Assignment 2 - Emirps

Rahil Agrawal z5165505 Aditya Karia z5163287

COMP2111 18s1

1 Task 1 - Specification Statement

A prime number is a positive integer that is only divisible by 1 and itself. Therefore, we can say that a number r is prime if it is not divisible by any number between 2 and r-1 inclusive.

Therefore, we can define a primality check function as follows:

$$isPrime(r) = \begin{cases} true & \neg \exists k \in 2..(r-1) \ (r \ \mathbf{mod} \ k = 0) \\ false & \exists k \in 2..(r-1) \ (r \ \mathbf{mod} \ k = 0) \end{cases}$$

The reverse(r, s) function can be used to store the reverse of a number r in a variable called s.

Having defined a primality check function isPrime(r, a) and a function to store the reverse of a number r in s, we define an emirp.

An *emirp* is a prime number whose reversal is also prime, but which is not a palindromic prime.

Therefore, if EMIRP(r, n) states that r is the n^{th} emirp, where n is a positive integer, then:

$$EMIRP(r,n) = \begin{cases} \text{true} & isPrime(r) \land reverse(r,s) \land isPrime(s) \land r \neq s \\ \text{false} & otherwise \end{cases}$$

2 Task 2 - Derivation

```
\langle \mathbf{c\text{-frame}} \rangle
(1) \sqsubseteq
        \lfloor r, x : [n > 0, Emirp(r, n)] \rfloor_{(2)}
           \langle \mathbf{i}\text{-loc} \rangle
(2) \sqsubseteq
        \lfloor i, r, x : [n > 0, Emirp(r, n)] \rfloor_{(3)}
(3) \sqsubseteq \langle \text{seq} \rangle
        \exists i, x : [i = 1 \land x = 13 \land n > 0, Emirp(r, n)] 
(4) \sqsubseteq \langle \mathbf{c}\text{-frame} \rangle
        i, x : [n > 0, i = 1 \land x = 13 \land n > 0]
     \sqsubseteq \langle ass - (1) \rangle
        i := 1
        \mathbf{x} := \mathbf{13}
(5) \sqsubseteq \langle \text{seq} \rangle
        [i, r, x : [i = 1 \land x = 13 \land n > 0, Inv]]_{(6)};
        \exists i, r, x : [Inv, Inv \land i = n] \exists_{(7)};
        \exists i, r, x : [Inv \land i = n, Emirp(r, n)] 
(6) \sqsubseteq \langle w\text{-pre, c-frame - (2)} \rangle
        r, x : [Inv[^{13}/_r], Inv]
          \langle ass - (3) \rangle
        r := 13
(7) \sqsubseteq \langle \mathbf{while} \rangle
         while i \neq n do
               \exists i, r, x : [Inv \land i \neq n, Inv] 
        od;
(8) \sqsubseteq \langle \text{w-pre, c-frame - (4)} \rangle
        \lfloor r, x : \lceil \text{EMIRP}(r, n), \text{EMIRP}(r, n) \rceil \rfloor_{(10)}
     \langle \text{skip} - (5) \rangle
        skip
(9) \sqsubseteq \langle \mathbf{seq} \rangle
        \lfloor r, x : [Inv \land i \neq n, Inv[^{x+1}/_x]] \rfloor \rfloor (10);
        \lfloor r, x : \lceil Inv[^{x+1}/_x], Inv \rceil \rfloor_{(11)}
```

```
(10) \sqsubseteq \langle ass - (6) \rangle
         x := x + 1
(11) \sqsubseteq \langle i\text{-loc}, seq \rangle
         [a, i, r, x : [Inv[x+1/x], Inv[x+1/x] \land a = 1]]_{(12)};
         [a, i, r, x : [Inv^{(x+1)}] \land a = 1, Inv]_{(13)}
(12) \sqsubseteq \langle \text{c-frame} \rangle
         a, x : [Inv^{[x+1]}, Inv^{[x+1]}, a = 1]
      \langle ass - (7) \rangle
         \mathbf{a} := \mathbf{1}
          \langle \mathbf{seq} \rangle
(13) \sqsubseteq
         (14) \square
          \langle \text{w-pre - (8)} \rangle
         a, x : [a = 1 \land x > 0, post(14)]
      \langle \mathbf{proc} \rangle
         isPrime(x, a)
(15) \sqsubseteq
               \langle \mathbf{if} \rangle
         if a = 1
         then a, i, r, x : [a = 1 \land pre(15), post(15)] \rfloor_{(16)}
         else p, x : [a \neq 1 \land pre(15), post(15)] \rfloor_{(17)}
(16) \sqsubseteq
               \langle i\text{-loc} \rangle
         a, i, r, s, x : [pre(16), post(16)]
      \sqsubseteq \langle seq \rangle
