



Technische Universität München

Department of Mathematics

Chair of Mathematical Modeling of Biological Systems

Technische Universität München

Master's Thesis in Bioinformatics

---

**Single-cell analysis of cancer drug response using computer vision and learning algorithms on time-lapse micro-trench data**

---

Pandu Raharja



Technische Universität München

Department of Mathematics  
Chair of Mathematical Modeling of Biological Systems

Technische Universität München

Master's Thesis in Bioinformatics

**Single-cell analysis of cancer drug response using  
computer vision and learning algorithms on time-lapse  
micro-trench data**

**Wirkungsanalyse von Krebsmedikamenten in Einzeller  
Auflösung durch die Anwendung von Computer-Vision-  
und Machine-Learning-Algorithmen auf Microtrench-  
Videoaufnahme**

Author: Pandu Raharja

Supervisor: Prof. Dr. Fabian Theis, Dr. Carsten Marr

Advisor: Prof. Dr. Fabian Theis

Prof. Dr. Dmitrij Frishman

Submitted: 15.12.2017

## **Selbständigkeitserklärung**

Ich erkläre hiermit, dass ich die vorliegende Arbeit selbständig verfasst und nur unter Verwendung der angegebenen Quellen und Hilfsmittel angefertigt habe. Weiterhin erkläre ich, eine Diplomarbeit in diesem Studiengebiet erstmalig einzureichen.

München, den January 17, 2018

.....

## **Statement of authorship**

I declare that I completed this thesis on my own and that information which has been directly or indirectly taken from other sources has been noted as such. Neither this nor a similar work has been presented to an examination committee.

Munich, January 17, 2018

.....

# Acknowledgement

First and foremost, I would like to thank mom and dad, for the support even from faraway lands. Even though we are continents apart, your pray and hope will be always with me.

To Jennifer Carissa, whose support has made it possible to go through the hard parts of my life in the last years. This thesis is only possible because of you.

To Julian, Ganesh and other best friends which I resort to for personal assistance. Friends really are hard to find.

And finally, to Prof. Fabian Theis, Prof. Joachim Rädler, Elisavet Chatzopoulou, Felix Buggenthal and Alexandra Murschhauser for tremendous assistant in doing this project. Never did I know a project could be done in this maner.

Finally for my best supervisor, Carste Marr. Your boundless patience, deep insight and attention to detail have made this project impossible without your selfless assistance. I hope we could do more things in the future.

# Terminology and abbreviations

Following terms are used frequently in this thesis and therefore merit special explanation:

Name	Explanation
micro-trench	Single unit of micro-trench inside a well
wafer	The plate where the microtrench is manufactured on
slice	An image
stack	An ordered sequence of images (usually coming from the same channel)
channel	A connection between data source (camera) and storage. Images coming from the same channel have same capture properties formatting.

Note that in this thesis, following things are used interchangeably:

- image and slice, while referring to an image particularly a capture image of the trenches and its processed versions.
- image and figure, while referring to an image shown in this paper in general.

Symbol	Explanation
$M$	A stack of images
$M_t$	An image captured at time $t$ ( $t$ -th image)
$M_{t,x,y}$	Pixel at $(x, y)$ in $M_t$
$M_{t,x,y,1}$	First channel of pixel $M_{t,x,y}$
$J(x, y)$	brightness and contrast transformation of an image at coordinate $(x, y)$
$\mathbb{G}_{x,y}$	Sobel gradient of pixel $M_{t,x,y}$

## **Abstract**

Quantitative measurement of cancer drug response is essential to objectively gauge the efficacy of cancer drugs. So far, there has been no method to track and quantitatively measure single-cell response of cancer drug treatment. A novel pipeline is presented in this thesis. First, a quasi-high-throughput method to track cells and quantitatively analyze single-cell response to drugs. We investigate the response of model cancer cell lineages, MOLM and Jurkat, to known anti-cancer drugs Vincristine and Doxorubicine. While the method enabled relatively easy and quasi-high-throughput analysis of cancer treatment *in vitro*, our pipeline could also be adapted in various contexts involving single-cell analysis with reasonable amount of modifications necessary.

# Contents

<b>Chapter 1 Introduction</b>	<b>3</b>
1.1 Variability of cell-to-cell response towards cancer treatment . . . . .	3
1.2 Hypothesis . . . . .	5
1.3 Advances in microfluidics, image processing and machine learning . . . . .	5
1.3.1 Microfluidics . . . . .	5
1.3.2 Image Processing and Computer Vision . . . . .	6
1.3.3 Machine Learning . . . . .	6
1.4 The structure of the thesis . . . . .	6
<b>Chapter 2 Data and Methods</b>	<b>7</b>
2.1 Experimental Setting and Data . . . . .	7
2.1.1 Cell Culture . . . . .	7
2.1.2 Microfluidics . . . . .	8
2.1.3 Cancer Treatment Regimes . . . . .	11
2.1.4 Cell Death Signal . . . . .	16
2.1.5 Cell Treatments . . . . .	16
2.2 Definitions . . . . .	17
2.2.1 Image Encoding . . . . .	17
2.2.2 Brightness and Contrast adjustment . . . . .	21
2.2.3 Micro-trench Masking . . . . .	24
2.2.4 Gradient background correction . . . . .	31
2.2.5 Normalization of local contrast . . . . .	31
2.2.6 Static correction . . . . .	31
2.2.7 Cell Recognition . . . . .	31
2.2.8 Cell Tracking . . . . .	31
2.2.9 Shift Correction . . . . .	31
2.2.10 Cell death signals . . . . .	33
<b>Chapter 3 Analytic Pipeline</b>	<b>34</b>
3.1 Pipeline Description . . . . .	34
3.2 Pipeline Specification . . . . .	34
<b>Chapter 4 Results</b>	<b>35</b>
4.1 Quantitative Analysis . . . . .	35
4.2 Machine Learning Models . . . . .	35
<b>Chapter 5 Summary and Outlook</b>	<b>36</b>
5.1 Fully automated microfluidics pipeline . . . . .	36
5.2 Fully automated image analysis pipeline . . . . .	36
5.2.1 Automated micro-trench masking . . . . .	36
Appendices	
<b>Chapter A Experiment Protocols</b>	<b>48</b>
A.1 Micro-trenches array fabrication . . . . .	48

A.2 Images Acquisition . . . . .	48
<b>Chapter B Algorithms</b>	<b>50</b>

# Chapter 1

## Introduction

Cancer is among the deadliest diseases ever known to human being. It is a leading cause of death in 2009, second only to cardiovascular diseases [1]. The numbers are disconcerting, especially in the developed world. In the United States alone, half of men and a third of women are expected to develop some kind of cancer. According to US government, in 2016 alone, an estimated 1,685,210 people will be diagnosed with cancer, while 595,690 more will die from it [2].

Worldwide, the International Agency for Research on Cancer's GLOBOCAN series report that, in 2014, [3].

I.

- readable to people without background in the fields
- non technical at all

II.

- what have the researches done
- biologics
- technicals

III.

thesis overview

4~8 pages

### 1.1 Variability of cell-to-cell response towards cancer treatment

Cell-to-cell variability in response to external stimuli is a pervasive trait in cellular systems that prevails even in isogenic cell populations. Here, heterogeneity might be caused by epigenetic modifications, differences in the cell cycle phase, or by intrinsic stochastic fluctuations in gene expression and biochemical regulation. The implications of heterogeneity for cancer progression and treatment are poorly understood. In some cases, heterogeneity is dominated by intrinsic fluctuations in the stochastic expression of key regulators that randomly alter the sensitiveness of individual cancer cells. A raw model of this scenario has been put forward in recent work on TRAIL-induced apoptosis [1, 2]. Experiments and simulations show that variability in cell fate is sensitive to small stochastic increases in the levels of Bcl-2 and are transiently heritable to siblings [3, 4]. The study on TRAIL-induced apoptosis led to a novel interpretation of fractional killing and predicts reversible

resistance to chemotherapy. The profound consequences for cancer treatment have been subject to theoretical studies on the stochastic origins of cell-to-cell variability in cancer cell death decisions [5-7]. Cancer is an intrinsically highly diverse disease; tumors of any different histological type not only exhibit genetic diversity but also display their variation when exposed to all forms of chemotherapy [8]. Most state of the art chemotherapeutic drugs in clinical use, target rapidly dividing cells and trigger apoptosis. Vincristine (VCR), an antitumor vinca alkaloid, binds to tubulin and stops the dividing cell from separating its chromosomes during metaphase in M-phase. It is thus considered an M-phase dependent drug [9]. In contrast, daunorubicin, an anthracycline aminoglycoside antineoplastic, intercalates on DNA and inhibits the function of the enzyme topoisomerase II during transcription and replication. Daunorubicin is thus expected to act throughout the whole cell cycle and but especially strong during the DNA replication in the S-phase. Both drugs are used to fight hematopoietic disorders such as Acute Myeloid Leukemia (AML)[10, 11] among other neoplasms. In the literature, a drug that interferes with the cell cycle is in general considered cell cycle dependent, but in practice it is not clear whether it only acts on a specific cell cycle phase, due to side effect toxicities. Based on this, it is hypothesized that both VCR and daunorubicin are cell cycle dependent. Since it is assumed that VCR acts only during M-phase in their cycling, we can expect that cells that are closer to M-phase will die earlier [12, 13]. It is essential to understand sources of heterogeneous response of cancer to therapy and , in order to design novel therapeutic strategies and potent agents, that not only targets key signaling pathways with high specificity but also address the contextual role of cell cycle timing in cancer therapy. In this context, time-lapse imaging, which allows for recording accurate histories of individual cancer cell fates and cancer subpopulations, received increasing attention [12, 14, 15]. However, the to study the effect of particular cell cycle phases on chemo sensitiveness, single cells have to be observed continuously throughout division, drug addition, and death. has not been explored at the single cell level. The typical bottleneck for a high-throughput analysis for such is cell tracking. Tracking single cells in time-lapse microscopy movies is a challenging problem. Different automatic image analysis tracking tools have been proposed [19,20 ] and compared [16,17] [16-20] but to achieve tracks for fast moving cells movements, high cell densities, challenging cell identification, and long-term observations, also time-intensive manual tracking becomes necessary to achieve accurate tracks often applied [18,21] to generate tracks with maximal accuracy. For many approaches, the workload of manual tracking has to be compared to correcting erroneous track from tracking algorithms [schrÃP der review]. [21] . Confining cells spatially obviously reduces possible tracking errors and facilitates the application of tracking algorithms. In particular, non-adherent cells are painstaking to track since diffusive and convective drift in long-term measurements complicate cell assignments [20]. Among the techniques to capture non-adherent cells for long-term observation microfluidic devices [22] as well as micro-well platforms [23-28] were have been developed. Alternatively, individual cell cycle phases were imaged using fluorescent cell cycle reporters [29]. The confinement of single cells into well-defined spatial structures provides a straightforward implementation to facilitate automatic tracking since long-term crossing of individual tracks is avoided. Consequently, platforms that confine single starting cells and thus lead to spatially separated cell families (also called clones) are an especially derived from a single mother cell are a useful tool to investigate cell cycle length, sister cell correlations, or the effect of cell cycle phases to

to enable the automatization of the image analysis and to yield in a faster and effortless way to collect time traces that can address questions regarding the sources of cell-to-cell variability in a high-throughput manner. Here we introduce a platform that enables the continuous observation of cell families derived from individual non-adherent cells of the leukemia cell line MOLM-13. The platform employs arrays of micro-trenches optimized to observe cells for two consecutive generations. We demonstrate that automated image analysis is feasible and allows for precisely determination determining of the cell division cycle time distribution and sister cell correlations. A key feature of the platform is the direct and parallel observation of many hundreds of cells with individual in different cell cycle states. We show that the time-to-death after induction of apoptosis of the leukemia cell line MOLM-13 using the anti-mitotic agent vincristine (VCR) and daunorubicin (dauno) has a small dependence on cell cycle. The results were found consistent with experiments using cells that were synchronized using standard thymidine cell cycle arrest. Using arrays of micro-trenches Our approach also enabled a time correlation analysis which showed that the time-to-death of daughter cells correlates with the time spent in cell cycle, while this effect is not detectable when cells are synchronized.

