Warsaw University of Technology's
Faculty of Mathematics and Information Science

Knowledge Representation and Reasoning

Project number 2:
Deterministic Action With Cost
Supervisor: Dr Anna Radzikowska

Created By
Rishabh Jain, Rahul Tomer, Kuldeep Shankar,
Alaa Abboushi, Haran Dev Murugan,
Bui Tuan Anh.

Contents

1 Introduction

A dynamic system (DS) is viewed as

- a collection of objects, together with their properties, and
- a collection of actions which, while performed, change properties of objects (in consequence, the state of the world).

Let C2 be a class of dynamic systems satisfying the following assumptions:

1. Inertia law
2. Complete information about all actions and fluent.
3. Only Determinism
4. Only sequential actions are allowed.
5. Characterizations of actions:
   - Precondition represented by set of literals(a fluent or its negation);if a precondition does not hold, the action is executed but with empty effect
   - Postcondition (effect of an action) represented by a set of literals.
   - Cost $k \in N$ of an action, actions with empty effects cost 0. Each action has a fixed cost, if it leads to non-empty effects.
6. Effects of an action depends on the state where the action starts.
7. All actions are performed in all states.
8. Partial description of any state of the system are allowed.
9. No constraints are defined.

2 Syntax

2.1 Signature :

A signature is a triplet Υ = (F, Ac, K) where F is a set of fluents; Ac is a set of actions as follows A1,A2,...,An where Ai $\in$ Ac and i = 1 to n and K is a set of positive integers representing Cost of each action Ai $\in$ Ac as follows K1,K2,...Kn where Ki $\in$ K and i = 1 to n.

2.2 Literal :

A literal is either a fluent f or its negation ¬f.
Notation: for a fluent f ϵ F, we write $\overline{f}$ to denote the literal corresponding to f, i.e., either f or ¬f.

2.3 Statements :

The system and changes occurring within can be described through a sequence of statements defined in the table:

Statement	Format	Description
Effect Statement	Ai causes $\overline{f}$ if $\overline{g1}$, . . . , $\overline{gk}$	If the action Ai is performed in any state satisfying $\overline{g1}$, . . . , $\overline{gk}$, then in the resulting state $\overline{f}$ holds.
Value Statement	$\overline{f}$ after A1 ....An where $\overline{f} \in$ F and Ai $\in$ Ac, for i = 1, ... ,n	$\overline{f}$ holds after performing the sequence A1 ....An of actions in the initial state.
Cost Statement	Ai costs ki (if Ai causes $\overline{f}$ if $\overline{g1}$,....,$\overline{gn}$), ki $\in$ K for i = 1,...,n	If the action Ai is performed in any state satisfying $\overline{g1}$, ...,$\overline{gk}$, then change in state results in fixed cost ki.
Abbreviation	initially $\overline{f}$	in the initial state $\overline{f}$ holds

Table 1: Syntax Table

3 Semantics

- A state is a mapping σ : F $\rightarrow$ {0, 1}. For any f $\in$ F, if σ(f) = 1, then we say that f holds in σ and write $\sigma \vDash$ f. If σ(f) = 0, then we write $\sigma \vDash$ ¬f and say that f does not hold in σ. Let $\sum$ stand for the set of all states.

- A state transition function is a mapping Ψ : Ac $\times \sum \rightarrow \sum$. For any $\sigma \in \sum$, for any action Ai $\in$ Ac where i = 1,...,n, Ψ(Ai , σ) is the state resulting from performing the action Ai in the state σ. Also Ψ(Ai,σ) will results in same state if the effect of action is empty.

- A cost transition function is a mapping Γ : Ac $\times \sum \rightarrow$ K.For any $\sigma \in \sum$ and for any action Ai $\in$ Ac where i = 1,...,n, Γ(Ai, σ) is the fixed cost ki corresponding to the action Ai, where ki $\in$ K and i=1,...,n, resulting from performing the action Ai in the state σ. Also Γ(Ai,σ) will results in 0 cost if there is no change in state.

- A transition function is generalized to the mapping $\Psi^* : Ac^* \times \sum \rightarrow \sum$ as follows:
  1. Ψ^* (ε , σ) = σ,
  2. Ψ^* ((A1, . . . , An), σ) = Ψ(An, Ψ^* (A1, . . . , An-1)).
- Let L be an action language of the class A over the signature Υ = (F, Ac, K). A structure for L is a triplet S = (Ψ, σ_0, Γ) where Ψ is a state transition function, Γ is a cost transition function and $\sigma_0 \in \sum$ is the initial state
- Let S = (Ψ, σ_0, Γ) be a structure for L. A statement s is true in S, in symbols S $\vDash$ s, iff
  1. s is of the form $\overline{f}$ after A1, . . . , An, then Ψ((A1, . . . , An), σ_0)) $\vDash \overline{f}$;
  2. if s is of the form Ai causes $\overline{f}$ if $\overline{g1}$, . . . , $\overline{gk}$, then for every $\sigma \in \sum$ such that $\sigma \vDash \overline{gj}$, j = 1, . . . , k, Ψ(Ai, σ) $\vDash \overline{f}$.
  3. if s is of the form A1,...,An costs k1,...,kn respectively where ki $\in$ K, Ai $\in$ Ac and i = 1,...n, then every $\sigma \in \sum$ such that $\sigma \vDash \overline{gi}$, i = 1,...,k, Γ(Ai,σ) $\vDash$ ki.

