Assignment 3: Proof

Advanced Topics In Machine Learning (CS6360)

Rahul Vigneswaran

CS23MTECH02002

Paper presented as part of assignment 1

Neural Collapse Terminus: A Unified Solution for Class Incremental Learning and Its Variants

We consider the following problem,

$$\begin{aligned} & \min_{\mathbf{M}^{(t)}} & & \frac{1}{N^{(t)}} \sum_{k=1}^{K^{(t)}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{m}_{k,i}^{(t)}, \hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\text{ETF}}\right), \ 0 \leq t \leq T, \\ & s.t. & & & & & & & & & & \\ s.t. & & & & & & & & & \\ \end{bmatrix} ^{K^{(t)}} \sum_{k=1}^{K^{(t)}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \mathcal{L}\left(\mathbf{m}_{k,i}^{(t)}, \hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\text{ETF}}\right), \ 1 \leq t \leq T, \end{aligned}$$

where,

 $\mathbf{m}_{k,i}^{(t)} \in \mathbb{R}^d$: *i*-th sample of class k in session t feature

 n_k : no. of samples in class k

 $K^{(t)}$: no. of classes in session t

$$N^{(t)} = \sum_{k=1}^{K^{(t)}} n_k$$

$$\mathbf{M}^{(t)} \in \mathbb{R}^{d imes N^{(t)}}$$
 : collection of $\mathbf{m}_{k,i}^{(t)}$

$$K = \sum_{t=0}^{T} K^{(t)}$$

 $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\mathrm{ETF}} \in \mathbb{R}^{d \times K}$: neural collapse terminus all K

A simplex equiangular tight frame (ETF) refers to a collection of vectors $\{\mathbf{e}_i\}_{i=1}^K$ in \mathbb{R}^d , $d \geq K-1$, that satisfies:

$$\begin{aligned} {\bm e}_{k_1}^T {\bm e}_{k_2} &= \frac{K}{K-1} \delta_{k_1,k_2} - \frac{1}{K-1}, \qquad \text{DEF1} \\ \forall k_1, k_2 \in [1,K], \end{aligned}$$

where $\delta_{k_1,k_2}=1$ when $k_1=k_2$, and 0 otherwise. All vectors have the same ℓ_2 norm and any pair of two different vectors has the same inner product of $-\frac{1}{K-1}$, which is the minimum possible cosine similarity for K equiangular vectors in \mathbb{R}^d .

February 16, 2024

3/20

Theorem

Let $\hat{\mathbf{M}}^{(t)}$ denotes the global minimizer by optimizing the model incrementally from t=0, and we have $\hat{\mathbf{M}}=[\hat{\mathbf{M}}^{(0)},\cdots,\hat{\mathbf{M}}^{(T)}]\in\mathbb{R}^{d\times\sum_{t=0}^{T}N^{(t)}}$. No matter if \mathcal{L} is CE or misalignment loss, for any column vector $\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}$ in $\hat{\mathbf{M}}$ whose class label is k, we have:

$$\|\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}\| = 1, \ \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{k'} = \frac{K}{K-1} \delta_{k,k'} - \frac{1}{K-1},$$

for all $k, k' \in [1, K]$, $1 \le i \le n_k$, where $K = \sum_{t=0}^T K^{(t)}$ denotes the total number of classes of the whole label space, $\delta_{k,k'} = 1$ when k = k' and 0 otherwise, and $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{k'}$ is the class prototype in $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\text{ETF}}$ for class k'.

4/20

KKT Conditions

- **1** Stationarity condition: $\nabla f(x^*) = -u^* \nabla g(x^*)$
- 2 Complimentary slackness: $u^* g(x) = 0$
- **3** Primal feasibility: $g(x^*) \le 0$
- 4 Dual feasibility: $u^* \ge 0$

$$L(\mathbf{m}_{k,i}^{(t)}, \hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\mathsf{ETF}}) = -\log \frac{\exp(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_k^T \mathbf{m}_{k,i})}{\sum\limits_{j=1}^K \exp(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_j^T \mathbf{m}_{k,i})}$$

Lagrangian function,

$$\tilde{L} = \frac{1}{N^{(t)}} \sum_{k=1}^{K^{(t)}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} -\log \frac{\exp(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_k^T \mathbf{m}_{k,i})}{\sum\limits_{i=1}^{K} \exp(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_j^T \mathbf{m}_{k,i})} + \sum_{k=1}^{K^{(t)}} \sum_{i=1}^{n_k} \lambda_{k,i} \left(||\mathbf{m}_{k,i}||^2 - 1 \right)$$