## 1.2 Hypothesis

In this part, the hypothesis underlying the experiment is presented.

## 1.3 Advances in microfluidics, image processing and machine learning

In the recent decades, as in many other fields, there are numerous groundbreaking advances in the fields of microfluidics, image processing and machine learning.

The advances, coupled with general technological advances in computing power and energy efficiency, have made it possible for us to design almost completely automated analytical pipeline for single-cell microfluidic system.

While not all methods and algorithms in this project are the most recent, many of them are state-of-the-art and/or good enough for the pipeline to work seamlessly.

### 1.3.1 Microfluidics

As the name suggests, 'microfluidics' concerns the manipulation of fluids in a small working dimension, typically starting from nanometers to lower millimeters [4]. In modern context, the entire field tries to find application of micro-sized devices which hold and control the state of liquid [4] including cell culture medium. There are two categories of microfluidics: active and passive microfluidics devices. The separation is based on the device's ability to actively manipulate the flow and control of devices [5]. Active devices use micro-valves to perform sophisticated chemical processes [6]. This goes as far as reactions at individual cell level [7]. A passive device, which our micro-array system is, exploits on the other hand its physical property to provide rapid controlled environment for micro-sized experiments.

Active microfluidic methods for analysis and manipulation of biological cells have been done in various ways and forms. In 2003, Wheeler *et al* developed a novel microfluidic device

from poly-dimethylsioxane using multilayer soft lithography technology for the analysis of single cells [8]. The microfluidic setup facilitates the passive and gentle separation of a single cell from the bulk cell suspension. This in turn enables the precise delivery of reagents as little as one nanoliter to the cell. In other use cases, the optical-based microfluidic methods have been used to sort cell with very high accuracy [9]. This family of method utilizes the fact that different dielectric particles respond differently to an applied light field [10]. Combined with the minuscule spatial setting, the methods are compatible for single-cell resolution analysis. For example, optical-based microfluidic methods have been used to sort cells with very high accuracy [9, 11, 12].

As a method, passive macrofluidic methods are mostly used to provide specialized environment in cell-size resoultion. For example, microfluidic settings have been used to keep spatio-temporal identity of single cell for the analysis of the underlying biological dynamics of the isolated cells [13, 14], which form the methods the design and synthesis of our micro-trench system are based on.

In the last decades, the recent advances in both passive and active microfluidics have created an entire field [4] with use cases ranging from *in vivo* imaging [15], single-cell analysis [8] to cellular biophysics [16]. This project leverages in these advances coupled with equally outstanding advances in image acquisition, image processing and machine learning methods which will be described in the subsequent subsections.

### 1.3.2 Image Processing and Computer Vision

Before the runaway advance of deep learning methods in the last years, object and area detection methods generally rely on discriminating certain patterns and features in the picturek – with and without utilizing machine learning methods. In 2001, Paul Viola and Michael Jones proposed a method that was able to recognize face [17], and later, objects in almost real-time fasion [18].

### 1.3.3 Machine Learning

## 1.4 The structure of the thesis

The thesis is presented as two closely-related things. First, we present high throughput system that enables analysis in single-cell resolution of cells' response towards certain chemical reaction and a software suite that processes, analyzes and visualizes the data. This is an end-to-end solution that possibly can help researcher in their research. Second, we apply this method on the main question of the project: the response dynamics of cancer cell towards chemotherapeutic treatment. Here several questions are posed and addressed using the system and software suit.

Chapter 2 contains

# Chapter 2

## Data and Methods

As mentioned in previous parts of this thesis: this project consists of three part – the problem statement regarding the dynamics of cancerous single-cells under pressure of treatment, the microfluidic system which enables the single-cell protocol and the software implementation used to process and analyze the time-lapse data coming out of the experiment.

This chapter considers two aspects of the project: the experimental setting and the theoretical aspects behind the data analysis pipeline. In the first half of the chapter, we deal mostly with the experimental background and the underlying questions of single cell dynamics of cancer cell under stress with focus on chemotherapeutic pressure. In this part, the highlight of the experiment, the microfluidic system for cell containment is elucidated. In conjunction with the system, some biomedical and biochemical aspects of the experiment are also mentioned. This includes the drug, the auxiliary chemicals used in the experiment and the cell lines probed.

The second part deals mostly with the quantitative methods and algorithms used to process data into meaningful observations. This part is opened with definitions used in the methods section. Afterwards, every method developed/used in the pipeline is brought forward with accompanying rationale and literature research.

### 2.1 Experimental Setting and Data

#### 2.1.1 Cell Culture

To enable cross reference and comparability of experiment results, a model cell line is used: the acute monocytic leukemia (AML) cell line **AML-M5a MOLM-13**. The line used in our experiment was derived from the cell line initially described by Matsuo et al in 1997 [19]. In the paper, the authors developed the line from the peripheral blood of a relapse patient with AML of subtype FAB M5a, which is characterized by predominantly monoblastic leukemia cells visible in pap smear [20]. Due to extensive research done on the cell line and the well-explained mechanism of the cell line dynamics and response towards cancer medication, the cell line is an ideal candidate for *in vitro* study of monocytic differentiation, leukemogenesis and treatment dynamics [19, 21, 22].

For our experiment, the AML-M5a MOLM-13 cell line was cultured in Gibco<sup>®</sup> RPMI 1640 GlutaMAX medium, produced by Life Technologies [23]. The medium is popular choice in human cell biology for both experiments and biological syntheses using human

cells and their derivatives [24, 25]. It is pre-supplemented with stable form of L-glutamine to prevent ammonia buildup, a common and serious problem in cell culture due to its cell toxicity[26]. The medium is further supplemented with Gibco® Fetal Bovine Serum (FBS), also offered by Life Technologies [27], as supplement for the AML-M5a MOLM-13 cell culture.

Some other cell lines were examined as potential probe cell line in this experiment. One of them is Jurkat Cell, a model cell commonly used to study T Cell Leukimia, T cell signalling mechanism and the expression of various HIV-related chemokines [28]. The cell line was a considered since it is well-studied [29, 30]. This is especially true if we consider apoptotic mechanism of the cell line, a problem this project and other related projects by our and partner labs are trying to investigate. There are several seminal publications about the dynamics of apoptotic mechanism of Jurkat cells we could well compare our results to [31]. Samali *et al* [32] even studies the dynamics of caspase expression in Jurkat cells, a topic dealt a lot in this project as the chapters progress (see for example Subsection 2.1.3) while Kasai *et al* considers the aspect of spindle checkpoint in the context of apopototic cell death [33]. However, we figured out early on that the cell motility of the Jurkat cell line was increased dramatically (a phenomenon observed by others before us [34]) upon the introduction of chemotherapeutic treatment – the increase dramatic enough that the cells managed to escape the micro-trench it initially landed in.

### 2.1.2 Microfluidics

In our cases, the microfluidic settings trace back to the works of our partner lab at Biophysics Department at Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München in 2013 [35] and 2015 [14, 5].

In order to track in a label-free manner non-adherent cells over several generations, we designed and fabricated micro-trenches ( $30 \times 120 \mu\text{m}$ ) out of PEG-DA (Polyethylene(glycol) Diacrylate), which can accommodate four to six cells. The proposed platform facilitated cell tracking leading to the observation of hundreds of families of cells, derived from one single mother at each case. This enabled us firstly to study the distribution of division times among single cells and also to correlate the division times between sister cells, which are genetically identical. Secondly, the array of micro-trenches enabled the study of the response dynamics of single-cells to doxorubicin, a widely used chemotherapeautic drug, and the comparison of the response to this agent between a chemically synchronized and a non-synchronized population. The detailed methods and protocols for the fabrication of the microfluidic system used in the experiment could be found in Appendix A.1. The design of the micro-trench and the schematic representative of cell tracking are seen in Figure 2.2.

The experiment setting looks as follows:

#### The micro-trenches:

The smallest structure of the setting, measuring about 120 microns by 30 microns. The base of the trench is made of Polyethylene (glycol) Diacrylate (PEGDA), an inert substance commonly found as construction material in microfluidic system [14]. Each tretment contains about 2400 micro-trenches (See **Results** section) contained in one containment box.

### The containment system:

The trench could contain up to 8 cells. The macro-container chosen for containing the wafers holding the micro-trenches is ibidi® sticky-Slide 8 Well (see Figure 2.1). In the project, each cell treatment is isolated in one containment box. This ensure the separations of different chemicals used in each treatment.




Figure 2.1: ibidi® sticky-Slide 8 Well. The base SU-8 wafer is located in each of the containment box (**A**). The SU-8 wafer is then fabricated in the surface of each containment box using nano photolithographic printing. The microfluidic system is then poured and stamped on top the wafer (see Appendix A.1 for detailed manufacturing process). Note that each containment box is upside-open. The cap ((**B**) is used to prevent the ingress of foreign materials into the medium. *Image taken and modified from ibidi GmbH's website.*




Figure 2.2: The structure of micro-trenches: (A) 3D model of micro-trench on surface with cells inside. (B) The schematic representation of a time-lapse in a trench. First, a singly-placed cell is tracked in a micro-trench. At time  $t_0$ , the cell divides into two daughter cells. The two cells will be kept tracked until at one point each of the daughter cells will divide at the same time at time  $t_{div}$ . Note the simplification of the sample. First, not every cell is singly-placed inside a trench. Indeed, not every trench is occupied by cells. Second, not every cell divides. Third, not every cell line observed has three generations in it. And finally, not every children's division times are at the same time. Indeed, this special case almost never happens in real life. (C) The sample view into the environment with cells occupying some micro-trenches. Here, the micro-trenches have dimension of 120  $\mu\text{m}$  long and 30  $\mu\text{m}$  wide. Note also the pointish characteristic of the cells taken in out-of-focus fashion. This improves the performance of the tracking algorithms. *Figure taken from (Sekhavati, 2015) [5].*




Figure 2.3: The typical view of micro-trench setting. Some trenches contain no cell at all (**A**). Several trenches contain exactly one cell (**B**). A few more trenches contain two cells (**C**) while in rare cases the trench may contain more than two cells (**D**).