         \lfloor a, i, r, s, x : [x > 0 \land s = 0, post(16)] \rfloor_{(19)}
(17) \sqsubseteq \langle \text{c-frame, w-pre-} (9) \rangle
         i, r : [Inv, Inv]
             \langle \text{skip} - (10) \rangle
      skip
(18) \sqsubseteq \langle ass - (11) \rangle
         s := 0
```

```
(19) \square \langle \text{seq} \rangle
          (20) \sqsubseteq \langle i\text{-con}, c\text{-frame}, w\text{-pre} - (12) \rangle
          con S: [10]^* \cdot s: \left[ x = \sum_{i=0}^{c(x)} (S_i 10^{(c(x)-i)}) \land x > 0, s = \sum_{i=0}^{c(x)} (S_i 10^i) \right]
      \langle \mathbf{proc} \rangle
          reversen(x, s)
(21) \sqsubseteq
                (i-loc, seq)
          a, i, r, s, b, x : [pre(21), pre(21) \land b = 1]_{(22)};
          [a, i, r, s, b, x : [pre(21) \land b = 1, post(21)]]_{(23)}
(22) \sqsubseteq \langle \text{c-frame, ass - (13)} \rangle
       b := 1
(23) \sqsubseteq \langle \text{seq} \rangle
         (24) \square \langle \text{w-pre - } (14) \rangle
          a,i,r,s,b,x: \left[ \begin{array}{l} s > 0 \land b = 1, (b = 1 \ \land \neg \exists k \in 2..(s-1) \ (s \ \mathbf{mod} \ k = 0)) \\ \lor (b = 0 \land \exists k \in 2..(s-1) \ (s \ \mathbf{mod} \ k = 0)) \end{array} \right]
      \langle \mathbf{proc} \rangle
          isPrime(s, b)
(25) \sqsubseteq \langle \mathbf{if} \rangle
          if b = 1 \land s \neq x
          then \lfloor i, x : [b = 1 \land s \neq x \land pre(25), post(25)] \rfloor_{(26)}
          else \[ i, r, a, s, b, x : [(b \neq 1 \lor s = x) \land pre(25), post(25)] \]_{(27)}
          fi;
(26) \square \langle \text{c-frame, w-pre-} (15) \rangle
          a, i, r, s, b, x : [Inv[i+1/i][x/r], Inv]
       \sqsubset \langle ass - (16) \rangle
          i := i + 1
          \mathbf{r} := \mathbf{x}
```

```
\langle c\text{-frame, w-pre-} (17) \rangle
        a, i, r, s, b, x : [Inv, Inv]
            \langle \text{skip} - (18) \rangle
     skip
        proc isPrime(value r, result a) ·
              (1) \sqsubseteq
              \langle seq, i-loc \rangle
        \mathbf{L}r, a, j: \left[ \begin{array}{c} a = 1 \wedge r > 0, a = 1 \wedge r > 0 \wedge j = 2 \end{array} \right] \mathbf{L}_{(\mathbf{2})};
        (2) \sqsubseteq \langle ass - (19) \rangle
        \mathbf{j} := \mathbf{2}
 (3) \sqsubset \langle \text{seq} \rangle
        \lfloor r, a, j : [a = 1 \land r > 0 \land j = 2, Inv_2] \rfloor (4);
        \lfloor r, a, j : \lceil Inv_2, Inv_2 \wedge j = r \rceil \rfloor_{(5)};
        \lfloor r, a, j : \lceil Inv_2 \wedge j = r, post(3) \rceil \rfloor_{(6)}
 (4) \sqsubseteq \langle \text{w-pre - (20)} \rangle
        r, a, j : [Inv_2, Inv_2]
      skip
 (6) \sqsubseteq \langle \text{w-pre - (22)} \rangle
        \lfloor r, a, j : \lceil post(3), post(3) \rceil \rfloor_{(7)}
      skip
 (5) \sqsubseteq
            \langle \mathbf{while} \rangle
         while j \neq r do
              od;
 (8) \sqsubset \langle \text{seq} \rangle
        \lfloor r, j : \lceil Inv_2[j+1/j], Inv_2 \rceil \rfloor (10)
```

```
(9) \sqsubseteq \langle \text{if} \rangle
\text{if } \mathbf{r} \bmod \mathbf{j} = \mathbf{0}
\text{then } \llcorner a : [r \bmod j = 0 \land pre(9), post(9)] \lrcorner_{(11)}
\text{else } \llcorner a : [r \bmod j \neq 0 \land pre(9), post(9)] \lrcorner_{(12)}
\text{fi};
(10) \sqsubseteq \langle \text{ass - (24)} \rangle
\mathbf{j} := \mathbf{j} + 1
(11) \sqsubseteq \langle \text{w-pre - (25)} \rangle
r, a, j : [Inv_2[j^{j+1}/j][0/a], post(11)]
\sqsubseteq \langle \text{ass - (26)} \rangle
\mathbf{a} := \mathbf{0}
(12) \sqsubseteq \langle \text{w-pre - (27)} \rangle
r, a, j : [Inv_2[j^{j+1}/j], post(11)]
\sqsubseteq \langle \text{skip - (28)} \rangle
\text{skip}
```