Now lets do $\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \mathbf{m}_{k,i}}$,

$$\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \mathbf{m}_{k,i}} = -\frac{(1 - p_k)}{N^{(t)}} \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k + \frac{1}{N^{(t)}} \sum_{j \neq k}^K p_j \hat{\mathbf{w}}_j + 2\lambda_{k,i} \mathbf{m}_{k,i}$$

where $1 \le i \le n_k, 1 \le k \le K^{(t)}$.

$$p_j = \frac{\exp(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_j^T \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i})}{\sum_{j'=1}^K \exp(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'}^T \mathbf{m}_{k,i})}$$

Now lets do $\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \mathbf{m}_{k,i}}$ = 0. According to to KKT's dual feasibility condition,

$$\lambda_{k,i} \geq 0$$

So we will try out both cases,

- Case 1 : $\lambda_{k,i} = 0$
- Case 2 : $\lambda_{k,i} > 0$

$$\sum_{j\neq k}^K p_j = (1-p_k)$$

Also, from DEF1,

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{k}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{k'} = \frac{K}{K-1}\delta_{k,k'} - \frac{1}{K-1},$$
$$\forall k, k' \in [1, K],$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \mathbf{m}_{k,i}} &= 0 \\ -\frac{(1-p_k)}{N^{(t)}} \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k + \frac{1}{N^{(t)}} \sum_{j \neq k}^K p_j \hat{\mathbf{w}}_j + 2\lambda_{k,i} \mathbf{m}_{k,i} = 0 \\ \sum_{j \neq k}^K p_j \hat{\mathbf{w}}_j &= (1-p_k) \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k \end{split}$$

Case 1: $\lambda_{k,i} = 0$

$$\sum_{j\neq k}^{K} p_j \hat{\mathbf{w}}_j = (1 - p_k) \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k$$

From EQN1, EQN2 and multiply by $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_k$,

$$\sum_{j \neq k}^{K} p_j \hat{\mathbf{w}}_j^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k = (1 - p_k) \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k$$
$$(1 - p_k) (\frac{-K}{(K - 1)}) = (1 - p_k) x 1$$
$$-(1 - p_k) \frac{K}{(K - 1)} = 0$$
$$p_k = 1$$

But we already know that $0 < p_k < 1$. $p_k = 1$, only if all other p = 1.

10/20

Vigneswaran, Rahul Assignment 3: Proof February 16, 2024

Now based on KKT's complimentary slackness condition,

$$\begin{split} \lambda_{k,i}(||\hat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{k,i}||^2-1) &= 0 \\ ||\hat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{k,i}||^2-1 &= 0 \\ ||\hat{\boldsymbol{m}}_{k,i}||^2 &= 1 \end{split}$$

Now lets do
$$\frac{\partial \tilde{L}}{\partial \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}} = \mathbf{0}$$

$$\sum_{j \neq k}^{K} p_j(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_j - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k) + 2N^{(t)}\lambda_{k,i} = 0$$
 EQN1

EQN1 * $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'}$, $j' \neq k$:

$$\sum_{j\neq k}^{K} \rho_{j}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'} - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{k}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'}) + 2N^{(t)}\lambda_{k,i}\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'} = 0$$

$$\sum_{j\neq k}^{K} \rho_{j}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'}) - \sum_{j\neq k}^{K} (\rho_{j}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{k}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'}) + 2N^{(t)}\lambda_{k,i}\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'} = 0$$

$$\sum_{j \neq k}^{K} \rho_{j}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'}) = \rho_{j'}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'}) + \sum_{j \neq k, j \neq j'}^{K} \rho_{j}(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'})$$

$$= \rho_{j'} + \frac{-1}{K - 1}(1 - \rho_{j'} - \rho_{k})$$

$$\frac{K}{K - 1}\rho_{j'} + \frac{\rho_{k}}{K - 1} - \frac{1}{k - 1}$$

$$\sum_{j \neq k}^{K} p_j(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_k^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'}) = \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'} \sum_{j \neq k}^{K} p_j$$
$$= \frac{-1}{K - 1} (1 - p_k)$$

Putting all together

$$\frac{K}{K-1}p_{j'} + \frac{p_k}{K-1} - \frac{1}{k-1} + \frac{-1}{K-1}(1-p_k) + 2N^{(t)}\lambda_{k,i}\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'} = 0$$

$$\frac{K}{K-1}p_{j'} + 2N^{(t)}\lambda_{k,i}\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'} = 0 \quad \text{EQN2}$$

$$p_{j}^{'} = -\frac{2N^{(t)}\lambda_{k,i}\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'}(K-1)}{K}$$
$$\frac{p_{j_{1}}}{p_{j_{2}}} = \frac{\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j_{1}}}{\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j_{2}}} = \frac{\exp(\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j_{1}})}{\exp(\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j_{2}})}$$