### 2.1.3 Cancer Treatment Regimes


As mentioned in previous chapters, the objective of the project pertains mostly the dynamics of single cancer cell under treatment. After mentioning the cell lines of interest (Chapter 2.1.1) and the microfluidic setup used to contain cancer cells in single-cell setting (Chapter 2.1.2), we arrived at the last aspect of the experimental setting: the chemical treatment used on the cells.

For cell cultures mentioned in chapter Subsection 2.1.1, two treatment regimes are developed : Vincristine and Daunorubicin – both chemotherapeutic compounds well known for treating various kinds of cancer [36, 37, 38, 39].


#### Vincristine

Vincristine is initially isolated from Madagascar periwinkle *Catharanthus roseus* (basionym *Vinca roseus*, hence the name) [40]. It is mainly known as Tubulin polymerase inhibitor [41], a subclass of mitotic inhibitor family of drugs [42]. Mechanistically, it prevent Tubulin polymerization in two ways. First, it binds elongating Tubulin polymer and reduces the affinity of the elongating polymer [43] towards the monomers that are supposed to conjoin the polymer thereby extending it. Meanwhile, further elongation by the polymers are also prevented via allosteric inhibition (inhibition caused by spatial occupancy of inhibiting agent) of polymerizing Tubulin via Vincristine. The allosteric competitor is hence commonly known as vinca alkaloid binding domain and commonly seen in other tubulin polymerization inhibitor compounds [41]. It has also been shown

that Vincristine also depolymerizes stable microtubuli in rat axonal part of rats' neuronal cells [42]. Thus, the effect of Vincristine is most emphasized during the time of high Tubulin synthesis: during the separation of chromosomes in Metaphase by means of tearing them with the simultaneous pulling and pushing mechanism of Tubulin poly- and depolymerization [44]. In the context of chemotherapy, Vincristine is often as combination in CHOP (cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone) regime [45] against non-Hodgkin's lymphoma; MOPP [46], COPP [47] and BEACOPP [48] regimes against Hodgkin's lymphoma; and Stanford V regimes against acute lymphoblastic leukemia [49]. It is also used to certain degree as immunosuppresant due to its mitotic inhibiting characteristics [50].



(a)



(b)

Figure 2.4: (a) Molecular structure of Vincristine. (b) Visualization of Vincristine's mechanism of action. During normal metaphase, microtubuli elongate from centrosome towards equator and bind fully replicated chromosomes. The microtubuli then pull chromosome pair apart during anaphase. Vincristine and other vinca alkaloids prevent this from happening by competitive inhibition, allosteric inhibition and active depolymerization of extending microtubuli. Unsuccessful exit metaphase forces the cell to undergo programmed cell death.

### Daunorubicin

Daunorubicin is initially isolated from bacterium *Streptomyces peucetius* [?, ?]. It is part of anthracycline class of drug [39] extracted mainly from *Streptomyces* bacteria. Some well-known members of this class are Doxorubicin [51], Epirubicin [52] and Idarubicin [53]. Together, they are among the most effective cancer drugs ever deployed and are used to-

wards more kinds of cancer than other group of chemotherapeutic agents [54, 55, 56]. Like many chemotherapeutic agents including Vincristine, anthracyclines attack cancerous tissues and cells by preventing their division [36]. Unlike vinca alkaloids however, anthracyclines prevent the division by disrupting another mechanistic part of cell division: the DNA polymerization [39]. There are four ways anthracyclines disrupt DNA polymerization:

- Anthracyclines intercalate with base pairs involved in polymerization thus preventing strands extension [57].
- Anthracyclines covalently inhibit of type II topoisomerase which is responsible for RNA and DNA supercoil relaxation [58]. Inhibition of type II topoisomerase causes supercoiled RNA and DNA to be inaccessible for initiation of duplication thus breaking the DNA [59].
- Anthracyclines induce oxidative stress on cancer cell organelles by generating free oxygen radicals. These radicals in turn damage DNA, proteins and cell membranes and initiate caspase induced apoptosis [60, 61].
- Anthracyclines disrupt epigenetic, transcriptomic and DNA upstream regulations by removing histones from DNA strands [62]. This also exposes DNA strands to degradation factor such as DNA methylase [63] and oxidative damage [64]
- In presence of formaldehyde, anthracyclines cross-links with DNA covalently, creating cytotoxin that will disrupt DNA from functioning properly [65].

In normal chemotherapeutic regime, both Vincristine and Daunorubicin are prescribed intravenously to the patients [66]. Needless to say, both drugs will disrupt both cancerous and healthy cells. The effect is however mostly felt in actively dividing cells and organs such as blood cells and hair follicles due to chemotherapeutic agents' mostly disruptive effect during cell division as mentioned above [66, 67].





Figure 2.5: (a) Molecular structure of Daunorubicin. (b) Two anthracyclines intercalating with DNA double helix. (c) Schematic diagram of Daunorubicin's mechanism of action. From left to right: daunorubicin induce reactive oxygen species (ROS) which damage the DNA. Daunorubicin interferes with DNA by (1) covalently crosslinking with DNA mediated by formaldehyde and (2) intercalating DNA double helix. Daunorubicin also inhibits Topoisomerase II which prevents DNA supercoil's relaxation. Not shown in image: daunorubicin removes histone (shown in yellow in image) which disrupt epigenetic regulations. Modified from (Yang et al, 2014 [68]).

## 2.1.4 Cell Death Signal

As mentioned in Subsection 2.1.3, the introduction of cancer treatment disrupts mitotic cell activities which in turn activates cell death pathways. To

**Caspase 3/7**

**Propium Iodide (PI)**

## 2.1.5 Cell Treatments

Generally, following questions are to be investigated in the experiment:

- We would like to investigate the dynamics of the AML-M5a MOLM-13 cell line's response towards chemotherapeutic treatment regimes in various drugs' concentration levels (for both Vincristine and Daunorubicin).
- We also would like to look into the effect of cell cycle on the the AML-M5a MOLM-13 cell line's response to drugs. Specifically, following questions needs to be answered: does the response of cancer cells depends on cell cycle?

To answer aforementioned questions, we designed the experiments as follow. First, two experiments are done:

### Unsynchronized experiment.

In the unsynchronized experiment, all 8 wells of the ibidi<sup>®</sup> sticky-Slide 8 Well ('slide') are used with one microtrench wafer in each well (see Subsection 2.1.2 for the description of the slide). Every well is poured with different concentrations of treatments. To cover as many concentration range as possible, logarithmic scale is used to select concentration starting with 1 nanomolar (nM) all the way to 1000 nM. The use of logarithmic scale is also in line with logarithmic nature of many enzymatic and molecular process in cell biology [69, 70]. Following concentrations are used: 1 nM, 5 nM, 10 nM, 100 nM and 1000 nM of Vincristine; 10 nM and 100 nM of Daunorubicin; and control concentration (0 nM). The unsynchronized experiment concentration configuration can be seen in Table 2.1. The recording lasts for 45 hours. After 21 hours of recording, the chemotherapeutic treatment is introduced into each well. Table 2.2 shows the image capturing frequency for each image type.

Condition	Positions
A1: 1000 nM - VCR	1-8
A2: 100 nM - VCR	9-15
A3: 10 nM - VCR	16-23
A4: 1 nM - VCR	24-31
B4: 10 nM - Dauno	32-39
B3: 100 nM - Dauno	40-47
B2: 0 nM - VCR	48-55
B1: 5 nM - VCR	56-63

Table 2.1: Configuration table of treatments for synchronized experiment

What	What for	$t$
In-focus brightfield (BF) image	Sanity check, micro-trench masking	30 minutes
Out-of-focus BF image	Image tracking, image analysis	15 minutes
Red fluorescence channel (PI)	Image tracking, image analysis	15 minutes
Green fluorescence channel (Csp3/7)	Image tracking, image analysis	15 minutes

Table 2.2: Image capture frequency of the unsynchronized experiment

### Synchronized experiment

The main difference between unsynchronized and synchronized experiments is, as the name already suggests, the synchronization step done in synchronized experiment. The process involves arresting cell cycle with the so-called "double thymidine block" protocol [71], which arrests cell development at  $G_1/S$ -phase by stopping DNA synthesis using double thymidine block, a well known DNA synthesis inhibitor [72].

Like unsynchronized experiment, several concentration levels are tested in this experiment (see Table 2.3). The image capturing starts after the cells are synchronized and lasts 24 hours. The image capturing frequency is exactly the same as unsynchronized experiment's (see Table 2.2).

Condition	Positions
A1: 1000 nM - VCR	1-8
A2: 100 nM - VCR	9-15
A3: 10 nM - VCR	16-23
A4: 1 nM - VCR	24-31
B4: 0 nM	32-39
B3: 5 nM - VCR	40-47
B2: 10 nM - Dauno	48-55
B1: 100 nM - Dauno	56-63

Table 2.3: Configuration table of treatments for synchronized experiment

The detailed image capturing protocol for both unsynchronized and synchronized experiments can be seen in Appendix A.2.

## 2.2 Definitions

This section contains formal definitions and methods used in this thesis.

### 2.2.1 Image Encoding

From the initial recordings on, there are several image standards and encodings being processed and analyzed in our pipeline. We would start from the raw image captured by recording apparatus going down to processed images (See Appendix A.2 for detailed protocols on image acquisition).

There are two kind of recordings taken in our project: brightfield channel and fluorescence channel recordings. Two brightfield channels are used in this experiment:

- In-focus brightfield image. The focal distance of the camera  $d_f$  is exactly the same as the distance from the apparatus to the microfluidic system  $d_m$ , i.e.  $d_f = d_m$ .
- Out-of-focus brightfield image. To capture out-of-focus brightfield image,  $d_f$  should be different than  $d_m$ . Several distances were tried during the experiments (see Figure 2.6). Difference in focus-microfluidics distance influences the quality of the image in several ways (see Figure 2.6). It was determined that the best resulting image came from the lense with  $d_f - d_m = -20\mu m$ .




Figure 2.6: Comparison between in-focus image and out-of-focus images taken at various focus-microfluidics difference  $d_f - d_m$ . The images were taken from the section in the well. In the first row are images with focus behind the microfluidics system while in the second row are images with focus before the system. A: in focus image. B:  $+10\mu m$ . C:  $+20\mu m$ . D:  $+30\mu m$ . E:  $+40\mu m$ . F:  $+50\mu m$ . G:  $-10\mu m$ . H:  $-20\mu m$ . I:  $-30\mu m$ . J:  $-40\mu m$ . K:  $-50\mu m$ .

To detect cell death signal, fluorescent channels are used: red and green. These channels only capture fluorescence emission in corresponding spectrum area (i.e.  $620\text{--}750\text{ nm}$  and  $495\text{--}570\text{ nm}$  respectively):

- Red fluorescent channel. This channel is used to capture emission coming out of PI activation due to PI's DNA binding emission being mostly in red wavelength (see Subsection 2.1.5).
- Green fluorescent channel. This channel is used to capture emission coming out of caspase3/7 activation due to caspase3/7's binding emission being mostly in green wavelength (see Subsection 2.1.5).