We gather the code for the procedure body of EMIRP:

```
\mathbf{EMIRP}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{n}):
     var \ i := 1;
     var \ x := 13;
     r := 13;
     while j \neq r do
          x := x + 1;
          var\ a := 1;
          isPrime(x, a);
          if a = 1 then
                var\ s := 0;
                reversen(x, s);
                var \ b := 1;
                isPrime(s, b);
                if b = 1 \land s \neq x then
                      i := i + 1;
                      r := x;
     od;
```

Also, we gather the code for the procedure body of ISPRIME:

```
\begin{aligned} \mathbf{isPrime}(\mathbf{r},\mathbf{j}): \\ var \ j &:= 2; \\ \mathbf{while} \ j \neq r \ \mathbf{do} \\ \mathbf{if} \ (r \ \mathrm{mod} \ j) &= 0 \ \mathbf{then} \\ a &:= 0; \\ j &:= j + 1; \\ \mathbf{od}; \end{aligned}
```

We have derived our code. However we need to prove **some** refinements.

2.1 Implication **1**: $(4) \sqsubseteq i := 1$

```
To prove: i = i_0 \land n > 0 \Rightarrow (i = 1 \land x = 13 \land n > 0)[1/i][13/x]

Proof:

LHS = i = i_0 \land n > 0

\Rightarrow \langle 1 = 1 \land 13 = 13 \text{ is vacuously true} \rangle

1 = 1 \land 13 = 13 \land i = i_0 \land n > 0

\Rightarrow \langle A \land B \land C \land D \Rightarrow A \land B \land C \rangle

1 = 1 \land 13 = 13 \land n > 0

\Rightarrow \langle 1 = 1 \Rightarrow (i = 1)[1/i], 13 = 13 \Rightarrow (x = 13)[13/x] \rangle

(i = 1 \land x = 13 \land n > 0)[1/i][13/x]

\Rightarrow \langle \text{clearly} \rangle

RHS
```

