

[<< Back to CodeChef](#)[javafreak](#) [1] [Log Out](#)[questions](#)[tags](#)[users](#)[badges](#)[unanswered](#)[ask a question](#)[about](#)[faq](#)

CodeChef Discussion

Search Here...

☒ questions
 ☐ tags
 ☐ users

Minimum Vertex Cover

2

Hi, i was solving this [problem](#), i think i am implementing a greedy algorithm, getting WA for this [solution](#). The solution is self explanatory, i did a dfs on the tree to calculate level of each node and then printed the minimum of total number of node of same parity(odd/even), am i right doing this?

 [spoj](#)

asked 23 Sep '16, 20:16

3★ [ashwanigautam](#)
[187]•1•11
accept rate: 0%

2 Answers:

[oldest answers](#)
[newest answers](#)
[popular answers](#)

2

This greedy solution is wrong. For example, imagine you have vertex 1 connected with vertex 2, and vertex 1 connected with 100 other vertices and vertex 2 connected with 100 other vertices. Obviously the correct answer is 2: we only include vertex 1 and 2, but your solution would print 101. Try to think of another greedy, or a dp.

[link](#) | [award points](#)

answered 24 Sep '16, 00:01

5★ [linkret](#)
[226]•5
accept rate: 25%

thanks for pointing that out.

3★ [ashwanigautam](#) (24 Sep '16, 00:13)

1

The problem with the greedy method has already been explained. Lets build a dynamic programming paradigm for it. Let,

- 1) $dp[i][1]$ = answer of the problem rooted at node i if node i is put in set.
- 2) $dp[i][0]$ = answer of the problem rooted at node i if node i is not put in set.

If we put a vertex in the set then we don't need to select any of its children, as we have covered all edges from this node to its children

If we don't select the vertex then we need to definitely put all its children in the set as we want to cover all edges from this node to its children

$$dp[i][1] = 1 + (\text{for every child } v \text{ of } i \rightarrow \sum(\min(dp[v][0], dp[v][1]))$$

$$dp[i][0] = \text{for every child } v \text{ of } i \rightarrow \sum(dp[v][1])$$

now we need minimum of $dp[1][0]$ and $dp[1][1]$

here is implementation for better understanding <http://paste.ubuntu.com/23221571/>

[link](#) | [award points](#)

edited 24 Sep '16, 00:43

answered 24 Sep '16, 00:41

4★ [thedark](#)
[86]•2
accept rate: 9%

Your answer

[\[hide preview\]](#)☐ community wiki:

Follow this question

By Email:

You are not subscribed to this question.

[subscribe me](#)

(you can adjust your notification settings on your [profile](#))

By RSS:

[Answers](#)[Answers and Comments](#)

Question tags:

 [spoj](#) x949[pt07x](#) x2

question asked: 23 Sep '16, 20:16

question was seen: 788 times

last updated: 24 Sep '16, 00:43

Related questions

[Please help in this problem](#)[JULKA Prob on SPOJ](#)
[SPOJ](#)
[question](#)
[WA-](#)
[Complicated Expressions](#)
[Is spoj a better for PRACTICE compared to codechef?](#)
[\[closed\]](#)
[Wa](#)
[in](#)
[spoj](#)
[program](#)
<http://www.spoj.com/problems/BUGLIFE/>
[SPOJ runtime error \(SIGXFSZ\)](#)[Small Utility tool for SPOJ users](#)
[Spoj](#)
[AMR11E](#)
[code](#)
[memoization](#)
[technique](#)
[trouble](#)
[\[closed\]](#) [spoj](#) [BYECAKES](#)[SPOJ MSE06H](#)

Preview





[Privacy & Terms](#)

[About CodeChef](#) | [About Directi](#) | [CEO's Corner](#)
[CodeChef Campus Chapters](#) | [CodeChef For Schools](#) | [Contact Us](#)

© 2009, Directi Group. All Rights Reserved.
Powered by OSQA

Directi
Intelligent People. Uncommon Ideas.