

Compsci Assignment 2

Rahul Issar

Question 1

The conventional one ($k=2$), the sorting time is $T_2(n) = cn \log_2 n$

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n \text{ Substituting } T(n/2) = 2T(n/4) + n/2$$

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n = 2[2T(n/4) + n/2] + n = 4T(n/4) + n + n$$

$$T(n) = 4T(n/4) + 2n, \text{ Substituting } T(n/4) = 2T(n/8) + n/2$$

$$T(n) = 4T(n/4) + 2n = 4[2T(n/8) + n/4] + 2n = 8T(n/8) + n + 2n$$

$$T(n) = 8T(n/8) + 3n, \text{ Substituting } T(n/8) = 2T(n/16) + n/2$$

$$T(n) = 8T(n/8) + 3n = 8[2T(n/16) + n/8] + 3n = 16T(n/16) + n + 3n$$

$$T(n) = 16T(n/16) + 4n$$

Rewrite the above equations and look for a pattern.

$$\text{1st step of recursion } T(n) = 2T(n/2) + n = 2^1 T(n/2^1) + 1n$$

$$\text{2nd step of recursion } T(n) = 4T(n/4) + 2n = 2^2 T(n/2^2) + 2n$$

$$\text{3rd step of recursion } T(n) = 8T(n/8) + 3n = 2^3 T(n/2^3) + 3n$$

$$\text{4th step of recursion } T(n) = 16T(n/16) + 4n = 2^4 T(n/2^4) + 4n$$

Closed-form formula

$$T(n) = 2^k T\left(\frac{n}{2^k}\right) + kn$$

We want $T(1)$, so we let $n = 2^k$. Solving for k , we get $k = \log n$. If we plug this back in:

$$T(n) = 2^{\log n} T\left(\frac{2^k}{2^k}\right) + (\log n)n = n * T(1) + (\log n)n = n + n \log n \quad T(n) = n + n \log n.$$

Modified mergesort

If we substitute any $k > 2$ into the equations above, the closed-form formula will be:

$$\text{When } k = 3 \quad 3^k T\left(\frac{n}{3^k}\right) + kn$$

$$\text{When } k = 4 \quad 4^k T\left(\frac{n}{4^k}\right) + kn$$

$$\text{When } k = 5 \quad 5^k T\left(\frac{n}{5^k}\right) + kn$$

$$\text{When } k = 6 \quad 6^k T\left(\frac{n}{6^k}\right) + kn$$

Thus, the sorting time will be $T_k(n) = cn \log_k n$, hence $T_k(n) = cn \log_k n$.

This means that the greater the value of k , the faster the sorting time. Therefore, the modified mergesort could be faster for some $k > 2$ than the conventional one ($k=2$).

Question 2

$$T_{\text{qselect}}(n) = cn$$

$$T_{\text{qsort}}(n) = cn \log_2(n)$$

$$cn < cn \log_2(n)$$

$$1 < \log_2(n)$$

$2 < n$ Therefore option (a) is faster for any n greater than 2.

Since $k = 15$ and $n = 100,000,000 \approx 2^{36.6}$, while c remains constant for both.

$$T_{\text{qselect}}(n) = cn = 100,000,000c$$

$$T_{\text{qsort}}(n) = cn \log_2(n) \approx 2657542475.90989c$$

$$100000000c < 2657542475.90989c$$

Therefore option(a) is faster

Question 3

Process(a) – in linearithmic time $O(n \log n)$, heapsort repeats n times the deletion of the maximum key and restoration of the heap property, where each restoration is logarithmic in all best, worst, and average case).

Process(b) – Building a heap in linear time \emptyset recursive structure. This means that the comparing process starts from the base case. The lower bound is \emptyset since every input element must be inspected.

Because process(a) does not have the recursive structure, and that the heapsort in process(a) repeats n times the deletion of the maximum key and restoration of the heap property, process (b) yields such acceleration.

Question 4

Maximum

1,2,3,4,5,6,7
7,6,5,4,3,2,1
1,7,6,5,4,3,2
7,1,2,3,4,5,6,

Minimum

4,2,1,3,6,5,7
4,6,5,7,2,1,3
4,2,3,1,6,5,7
4,6,7,5,2,1,3

Question 5

UID: 588382864

Combined array of 9's compliment and UID = {1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8}

Minimum

4,2,1,3,6,5,7,8
4,6,7,8,5,2,1,3

Question 6a

Knuth-Morris-Pratt

| | | | |
|------------|---|---|---|
| i | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Pattern[i] | a | b | c |
| Prefix[i] | 0 | 0 | 0 |

First is always 0

Pre: a, suffix is b

Pre: a, ab, suffix: c, bc

Pattern: abc

String: aabcbcbabcbabc

- 1) a matches
- 2) b doesn't match shift down 1
- 3) a matches
- 4) b matches
- 5) c matches

Boyer-Moore algorithm

aabcbcbabcbabcabc
abc

- 1) c doesn't match
- 2) So, we shift one to the right so we get both b's matching.

aabcbcbabcbabcabc
abc

- 3) c matches
- 4) b matches
- 5) a matches

Question 6b

Knuth-Morris-Pratt

Pattern = abc

String = abababababababab

- 1) a matches
- 2) b matches
- 3) c doesn't match
- 4) a matches
- 5) b matches
- 6) c doesn't match
- 7) a matches
- 8) b matches
- 9) c doesn't match
- 10) a matches
- 11) b matches
- 12) c doesn't match
- 13) a matches
- 14) b matches
- 15) c doesn't match
- 16) a matches

- 17) b matches
- 18) c doesn't match
- 19) a matches
- 20) b matches
- 21) c doesn't match

Boyer-Moore algorithm

Pattern = abc

String = abababababababab

abababababababab
abc

- 1) c doesn't match
- 2) Shift so a's match

abababababababab
abc

- 3) c doesn't match
- 4) Shift so a's match

abababababababab
abc

- 5) c doesn't match
- 6) Shift so a's match

abababababababab
abc

- 7) c doesn't match
- 8) Shift so a's match

abababababababab
abc

- 9) c doesn't match
- 10) Shift so a's match

abababababababab
abc

- 11) c doesn't match
- 12) Shift so a's match

abababababababab
 abc

- 13) c doesn't match
 - 14) Shift so a's match
- abababababababab
 abc

- 15) c doesn't match
- 16) Shift so a's match

Question 7

The algorithm searches a string for matches to a key, when it matches a character of the string to the first character of the key, it begins comparing the next character of the string. If that matches then it compares the next until it fails.

So, to maximise comparisons is to have the algorithm fail just before finding a match, but also to hide partial matches within such a string so it has to also consider that partial match after it fails.

e.g. Given the key "ABABCDB" we could use the following:
 ABABABAB

Here it will compare the first four characters ABAB, then it will go back and start at the second A and compare ABAB again, then the third, and so on. It will compare fail on each turn after

ABAB
 ABAB
 ABAB
 AB

So, in this case, we have 14 comparisons.

Question 8

The Boyer-Moore-algorithm uses bad character rule and suffix rule. Since we know it must be scanned from the right hand side we need to know the most possible comparisons.

The pattern can be $P = \text{bbababab}$. In the first scan it will be compared 8 times, since the first index of the pattern is found to be the mismatch, the pattern will shift by 1. If we look at the 7th index of the pattern we can see it will be a shift by 7 even though there is a match. Every second shift will conclude to be a maximum comparison of 8 times. This will create the largest possible amount of comparisons, using the Boyer-Moore algorithm