The function $f(x) = \exp(x)/x$ is monotonically increasing when x < 1. So, $p_{j_1} = p_{j_2}$, $\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j_1} = \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j_2}$, $\forall j_1, j_2 \neq k$

Because, $p_{j_1} = p_{j_2}$, $\forall j_1, j_2 \neq k$

$$\sum_{j \neq k}^{K} p_j = (1 - p_k)$$
$$(K - 1)p_j = (1 - p_k)$$
$$p_j = \frac{(1 - p_k)}{K - 1}$$

Now lets rewrite EON2,

$$\frac{K}{K-1} \rho_{j'} + 2N^{(t)} \lambda_{k,i} \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{j'} = 0$$

$$\frac{K}{K-1} \rho_j + 2N^{(t)} \lambda_{k,i} \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_j = 0$$

$$\frac{1-\rho_k}{K-1} \frac{K}{(K-1)} = -2N^{(t)} \lambda_{k,i} \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_j$$

$$-\frac{K}{(K-1)} (1-\rho_k) = 2N^{(t)} \lambda_{k,i} \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_j (K-1) \qquad \text{EQN3}$$

Now lets do EQN1 $\times \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k$,

$$\sum_{j\neq k}^{K} p_j(\hat{\mathbf{w}}_j^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k - \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k) + 2N^{(t)} \lambda_{k,i} \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k = 0$$

$$\sum_{j\neq k}^{K} p_j(\frac{-1}{K-1} - 1) + 2N^{(t)} \lambda_{k,i} \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k = 0$$

$$\frac{-K}{K-1} (1 - p_k) + 2N^{(t)} \lambda_{k,i} \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k = 0 \qquad \text{EQN4}$$

Combine EQN3 and EQN4,

$$2N^{(t)}\lambda_{k,i}\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T\hat{\mathbf{w}}_j(K-1) + 2N^{(t)}\lambda_{k,i}\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T\hat{\mathbf{w}}_k = 0$$

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T\hat{\mathbf{w}}_j(K-1) + \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T\hat{\mathbf{w}}_k = 0$$
 EQN5

18/20

From DEF1 we know,

$$\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{k}^{T}\hat{\mathbf{w}}_{k'} = \frac{K}{K-1}\delta_{k,k'} - \frac{1}{K-1}$$

We have $\hat{\mathbf{W}}_{\text{WTF}} \cdot \mathbf{1}_K = \mathbf{0}_d$, where $\mathbf{1}_K$ is an all-ones vector in \mathbb{R}^K , and $\mathbf{0}_d$ is an all-zeros vector in \mathbb{R}^d . Then we have,

$$\sum_{k=1}^K \hat{\mathbf{w}} = \mathbf{0}_d$$

Now going back to EQN1 and using the above result,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{j\neq k}^K \rho_j(\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}_j - \hat{\boldsymbol{w}}_k) + 2N^{(t)}\lambda_{k,i} &= 0 \\ \sum_{j\neq k}^K \frac{(1-\rho_k)}{K-1}(\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}_j - \hat{\boldsymbol{w}}_k) + 2N^{(t)}\lambda_{k,i} &= 0 \\ \frac{(1-\rho_k)}{K-1} \left[-\hat{\boldsymbol{w}}_k - \hat{\boldsymbol{w}}_k(K-1) \right] + 2N^{(t)}\lambda_{k,i} &= 0 \end{split}$$

$$-\frac{K}{K-1}(1-\rho_k)\hat{\mathbf{w}}_k+2N^{(t)}\lambda_{k,i}=0$$

which means $\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}$ is aligned with $\hat{\mathbf{w}}_k$. So we have,

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k = 1$$

Now, we can rewrite EQN5,

$$\begin{split} \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_j (K-1) + \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_k &= 0 \\ \hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^T \hat{\mathbf{w}}_j &= -\frac{1}{K-1}, \forall j \neq k. \end{split}$$

Therefore for any column vector $\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}$ in $\hat{\mathbf{M}}$, we have,

$$\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}^{T} \hat{\mathbf{w}}_{k'} = \frac{K}{K-1} \delta_{k,k'} - \frac{1}{K-1}, \\ ||\hat{\mathbf{m}}_{k,i}|| = 1 \forall k, k' \in [1, K], 1 \le i \le n_{k}$$

That concludes the proof.