

Applications of Dynamic Programming in Sequence Alignment

Anuj Gupta^{1, *, +}, Rahul Alapati^{1, +}, Vineet Nayak^{1, +}, Sritika Chakladar^{1, +} and Austin Ream^{2, +}

¹ Graduate Student, Computer Science and Software Engineering, Auburn University, 36830, USA ² Undergraduate Student, Computer Science and Software Engineering, Auburn University, 36830, USA

* azg0076@auburn.edu

+ these authors contributed equally to this work

ABSTRACT

Protein Sequence Alignment is a basic operation mostly used in protein sequence analysis. Protein sequence alignment is an optimization problem and the use of dynamic programming, a careful brute force approach is quite helpful in finding the optimal pairwise sequence alignment. This report lays out the implementation details of two popular dynamic programming algorithms namely: Needleman-Wunsch and Smith-Waterman. The Needleman-Wunsch algorithm is widely used for optimal global alignment, particularly when the quality of the global alignment is of the utmost importance. The Smith-Waterman algorithm performs the local sequence alignment, that is for determining similar regions between two strings of protein sequences. The resulting optimal local and global alignments are then compared with the alignments produced by the BLAST algorithm.

1. Introduction

Sequence comparison is motivated by the fact that all living organisms are related by evolution. That implies that the genes of species that are closely related should have higher similarity than those which are distantly related. Two protein sequences are homologous if they have a common ancestor. Homologous sequences can be inferred from the similarity of two sequences. A measure of likeness between two sequences is percentage identity. We calculate the percentage identity of two sequences by counting the exactly matching characters when the sequence is aligned and divide by the length of the longer of the two compared sequences.

Sequence Alignment is a way of arranging the sequences of protein to

identify regions of similarity that may be a consequence of functional, structural or evolutionary relationships between the sequences. Gaps are inserted between the residues so that identical or similar characters are aligned in successive columns.

Very short or very similar sequences can be aligned by hand. However, most interesting problems require the alignment of lengthy, highly variable or extremely numerous sequences that cannot be aligned solely by human effort. Instead, human knowledge is applied in constructing algorithms to produce high-quality sequence alignments.

Computational approaches to sequence alignment generally fall into two categories: global alignments and local alignments. Calculating a global alignment is a form of global optimization that "forces" the alignment to span the entire length of all query sequences. By contrast, local alignments identify regions of similarity within long sequences that are often widely divergent overall. Local alignments are often preferable but can be more difficult to calculate because of the additional challenge of identifying the regions of similarity.

If we try to apply general brute force to optimally align two sequences of length n , then we end up enumerating exponentially large number of alignments, which is humanly impossible. Hence, we implemented dynamic programming algorithms to solve optimal pairwise sequence problem.

Dynamic Programming is an algorithmic technique for solving a complex problem by breaking it down into a collection of simpler subproblems, solving each of these subproblems just once, and storing their solutions. The next time the same subproblem occurs, instead of recomputing its solution, one simply looks up the previously computed solution, thereby saving computation time at the expense of a modest expenditure in storage space. Dynamic programming algorithms are often used for optimization.

2. Methods

The techniques of dynamic programming can be applied to produce global alignments using the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm, and local alignments using the Smith-Waterman algorithm. Protein alignments use a substitution matrix to assign scores to amino-acid matches or mismatches, and gap penalty for matching an amino acid in one sequence to a gap in the other. Here, we have used BLOSSUM62 (BLOcks SUBstitution Matrix) to score the matches and mismatches.

2.1. Needleman-Wunsch Algorithm:

This algorithm was developed by Saul B. Needleman and Christian D. Wunsch and published in 1970. The algorithm essentially divides a large problem into a series of smaller problems and uses the solutions to smaller problems to reconstruct a solution to the larger problem.

Firstly, we prepared a $(n+1) * (m+1)$ DP Table with one sequence along the top and one along the left side. In this DP Table, we can arrive at each cell in one of the following three ways:

- From the cell above, which corresponds to aligning the character to the left with a space.
- From the cell to the left, which corresponds to aligning the character above with a space.
- From the cell diagonally to the above-left, which corresponds to aligning the characters to the left and above (which might or might not match).