2.2 Implication 2: $(6) \sqsubseteq r, x : [Inv[^{13}/_x], Inv]$

To prove w-pre we need to prove: $pre \Rightarrow pre'$

To prove:
$$i = 1 \land n > 0 \land x = 13 \Rightarrow Inv[^{13}/_r]$$

Proof:

$$LHS = i = 1 \land n > 0 \land x = 13$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle A \wedge B \wedge C \Rightarrow A \wedge B \rangle$$

$$i = 1 \land x = 13$$

- \Rightarrow (We know that 13 is the 1st emirp from our definition of emirp, also $13 \ge r$ in this case) $i = 1 \land Emirp(13, 1) \land x = 13 \land 13 \ge r$
- \Rightarrow (This is our Inv with 13 substituted for x) $Inv[^{13}/_x]$
- $\Rightarrow \langle \text{clearly} \rangle$

RHS

2.3 Implication **3**: $r, x : [Inv[^{13}/_r], Inv] \sqsubseteq r := 13$

To prove: $r = r_0 \wedge Inv[^{13}/_r] \Rightarrow Inv[^{13}/_r]$

Proof:

$$LHS = r = r_0 \wedge Inv[^{13}/_r]$$

- $\Rightarrow \langle A \wedge B \Rightarrow A \rangle$
 - $Inv[^{13}/_r]$
- $\Rightarrow \langle \text{clearly} \rangle$

2.4 Implication 4: $Inv \wedge i = n \sqsubseteq Emirp(r, n)$

```
To prove: Inv \wedge i = n \Rightarrow Emirp(r, n)

Proof:
LHS = Inv \wedge i = n
\Rightarrow \langle \text{Expanding the Invariant} \rangle
Emirp(r, i) \wedge x \geq r \wedge i = n
\Rightarrow \langle \text{Combining conjuncts} \rangle
Emirp(r, n) \wedge x \geq r
\Rightarrow \langle A \wedge B \Rightarrow A \rangle
Emirp(r, n)
\Rightarrow \langle \text{Clearly} \rangle
RHS
```

2.5 Implication 5: $(10) \sqsubseteq skip$

RHS

To prove skip, we need to prove $pre \Rightarrow post[r_0/r]$

```
To prove: Emirp(r,n) \Rightarrow Emirp(r,n)[^{r_0}/_r]

Proof:
LHS = Emirp(r,n)
\Rightarrow \langle \text{Since } r_0 \text{ is the value of r in the precondition, } r = r_0 \text{ in the precondition} \rangle
Emirp(r_0,n)
\Rightarrow \langle \text{clearly} \rangle
```

2.6 Implication 6: $[Inv \land i \neq n, Inv[^{x+1}/_x]] \sqsubseteq x := x+1$

To prove: $[Inv \land i \neq n, Inv^{(x+1)}_x] \sqsubseteq x := x+1$

Proof:

$$LHS = [Inv \land i \neq n, Inv[^{x+1}/_x]]$$

 $\Rightarrow \langle \text{Expanding Inv and performing substitution} \rangle$

$$[EMIRP(r,i) \land x \geq r \land i \neq n, EMIRP(r,i) \land x + 1 \geq r]$$

We know that $x \ge r \Rightarrow x + 1 \ge r$

Since we have not found the n^{th} emirp yet and x is not an emirp, we increment x

 \Rightarrow (Therefore, our program can be refined by $x:=x+1\rangle$ RHS

2.7 Implication 7: $Inv^{[x+1]}/_x$, $Inv^{[x+1]}/_x$ $\land a = 1 \sqsubseteq a := 1$

To prove: $a = a_0 \wedge Inv^{[x+1]/x} \Rightarrow (a = 1 \wedge Inv^{[x+1]/x})^{[1/a]}$

