

Homework: Query Optimization (w9)

- Rahul Kumar Nalubandhu

For the four relations in the following table, find the best join order according to the dynamic programming algorithm used in System-R. You should give the dynamic programming table entries for evaluate the join orders. The cost of each join is the number of I/O accesses the database system performs to execute the join. Assume that the database system uses two-pass sort-merge join algorithm to perform the join operation. Each block contains 4 tuples and tuples of all relations have the same size. We are interested only in left-deep join trees. Note that you should use the System-R optimizer formula to compute the size of each join output(2 points).

R(A,B,C)	S(B,C)	W(B,D)	U(A,D)
T(R)=4000	T(S)=3000	T(W)=2000	T(U)=1000
V(R,A) =100 V(R,B) =200 V(R,C) =100	V(S,B) =100 V(S,C) = 300	V(W,B) =100 V(W,D) =50	V(U,A) =100 V(U,D) =100

Solution:

So as per the question we have each block contains 4 tuples and tuples of all relations have the same size. So, the number of blocks for each relation can be calculated as :

$$B(R) = \frac{T(R)}{4} = \frac{4000}{4} = 1000,$$

$$B(S) = \frac{T(S)}{4} = \frac{3000}{4} = 750,$$

$$B(W) = \frac{T(W)}{4} = \frac{2000}{4} = 500,$$

$$B(U) = \frac{T(U)}{4} = \frac{1000}{4} = 250.$$

For Size :

now for calculating the size for relation R & S

$$T(R \bowtie B, C S) = T(R) * T(S) / \max(V(R, B), V(S, B)) \max(V(R, C), V(S, C))$$

$$\text{Therefore, size of join R,S} = \frac{4000*3000}{200*300} = 200. (200/4 = 50 \text{ blocks})$$

For Cost :

The cost for the join of relations R and S can be calculated as

$$5(B(R) + B(S)) = 5(1000 + 750) = 8750$$

The overall expense of merging three related entities can be determined by adding the cost of a binary join and the expense of merging the result of the binary join with a third entity. If the intermediate entity isn't arranged according to the attribute used for merging, a two-pass merge-sort is employed to sort it.

For relations R, S, W the cost is :

Join S and R based on B and C, so the result will be already sorted on B.

So, there is no need to sort to join with W.

Now For relations R, S, U we can see there is no common attribute to these relations hence in relation U we have A, but relation S and R are not sorted on A. So, it should sort result of the join SR based on A to join it with relation U using the sort-merge algorithm.

The cheapest plan to join all relations is $((S \bowtie R) \bowtie U) \bowtie W$. The results of $(S \bowtie R) \bowtie U$ is not sorted based on B or D.

hence the **cost is** $5B(W) + 5B((S \bowtie R) \bowtie U) + c((S \bowtie R) \bowtie U) = 15,250$ and the **size is**

$$T(W) * T((S \bowtie R) \bowtie U) / \max(V(W, B), V((S \bowtie R) \bowtie U, B)) \max(V(W, D), V((S \bowtie R) \bowtie U, D))$$

$$= \frac{2000 * 2000}{100 * 100} = 400 \text{ (400/4 = 100 blocks)}$$

So, by doing this we have lot of plans, but we must consider with the minimum cost so for computing the join of R, S, W, U **we select the plan $((S \bowtie R) \bowtie U) \bowtie W$.**

Possible Queries	Size(blocks)	Cost	Plan
R, S	50	8750	$S \bowtie R$
R, U	10,000	6250	$U \bowtie R$
R, W	10,000	7500	$W \bowtie R$
S, U	750,000	5000	$U \bowtie S$
S, W	15,000	6250	$W \bowtie S$
W, U	5000	3750	$U \bowtie W$
R, S, U	500	10,250	$(S \bowtie R) \bowtie U$
R, S, W	1,000	11,350	$(S \bowtie R) \bowtie W$
R, W, U	1,000	33,750	$(U \bowtie W) \bowtie R$
S, W, U	15,000	32,500	$(U \bowtie W) \bowtie S$
R, S, W, U	100	15,250	$((S \bowtie R) \bowtie U) \bowtie W$