $\langle a, b \rangle \in A \times X$ .

So,  $a \in A$  and  $b \in X$ .

But if  $b \in X$  and  $X \in B$ , then  $b \in \bigcup B$ .

Since  $a \in A$  and  $b \in \bigcup B$  we have that  $\langle a, b \rangle \in A \times \bigcup B$ .

Therefore,  $\bigcup \{A \times X | X \in B\} \subseteq A \times \bigcup B$ .

Therefore,  $A \times \bigcup B = \bigcup \{A \times X | X \in B\}.$ 

# Question 4, Page 38

Required: Show that there is no set to which every ordered pair belongs.

Suppose for sake of contradiction that there is a set to which every ordered pair belongs.

Call this set A.

So, for every set a, the ordered pair  $\langle a, a \rangle \in A$ .

But, 
$$\langle a, a \rangle = \{\{a\}, \{a, a\}\} = \{\{a\}, \{a\}\} = \{\{a\}\}.$$

So the set A contains all the sets containing a singleton set.

Let  $B \subseteq A$  be such that it contains all the sets containing a singleton set.

i.e.  $\{\{a\}\}\in B$ .

So  $\{a\} \in \bigcup B$ .

So  $a \in \bigcup \bigcup B$ .

Since a was arbitrary, the set  $\bigcup \bigcup B$  is the set of all sets. But we know this is a proper class, and not a set.

This is a contradiction.

Therefore, there is no set to which every ordered pair belongs.

## Question 14, Page 53

Assume that f and g are functions.

**a**)

Required: Show that  $f \cap g$  is a function.

We must show that  $f \cap g$  is single-valued in order to be a function.

i.e. for every  $x \in dom(f \cap g)$ , there is a unique  $y \in range(f \cap g)$  such that  $\langle x, y \rangle \in f \cap g$ .

Let  $\langle x, y \rangle \in f \cap g$  and  $\langle x, z \rangle \in f \cap g$ . This implies that  $\langle x, y \rangle \in f$  and  $\langle x, z \rangle \in f$ .

But we assumed that f is a function. This means that f is single-valued.

i.e.  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle$  which implies that y = z.

Therefore  $f \cap g$  is single-valued, and is therefore a function.

b)

Required: Show that  $f \cup g$  is a function iff f(x) = g(x) for every  $x \in (dom f) \cap (dom g)$ 

 $(\Rightarrow)$ :

Assume  $f \cup g$  is a function.

Let  $x \in (dom f) \cap (dom g)$ .

So  $x \in (dom f)$  and  $x \in (dom g)$ .

Therefore  $\langle x, f(x) \rangle \in f$  and  $\langle x, g(x) \rangle \in g$ .

Therefore  $\langle x, f(x) \rangle \in f \cup g$  and  $\langle x, g(x) \rangle \in f \cup g$ .

Since we assume that  $f \cup g$  is a function, it is single-valued.

Therefore  $\langle x, f(x) \rangle = \langle x, g(x) \rangle$  which implies that f(x) = g(x).

 $(\Leftarrow)$ :

Assume that f(x) = g(x) for every  $x \in (dom f) \cap (dom g)$ 

We must show that  $f \cup g$  is single-valued in order to be a function.

Let  $\langle x, y \rangle \in f \cup g$  and  $\langle x, z \rangle \in f \cup g$ .

We now have to consider 4 cases.

Case 1: If  $\langle x, y \rangle \in f$  and  $\langle x, z \rangle \in f$  then since f is a function and single-valued,  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle$  which implies that y = z.

Case 2: If  $\langle x, y \rangle \in g$  and  $\langle x, z \rangle \in g$  then since g is a function and single-valued,  $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle$  which implies that y = z.

Case 3: If  $\langle x, y \rangle \in f$  and  $\langle x, z \rangle \in g$  then by assumption we know that f(x) = g(x). So clearly we have that f(x) = y = z = g(x).

Case 4: If  $\langle x, y \rangle \in g$  and  $\langle x, z \rangle \in f$  then by assumption we know that f(x) = g(x). So clearly we have that g(x) = y = z = f(x).

Therefore,  $f \cup g$  is a function.

## Question 16, Page 53

Required: Show that there is no set to which every function belongs.

Assume for sake of contradiction that there is a set to which every function belongs.

Call this set A.

Let x be some arbitrary set.

Therefore the relation  $f = \{ \langle a, a \rangle \}$  is an identity function as it is single-valued. Therefore  $f \in A$ .

Let  $B \subseteq A$  be such that it contains all the identity functions for each set a.

i.e. 
$$f = \{ \langle a, a \rangle \} \in B$$
.

