

Proof techniques (cont'd), Truth  
tables

(if time) Infimum/Supreme

ROB 501

# Course administration

- No lecture or discussion on Monday (Labor Day)
- Discussion sessions are starting today (review relevant to HW#1)
- HW#1 is posted
- GSI Office hours for this week: Location:  
Andrew: F 4-5pm, T 1-2pm } FRB  
Ishank: F 3-4pm, T 2-3pm } 3310

# Quick review

## Notation:

$\mathbb{N} = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  Natural numbers or counting numbers

$\mathbb{Z} = \mathbb{Z} = \{\dots, -3, -2, -1, 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  Integers or whole numbers

$\mathbb{Q} = \left\{ \frac{m}{q} \mid m, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0, \text{no common factors (reduce all fractions)} \right\}$  Rational numbers

$\mathbb{R}$  = Real numbers

$\mathbb{C} = \{\alpha + j\beta \mid \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{R}, j^2 = -1\}$  Complex numbers

$\forall$  means "for every", "for all", "for each".

$\exists$  means "for some", "there exist(s)", "there is/are", "for at least one".

$\in$  means "element of" as in " $x \in A$ " ( $x$  is an element of the set  $A$ )

||

" $\neg$ ": not

" $\wedge$ ": and

" $\vee$ ": or

# Quick review

- Proof techniques
  - Direct proof
  - Proof by contrapositive
  - Proof by exhaustion

Proof by Exhaustion: Reduce the proof to a finite # of cases and then check every one of them.  
Ex: Four color problem (Google it!)

- (TODAY) Proof by induction
  - (TODAY) First principle of induction (standard induction)
  - (TODAY) Second principle of induction (strong induction)
- (TODAY) Proof by contradiction

# Proof by induction

$n \in \mathbb{N}$

**First Principle of Induction (Standard Induction):** Let  $P(n)$  denote a statement about the natural numbers with the following properties:

- (a) Base case:  $P(1)$  is true      1.  $P(1)$  true  
(b) Induction part: If  $P(k)$  is true, then  $P(k+1)$  is true.      2.  $P(k) \Rightarrow P(k+1)$

1 & 2  $\Rightarrow \therefore P(n)$  is true for all  $n \geq 1$  ( $n \geq$  base case)

Ex:      Claim: For all  $n \geq 1$ ,  $1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (2n-1) = n^2$

Proof:       $P(n) : 1 + 3 + 5 + \dots + (2n-1) = n^2$

Base case:  $P(1)$ :  $1 = (1)^2$

Induction step: We assume  $P(k)$  is true:

(this is what we want to show to hold for all  $n$ )

$$P(k): 1+3+5+\dots+(2k-1)=k^2$$

and attempt to show  $P(k+1)$  is also true:

$$\begin{aligned} P(k+1) &= 1+3+5+\dots+(2k-1)+(2(k+1)-1) = \\ &= k^2 + 2k + 2 - 1 \\ &= k^2 + 2k + 1 = (k+1)^2 \quad \checkmark \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow P(n)$  is true for all  $n \geq 1$

Q: What if we want our induction to start at 5 (i.e. you want show some  $P(n)$  holds for all  $n \geq 5$ )

Take the base case as  $P(5)$ .

Define  $\tilde{P}(n) := P(n+5-1)$ ,

and do an induction for  $\tilde{P}(n)$ .

Works for any  $n_0 \neq 1$  (i.e.  $\tilde{P}(n) := P(n+n_0-1)$ )  
 $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$

## Second principle of Induction

Let  $P(n)$  be a statement about  $\mathbb{N}$  w/  
the following properties:

a) Base case:  $P(1)$  is true.

b) If  $P(j)$  is true for all  $1 \leq j \leq k$ ,  
then  $P(k+1)$  true.

Then,  $P(n)$  is true for all  $n \geq 1$ .

Fact: Two induction methods are equivalent! Sometimes 2<sup>nd</sup> method is helpful b.c. assuming more in step b) makes it easier to prove b).

Ex:

Def.:  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $n \geq 2$ , is composite if  $\exists a, b \in \mathbb{N}$   $2 \leq a, b \leq n-1$ , such that  $n = a \cdot b$ . Otherwise,  $n$  is prime.

Theorem: (Fundamental Thm of Arithmetic)  
Every natural number  $n \geq 2$  can be written as the product of one or more primes.

Proof.  $P(n)$ : If  $n \geq 2$ , then  $n$  can be expressed as a product of one or more primes.

Base case:  $P(2)$  is true because 2 is prime.

Induction step: Assume  
that  $P(j)$  is true for  $2 \leq j \leq k$   
(i.e., we assume  $P(2), P(3), \dots, P(k)$  are true), To show:  $P(k+1)$  is true.

