Habitat Quality Rankings

And the second s	<u> </u>	
Sand Quolity:	0	line mud
	1	hine sandy mud
	2	muddy here sand
	3	dean time sand
	4	medium gruin sand
	5	coarse grin sand
		Jan
Rippae Qualty:	0	Hat
	1	v. slight occasional applies
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	2	regular slight upples
	3	medium uppas
	4	high npples
	5 ,	gigantie nppas
man of the man of the second		
Nater quality:	0	dny
manage to state to the state of	ı	meist
	2	then surface Dayer
	3	peoled water
	4	surface most
Slope:	0	text
	ì	€ 2°
	2	≤ 5°
	_	~ 10°

Sampling Procedure

- 1 Mark corner of site: posh for Gandmark.
- @ Temp and weather readings.
- 3 Trucking, capture, mark, and mark post of truit. record: size, no of prey & type, size of prey.
- ⊕ Benthe samples: persh In corner site merker record: sand, upple, water, slope
- 5 Final temps
- @ other exptinter: untruebed sneios | marking.

Exponental Procedure to determine effect of predator attack on prey item.

On capturing a prey item the predator will remain metionless for a period ranging Im approx 15 sec to 2 mins. This behaviour reuses the question of what is the predator daring.

Possible reasons are D Eveluation of prey quality is recognition that captured item is a suitable item, or size of term

2) The predator is "immediazing the item in some manner.

The becowing two hypotheses may be tested.

Hi - prey evaluation time is independent of prey size or predator size.

H2 - prey are not immediated in some manner.

Technique

- 1 Callet prey items and sort into 3 size groups
- 3 Spat each group in to 3 sub groups
- SGroup 1: control handlad

Sgroup 2: captured and cleaned

Scrop 3: captured and uncleaned.

3 Determine recovery times for each Squoup