But, 
$$\{\langle a, a \rangle\} = \{\{\{a\}, \{a, a\}\}\} = \{\{\{a\}, \{a\}\}\}\} = \{\{\{a\}\}\}\}.$$

So we have that  $\{\{\{a\}\}\}\}\in B$ .

So, 
$$\{\{a\}\}\in\bigcup B$$
.

So, 
$$\{a\} \in \bigcup \bigcup B$$
.

So, 
$$a \in \bigcup \bigcup B$$
.

So, since a was arbitrary, the set  $\bigcup \bigcup \bigcup B$  is the set of all sets.

But we know that the set of all sets is a proper class, and not a set.

We have a contradiction. Therefore, there is no set of all functions.

## Question 29, Page 54

Assume that  $f: A \to B$  and define a function  $G: B \to P(A)$  by  $G(b) = \{x \in A | f(x) = b\}$ .

Required: Show that if f maps A onto B, then G is one-to-one. Does the converse hold?

Assume f maps A onto B.

Let 
$$G(u) = G(v)$$
.

Since f is onto, there exists an  $x \in A$  such that f(x) = u.

So  $x \in G(u)$ .

Since G(u) = G(v), we have that  $x \in G(v)$ .

So 
$$f(x) = v$$
.

This implies that f(x) = u = v.

Therefore, G is one-to-one.

But, the converse does not hold. We will show that the converse is false.

i.e. There is a function f such that G is one-to-one AND f does NOT map A onto B.

Let 
$$A = \{\emptyset\}$$
  
Let  $B = \{\emptyset, \{\emptyset\}\}$   
Let  $f = \{\langle \emptyset, \emptyset \rangle\}$ .

It follows that  $G = \{ \langle \emptyset, \{\emptyset\} \rangle \}$ . So clearly G is one-to-one.

However, f clearly does not map A onto B since for  $\{\emptyset\} \in B$ , there is no  $x \in A$  such that  $f(x) = \{\emptyset\}$ .

#### Question 30, Page 54

Assume that  $F: P(A) \to P(A)$  and that F has the monotonicity property:  $X \subseteq Y \subseteq A \Rightarrow F(X) \subseteq F(Y)$ 

Define 
$$B = \bigcap \{X \subseteq A | F(X) \subseteq X\}$$
 and  $C = \bigcup \{X \subseteq A | X \subseteq F(X)\}$ 

For notational convenience, we will write,

$$B = \bigcap \{X | X \subseteq A \land F(X) \subseteq X\}$$
 and  $C = \bigcup \{X | X \subseteq A \land X \subseteq F(X)\}$ 

## a)

Show that F(B) = B and F(C) = C.

Consider the following.

$$F(B) = F(\bigcap \{X | X \subseteq A \land F(X) \subseteq X\})$$
 
$$\subseteq \bigcap \{F(X) | X \subseteq A \land F(X) \subseteq X\}$$
 By Theorem 3K on page 50 
$$\subseteq \bigcap \{X | X \subseteq A \land F(X) \subseteq X\}$$
 Since  $F(X) \subseteq X$  By definition of B

Therefore,  $F(B) \subseteq B$ .

Now, if  $F(B) \subseteq B$ , then we have that  $F(F(B)) \subseteq F(B)$  by the monotonicity property given in the question.

But this implies that  $B \subseteq F(B)$ .

Therefore, we have shown that F(B) = B.

Now, we will show that F(C) = C.

Consider the following.

$$F(C) = F(\bigcup\{X|X\subseteq A \land X\subseteq F(X)\})$$
 
$$\subseteq \bigcup\{F(X)|X\subseteq A \land X\subseteq F(X)\}$$
 By Theorem 3K on page 50 
$$\supseteq \bigcup\{X|X\subseteq A \land X\subseteq F(X)\}$$
 Since  $X\subseteq F(X)$  By definition of C

Therefore,  $C \subseteq F(C)$ .

Now, if  $C \subseteq F(C)$ , then we have that  $F(C) \subseteq F(F(C))$  by the monotonicity property given in the question.

But this implies that  $F(C) \subseteq C$ .

Therefore, we have shown that F(C) = C.

#### b)

Show that if F(X) = X, then  $B \subseteq X \subseteq C$ .

Assume F(X) = X.

Since F(X) = X, we have that  $F(X) \subseteq X$ .

Since we know that one of the conditions of B is that  $F(X) \subseteq X$  and since B is defined as an intersection, we have that  $B \subseteq X$ .

Since F(X) = X, we have that  $X \subseteq F(X)$ .

Since we know that one of the conditions of C is that  $X \subseteq F(X)$ . Since C is defined as a union, we have that  $X \subseteq C$ .

Since  $B \subseteq X$  and  $X \subseteq C$ , we have that  $B \subseteq X \subseteq C$ .