Proof:

$$LHS = a = a_0 \wedge Inv[^{x+1}/_x]$$

 $\Rightarrow \langle 1=1 \text{ is vacuously true} \rangle$

$$1 = 1 \wedge a = a_0 \wedge Inv^{[x+1]/x}$$

 $\Rightarrow \langle A \wedge B \wedge C \Rightarrow A \wedge B \rangle$

$$1=1\wedge Inv[^{x+1}/_x]$$

- $\Rightarrow \langle 1 = 1 \Rightarrow (a = 1 \land Inv[^x/_{x+1}])[^1/_i] \text{ (Since, Inv does not involve a)} \rangle$ $(a = 1 \land Inv[^{x+1}/_x])[^1/_a]$
- $\Rightarrow \langle \text{clearly} \rangle$

2.8 Implication 8:

$$[Inv^{(x+1)}_x] \land a = 1, post(14)] \sqsubseteq [a = 1 \land x > 0, post(14)]$$

To prove: $Inv^{[x+1]/x} \land a = 1 \Rightarrow a = 1 \land x > 0$

Proof:

$$LHS = Inv[^{x+1}/_x] \land a = 1$$

- \Rightarrow (Expanding Inv and performing substitution) $Emirp(r, n) \land x + 1 >= r \land a = 1$
- \Rightarrow (Since x and r starts at 13 and we are incrementing x, x>0) $x>0 \land a=1$
- $\Rightarrow \langle \text{Clearly} \rangle$ RHS

2.9 Implication 9: $[a \neq 1 \land pre(15), post(15)] \sqsubseteq [[Inv, Inv]]$

To prove: $a \neq 1 \land pre(15) \Rightarrow Inv$

Proof:

$$LHS = a \neq 1 \land pre(15)$$

- $\Rightarrow \langle a \neq 1 \Rightarrow x \text{ is not prime} \Rightarrow \text{we have not found a new Emirp} \rangle$ EMIRP(r.n)
- $\Rightarrow \langle x \geq r \text{ because x only ever increases and started with x=r=13} \rangle$ $EMIRP(r, n) \land x \geq r$
- \Rightarrow \langle By definition of Inv \rangle Inv
- $\Rightarrow \langle \text{Clearly} \rangle$ RHS

2.10 Implication 10: $[Inv, Inv] \sqsubseteq skip$

```
To prove: Inv \Rightarrow Inv[^{r_0}/_r]

Proof:
LHS = Inv
\Rightarrow \langle \text{Since } r_0 \text{ is the value of } r \text{ in the pre-condition, thus in precondition, } r_0 = r \rangle
Inv[^{r_0}/_r]
\Rightarrow \langle \text{Clearly} \rangle
RHS
```

2.11 Implication 11: (18) $\sqsubseteq s := 0$

To prove:
$$s = s_0 \land (a = 1 \land \neg \exists k \in 2..(x - 1) (x \mod k = 0)) \lor ((a = 0 \land \exists k \in 2..(x - 1) (x \mod k = 0))) \Rightarrow (s = 0 \land x > 0)[^0/_s]$$

Proof:

 $LHS = s = s_0 \land ((a = 1 \land \neg \exists k \in 2..(x - 1) (x \mod k = 0)) \lor (a = 0 \land \exists k \in 2..(x - 1) (x \mod k = 0)))$
 $\Rightarrow \langle 0 = 0 \text{ is vacuously true and } x \text{ is a positive integer} \rangle$
 $0 = 0 \land s = s_0 \land ((a = 1 \land \neg \exists k \in 2..(x - 1) (x \mod k = 0)) \lor (a = 0 \land \exists k \in 2..(x - 1) (x \mod k = 0)) \land x > 0$
 $\Rightarrow \langle A \land B \land C \Rightarrow A \rangle$
 $0 = 0 \land x > 0$
 $\Rightarrow \langle 0 = 0 \Rightarrow (s = 0)[^1/_s] \land x > 0 \text{ does not involve } s \rangle$
 $(s = 0 \land x > 0)[^0/_s]$
 $\Rightarrow \langle \text{clearly} \rangle$
 RHS

