

Radix Sort

The [lower bound for Comparison based sorting algorithm](#) (Merge Sort, Heap Sort, Quick-Sort .. etc) is $\Omega(n \log n)$, i.e., they cannot do better than $n \log n$.

[Counting sort](#) is a linear time sorting algorithm that sort in $O(n+k)$ time when elements are in the range from 1 to k.

What if the elements are in the *range from 1 to n^2 ? *****

We can't use counting sort because counting sort will take $O(n^2)$ which is worse than comparison-based sorting algorithms. Can we sort such an array in linear time?

[Radix Sort](#) is the answer. The idea of Radix Sort is to do digit by digit sort starting from least significant digit to most significant digit. Radix sort uses counting sort as a subroutine to sort.

The Radix Sort Algorithm

1. Do following for each digit i where i varies from least significant digit to the most significant digit.
 - Sort input array using counting sort (or any stable sort) according to the i'th digit.

Example:

Original, unsorted list:

170, 45, 75, 90, 802, 24, 2, 66

Sorting by least significant digit (1s place) gives:

[*Notice that we keep 802 before 2, because 802 occurred before 2 in the original list, and similarly for pairs 170 & 90 and 45 & 75.]

170, 90, 802, 2, 24, 45, 75, 66

Sorting by next digit (10s place) gives:

[*Notice that 802 again comes before 2 as 802 comes before 2 in the previous list.]

802, 2, 24, 45, 66, 170, 75, 90

Sorting by the most significant digit (100s place) gives:

2, 24, 45, 66, 75, 90, 170, 802

What is the running time of Radix Sort?

Let there be d digits in input integers. Radix Sort takes $O(d \cdot (n+b))$ time where b is the base for

representing numbers, for example, for the decimal system, b is 10. What is the value of d ? If k is the maximum possible value, then d would be $O(\log_b(k))$. So overall time complexity is $O((n+b) * \log_b(k))$. Which looks more than the time complexity of comparison-based sorting algorithms for a large k . Let us first limit k . Let $k \leq nc$ where c is a constant.

In that case, the complexity becomes $O(n \log_b(n))$. But it still doesn't beat comparison-based sorting algorithms.

What if we make the value of b larger?. What should be the value of b to make the time complexity linear? If we set b as n , we get the time complexity as $O(n)$. In other words, we can sort an array of integers with a range from 1 to nc if the numbers are represented in base n (or every digit takes $\log_2(n)$ bits).

Is Radix Sort preferable to Comparison based sorting algorithms like Quick-Sort?

If we have $\log_2 n$ bits for every digit, the running time of Radix appears to be better than Quick Sort for a wide range of input numbers. The constant factors hidden in asymptotic notation are higher for Radix Sort and Quick-Sort uses hardware caches more effectively. Also, Radix sort uses counting sort as a subroutine and counting sort takes extra space to sort numbers.

```
def countingSort(arr, exp=1):
    freqArr = [0]*10
    # Recording the frequency of each elements
    for ele in arr:
        idx = (ele//exp) %10
        freqArr[idx] = freqArr[idx]+1
    # Writing the prefix Sum in freq arr
    for i in range(1, len(freqArr)):
        freqArr[i] = freqArr[i-1]+freqArr[i]

    # Declaring a new array of size equal to arr
    ans = [0]*len(arr)
    for i in range(len(arr)-1, -1, -1):
        idxOfFreqArray = (arr[i]//exp)%10
        valInFreqArr = freqArr[idxOfFreqArray]
        idxInResultingArray = valInFreqArr-1
        ans[idxInResultingArray] = arr[i]
        freqArr[idxOfFreqArray] = freqArr[idxOfFreqArray]-1

    for i in range(len(ans)):
        arr[i] = ans[i]
    # print('After sorting on {} place, the array is=>'.format(exp))
    # print(arr)
```

```
def radixSort(arr):  
    maxi = max(arr)  
    exp = 1  
    while exp<=maxi:  
        countingSort(arr,exp)  
        exp = exp*10
```

```
arr = [86,15,5648,20,1,59]  
radixSort(arr)  
print(arr)
```