



$$\Delta[i] = \lambda_s^i \Delta[0]$$

$$\hat{v} = \vec{v} + \vec{\Delta}$$

$$\vec{x} \cdot \vec{\Delta} = \text{---}$$

$$\sim \beta \vec{v}$$

$$\hat{v} \cdot \vec{\Delta} = \text{---}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} \lambda_s \Delta[i] \\ \vdots \\ \Delta[i] \end{bmatrix} = \vec{\Delta}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \vec{\Delta}^2 &= \lambda_s^2 (n-1) \Delta[i]^2 + (\Delta[i])^2 \\ &= \underbrace{(n-1) \lambda_s^2 \Delta[i]^2}_{\text{dominates}} + \underbrace{(\Delta[i])^2}_{\text{slim}} \end{aligned}$$

$$A\vec{x} - \frac{1}{\lambda_s} \vec{v} = \vec{x}[t+1]$$



$$\vec{y}[i]^T$$

$$\lambda \lambda_s^k < 1$$

$$\Delta[i+n] = \lambda_s^n \Delta[i]$$

$$\log(\Delta[i+n]) = \log(\lambda_s^n) + \log(\Delta[i])$$

$$= n \log(\lambda_s) + \log(\Delta[i])$$

$$\left[-\log\left(\frac{1}{\lambda_s}\right) \right]$$



Let's say we know λ_1 and are trying to learn \vec{v}_1

3/17/19

At time step t , the state is

$$\vec{x}(t) = \underbrace{A^t \vec{x}(0)}_{\text{unknown}} + \sum_{k=0}^t A^{t-k} \underbrace{\vec{u}(k)}_{\text{known}}$$

Because B matrix is I

In the euclidean basis $\vec{d}(t)$ where t indicates both a time and an index to differentiate, Note that $\vec{d}(i)$ are also orthonormal

$$\{ \vec{d}(0), \vec{d}(1), \vec{d}(2), \dots, \vec{d}(t) \} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \\ \vdots \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \dots, \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \vdots \\ 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \right\}$$

The eigenvector is $\vec{v}_1 = \alpha_0 \vec{d}(0) + \alpha_1 \vec{d}(1) + \dots + \alpha_{n-1} \vec{d}(n-1)$
that corresponds to the largest eigenvalue

A can be decomposed as

$$A = \lambda_1 \vec{v}_1 \vec{v}_1^T + \sum_{k=2}^N \lambda_k \vec{v}_k \vec{v}_k^T \quad \text{where } \{ \vec{v}_1, \vec{v}_2, \dots, \vec{v}_N \} \text{ is orthonormal}$$

Assumptions to maybe make

① $A^t \approx \lambda_1^t \vec{v}_1 \vec{v}_1^T$ in the long run (assuming all other eigenvalues are stable)

In this case the " α_i " we get by dotting with $\vec{d}(i)$ are estimates, not the actual α_i . So label $\hat{\alpha}_i$ and note that we know this quantity.

↳ treat the extra stuff as a disturbance

↳ intermittent application of input

② Knowing vs. not knowing initial state? Magnitude? Assuming that $\vec{x}(0)$ is aligned w/ \vec{v}_1 ?

$$\vec{x}(t) \cdot \vec{d}(0) = A^t \vec{x}(0) \cdot \vec{d}(0) \leftarrow \text{assuming no inputs have been put in up to and before time } t. \text{ Assume } t \text{ large enough that our approximation holds true.}$$

$$\vec{x}(t) \cdot \vec{d}(0) = \left(\lambda_1^t \vec{v}_1 \vec{v}_1^T + \dots \right) \vec{x}(0) \cdot \vec{d}(0)$$

$$\vec{x}(t) \cdot \vec{d}(0) \approx \left(\lambda_1^t \vec{v}_1 \vec{v}_1^T \vec{x}(0) \right) \cdot \vec{d}(0) \quad \text{ditched all other terms}$$

Proceed by assuming we know $\vec{x}(0)$

$$\vec{x}(t) \cdot \vec{d}(0) \approx \lambda_1^t \vec{v}_1 \vec{v}_1^T \vec{x}(0) \cdot \vec{d}(0)$$

$$\approx \lambda_1^t \vec{v}_1^T \cdot \underbrace{|\vec{v}_1| |\vec{x}(0)| \cos \theta_{\vec{v}_1, \vec{x}}}_{\text{unknown}} \vec{d}(0)$$

not true, we watched state evolve
Don't know $\vec{x}(0)$
unknown normal
unknown make for convenience

$$\approx \lambda_1^t \vec{v}_1^T \cos \theta_{\vec{v}_1, \vec{x}} \vec{d}(0)$$

$$\approx \lambda_1^t \hat{\alpha}_0 \cos \theta_{\vec{v}_1, \vec{x}}$$

unknown, also estimate

because we ditched "noise term" (other λ_i)

$$\frac{\vec{x}(t) \cdot \vec{d}(0)}{\lambda_1^t} \approx \hat{\alpha}_0 \cos \theta_{\vec{v}_1, \vec{x}}$$

How to deal w/ cosine unknown?

Proceed by assuming $\vec{x}(0)$ lies along \vec{v}_1 , but we don't know $\vec{x}(0)$

we know $\vec{x}(0)$ magnitude

$$\vec{x}(0) = \beta \vec{v}_1 \quad \text{known}$$

$$\vec{x}(t) = A^t \vec{x}(0) = \lambda_1^t \vec{v}_1 \vec{v}_1^T \vec{x}(0) \quad (\text{exact because } \vec{x}(0) \text{ lies along } \vec{v}_1, \text{ orthogonal to all other } \vec{v}_i)$$

$$\vec{x}(t) = \lambda_1^t \vec{v}_1 \beta$$

$$\vec{x}(t) \cdot \vec{d}_0 = \lambda_1^t \vec{v}_1^T \beta \vec{d}_0$$

$$\vec{x}(t) \cdot \vec{d}_0 = \lambda_1^t \beta \alpha_0 \Rightarrow \text{we can find all } \alpha_i \text{ if we apply no inputs whatsoever}$$

know this somehow (observation in subspace)
know λ_1 and have many time steps
know this

Because we don't inject any other eigenvectors

Strange pattern of four drops

$$\frac{d}{dt} \vec{x} = \Lambda \vec{x}$$

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ z & z^* \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} re^{j\theta} & \\ & re^{-j\theta} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \bar{z} & z^* \end{bmatrix}^{-1}$$

$$z = me^{j\phi}$$

$$\vec{x}[k+1] = \Lambda \vec{x}[k]$$

$$\vec{x}[k+1] - \vec{x}[k] = (\Lambda - I) \vec{x}[k]$$

$$\Delta \vec{x}[k] =$$

Might not work for discrete case because velocity is innately continuous