Let D be an action domain in the language L over the signature Υ=(F,Ac,K). A structure S = (Ψ,σ_0, Γ) is a model of D iff
(M1) for every statement s $\in$ D, S $\vDash$ s;
(M2) for every Ai $\in$ Ac for every f,g1,...,gn $\in$ F, for every ki $\in$ K and for every $\sigma \in \sum$, if one of the following conditions holds:
(i) D contains an effect statement and a cost statement as follows:

- **Ai causes** $\overline{f}$ **if** $\overline{g1}$,...,$\overline{gk}$,$\sigma \nvDash \overline{gj}$ for some j = 1,...,k
- **Ai costs ki,** if Ψ(Ai,σ) $\nvDash \sigma$.

(ii) D does not contain an effect statement but contains a 0 cost statement, as follows:

- **Ai causes** $\overline{f}$ **if** $\overline{g1}$,...,$\overline{gk}$ then $\sigma \vDash$ f iff Ψ(Ai,σ) $\vDash$ f.
- **Ai costs 0**, if Ψ(Ai,σ) $\vDash \sigma$.

4 Query

State query:
necessary σ after A1,...,Ai on $\sum$
possibly σ after A1,....,Ai on $\sum$
The first statement says that state σ always occurs after performing every action in specific state on $\sum$
The second statement says that state σ may occurs after performing every action in specific state on $\sum$
When the option from $\sum$ is omitted, these queries refer to the initial state

Cost query:
necessary execution costs ki after A1, ..., Ai on $\sum$
possibly execution costs 0 after A1, ..., Ai on $\sum$
The first statement says that corresponding ki cost will always occur after performing every action in a specific state on $\sum$.
The second statement says that 0 cost will always occur after performing every action in a specific state on $\sum$.
When the option from $\sum$ is omitted, these queries refer to the initial state.

5 Examples

5.1 Example 01

5.1.1 Description

Andrew wants to travel by his car to a place. Travelling costs him 50$ when there is fuel in car tank. Travelling costs him 50$ when there is fuel in reserve. When there is no fuel in any of it, Andrew can fuy fuel. Fuel costs him 40$

5.1.2 Representation

Fluents: F = {fuel, reserve}
Actions: Ac = {buy, travel}
Costs: K = {100, 50}

Initially: fuel
Initially: reserve
travel causes ¬fuel if fuel, reserve

travel causes ¬reserve if ¬fuel, reserve
travel causes ¬fuel if fuel, ¬reserve travel costs 50
buy causes fuel if ¬ fuel,reserve
buy causes fuel if ¬ fuel,¬reserve
buy causes reserve if fuel,¬reserve
buy costs 40

5.1.3 Calculation

$\sum$ = { σ_0,σ_1,σ_2,σ_3 }

σ_0 = { fuel, reserve } σ_1 = { ¬fuel, reserve }
σ_2 = { ¬fuel, ¬reserve } σ_3 = { fuel, ¬reserve }
Ψ(buy, σ_0)=σ_0
Ψ(travel, σ_0)=σ_1
Γ(buy, σ_0) = 0
Γ(travel, σ_0) = 50

Ψ(buy, σ_1)=σ_0
Ψ(travel, σ_1)=σ_2
Γ(buy, σ_1) = 40
Γ(travel, σ_1) = 50

Ψ(buy, σ_2)=σ_3
Ψ(travel, σ_2)=σ_2
Γ(buy, σ_2) = 40
Γ(travel, σ_2) = 0

Ψ(buy, σ_3)=σ_0
Ψ(travel, σ_3)=σ_2
Γ(buy, σ_3) = 40
Γ(travel, σ_3) = 50

5.1.4 Graph



Figure 1: Example 01

5.1.5 Queries

possibly σ_0 after buy on σ_0
necessary σ_0 after buy on σ_1
possibly σ_2 after travel on σ_2
necessary σ_1 after travel on σ_0

possibly execution costs 0 after buy on σ_0
necessary execution costs 40 after buy on σ_2
possibly execution costs 0 after travel on σ_2
necessary execution costs 50 after travel on σ_0

5.2 Example 02

5.2.1 Description

John visits a painter to buy a specific painting. The cost of painting is 200$ if its available in the shop. But if painting is not available then John needs

to order a new one to be painted and will buy once its available.Order costs 50$ At any time only one copy of painting is available and another one to be ordered once sold.