We fill up all the cells in the DP Table using the following general recurrences:

$$V(i, j) = \text{MAX} \begin{cases} \delta(s1(i), s2(j)) + V(i-1, j-1) \\ \delta(-, s2(j)) + V(i, j-1) \\ \delta(s1(i), -) + V(i-1, j) \end{cases}$$

The values in the above recurrences are calculated using the BLOSSUM Matrix.

The base cases are:

$$V(i, 0) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq i} \delta(s1(k), -)$$

$$V(0, j) = \sum_{0 \leq k \leq j} \delta(-, s2(k))$$

Now after the DP table is ready, we identify the $(n+1) * (m+1)$ element and traceback until the base case, while generating the optimal alignment for the two sequences.

2.2. Smith-Waterman Algorithm:

The algorithm was first proposed by Temple F. Smith and Michael S. Waterman in 1981. Like the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm, of which it is a variation, Smith-Waterman is a dynamic programming algorithm. The main difference to the Needleman-Wunsch algorithm is that negative scoring matrix cells are set to zero, which renders the local alignments visible. Traceback procedure starts at the highest scoring matrix cell and proceeds until a cell with score zero is encountered, yielding the highest scoring local alignment.

The general recurrences used to fill the DP Table are:

$$V(i, j) = \text{MAX} \begin{cases} 0 \\ \delta(s1(i), s2(j)) + V(i-1, j-1) \\ \delta(-, s2(i)) + V(i, j-1) \\ \delta(s1(i), -) + V(i-1, j) \end{cases}$$

The base cases are:

$$V(i, 0) = V(0, j) = 0$$

3. Results

1. Human Hemoglobin Sequence:

MVLSPADKTNVKAAWGKVGHAHAGEYGAEALERMFSLFPTTKTYFPHFDLSHGSAQVKGHGKKVADALTNA
VAHVDDMPNALSALSDLHAHKL RVD PVNFKLLSHCLLVTLAAHLPAEFTPAVHASLDKFLASVSTVLTSTK
YR

Mouse Hemoglobin Sequence:

MVLSGEDKSNIKAAWGKIGGGHGAEYGAEALERMFASFPTTKTYFPHFDVSHGSAQVKGHGKKVADALASA
AGHLDDLPGALSALSDLHAHKLRVDPVNFKLLSHCLLVTLASHHPADFTPAVHASLDKFLASVSTVLTSTK
YR



Global Alignment Output:

[illegible]

Note: ‘|’ indicates a positive match, i.e. amino acids whose matches have positive scores in BLOSUM62 and ‘*’ indicates a non-positive score in BLOSUM62.

BLAST Output:

Sequence ID: Query 149925 Length: 142 Number of Matches: 1

Range 1: 1 to 142		Graphics	 Next Match	 Previous Match
NW Score	Identities	Positives	Gaps	
648	122/142(86%)	131/142(92%)	0/142(0%)	
Query 1	MVLSPADKTNVKAAGWKVGAHAGEYGAELERMFLSFPTTKTYFPHFDLSHGSAQVKGHG		60	
	MVLS DK+N+KAAGWK+G H EYGAEALERMF SFPTTKTYFPHFD+SHGSAQVKGHG			
Sbjct 1	MVLSGEDKSNIAAKWIGGHGAEYGAELERMFASFPTTKTYFPHFDVSHGSAQVKGHG		60	
Query 61	KKVADALNAVAHVDDMPNALSALSDLHAHKL RVDPNFKLLSHCLLVTLAAHLP AEFTP		120	
	KKVADAL +A H+DD+P ALSALSDLHAHKL RVDPNFKLLSHCLLVTLA+H PA+FTP			
Sbjct 61	KKVADALASAAHGLDLPAL SALSDDLHAHKL RVDPNFKLLSHCLLVTLASHHPADFTP		120	
Query 121	AVHASLDKFLASVSTVLTSKYR	142		
	AVHASLDKFLASVSTVLTSKYR			
Sbjct 121	AVHASLDKFLASVSTVLTSKYR	142		