From the recording apparatus, the image came as TIFF image (see Appendix A.2 for the detailed protocols) [73]. Each recorded stack consists of slices which. One slice represent a single image capture at a time point. Each stack is encoded using RGB color model. This includes fluorescent image in which each slice shows the intensity in corresponding color channel (red, green or blue) as monochromatic RGB image.

RGB color model represents the pixel as a combination of red, green and blue color. This encoding is able to represent various spectra of human visible color and useful enough

for most use cases [74, 75]. The most commonly used RGB encoding is the 8 bit encoding. Here, each pixel is represented as an RGB pixel having red, green and blue color values ranging from 0 to 255. Mathematically, this means that each pixel  $M_{t,x,y}$  of a slice captured at time  $t$  in this image can be represented a triple, i.e.:

$$M_{t,x,y} := (M_{t,x,y,1}, M_{t,x,y,2}, M_{t,x,y,3}) \quad (2.1)$$

with

$$M_{t,x,y,1} M_{t,x,y,2} M_{t,x,y,3} \in [0, 255]$$

for 8-bit RGB encoding. Consequently, a slice  $M_t$  of width  $w$  and height  $h$  is a 3-dimensional matrix of dimension  $h \times w \times h$ , i.e.

$$M_i \in p_c^{w \times h \times 3}$$

with  $p_c \in [0 : 255]$ . A stack (a sequence of slices)  $M$  of  $n$  slices is in turn a 4-dimensional matrix:

$$M_i \in p_c^{n \times w \times h \times 3}$$

In some steps in the analysis pipeline, the image will modified to other encoding and vice versa, e.g. from 8 bit to 16 and from 8 bit to 32 bit RGB encoding. To convert RGB value of a pixel  $M_{x,y}^a$  from one encoding to another  $M_{x,y}^n$ , linear conversion is normally used:

$$M_{x,y}^b = (\lceil M_{x,y,1}^a \frac{2^b}{2^a} \rceil, \lceil M_{x,y,2}^a \frac{2^b}{2^a} \rceil, \lceil M_{x,y,3}^a \frac{2^b}{2^a} \rceil) \quad (2.2)$$

where  $a$  and  $b$  refer to the bit length of the source and target encoding respectively (commonly known as **bit depth**). Commonly used depths are 8, 16 (*high color* format), 24 (*true color* format) and 48 bits (*deep color* format) [76, 77, 78].

Sometimes, both grayscale and 1-bit monochrome encoding is used/produced during image analysis. A grayscale image essentially shows the intensity of an image. A grayscale slice  $G$  can be represented as matrix of integer, i.e.  $G \in p_c^{w \times h}$  for 8-bit grayscale for example. Like RGB image, linear scaling can be applied to transform grayscale images across bit depth:

$$G_{x,y}^b = \lceil G_{x,y}^a \frac{2^b}{2^a} \rceil \quad (2.3)$$

RGB image can be transformed to grayscale image by combining the intensity from every channel:

$$G_{x,y} = \frac{M_{x,y,1} + M_{x,y,2} + M_{x,y,3}}{3}$$

this however does not reflect human perception of light, as human eyes' spectral sensitivity is not uniform across sensitivity spectrum [79]. Indeed, as Osorio and Vorobyev shows in 2005, each species has its own specific spectral sensitivity distribution [?], as can be seen in following figure:




Figure 2.7: Spectral sensitivity of human (a), honeybee (b), pigeon (c) and house fly (d). Note that the sensitivity distribution is maximum normalized (i.e. each value in a curve is relative only to the maximum value of the curve). Each species has its own set of cone cells, as can be seen in the variation of the number of curves for each species. D refers to double cone, while SVF refers to short visual fiber and LVF to long visual fiber. The y's and p's indicate the yellow/pale sensitivity of house fly's long visual fiber. Figure taken from (Osorio and Vorobyev, 2005 [80]).

There are some conversions published on transforming RGB value to human intensity perception based on the measured spectral sensitivity [81, 82, 83]. Among the most commonly used is the *BT.601* standard from International Telecommunication Union (ITU). It recommends following luminosity weight for RGB to grayscale conversion [82]:

$$G_{x,y} = \frac{0.299M_{x,y,1} + 0.587M_{x,y,2} + 0.114M_{x,y,3}}{3} \quad (2.4)$$

Reverting back grayscale to RGB image in turn only consists of applying grayscale value to each color component:

$$M_{x,y} := [G_{x,y}, G_{x,y}, G_{x,y}]$$

A 1-bit monochrome image is encoded as binary matrix:

$$B \in p_b^{w \times h} \wedge p_b \in \{0, 1\}$$

this encoding is superior to other encoding for uses cases that do not require complete information of the image but rather separation of interesting parts in the image, since since it requires less memory (1 bit per pixel vs 24 bits per pixel of normal RGB image) and enables bitwise operation native to CPU [84].

Some use cases for this encoding are for example region of interest (ROI) bounding and contour and boundary visualization [85].

The conversion from RGB to binary image can be done by defining cutoff value  $c$ , i.e.

$$B_{x,y} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } M_{x,y} > c \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

Note that, unless otherwise mentioned, every bit encoding of an image refers to unsigned encoding. Thus, an  $m$ -bit encoding allows value ranging from 0 to  $2^m - 1$ .

## 2.2.2 Brightness and Contrast adjustment

We consider following image:




Figure 2.8: The in-focus image of position 41 from unsynchronized experiment.

using RGB to intensity conversion formula shown in Subsection 2.2.1, we can convert the image into grayscale image representing the intensity. The grayscale image has the intensity distribution as shown in following histogram:




Figure 2.9: Normalized distribution of intensity of Figure 2.8.

Mathematically, we can represent brightness and contrast adjustment as a mapping between one domain to another one. Let  $l(M_{t,i,j})$  a function that maps the RGB value of a pixel  $M_{t,i,j}$  to its corresponding intensity. Conveniently, we can use ITU's *BT.601* standard the formula written in Subsection 2.2.1.

We then define the  $I : [0 : w] \times [0 : h] \rightarrow \mathbb{N}_0$  function that maps the coordinate of a pixel to its corresponding intensity value i.e.

$$I_t(i, j) := l(M_{t,i,j})$$

Note that the codomain of the function  $I_t$  depends on the encoding used. For a 8-bit encoding this will be then  $[0 : 255]$ .

An intensity transformation  $J_t$  is then defined as a linear transformation of  $I_t$  with **gain** and **bias** parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  respectively,


$$J_t(i, j) := \alpha \cdot I_t(i, j) + \beta \quad (2.5)$$

with  $\alpha > 0$  [86]. The gain and bias parameters are also known as **contrast** and **brightness** parameters accordingly. In this regard, increasing/decreasing brightness is equivalent to increasing/decreasing  $\beta$ . The same thing also applies for contrast parameter, increasing  $\beta$  will increase the brightness of the image.


Predictably, doing transformation over by  $J_t$  will inevitably cause the resulting intensity to be outside of the allowed value range  $[0 : 2^m]$  for m-bit encoding. To understand this situation, we first have to consider the boundedness of eyes perception. The argumentation for boundedness can be shown by realizing that the excitation of a neuron follows sigmoid function [87]. Thus given no impuls the neuron will stay in ground state, while very large impulse is bounded due to biochemical constraint of a neuron. Mapped in the context of sigmoid function, a steady state corresponds to 0 while asymptotically unlimited excitation corresponds to 1. In our context, a non-excited state corresponds to 0 intensity while full-excitation corresponds to  $2^m - 1$  intensity. Thus, the equation 2.5 could be closely bounded by introducing upper and lower bound of 0 and  $2^m - 1$ , i.e.:

$$J_t(i, j) := \begin{cases} 2^m - 1 & \text{if } \alpha \cdot I_t(i, j) + \beta > 2^m - 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } \alpha \cdot I_t(i, j) + \beta < 0 \\ \alpha \cdot I_t(i, j) + \beta & \text{else} \end{cases}$$

We can for example, transform Figure 2.8 using  $J_t(i, j)$  with  $\beta = 100$ .  $\alpha$  is not changed in this case. Following images show the transformed image and its corresponding normalized intensity distribution:



(a) 1a



(b)

Figure 2.10: (a) The in-focus image of position 41 from unsynchronized experiment tranoformed with  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 100$ . (b) Normalized distribution of intensity of the transformed figure. Compared to Figure 2.9. Note that the difference in height across bins *vis a vis* Figure 2.9 is mainly caused by the definition of bins in the histogram function.

Geometrically, brightness adjustment shifts the entire intensity distribution to the right by  $\beta$ <sup>1</sup>.

We can also try to change  $\beta$ . This will scale the image's intensity and emphasize image contrast. See for example following image:

---

<sup>1</sup>Note the wording. For negative  $\beta$ , the shift is negative to the right, i.e. to the left




Figure 2.11: (a) The in-focus image of position 41 from unsynchronized experiment tranoformed with  $\alpha = 3$  and  $\beta = 0$ . Notice the contrast has increased significantly, especially around area with high intensity differential such us the margin of a micro-trench and the dark spot in the lower left part of the slice. (b) Normalized distribution of intensity of the transformed figure

Geometrically, contrast can be understood as the distance of closely resembling pixel [85]. Two pixels which are very similar will look very different given a high contrast. Brightness, on the other hands, describes the level of visibility of a pixel.

### 2.2.3 Micro-trench Masking

First, note that the following method is only semi- automatically done. The first step (brightness and contrast correction) is done manually in *Fiji* while the Robust Automatic Threshold Selection (RATS) and the holes filling are done automatically, also in *Fiji*.

Several techniques could be applied to highlight certain area in the image. In Subsection 1.3.2, several advances in computer vision methods are described. While more general advances in the field of machine learning is chronicled in Subsection 1.3.3. While the collection of advanced methods for detection abound, some simple interpretable methods could be used best to detect and mask the micro-trench. In particular, we can see for example that the area around a micro-trench exposes the so-called strong intensity gradient (see Figure 2.12): the area around the margin of a micro-trench is much darker

than the other parts of the well. This can be explained by the fact that the light is reflected less around the wall area and thus the intensity decreases. Moreover, the light beam coming out of the camera is not perfectly perpendicular to the well and thus the non-perpendicular reflection is not reflected back to the camera sensor.

Before us, there are several methods that exploit this kind of phenomenon. Cheng et al for example shows it is possible to recognize salient objects in image by using contrast and brightness adjustment [88]. Our method on the other hand, goes further by doing robustness improvement by doing noise cancellation step (See 2.2.3).




Figure 2.12: (a) The highlighted area around the 500-th column of the first slice of position 41. The range starts from the 450-th until the 550-th column. (b) The intensity of the red-marked area in (a), the region around micro-trench margin is indicated by the sudden drop of intensity. Note also the slow drift of the intensity as the pixel goes south (right side of the plot). This phenomenon is called *intensity gradient* (see Subsection 2.2.4 for more).

We could thus exploit this knowledge by designing micro-trench masking algorithm as follows:

### Brightness and contrast adjustment of in-focus image

First, we adjust the brightness and contrast so that the area far away from the margin is encoded as maximum intensity, while the area around the margin is encoded as minimum intensity. One simple and robust way to do this is to reduce the intensity of each position with the maximum intensity value of the region around the margin.