2.12 Implication 12: $[pre(19), s = \sum_{i=0}^{c(x)} (S_i 10^i)] \sqsubseteq$

$$\left[x = \sum_{i=0}^{c(x)} (S_i 10^{(c(x)-i)}) \land x > 0, s = \sum_{i=0}^{c(x)} (S_i 10^i)\right]$$

To prove:
$$s = 0 \land x > 0 \Rightarrow x = \sum_{i=0}^{c(x)} (S_i 10^{(c(x)-i)}) \land x > 0$$

Proof:

$$LHS = s = 0 \land x > 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle A \wedge B \Rightarrow A \rangle$$

x > 0

 $\Rightarrow \langle x \in N \land x > 0 \Rightarrow x \text{ can be represented as a sum of } S_i 10^{c(n)-i} \rangle$ (S_i is a digit from the sequence of digits)

$$x = \sum_{i=0}^{c(x)} (S_i 10^{(c(x)-i)}) \land x > 0$$

 $\Rightarrow \langle \text{Clearly} \rangle$

RHS

2.13 Implication 13: $[pre(21), pre(21) \land b = 1] \sqsubseteq b := 1$

To prove:
$$b = b_0 \land pre(21) \Rightarrow (pre(21) \land b = 1)[1/b]$$

Proof:

$$LHS = b = b_0 \wedge pre(21)$$

 $\Rightarrow \langle 1=1 \text{ is vacously true} \rangle$

$$1 = 1 \wedge b = b_0 \wedge pre(21)$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle A \wedge B \wedge C \Rightarrow A \wedge B \rangle$$

$$1 = 1 \land pre(21)$$

 $\Rightarrow \langle 1 = 1 \Rightarrow (b = 1 \land pre(21))[^1/_b]$ since b does not appear in $pre(21)\rangle$

$$(b = 1 \land pre(21))[^{1}/_{b}]$$

 $\Rightarrow \langle \text{Clearly} \rangle$

2.14 Implication 14:

$$[s = \sum_{i=0}^{c(x)} (S_i 10^i) \land b = 1, post(24) \sqsubseteq [s > 0 \land b = 1, post(24)]$$

To prove:
$$s = \sum_{i=0}^{c(x)} (S_i 10^i) \land b = 1 \Rightarrow s > 0 \land b = 1$$

Proof:

$$LHS = s = \sum_{i=0}^{c(x)} (S_i 10^i) \land b = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle s = \sum_{i=0}^{c(x)} (S_i 10^i) \Rightarrow s > 0 \rangle$$

$$s > 0 \land b = 1$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \text{clearly} \rangle$$

RHS

2.15 Implication 15: \sqsubseteq

To prove: BLAH

Proof:

$$LHS = BLAH$$

 $\Rightarrow \langle \text{BLAH} \rangle$

BLAH

 $\Rightarrow \langle \text{BLAH} \rangle$

BLAH

 $\Rightarrow \langle BLAH \rangle$

BLAH

 $\Rightarrow \langle \text{BLAH} \rangle$

2.16 Implication 16: $[Inv^{[i+1]}_i]^{[x]}_i, Inv = i := i+1; r := x$

To prove:

$$i=i_0 \wedge r = r_0 \wedge Inv[^{i+1}/_i][^x/_r] \Rightarrow Inv[^{i+1}/_i][^x/_r]$$

Proof:

$$LHS = i = i_0 \land r = r_0 \land Inv[^{i+1}/_i][^x/_r]$$

- $\Rightarrow \langle A \wedge B \wedge C \Rightarrow A \rangle$
 - $Inv[^{i+1}/_i][^x/_r]$
- $\Rightarrow \langle \text{Cleary} \rangle$

RHS

2.17 Implication 17: □

To prove: BLAH

Proof:

$$LHS = BLAH$$

- $\Rightarrow \langle \mathrm{BLAH} \rangle$
 - BLAH
- $\Rightarrow \langle \text{BLAH} \rangle$
 - BLAH
- $\Rightarrow \langle \text{BLAH} \rangle$
 - BLAH
- $\Rightarrow \langle \text{BLAH} \rangle$