2. Sequence 1:

GTCTATCAC

Sequence 2:

ATCTCGTATGATG

Global Alignment Output:

```
Score: 12
G--TC-TATCAC-
*  || |||*|*
ATCTCGTATGATG
```

BLAST Output:

unnamed protein product

Sequence ID: Query_245625 Length: 13 Number of Matches: 1

Range 1: 1 to 13 [Graphics](#)

▼ Next Match ▲ Previous Match

NW Score	Identities	Positives	Gaps
4	4/13(31%)	4/13(30%)	0/13(0%)

```
Query 1  GTCTATCAC      9
          TCT  A
Sbjct 1  ATCTCGTATGATG 13
```

In this case both the global alignment and score are different from BLAST.

Local Alignment Output:

Score: 27

```
GTCTATCAC-----
      |||*|
-----ATCTCGTATGATG
```

BLAST Output:

ⓘ Your search parameters were adjusted to search for a short input sequence.

[Edit and Resubmit](#) [Save Search Strategies](#) [Formatting options](#) [Download](#)

[YouTube](#) [How to read this page](#) [Blast report description](#)

Job title: Protein Sequence (9 letters)

Blast 2 sequences

RID	86ZCMX1F114 (Expires on 02-15 07:06 am)	Subject ID	Id Query_79819
Query ID	Id Query_79817	Description	None
Description	None	Molecule type	amino acid
Molecule type	amino acid	Subject Length	13
Query Length	9	Program	BLASTP 2.8.0+ Citation

ⓘ No significant similarity found. For reasons why, [click here](#)

Other reports: [Search Summary](#)

In this case both the local alignment and score are different from BLAST.

4. Discussion

Although the human hemoglobin and mouse hemoglobin alpha subunits come from different species, they have the same function with highly similar sequences. Humans and mice are mammals and they share an ancestor that probably existed 80 million years ago. It is likely that this ancestor had a cytochrome c protein, and the sequences above are the descendants of that primitive sequence, just as species is as a whole. Many of the protein sequences in mice and humans are similar for this reason.

We can observe a difference between our scores and the BLAST scores, because the BLAST score is derived from the raw alignment score, taking the statistical properties of the scoring system into account, whereas we calculate our score based on BLOSSUM62.

Also, in some cases where the lengths of the sequence are small, the BLAST doesn't find any similarities, because BLAST algorithm can align two sequences only if the length of the sequences are greater than a particular threshold.

5. References

1. Steven E. Brenner, Cyrus Chothia and Tim J. P. Hubbard. Assessing sequence comparison methods with reliable structurally identified distant evolutionary relationships. PNAS 1998 May, 95 (11) 6073-6078.
2. Saul B. Needleman and Christian D. Wunsch. A General Method Applicable to the Search for Similarities in the Amino Acid Sequence of Two Proteins. J. Mol. Biol. (1970) 48, 443453
3. Nur'Aini Abdul Rashid', Rosni Abdullahl, Abdullah Zawawi Haji Talibl, Zalila AH2. Fast Dynamic Programming Based Sequence Alignment Algorithm. IEEE Explore 29th January 2007
4. João Carlos Setubal, PhD and Ruediger Braeuning, PhD. Chapter A05 Similarity Search: Bioinformatics in Tropical Disease Research: A Practical and Case-Study Approach.
5. <https://www.ibm.com/developerworks/library/j-seqalign/>

6. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK62051/>
7. https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PAGE_TYPE=BlastSearch&PROGRAM=blastn&BLAST_SPEC=GlobalAlign&LINK_LOC=BlastHomeLink
8. https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi?PROGRAM=blastp&PAGE_TYPE=BlastSearch&LINK_LOC=blasthome
9. <https://en.wikipedia.org/>