

ASSOCIATION RULES LEARNING

ASSOCIATION RULE LEARNING

LET'S SAY YOU WORK AT AN ECOMMERCE
COMPANY AS A CATEGORY MANAGER

YOU ARE IN CHARGE OF SELLING
MOBILE ACCESSORIES - THINGS LIKE
CELLPHONE CASES, CHARGERS ETC

YOUR JOB IS TO SELL A LOT OF STUFF,
AND AT PRICES AS HIGH AS POSSIBLE,
AND SPEND AS LITTLE AS POSSIBLE ON
MARKETING

WHAT IF YOU COULD FIGURE OUT, SOMEHOW,
THAT FOLKS WHO BOUGHT ADAPTERS AND EARPLUGS
WERE MORE LIKELY TO BUY CELLPHONE CHARGERS -

THAT INFORMATION COULD REALLY HELP -
YOU COULD PERHAPS "BUNDLE" ADAPTERS
AND CELLPHONE CHARGERS, OR DISPLAY
PROMOTIONAL PRICING, OR OFFER QUANTITY
DISCOUNTS

{Adapter, Earplugs} -> {Cellphone Charger}

IDENTIFYING RULES OF THIS SORT
IS EXACTLY WHAT

**ASSOCIATION RULE
LEARNING** IS ALL ABOUT

{Adapter, Earmuffs} -> {Cellphone Charger}

IDENTIFYING RULES OF THIS SORT
IS EXACTLY WHAT

ASSOCIATION RULE LEARNING

IS ALL ABOUT
THE BASIC OBJECTIVE OF THIS TECHNIQUE
IS TO UNCOVER INTERESTING RELATIONSHIPS
BETWEEN VARIABLES IN LARGE DATASETS

FOR INSTANCE, SAY THAT 1% OF ALL
TRANSACTIONS INVOLVE BUYING BOTH
AN ADAPTER AND EARMUFFS

0.9% OF TRANSACTIONS INVOLVE BUYING
AN ADAPTER, EARMUFFS, AND A CELLPHONE
CHARGER

AND 3% OF ALL TRANSACTIONS INVOLVE THE
PURCHASE OF A CELLPHONE CHARGER

INTUITIVELY, WE CAN SEE WE HAVE
STUMBLED UPON SOMETHING, BECAUSE
WHEN FOLKS BUY AN ADAPTER AND EARMUFFS,
90% OF THE TIME, THEY ALSO BUY A CHARGER..

THIS IS EXPLICITLY MEASURED USING A
CONCEPT CALLED

LIFT OF A RULE

$$\text{Lift}(X \rightarrow Y) = \frac{\text{proportion of transactions with both X and Y}}{\text{proportion of transactions with X} \times \text{proportion of transactions with Y}}$$

X = {ADAPTER,
EARMUFFS}

Y = CELLPHONE
CHARGER

Lift({Adapter, Earmuffs} ->
Cellphone charger)

$$= \frac{0.9\%}{0.1\% \times 3\%}$$

= 3

FOLKS ARE 3 TIMES MORE
LIKELY TO BUY A CHARGER
ALONG WITH A COMBINATION
OF (AN ADAPTER, EARMUFFS)
THAN IF THESE 3 ITEMS WERE
INDEPENDENT

A MACHINE-LEARNING BASED IMPLEMENTATION OF ASSOCIATION RULE LEARNING

WOULD INVOLVE FINDING A LARGE NUMBER
OF SUCH RULES EFFICIENTLY, AND ALSO
SUBJECTING THESE RULES TO TESTS OF
CONVICTION (HOW LIKELY IS THE RULE
TO BE WRONG?)

THE ORIGINAL ACADEMIC PAPER
THAT PROPOSED SUCH RULES IS
A CLASSIC – IT HAS APPARENTLY
BEEN CITED ABOUT 18,000 TIMES