2.18 Implication 18: $[Inv, Inv] \sqsubseteq skip$

To prove: $Inv \Rightarrow Inv[^{r_0}/_r]$ Proof: LHS = Inv $\Rightarrow \langle \text{Since } r_0 \text{ is the value of } r \text{ in the pre-condition, thus in precondition, } r_0 = r \rangle$ $Inv[^{r_0}/_r]$ $\Rightarrow \langle \text{Clearly} \rangle$

2.19 Implication 19: $[a = 1 \land r > 0, a = 1 \land r > 0 \land j = 2] \sqsubseteq j := 2$

To prove: $j = j_0 \land a = 1 \land r > 0 \Rightarrow (a = 1 \land r > 0 \land j = 2)[^2/_j]$

Proof:

RHS

$$LHS = j = j_0 \land a = 1 \land r > 0$$

 \Rightarrow $\langle 2=2$ is vacously true \rangle

$$j = j_0 \land a = 1 \land r > 0 \land 2 = 2$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle 2=2 \Rightarrow (j=2 \land a=1 \land r>0)[^2/_j] \rangle$$
$$(j=2 \land a=1 \land r>0)[^2/_j]$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle \text{Clearly} \rangle$$

2.20 Implication 20: $[a=1 \land r>0 \land j=2, Inv_2] \sqsubseteq Inv_2, Inv_2$

To prove: $a = 1 \land r > 0 \land j = 2 \Rightarrow Inv_2$

Proof:

$$LHS = a = 1 \land r > 0 \land j = 2$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle A \wedge B \wedge C \Rightarrow A \rangle$$

$$j=2$$

 $\Rightarrow \langle \exists k \in 2..(2-1) (\phi) \text{ is vacously true} \rangle$

$$(a = 1 \land \neg \exists k \in 2..(2-1) (j \text{ mod } k = 0)$$

$$\forall (a = 0 \land \exists k \in 2..(2-1) (j \text{ mod } k = 0)) \land j = 2$$

 \Rightarrow (combining conjuncts, putting j instead of 2)

$$(a = 1 \land \neg \exists k \in 2..(j-1) (j \text{ mod } k = 0))$$

$$\vee \left(a = 0 \wedge \exists k \in 2..(j-1) \left(j \text{ mod } k = 0 \right) \right)$$

 \Rightarrow (By definition of Inv_2)

 Inv_2

 $\Rightarrow \langle \text{Clearly} \rangle$

RHS

2.21 Implication 21: $[Inv_2, Inv_2] \sqsubseteq skip$

To prove: $Inv_2 \Rightarrow Inv_2[^{r_0}/_r]$

Proof:

$$LHS = Inv_2$$

- \Rightarrow (Since r_0 is the value of r in the pre-condition, thus in precondition, $r_0 = r$) $Inv_2[r_0/r]$
- $\Rightarrow \langle \text{Clearly} \rangle$

2.22 Implication 22: $[Inv_2 \wedge j = r, post(13)] \sqsubseteq [post(13), post(13)]$

To prove: $Inv_2 \wedge j = r \Rightarrow post(3)$

Proof:

$$LHS = (a = 1 \land \neg \exists k \in 2..(j-1) (j \text{ mod } k = 0))$$

 $\lor (a = 0 \land \exists k \in 2..(j-1) (j \text{ mod } k = 0)) \land j = r$

- ⇒ (Combining conjuncts)
 - $(a=1 \ \land \neg \exists k \in 2..(r-1) \, (r \ \mathbf{mod} \ k=0)$
 - $\forall (a = 0 \land \exists k \in 2..(r-1) (r \text{ mod } k = 0))$
- $\Rightarrow \langle \text{Clearly} \rangle$ RHS