Mathematically, we can define two sets of points,  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$ .  $\mathfrak{M}$  refers to the set of points around the trench margin while  $\mathfrak{S}$  denotes the set of points far away from it. This brings us to the following transformation,

$$J_i(i, j) := I_t(i, j) + \text{argmax}_{(x,y) \in \mathfrak{M}} I_t(x, y)$$

creating transformed intensity  $I^t$ .

As can be seen in Figures 2.9 and 2.12, while sets  $\mathfrak{M}$  and  $\mathfrak{S}$  are locally separable, across the board this does not seem so clear. We can thus improve the transformation by also adjusting the contrast parameter  $\alpha$  by increasing it so that  $\alpha > 1$ . This brings the pixels with similar intensity values around the decision boundary (somewhere between the

two distributions in Figure 2.9) apart and thus ameliorates the determination of decision boundary by the user. Applying this, we have now the new transformation:

$$J_t(i, j) := \alpha I_t(i, j) + argmax_{(x,y) \in \mathfrak{M}} I_t(x, y) \text{ with } \alpha > 1$$

creating transformed intensity  $I^t$ .

Note that this is still not a perfect transformation, as there are some pixels in  $\mathfrak{S}$  with intensity lower than the minimum intensity of pixels in  $\mathfrak{M}$ . Besides doing this manually, we also improve this by refining the transformed intensity  $I^t$  further with the next step: Robust Automatic Threshold Selection.

Subfigures 2.15a and 2.15b show images of micro-trenches before and after brightness and contrast adjustment. As mentioned, some parts inside the micro-trench still have pixels that were not transformed to complete white color (maximum intensity). This can however be removed by global and local noise correction through Robust Automatic Threshold Selection (RATS, see next).

### Robust Automatic Threshold Selection (RATS)

Robust Automated Threshold Selection (RATS), based on description by (Wilkinson and Schut, 1998 [89]), computes a threshold map for an image based on two criteria:

- pixel values, and
- pixel gradients. [90]

The gradient is computed using the so-called Sobel kernels[91], a commonly used in computer vision for edge detection as it particularly emphasizes edges in image upon transformation. It is a discrete differential operator which computes an approximation of gradient of the intensity function  $J$ .

Originally, the Sobel gradient  $\mathbb{G}_{x,y}$  of coordinate  $(x, y)$  is defined as,

$$\mathbb{G}_{x,y} := \sqrt{\Delta_x^2 + \Delta_y^2}$$

Whereas  $\Delta_x$  and  $\Delta_y$  are defined as,

$$\Delta_x = \begin{bmatrix} +1 & 0 & -1 \\ +2 & 0 & -2 \\ +1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} * \mathbf{M}_t$$

and,

$$\Delta_y = \begin{bmatrix} +1 & +2 & +1 \\ +0 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} * \mathbf{M}_t$$

with asterisk symbol  $\mathbf{M}_t$  denoting the image at time  $t$  and  $*$  two dimensional signal processing convolution [92]. For example, for a  $3 \times 3$  matrix,

$$M_{3 \times 3} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix}$$

and  $\Delta_x$ , the value of the middle pixel (2, 2) after evaluating the convolution  $\Delta_x * M_{3 \times 3}$  is,

$$\left( \begin{bmatrix} +1 & 0 & -1 \\ +2 & 0 & -2 \\ +1 & 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix} * \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 & 6 \\ 7 & 8 & 9 \end{bmatrix} \right) [2, 2] = 1 \cdot 1 + 0 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 3 + -2 \cdot 4 + 0 \cdot 5 + 2 \cdot 6 + -1 \cdot 7 + 0 \cdot 8 + 1 \cdot 9$$

It has been shown, however, that only taking the quadratic gradient yields comparable result [89]. The Sobel operator is thus only defined as quadratic gradient in Fiji RATS plugin,

$$g_{x,y} = G_{x,y}^2 = \Delta_x^2 + \Delta_y^2$$

Pixels having gradients smaller than threshold  $\lambda\sigma$  are then removed, where  $\sigma$  is noise (generally, standard deviation of the expected background is used, e.g. standrd deviation of the whole image) and  $\lambda$  is scaling factor (Wilkinson determined  $\lambda = 3$  to be a good approximation. Visually, this step can be understood as removal of background noise from the image.

To refine the filtering further, iterative correction is applied. First, a quadtree construction is applied in an image. Figure 2.13 gives an example on how a quadtree of an image looks like:



Figure 2.13: Example of quadtree. The left side is the quadtree representation of original image on the right. *Creative Commons courtesy of anonymous.*

Within smallest square,a local threshold  $T_R$  is computed in following way:

$$T_R = \frac{\sum g_{x,y} \cdot M_{t,x,y}}{\sum g_{x,y}}$$

If a square only contains noises for example, in which case the  $T_r$  filters no point at all as it is too low that no points are removed, the local threshold of the parent square is taken instead (note that the quadtree is defined as a tree, see for example the original description of quadtree by Finkel and Bentley, 1974 [93]). This step is iterated until a parent square with at least one filtered pixel is reached. Visually, the refining step is equal to filtering

out area of an image in which the pixels are mostly noise. In the initial global filtering process, many noisy pixels might not be filtered since happen to have gradients larger than  $\lambda\sigma$ . Using bottom-up these pixels will be eventually filtered out.

Subfigures 2.15b and 2.15c show the input and output of RATS respectively. The noise pertaining in a micro-trench is removed upon application of gradient-based filtering. We can that,

- the first part of RATS (**B**-filtering by  $\lambda\sigma$ ) is designed to move global level noise which in this case means white noises coming from non-perfect reflection of light from the well.
- the second part of RATS (recursive **B** – *filtering by  $T_r$* ) is designed to remove local noise cause by local distortion due to location-specific artifacts (direction of incoming light not perfectly perpendicular with regard to micro-trench's base etc).

### Holes filling

After brightness and contrast adjustment and RATS, the image now mostly contains the margin of the micro-trenches. We can then fill these holes to create micro-trench masks. The step consists of doing two processes:

1. Recognition of the outer part of micro-trench contours, followed by
2. Filling of such contour with a new neutral value (black).

Following image explains the definition of contours and their hierarchy:




Figure 2.14: Example of contours and their hierarchy. **Contours 0, 1 and 2** are **outermost contours** and of **hierarchy-0**. **Contour 2a** is **child contour** and of **hierarchy-1**. **Contour 3** is child contour of **contour 2a** and of **hierarchy-2**. **Contour 3a** is child contour of **contour 3** and of **hierarchy-3**. Both **contours 4** and **5** are children of **contour 3a** and of **hierarchy-4**. All contours with same hierarchy are topologically equal. Contour Adopted from OpenCV tutorials by Bradski and Kaehler [94].

There are several well known algorithms for hierarchical contours recognition. In our case, the algorithm of Suzuki and Abe is used [95]. The algorithm works by following border between two regions of different color pixel by pixel. The border following process is done hierarchically creating tree-like dependency structure of contours. We then take the outermost contour (in the tree context, the uppermost contours) which are then filled. The filling in turn is simply done by assigning neutral value in every pixel located inside each contour, including all pixels inside all of each contour's children contours.

Subfigures 2.15d shows the input of the algorithm and




Figure 2.15: (a) The in-focus image of position 41 from unsynchronized experiment tranoformed with  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 100$ . (b) Normalized distribution of intensity of the transformed figure. Compared to Figure 2.9. Note that the difference in height across bins *vis a vis* Figure 2.9 is mainly caused by the definition of bins in the histogram function.

## 2.2.4 Gradient background correction

Gaussian blurring

## 2.2.5 Normalization of local contrast

## 2.2.6 Static correction

## 2.2.7 Cell Recognition

Laplacian of Gaussian

## 2.2.8 Cell Tracking

Linear Assignment Problem

## 2.2.9 Shift Correction

Now, consider a case in which images are shifted in a time-lapsed movie. TODO: explain mechanism. No rotation of camera is assumed, hence there are only two degree of freedoms (vertical and horizontal). Thus, a shift can be defined as a vector movement  $\vec{v}$  of all points  $x_{i,j} \in M_{t_i}$  in the time-lapse from time  $t_i$  to  $t_{i+1}$ . Given two degrees of freedom and discreteness of the problem due to pixel representation, the task is reduced to finding difference in x- and y-axis ( $\delta_x$  and  $\delta_y$ ), so that the difference of transformed pixels at  $t_i$  and  $t_{i+1}$  are minimized, i.e.:

$$\operatorname{argmin}_{\delta_x, \delta_y} \{d(M_{t_i}, M_{t_{i+1}}^{\delta_x, \delta_y} + (\delta_x, \delta_y)^T)\}$$

Where  $M_{t_{i+1}}^{\delta_x, \delta_y}$  is the entries of matrix  $M_{t_{i+1}}$  after applying the shift  $\vec{v} := (\delta_x, \delta_y)^T$ , i.e.

$$M_{t_{i+1}, x, y}^{\delta_x, \delta_y} = M_{t_{i+1}, x - \delta_x, y - \delta_y}$$

For the distance function  $d$ , the in all channels absolute difference function is used, which is defined as:

$$d(M_i, M_j) = \sum_{c \in \{R, B, G\}} \sum_x \sum_y |M_{i,c,x,y} - M_{j,c,x,y}|$$

Since some pixels are lost from the field of view during a shift, only a subset of subsequent images is used to determine the shift, preferably those around the center point. This will allow the largest search space possible, since the distance to all four margins of the image is maximized at the center point. The search for the optimal  $(\delta_x, \delta_y)$  pair is implemented as a grid search along the x- and y-axis. An example of the search grid is shown in Figure 2.16.




Figure 2.16: Search grid shift for Position 26. The search was conducted for shift between the last time point before and the first time point after the drugs treatment. The minimum is marked with thick black dot, which is returned after every grid-search call as inferred shift. In the position, the shift was inferred to be 8 pixels upwards and 5 pixels leftwards. Notice the repeating pattern of relatively favorable configurations after approximately 50 horizontal and 100 vertical pixels caused by lattice nature of the trenches.

Since the time-lapsed data consists mainly of grayscale image, the RGB encoding could be directly transformed to grayscale encoding. Using the transformed method also speeds up the calculation process since the distance function only computes the difference of grayscale channel's values:

$$d(M, N) = \sum_x \sum_y |M_{c,x,y}^{gray} - N_{x,y}^{gray}|$$

Due to lost pixels around the margin of before and after images, only the overlapping part of both slides are included after the correction. Thus, for an inferred shift of  $(\delta_x, \delta_y)$ , the new dimension of the images is then  $(m - \delta_x) \times (n - \delta_y)$ . This change would then propagate to the other time-lapse images to maintain consistency of the images.

Ideally, the shift correction should be done for each position to reduce the track dropout rate caused by image shifts. This is however computationally very expensive and, as seen in Figure 2.17, not really necessary since the biggest shift indeed only happens right before and after the treatment, as it was expected during the experiment setting. As seen in Chapter XX (TODO: quote), the tracking allows certain amount of tolerance. In this regard, the other frame shifts are way within the tolerance of our tracking algorithm. As shown in Figure YY (TODO: add dropout rate), the dropouts caused by frame shifts in the other time points are basically noisy dropout caused by random noise in time-lapse movie being tracked as cells [96].

