Portfolio Peer Review #3
Your Name: Rahul Gupta
Peer Name: Brandon Sams

Directions: Complete the following review sheet for your selected peer. Highlight the appropriate numbers and provide two pieces of feedback at the bottom of the page.

5 – Truly exemplary work going above and beyond expectations

4 – Above average effort has been given

3 – Expectations have been met at minimum capacity

2 – Slightly below expectations

1 – Low effort has been given

Completeness

Are most of the projects included in the GitHub repository complete?

5	4	3	2	1

Functionality

Does the code do what it indicates it will?

5	4	3	2	1

Documentation/Information

Are the files and projects well documented? Are there appropriate README files included?

5	4	3	2	1

Variety

Are there multiple types of projects to show full breadth of skills?

5	4	3	2	1

Peer Reviewer Comments (should be min 250 words to answer the two questions below):

*One item I noticed that I really liked and plan to take back for my own portfolio. . .

*One possible area of improvement to consider is . . .

Takeaways:

I have gone thru your portfolio; it really looks great to me. It has pictures, Clear instructions, appropriate structure. It looks fascinating to me. I will definitely. Consider these and try to implement on my portfolio. One thing I really like is that you are putting the data in appropriate folders. Eg – Milestone,Papers and Raw Data.

Things to think about:

I have looked your code and GH repos and found organized. But There is no such info on ReadMe file. I highly encourage you to really start looking to GitHub ReadMe file.

"You can add a README file to a repository to communicate important information about your project. A README, along with a repository license, contribution guidelines, and a code of conduct, communicates expectations for your project and helps you manage contributions."