

B)

Complexity of code is  $O(n^2)$

$$0 \cdot (h\omega + n(h + h\omega + \omega + h))$$

where  $n$  is number of iterations  
to remove spam

height

$w$  - width

$h\nu \rightarrow$  to calculate energy

6 takes in every iteration  $9k$  to

Calculate new energy matrix (only neighbours)

→ how to calculate entire dp matrix

→ w to ~~be~~ be minimum seam energy

→ h to back brace.

c)

a) Results might not be same. They might exhibit slight change. This ~~may~~ is not because of flipping but because of chances of picking one ~~or~~ of seam. Several seam might have same energy and get deleted but other than that flipping doesn't produce much difference.

b) If we remove continuously then it will minimise energy in one dimension and thus makes the second dimension less effective. So making alternative choices will be better intuitively. Thus, taking alternatively helps in <sup>increasing</sup> ~~reducing~~ efficiency and synchronisation.