The algorithm for shift inference is available in Appendix B.




Figure 2.17: Pixel difference between consecutive frames at Position 26. In most cases, the pixel difference between the frames is mainly caused by moving cells. The difference during the treatment, on the other hand, is caused by physical shift of the frame. While moving cells mostly caused minimum noise-like pixel difference, the physical shift of field of view distorts the physical alignment and evokes immense pixel difference.

### 2.2.10 Cell death signals

Measuring cell death is a crucial part of the experiment, as the reliable determination of it is the basis of most analysis in this thesis. There are several way to measure cell deaths with varying complexity and accuracy. Each method contains certain assumptions of cell death.

For example, determining cell death by cell movement assumes death of a cell if no movement beyond random flux is observed in certain amount of time. This obviously has certain drawbacks, such as when the observation is done in non-static environment. Moreover, defining the limit of the random flux, above which a given cell is assumed to actively move, is not a trivial task. Some kind of gold standard for a given cell line and environment has to be established manually, which is very time consuming. This fact is again made even more complicated by the fact that many cells show different movement pattern upon introduction of treatment. It is well known that some cells tend to move faster or slower under stress, the situation many cancerous cells in our experiment will experience upon addition of cancer drugs treatment [97, 98, 99].

The second method is using cell size. During apoptosis, the cells would shrink. Given It is known that cell size

In this experiment, two cell signals are used as indicator of cell death.

# Chapter 3

## Analytic Pipeline

### 3.1 Pipeline Description

### 3.2 Pipeline Specification

# **Chapter 4**

## **Results**

I. Pipeline

II. Quantitative Analysis

### **4.1 Quantitative Analysis**

### **4.2 Machine Learning Models**

# Chapter 5

## Summary and Outlook

### 5.1 Fully automated microfluidics pipeline

### 5.2 Fully automated image analysis pipeline

Improvements in analysis pipeline:

- better method for masking recognition
- possibly even machine learning based methods

I. Summary (and discussion?)

Connect Results with Background

II. Outlook

Improvemnt capability

#### 5.2.1 Automated micro-trench masking

One positive thing about the design of our data analysis pipeline is the modularity of its component. As mentioned multiple times in this thesis (in particular in Subsection 2.2.3, our method can well be improved by automatizing the micro-trench masking process. Although itself not a bottleneck of the process, as the entire dataset of 64 positions could be processed in less than an hour, automating this process will save time and more importantly, clicking and draging efforts, thus lets scientists do the things that matter more for them.

There are several methods that came to mind in improving the micro-trench masking, which generally can be separated in two groups: ML-based methods and non-ML-based methods. ML-based methods generally require either a manual annotation of correctly recognized micro-trench (a class of methods called supervised learning methods) or certain prior knowledge regarding distinctive features of micro-trench that separate it from background image and/or the cells (a class of methods called unsupervised/semi-supervised learning methods).

In the following part of this subsection various potential implementations of both ML-based and non-ML-based methods are listed down.

## **Maximally interesting extremal region (MNER)**

Introduced by Oliver Hilsenbeck in his master's thesis in 2014 [100]

# Bibliography

- [1] Akulapalli Sudhakar. History of cancer, ancient and modern treatment methods. *Journal of cancer science & therapy*, 1(2):1, 2009.
- [2] The Centers for Disease Control National Cancer Institute and the North American Association of Central Cancer Registries Prevention, American Cancer Society. Cancer Statistics. <https://www.cancer.gov/about-cancer/understanding/statistics>, 2017. [Online; accessed 07-November-2017].
- [3] Jacques Ferlay, Isabelle Soerjomataram, Rajesh Dikshit, Sultan Eser, Colin Mathers, Marise Rebelo, Donald Maxwell Parkin, David Forman, and Freddie Bray. Cancer incidence and mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in globocan 2012. *International journal of cancer*, 136(5), 2015.
- [4] George M Whitesides. The origins and the future of microfluidics. *Nature*, 442(7101):368–373, 2006.
- [5] Farzad Sekhavati. *Dynamic response of individual cells in heterogeneous population*. PhD thesis, lmu, 2015.
- [6] SS Antman JE Marsden, L Sirovich S Wiggins, L Glass, RV Kohn, and SS Sastry. *Interdisciplinary Applied Mathematics*. Springer, 1993.
- [7] Klaus Eyer, Phillip Kuhn, Conni Hanke, and Petra S Dittrich. A microchamber array for single cell isolation and analysis of intracellular biomolecules. *Lab on a Chip*, 12(4):765–772, 2012.
- [8] Aaron R Wheeler, William R Throndset, Rebecca J Whelan, Andrew M Leach, Richard N Zare, Yish Hann Liao, Kevin Farrell, Ian D Manger, and Antoine Dari-don. Microfluidic device for single-cell analysis. *Analytical chemistry*, 75(14):3581–3586, 2003.
- [9] MP MacDonald, GC Spalding, and Kishan Dholakia. Microfluidic sorting in an optical lattice. *Nature*, 426(6965):421–424, 2003.
- [10] Svetlana A Tatarkova, Wilson Sibbett, and Kishan Dholakia. Brownian particle in an optical potential of the washboard type. *Physical review letters*, 91(3):038101, 2003.
- [11] Mark M Wang, Eugene Tu, Daniel E Raymond, Joon Mo Yang, Haichuan Zhang, Norbert Hagen, Bob Dees, Elinore M Mercer, Anita H Forster, Ilona Kariv, et al. Microfluidic sorting of mammalian cells by optical force switching. *Nature biotechnology*, 23(1):83–87, 2005.

- [12] Jean-Christophe Baret, Oliver J Miller, Valerie Taly, Michaël Ryckelynck, Abdeslam El-Harrak, Lucas Frenz, Christian Rick, Michael L Samuels, J Brian Hutchison, Jeremy J Agresti, et al. Fluorescence-activated droplet sorting (fads): efficient microfluidic cell sorting based on enzymatic activity. *Lab on a Chip*, 9(13):1850–1858, 2009.
- [13] Xuan Mu, Wenfu Zheng, Jiashu Sun, Wei Zhang, and Xingyu Jiang. Microfluidics for manipulating cells. *Small*, 9(1):9–21, 2013.
- [14] Farzad Sekhavati, Max Endele, Susanne Rappl, Anna-Kristina Marel, Timm Schroeder, and Joachim O Rädler. Marker-free detection of progenitor cell differentiation by analysis of brownian motion in micro-wells. *Integrative Biology*, 7(2):178–183, 2015.
- [15] Nikos Chronis, Manuel Zimmer, and Cornelia I Bargmann. Microfluidics for in vivo imaging of neuronal and behavioral activity in *caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nature methods*, 4(9):727–731, 2007.
- [16] R Di Leonardo, L Angelani, D Dellâ€™Arciprete, Giancarlo Ruocco, V Iebba, S Schippa, MP Conte, F Mecarini, F De Angelis, and E Di Fabrizio. Bacterial ratchet motors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(21):9541–9545, 2010.
- [17] Paul Viola and Michael J Jones. Robust real-time face detection. *International journal of computer vision*, 57(2):137–154, 2004.
- [18] Paul Viola and Michael Jones. Rapid object detection using a boosted cascade of simple features. In *Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 2001. CVPR 2001. Proceedings of the 2001 IEEE Computer Society Conference on*, volume 1, pages I–I. IEEE, 2001.
- [19] Y Matsuo, RAF MacLeod, CC Uphoff, HG Drexler, C Nishizaki, Y Katayama, G Kimura, N Fujii, E Omoto, M Harada, et al. Two acute monocytic leukemia (aml-m5a) cell lines (molm-13 and molm-14) with interclonal phenotypic heterogeneity showing mll-af9 fusion resulting from an occult chromosome insertion, ins (11; 9)(q23; p22p23). *Leukemia (08876924)*, 11(9), 1997.
- [20] Daniel A Arber, Attilio Orazi, Robert Hasserjian, Jürgen Thiele, Michael J Borowitz, Michelle M Le Beau, Clara D Bloomfield, Mario Cazzola, and James W Vardiman. The 2016 revision to the world health organization (who) classification of myeloid neoplasms and acute leukemia. *Blood*, pages blood–2016, 2016.
- [21] Louise M Kelly, Jin-Chen Yu, Christina L Boulton, Mutiah Apatira, Jason Li, Carol M Sullivan, Ifor Williams, Sonia M Amaral, David P Curley, Nicole Duclos, et al. Ct53518, a novel selective flt3 antagonist for the treatment of acute myelogenous leukemia (aml). *Cancer cell*, 1(5):421–432, 2002.
- [22] S Yokota, H Kiyoi, M Nakao, T Iwai, S Misawa, T Okuda, Y Sonoda, T Abe, K Kahsim, Y Matsuo, et al. Internal tandem duplication of the flt3 gene is preferentially

seen in acute myeloid leukemia and myelodysplastic syndrome among various hematological malignancies. a study on a large series of patients and cell lines. *Leukemia* (08876924), 11(10), 1997.

- [23] Thermo Fischer Scientific Life Technologies. Gibco Cell Culture Media. <https://www.thermofisher.com/de/de/home/life-science/cell-culture/mammalian-cell-culture/classical-media.html>, 2017. [Online; accessed 12-November-2017].
- [24] Keril J Blight, Alexander A Kolykhalov, and Charles M Rice. Efficient initiation of hcv rna replication in cell culture. *Science*, 290(5498):1972–1974, 2000.
- [25] Tatsuya Shimizu, Masayuki Yamato, Yuki Isoi, Takumitsu Akutsu, Takeshi Setomaru, Kazuhiko Abe, Akihiko Kikuchi, Mitsuo Umezawa, and Teruo Okano. Fabrication of pulsatile cardiac tissue grafts using a novel 3-dimensional cell sheet manipulation technique and temperature-responsive cell culture surfaces. *Circulation research*, 90(3):e40–e48, 2002.
- [26] LD Satter and LL Slyter. Effect of ammonia concentration on rumen microbial protein production in vitro. *British journal of nutrition*, 32(2):199–208, 1974.
- [27] Thermo Fischer Scientific Life Technologies. Fetal Bovine Serum. <https://www.thermofisher.com/de/de/home/life-science/cell-culture/mammalian-cell-culture/fbs.html>, 2017. [Online; accessed 12-November-2017].
- [28] Ulrich Schneider, Hans-Ulrich Schwenk, and Georg Bornkamm. Characterization of ebv-genome negative null and t cell lines derived from children with acute lymphoblastic leukemia and leukemic transformed non-hodgkin lymphoma. *International journal of cancer*, 19(5):621–626, 1977.
- [29] David S Johnson, Ali Mortazavi, Richard M Myers, and Barbara Wold. Genome-wide mapping of in vivo protein-dna interactions. *Science*, 316(5830):1497–1502, 2007.
- [30] Mark Schena, Dari Shalon, Renu Heller, Andrew Chai, Patrick O Brown, and Ronald W Davis. Parallel human genome analysis: microarray-based expression monitoring of 1000 genes. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 93(20):10614–10619, 1996.
- [31] Roberta A Gottlieb, Judy Nordberg, Evan Skowronski, and Bernard M Babior. Apoptosis induced in jurkat cells by several agents is preceded by intracellular acidification. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 93(2):654–658, 1996.
- [32] Afshin Samali, Jiyang Cai, Boris Zhivotovsky, Dean P Jones, and Sten Orrenius. Presence of a pre-apoptotic complex of pro-caspase-3, hsp60 and hsp10 in the mitochondrial fraction of jurkat cells. *The EMBO journal*, 18(8):2040–2048, 1999.
- [33] Takefumi Kasai, Yoichi Iwanaga, Hidekatsu Iha, and Kuan-Teh Jeang. Prevalent loss of mitotic spindle checkpoint in adult t-cell leukemia confers resistance to microtubule inhibitors. *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 277(7):5187–5193, 2002.