# Question 45, Page 64

Assume that  $<_A$  and  $<_B$  are linear orderings on A and B, respectively. Define the binary relation  $<_L$  on the cartesian product  $A \times B$  by:

$$< a_1, b_1 > <_L < a_2, b_2 > \text{iff } a_1 <_A a_2 \lor (a_1 = a_2 \land b_1 <_B b_2)$$

Show that  $<_L$  is a linear ordering on  $A \times B$ .

i.e. We must show that  $<_L$  satisfies transitivity and trichotomy.

#### Transitivity

Assume  $\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle$  and  $\langle a_2, b_2 \rangle \langle a_3, b_3 \rangle$ .

We must show that  $\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \langle L \langle a_3, b_3 \rangle$ .

Note, that  $a_1 <_A a_2 \lor (a_1 = a_2 \land b_1 <_B b_2)$  and  $a_2 <_A a_3 \lor (a_2 = a_3 \land b_2 <_B b_3)$ .

We must now consider 4 cases.

Case 1: If  $a_1 <_A a_2$  and  $a_2 <_A a_3$ , then by transitivity of  $<_A$  we have  $a_1 <_A a_3$ . Therefore, we have that  $< a_1, b_1 ><_L < a_3, b_3 >$ .

Case 2: If  $a_1 <_A a_2$  and  $(a_2 = a_3 \land b_2 <_B b_3)$ , then we have  $a_1 <_A a_3$  since  $a_2 = a_3$ . Therefore, we have that  $< a_1, b_1 ><_L < a_3, b_3 >$ .

Case 3: If  $(a_1 = a_2 \land b_1 <_B b_2)$  and  $a_2 <_A a_3$ , then we have  $a_1 <_A a_3$  since  $a_1 = a_2$ . Therefore, we have that  $< a_1, b_1 ><_L < a_3, b_3 >$ .

Case 4: If  $(a_1 = a_2 \wedge b_1 <_B b_2)$  and  $(a_2 = a_3 \wedge b_2 <_B b_3)$ , then by transitivity of  $<_B$  we have that  $b_1 <_B b_3$ . Also, since  $a_1 = a_2$  and  $a_2 = a_3$ , by transitivity of = we have that  $a_1 = a_3$ . So, we have that  $a_1 = a_3 \wedge b_1 <_B b_3$ . Therefore, we have that  $<_B a_1 >_C <_B a_3 >_C <_B$ 

Therefore,  $<_L$  satisfies transitivity.

#### Trichotomy

Consider  $< a_1, b_1 >$  and  $< a_2, b_2 >$ .

We will first show that at least one of  $< a_1, b_1 > = < a_2, b_2 >$  or  $< a_1, b_1 > <_L < a_2, b_2 >$  or  $< a_2, b_2 > <_L < a_1, b_1 >$  always holds.

Consider the following two cases.

Case 1: If  $\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle = \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle$ , then we are done.

Case 2: If  $\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle \neq \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle$ , then either  $a_1 \neq a_2 \vee b_1 \neq b_2$ . If  $a_1 \neq a_2$ , then since  $\langle a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle$ 

Therefore, at least one of the three cases always holds.

Now we must show that at most one occurs. Consider the following three cases.

Case 1: If  $\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle = \langle a_2, b_2 \rangle$ , then clearly  $a_1 = a_2$  and  $b_1 = b_2$ , and since  $\langle a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle = \langle a_1 \rangle = \langle a_2 \rangle =$ 

Case 2: If  $< a_1, b_1 > <_L < a_2, b_2 >$ , then we have that  $a_1 <_A a_2 \lor (a_1 = a_2 \land b_1 <_B b_2)$ . Notice that if  $a_1 <_A a_2$ , then we have  $a_1 \not<_A a_2$  and  $a_1 \ne a_2$ . So we cannot have  $< a_1, b_1 > = < a_2, b_2 >$  or  $< a_2, b_2 > <_L < a_1, b_1 >$ . If  $(a_1 = a_2 \land b_1 <_B b_2)$ , then we have  $a_1 \not<_A a_2$  and  $a_2 \not<_A a_1$  and  $b_2 \not<_B b_1$ . Therefore, we cannot have  $< a_1, b_1 > = < a_2, b_2 >$  or  $< a_2, b_2 > <_L < a_1, b_1 >$ .

Case 3: If  $\langle a_2, b_2 \rangle \langle L \langle a_1, b_1 \rangle$ , then we have the same case as Case 2, expect with the roles of  $\langle a_1, b_1 \rangle$  and  $\langle a_2, b_2 \rangle$  reversed.

Therefore,  $<_L$  also satisfies trichotomy.

Therefore,  $<_L$  is a linear ordering.