2.23 Implication 23: $[post(3), post(3)] \sqsubseteq skip$

To prove: $post(3) \Rightarrow post(3)[^{r_0}/_r]$

Proof:

$$LHS = post(3)$$

- \Rightarrow (Since r_0 is the value of r in the pre-condition, thus in precondition, $r_0 = r$) $post(3)[r_0/r]$
- $\Rightarrow \langle \text{Clearly} \rangle$

RHS

2.24 Implication 24: $[Inv_2[^{j+1}/_j], Inv_2] \sqsubseteq j := j+1$

To prove: $j = j_0 \wedge Inv_2[^{j+1}/_j] \Rightarrow Inv_2[^{j+1}/_j]$

Proof:

$$LHS = j = j_0 \wedge Inv_2[^{j+1}/_j]$$

- $\Rightarrow \langle A \wedge B \Rightarrow A \rangle$
 - $Inv_2[^{j+1}/_j]$
- $\Rightarrow \langle \text{Clearly} \rangle$

2.25 Implication 25: □

To prove: BLAH

Proof:

$$LHS = BLAH$$

- $\Rightarrow \langle \mathrm{BLAH} \rangle$
 - BLAH
- $\Rightarrow \langle \mathrm{BLAH} \rangle$
 - BLAH
- $\Rightarrow \langle \text{BLAH} \rangle$
 - BLAH
- $\Rightarrow \langle \mathrm{BLAH} \rangle$
 - RHS

2.26 Implication 26: $[Inv_2[^{j+1}/_j]][^0/_a] \sqsubseteq post(11)$

To prove: $a = a_0 \wedge Inv_2[^{j+1}/_j][^0/_a] \Rightarrow post(11)$

Proof:

$$LHS = a = a_0 \wedge Inv_2[^{j+1}/_j][^0/_a]$$

 $\Rightarrow \langle \text{Removing conjuncts} \rangle$

$$Inv_2[^{j+1}/_j][^0/_a]$$

 $\Rightarrow \langle \text{Clearly} \rangle$

2.27 Implication 27: $(12) \sqsubseteq [Inv_2, post(11)]$

```
Proof:

LHS = BLAH

\Rightarrow \langle BLAH \rangle

BLAH
```

To prove: BLAH

2.28 Implication 28: $[Inv_2, post(11)] \sqsubseteq skip$

```
To prove: Inv_2[^{j+1}/_j] \Rightarrow post(11)[^{r_0}/_r]

Proof:
LHS = Inv_2[^{j+1}/_j]
\Rightarrow \langle \text{Since } r_0 \text{ is the value of } r \text{ in the pre-condition, thus in precondition, } r_0 = r \rangle
Inv_2[^{j+1}/_j][^{r_0}/_r]
\Rightarrow \langle post(11) = Inv_2[^{j+1}/_j] \rangle
RHS
```

3 Task 3 - C Code

```
#include <stdio.h>
#include "reverse.h"
//#define USEGMP

unsigned long emirp(unsigned long n);
void isPrime(unsigned long r, int *a);
```

```
int main (int argc, char* argv[]){
 8
 9
            unsigned long n;
10
            if(scanf("\%lu", \&n)==1)
11
               printf("\%lu\n",emirp(n));
12
   }
13
    unsigned long emirp(unsigned long n) {
14
        int i = 1;
15
        unsigned long x = 13;
16
        unsigned long r = 13;
17
18
        while (i != n) {
19
            x = x + 1;
20
            int a = 1;
21
            isPrime(x, \&a);
22
            if (a == 1) {
23
                unsigned long s = 0;
24
                reversen(x, \&s);
25
                int b = 1;
26
                isPrime(s, &b);
27
                if (b == 1 \&\& s != x)
28
                    i = i + 1;
29
                    r = x;
30
            }
31
        }
32
            return r;
33
   }
34
35
   void isPrime(unsigned long r, int *a) {
36
        unsigned long j = 2;
37
        while (j != r)  {
            if (r \% j == 0)
38
39
                *a = 0;
40
            j = j + 1;
41
        }
   }
42
```

- Write something about how the C code relates.
- Compare with examples