- [34] Bryan C Barnhart, Patrick Legembre, Eric Pietras, Concetta Bubici, Guido Franzoso, and Marcus E Peter. Cd95 ligand induces motility and invasiveness of apoptosis-resistant tumor cells. *The EMBO journal*, 23(15):3175–3185, 2004.
- [35] Anna-Kristina Marel, Susanne Rappl, Alicia Piera Alberola, and Joachim Oskar Rädler. Arraying cell cultures using peg-dma micromolding in standard culture dishes. *Macromolecular bioscience*, 13(5):595–602, 2013.
- [36] The American Society of Health-System Pharmacists. Daunorubicin hydrochloride – Monograph for Professionals. <https://www.drugs.com/monograph/daunorubicin-hydrochloride.html>, 20157. [Online; accessed 02-January-2018].
- [37] Enrique Raviña. *The evolution of drug discovery: from traditional medicines to modern drugs*. John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- [38] Takashi Tsuruo, Harumi Iida, Shigeru Tsukagoshi, and Yoshio Sakurai. Overcoming of vincristine resistance in p388 leukemia in vivo and in vitro through enhanced cytotoxicity of vincristine and vinblastine by verapamil. *Cancer research*, 41(5):1967–1972, 1981.
- [39] David A Gewirtz. A critical evaluation of the mechanisms of action proposed for the antitumor effects of the anthracycline antibiotics adriamycin and daunorubicin. *Biochemical pharmacology*, 57(7):727–741, 1999.
- [40] National Cancer Institute. Definition of vincristine sulfate – NCI Drug Dictionary. <https://www.cancer.gov/publications/dictionaries/cancer-drug?CdrID=42251>, 2015. [Online; accessed 02-January-2018].
- [41] Takashi Tsuruo, Harumi Iida, Shigeru Tsukagoshi, and Yoshio Sakurai. Increased accumulation of vincristine and adriamycin in drug-resistant p388 tumor cells following incubation with calcium antagonists and calmodulin inhibitors. *Cancer research*, 42(11):4730–4733, 1982.
- [42] Allan Jordan, John A Hadfield, Nicholas J Lawrence, and Alan T McGown. Tubulin as a target for anticancer drugs: agents which interact with the mitotic spindle. *Medicinal research reviews*, 18(4):259–296, 1998.
- [43] Sharon Lobert, Bojana Vulevic, and John J Correia. Interaction of vinca alkaloids with tubulin: a comparison of vinblastine, vincristine, and vinorelbine. *Biochemistry*, 35(21):6806–6814, 1996.
- [44] Richard J Owellen, Albert H Owens, and Douglas W Donigian. The binding of vincristine, vinblastine and colchicine to tubulin. *Biochemical and biophysical research communications*, 47(4):685–691, 1972.
- [45] Wolfgang Hiddemann, Michael Kneba, Martin Dreyling, Norbert Schmitz, Eva Lengfelder, Rudolf Schmits, Marcel Reiser, Bernd Metzner, Harriet Harder, Susanna Hegewisch-Becker, et al. Frontline therapy with rituximab added to the combination of cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, vincristine, and prednisone (chop) significantly improves the outcome for patients with advanced-stage follicular lymphoma compared with therapy with chop alone: results of a prospective randomized

study of the german low-grade lymphoma study group. *Blood*, 106(12):3725–3732, 2005.

- [46] BF Brandriff, ML Meistrich, LA Gordon, AV Carrano, and JC Liang. Chromosomal damage in sperm of patients surviving hodgkin's disease following mopp (nitrogen mustard, vincristine, procarbazine, and prednisone) therapy with and without radiotherapy. *Human genetics*, 93(3):295–299, 1994.
- [47] MG Pfreundschuh, WD Schoppe, R Fuchs, KH Pflüger, M Loeffler, and V Diehl. Lomustine, etoposide, vindesine, and dexamethasone (cevd) in hodgkin's lymphoma refractory to cyclophosphamide, vincristine, procarbazine, and prednisone (copp) and doxorubicin, bleomycin, vinblastine, and dacarbazine (abvd): a multicenter trial of the german hodgkin study group. *Cancer treatment reports*, 71(12):1203–1207, 1987.
- [48] Volker Diehl, Jeremy Franklin, Dirk Hasenclever, Hans Tesch, Michael Pfreundschuh, Bernd Lathan, Ursula Paulus, Markus Sieber, Jens-Ulrich Rueffer, Michael Sextro, et al. Beacopp, a new dose-escalated and accelerated regimen, is at least as effective as copp/abvd in patients with advanced-stage hodgkin's lymphoma: interim report from a trial of the german hodgkin's lymphoma study group. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 16(12):3810–3821, 1998.
- [49] Nancy L Bartlett, Saul A Rosenberg, Richard T Hoppe, Steven L Hancock, and Sandra J Horning. Brief chemotherapy, stanford v, and adjuvant radiotherapy for bulky or advanced-stage hodgkin's disease: a preliminary report. *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 13(5):1080–1088, 1995.
- [50] Yeon S Ahn, William J Harrington, Robert C Seelman, and Charles S Eytel. Vincristine therapy of idiopathic and secondary thrombocytopenias. *New England Journal of Medicine*, 291(8):376–380, 1974.
- [51] National Cancer Institute. Definition of doxorubicin hydrochloride – NCI Drug Dictionary. <https://www.cancer.gov/publications/dictionaries/cancer-drug?CdrID=38860>, 2015. [Online; accessed 02-January-2018].
- [52] National Cancer Institute. Definition of epirubicin hydrochloride – NCI Drug Dictionary. <https://www.cancer.gov/publications/dictionaries/cancer-drug?cdrid=39232>, 2015. [Online; accessed 02-January-2018].
- [53] National Cancer Institute. Definition of idarubicin hydrochloride – NCI Drug Dictionary. <https://www.cancer.gov/publications/dictionaries/cancer-drug?cdrid=39753>, 2015. [Online; accessed 02-January-2018].
- [54] Raymond B Weiss. The anthracyclines: will we ever find a better doxorubicin? In *Seminars in oncology*, volume 19, pages 670–686. Elsevier, 1992.
- [55] Giorgio Minotti, Pierantonio Menna, Emanuela Salvatorelli, Gaetano Cairo, and Luca Gianni. Anthracyclines: molecular advances and pharmacologic developments in antitumor activity and cardiotoxicity. *Pharmacological reviews*, 56(2):185–229, 2004.

- [56] Xuyang Peng, Billy Chen, Chee Chew Lim, and Douglas B Sawyer. The cardiotoxicology of anthracycline therapeutics: translating molecular mechanism into preventative medicine. *Molecular interventions*, 5(3):163, 2005.
- [57] Chris H Takimoto and Emiliano Calvo. Principles of oncologic pharmacotherapy. *Cancer management: a multidisciplinary approach*, 11:1–9, 2008.
- [58] James C Wang. Cellular roles of dna topoisomerases: a molecular perspective. *Nature reviews Molecular cell biology*, 3(6):430–440, 2002.
- [59] KM Tewey, TC Rowe, L Yang, BD Halligan, and LF Liu. Adriamycin-induced dna damage mediated by mammalian dna topoisomerase ii. *Science*, 226(4673):466–468, 1984.
- [60] Tomas Simunek, Martin Sterba, Olga Popelova, Michaela Adamcova, Radomir Hrdina, and Vladimir Gersl. Anthracycline-induced cardiotoxicity: overview of studies examining the roles of oxidative stress and free cellular iron. *Pharmacological Reports*, 61(1):154–171, 2009.
- [61] Barry Halliwell. Free radicals, antioxidants, and human disease: curiosity, cause, or consequence? *The lancet*, 344(8924):721–724, 1994.
- [62] Baoxu Pang, Xiaohang Qiao, Lennert Janssen, Arno Velds, Tom Groothuis, Ron Kerkhoven, Marja Nieuwland, Huib Ovaa, Sven Rottenberg, Olaf Van Tellingen, et al. Drug-induced histone eviction from open chromatin contributes to the chemotherapeutic effects of doxorubicin. *Nature communications*, 4:1908, 2013.
- [63] Thomas Vaissière, Carla Sawan, and Zdenko Herceg. Epigenetic interplay between histone modifications and dna methylation in gene silencing. *Mutation Research/Reviews in Mutation Research*, 659(1):40–48, 2008.
- [64] Mats Ljungman and Philip C Hanawalt. Efficient protection against oxidative dna damage in chromatin. *Molecular carcinogenesis*, 5(4):264–269, 1992.
- [65] Andrew HJ Wang, Yi Gui Gao, Yen Chywan Liaw, and Yu Kun Li. Formaldehyde crosslinks daunorubicin and dna efficiently: Hplc and x-ray diffraction studies. *Biochemistry*, 30(16):3812–3815, 1991.
- [66] Roland T Skeel and Samir N Khleif. *Handbook of cancer chemotherapy*. Lippincott Williams & Wilkins, 2011.
- [67] Mayo Clinic. Chemotherapy and hair loss: what to expect during treatment. <https://www.mayoclinic.org/tests-procedures/chemotherapy/in-depth/hair-loss/art-20046920>, 2016. [Online; accessed 02-January-2018].
- [68] Fan Yang, Sheila S Teves, Christopher J Kemp, and Steven Henikoff. Doxorubicin, dna torsion, and chromatin dynamics. *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Reviews on Cancer*, 1845(1):84–89, 2014.
- [69] GN Wilkinson. Statistical estimations in enzyme kinetics. *Biochemical Journal*, 80(2):324, 1961.

