300. Longest Increasing Subsequence

Given an integer array nums, return the length of the longest strictly increasing subsequence.

A **subsequence** is a sequence that can be derived from an array by deleting some or no elements without changing the order of the remaining elements. For example, [3,6,2,7] is a subsequence of the array [0,3,1,6,2,2,7].

Example 1:

```
Input: nums = [10,9,2,5,3,7,101,18]
Output: 4
Explanation: The longest increasing subsequence is [2,3,7,101], therefore the length is 4.
```

Example 2:

```
Input: nums = [0,1,0,3,2,3]
Output: 4
```

Example 3:

```
Input: nums = [7,7,7,7,7,7]
Output: 1
```

```
return start
while start<=end:
    mid = (start+end)//2
    if arr[mid]>val:
        end = mid-1
    elif arr[mid]<val:
        start = mid+1
    else:
        return mid
return start</pre>
```

Solution 2: Greedy with Binary Search

- · Let's construct the idea from following example.
- Consider the example <code>nums = [2, 6, 8, 3, 4, 5, 1]</code>, let's try to build the increasing subsequences starting with an empty one: <code>sub1 = []</code>.
 - 1. Let pick the first element, sub1 = [2].
 - 2. 6 is greater than previous number, sub1 = [2, 6]
 - 3. 8 is greater than previous number, sub1 = [2, 6, 8]
 - 4. 3 is less than previous number, we can't extend the subsequence sub1, but we must keep 3 because in the future there may have the longest subsequence start with [2, 3], sub1 = [2, 6, 8], sub2 = [2, 3].
 - 5. With $\boxed{4}$, we can't extend $\boxed{\text{sub1}}$, but we can extend $\boxed{\text{sub2}}$, so $\boxed{\text{sub1} = [2, 6, 8]}$, $\boxed{\text{sub2} = [2, 3, 4]}$.
 - 6. With [5], we can't extend [sub1], but we can extend [sub2], so [sub1 = [2, 6, 8], sub2 = [2, 3, 4, 5]].
 - 7. With 1, we can't extend neighter [sub1] nor [sub2], but we need to keep 1, so [sub1] = [2, 6, 8], [sub2] = [2, 3, 4, 5], [sub3] = [1].
 - 8. Finally, length of longest increase subsequence = len(sub2) = 4.
- In the above steps, we need to keep different sub arrays (sub1, sub2..., subk) which causes poor performance. But we notice that we can just keep one sub array, when new number x is not

greater than the last element of the subsequence sub, we do binary search to find the smallest element >= x in sub, and replace with number x.

- Let's run that example [nums = [2, 6, 8, 3, 4, 5, 1]] again:
 - 1. Let pick the first element, [sub = [2]].
 - 2. 6 is greater than previous number, sub = [2, 6]
 - 3. 8 is greater than previous number, sub = [2, 6, 8]
 - 4. 3 is less than previous number, so we can't extend the subsequence sub. We need to find the smallest number >= 3 in sub, it's 6. Then we overwrite it, now sub = [2, 3, 8].
 - 5. 4 is less than previous number, so we can't extend the subsequence sub. We overwrite 8 by 4, so sub = [2, 3, 4].
 - 6. $\boxed{5}$ is greater than previous number, $\boxed{5}$ sub = $\boxed{2, 3, 4, 5}$.
 - 7. 1 is less than previous number, so we can't extend the subsequence [ab]. We overwrite 2 by 1, so [ab] = [ab], 4, 5].
 - 8. Finally, length of longest increase subsequence = [len(sub)] = 4.

Now the nlogn approach uses binary search.