5.2.2 Representation:

Fluents: F = {available, sold}
Actions: Ac = {buy, order}
Costs: K = {200, 50}
Initially: ¬available
Initially: ¬sold
buy causes sold if available
buy causes ¬available
buy costs 200$ order causes available if ¬available
order costs 50$

5.2.3 Calculation:

$\sum = \{ \sigma_0, \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$
$\sigma_0 = \{$ ¬available, ¬sold$\}$
$\sigma_1 = \{$ available, ¬sold$\}$
$\sigma_2 = \{$ ¬available, sold$\}$
$\sigma_3 = \{$ available, sold$\}$

Ψ (buy, $\sigma 0$) = $\sigma 0$
Ψ (order, $\sigma 0$) = $\sigma 1$
Γ(buy, σ_0) = 0
Γ(order, σ_0) = 50

Ψ (buy $\sigma 1$) = $\sigma 2$
Ψ (order, $\sigma 1$) = $\sigma 1$
Γ(buy, σ_1) = 200
Γ(order, σ_1) = 0

Ψ (buy, $\sigma 2$) = $\sigma 2$
Ψ (order, $\sigma 2$) = $\sigma 1$
Γ(buy, σ_2) = 0
Γ(order, σ_2) = 50

Ψ (buy, $\sigma 3$) = $\sigma 2$
Ψ (order, $\sigma 3$) = $\sigma 3$
Γ(buy, σ_3) = 200
Γ(order, σ_3) = 0

5.2.4 Graph



Figure 2: Example 02

5.2.5 Queries

possibly σ_0 after buy on σ_0
necessary σ_2 after buy on σ_1
possibly σ_1 after order on σ_1
necessary σ_1 after order on σ_0

possibly execution costs 0 after buy on σ_0
necessary execution costs 200 after buy on σ_1
possibly execution costs 0 after order on σ_1

necessary execution costs 50 after order on σ_0

5.3 Example 03

5.3.1 Description

There is a man. He can cook, eat, and play. Cooking makes food cooked. he can eat food if it is cooked. After eating he feels not hungry, and food is not cooked again. He can play. Playing makes him hungry. He just can play if he is not hungry. He just cooks when there is no food is cooked. Initially, he is hungry, and no food is cooked. In terms of energy, eating costs 5, cooking costs 15, playing costs 20.

5.3.2 Representation in language

Fluents: F = {cooked, hungry}
Actions: Ac = {cook, eat, play}
Costs: K = {15, 5, 20}

initially ¬cooked
initially hungry
cook causes cooked if ¬cooked
cook costs 15
eat causes ¬cooked if cooked
eat causes ¬hungry if cooked
eat costs 5
play causes hungry if ¬hungry
play costs 20

5.3.3 Calculation

$\sum = \{\sigma_0 , \sigma_1, \sigma_2, \sigma_3\}$

$\sigma_0 = \{\neg$cooked, hungry$\}$
$\sigma_1 = \{$cooked, hungry$\}$
$\sigma_2 = \{\neg$cooked, $\neg$hungry$\}$

$\sigma_3 = \{\text{cooked}, \neg\text{hungry}\}$

$\Psi(\text{eat}, \sigma_0) = \sigma_0$
$\Psi(\text{cook}, \sigma_0) = \sigma_1$
$\Psi(\text{play}, \sigma_0) = \sigma_0$
$\Gamma(\text{eat}, \sigma_0) = 0$
$\Gamma(\text{cook}, \sigma_0) = 15$
$\Gamma(\text{play}, \sigma_0) = 0$

$\Psi(\text{eat}, \sigma_1) = \sigma_2$
$\Psi(\text{cook}, \sigma_1) = \sigma_1$
$\Psi(\text{play}, \sigma_1) = \sigma_1$
$\Gamma(\text{eat}, \sigma_1) = 5$
$\Gamma(\text{cook}, \sigma_1) = 0$
$\Gamma(\text{play}, \sigma_1) = 0$

$\Psi(\text{eat}, \sigma_2) = \sigma_2$
$\Psi(\text{cook}, \sigma_2) = \sigma_3$
$\Psi(\text{play}, \sigma_2) = \sigma_1$
$\Gamma(\text{eat}, \sigma_2) = 0$
$\Gamma(\text{cook}, \sigma_2) = 15$
$\Gamma(\text{play}, \sigma_2) = 20$

$\Psi(\text{eat}, \sigma_3) = \sigma_2$
$\Psi(\text{cook}, \sigma_3) = \sigma_3$
$\Psi(\text{play}, \sigma_3) = \sigma_1$
$\Gamma(\text{eat}, \sigma_3) = 5$
$\Gamma(\text{cook}, \sigma_3) = 0$
$\Gamma(\text{play}, \sigma_3) = 20$

5.3.4 Graph



Figure 3: Example 03

5.3.5 Queries

possibly σ_0 after eat on σ_0
necessary σ_1 after cook on σ_0
possibly σ_0 after play on σ_0
necessary σ_3 after eat on σ_1

possibly execution costs 0 after eat on σ_0
necessary execution costs 15 after cook on σ_0
possibly execution costs 20 after play on σ_2
necessary execution costs 5 after eat on σ_1

6 Appendix

List of Figures

List of Tables