

Human Computer Interaction

Lecture 4: Interactive System Design HCI and Software Engineering

Mr. Nachiket Sainis



**B K Birla Institute of Engineering & Technology,
Pilani**

Objective

- In the previous lecture, we have learned about the idea of “usability”
- While designing an interactive system, what we should do to take care of usability?
- In this lecture, we shall learn about the answer to the above question

➤ In particular, we shall learn about the following

- The difference between a software design and an interactive system design
- User-centered and participatory design
- The interactive system design life cycle

Central Idea

- Suppose you are designing a database management system (DBMS): what are your design objectives
 - Efficient storage of large databases (storage)
 - Efficient way to retrieve results of a query from database (retrieval)
 - Allowing the user to access the database (interaction)

Central Idea

- Note that this is a scenario where the user interacts with the system (database)
- However, the user is a “computer expert”, who has “technical knowledge” about the system
 - Through some query language, the user can access, manipulate and update the database

Central Idea

- Now consider a tourist information system
- In the background, it is nothing but a database of various tourist-related information
- However, its users may or may not be “computer experts”
 - They do not care about what goes on inside
 - They just want to “get” the information “easily”


Central Idea


- The term “easily” is very significant
 - It means, we need to have an interface and interaction mechanism that do not require any specialized knowledge
- That is, we need a “usable” system
- **Design goal of an interactive system:
increase usability**

What Happens in Software Engineering

- The waterfall model: the simplest and typical way to visualize software design
- Design process composed of a series of sub- stages
 - Each sub-stage follows the previous stage and precedes the next stage (looks like a waterfall)
- Note the uni-directional flow (that's how real waterfalls work anyway!!)
- In other words, Each stage depends on the previous stages but not vice-versa

The Waterfall Model






Interactive System Design

- The uni-directional flow is not appropriate for interactive system design
- In other words,
 - Each stage depends on the previous stages. It may also depend on the next stages (feedback).
- It is no longer the (linear) waterfall model

Interactive System Design



Why This Difference

- We are trying to design “for” the user
 - Not for a programmer’s convenience or expert’s use
- What should we consider
 - Abilities and needs of the users
 - Their usage context
 - Their work setting
- In other words, we have to “know the user”

Need: Know The User

- A never ending process because there is so much to know and because the users keep changing
- An interactive system designer should consider the human factors that characterize users

The Human Factors

- Perception: our ability to perceive our surroundings
 - Can be visual, auditory or haptic (touch)
- Cognition: the way we process the perceived information in our “mind” and take decisions
- Motor action: this is the mechanism through which we interact with the surrounding
 - Example: hand movement, eyeball movement, speech

Need: Know The User

- These factors (user characteristics) vary with
 - Age, gender, physical and cognitive abilities, personality
 - Education, cultural or ethnic background
 - Training, motivation, goals
- An interactive system designer should recognize this diversity

Need: Recognize Diversity

Systems used by several communities of users

- No single design can satisfy all users and situations
- Designer faces real challenge to cater to the need of each community
- Designers must characterize users and situations as precisely and completely as possible

A Generic User Characterization

➤ Novice or first time users

- Know nothing about the task or interface concepts
- Often anxious about the computer and its functionality

➤ Knowledgeable or intermediate users

- Have stable task concepts and broad interface concepts

➤ Expert users

- Thoroughly familiar with the task and interface concepts
- Want to get their job done quickly

So, Why The Difference?

- Designer must know the user
 - This knowledge can not be captured at once
- Design involves acquiring new knowledge and using it to refine design in continuous cycle (till some “acceptable” design is found)
 - The reason for so many “feedbacks” in the waterfall model

User Centered Design (UCD)

- The design process, where designer collects feedback about the design from users and use this to refine design, is known as “user centered design” or UCD
- UCD is based on understanding the domain of work or play in which people are engaged and in which they interact with computers

User Centered Design (UCD)

➤ Assumptions

- Result of a good design is a *satisfied user*
- Process of design is a *collaboration between designers and user*.
- Design *evolves and adapts* to users' changing concerns, and the process produces a specification as an important by product
- The user and designer are in *constant communication* during the entire process

UCD Drawbacks

➤ In UCD, user involvement is “passive”


- The designer elicits feedback from user (through interviews, informal discussions etc)
- Prepares specification on the basis of user response
- Take feedback on the design and makes refinements

➤ Problems with “passive” involvement of user

- User intuition about a new interface may not be correct (feedback not reliable)
- The interview process itself may not be formulated properly (designer asks wrong questions)
- It is not possible for the designer to identify all possible issues to take feedback from users, as the designer’s knowledge about the user may not be complete

Participatory Design

- Solution: make (representative) users a part of the design team
- Such a design process, where end users are part of the design team, is known as “participatory design”



Participatory Design: Key Points

- Users are first-class members of the design team
 - As opposed to their passive involvement in UCD
- Users are considered subject experts
 - Know all about their work context
- Iterative design process
 - All design stages are subject to revision

Interactive System Design Life Cycle (ISLC)


➤ Key stages

- Know the user, propose design, evaluate design by users, refine design

➤ Iterative design process

- The above stages are iterated till an acceptable (determined from user feedback) solution is obtained

ISLC: A Consolidated Diagram



Life Cycle Stage: Identify Need

- What is wanted – identify users and their need
- Designers make use of one or more methods to identify the requirements
- Such methods include
 - Interview (structured, semi-structured, unstructured)
 - Contextual inquiry
 - Cultural probes
 - Ethnography
 - User models

Life Cycle Stage: Analyze Data

➤ Analysis of the data collected

➤ Types of analysis are performed

- Scenario analysis: analyze data collected from the user on one or more usage scenario of the system
- Task analysis: analyze tasks required to be carried out by the user to operate the system
- System level task analysis: analysis of external tasks required to operate the system
- Cognitive task analysis: analysis of tasks performed in the mind of the user

Life Cycle Stage: Propose Design

- Design proposal arrived at from the analysis of collected data
 - Guidelines and principles help in the development of initial design
 - Several sets of guidelines (both general and specific) are there to cater to specific interface design context

Life Cycle Stage: Develop Prototype

- Implement a prototype of the design for collecting user feedback
- A spectrum of techniques is used in developing prototypes
 - Paper prototype (one extreme)
 - Complete software (other extreme)

Life Cycle Stage: Evaluate Design

- Evaluation of the design by users
- In the initial design phase, evaluation is done on prototypes
 - Cost effective and easier to perform.
 - Suitable for iterative design process where the evaluation is performed many times
- The full system is typically evaluated at the end
 - Full system evaluation is costly in terms of money, manpower, time and effort
 - Hence, typically done once or a limited number of times

Life Cycle Stage: Evaluate Design

- Several evaluation methods are available
 - Checklist/guideline based evaluation
 - ✓ Heuristic evaluation, cognitive walkthrough
 - Model-based evaluation: employs models (of the system or user or hybrid) for evaluation
 - ✓ Hybrid models are essentially models that combines the features of both the system and the user
 - Empirical evaluation – evaluate with real users
 - ✓ Involve implementation of the system with full functionalities