- [70] Michael A Savageau. Biochemical systems analysis: I. some mathematical properties of the rate law for the component enzymatic reactions. *Journal of theoretical biology*, 25(3):365–369, 1969.
- [71] Jane V Harper. Synchronization of cell populations in g1/s and g 2/m phases of the cell cycle. *Cell Cycle Control: Mechanisms and Protocols*, pages 157–166, 2005.
- [72] CJ Bostock, DM Prescott, and JB Kirkpatrick. An evaluation of the double thymidine block for synchronizing mammalian cells at the g1-s border. *Experimental cell research*, 68(1):163–168, 1971.
- [73] The National Digital Information Infrastructure and Preservation Program at the Library of Congress. TIFF/IT for Image Technology. <https://www.loc.gov/preservation/digital/formats/fdd/fdd000072.shtml>, 2016. [Online; accessed 02-January-2018].
- [74] Milan Sonka, Vaclav Hlavac, and Roger Boyle. *Image processing, analysis, and machine vision*. Cengage Learning, 2014.
- [75] Nikil Jayant, James Johnston, and Robert Safranek. Signal compression based on models of human perception. *Proceedings of the IEEE*, 81(10):1385–1422, 1993.
- [76] Jae S Lim. Two-dimensional signal and image processing. *Englewood Cliffs, NJ, Prentice Hall, 1990, 710 p.*, 1990.
- [77] Gaurav Sharma and H Joel Trussell. Digital color imaging. *IEEE transactions on image processing*, 6(7):901–932, 1997.
- [78] Gary J Sullivan, Jens Ohm, Woo-Jin Han, and Thomas Wiegand. Overview of the high efficiency video coding (hevc) standard. *IEEE Transactions on circuits and systems for video technology*, 22(12):1649–1668, 2012.
- [79] Gunter Wyszecki and Walter Stanley Stiles. *Color science*, volume 8. Wiley New York, 1982.
- [80] D Osorio and M Vorobyev. Photoreceptor spectral sensitivities in terrestrial animals: adaptations for luminance and colour vision. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of London B: Biological Sciences*, 272(1574):1745–1752, 2005.
- [81] Matthew Anderson, Ricardo Motta, Srinivasan Chandrasekar, and Michael Stokes. Proposal for a standard default color space for the internetâ€”srgb. In *Color and imaging conference*, volume 1996, pages 238–245. Society for Imaging Science and Technology, 1996.
- [82] International Telecommunication Union Radiocommunication Sector (ITU-R). BT.601: Studio encoding parameters of digital television for standard 4:3 and wide screen 16:9 aspect ratios. <http://www.itu.int/rec/R-REC-BT.601/>, 2007. [Online; accessed 02-January-2018].
- [83] International Telecommunication Union Radiocommunication Sector (ITU-R). BT.709 : Parameter values for the HDTV standards for production and international programme exchange. <http://www.itu.int/rec/R-REC-BT.709-6-201506-I/en/>, 2015. [Online; accessed 06-January-2018].

- [84] Brian W Kernighan, Dennis M Ritchie, Clovis L Tondo, and Scott E Gimpel. *The C programming language*, volume 2. prentice-Hall Englewood Cliffs, 1988.
- [85] Richard Hartley and Andrew Zisserman. *Multiple view geometry in computer vision*. Cambridge university press, 2003.
- [86] Richard Szeliski. *Computer vision: algorithms and applications*. Springer Science & Business Media, 2010.
- [87] Michael S Gazzaniga. *The cognitive neurosciences*. MIT press, 2004.
- [88] Ming-Ming Cheng, Niloy J Mitra, Xiaolei Huang, Philip HS Torr, and Shi-Min Hu. Global contrast based salient region detection. *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, 37(3):569–582, 2015.
- [89] Michael H.F. Wilkinson and F. Schut. Digital image analysis of microbes. In Michael H.F. Wilkinson and F. Schut, editors, *Digital Image Analysis of Microbes: Imaging, Morphometry, Fluorometry and Motility Techniques and Applications*, chapter 6. John Wiley and Sons, New York, 1998.
- [90] Ben Tupper, Curtis Rueden, Johannes Schindelin, and Mark Hiner. RATS: Robust Automatic Threshold Selection. [http://imagej.net/RATS:\\_Robust\\_Automatic\\_Threshold\\_Selection](http://imagej.net/RATS:_Robust_Automatic_Threshold_Selection), 2017. [Online; accessed 17-January-2017].
- [91] Irvin Sobel. An isotropic  $3 \times 3$  image gradient operator. *Machine vision for three-dimensional scenes*, pages 376–379, 1990.
- [92] Steven W Smith et al. The scientist and engineer’s guide to digital signal processing. 1997.
- [93] Raphael A Finkel and Jon Louis Bentley. Quad trees a data structure for retrieval on composite keys. *Acta informatica*, 4(1):1–9, 1974.
- [94] Gary Bradski and Adrian Kaehler. *Learning OpenCV: Computer vision with the OpenCV library*. ” O’Reilly Media, Inc.”, 2008.
- [95] Satoshi Suzuki et al. Topological structural analysis of digitized binary images by border following. *Computer vision, graphics, and image processing*, 30(1):32–46, 1985.
- [96] Khuloud Jaqaman, Dinah Loerke, Marcel Mettlen, Hirotaka Kuwata, Sergio Grinstein, Sandra L Schmid, and Gaudenz Danuser. Robust single-particle tracking in live-cell time-lapse sequences. *Nature methods*, 5(8):695–702, 2008.
- [97] Kenneth J Pienta, William B Isaacs, Donald Vindivich, and Donald S Coffey. The effects of basic fibroblast growth factor and suramin on cell motility and growth of rat prostate cancer cells. *The Journal of urology*, 145(1):199–202, 1991.
- [98] Gabriel Fenteany and Shoutian Zhu. Small-molecule inhibitors of actin dynamics and cell motility. *Current topics in medicinal chemistry*, 3(6):593–616, 2003.

- [99] Maria Grazia Ruocco, Karsten A Pilones, Noriko Kawashima, Michael Cammer, Julie Huang, James S Babb, Mengling Liu, Silvia C Formenti, Michael L Dustin, and Sandra Demaria. Suppressing t cell motility induced by anti-ctla-4 monotherapy improves antitumor effects. *The Journal of clinical investigation*, 122(10):3718, 2012.
- [100] Oliver Hilsenbeck. Maximally interesting extremal regions (miner) and a probabilistic model for cell tracking. 2014.

# Appendices

# Appendix A

## Experiment Protocols

### A.1 Micro-trenches array fabrication

#### Photolithography of the SU-8 wafer

The fabrication of the SU-8 (MicroChem Corp, USA) wafer was executed in a in-house cleanroom facility using a ProtoLaser LDI system (LPKF Laser & Electronika, Naklo, Slovenia), with a 375 nm wavelength laser and  $1 \frac{1}{4}\text{m}$  spot diameter.

#### Softlithography and Micromolding

Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) prepolymer solution is mixed with the crosslinker in a 10:1 ratio (w/w) (Sylgard 184, Dow Corning, USA) and then degassed under vacuum. PDMS is then purred on the SU-8 wafer, degassed and cured in 50 oC. The resulting PDMS stamp is peeled off the wafer and cut into appropriate shapes. The PDMS pieces, with 25 1/4 pillars in height, are activated with argon plasma and then immediately placed upside down on a silanized with TMSPMA (3-(Trimethoxysilyl)propyl methacrylate, Sigma-Aldrich) glass coverslip. A solution of PEG-DA ( $M_n=258$ ) containing 2% v/v of the 2-hydroxy-2methylpropiophenone (both from Sigma-Aldrich, Germany) is freshly prepared and then a drop is deposited at the edge of the PDMS stamp. The PDMS stamp is filled by capillary force induced flow. PEG-DA is then polymerized in an UV-ozone cleaning system (UVOH 150 LAB, FHR, Ottendorf, Germany). Next, the PDMS stamps are peeled off and the resulting micro-trenches of cross-linked PEG-DA are dried in an oven (Binder GmbH, Tuttlingen, Germany) overnight at 50oC. Finally, the slides are sonicated with 70% ethanol and distilled water before a sticky slide is attached on top (8-well sticky slide, ibidi GmbH, Munich, Germany).

### A.2 Images Acquisition

Imaging was performed under an inverted Nikon Ti Eclipse microscope with a motorized stage (Tango XY Stage Controller, Märzhäuser Wetzlar GmbH & Co. KG, Germany), a CFI Plan Fluor DL 10X objective, a pco.edge 4.2 Camera (PCO AG, Kelheim, Germany) and a Lumencor Sprectras LED fluorescence lamp. For detection of the Caspase-3/7 and the PI marker, the following filters were used respectively, 474/27 nm, 554/23 (excitation) and 515/35 nm, 595/35 nm (emission). Brightfield out of focus (-20  $\mu\text{m}$ ) images were

taken every 10 minutes and in-focus brightfield and fluorescence images every 30 minutes for 48 hours. Vincristine or Daunorubicin were added after 20 hours from the beginning of the imaging. During the recording samples were kept at a constant temperature of 37°C and CO<sub>2</sub> using an Okolab heating and CO<sub>2</sub> 2 box (OKOLAB S.R.L., NA, Italy). For the synchronized population, the double thymidine block protocol was followed. Briefly, MOLM-13s cells at the exponentially growing phase were incubated in blocking medium (culture medium supplemented with 2 mM Thymidine (CAS 50-89-5, Calbiochem®, Germany)) for 24 hours. Cells were then released and incubated in culture medium for 8 hours and finally were incubated in blocking medium for 12 hours. After 2 hours, the synchronized population was seeded in the slide bearing the micro-trenches together with the markers and drugs at the same conditions as the unsynchronized population, and imaged for 24 hours.

# Appendix B

## Algorithms

The algorithms are, unless specified written in pseudo-code.

### Shift Inference

Following is the algorithm to infer shift between slice written in Python:

```
def infer_shift(last_slide, first_slide, search_space=(200, 200)):

    if (search_space[0] % 2 != 0) or (search_space[1] % 2 != 0):
        print("Search spaces have to be even!")
        return None
    else:

        ## calculate absolute difference for various shifts
        x1 = search_space[0]
        x2 = search_space[0]
        y1 = search_space[1]
        y2 = search_space[1]
        mid = f1.shape[0] // 2, f1.shape[1] // 2

        ## results storage
        absdiffs = np.zeros((search_space[0] + 1, search_space[1] + 1))

        ## last slide before treatment
        f1sub = f1[(mid[0] - x1):(mid[0] + x2), (mid[1] - y1):(mid[1] + y2)]

        ## search space
        xdiff1 = -int(search_space[0] / 2)
        xdiff2 = int(search_space[0] / 2) + 1
        ydiff1 = -int(search_space[1] / 2)
        ydiff2 = int(search_space[1] / 2) + 1

        for xdiff in range(xdiff1, xdiff2):
            for ydiff in range(ydiff1, ydiff2):
```

```

## calculate absolute difference for shift
f2sub = f2[(mid[0] - x1 + xdiff):(mid[0] + x2 + xdiff),
            (mid[1] - y1 + ydiff):(mid[1] + y2 + ydiff)]
x = xdiff + int(search_space[0] / 2)
y = ydiff + int(search_space[1] / 2)
absdiff_xy = np.sum(cv2.absdiff(f1sub, f2sub).ravel())
absdiffs[x][y] = absdiff_xy

## calculate shift based on calibration data
x = np.argmin(absdiffs) // absdiffs.shape[0]
y = np.argmin(absdiffs) % absdiffs.shape[0]

"""
True shift is the opposite of coordinate encoded
in absdiff

Let X2 the second image and X1 the first image.
If the sub-image of first slide of X2 centered
at (c1 + s1, c2 + s2) fits the most with the sub-image
of the last slide of X1 centered at (c1, c2)
then the images shift by (-s1, -s2) upon treatment
"""
diff = -(x - search_space[0] / 2), -(y - search_space[1] / 2)

return diff

```