OPERATING SYSTEM

ASSIGNMENT-4 (WriteUp)

Rahul Khatoliya 2019265

Functionality and Technique Used in the Program:

Blocking wait() and signal():

We had implemented a semaphore structure called <code>my_semaphore</code>, using the pthread library, in which we had used <code>Conditional Variable(pthread_cond_t)</code>, and <code>Mutex(pthread_mutex_t)</code> provided by the pthread Library. For our <code>wait()</code> and <code>signal()</code> implementation, to give mutual exclusion to the several threads running concurrently, we had simply used the <code>lock</code> and <code>unlock</code> mechanism of <code>pthread_mutex_lock(mutex)</code> and <code>pthread_mutex_unlock(mutex)</code> along with the <code>pthread_cond_wait()</code> and <code>pthread_cond_broadcast()</code>, which will help to <code>block</code> the other <code>threads</code>, and <code>wake up</code> all the blocked <code>threads</code> running respectively. Also, the prime objective for <code>wait()</code>, would be to decrement semaphore value by 1, and for <code>signal()</code> to increment value by 1, in both the case mutual exclusion has been provided.

Non-Blocking wait() and signal():

For Non-blocking variant, we have not provided any **blocking** or **waking** call, but simply the **Mutual exclusion** mechanism, and in the case of **wait()** after decrementing the semaphore value by 1, if the called semaphore is already locked, then we will just return the specified error number i.e **EAGAIN** using the header **<errno.h>**, otherwise successfully return 0, but here without blocking other threads. Moreover for **signal()**, we will increment value of semaphore value by 1 as usual and if the value was negative (which should not happen ideally), we would Account with the respective error number i.e **EINVAL**, otherwise terminate successfully.

Deadlock Free Dining Philosopher Solution:

In our program we had created two arrays of **semaphores**, one for **Forks** and other for the **bowls**. In case of **blocking** variant, every philosopher will wait first for **left fork**, then **right fork** except the last philosopher, so that if all are waiting for the right, it will help to avoid deadlock scenario. Moreover, Every Philosopher have to wait for **bowl 1** and **bowl 2**, then only they will eat .Whereas, in case of **non-blocking** variant, due to **no blocking** there are no chances for **deadlock**, and hence every philosopher will wait for the left fork, then right and eventually both the bowls, then will eat successfully. Also after eating in blocking case, **signal** has been provided to **wake up** other philosophers, where no such waking in non-blocking case.