Two cases:  $k+1$  is either prime  
or composite.

Case 1:  $k+1$  is prime, then  
 $P(k+1)$  is trivially true ( $k+1 = \underbrace{k+1}_{\text{prime}}$ )

Case 2:  $k+1$  is composite. (By def.)

$\exists a, b \in \mathbb{N} \quad 2 \leq a, b \leq k \quad \text{s.t.} \quad k+1 = a \cdot b.$

By induction assumption  $P(a)$  and  $P(b)$   
are true (because  $a, b \leq k$ ), which  
means  $\exists$  primes s.t.

$$a = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_\ell$$

$$b = q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot \dots \cdot q_m$$

Therefore  $k+1 = p_1 \cdot p_2 \cdot \dots \cdot p_\ell \cdot q_1 \cdot q_2 \cdot \dots \cdot q_m$

$k+1$  is written as a product of primes. ✓

$\Rightarrow P(n)$  is true for all  $n \geq 2$ .



## Proof by contradiction

A contradiction is a logical statement that is both true and false.

Let  $R$  be a logical statement.

Then,  $R \wedge (\neg R)$  being true is a contradiction.

Ex:  $R = m, n \in \mathbb{N}$  have no common factors  
and

" $m$  and  $n$  are even"  $\Rightarrow R$  is  
a contradiction

Ex (proof by contradiction):

Theorem:  $\sqrt{2}$  is irrational. (due to Euclid)

$p: \sqrt{2}$  is irrational.

$\neg p: \sqrt{2}$  is rational.

We will show  $\neg p$  leads to a contradiction  
hence  $\neg p$  must be false  $\Rightarrow p$  is true.

Assume  $\neg p$ . ( $\sqrt{2}$  is rational)

$\exists m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$  s.t.

$R1$ :  $m, n$  have no common factors,  $n \neq 0$

$$R2: \sqrt{2} = \frac{m}{n}$$

$$R2 \Rightarrow 2 = \frac{m^2}{n^2} \Rightarrow 2n^2 = m^2$$

$\Rightarrow m^2$  is even  $\xRightarrow{\text{we proved on Monday}} m$  is even

$$\Rightarrow \exists k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ s.t. } m = 2k$$

$$\therefore 2n^2 = m^2 = (2k)^2 \Rightarrow n^2 = 2k^2$$

$\Rightarrow n^2$  is even  $\Rightarrow n$  is even

$\therefore m, n$  have a common factor since they<sup>are</sup> both even, 2 is a common factor.

$\neg R1$  is true.

$\therefore$  Contradiction: because we "showed"  
 $R1 \wedge \neg R1$  is true from  $\neg p$ .

$\Rightarrow \neg p$  is false  $\Rightarrow p$  is true.

$$\boxed{\begin{array}{l} a \Rightarrow b \\ \equiv \\ \neg a \vee b \end{array}}$$

## Relation to contrapositive

$$(p \Rightarrow q) \equiv (\neg q \Rightarrow \neg p) \equiv \neg (p \wedge (\neg q))$$

"direct"                      contrapositive                      used in contradiction

A common use of contradiction (when proving implications) is

Assuming  $(p \wedge \neg q)$  is true and seeking a contradiction.

## Truth tables

p	$\neg p$
T	F
F	T

p	q	$p \wedge q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	F
F	F	F

$p$	$q$	$p \Rightarrow q$
T	T	T
T	F	F
F	T	T
F	F	T

Exercise :

$p$	$q$	$\neg q$	$p \wedge \neg q$	$\neg(p \wedge \neg q)$
T	T	F	F	T
T	F	T	T	F
F	T	F	F	T
F	F	T	F	T

# OFFICE HOURS

①  $A \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} a^1 \\ a^2 \\ \vdots \\ a^n \end{bmatrix}_{n \times m}$$

where  $a^i \in \mathbb{R}^{1 \times m}$

$$B = [b^1 \dots b^p]_{m \times p} \quad b^i \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times 1}$$

②  $K = [k^1 \dots k^m]_{n \times m} \quad k^i \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 1}$

③  $AB = [ [AB]_1 \mid [AB]_2 \mid \dots \mid [AB]_p ]$   
 $A [b^1 \mid b^2 \mid \dots \mid b^p]$

AB

$$[Ab^1 \dots Ab^p] = M$$

To show  $AB = M$ ,  
we need to show

$$[AB]_{ij} = M_{ij} \quad \forall ij.$$

$[AB]_{ij}$  give by defn.

$$= \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{(1)}$$

$$M_{ij} = \underbrace{\hspace{10em}}_{(2)}$$

$$(1) = (2)$$